

SUBDIVISIONS WITH CONGRUENCE CONSTRAINTS IN DIGRAPHS OF LARGE CHROMATIC NUMBER

RAPHAEL STEINER

ABSTRACT. We prove that for every digraph F and every assignment of pairs of integers $(r_e, q_e)_{e \in A(F)}$ to its arcs there exists an integer N such that every digraph D with dichromatic number greater than N contains a subdivision of F in which e is subdivided into a directed path of length congruent to r_e modulo q_e , for every $e \in A(F)$.

This generalizes to the directed setting the analogous result by Thomassen [15] for undirected graphs, and at the same time yields a novel short proof of his result.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a graph F , a *subdivision* of F is a graph H consisting of $v(F)$ pairwise distinct so-called *branch-vertices* $(b_f)_{f \in V(F)}$ and a collection of pairwise internally disjoint so-called *subdivision paths* $(P_e)_{e \in E(F)}$, each of positive length, such that P_e has endpoints b_u and b_v for every edge $e = uv$ in F . The exact same definition applies to directed graphs, with the sole difference being that for every arc $e = (u, v)$ in a digraph F we require the corresponding subdivision-path P_e to be directed from b_u to b_v .

The study of subdivision containment in undirected and directed graphs has a long history in graph theory. Among the seminal results in the area is a famous theorem of Mader [13], stating that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a number $d(k)$ such that every graph of average degree at least $d(k)$ contains a subdivision of K_k (and hence, of any other graph of order at most k). While Mader's bound on $d(k)$ was exponential, the exact order of magnitude of $d(k)$ was later determined to be $d(k) = \Theta(k^2)$ by Bollobás and Thomason [4] and independently by Komlós and Szemerédi [12]. Since every graph G contains a subgraph of minimum degree at least $\chi(G) - 1$, the above also implies that chromatic number at least $\Theta(k^2)$ forces the containment of a K_k -subdivision.

A strengthening of Hadwiger's conjecture, the so-called *Hajós conjecture*, claimed that in fact every graph of chromatic number at least k contains a K_k -subdivision, but this is now known to be false. Explicit counterexamples for $k \geq 7$ were constructed by Catlin [5] and later, Erdős and Fajtlowicz [8] showed that there exist graphs without a K_k -subdivision and chromatic number at least $c \frac{k^2}{\log k}$ for some absolute constant $c > 0$.

The above results guarantee that for every fixed graph F , any graph G of sufficiently large chromatic number will contain an F -subdivision. However, they give no control over the path lengths in this subdivision. In particular, the found subdivision may be a bipartite graph. In contrast to this, we know that every graph of chromatic number at least 3 contains an odd cycle, and in fact it is even known that graphs of sufficiently large chromatic number contain cycles of length r modulo q , for every given pair of integers r and q , see for instance [3, 15, 6]. This naturally raises the question whether additional congruence constraints may be enforced on the path lengths in an F -subdivision in graphs of large chromatic number. Related to this,

Date: August 15, 2022.

Department of Computer Science, Institute of Theoretical Computer Science, ETH Zürich, Switzerland, raphaelmario.steiner@inf.ethz.ch. The author was supported by an ETH Zurich Postdoctoral Fellowship.

Toft conjectured in 1975 that every graph of chromatic number at least 4 contains a *totally odd subdivision* of K_4 , that is, a subdivision with all subdivision paths of odd length. This conjecture was verified in the late 90s independently by Zang [17] and Thomassen [16]. A qualitatively more powerful result was established by Thomassen already in 1983, as follows.

Theorem 1 (Thomassen, Theorem 12 in [15]). *Let F be a graph, and for every $e \in E(F)$ let $r_e, q_e \in \mathbb{Z}$ be integers with $q_e \geq 2$.*

Then there exists a number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every graph G with $\chi(G) \geq N$ contains a subdivision of F such that for every $e \in E(F)$, the corresponding subdivision-path is of length congruent to r_e modulo q_e .

This result largely resolves the question posed above in the positive. We refer to [2, 11] for some more recent results on subdivisions with congruence-constraints on the path lengths.

In this note we prove a generalization of Theorem 1 to directed graphs. Accordingly, we need to specify a notion of chromatic number for directed graphs. Here, we will stick with the recently popular notion of the *dichromatic number*, which was introduced by Erdős [7] and Neumann-Lara [14] in 1980. Given a digraph D , the *dichromatic number* of D (denote by $\vec{\chi}(D)$) is the smallest integer $k \geq 1$ such that $V(D)$ can be partitioned into k sets V_1, \dots, V_k , each of them inducing an acyclic subdigraph of D .

At first sight, it may not be obvious at all why sufficiently large dichromatic number forces the containment of subdivisions in directed graphs. However, using an elegant argument, this fact was recently established by Aboulker, Cohen, Havet, Lochet, Moura and Thomassé [1], see also [9, 10] for related results.

Theorem 2 (Aboulker et al. [1]). *For every digraph F there exists a number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every digraph D with $\vec{\chi}(D) \geq N$ contains a subdivision of F .*

Our main result stated below is a qualitative strengthening of Theorem 2, additionally ensuring the path lengths in the subdivision of F to satisfy given congruences.

Theorem 3. *Let F be a digraph, and for every $e \in A(F)$ let $r_e, q_e \in \mathbb{Z}$ be integers with $q_e \geq 2$.*

Then there exists a number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every digraph D with $\vec{\chi}(D) \geq N$ contains a subdivision of F such that for every $e \in A(F)$, the corresponding subdivision-path is of length congruent to r_e modulo q_e .

Theorem 3 is the natural analogue of Thomassen's Theorem 1 for directed graphs. In fact, it also easily implies Thomassen's theorem, which can be seen as follows:

Let F be any given graph equipped with integer pairs $(r_e, q_e)_{e \in E(F)}$. Fix an orientation \vec{F} of F . Then by Theorem 3 there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that any digraph D with $\vec{\chi}(D) \geq N$ contains a subdivision of \vec{F} in which every oriented edge e in \vec{F} is replaced by a directed path of length congruent to r_e modulo q_e . Now, let G be any given undirected graph with $\chi(G) \geq N$, and let \vec{G} denote the directed graph on the same vertex-set as G with arc-set $\{(u, v), (v, u) | uv \in E(G)\}$. Pause to note that $\vec{\chi}(\vec{G}) = \chi(G) \geq N$. But then by the above \vec{G} must contain a subdivision of \vec{F} with path-lengths satisfying the congruence-constraints given by the sequence $(r_e, q_e)_{e \in A(\vec{F})}$. This translates (by ignoring the directions of edges) one-to-one to a subdivision of F in G in which every edge e is replaced by a path of length r_e modulo q_e .

We may conclude that Theorem 1 is a special case of Theorem 3. In addition, our short proof of Theorem 3 is conceptually entirely different from Thomassen's proof of Theorem 1 in [15], which may be interesting in its own right.

As a last point, let us mention that by considering the special case when F is a directed cycle of length two, the proof of Theorem 3 also implies that there exists a (reasonably small) absolute

constant $C > 0$ such that every digraph of dichromatic number greater than Cq contains a directed cycle of length congruent to r modulo q , for every integer r . This resembles the main result of Chen, Ma and Zang in [6], who proved this statement with the optimal constant $C = 1$.

Terminology and notation. All graphs and directed graphs considered in this paper are simple, i.e., have no loops or parallel edges. For a graph G we denote by $V(G), E(G)$ the set of vertices and edges. For a digraph D we denote by $V(D)$ the vertex-set and by $A(D) \subseteq \{(u, v) \in V(D) \times V(D) | u \neq v\}$ the arc-set. We also use the notation $a(F) := |A(F)|$ for the number of arcs. For $X \subseteq V(D)$ we denote by $D[X]$ the subdigraph of D consisting of the vertex-set X and all arcs of D going between vertices of X . Given a directed path P or a directed cycle C in D , we denote by $\ell(P)$ resp. $\ell(C)$ its length. Paths are allowed to consist of a single vertex (i.e., have length zero). We say that D is strongly connected if for every $(x, y) \in V(D)^2$ there exists a directed path from x to y in D . A *strongly connected component* of D is a maximal subset X of vertices such that $D[X]$ is strongly connected. For vertices x, y in a strongly connected digraph D we denote by $\text{dist}_D(x, y)$ the length of a shortest directed path from x to y .

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

The proof of Theorem 2 by Aboulker et al. was based on the insight that BFS layerings in directed graphs can be used to find vertex-disjoint directed paths for a subdivision. Also our proof of Theorem 3 will rely crucially on the BFS layering idea. In particular, we need Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 stated below which both appear (in equivalent form) in [1].

Lemma 4 (cf. [1], Lemma 29). *The dichromatic number of a digraph D equals the maximum of the dichromatic numbers of the subdigraphs induced by its strongly connected components.*

Lemma 5 (cf. [1], Lemma 30). *Let D be a strongly connected digraph and let $v \in V(D)$. For every integer $i \geq 0$, let $L_i^+ = L_i^+(v, D) := \{x \in V(D) | \text{dist}_D(v, x) = i\}$ and $L_i^- = L_i^-(v, D) := \{x \in V(D) | \text{dist}_D(x, v) = i\}$. Then*

$$\vec{\chi}(D) \leq 2 \max\{\vec{\chi}(D[L_i^+]) | i \geq 0\}$$

and

$$\vec{\chi}(D) \leq 2 \max\{\vec{\chi}(D[L_i^-]) | i \geq 0\}.$$

We note the following simple corollary of the above.

Corollary 6. *Every digraph D contains a set of vertices $X \subseteq V(D)$ and a vertex $x_0 \in X$ such that $D[X]$ is strongly connected, $\vec{\chi}(D[X]) \geq \frac{1}{2}\vec{\chi}(D)$ and for every $x \in X$ there is a directed path P in D starting at x , ending at x_0 and such that $V(P) \cap X = \{x, x_0\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4 we may assume without loss of generality that D is strongly connected. Pick a vertex v arbitrarily and apply Lemma 5 to it. In particular, there exists an integer $i \geq 0$ such that $\vec{\chi}(D[L_i^-(v, D)]) \geq \frac{1}{2}\vec{\chi}(D)$. Let X be the set of vertices of a strongly connected component of $D[L_i^-(v, D)]$ with maximum dichromatic number, i.e., $\vec{\chi}(D[X]) = \vec{\chi}(D[L_i^-(v, D)]) \geq \frac{1}{2}\vec{\chi}(D)$ by Lemma 4. Let $P_{v,X}$ be a shortest directed path in D starting at v and ending at X . Then $P_{v,X}$ intersects X only in its endpoint, call it x_0 . Now, for any given $x \in X$, consider a shortest directed path $P_{x,v}$ from x to v in D (i.e., of length exactly i). Since $X \subseteq L_i^-(v, D)$, we have $V(P_{x,v}) \cap X = \{x\}$. Hence the union of the directed paths $P_{x,v}$ and $P_{v,X}$ forms a directed walk in D starting at x , ending at x_0 and such that no internal vertices of it are contained in X . Hence, possibly after short-cutting this walk we obtain a directed x to x_0 -path P in D which intersects X only at its endpoints. This concludes the proof. \square

For our next step towards Theorem 3, namely Lemma 8 below, we need the following known statement about long cycles in digraphs of given dichromatic number, compare Corollary 38 in [1] or Theorem 7 in [10].

Lemma 7. *Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer and let D be a digraph. If $\bar{\chi}(D) \geq k$ then D contains a directed cycle of length at least k .*

Lemma 8. *Let D be a strongly connected digraph and let $x_0 \in V(D)$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \geq 2$. Suppose that $\bar{\chi}(D) \geq 2(q-1)$. Then there exists a set $Y \subseteq V(D)$ such that $\bar{\chi}(D[Y]) \geq \frac{1}{4}\bar{\chi}(D) - \frac{q-1}{2}$ and an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ of q consecutive integers such that the following holds:*

For every $y \in Y$ and every $\ell \in I$ there exists a directed path Q of length ℓ in D which starts at x_0 , ends at y , and satisfies $V(Q) \cap Y = \{y\}$.

Proof. By Lemma 5 there exists $i \geq 0$ such that $\bar{\chi}(L_i^+(D, x_0)) \geq \lceil \frac{1}{2}\bar{\chi}(D) \rceil$. Let S be a strongly connected component of $D[L_i^+(D, x_0)]$ with $\bar{\chi}(D[S]) = \bar{\chi}(L_i^+(D, x_0)) \geq \lceil \frac{1}{2}\bar{\chi}(D) \rceil$.

Further, let $Z \subseteq S$ be chosen inclusion-wise minimal such that $\bar{\chi}(D[Z]) \geq \lceil \frac{1}{2}\bar{\chi}(D) \rceil - (q-1)$. Then the minimality of Z and Lemma 4 imply that $\bar{\chi}(D[Z]) = \lceil \frac{1}{2}\bar{\chi}(D) \rceil - (q-1)$ and that $D[Z]$ is strongly connected. It follows that $\bar{\chi}(D[S \setminus Z]) \geq \bar{\chi}(D[S]) - \bar{\chi}(D[Z]) \geq q-1$.

We claim that there exists a directed path P in $D[S]$ of length exactly $q-1$ such that P intersects Z only at its endpoint. This holds trivially if $q=1$. If $q=2$ we have $\bar{\chi}(D[S \setminus Z]) \geq 1$ and thus $S \setminus Z \neq \emptyset$. Hence, the strong connectivity of $D[S]$ implies the existence of an arc from $S \setminus Z$ to Z in D . Next, suppose $q \geq 3$. Then we may apply Lemma 7 to $D[S \setminus Y]$ with $k = q-1$ and find a directed cycle C in $D[S \setminus Y]$ of length at least $q-1$. Let R be a shortest directed path in $D[S]$ starting in $V(C)$ and ending in Z . Let $r_1 \in V(C)$ and $r_2 \in Z$ denote the start- and endpoint of R , such that $V(R) \cap V(C) = \{r_1\}$, $V(R) \cap Z = \{r_2\}$. We may now consider the directed path in $D[S]$ obtained by concatenating the segment of C of length $q-2$ ending at r_1 with R . This path has length at least $q-1$ and intersects Z only at its endpoint r_2 . Hence we may find a subpath P of R of length exactly $q-1$ ending at r_2 , as desired.

Moving on, consider the vertex r_2 in the strongly connected digraph $D[Z]$ and apply Lemma 5 to it. We then find an integer $j \geq 0$ such that $\bar{\chi}(D[L_j^+(r_2, D[Z])]) \geq \frac{1}{2}\bar{\chi}(D[Z]) \geq \frac{1}{4}\bar{\chi}(D) - \frac{q-1}{2}$. We now define $Y := L_j^+(r_2, D[Z])$ and $I := [i+j, i+j+(q-1)]$. To conclude the proof, let a vertex $y \in Y$ and a number $\ell \in I$ be given arbitrarily. Write $\ell = i+j+\alpha$ for some $0 \leq \alpha \leq q-1$, and let $p(\alpha) \in V(P)$ denote the vertex at distance exactly α from r_2 along P . Let P_1 be a shortest path from x_0 to $p(\alpha)$ in D . Since $p(\alpha) \in S \subseteq L_i^+(D, x_0)$, we have $\ell(P_1) = i$. Further, let P_2 be a shortest path from r_2 to y in $D[Z]$. Then $\ell(P_2) = j$ since $y \in L_j^+(r_2, D[Z])$. Hence the path Q formed as the union of P_1 , the segment of P from $p(\alpha)$ to r_2 , and P_2 is a directed path of length $i+\alpha+j = \ell$ in D from x_0 to y . Since P_1, P_2 are shortest paths we further we have $V(P_1) \cap S = \{p(\alpha)\}$, $V(P) \cap Z \subseteq V(R) \cap Z = \{r_2\}$, $V(P_2) \cap Y = \{y\}$. This implies that $V(Q) \cap Y = \{y\}$, as desired. This concludes the proof. \square

We now give the proof of Theorem 3. The idea is to combine Corollary 6 and Lemma 8 to enable induction on the number of arcs in F .

Proof of Theorem 3. We prove the statement by induction of the number of arcs $a(F)$ in F . If $a(F) = 0$, then the claim holds true trivially (every digraph of dichromatic number $N := v(F)$ has at least $v(F)$ vertices and thus contains a copy of F).

Moving on, suppose that $a(F) \geq 1$ and that we have established Theorem 3 for all digraphs containing strictly fewer arcs. Let $(r_e, q_e)_{e \in A(F)}$ be a given assignment of integer pairs to the arcs of F . We pick an arc $f \in A(F)$ arbitrarily. Let $N' \in \mathbb{N}$ be a number such that every digraph of dichromatic number at least N' contains a subdivision of $F - f$ in which for every arc $e \in A(F) \setminus \{f\}$ the corresponding subdivision path is of length congruent to r_e modulo q_e .

Define $N := 8N' + 4(q_f - 1)$ and let D be any given digraph with $\bar{\chi}(D) \geq N$. Let a subset X of $V(D)$ and a vertex $x_0 \in X$ be as guaranteed by Corollary 6, applied to D . Then $\bar{\chi}(D[X]) \geq \frac{1}{2}\bar{\chi}(D)$, $D[X]$ is strongly connected and every vertex $x \in X$ can be connected to x_0 via a directed path intersecting X only at its endpoints. Since $D[X]$ is strongly connected, we may apply Lemma 8. We thus find $Y \subseteq X$ with $\bar{\chi}(D[Y]) \geq \frac{1}{4}\bar{\chi}(D[X]) - \frac{q_f-1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{8}\bar{\chi}(D) - \frac{q_f-1}{2} \geq N'$ and an interval I of q_f consecutive integers such that the following holds: For every $y \in Y$ and every $\ell \in I$ there is a directed x_0 to y -path of length ℓ in $D[X]$ intersecting Y only in y . By inductive assumption, there exists a subdivision of $F - f$ in $D[Y]$ such that every $e \in A(F) \setminus \{f\}$ is replaced by a path of length congruent to r_e modulo q_e . Let $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ be the two branch-vertices in this subdivision corresponding to the start- respectively endpoint of the arc f in F . We will now show that there exists a directed path P from y_1 to y_2 in D intersecting Y only at its endpoints, with $\ell(P) \equiv_{q_f} r_f$. Adding this path to the subdivision of $F - f$ then yields a subdivision of F in D with the desired congruence properties.

To construct P , we first connect y_1 to x_0 via a directed path P_0 with $V(P_0) \cap X = \{y_1, x_0\}$ (in particular, P_0 is internally disjoint from $Y \subseteq X$). Let $\ell \in I$ be a number chosen such that $\ell \equiv_{q_f} r_f - \ell(P_0)$ (this is possible since I is an interval with q_f elements). Then by our choice of Y there exists a path Q in $D[X]$ from x_0 to y_2 such that $\ell(Q) = \ell$ and Q is internally disjoint from Y . Overall, $P_0 \cup Q$ is a directed y_1 - y_2 -path in D which is internally disjoint from Y and satisfies $\ell(P_0 \cup Q) = \ell(P_0) + \ell \equiv_{q_f} \ell(P_0) + (r_f - \ell(P_0)) = r_f$. Thus, by adding this path to the subdivision of $F - f$ in $D[Y]$ we find a subdivision of F in D in which every arc e is replaced by a path of length congruent to r_e modulo q_e . This proves the inductive claim and concludes the proof of the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Aboulker, N. Cohen, F. Havet, W. Lochet, P. F. S. Moura and S. Thomassé. Subdivisions in digraphs of large out-degree or large dichromatic number. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 26(3): P3.19 (2019).
- [2] N. Alon and M. Krivelevich. Divisible subdivisions. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 98: 623–629 (2021).
- [3] B. Bollobás. Cycles modulo k . *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 9: 97–98 (1977).
- [4] B. Bollobás and A. Thomason. Proof of a conjecture of Mader, Erdős and Hajnal on topological complete subgraphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 19: 883–887 (1998).
- [5] Hajós graph coloring conjecture: variations and counterexamples. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 26(2): 268–274 (1979).
- [6] Z. Chen, J. Ma and W. Zang. Coloring digraphs with forbidden cycles. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 115: 210–223 (2015).
- [7] P. Erdős. Problems and results in number theory and graph theory. *Proc. Ninth Manitoba Conf. on Numerical Math. and Computing*, 3–21 (1979).
- [8] P. Erdős and S. Fajtlowicz. On the conjecture of Hajós. *Combinatorica*, 1(2): 141–143 (1981).
- [9] A. Girão, K. Popielarz and R. Snyder. Subdivisions of digraphs in tournaments. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 146: 266–285 (2021).
- [10] L. Gishboliner, R. Steiner and T. Szábo. Dichromatic number and forced subdivisions. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 153: 1–30 (2022).
- [11] K. Kawarabayashi. Totally odd subdivisions and parity subdivisions: structures and coloring. *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, 1013–1029 (2013).
- [12] J. Komlós and E. Szemerédi. Topological cliques in graphs II. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 5(1): 79–90 (1996).
- [13] W. Mader. Homomorphieeigenschaften und mittlere Kantendichte von Graphen, *Mathematische Annalen*, 174: 265–268 (1967) (in German).
- [14] V. Neumann-Lara. The dichromatic number of a digraph. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 33(3): 265–270 (1982).
- [15] C. Thomassen. Graph decomposition with applications to subdivisions and path systems modulo k . *Journal of Graph Theory*, 7(2): 261–271 (1983).
- [16] C. Thomassen. Totally odd subdivisions in 4-chromatic graphs. *Combinatorica*, 21: 417–443 (2001).
- [17] W. Zang. Proof of Toft’s conjecture: Every graph containing no fully odd K_4 is 3-colorable. *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization*, 2: 117–188 (1998).