

# EXISTENCE OF NONZERO TRACE-ZERO IDEMPOTENTS IN THE GROUP ALGEBRAS OF FINITE GROUPS

WENHUA ZHAO AND DAN YAN

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $K$  a splitting field of  $G$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Denote by  $KG$  the group algebra of  $G$  over  $K$  and  $Z(KG)$  the center of  $KG$ . Let  $V_G$  be the  $K$ -subspace of trace-zero elements of  $KG$ . We give some numerical sufficient and necessary conditions for  $V_G$  and  $V_G \cap Z(KG)$ , respectively, to be Mathieu subspaces of  $KG$  in terms of the degrees of irreducible representations of  $G$  over  $K$ . The same numerical conditions also characterize the finite groups  $G$  that  $KG$  has no nonzero trace-zero idempotents and the finite groups  $G$  that  $KG$  has no nonzero central trace-zero idempotents, respectively.

## 1. Motivations and the Main Results

Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $K$  a splitting field of  $G$  (unless stated otherwise) and  $KG$  (or  $K[G]$ ) the group algebra of  $G$  over  $K$ . Denote by  $1_G$ , or simply  $1$ , the identity element of  $G$  and  $KG$ . We denote by  $Z(KG)$  and  $V_{KG}$ , or simply by  $V_G$  if the base field  $K$  is clear in context, the *center* of  $KG$  and the  $K$ -subspace of  $KG$  spanned over  $K$  by all  $1 \neq g \in G$ , respectively. An element  $e \in KG$  is said to be an *idempotent* if  $e^2 = e$ , and a *non-trivial idempotent* if  $e$  is an idempotent and  $e \neq 1$  or  $0$ .

For each  $u \in KG$ , denote by  $\text{tr}_G u$ , or  $\text{tr } u$  if  $KG$  is clear in context, the *trace* of  $u$  (i.e., the coefficient of  $1_G$  in  $u$ ). An element  $v \in KG$  is said to be *trace-zero* if  $\text{tr } v = 0$  and *trace-one* if  $\text{tr } v = 1$ . Hence, the subspace  $V_G$  defined above is just the subspace of trace-zero elements of  $KG$ .

---

*Date:* August 09, 2022.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16S34, 20C05, 16D99, 20C20.

*Key words and phrases.* Mathieu subspace (Mathieu-Zhao space); group algebras of finite groups; trace-zero idempotents.

The first author has been partially supported by the Simons Foundation grant #278638. The second author is supported by the NSF of China (Grant No. 11871241; 11601146), the China Scholarship Council and the Construct Program of the Key Discipline in Hunan Province.

Since an element  $e$  is a trace-zero idempotent of  $KG$ , if and only if  $1 - e$  is a trace-one idempotent of  $KG$ , all the results derived in this paper on trace-zero idempotents of  $KG$  can be transformed in the obvious ways to the results on trace-one idempotents of  $KG$ .

The purpose of this paper is twofold. The first one is to find some numerical sufficient and necessary conditions in terms of the degrees of irreducible representations of  $G$  over  $K$  for that  $KG$  has no nonzero trace-zero idempotents and that  $KG$  has no nonzero central trace-zero idempotents, respectively.

To explain the second purpose of the paper, we need to recall the following concept that was introduced by the first author in [Z2] and [Z3].

**Definition 1.1.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $\mathcal{A}$  a unital  $R$ -algebra. An  $R$ -subspace  $V$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be a Mathieu subspace (MS) of  $\mathcal{A}$  if for all  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $b^m \in V$  for all  $m \geq 1$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  (depending  $a, b$  and  $c$ ) such that  $ab^m c \in V$  for all  $m \geq N$ .*

A Mathieu subspace is also called a Mathieu-Zhao space or Mathieu-Zhao subspace in the literature (e.g., see [EKC, DEZ]). The introduction of Mathieu subspaces was directly motivated by the Mathieu Conjecture [Mat] and the Image Conjecture [Z1], which both imply the famous Jacobian conjecture [Ke, BCW, E]. Note that all ideals are Mathieu subspaces, but not conversely. Therefore, the concept of Mathieu subspaces can be viewed as a natural generalization of the concept of ideals.

One of the most fundamental theorems in the theory of Mathieu subspaces is the Duistermaat and van der Kallen theorem [DK] which was proved in 1998. It solves the abelian case of the Mathieu Conjecture. The theorem in terms of group algebras and Mathieu subspaces can be essentially restated as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$  (the free abelian group of rank  $n$ ). Then  $V_G$  is a MS of  $\mathbb{C}G$ . In terms of  $K$ -algebra of Laurent polynomials  $\mathbb{C}[x^{-1}, x]$  in  $n$  variables, the subspace  $V$  of all Laurent polynomials in  $x$  with no constant term is a MS of  $\mathbb{C}[x^{-1}, x]$ .*

In order to understand the Duistermaat and van der Kallen theorem in a more general setting, the following problem was proposed in [ZW, Problem 1.4].

**Open Problem 1.3.** *Decide commutative rings  $R$  and groups  $G$  such that  $V_{RG}$  is a Mathieu subspace of the group algebra  $RG$  of  $G$  over  $R$ .*

The following proposition and theorem among some other results were also proved [ZW].

**Proposition 1.4.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $K$  an arbitrary field. Then  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ , if and only if  $V_G$  does not contain any nonzero idempotent.*

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $K$  an arbitrary field. Then the following statements hold:*

- i) *If  $\text{char. } K = 0$  or  $\text{char. } K = p > |G|$ , then  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ , where  $|G|$  denotes the order of  $G$ .*
- ii) *Assume that  $G$  is abelian and  $|G| = p^r d$  with  $r, d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \nmid d$ . If  $\text{char. } K = p > 0$  and  $K$  has a  $d$ -th primitive root of unity, then  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ , if and only if  $p > d$ .*

The second purpose of the paper is to find some numerical sufficient and necessary conditions in terms of the degrees of irreducible representations of  $G$  over  $K$  for that  $V_G$  and  $V_G \cap Z(KG)$  are Mathieu subspaces of  $KG$ , respectively.

The two purposes of the paper actually coincide. More precisely, by Proposition 1.4  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ , if and only if  $KG$  has no nonzero trace-zero idempotent, and by Lemma 4.1 in Section 4,  $V_G \cap Z(KG)$  is a MS of  $KG$ , if and only if  $KG$  has no nonzero central trace-zero idempotent.

To state the two main results of the paper, we denote by  $\phi_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) the (non-isomorphic) irreducible representations of  $G$  over  $K$ , and  $n_i$  the *degree* of the representation  $\phi_i$ . Then the first main theorem of this paper is as follows.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $K$  a splitting field of  $G$  of  $\text{char. } K = p > 0$ . Then  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ , if and only if the following property holds:*

$$(*) \quad c_1 n_1 + c_2 n_2 + \cdots + c_s n_s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for all integers  $0 \leq c_i \leq n_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) that are not all zero.

**Remark 1.7.** *Let  $\bar{B}$  be a sequence of elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  formed by  $n_i$ -copies of  $n_i \pmod{p}$  with  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Then it is easy to see that the property (\*) in Theorem 1.6 for integers  $n_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) is equivalent to that the sequence  $\bar{B}$  is a so-called zero-sum free sequence (e.g., see [G]) of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .*

**Remark 1.8.** *Given a finite group  $G$  and  $K$  an arbitrary field. If  $\text{char. } K = 0$  or  $\text{char. } K = p > |G|$ , then by Proposition 1.4 and Theorems 1.5  $KG$  contains no nonzero trace-zero idempotent. If  $\text{char. } K = p \leq |G|$ , let  $L$  be a splitting field of  $G$ , which contains  $K$  (e.g., let  $L = K[\xi]$ , where  $\xi$  is a primitive  $d$ -th root of unity and  $d$  is the exponent of  $G$ ). By [PD, Theorem 2.7B, p. 58]  $L$  is a splitting field of  $G$ ).*

Then we may apply Theorem 1.6 to decide if  $V_{LG}$  is a MS of  $LG$ . If it is, then by Proposition 1.4  $V_{KG}$  is a MS of  $KG$  and  $KG$  contains no nonzero trace-zero idempotent.

In order to state our second main result, we need some basic notations and facts in modular representation theory. Here we freely adapt those fixed and developed in [PD].

Assume that  $\text{char. } K = p > 0$  and  $|G| = p^r d$  with  $r, d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \nmid d$ . Let  $\widehat{K}$  be a field extension of finite degree of the  $p$ -adic number field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  such that  $X^d - 1$  splits completely in  $\widehat{K}[X]$ . Then by Lemma 2.10 proved in Section 2,  $\widehat{K}$  is a spitting field for all subgroups of  $G$ . Let  $A$  be the integral closure of the  $p$ -adic integers in  $\widehat{K}$ , and  $\pi A$  the unique maximal ideal of  $A$  (which contains  $pA$ ). Let  $K = A/\pi A$ .  $K$  is a finite field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Then by discussion in [PD, Section 2.7]  $\widehat{K}$  and  $K$  are splitting fields for all subgroups and quotient groups of  $G$ . In particular, by [PD, Theorem 1.7B, p. 25] all irreducible  $\widehat{K}G$ -modules and irreducible  $KG$ -modules are absolutely irreducible.

Let  $f_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ) be the block idempotents of  $KG$  and  $n_{i,j}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq s_i$ ) the degrees of all distinct irreducible representations of  $G$  over  $\widehat{K}$  which lies in the  $i$ -th  $p$ -block of  $KG$ .

Our second main theorem of the paper is as follows.

**Theorem 1.9.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $K$  a splitting field of  $G$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- i) For every field  $L$  with  $\text{char. } L = 0$ , or  $\text{char. } L = p > |G|$ ,  $V_{LG} \cap Z(LG)$  is a MS of  $LG$ .*
- ii) If  $\text{char. } K = p > 0$  and  $p \nmid |G|$ , then  $V_{KG} \cap Z(KG)$  is a MS of  $KG$ , if and only if for each  $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$  we have*

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{i \in J} n_i^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

- iii) If  $\text{char. } K = p > 0$  and  $p \mid |G|$ , then  $V_{KG} \cap Z(KG)$  is a MS of  $KG$ , if and only if for all  $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ , both the numerator and the denominator of the rational number  $\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{i \in J} \sum_{j=1}^{s_i} n_{i,j}^2$  in the reduced form are co-prime to  $p$ .*

Note also that if  $\text{char. } K = 0$  or  $\text{char. } K = p > 0$  with  $p \nmid |G|$ , then by Maschke's Theorem (e.g., see [PD, Theorem 1.3B])  $KG$  is semi-simple. For all  $1 \leq i \leq t = s$ , the dimension over  $K$  of  $i$ -th (indecomposable)  $p$ -block of  $KG$  is equal to  $n_i^2$ .

If  $\text{char. } K = p > 0$  with  $p \mid |G|$ , then for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , by [PD, Theorem 4.2D, p. 99] and also the fact mentioned above on the blocks

of  $\widehat{KG}$  (noting  $\text{char. } \widehat{K} = 0$ ), we see that the dimension of the  $i$ -th  $p$ -block of  $KG$  over  $K$  is equal to  $\sum_{j=1}^{s_i} n_{i,j}^2$  (in the same notation as in Theorem 1.9). Then it is easy to see that the case of Theorem 1.9 over all splitting base fields can be re-stated in the following more uniform way.

**Corollary 1.10.** *Let  $K$  be a splitting field (of arbitrary characteristic) of  $G$ . Let  $t$  be the number of (indecomposable) blocks of  $KG$  and  $d_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ) the dimension over  $K$  of the  $i$ -th block of  $KG$ . Then  $V_G \cap Z(KG)$  is a MS of  $KG$ , if and only if for all  $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ , both the numerator and the denominator of the rational number  $\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{i \in J} d_i$  in the reduced form are co-prime to  $p$ .*

Note that by Lemma 4.1 in Section 4 the sufficient and necessary conditions in Theorem 1.9 and Corollary 1.10 are also sufficient and necessary conditions for the nonexistence of nonzero central trace-zero idempotents in  $KG$ .

**Arrangements** We mainly give a proof for Theorem 1.6 in Section 2 and discuss some applications of Theorem 1.6 in Section 3. In Section 4 we mainly give a proof for Theorem 1.9.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.6

Throughout this section,  $G$  stands for a finite group and  $K$  a splitting field of  $G$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . We denote by  $\phi_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) all the (non-isomorphic) irreducible representations of  $G$  over  $K$  and  $n_i$  the degree of the representation  $\phi_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Here we fix the irreducible representation  $\phi_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) as a  $K$ -algebra homomorphism from  $KG$  to the  $n_i \times n_i$  matrix algebra  $M_{n_i}(K)$ . Note that by Burnside's Theorem (e.g., see [PD, Corollary, p. 11]) each  $\phi_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) is surjective.

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s M_{n_i}(K)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_i = M_{n_i}(K)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ). For each  $1 \leq i \leq s$  we define  $\text{tr}_i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow K$  to the  $K$ -linear functional whose restriction on the  $i$ -th component  $M_{n_i}(K)$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is the usual trace function of the  $n_i \times n_i$  matrices, and on  $j$ -th component of  $\mathcal{A}$  is equal to 0 for all  $j \neq i$ .

With the notations and settings above we recall the classical Wedderburn Structure Theorem (see [PD, Theorem 1.3A]) for  $KG$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Define  $\Phi : KG \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be setting  $\Phi(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^s \phi_i(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in KG$ . Then  $\Phi$  is a surjective homomorphism of  $K$ -algebras and  $\text{Ker } \Phi = J(KG)$ , where  $J(KG)$  stands for the Jacobson radical of  $KG$ .*

Next, we recall the following theorem which clarifies all co-dimensional one MS' of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** ([Ko, Corollary 4.5]) *A codimensional one  $K$ -subspace  $V$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a MS of  $\mathcal{A}$ , if and only if  $V = \text{Ker } L$  for some  $K$ -linear functional  $L$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $L = \sum_{i=1}^s c_i \text{tr}_i$ , where the coefficients  $c_i \in K$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) satisfy the property: for all  $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$  with not all  $c_i$  ( $i \in J$ ) being zero, we have  $\sum_{i \in J} c_i \neq 0$ .*

The following proposition classifies all the co-dimensional one MS'  $V$  of  $KG$  with  $V \supseteq J(KG)$  (the Jacobson radical of  $KG$ ).

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $V$  be a co-dimensional one  $K$ -subspace of  $KG$  with  $V \supseteq J(KG)$ , and  $\chi_i$  the character of  $G$  obtained from the irreducible representation  $\phi_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ). Then  $V$  is a MS of  $KG$ , if and only if  $V = \text{Ker } \ell$ , where  $\ell = \sum_{i=1}^s c_i \chi_i$  for some  $c_i \in K$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) which satisfy the property: for all  $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$  with not all  $c_i$  ( $i \in J$ ) being zero, we have  $\sum_{i \in J} c_i \neq 0$ .*

*Proof:* Since  $\Phi$  by Theorem 2.1 is surjective and  $V \supseteq J(KG)$ , there exists a co-dimensional one  $K$ -subspace  $W$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $V = \Phi^{-1}(W)$  (Actually,  $W = \Phi(V)$ ). By Theorem 2.2 (or [EKC, Theorem 5.8.1]),  $W$  is a MS of  $\mathcal{A}$  iff  $W = \text{Ker } L$  for some  $K$ -linear functional  $L$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $L = \sum_{i=1}^s c_i \text{tr}_i$  for some  $c_i \in K$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) which satisfy the property in the proposition.

Let  $\Phi^*$  be the dual map of  $\Phi$ , i.e.,  $\Phi^*(\psi) = \psi \circ \Phi$  for all  $K$ -linear functional  $\psi$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then by definition of characters we have  $\Phi^*(\text{tr}_i) = \chi_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Since  $V = \Phi^{-1}(W)$ ,  $W = \Phi(V)$  and  $W = \text{Ker } L$ , we have  $V = \text{Ker } \ell$  with  $\ell = \Phi^*(L) = \sum_{i=1}^s c_i \chi_i$ . Since by Theorem 2.1 and [Z3, Proposition 2.7],  $V$  is a MS of  $KG$ , if and only if  $W$  is a MS of  $\mathcal{A}$ , the proposition follows.  $\square$

Now, we can prove Theorem 1.6 for the semisimple case.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Assume that  $p \nmid |G|$ . Then*

- i)  $\Phi(V_G) = \text{Ker } F$ , where  $F = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i \text{tr}_i$ .
- ii)  $V_G = \text{Ker } \ell$ , where  $\ell = \Phi^*(F) = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i \chi_i$ .
- iii)  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ , if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^s c_i n_i \neq 0$  for all  $\vec{0} \neq (c_1, \dots, c_s) \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_1\} \times \dots \times \{0, 1, \dots, n_s\}$ . In other words, Theorem 1.6 holds in this case.

*Proof:* Note first that, since  $p \nmid |G|$ , by Maschke's Theorem (e.g., see [PD, Theorem 1.3B]) the group algebra  $KG$  is semisimple, i.e.,  $J(KG) = 0$ . Then  $\Phi$  in Theorem 2.1 is a  $K$ -algebra isomorphism.

i) Let  $1_G \neq g \in G$  and  $u = \Phi(g)$ . Write  $u = \sum_{i=1}^s B_i$  with  $B_i \in \mathcal{A}_i := M_{n_i}(K)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . To show  $u \in \text{Ker } F$ , we consider the trace of the regular action of  $g$  on  $KG$  and the trace of the regular action of  $u$

on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\Phi$  in this case is an isomorphism of  $K$ -algebras, we know that these two traces are equal to one another.

First, by choosing elements of  $G$  as a basis of  $KG$ , it is easy to see that the trace of the regular action of  $g$  on  $KG$  is equal to 0.

Second, for each  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , since the matrix  $B_i$  annihilates all elements of  $\mathcal{A}_j$  for all  $j \neq i$ , the trace of the regular action of  $B_i$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is equal to the trace of the regular action of  $B_i$  on  $\mathcal{A}_i = M_{n_i}(K)$ , which can be readily checked to be  $n_i \operatorname{tr}_i(B_i)$  by choosing the matrices  $E_{k,\ell}$ 's (with 1 as the  $(k, \ell)$ -entry and zero elsewhere) as a basis of  $\mathcal{A}_i = M_{n_i}(K)$ . Hence the trace of the regular action of  $u$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is equal to  $\sum_{i=1}^s n_i \operatorname{tr}_i(B_i)$ . Therefore  $\sum_{i=1}^s n_i \operatorname{tr}_i(B_i) = 0$ , i.e.,  $u \in \operatorname{Ker} F$ , whence  $\Phi(V_G) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} F$  for  $V_G$  is spanned over  $K$  by all non-identity elements of  $G$ . Since  $\Phi(V_G)$  and  $\operatorname{Ker} F$  are both co-dimensional one subspaces of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have  $\Phi(V_G) = \operatorname{Ker} F$ , and statement *i*) follows.

*ii*) follows immediately from *i*), and the fact that  $\Phi^*(\operatorname{tr}_i) = \chi_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . *iii*) follows immediately from *ii*), Proposition 2.3 above and the fact that  $n_i \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .  $\square$

Next we shall give a proof of Theorem 1.6 for the case  $p \mid |G|$ . In order to do so we need first to prove some lemmas, for which we do not assume  $p \mid |G|$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *Assume that  $KG$  has  $k$  nonzero (pairwise) orthogonal idempotents  $e_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) with  $k \geq p$ . Then  $V_G$  is not a MS of  $KG$ .*

*Proof:* For each  $1 \leq j \leq k$  set  $s_j = \sum_{i=1}^j e_i$ . Then it is easy to see that  $s_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq k$ ) are all nonzero idempotents. By [Pa, Theorem 3.5 in p. 48], which was first proved by Zalesskii [Za] in 1972,  $\operatorname{tr} s_j \in \mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Since  $k \geq p$ , there exists either  $1 \leq j_0 \leq k$  such that  $\operatorname{tr} s_{j_0} = 0$ , or  $a < b$  such that  $\operatorname{tr} s_a = \operatorname{tr} s_b$ , whence  $\operatorname{tr}(s_b - s_a) = 0$ . Since  $s_b - s_a = e_{a+1} + \cdots + e_b$  is also a nonzero idempotent,  $V_G$  in either case contains a nonzero trace-zero idempotent, namely,  $s_{j_0}$  or  $s_b - s_a$ . Then by Proposition 1.4  $V_G$  is not a MS of  $KG$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Assume that  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ . Then*

- i*)  $p > \sum_{i=1}^s n_i$ .
- ii*)  $G$  has a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup.

*Proof:* *i*) Let  $m = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i$  and assume  $p \leq m$ . We view  $\mathcal{A}$  as a  $K$ -subalgebra of the matrix algebra  $M_m(K)$  by putting  $M_{n_i}(K)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) as the  $(i, i)$ -th block on the diagonal. Let  $f_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) be

the  $m \times m$  diagonal matrix with all entries being zero except the  $(i, i)$ -th entry being 1. Hence  $f_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) are orthogonal idempotents of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m f_i = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Note that by Theorem 2.1,  $\text{Ker } \phi = J(KG)$  and hence is nilpotent. Then by [Pa, Lemma 3.7 (i), p. 49] there exist nonzero orthogonal idempotents  $e_i \in KG$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) such that  $\Phi(e_i) = f_i$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.5,  $V_G$  is not a MS of  $KG$ . Contradiction.

*ii)* By *i)* we have  $p > n_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , whence  $p \nmid n_i$ . It is well known that  $n_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) are also the degrees of irreducible Brauer characters of  $G$  (by the very definition of the irreducible Brauer characters, e.g., see [N1, p. 17]). Then by [Mi2, Theorem 2.4]<sup>1</sup> (which is a modular version of the Itô-Michler Theorem [I, Mi2], see also [N2])  $G$  has a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup.  $\square$

Recall that an element  $g \in G$  is said to be a  $p$ -singular element, or simply a  $p$ -element of  $G$  if the order of  $g$  is a power of  $p$ .  $g$  is said to be a  $p$ -regular element, or simply a  $p'$ -element of  $G$  if the order of  $g$  is co-prime to  $p$ . The identity element  $1_G$  is the only both  $p$ -singular and  $p$ -regular element of  $G$ .

It is easy to check that every element  $g \in G$  can be written as the product of a  $p$ -element and  $p'$ -element, which commute with one another. We denote by  $G^\circ$  the set of all  $p'$ -elements of  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $e$  be an idempotent of  $KG$  and  $h \in G \setminus G^\circ$ , i.e.,  $h$  is not a  $p'$ -element. Then the sum of the coefficients in  $e$  of the elements in the conjugacy class  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $h$  is equal to 0.*

*Proof:* Write  $|G| = p^r d$  for some  $r, d \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $p \nmid d$ . Then it is easy to see that  $g^{p^r} \in G^\circ$  for all  $g \in G$ . Write the idempotent  $e$  as

$$(2.2) \quad e = \sum_{a \in G \setminus G^\circ} c_a a + \sum_{b \in G^\circ} c_b b$$

with  $c_a, c_b \in K$  ( $a \in G \setminus G^\circ$ ,  $b \in G^\circ$ ).

By [Pa, Lemma 3.1, p. 45] there exists  $\beta$  in the commutator subspace  $[KG, KG]$  of  $KG$  such that

$$e = e^{p^r} = \sum_{a \in G \setminus G^\circ} c_a^{p^r} a^{p^r} + \sum_{b \in G^\circ} c_b^{p^r} b^{p^r} + \beta.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The proof of [Mi2, Theorem 2.4] used the classification of finite simple groups. For a proof of this theorem without using the classification, see [Man, Theorem on p. 121]. For a short proof for a special case of the “only if” part of the theorem, see [R].

Since  $a^{p^r} \in G^\circ$  for all  $a \in G \setminus G^\circ$ , we further have

$$(2.3) \quad e = e^{p^r} = \sum_{b \in G^\circ} d_b b + \beta$$

for some  $d_b \in K$  ( $b \in G^\circ$ ).

Then by eqs. (2.2) and (2.3) we get

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{a \in G \setminus G^\circ} c_a a + \sum_{b \in G^\circ} (c_b - d_b) b = \beta \in [KG, KG].$$

Since  $h \in G \setminus G^\circ$ , its conjugacy class  $\mathcal{C} \subset G \setminus G^\circ$ . Then by [Pa, Lemma 3.2, p. 46] and the equation above we have  $(\sum_{a \in \mathcal{C}} c_a)^{p^r} = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{C}} c_a^{p^r} = 0$ , whence the lemma follows.  $\square$

The next lemma will play a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 1.6.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $H$  be a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ , if and only if  $V_{G/H}$  is a MS of the group algebra  $K[G/H]$  of  $G/H$  over  $K$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $\rho : K[G] \rightarrow K[G/H]$  be the  $K$ -algebra homomorphism induced by the quotient map from  $G$  to  $G/H$ . Then by [Pa, Lemma 1.8, p. 10]  $\text{Ker } \rho = \omega(KH)KG$ , where  $\omega(KH)$  is the augmentation ideal of  $KH$  which is spanned by  $(h - 1)$  ( $h \in H$ ) over  $K$ . Therefore  $K[G/H] \simeq KG/\omega(KH)KG$ .

Let  $g_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k := |G/H|$ ) with  $g_1 = 1_G$  be the representatives of the distinct (right) cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ . Then for every idempotent  $e \in KG$ , there exist some  $a_i \in KH$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) such that

$$(2.5) \quad e = a_1 1_G + a_2 g_2 + \cdots + a_k g_k.$$

Hence, the coefficient of  $1_G$  in  $e$  is equal to the coefficient of  $1_H$  in  $a_1$ , i.e.,  $\text{tr}_G e = \text{tr}_H a_1$ . Furthermore, since  $H \trianglelefteq G$ , the  $G$ -conjugacy class of each  $h \in H$  is contained in  $H$ . Since  $H$  is a  $p$ -group, by Lemma 2.7 the sum of the coefficients in  $a_1$  over the  $G$ -conjugacy class of each  $1_H \neq h \in H$  is equal to zero, whence  $\rho(a_1) = \text{tr}_H a_1$ .

On the other hand, by eq. (2.5) and the definition of  $\rho$  we also have  $\text{tr}_{G/H} \rho(e) = \rho(a_1)$ . In summary, the following equations hold:

$$(2.6) \quad \text{tr}_G e = \text{tr}_H a_1 = \rho(a_1) = \text{tr}_{G/H} \rho(e).$$

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$  and  $V_{G/H}$  is not a MS of  $K[G/H]$ . Then by Proposition 1.4 there exists a nonzero idempotent  $u \in V_{G/H}$  (with  $\text{tr}_{G/H} u = 0$ ). Since  $H \trianglelefteq G$ , it follows easily from [Pa, Lemma 1.6, p. 70] that  $\text{Ker } \rho (= \omega(KH)KG)$  is a nilpotent ideal of  $KG$ . Then by [Pa, Lemma 3.7 (i), p. 49] there exists an idempotent  $e \in KG$  such that  $\rho(e) = u$ . By eq. (2.6)  $\text{tr}_G e = \text{tr}_{G/H} u = 0$ , i.e.,  $e \in V_G$ . Since

$V_G$  is MS of  $KG$ , by Proposition 1.4 we have  $e = 0$ , whence  $u = 0$ . Contradiction.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume that  $V_{G/H}$  is a MS of  $K[G/H]$  and  $V_G$  is not a MS of  $KG$ . Then by Proposition 1.4 there exists a nonzero idempotent  $e \in V_G$  (with  $\text{tr}_G e = 0$ ). Note that  $\rho(e) \neq 0$ , for  $\text{Ker } \rho = \omega(KH)KG$  is nilpotent (as pointed out above). Therefore  $\rho(e)$  is a nonzero idempotent of  $K[G/H]$ , and by eq. (2.6)  $\text{tr}_{G/H} \rho(e) = 0$ , whence  $\rho(e) \in V_{G/H}$ . Since  $V_{G/H}$  is a MS of  $K[G/H]$ , by Proposition 1.4  $\rho(e) = 0$ . Contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 2.9.** *We will show later in Proposition 3.3 that the  $p$ -subgroup condition on  $H$  for the “only if” part of Lemma 2.8 can actually be dropped.*

Now we can prove Theorem 1.6 as follows.

**Proof of Theorem 1.6:** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ . Then by Lemma 2.6 *ii*)  $G$  has a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $H$ . By Lemma 2.8  $V_{G/H}$  is a MS of  $K[G/H]$ . Since  $p \nmid |G/H|$ , it follows from Lemma 2.4 *iii*) that the property (\*) in Theorem 1.6 holds for  $K[G/H]$ .

On the other hand, by [Pa, Proposition 4.7, p. 67] the Jacobson radical  $J(KG)$  of  $KG$  is equal to the ideal  $I_H$  of  $KG$  generated by  $(h-1)$  ( $h \in H$ ). Since by Theorem 2.1  $K[G/H] \simeq KG/I_H = KG/J(KG)$ , the degrees of irreducible representations of  $KG$  over  $K$  (or equivalently, irreducible representations of  $G$  over  $K$ ) are the same as the degrees of irreducible representations of  $K[G/H]$  over  $K$  (or equivalently, irreducible representations of  $G/H$  over  $K$ ). Therefore, the property (\*) in Theorem 1.6 holds also for  $KG$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume that the property (\*) in Theorem 1.6 holds for  $KG$ . If  $p \leq n_{i_0}$  for some  $1 \leq i_0 \leq s$ , then the property (\*) fails for the coefficients  $c_{i_0} = p$  and  $c_i = 0$  ( $i \neq i_0$ ). Therefore,  $p > n_i$ , and hence  $p \nmid n_i$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Then by [Mi2, Theorem 2.4] or [Man, Theorem on p. 121] or the theorem in the short note [R],  $G$  has a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $H$ . Since as pointed above, the degrees  $n_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) of irreducible representations of  $G$  over  $K$  are the same as the degrees of irreducible representations of  $G/H$  over  $K$ , the property (\*) in Theorem 1.6 holds for  $K[G/H]$ . Since  $p \nmid |G/H|$ , by Lemma 2.4 *iii*)  $V_{G/H}$  is a MS of  $K[G/H]$ , and by Lemma 2.8  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ .  $\square$

To end this section we point out that Theorem 1.5, *ii*) also follows from Theorem 1.6. First, by the lemma given below, the condition  $p > d$  implies that  $K$  is a splitting field of  $G$ . Second, it is well known (e.g., see [PD, Corollary on p. 19]) that all irreducible representations of an abelian group are of dimension one, i.e.,  $n_i = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .

Then it is easy to see that the property (\*) in Theorem 1.6 holds, if and only if  $p > s$ .

On the other hand, by [PD, Theorem 1.5] the number of irreducible representations of  $G$  over  $K$  is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of  $p'$ -elements of  $G$ , i.e.,  $s = d$  (since  $G$  is abelian). Then by the facts above and also the lemma below, Theorem 1.5 *ii*) follows from Theorem 1.6.

**Lemma 2.10.** *Assume that  $|G| = p^r d$  for some  $r, d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p \nmid d$ . Let  $L$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ , which contains a primitive  $d$ -th root of unity. Then  $L$  is a splitting field of  $G$ .*

*Proof:* Since  $L$  contains a primitive  $d$ -th root of unity, the polynomial  $X^d - 1$  splits into the product of some degree one polynomials in  $L[X]$ . Let  $n = |G|$ . Since  $X^n - 1 = X^{p^r d} - 1 = (X^d - 1)^{p^r}$ , the polynomial  $X^n - 1$  also splits into the product of degree one polynomials in  $L[X]$ , hence so does  $X^m - 1$ , where  $m$  is the exponent of  $G$ . Then by [PD, Theorem 2.7B, p. 58]  $L$  is a splitting field of  $G$ .  $\square$

### 3. Some Applications of Theorem 1.6

Throughout this section  $G$  stands for a finite group with  $|G| = p^r d$  and  $p \nmid d$ , and  $K$  a splitting field of  $G$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . We will also freely use the notation fixed in Sections 1 and 2, e.g.,  $n_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) stand for the degrees of all irreducible representations of  $G$  over  $K$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Assume that  $|G| = p^r d$  with  $p \nmid d$ . If  $p > \sum_{i=1}^s n_i^2$ , then  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ . In particular, if  $G$  has a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup and  $p > d$ , then  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ .*

*Proof:* First, it is easy to see that the condition  $p > \sum_{i=1}^s n_i^2$  implies the property (\*) in Theorem 1.6, and hence by the same theorem  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ .

Now assume that  $G$  has a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $H$ . Then, as pointed out in the proof of Lemma 2.8 in Section 2,  $n_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) are also the degrees of all irreducible representations of  $G/H$  over  $K$ . Since  $p \nmid d = |G/H|$ , by Maschke's Theorem (e.g., see [PD, Theorem 1.3B, *ii*])  $K[G/H]$  is semi-simple. In particular, we have  $\sum_{i=1}^s n_i^2 = d$  by Theorem 2.1, and hence  $p > \sum_{i=1}^s n_i^2$ . Then by the first part of the proposition proved above,  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group, then  $V_G$  by the proposition above is a MS of  $KG$ . Actually, by [Pa, Proposition 4.7, p. 67]  $KG$  is a local  $K$ -algebra and hence, does not have any non-trivial idempotents. Then*

by [Z3, Theorem 4.2] every  $K$ -subspace  $V$  of  $KG$  such that  $1 \notin V$  is a MS of  $KG$ .

The next two propositions claim that the set of all finite groups  $G$  over some fixed splitting fields  $K$  is closed under taking subgroups, and taking quotient groups as well as taking semi-product with  $p$ -groups.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $Q$  be a subgroup of  $G$  or a quotient group of  $G$ . Assume that  $K$  is also a splitting field of  $Q$  and  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ . Then  $V_Q$  is a MS of  $KQ$ .*

*Proof:* The case that  $Q$  is a subgroup can be seen easily from the assumption and Proposition 1.4. So we assume that  $Q = G/H$  for some  $H \trianglelefteq G$ .

Let  $\rho : KG \rightarrow K[G/H]$  be the  $K$ -algebra homomorphism induced by the quotient map from  $G$  to  $G/H$  and  $\psi_i : K[G/H] \rightarrow M_{n_i}(K)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ) all (non-isomorphic) irreducible representations of  $G/H$  over  $K$ . Then by Burnside's Theorem (e.g., see [PD, Corollary, p. 11]) each  $\psi_i$  is surjective. Since  $\rho$  is surjective, so is the composition  $\phi_i := \psi_i \circ \rho$ . Hence  $\phi_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ) are non-isomorphic irreducible representations of  $G$  over  $K$  of degree  $n_i$ . Therefore, the condition (\*) in Theorem 1.6 for the degrees of irreducible modules of  $G$  over  $K$  implies the same condition (\*) for the degrees of irreducible modules of  $G/H$  over  $K$  (by letting  $c_i = 0$  for all  $t+1 \leq i \leq s$ ). Then by applying Theorem 1.6 to  $G/H$  the proposition follows.  $\square$

A special case of the proposition above for quotient groups of  $G$  follows also directly from Proposition 1.4 and the lemma below which has some interests on its own.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $H \trianglelefteq G$  such that  $p \nmid |H|$  and  $\rho : KG \rightarrow K[G/H]$  be the  $K$ -algebra homomorphism induced by the quotient map from  $G$  to  $G/H$ . Then for every idempotent  $e \in K[G/H]$  there exists an idempotent  $u \in KG$  such that  $\rho(u) = e$  and  $\text{tr}_G u = \frac{1}{|H|} \text{tr}_{G/H} e$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $k = |G/H|$  and  $g_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) be the representatives of all the cosets of  $H$  in  $G$  with  $g_1 = 1_G$ . For each  $g \in G$  we set also  $\bar{g} = \rho(g)$ . Write  $e = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \bar{g}_i$  for some  $c_i \in K$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ). Let  $E_H = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} h$  (i.e., the principal idempotent of the group algebra  $KH$  of  $H$ ). Then it is well known (and also easy to check) that we have

$$(3.7) \quad E_H^2 = E_H \quad \text{and} \quad E_H h = E_H$$

for all  $h \in H$ . Furthermore, by using the assumption  $H \trianglelefteq G$  we have also that  $E_H g = g E_H$  for all  $g \in G$ , whence  $E_H \in Z(KG)$ . Set

$$(3.8) \quad v := \sum_{i=1}^k c_i g_i \quad \text{and} \quad u := E_H v.$$

Then  $\rho(v) = e$ ,  $\rho(E_H) = 1$ , and hence  $\rho(u) = e$ . Consequently,  $v^2 - v \in \text{Ker } \rho$ , for  $\rho(v^2) = \rho(v)^2 = e^2 = e = \rho(v)$ , and  $v^2 = v + w$  for some  $w \in \text{Ker } \rho$ . Furthermore, by [Pa, Lemma 1.8, p.10] there exist some  $\alpha_h \in KG$  ( $h \in H$ ) such that  $w = \sum_{h \in H} (h - 1)\alpha_h$ . Now, by eq. (3.7) and the fact  $E_H \in Z(KG)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} u^2 &= E_H v E_H v = E_H^2 v^2 = E_H v^2 = E_H(v + w) = E_H(v + \sum_{h \in H} (h - 1)\alpha_h) \\ &= E_H v + \sum_{h \in H} (E_h h - h)\alpha_h = E_H v = u. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $u$  is an idempotent of  $KG$  with  $\rho(u) = e$ , and by eq. (3.8)  $\text{tr}_G u = \frac{1}{|H|} \text{tr}_{G/H} e$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $P$  be a  $p$ -group and  $\tilde{G} = P \rtimes G$ . Then  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ , if and only if  $V_{\tilde{G}}$  is a MS of  $K\tilde{G}$ .*

*Proof:* Since  $\tilde{G}/P \cong G$  and  $P$  is a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $\tilde{G}$ , the proposition follows immediately from Lemma 2.8.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** *Assume that  $K$  is splitting field for all subgroups and quotient groups of  $G$  and  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ . Then for every subgroup or quotient  $Q$ , the following statements hold:*

- i) the degree of every irreducible module of  $Q$  over  $K$  is less than  $p$ .*
- ii) the number of  $p$ -blocks of  $KQ$  is less than  $p$ .*

*Proof:* By Proposition 3.3 we may assume  $Q = G$ . Then *i)* follows from Lemma 2.6 *i)*. Since the number of  $p$ -blocks of  $KG$  is equal to the number of (orthogonal and primitive) block idempotents of  $KG$ , statement *ii)* follows immediately from Lemma 2.5.  $\square$

Next, we consider the extreme case that  $\text{char. } K = 2$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $L$  be a field of characteristic  $p = 2$ , which is a splitting field of all subgroups of  $G$  (e.g., when the polynomial  $X^d - 1$  splits completely in  $L[X]$ , see Lemma 2.10). Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- i)  $V_G$  is a MS of  $LG$ .*
- ii)  $LG$  does not contain any non-trivial idempotent.*
- iii)  $G$  is a 2-group.*

*Proof:* Let  $e$  be an idempotent of  $LG$ . Then by [Pa, Theorem 3.5 in p. 48], which was first proved by Zalesskii [Za] in 1972,  $\text{tr } e = 0$ , or 1. Hence the equivalence of statements *i)* and *ii)* follows immediately from Proposition 1.4. The *iii)  $\Rightarrow$  i)* part follows from Remark 3.2. So it suffices to show *i)  $\Rightarrow$  iii)*.

Assume that *i)* holds but *iii)* fails. By Cauchy's theorem there exists  $g \in G$  of odd order  $k \geq 3$ . Let  $H$  be the cyclic subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $g$ . Then by Proposition 3.3,  $V_H$  is a MS of  $LH$ , and the condition (\*) in Theorem 1.6 holds for the degrees of irreducible representations of  $H$ , which together with the assumption  $p = 2$  implies that  $H$  has only one irreducible representation, namely, the trivial one.

On the other hand, since  $2 \nmid k = |H|$ , by [PD, Theorem 1.5] the number of irreducible modules of  $H$  over  $K$  is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of odd order elements of  $H$ , which is  $k$  since  $H$  is abelian. Therefore  $k = 1$ , contradiction.  $\square$

Finally, from Theorem 1.6 and Lemma 2.6 we see that, for the degrees  $n_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) of irreducible representations of  $G$  over  $K$ , the property (\*) in Theorem 1.6 implies that  $p > \sum_{i=1}^s n_i$ . Actually, this implication holds for all finite sequences of positive integers, as we will show in the next corollary.

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $n_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) be arbitrary positive integers which satisfy the property (\*) in Theorem 1.6. Then  $p > \sum_{i=1}^s n_i$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $\bar{B}$  be a sequence of elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  formed by  $n_i$ -copies of  $n_i \pmod{p}$  with  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Then as pointed out in Remark 1.7 the property (\*) in Theorem 1.6 for integers  $n_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) is equivalent to that  $\bar{B}$  is a *zero-sum free* sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Since the sequence  $\bar{B}$  is of length  $\sum_{i=1}^s n_i$ , the corollary follows from [G, Theorem 10.2] for the abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .  $\square$

The corollary above can also be proved directly by applying similar arguments as those given in the proof of Lemma 2.5 to the sequence of partial sums of  $\bar{B}$  in the proof above.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.9

Throughout this section  $G$  stands for a finite group and  $K$  a field (of arbitrary characteristic). Let  $f_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ) be all the (central) block

idempotents of  $KG$ . The notations fixed in the previous sections are still in force, e.g.,  $|G| = p^r d$  with  $p \nmid d$ .

In this section we mainly give a proof for Theorem 1.9.

**Lemma 4.1.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- i)  $V_G \cap Z(KG)$  is a MS of  $KG$ ;
- ii)  $KG$  does not contain any nonzero central trace-zero idempotent.
- iii)  $\sum_{i \in J} \text{tr } f_i \neq 0$  for all non-empty  $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ .

*Proof:* i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii) Assume that  $KG$  contains a nonzero central idempotent  $e$  with  $\text{tr } e = 0$ . Let  $g \in G$  such that the coefficient  $c_g$  of  $g$  in  $e$  is nonzero. Then  $\text{tr}(g^{-1}e) = c_g \neq 0$ , whence  $g^{-1}e \notin V_G \cap Z(KG)$ . Since  $KG$  is of finite dimension over  $K$  and hence algebraic over  $K$ , by [Z3, Theorem 4.2]  $V_G \cap Z(KG)$  is not a MS of  $KG$ . Contradiction.

The ii)  $\Rightarrow$  i) part follows immediately from [Z3, Theorem 4.2].

ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  iii) Since  $f_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ) are all primitive idempotents of  $Z(KG)$ , by [N1, Theorem 3.11, p. 55] every idempotent of  $Z(KG)$  has the form  $\sum_{i \in J} f_i$  for some non-empty  $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ . Hence statements ii) and iii) are equivalent to one another.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** *By a similar argument as the proof of [ZW, Proposition 3.1], one can actually show that, replacing the base field  $K$  by any commutative base ring  $R$ ,  $V_{RG} \cap Z(RG)$  is a MS of  $RG$ , if and only if every  $\beta \in V_{RG} \cap Z(RG)$  with  $\beta^m \in V_{RG} \cap Z(RG)$  for all  $m \geq 1$  is nilpotent.*

**Corollary 4.3.** *If  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ , then so does  $V_G \cap Z(KG)$ .*

*Proof:* Since  $V_G$  is a MS of  $KG$ , by Proposition 1.4  $V_G$  does not contain any nonzero idempotent of  $KG$ . Hence, neither does  $V_G \cap Z(KG)$ . Then by Lemma 4.1,  $V_G \cap Z(KG)$  is a MS of  $KG$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *Assume that  $\text{char. } K = p > 0$  with  $p \mid |G|$ , and  $V_G \cap Z(KG)$  is a MS of  $KG$ . Then every block idempotent  $f_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ) is of full defect.*

*Proof:* For each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , let  $r_i$  be the defect of the block idempotent  $f_i$ . Then by [Mi1, Theorem 2.1 (b)]  $\text{tr } f_i = p^{r-r_i} \frac{x}{y}$  (as elements of  $K$ ) for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  which are co-prime to  $p$ . If  $r_i < r$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , then statement iii) in Lemma 4.1 fails for the subset  $J = \{i\}$ , and by the same lemma,  $V_G \cap Z(KG)$  is not a MS of  $KG$ . Contradiction.  $\square$

Now we can give a proof for Theorem 1.9. We will freely use the notation and conventions introduced in Section 1 related with Theorem

1.9 and Corollary 1.10.

**Proof of Theorem 1.9:** *i)* By Theorem 1.5 *i)*,  $V_G$  is a MS of  $LG$ , and by Corollary 4.3,  $V_G \cap Z(LG)$  is a MS of  $LG$ .

*ii)* Assume that  $\text{char. } K = p > 0$  with  $p \nmid |G|$ , then by [PD, Theorem 3.5, *ii)*, p. 75],  $s_i = 1$  and  $n_{i,1} = n_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Consequently, we have  $t = s$ , and by [PD, eq. (8), p. 93] and [PD, Theorems 3.5 and 4.2D]  $\text{tr } f_i = \frac{1}{|G|} n_i^2$  (as an element of  $K$ ) for all  $1 \leq i \leq s = t$ . Then by Lemma 4.1 *iii)*,  $V_G \cap Z(KG)$  is a MS of  $KG$ , if and only if for each non-empty  $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$  we have

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{i \in J} n_i^2 \neq 0_K.$$

Since  $|G| \neq 0_K$  and  $n_i^2 \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , we see that the inequality above holds, if and only if  $\sum_{i \in J} n_i^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Hence statement *ii)* follows.

*iii)* By [PD, Theorem 4.2D, p. 99] (noting that the field  $K$  there is our  $\widehat{K}$ ),  $\text{tr } f_i = \frac{1}{|G|} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{s_i} n_{i,j}^2 \right)$  (as an element of  $K$ ) for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Then statement *iii)* follows immediately from Lemma 4.1 *iii)*.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments** The authors are grateful to an anonymous referee for some valuable suggestions on an earlier version of the paper. The first author also thanks Sunil Chebolu for some personal communications.

## REFERENCES

- [BCW] H. Bass, E. Connell and D. Wright, *The Jacobian Conjecture, Reduction of Degree and Formal Expansion of the Inverse*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **7**, (1982), 287–330.
- [DEZ] H. Derksen, A. van den Essen and W. Zhao, *The Gaussian Moments Conjecture and the Jacobian Conjecture*. Israel J. Math. **219** (2017), no. 2, 917–928. See also arXiv:1506.05192 [math.AC].
- [DK] J. J. Duistermaat and W. van der Kallen, *Constant Terms in Powers of a Laurent Polynomial*. Indag. Math. (N.S.) **9** (1998), no. 2, 221231.
- [E] A. van den Essen, *Polynomial Automorphisms and the Jacobian Conjecture*. Prog. Math., Vol.190, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000.
- [EKC] A. van den Essen, S. Kuroda and A. J. Crachiola, *Polynomial Automorphisms and the Jacobian Conjecture: New Results from the Beginning of the 21st Century*. Frontiers in Mathematics. Birkhäuser 2021.
- [G] D. J. Gryniewicz, *Structural additive theory*. Developments in Mathematics, **30**. Springer, Cham, 2013.
- [I] N. Itô, *Some Studies on Group Characters*. Nagoya Math. J. **2**, (1951), 17–28.

- [Ka] I. Kaplansky, *Fields and Rings*. Chicago Lectures in Math., Univ. of Chicago Press, 1969.
- [Ke] O. H. Keller, *Ganze Gremona-Transformationen*. Monats. Math. Physik **47** (1939), no. 1, 299-306.
- [Ko] A. Konijnenberg, *Mathieu Subspaces of Finite Products of Matrix Rings*. Master Thesis, Radboud University Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 2012.
- [Man] O. Manz, *On the Modular Version of Itô-Michler Theorem on Character Degrees for Groups of Odd Order*. Nagoya Math. J. Vol. **105** (1987), 121–128.
- [Mat] O. Mathieu, *Some Conjectures about Invariant Theory and Their Applications*. Algèbre non commutative, groupes quantiques et invariants (Reims, 1995), 263–279, Sémin. Congr., 2, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1997.
- [Mi1] G.O. Michler, *Trace and Defect of a Block Idempotent*, J. Alg. **131** (1990) 496-501.
- [Mi2] G.O. Michler, *Brauer’s Conjectures and the Classification of Finite Simple Groups*. Lect. Notes Math. **1178**, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [N1] G. Navarro, *Characters and Blocks of Finite Groups*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [N2] G. Navarro, *Variations on the Itô-Michler Theorem on Character Degrees*. Rocky Mountain J. Math. V. **46**, No. 4 (2016), 1363-1377.
- [Pa] D.S. Passman, *The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings*, Pure and Applied mathematics, A Wiley-Interscience publication. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. 1977.
- [Pi] R. S. Pierce, *Associative Algebras*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 88. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [PD] B.M. Puttaswamaiah and J.D. Dixon, *Modular representations of finite groups*. Pure and Applied mathematics, Academic Press Inc., 1977.
- [R] G. R. Robinson, *On finite groups with all simple modules of low dimension in characteristic  $p$* . arXiv:2009.10602 [math.GR].
- [Za] A. E. Zalesskii, *On a Problem of Kaplansky*. Soviet Math. **13** (1972), 449-452.
- [Z1] W. Zhao, *Images of Commuting Differential Operators of Order One with Constant Leading Coefficients*. J. Alg. **324** (2010), no. 2, 231–247. [MR2651354]. See also arXiv:0902.0210 [math.CV].
- [Z2] W. Zhao, *Generalizations of the Image Conjecture and the Mathieu Conjecture*. J. Pure Appl. Alg. **214** (2010), 1200-1216. See also arXiv:0902.0212 [math.CV].
- [Z3] W. Zhao, *Mathieu Subspaces of Associative Algebras*. J. Alg. **350** (2012), no.2, 245-272. See also arXiv:1005.4260 [math.RA].
- [ZW] W. Zhao and R. Willems, *Analogue of the Duistermaat-Van Der Kallen Theorem for Group Algebras*. Cent. Eur. J. Math. **10**(3) (2012), 974-986.

W. ZHAO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ILLINOIS STATE UNIVERSITY, NORMAL, IL 61761. *Email*: WZHAO@ILSTU.EDU

D. YAN, MOE-LCSM, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA 410081, CHINA. *Email*: YAN-DAN-HI@163.COM