

**QUANTITATIVE BOUNDS IN THE CENTRAL LIMIT  
THEOREM FOR  $m$ -DEPENDENT RANDOM VARIABLES**

SVANTE JANSON, LUCA PRATELLI, AND PIETRO RIGO

ABSTRACT. For each  $n \geq 1$ , let  $X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,N_n}$  be real random variables and  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} X_{n,i}$ . Let  $m_n \geq 1$  be an integer. Suppose  $(X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,N_n})$  is  $m_n$ -dependent,  $E(X_{ni}) = 0$ ,  $E(X_{ni}^2) < \infty$  and  $\sigma_n^2 := E(S_n^2) > 0$  for all  $n$  and  $i$ . Then,

$$d_W\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma_n}, Z\right) \leq 30 \{c^{1/3} + 12U_n(c/2)^{1/2}\} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1 \text{ and } c > 0,$$

where  $d_W$  is Wasserstein distance,  $Z$  a standard normal random variable and

$$U_n(c) = \frac{m_n}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} E\left[X_{n,i}^2 \mathbf{1}\{|X_{n,i}| > c\sigma_n/m_n\}\right].$$

Among other things, this estimate of  $d_W(S_n/\sigma_n, Z)$  yields a similar estimate of  $d_{TV}(S_n/\sigma_n, Z)$  where  $d_{TV}$  is total variation distance.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Central limit theorems (CLTs) for  $m$ -dependent random variables have a long history. Pioneering results, for a fixed  $m$ , were given by Hoeffding and Robbins [11] and Diananda [7] (for  $m$ -dependent sequences), and Orey [12] (more generally, and also for triangular arrays). These results were then extended to the case of increasing  $m = m_n$ ; see e.g. Bergström [1], Berk [2], Rio [15], Romano and Wolf [17], and Utev [18], [19].

Obviously, CLTs for  $m$ -dependent random variables are often corollaries of more general results obtained under mixing conditions. A number of CLTs under mixing conditions are actually available. Without any claim of being exhaustive, we mention [3], [6], [13], [15], [18], [19] and references therein. However, mixing conditions are not directly related to our purposes (as stated below) and they will not be discussed further.

This paper deals with an  $(m_n)$ -dependent array of random variables, where  $(m_n)$  is any sequence of integers, and provides an upper bound for the Wasserstein distance between the standard normal law and the distribution of the normalized partial sums. A related bound for the total variation distance is obtained as well. To be more precise, we need some notation.

---

*Date:* 11 August, 2022.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60F05.

*Key words and phrases.* Central limit theorem; Lindeberg condition;  $m$ -dependent random variables; Quantitative bound; Total variation distance; Wasserstein distance.

For each  $n \geq 1$ , let  $1 \leq m_n \leq N_n$  be integers,  $(X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,N_n})$  a collection of real random variables, and

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} X_{n,i}.$$

Suppose

$$(1) \quad (X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,N_n}) \text{ is } m_n\text{-dependent for every } n,$$

$$(2) \quad E(X_{ni}) = 0, \quad E(X_{ni}^2) < \infty, \quad \sigma_n^2 := E(S_n^2) > 0 \quad \text{for all } n \text{ and } i,$$

and define

$$U_n(c) = \frac{m_n}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} E \left[ X_{n,i}^2 1_{\{|X_{n,i}| > c \sigma_n / m_n\}} \right] \quad \text{for all } c > 0.$$

Our main result (Theorem 4) is that

$$(3) \quad d_W \left( \frac{S_n}{\sigma_n}, Z \right) \leq 30 \{c^{1/3} + 12 U_n(c/2)^{1/2}\} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1 \text{ and } c > 0,$$

where  $d_W$  is Wasserstein distance and  $Z$  a standard normal random variable.

Inequality (3) provides a quantitative estimate of  $d_W(S_n/\sigma_n, Z)$ . The connections between (3) and other analogous results are discussed in Remark 11 and Section 4. To our knowledge, however, no similar estimate of  $d_W(S_n/\sigma_n, Z)$  is available under conditions (1)–(2) only. In addition, inequality (3) implies the following useful result:

**Theorem 1** (Utev [18, 19]).  $S_n/\sigma_n \xrightarrow{\text{dist}} Z$  provided conditions (1)–(2) hold and  $U_n(c) \rightarrow 0$  for every  $c > 0$ .

Based on inequality (3), we also obtain quantitative bounds for  $d_K(S_n/\sigma_n, Z)$  and  $d_{TV}(S_n/\sigma_n, Z)$ , where  $d_K$  and  $d_{TV}$  are Kolmogorov distance and total variation distance, respectively. As to  $d_K$ , it suffices to recall that

$$d_K \left( \frac{S_n}{\sigma_n}, Z \right) \leq 2 \sqrt{d_W \left( \frac{S_n}{\sigma_n}, Z \right)};$$

see Lemma 2. To estimate  $d_{TV}$ , define

$$l_n = 2 \int_0^\infty t |\phi_n(t)| dt$$

where  $\phi_n$  is the characteristic function of  $S_n/\sigma_n$ . By a result in [14] (see Theorem 3 below),

$$d_{TV} \left( \frac{S_n}{\sigma_n}, Z \right) \leq 2 d_W \left( \frac{S_n}{\sigma_n}, Z \right)^{1/2} + l_n^{2/3} d_W \left( \frac{S_n}{\sigma_n}, Z \right)^{1/3}.$$

Hence,  $d_{TV}(S_n/\sigma_n, Z)$  can be upper bounded via inequality (3). For instance, in addition to (1)–(2), suppose  $X_{ni} \in L_\infty$  for all  $n$  and  $i$  and define

$$c_n = \frac{2 m_n}{\sigma_n} \max_i \|X_{ni}\|_\infty.$$

On noting that  $U_n(c_n/2) = 0$ , one obtains

$$d_{TV} \left( \frac{S_n}{\sigma_n}, Z \right) \leq \sqrt{120} c_n^{1/6} + 30^{1/3} l_n^{2/3} c_n^{1/9}.$$

The rest of this paper is organized into three sections. Section 2 just recalls some definitions and known results, Section 3 is devoted to proving inequality (3), while Section 4 investigates  $d_{TV}(S_n/\sigma_n, Z)$  and the convergence rate provided by (3).

The numerical constants in our results are obviously not best possible; we have not tried to optimize them. More important are the powers,  $c^{1/3}$  and  $U_n(c/2)^{1/2}$  in (3) and similar powers in other results; we do not believe that these are optimal. This is discussed in Section 4. How far (3) can be improved, however, is essentially an open problem.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The same notation as in Section 1 is adopted in the sequel. It is implicitly assumed that, for each  $n \geq 1$ , the variables  $(X_{ni} : 1 \leq i \leq N_n)$  are defined on the same probability space (which may depend on  $n$ ).

Let  $k \geq 0$  be an integer. A (finite or infinite) sequence  $(Y_i)$  of random variables is  $k$ -dependent if  $(Y_i : i \leq j)$  is independent of  $(Y_i : i > j + k)$  for every  $j$ . In particular, 0-dependent is the same as independent. Given a sequence  $(k_n)$  of non-negative integers, an array  $(Y_{ni} : n \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq N_n)$  is said to be  $(k_n)$ -dependent if  $(Y_{ni} : 1 \leq i \leq N_n)$  is  $k_n$ -dependent for every  $n$ .

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be real random variables. Three well known distances between their probability distributions are Wasserstein's, Kolmogorov's and total variation. Kolmogorov distance and total variation distance are, respectively,

$$d_K(X, Y) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |P(X \leq t) - P(Y \leq t)| \quad \text{and}$$

$$d_{TV}(X, Y) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} |P(X \in A) - P(Y \in A)|.$$

Under the assumption  $E|X| + E|Y| < \infty$ , Wasserstein distance is

$$d_W(X, Y) = \inf_{U \sim X, V \sim Y} E|U - V|$$

where inf is over the real random variables  $U$  and  $V$ , defined on the same probability space, such that  $U \sim X$  and  $V \sim Y$ . Equivalently,

$$d_W(X, Y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |P(X \leq t) - P(Y \leq t)| dt = \sup_f |Ef(X) - Ef(Y)|$$

where sup is over the 1-Lipschitz functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The next lemma is certainly known, but we give a proof since we do not know of any reference for the first claims.

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose  $EX^2 \leq 1$ ,  $EY^2 \leq 1$  and  $EY = 0$ . Then,*

$$d_W(X, Y) \leq \sqrt{2},$$

$$d_W(X, Y) \leq 4\sqrt{d_K(X, Y)}.$$

*If  $Y \sim N(0, 1)$ , we also have*

$$d_K(X, Y) \leq 2\sqrt{d_W(X, Y)}.$$

*Proof.* Take  $U$  independent of  $V$  with  $U \sim X$  and  $V \sim Y$ . Then,

$$d_W(X, Y) \leq E|U - V| \leq \{E((U - V)^2)\}^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{2}.$$

Moreover, for each  $c > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d_W(X, Y) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |P(X \leq t) - P(Y \leq t)| dt \\ &\leq 2c d_K(X, Y) + \int_c^{\infty} |P(X > t) - P(Y > t)| dt \\ &\quad + \int_c^{\infty} |P(-X > t) - P(-Y > t)| dt \\ &\leq 2c d_K(X, Y) + \int_c^{\infty} \{P(|X| > t) + P(|Y| > t)\} dt \\ &\leq 2c d_K(X, Y) + \int_c^{\infty} \frac{2}{t^2} dt = 2c d_K(X, Y) + \frac{2}{c}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, letting  $c = d_K(X, Y)^{-1/2}$ , one obtains  $d_W(X, Y) \leq 4\sqrt{d_K(X, Y)}$ .

Finally, if  $Y \sim N(0, 1)$ , it is well known that  $d_K(X, Y) \leq 2\sqrt{d_W(X, Y)}$ ; see e.g. [4, Theorem 3.3].  $\square$

Finally, under some conditions,  $d_{TV}$  can be estimated through  $d_W$ . We report a result which allows this; in our setting we simply take  $V = 1$  below.

**Theorem 3** (A version of [14, Theorem 1]). *Let  $X_n, V, Z$  be real random variables, and suppose that  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ ,  $V > 0$ ,  $EV^2 = EX_n^2 = 1$  for all  $n$ , and  $V$  is independent of  $Z$ . Let  $\phi_n$  be the characteristic function of  $X_n$ , and*

$$l_n = 2 \int_0^{\infty} t |\phi_n(t)| dt.$$

Then,

$$d_{TV}(X_n, VZ) \leq \{1 + E(1/V)\} d_W(X_n, VZ)^{1/2} + l_n^{2/3} d_W(X_n, VZ)^{1/3}$$

for each  $n$ .

*Proof.* This is essentially a special case of [14, Theorem 1], with  $\beta = 2$  and the constant  $k$  made explicit. Also, the assumption  $d_W(X_n, VZ) \rightarrow 0$  in [14, Theorem 1] is not needed; we use instead  $d_W(X_n, VZ) \leq \sqrt{2}$  from Lemma 2. Using this and  $EX_n^2 = 1$ , the various constants appearing in the proof can be explicitly evaluated. In fact, improving the argument in [14] slightly by using  $P(|X_n| > t) \leq EX_n^2/t^2 = t^{-2}$ , and as just said using  $d_W(X_n, VZ) \leq \sqrt{2}$ , we can take  $k^* = 5 + 4\sqrt{2}$  in the proof. After simple calculations, this implies that the constant  $k$  in [14] can be taken as

$$k = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot 2^{1/3} (5 + 4\sqrt{2})^{1/3} \pi^{-2/3} < 1.$$

$\square$

## 3. AN UPPER BOUND FOR WASSERSTEIN DISTANCE

As noted in Section 1, our main result is:

**Theorem 4.** *Under conditions (1)–(2),*

$$d_W\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma_n}, Z\right) \leq 30 \{c^{1/3} + 12 U_n(c/2)^{1/2}\}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $c > 0$ , where  $Z$  denotes a standard normal random variable.

In turn, Theorem 4 follows from the following result, which is a sharper version of the special case  $m_n = 1$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  be real random variables and  $S = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$ . Suppose  $(X_1, \dots, X_N)$  is 1-dependent and*

$$E(X_i) = 0, \quad E(X_i^2) < \infty \text{ for all } i \text{ and } \sigma^2 := E(S^2) > 0.$$

Then,

$$d_W\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}, Z\right) \leq 30 \{c^{1/3} + 6 L(c)^{1/2}\} \quad \text{for all } c > 0,$$

where  $Z$  is a standard normal random variable and

$$L(c) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^N E\left[X_i^2 1\{|X_i| > c\sigma\}\right].$$

To deduce Theorem 4 from Theorem 5, define  $M_n = \lceil N_n/m_n \rceil$ ,  $X_{n,i} = 0$  for  $i > N_n$ , and

$$Y_{n,i} = \sum_{j=(i-1)m_n+1}^{im_n} X_{n,j} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, M_n.$$

Since  $(Y_{n,1}, \dots, Y_{n,M_n})$  is 1-dependent and  $\sum_i Y_{n,i} = \sum_i X_{n,i} = S_n$ , Theorem 5 implies

$$(4) \quad d_W\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma_n}, Z\right) \leq 30 \{c^{1/3} + 6 L_n(c)^{1/2}\}$$

where

$$L_n(c) = \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{M_n} E\left[Y_{n,i}^2 1\{|Y_{n,i}| > c\sigma_n\}\right].$$

Therefore, to obtain Theorem 4, it suffices to note the following inequality:

**Lemma 6.** *With notations as above, for every  $c > 0$ ,*

$$L_n(2c) \leq 4 U_n(c).$$

In the rest of this section, we prove Lemma 6 and Theorem 5. We also obtain a (very small) improvement of Utev's Theorem 1.

### 3.1. Proof of Lemma 6 and Utev's theorem.

*Proof of Lemma 6.* Fix  $c > 0$  and define

$$V_{n,i} = \sum_{j=(i-1)m_n+1}^{im_n} X_{n,j} 1\{|X_{n,j}| > c\sigma_n/m_n\}.$$

Since  $|Y_{n,i}| \leq |V_{n,i}| + c\sigma_n$ , one obtains

$$|Y_{n,i}| 1\{|Y_{n,i}| > 2c\sigma_n\} \leq (|V_{n,i}| + c\sigma_n) 1\{|V_{n,i}| > c\sigma_n\} \leq 2|V_{n,i}|.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n^2 L_n(2c) &= \sum_{i=1}^{M_n} E[Y_{n,i}^2 1\{|Y_{n,i}| > 2c\sigma_n\}] \leq 4 \sum_{i=1}^{M_n} E(V_{n,i}^2) \\ &\leq 4m_n \sum_{i=1}^{M_n} \sum_{j=(i-1)m_n+1}^{im_n} E[X_{n,j}^2 1\{|X_{n,j}| > c\sigma_n/m_n\}] \\ &= 4m_n \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} E[X_{n,i}^2 1\{|X_{n,i}| > c\sigma_n/m_n\}] = 4\sigma_n^2 U_n(c). \end{aligned}$$

□

We also note that, because of (4), Theorem 5 implies:

**Corollary 7.**  $S_n/\sigma_n \xrightarrow{\text{dist}} Z$  if conditions (1)–(2) hold and  $L_n(c) \rightarrow 0$  for every  $c > 0$ .

Corollary 7 slightly improves Theorem 1. In fact,  $U_n(c) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $c > 0$  implies  $L_n(c) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $c > 0$ , because of Lemma 6, but the converse is not true.

**Example 8.** ( $L_n(c) \rightarrow 0$  does not imply  $U_n(c) \rightarrow 0$ ). Let  $(V_n : n \geq 1)$  be an i.i.d. sequence of real random variables such that  $V_1$  is absolutely continuous with density  $f(x) = (3/2)x^{-4} 1_{[1,\infty)}(|x|)$ . Let  $m_n$  and  $t_n$  be positive integers such that  $m_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Define  $N_n = m_n(t_n + 1)$  and

$$X_{n,i} = V_i \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq m_n t_n \quad \text{and} \quad X_{n,i} = V_{m_n t_n + 1} \text{ if } m_n t_n < i \leq m_n(t_n + 1).$$

Define also

$$T_n = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m_n} V_j}{\sqrt{m_n}}.$$

Then,  $EV_1^2 = 3$ ,  $\sigma_n^2 = 3(m_n t_n + m_n^2)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(c) &= \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{M_n} E[Y_{n,i}^2 1\{|Y_{n,i}| > c\sigma_n\}] \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{t_n} E[Y_{n,i}^2 1\{|Y_{n,i}| > c\sigma_n\}] + \frac{3m_n^2}{\sigma_n^2} \\ &= \frac{m_n t_n}{\sigma_n^2} E[T_n^2 1\{|T_n| > c\sigma_n/\sqrt{m_n}\}] + \frac{3m_n^2}{\sigma_n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $m_n = o(t_n)$ , then  $m_n^2/\sigma_n^2 \rightarrow 0$ ,  $m_n t_n/\sigma_n^2 \rightarrow 1/3$  and  $\sigma_n/\sqrt{m_n} \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, the sequence  $(T_n^2)$  is uniformly integrable (since  $T_n \xrightarrow{dist} N(0, 3)$  with (trivial) convergence of second moments). Hence, if  $m_n = o(t_n)$ , one obtains, for every  $c > 0$ ,

$$\limsup_n L_n(c) \leq \frac{1}{3} \limsup_n E[T_n^2 1\{|T_n| > c \sigma_n/\sqrt{m_n}\}] = 0.$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} U_n(c) &= \frac{m_n}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} E[X_{n,i}^2 1\{|X_{n,i}| > c \sigma_n/m_n\}] = \frac{m_n N_n}{\sigma_n^2} E[V_1^2 1\{|V_1| > c \sigma_n/m_n\}] \\ &= \frac{3 m_n N_n}{\sigma_n^2} \int_{c \sigma_n/m_n}^{\infty} x^{-2} dx = \frac{3 N_n}{c \sigma_n^2} \frac{m_n^2}{\sigma_n} \geq \frac{3 t_n m_n^3}{c (6 m_n t_n)^{3/2}} \end{aligned}$$

for each  $n$  such that  $c \sigma_n/m_n \geq 1$  and  $m_n \leq t_n$ . Therefore,  $L_n(c) \rightarrow 0$  and  $U_n(c) \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $c > 0$  whenever  $m_n = o(t_n)$  and  $t_n = o(m_n^3)$ . This happens, for instance, if  $m_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $t_n = m_n^2$ .

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 5.** Our proof of Theorem 5 requires three lemmas. A result by Röllin [16] plays a crucial role in one of them (Lemma 10).

In this subsection,  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  are real random variables and  $S = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$ . We assume that  $(X_1, \dots, X_N)$  is 1-dependent and

$$E(X_i) = 0, \quad E(X_i^2) < \infty \text{ for all } i \text{ and } \sigma^2 := E(S^2) > 0.$$

Moreover,  $Z$  is a standard normal random variable *independent of*  $(X_1, \dots, X_N)$ .

For each  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , define

$$Y_i = X_i - E(X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) + E(X_{i+1} | \mathcal{F}_i)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is the trivial  $\sigma$ -field,  $\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_i)$  and  $X_{N+1} = 0$ . Then,

$$E(Y_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) = 0 \text{ for all } i \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^N Y_i = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i = S \text{ a.s.}$$

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $\gamma > 0$  be a constant and  $V^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N E(Y_i^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1})$ . Then,*

$$E\left\{\left(\frac{V^2}{\sigma^2} - 1\right)^2\right\} \leq 16 \gamma^2$$

*provided  $\max_i |X_i| \leq \sigma \gamma/3$  a.s.*

*Proof.* First note that

$$\sigma^2 = E(S^2) = E\left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^N Y_i\right)^2\right\} = \sum_{i=1}^N E(Y_i^2) = E\left(\sum_{i=1}^N Y_i^2\right).$$

Moreover, since  $\max_i |Y_i| \leq \gamma \sigma$  a.s., one obtains

$$\sum_{i=1}^N E(Y_i^4) \leq \gamma^2 \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^N E(Y_i^2) = \gamma^2 \sigma^4.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left\{\left(\frac{V^2}{\sigma^2} - 1\right)^2\right\} &\leq \frac{2}{\sigma^4} \left\{ E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^N (E(Y_i^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) - Y_i^2)\right)^2\right] + \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N Y_i^2\right) \right\} \\
&= \frac{2}{\sigma^4} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N E\left(Y_i^4 - E(Y_i^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1})^2\right) + \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Var}(Y_i^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \text{Cov}(Y_i^2, Y_j^2) \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{4}{\sigma^4} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N E(Y_i^4) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \text{Cov}(Y_i^2, Y_j^2) \right\} \\
&\leq 4\gamma^2 + \frac{4}{\sigma^4} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \text{Cov}(Y_i^2, Y_j^2).
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the covariance part, define

$$Q_i = Y_i^2 - E(Y_i^2) \quad \text{and} \quad T_i = \sum_{k=1}^i Y_k = \sum_{k=1}^i X_k + E(X_{i+1} | \mathcal{F}_i).$$

For each fixed  $1 \leq i < N$ , since  $(T_1, \dots, T_N)$  is a martingale,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j>i} \text{Cov}(Y_i^2, Y_j^2) &= \sum_{j>i} E(Q_i Y_j^2) = E\left\{Q_i \sum_{j>i} Y_j^2\right\} = E\left\{Q_i (T_N - T_i)^2\right\} \\
&= E\left\{Q_i (T_N - T_{i+1})^2\right\} + E(Q_i Y_{i+1}^2) \\
&\leq E\left\{Q_i (T_N - T_{i+1})^2\right\} + E(Y_i^4) + E(Y_{i+1}^4).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, since  $(X_1, \dots, X_N)$  is 1-dependent,  $EQ_i = 0$  and  $EX_j = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left\{Q_i (T_N - T_{i+1})^2\right\} &= E\left\{Q_i \left(\sum_{k=i+2}^N X_k - E(X_{i+2} | \mathcal{F}_{i+1})\right)^2\right\} \\
&= E\left\{Q_i \left(E(X_{i+2} | \mathcal{F}_{i+1})^2 - 2X_{i+2} E(X_{i+2} | \mathcal{F}_{i+1})\right)\right\} \\
&= -E\left\{Q_i E(X_{i+2} | \mathcal{F}_{i+1})^2\right\} \\
&\leq E(Y_i^2) E\{E(X_{i+2} | \mathcal{F}_{i+1})^2\} \leq \gamma^2 \sigma^2 E(Y_i^2).
\end{aligned}$$

To sum up,

$$E\left\{\left(\frac{V^2}{\sigma^2} - 1\right)^2\right\} \leq 4\gamma^2 + \frac{4}{\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(E(Y_i^4) + E(Y_{i+1}^4) + \gamma^2 \sigma^2 E(Y_i^2)\right) \leq 16\gamma^2.$$

□

**Lemma 10.** *If  $\max_i |X_i| \leq \sigma \gamma / 3$  a.s., then*

$$d_W\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}, Z\right) \leq 16\gamma^{1/3}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2,  $d_W(S/\sigma, Z) \leq \sqrt{2}$ . Hence, it can be assumed that  $\gamma \leq 1$ .



Define

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &= \max\{m : 1 \leq m \leq N, \sum_{k=1}^m E(Y_k^2/\sigma^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \leq 1\}, \\ J_i &= 1\{\tau \geq i\} \frac{Y_i}{\sigma} + 1\{\tau = i-1\} \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} E(Y_k^2/\sigma^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1})\right)^{1/2} Z \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N, \\ J_{N+1} &= 1\{\tau = N\} \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^N E(Y_k^2/\sigma^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1})\right)^{1/2} Z.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tau$  is a stopping time,  $Z$  is independent of  $(X_1, \dots, X_N)$ , and  $E(Y_i \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) = 0$ , one obtains

$$E(J_i \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) = 0 \text{ for all } i \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} E(J_k^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) = 1 \text{ a.s.}$$

Therefore, for each  $a > 0$ , a result by Röllin [16, Theorem 2.1] implies

$$d_W\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N+1} J_i, Z\right) \leq 2a + \frac{3}{a^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} E|J_i|^3.$$

To estimate  $E|J_i|^3$  for  $i \leq N$ , note that  $E|Z|^3 \leq 2$  and  $(1/\sigma) \max_i |Y_i| \leq \gamma$  a.s. Therefore, for  $1 \leq i \leq N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}E|J_i|^3 &= E\left\{1\{\tau \geq i\} \frac{|Y_i|^3}{\sigma^3}\right\} + E\left\{1\{\tau = i-1\} \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} E(Y_k^2/\sigma^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1})\right)^{3/2} |Z|^3\right\} \\ &\leq \gamma E\left\{1\{\tau \geq i\} \frac{Y_i^2}{\sigma^2}\right\} \\ &\quad + E\left\{1\{\tau = i-1\} \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} E(Y_k^2/\sigma^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1})\right)^{1/2}\right\} E|Z|^3 \\ &\leq \gamma E\left\{1\{\tau \geq i\} \frac{Y_i^2}{\sigma^2}\right\} \\ &\quad + 2 E\left\{1\{\tau = i-1\} \left(\sum_{k=1}^i E(Y_k^2/\sigma^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} E(Y_k^2/\sigma^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1})\right)^{1/2}\right\} \\ &= \gamma E\left\{1\{\tau \geq i\} \frac{Y_i^2}{\sigma^2}\right\} + 2 E\left\{1\{\tau = i-1\} E(Y_i^2/\sigma^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1})^{1/2}\right\} \\ &\leq \gamma E\left\{1\{\tau \geq i\} \frac{Y_i^2}{\sigma^2}\right\} + 2\gamma P(\tau = i-1).\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N E|J_i|^3 \leq \gamma E\left[\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Y_i^2}{\sigma^2}\right] + 2\gamma = 3\gamma.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
E|J_{N+1}|^3 &= E\left\{1\{\tau = N\} \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^N E(Y_k^2/\sigma^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1})\right)^{3/2}\right\} E|Z|^3 \\
&\leq 2 E\left\{1\{\tau = N\} \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^N E(Y_k^2/\sigma^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1})\right)\right\} \\
&\leq 2 E\left\{\left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^N E(Y_k^2/\sigma^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1})\right)^2\right\}^{1/2} \\
&= 2 E\left\{\left(1 - \frac{V^2}{\sigma^2}\right)^2\right\}^{1/2} \leq 8\gamma
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to Lemma 9. It follows that

$$d_W\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N+1} J_i, Z\right) \leq 2a + \frac{3}{a^2}(3\gamma + 8\gamma) = 2a + \frac{33\gamma}{a^2}.$$

Next, we estimate  $d_W(S/\sigma, \sum_{i=1}^N J_i)$ . To this end, we let

$$W_i = \sum_{k=1}^i E(Y_k^2/\sigma^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1})$$

and we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{S}{\sigma} - \sum_{i=1}^N J_i &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{Y_i}{\sigma} - J_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^N 1\{\tau < i\} \left(\frac{Y_i}{\sigma} - J_i\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} 1\{\tau = i\} \left\{ \sum_{k=i+1}^N \frac{Y_k}{\sigma} - (1 - W_i)^{1/2} Z \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, recalling the definition of  $\tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_W\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}, \sum_{i=1}^N J_i\right)^2 &\leq \left(E\left|\frac{S}{\sigma} - \sum_{i=1}^N J_i\right|\right)^2 \leq E\left\{\left(\frac{S}{\sigma} - \sum_{i=1}^N J_i\right)^2\right\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} E\left\{1\{\tau = i\} \left\{ \sum_{k=i+1}^N \frac{Y_k}{\sigma} - (1 - W_i)^{1/2} Z \right\}^2\right\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} E\left\{1\{\tau = i\} \left\{ \sum_{k=i+1}^N E(Y_k^2/\sigma^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) + 1 - W_i \right\}\right\} \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} E\left\{1\{\tau = i\} \left\{ \sum_{k=i+2}^N E(Y_k^2/\sigma^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) + 2E(Y_{i+1}^2/\sigma^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_i) \right\}\right\} \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} E\left\{1\{\tau = i\} \left\{ V^2/\sigma^2 - 1 + 2\gamma^2 \right\}\right\} \leq E|V^2/\sigma^2 - 1| + 2\gamma^2 \\
&\leq 4\gamma + 2\gamma^2
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is because of Lemma 9. Since we assumed  $\gamma \leq 1$ , we obtain

$$d_W\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}, \sum_{i=1}^N J_i\right) \leq \sqrt{6\gamma}.$$

Finally, using Lemma 9 again, one obtains

$$d_W\left(\sum_{i=1}^N J_i, \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} J_i\right) \leq E|J_{N+1}| \leq E\left\{\left|\frac{V^2}{\sigma^2} - 1\right|^{1/2}\right\} \leq E\left\{\left(\frac{V^2}{\sigma^2} - 1\right)^2\right\}^{1/4} \leq 2\sqrt{\gamma}.$$

Collecting all these facts together,

$$\begin{aligned} d_W\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}, Z\right) &\leq d_W\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}, \sum_{i=1}^N J_i\right) + d_W\left(\sum_{i=1}^N J_i, \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} J_i\right) + d_W\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N+1} J_i, Z\right) \\ &\leq \sqrt{6\gamma} + 2\sqrt{\gamma} + 2a + \frac{33\gamma}{a^2} \leq 5\sqrt{\gamma} + 2a + \frac{33\gamma}{a^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $a = 4\gamma^{1/3}$ , the above inequality yields, using again  $\gamma \leq 1$ ,

$$d_W\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}, Z\right) \leq 5\sqrt{\gamma} + \left(8 + \frac{33}{16}\right)\gamma^{1/3} \leq 16\gamma^{1/3}.$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 11.** If we do not care about the value of the constant in the estimate, the proof of Lemma 10 could be shortened by exploiting a result by Fan and Ma [8]; this result, however, does not provide explicit values of the majorizing constants. We also note that, under the conditions of Lemma 10, Heyde–Brown’s inequality [10] yields

$$d_K\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}, Z\right) \leq b \left\{ E\left(\left(\frac{V^2}{\sigma^2} - 1\right)^2\right) + \frac{1}{\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^N EY_i^4 \right\}^{1/5}$$

for some constant  $b$  independent of  $N$ . By Lemmas 2 and 9, this implies

$$d_W\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}, Z\right) \leq 4 \sqrt{d_K\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}, Z\right)} \leq 4\sqrt{b} \left\{ 16\gamma^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^N EY_i^2 \right\}^{1/10} = 4\sqrt{b} 17^{1/10} \gamma^{1/5}.$$

Hence, in this case, Lemma 10 works better than Heyde–Brown’s inequality to estimate  $d_W(S/\sigma, Z)$ .

Recall  $L(c)$  defined in Theorem 5.

**Lemma 12.** *Letting  $\sigma_c^2 = \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{X_i}{\sigma} 1\{|X_i| \leq c\sigma\}\right)$ , we have*

$$|\sigma_c - 1| \leq |\sigma_c^2 - 1| \leq 13L(c) \quad \text{for all } c > 0.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $c > 0$  and define

$$A_i = \{|X_i| > c\sigma\}, \quad T_i = \frac{X_i}{\sigma} 1_{A_i} - E\left(\frac{X_i}{\sigma} 1_{A_i}\right), \quad V_i = \frac{X_i}{\sigma} 1_{A_i^c} - E\left(\frac{X_i}{\sigma} 1_{A_i^c}\right).$$

On noting that  $\sigma_c^2 = \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N V_i\right)$ , one obtains

$$1 = \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N (T_i + V_i)\right) = \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N T_i\right) + \sigma_c^2 + 2\text{Cov}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N T_i, \sum_{i=1}^N V_i\right).$$

Since  $(X_1, \dots, X_N)$  is 1-dependent, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_c^2 - 1| &\leq \text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N T_i \right) + 2 \left| \text{Cov} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N T_i, \sum_{i=1}^N V_i \right) \right| \\ &= \text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N T_i \right) + 2 \left| \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Cov} (T_i, V_i) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \text{Cov} (T_i, V_{i+1}) + \sum_{i=2}^N \text{Cov} (T_i, V_{i-1}) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad \text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N T_i \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Var}(T_i) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \text{Cov}(T_i, T_{i+1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Var}(T_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( \text{Var}(T_i) + \text{Var}(T_{i+1}) \right) \leq 3L(c). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\text{Cov}(T_i, V_i) = -E \left( \frac{X_i}{\sigma} 1_{A_i} \right) E \left( \frac{X_i}{\sigma} 1_{A_i^c} \right) = E \left( \frac{X_i}{\sigma} 1_{A_i} \right)^2 \leq E \left( \frac{X_i^2}{\sigma^2} 1_{A_i} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \text{Cov}(T_i, V_{i-1}) \right| &\leq E \left( \frac{|X_i X_{i-1}|}{\sigma^2} 1_{A_i} 1_{A_{i-1}^c} \right) + E \left( \frac{|X_i|}{\sigma} 1_{A_i} \right) E \left( \frac{|X_{i-1}|}{\sigma} 1_{A_{i-1}^c} \right) \\ &\leq 2c E \left( \frac{|X_i|}{\sigma} 1_{A_i} \right) \leq 2 E \left( \frac{X_i^2}{\sigma^2} 1_{A_i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is because

$$\frac{c|X_i|}{\sigma} 1_{A_i} \leq \frac{|X_i^2|}{\sigma^2} 1_{A_i}.$$

By the same argument,  $\left| \text{Cov}(T_i, V_{i+1}) \right| \leq 2\sigma^{-2} E(X_i^2 1_{A_i})$ . Collecting all these facts together, one finally obtains

$$|\sigma_c^2 - 1| \leq 3L(c) + 10 \sum_{i=1}^N E \left( \frac{X_i^2}{\sigma^2} 1_{A_i} \right) = 13L(c).$$

This completes the proof, since obviously  $|\sigma_c - 1| \leq |\sigma_c^2 - 1|$ .  $\square$

Having proved the previous lemmas, we are now ready to attack Theorem 5.

*Proof of Theorem 5.* Fix  $c > 0$ . We have to show that

$$d_W \left( \frac{S}{\sigma}, Z \right) \leq 30 \{c^{1/3} + 6L(c)^{1/2}\}.$$

Since  $d_W(S/\sigma, Z) \leq \sqrt{2}$ , this inequality is trivially true if  $L(c) \geq 1/100$  or if  $c \geq 1$ . Hence, it can be assumed  $L(c) < 1/100$  and  $c < 1$ . Then, Lemma 12 implies  $\sigma_c > 0$ .

Define  $T_i$  and  $V_i$  as in the proof of Lemma 12. Then  $|V_i| \leq 2c$  for every  $i$ , and thus  $(V_1, \dots, V_N)$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 10 with  $\sigma$  replaced by  $\sigma_c$  and  $\gamma = 6c/\sigma_c$ . Hence,

$$d_W\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N V_i}{\sigma_c}, Z\right) \leq 16 (6c/\sigma_c)^{1/3}.$$

Now, recall from (5) that  $\text{Var}(\sum_{i=1}^N T_i) \leq 3L(c)$ . Hence, using Lemma 12 again, and the assumptions  $L(c) < 1$  and  $c < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d_W\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}, Z\right) &\leq d_W\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}, \sum_{i=1}^N V_i\right) + d_W\left(\sum_{i=1}^N V_i, \sigma_c Z\right) + d_W(\sigma_c Z, Z) \\ &\leq E\left|\frac{S}{\sigma} - \sum_{i=1}^N V_i\right| + \sigma_c d_W\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N V_i}{\sigma_c}, Z\right) + |\sigma_c - 1| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N T_i\right) + 16(6c\sigma_c^2)^{1/3} + 13L(c)} \\ &\leq \sqrt{3L(c)} + 16(6c)^{1/3}(1 + 13L(c))^{2/3} + 13L(c) \\ &\leq (\sqrt{3} + 13)L(c)^{1/2} + 16(6c)^{1/3}\left(1 + (13L(c))^{2/3}\right) \\ &\leq 16(6c)^{1/3} + \left(\sqrt{3} + 13 + 16 \cdot 6^{1/3} \cdot (13)^{2/3}\right)L(c)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 30c^{1/3} + 180L(c)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 5.  $\square$

#### 4. TOTAL VARIATION DISTANCE AND RATE OF CONVERGENCE

Theorems 3 and 4 immediately imply the following result.

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $\phi_n$  be the characteristic function of  $S_n/\sigma_n$  and*

$$l_n = 2 \int_0^\infty t |\phi_n(t)| dt.$$

*If conditions (1)–(2) hold, then*

$$d_{TV}\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma_n}, Z\right) \leq \sqrt{120} \left\{c^{1/3} + 12U_n(c/2)^{1/2}\right\}^{1/2} + 30^{1/3} l_n^{2/3} \left\{c^{1/3} + 12U_n(c/2)^{1/2}\right\}^{1/3}$$

*for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $c > 0$ , where  $Z$  is a standard normal random variable.*

*Proof.* First apply Theorem 3, with  $V = 1$  and  $X_n = \frac{S_n}{\sigma_n}$ , and then use Theorem 4.  $\square$

Obviously, Theorem 13 is non-trivial only if  $l_n < \infty$ . In this case, the probability distribution of  $S_n$  is absolutely continuous. An useful special case is when conditions (1)–(2) hold and

$$(6) \quad \max_i |X_{n,i}| \leq \sigma_n \gamma_n \quad \text{a.s. for some constants } \gamma_n.$$

Under (6), since  $U_n(m_n \gamma_n) = 0$ , Theorem 13 yields

$$d_{TV}\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma_n}, Z\right) \leq \sqrt{120} (2m_n \gamma_n)^{1/6} + 30^{1/3} l_n^{2/3} (2m_n \gamma_n)^{1/9}.$$

Sometimes, this inequality allows to obtain a CLT in total variation distance; see Example 16 below.

Finally, we discuss the convergence rate provided by Theorem 4 and we compare it with some existing results.

A first remark is that Theorem 4 is calibrated to the dependence case, and that it is not optimal in the independence case. To see this, it suffices to recall that we assume  $m_n \geq 1$  for all  $n$ . If  $X_{n1}, \dots, X_{nN_n}$  are independent, the best one can do is to let  $m_n = 1$ , but this choice of  $m_n$  is not efficient as is shown by the following example.

**Example 14.** Suppose  $X_{n1}, \dots, X_{nN_n}$  are independent and conditions (2) and (6) hold. Define  $m_n = 1$  for all  $n$ . Then,  $U_n(\gamma_n) = 0$  and Theorem 4 implies  $d_W(S_n/\sigma_n, Z) \leq 30(2\gamma_n)^{1/3}$ . However, the Bikelis nonuniform inequality yields

$$\left|P(S_n/\sigma_n \leq t) - P(Z \leq t)\right| \leq \frac{b}{(1+|t|)^3} \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} E\left\{\frac{|X_{n,i}|^3}{\sigma_n^3}\right\} \leq \frac{b\gamma_n}{(1+|t|)^3}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and some universal constant  $b$ ; see e.g. [5, p. 659]. Hence,

$$d_W\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma_n}, Z\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |P(S_n/\sigma_n \leq t) - P(Z \leq t)| dt \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{b\gamma_n}{(1+|t|)^3} dt = b\gamma_n.$$

Leaving independence aside, a recent result to be mentioned is [6, Corollary 4.3] by Dedecker, Merlevede and Rio. This result applies to sequences of random variables and requires a certain mixing condition (denoted by  $(H_1)$ ) which is automatically true when  $m_n = m$  for all  $n$ . In this case, under conditions (2) and (6), one obtains

$$(7) \quad d_W\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma_n}, Z\right) \leq b\gamma_n \left(1 + c_n \log(1 + c_n \sigma_n^2)\right)$$

where  $b$  and  $c_n$  are suitable constants with  $b$  independent of  $n$ . Among other conditions, the  $c_n$  must satisfy

$$c_n \sigma_n^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} EX_{n,i}^2.$$

Inequality (7) is actually sharp. However, if compared with Theorem 4, it has three drawbacks. First, unlike Theorem 4, it requires condition (6). Secondly, the mixing condition  $(H_1)$  is not easily verified unless  $m_n = m$  for all  $n$ . Thirdly, as seen in the next example, even if (6) holds and  $m_n = m$  for all  $n$ , it may be that

$$\gamma_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{but} \quad \gamma_n c_n \log(1 + c_n \sigma_n^2) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In such situations, Theorem 4 works while inequality (7) does not.

**Example 15.** Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence of numbers in  $(0, 1)$  such that  $\lim_n a_n = 0$ . Let  $(T_i : i \geq 0)$  and  $(V_{n,i} : n \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq n)$  be two independent collections of real random variables. Suppose  $(T_i)$  is i.i.d. with  $P(T_0 = \pm 1) = 1/2$  and  $V_{n,1}, \dots, V_{n,n}$  are i.i.d. with  $V_{n,1}$  uniformly distributed on the set  $(-1, -1 + a_n) \cup (1 - a_n, 1)$ .

Fix a constant  $\alpha \in (0, 1/3)$  and define  $N_n = n$  and

$$X_{n,i} = n^{-1/2}V_{n,i} + n^{-\alpha}(T_i - T_{i-1})$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The array  $(X_{n,i})$  is centered and 1-dependent (namely,  $m_n = 1$  for all  $n$ ). In addition,  $S_n = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n V_{n,i} + n^{-\alpha}(T_n - T_0)$  and

$$\sigma_n^2 = EV_{n,1}^2 + 2n^{-2\alpha}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n EX_{n,i}^2 = EV_{n,1}^2 + 2n^{1-2\alpha}.$$

Since  $\lim_n \sigma_n^2 = \lim_n EV_{n,1}^2 = 1$ , one obtains

$$\max_i \frac{|X_{n,i}|}{\sigma_n} \leq \frac{n^{-1/2} + 2n^{-\alpha}}{\sigma_n} \leq \frac{3n^{-\alpha}}{\sigma_n} < 4n^{-\alpha} \quad \text{for large } n.$$

Hence, for large  $n$ , condition (6) holds with  $\gamma_n = 4n^{-\alpha}$ . Since  $U_n(4n^{-\alpha}) = 0$ , Theorem 4 implies (taking  $c = 8n^{-\alpha}$ )

$$d_W\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma_n}, Z\right) \leq 60n^{-\alpha/3} \quad \text{for large } n.$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} 4n^{-\alpha} c_n \log(1 + c_n \sigma_n^2) &\geq 4n^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n EX_{n,i}^2 \log\left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^n EX_{n,i}^2\right) \\ &\geq 4(1 - 2\alpha) \frac{n^{1-3\alpha}}{\sigma_n^2} \log n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In addition to [6, Corollary 4.3], there are some other estimates of  $d_W(S_n/\sigma_n, Z)$ . Without any claim of exhaustivity, we mention Fan and Ma [8], Röllin [16] and Van Dung, Son and Tien [20] (Röllin's result has been used for proving Lemma 10). There are also a number of estimates of  $d_K(S_n/\sigma_n, Z)$  which, through Lemma 2, can be turned into upper bounds for  $d_W(S_n/\sigma_n, Z)$ ; see [6], [8] and references therein. However, to our knowledge, none of these estimates implies Theorem 4. Typically, they require further conditions (in addition to (1)–(2)) and/or they yield a worse convergence rate; see e.g. Remark 11 and Example 15. This is the current state of the art. Our conjecture is that, under conditions (1)–(2) and possibly (6), the rate of Theorem 4 can be improved. To this end, one possibility could be using an upper bound provided by Haeusler and Joos [9] in the martingale CLT. Whether the rate of Theorem 4 can be improved, however, is currently an *open problem*.

We conclude the paper with a CLT in total variation distance obtained via Theorem 13.

**Example 16.** Let  $(X_{n,i})$  and  $(V_{n,i})$  be as in Example 15. Denote by  $\psi_n$  the characteristic function of  $\sum_{i=1}^n V_{n,i}$ . Then, for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n(t) &= \left( \frac{1}{a_n} \int_{1-a_n}^1 \cos(tx) dx \right)^n \quad \text{and} \\ |\phi_n(t)| &\leq \left| \psi_n[t(n\sigma_n^2)^{-1/2}] \right| = \left| \frac{1}{a_n} \int_{1-a_n}^1 \cos[t(n\sigma_n^2)^{-1/2}x] dx \right|^n. \end{aligned}$$

After some algebra (we omit the explicit calculations) it can be shown that

$$l_n = 2 \int_0^\infty t |\phi_n(t)| dt \leq b a_n^{-2}$$

for some constant  $b$  independent of  $n$ . Recalling that  $m_n = 1$  and  $\gamma_n = 4n^{-\alpha}$  for large  $n$  (see Example 15), Theorem 13 yields (taking again  $c = 2m_n\gamma_n = 8n^{-\alpha}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} d_{TV}\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma_n}, Z\right) &\leq \sqrt{120} (2m_n\gamma_n)^{1/6} + 30^{1/3} l_n^{2/3} (2m_n\gamma_n)^{1/9} \\ &\leq \sqrt{120} 8^{1/6} n^{-\alpha/6} + 30^{1/3} b^{2/3} 8^{1/9} \left(a_n^4 n^{\alpha/3}\right)^{-1/3} \end{aligned}$$

for large  $n$ . Therefore, the probability distribution of  $S_n/\sigma_n$  converges to the standard normal law, in total variation distance, provided  $a_n^4 n^{\alpha/3} \rightarrow \infty$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bergström H. (1970) A comparison method for distribution functions of sums of independent and dependent random variables, (Russian), *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen* 15, 442–468, 750; English transl. *Theor. Probab. Appl.* 15 (1970), 430–457, 727. MR 0283850, MR 0281245
- [2] Berk K.N. (1973) A central limit theorem for  $m$ -dependent random variables with unbounded  $m$ , *Ann. Probab.* 1, 352–354. MR 0350815
- [3] Bradley R.C. (2007) *Introduction to strong mixing conditions*, Vol. 1–3, Kendrick Press, Heber City, UT. MR 2325294–2325296
- [4] Chen L.H.Y., Goldstein L., Shao Q.-M. (2011) *Normal approximation by Stein's method*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin. MR 2732624
- [5] DasGupta A. (2008) *Asymptotic theory of statistics and probability*, Springer, New York.
- [6] Dedecker J., Merlevede F., Rio E. (2021) Rates of convergence in the central limit theorem for martingales in the non stationary setting, *arXiv:2101.06956v1*.
- [7] Diananda P.H. (1955) The central limit theorem for  $m$ -dependent variables, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 51, 92–95. MR 0067396
- [8] Fan X., Ma X. (2020) On the Wasserstein distance for a martingale central limit theorem, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 167, 108892. MR 4138415
- [9] Haeusler E., Joos K. (1988) A nonuniform bound on the rate of convergence in the martingale central limit theorem, *Ann. Probab.* 16, 1699–1720.
- [10] Heyde C.C., Brown B.M. (1970) On the departure from normality of a certain class of martingales, *Ann. Math. Stat.* 41, 2161–2165. MR 0293702
- [11] Hoeffding W., Robbins H. (1948) The central limit theorem for dependent random variables, *Duke Math. J.* 15, 773–780. MR 0026771
- [12] Orey S. (1958) A central limit theorem for  $m$ -dependent random variables, *Duke Math. J.* 25, 543–546. MR 0097841
- [13] Peligrad M. (1996) On the asymptotic normality of sequences of weak dependent random variables, *J. Theoret. Probab.* 9, 703–715. MR 1400595
- [14] Pratelli L., Rigo P. (2018) Convergence in total variation to a mixture of Gaussian laws, *Mathematics* 6, 99.
- [15] Rio E. (1995) About the Lindeberg method for strongly mixing sequences, *ESAIM: Probab. Statist.* 1, 35–61. MR 1382517
- [16] Röllin A. (2018) On quantitative bounds in the mean martingale central limit theorem, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 138, 171–176. MR 3788734
- [17] Romano J.P., Wolf M. (2000) A more general central limit theorem for  $m$ -dependent random variables with unbounded  $m$ , *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 47, 115–124. MR 1747098
- [18] Utev S.A. (1987) On the central limit theorem for  $\varphi$ -mixing arrays of random variables, *Theor. Probab. Appl.* 35, 131–139. MR 1050059
- [19] Utev S.A. (1990) Central limit theorem for dependent random variables, *Probability theory and mathematical statistics, Vol. II* (Vilnius, 1989), 519–528, Mokslas, Vilnius. MR 1153906
- [20] Van Dung L., Son T.C., Tien N.D. (2014)  $L_1$ -Bounds for some martingale central limit theorems, *Lith. Math. J.* 54, 48–60. MR 3189136



SVANTE JANSON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UPPSALA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 480, SE-751 06 UPPSALA, SWEDEN, URL: [HTTP://WWW.MATH.UU.SE/SVANTE-JANSON](http://www.math.uu.se/svante-janson)  
*Email address: [svante.janson@math.uu.se](mailto:svante.janson@math.uu.se)*

LUCA PRATELLI, ACCADEMIA NAVALE, VIALE ITALIA 72, 57100 LIVORNO, ITALY  
*Email address: [prate1@mail.dm.unipi.it](mailto:prate1@mail.dm.unipi.it)*

PIETRO RIGO, DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE STATISTICHE "P. FORTUNATI", UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA, VIA DELLE BELLE ARTI 41, 40126 BOLOGNA, ITALY  
*Email address: [pietro.rigo@unibo.it](mailto:pietro.rigo@unibo.it)*