

EXTENSION DOMAINS OF HARDY SPACES

SHAHABODDIN SHAABANI

ABSTRACT. We give a characterization of extension domains of Hardy spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

In general for a given function space X , the extension problem is to describe the geometry of those open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n like Ω , such that there exists a bounded (linear or nonlinear) operator, extending every element of $X(\Omega)$ to another one in $X(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Such domains are called extension domains of X .

This problem has been studied for several classes of functions like Sobolev spaces $W^{k,p}$ [7], functions of bounded mean oscillation BMO [6], VMO [1], etc. Here we're going to give an answer to the extension problem for Hardy spaces H^p when $0 < p \leq 1$. As is well known, this scale of spaces serves as a good substitute for L^p when $0 < p \leq 1$ [10]. Unlike L^p , being in H^p is not just a matter of 'size' but also a matter of 'cancellation'. Indeed these distributions must have vanishing moments up to order $n(\frac{1}{p} - 1)$, and this makes their relative extension problem a bit nontrivial. In Theorem 3.2, which is the main result of this paper, we give a characterization of these domains and below we explain the simple idea of the proof.

By Miyachi's atomic decomposition theorem, the extension problem is reduced to extending certain elements of $H^p(\Omega)$, namely (p, Ω) -atoms. These are atoms without any cancellations and are supported on Whitney cubes of Ω . Then because our characterization requires Ω^c to be thick in some sense, in a dilation of the supporting balls of these atoms, we are able to create the required cancellation. When $p = 1$, this can be done by putting a constant on Ω^c in that dilated ball, without making the function too large. And when $p = \frac{n}{n+k}$, adding a linear combination of derivatives of Dirac masses supported on Ω^c with bounded coefficients will do the job if we don't make it too singular. The latter case involves interpolating data with polynomials of several variables and we show that with the condition mentioned in Theorem 3.2, it is always possible to do that. Also in the absence of these thickness conditions, we are able to construct some functions in the dual of $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, namely $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\dot{A}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which are supported on Ω and have small norms yet large averages. This prevents any bounded extension of (p, Ω) -atoms. Finally, when $n(\frac{1}{p} - 1)$ is not an integer, it can be easily shown that every open set is an extension domain.

2. NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

First, let us fix some notation that we use throughout the paper. We use $d(x, H)$ for the distance of x from H , and $d(x) = d(x, \Omega^c)$. The space of distributions in Ω is denoted by $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is used for pairing distributions and test functions. $C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is used for the space of functions with bounded continuous derivatives up to order k with the usual

sup-norm and $\|P\|_{C^k(E)}$ means we take the sup-norms only on E . Also the space of polynomials of degree no more than k in \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by \mathbb{P}_k and as usual ∂^α is used for partial derivatives, $|\alpha|$ for the total degree of a derivative index α , and other famous notations is used as well. By a cube Q we mean a cube with sides parallel to the axis and we use $l(Q)$ for its side length. Sometimes we use aQ or aB to denote the concentric dilation of a cube Q or a ball B . We also use $\mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ for the family of Whitney cubes of Ω (For the definition of Whitney cubes see [4]App.J or [9]). Moreover the useful symbols $\lesssim(\leq C)$, $\gtrsim(\geq C)$ and $\approx(\lesssim \& \gtrsim)$ are used to avoid writing unimportant constants usually depending on k and n .

Now we give definitions of the dual of Hardy spaces $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The space of functions of bounded mean oscillation $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of all locally integrable functions f , such that

$$\sup_Q \int_Q |f - \int_Q f| < \infty.$$

Where in the above the sup is taken over all cubes Q . Modulo constants, $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space with the above quantity as norm, and as it is well known it is the dual space of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [2]Ch.3, [5] Ch.3, [10] Ch.4).

Let f be a function defined on \mathbb{R}^n and $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the forward difference operator Δ_h is defined by $\Delta_h(f)(x) := f(x+h) - f(x)$ and for any positive integer k let $\Delta_h^k(f) := \Delta_h(\Delta_h \dots (\Delta_h(f)))$ be the k -th iteration of Δ_h . Then for any positive real number γ , the space of homogeneous Lipschitz functions $\dot{\Lambda}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$, consists of all locally intergrable functions f , such that

$$\sup_{h \neq 0} \left\| \frac{\Delta_h^{[\gamma]+1}(f)}{|h|^\gamma} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

Modulo polynomials of degree no more than γ , $\dot{\Lambda}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is also a Banach space with the above quantity as norm. The important fact that we need is that for $0 < p < 1$ the dual space of $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $\dot{\Lambda}^{n(\frac{1}{p}-1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [2] Ch.3 [5] Ch.1). Here, we want to make this clear that $\dot{\Lambda}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is referred to by different names. For instance, when $\gamma = 1$ it's called Zygmund space, or it is identical with the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [8] Ch.17).

Let us now give the definition of Hardy spaces on domains. Let φ be a smooth function supported in the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n , such that $\int \varphi = 1$, and for $t > 0$ let us denote $\varphi_t(x) = t^{-n} \varphi(\frac{x}{t})$. Now let Ω be a proper open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and f a distribution in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. Then the maximal function of f associated to Ω and φ , denoted by $M_{\Omega, \varphi}$, is defined in the following way:

$$M_{\Omega, \varphi}(f)(x) := \sup \{ \langle f, \varphi_t(x - \cdot) \rangle \mid 0 < t < \text{dist}(x, \Omega) \} \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega.$$

For $0 < p \leq 1$, $H^p(\Omega)$ is the space of all distributions f on Ω such that $M_{\Omega, \varphi}(f)$ is in $L^p(\Omega)$. This gives us a linear space which is independent of φ and can be quasi normed, (normed when $p = 1$) by setting $\|f\|_{H^p(\Omega)} = \|M_{\Omega, \varphi}(f)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$. For different choices of φ , these quasi-norms are equivalent.

The Hardy space $H^p(\Omega)$ was introduced by Miyachi in [9], and an atomic decomposition theorem was proved for it there. As a result, many fundamental properties of these spaces, including characterization of the dual space, complex interpolation and extension problem

were studied although the latter was not fully resolved there. Meaning a sufficient condition was obtained but it's not necessary.

We now recall some properties of $H^p(\Omega)$ that are needed and all of them can be found in [9]. A bounded function a is called a p -atom, if there exists a ball B (the supporting ball of a), such that $\text{supp}(a) \subset B$, $\|a\|_{L^\infty} \leq |B|^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ (size condition), and $\langle a, P \rangle = 0$ for all polynomials with degree no more than $n(\frac{1}{p} - 1)$ (cancellation condition). These are the usual atoms appearing in the atomic decomposition theorem for $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, there's another type of atom which appears in the atomic decomposition of $H^p(\Omega)$. These atoms don't need to have any kind of cancellations and are called (p, Ω) -atoms. We say that a bounded function g is such an atom if it satisfies the same support and size condition of ordinary atoms but instead of the cancellation condition, the diameter of the supporting ball should be comparable to its distance from Ω^c . Equivalently they must be supported on Whitney cubes of Ω . In [9], it's shown that such atoms are elements of $H^p(\Omega)$ and are uniformly bounded in this space. After fixing these definitions let us recall the atomic decomposition theorem for Hardy spaces on domains.

Theorem (Miyachi). *Let Ω be a proper open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $0 < p \leq 1$ and $f \in H^p(\Omega)$, then there exist sequences of nonnegative numbers $\{\lambda_i\}, \{\mu_j\}$, a sequence of p -atoms $\{f_i\}$, and a sequence of (p, Ω) -atoms $\{g_j\}$, such that the latter is depended linearly on f , each f_i is supported in Ω , and*

$$(1) \quad f = \sum \lambda_i f_i + \sum \mu_j g_j \quad \text{with} \quad \|f\|_{H^p(\Omega)} \approx \left(\sum \lambda_i^p + \sum \mu_j^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In the above, first a specific Whitney decomposition of the domain is fixed and then roughly speaking, g_j s are obtained by projecting f onto the space of polynomials localized on Whitney cubes of Ω . This is why g_j depends linearly on f . But this is not the case for f_j s [9]. It should be noted here that even if f is an (p, Ω) -atom, the above decomposition gives us some p -atoms and (p, Ω) -atoms which are probably different from f .

In [9], by using this powerful theorem, the extension problem for distributions in $H^p(\Omega)$ was reduced to the especial case of extending (p, Ω) -atoms. Although The following lemma is used there, but it's not stated, so we decided to state it here as a lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *For an open set Ω to be an H^p extension domain it's necessary and sufficient that for every (p, Ω) -atom g there exists an extension $\tilde{g} \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|\tilde{g}\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1$. Moreover, if this extension is linear in terms of g then there is a bounded linear extension operator for the whole $H^p(\Omega)$.*

Proof. The necessity of the above condition follows directly from the definition of extension domains and the fact that (p, Ω) -atoms have bounded norms.

The sufficiency comes from the atomic decomposition theorem. To see this take a distribution $f \in H^p(\Omega)$, decompose it into atoms as in Theorem 1 and extend it in the following way:

$$\tilde{f} = \sum \lambda_i f_i + \sum \mu_j \tilde{g}_j,$$

This is an extension operator and is linear whenever we can extend (p, Ω) -atoms linearly. To see its boundedness, we have the simple estimates

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \leq \sum \lambda_i^p \|f_i\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p + \sum \mu_j^p \|\tilde{g}_j\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \lesssim \sum \lambda_i^p + \sum \mu_j^p,$$

and again from the Miyachi atomic decomposition theorem we get

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^p(\Omega)}.$$

□

3. MAIN RESULT

We need the following definition to state the result in a more clear way.

Definition 3.1. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and ν be a unit vector, then the width of E in direction ν , $w(E, \nu)$, is defined as the smallest number $\delta > 0$ such that E lies entirely between two parallel hyperplanes with normal ν and of distance δ from each other. Also we define the width of E , $w(E)$, to be

$$w(E) := \inf_{|\nu|=1} w(E, \nu).$$

When $n = 1$, by $w(E)$ we simply mean the diameter of E .

Theorem 3.2. Let $0 < p \leq 1$ then a proper open subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an H^p extension domain if and only if

- For $p = 1$ there exist constants $a > 1$ and $\delta > 0$, such that for every $x \in \Omega$

$$(2) \quad \frac{|\Omega^c \cap B(x, ad(x))|}{|B(x, ad(x))|} > \delta.$$

- For $p = \frac{n}{k+n}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, there exist positive constants $a > 1$ and $\delta > 0$ such that for every $x \in \Omega$,

$$(3) \quad \frac{w(\Omega^c \cap B(x, ad(x)))}{d(x)} > \delta.$$

- And if $p \neq \frac{n}{k+n}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ then every proper open subset is an extension domain.

Moreover in all cases the extension operator can be taken to be linear.

In the above, the condition (2) is stronger than (3) because if (2) holds and $\Omega^c \cap B(x, ad(x))$ lies between two parallel hyperplanes of distance δ' , then $|\Omega^c \cap B(x, ad(x))| \leq \delta' (2ad(x))^{n-1}$, which implies that $\delta' \geq 2^{1-n} c_n a \delta$, where c_n is the volume of the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n . So (3) holds with a and δ replaced by $2^{1-n} c_n a \delta$. Also the example below shows that these two conditions are different.

For a closed unit cube $Q = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ in \mathbb{R}^n , let C_j be the usual Cantor middle third subset of I_j and call $C_1 \times \dots \times C_n$ the Cantor dust in Q . Now consider the grid of unit cubes in \mathbb{R}^n with vertices on \mathbb{Z}^n , and from each cube in this grid remove its Cantor dust and call the remaining set Ω .

Ω is connected and open with $|\Omega^c| = 0$ so it doesn't satisfy (2) for any choice of a and δ but it satisfies (3). To see this take $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega$ then it lies in some cube Q of the grid and without the loss of generality assume $Q = [0, 1]^n$. Now suppose $d(x, \Omega^c) \approx \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |x_j - y_j| = |x_1 - y_1|$ for some $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ with all $y_j \in C$, where C is the Cantor set in $[0, 1]$. From the ternary expansion of x_j and y_j , it's clear that $|x_j - y_j| \approx 3^{-k_j}$ where k_j is the first place that their digits are different. So if in the expansion of y_j we change the k_1 -th digit from 0 to 2 or from 2 to 0, we get another point y'_j with $|y_j - y'_j| \approx 3^{-k_1}$. Then note that the cube $Q' = [y_1, y'_1] \times \dots \times [y_j, y'_j]$ has all its vertices on Ω^c and $d(x, Q') \approx d(x, \Omega^c) \approx l(Q')$.

See figure below. This shows that in every direction, the width of Ω^c in a dilation of $B(x, d(x, \Omega^c))$ is comparable to $d(x, \Omega^c)$ and (3) holds.




FIGURE 1. The white area is in $\Omega \cap Q$ and black dots belong to Ω^c

We break the proof into two parts, the sufficiency and the necessity. As we will see, it is easy to see that (2) is enough for extending each $(1, \Omega)$ -atom to some 1-atom in \mathbb{R}^n . To show that (3) is sufficient, we need to show that it allows us to choose an interpolation problem and solve it properly, which is the content of Lemma 3.6. This minor difficulty comes from the fact that when $n(\frac{1}{p} - 1) \in \mathbb{Z}$, the order of distributions in H^p cannot exceed than $n(\frac{1}{p} - 1) - 1$, while they must have vanishing moments up to order $n(\frac{1}{p} - 1)$. This becomes more clear when it is compared to the case $n(\frac{1}{p} - 1) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. We need the next three lemmas to prove Lemma 3.6.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose E is a compact subset of the unit ball B of \mathbb{R}^n . Then for any $k = 1, 2, \dots$, the following holds;*

$$(4) \quad \inf_{P \in \mathbb{P}_k} \frac{\|P\|_{C^{k-1}(E)}}{\|P\|_{L^\infty(B)}} > c(n, k)w(E).$$

Proof. Suppose $P \in \mathbb{P}_k$ with $\|P\|_{L^\infty(B)} = 1$ but $\|P\|_{C^{k-1}(E)} \leq cw(E)$. We will show that if $c = c(n, k)$ is chosen sufficiently small, the set E lies entirely between two parallel hyperplanes at distance less than $\frac{1}{2}w(E)$, which is a contradiction. Take $x_0 \in E$ and write the Taylor expansion of P around this point; then we have

$$P(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k-1} \frac{\partial^\alpha P(x_0)}{\alpha!} (x - x_0)^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{\partial^\alpha P(x_0)}{\alpha!} (x - x_0)^\alpha,$$

so we have the following inequality

$$\|P\|_{L^\infty(B)} \leq c'(n, k)cw(E) + c'(n, k) \max_{|\alpha|=k} |\partial^\alpha P(x_0)|.$$

Noting that $w(E) \leq 2$, and for the moment choosing $c \leq \frac{1}{4c'}$, for some α with $|\alpha| = k$ we get $|\partial^\alpha P(x_0)| \geq \frac{1}{2c'}$.

Now suppose $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with $\alpha_i = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \alpha_j$ and let $\alpha' = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i - 1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. If we take the derivative $\partial^{\alpha'} P$, x_i appears in one and only one term with the coefficient $\partial^\alpha P(x_0)$.

So the polynomial $\partial^{\alpha'} P(x)$ has the form

$$\partial^{\alpha'} P(x) = a \cdot x + b, \quad |a| \geq \frac{1}{2c'}$$

and if we normalize it by setting $\nu = \frac{a}{|a|}$ and $b' = \frac{b}{|a|}$ for all $x \in E$ we get

$$|\nu \cdot x + b'| \leq 2c'cw(E).$$

Which means that $w(E, \nu) \leq 4c'cw(E)$. So if we take $c(n, k) = \frac{1}{8c'}$ we get a contradiction and this finishes the proof. \square

In the next two lemmas we prove how (4) can be relaxed so that we can replace $\|P\|_{C^{k-1}(E)}$ by a smaller quantity $\max_{(x, \beta) \in F} |\partial^\beta P(x)|$ where F is a set of pairs of points and indices with $\#F = \dim(\mathbb{P}_k)$. The first one is a general geometric result bounding the width of a compact set in \mathbb{R}^d with the width of its finite subsets with $d + 1$ points.

Lemma 3.4. *Let E be a compact set in \mathbb{R}^d and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for each d points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d in E , there is a unit vector ν satisfying $|\nu \cdot x_i| \leq \varepsilon$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Then there exists a unit vector ν_0 such that $|\nu_0 \cdot x| \leq 2d\varepsilon$ for every $x \in E$.*

Proof. Because E is compact there are $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{d-1} \in E$ such that the $d - 1$ dimensional area of the simplex $\Delta(0, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})$ is maximal. Let $A = |\Delta(0, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})|$, then if $A = 0$, E entirely lies in a $d - 2$ dimensional subspace and ν_0 can be taken as a unit vector perpendicular to that. So suppose $A > 0$ and let ν_0 be the normal vector to $H = \text{span}(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})$. We prove this vector has the desired property. Take any $x \in E$; we want to show that $|\nu_0 \cdot x| \leq 2d\varepsilon$ or equivalently $d(x, H) \leq 2d\varepsilon$. Now from the assumption there is a unit vector ν such that $|\nu \cdot x_i| \leq \varepsilon$, $|\nu \cdot x| \leq \varepsilon$, which means that the d dimensional simplex $\Delta(0, x, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})$ lies between two parallel hyperplane of distance 2ε from each other, so one of the altitudes of this simplex must be no more than 2ε . Let us call this altitude h and the area of its corresponding face by A' . There are two cases, either 0 is one of the vertices of this face or not. In the former case $A' \leq A$ by maximality of A and in the latter, $A' \leq dA$ which is a consequence of the fact that the area of each face of a simplex is no more than the sum of areas of other faces and all the other faces have 0 as a vertex.

Now that we know $A' \leq dA$, we calculate the d dimensional volume of the simplex $\Delta(0, x, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})$ in two ways with different altitudes and faces to get $d(x, H)A = hA' \leq 2\varepsilon.dA$. Hence $d(x, H) \leq 2d\varepsilon$ and for each $x \in E$, $|\nu_0 \cdot x| \leq 2d\varepsilon$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let k be a positive integer, B the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n and E a compact subset of B satisfying*

$$(5) \quad \inf_{P \in \mathbb{P}_k} \frac{\|P\|_{C^{k-1}(E)}}{\|P\|_{L^\infty(B)}} > \delta.$$

Then there exists a set $F \subset \{(x, \beta) | x \in E, |\beta| \leq k - 1\}$ with $\#F = \dim(\mathbb{P}_k)$ such that

$$(6) \quad \inf_{P \in \mathbb{P}_k} \frac{\max_{(x, \beta) \in F} |\partial^\beta P(x)|}{\|P\|_{L^\infty(B)}} > c(n, k)\delta.$$

Proof. Take $P \in \mathbb{P}_k$ and write $P(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} c_\alpha x^\alpha$; then identify P with $\hat{P} = (c_\alpha)_{|\alpha| \leq k}$. We

renorm \mathbb{P}_k by setting $\|P\| = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} |c_\alpha|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Because of the finiteness of $\dim(\mathbb{P}_k)$ which is $d = \binom{n+k}{n}$, $\|P\| \approx \|P\|_{L^\infty(B)}$. So in (5) we replace $\|P\|_{L^\infty(B)}$ by $\|P\|$ and δ by $c'(n, k)\delta$. Then for each $x \in E$ and $|\beta| \leq k-1$ we set $(x, \hat{\beta}) = (d_\alpha)$ where this d dimensional vector is defined by

$$d_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!} x^{\alpha-\beta} & \beta \leq \alpha \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then for each $P \in \mathbb{P}_k$ we have;

$$\partial^\beta P(x) = \langle \hat{P}, (x, \hat{\beta}) \rangle$$

Note that $\hat{E} = \{(x, \hat{\beta}) | x \in E, |\beta| \leq k-1\}$ is compact in \mathbb{R}^d and if for every d points like Q_1, \dots, Q_d in \hat{E} there exists a unit vector P in \mathbb{P}_k such that $|\langle P, Q_i \rangle| \leq \frac{c'(k, n)}{2d}\delta$, then from Lemma 3.4 there is a unit vector P such that $|\langle P, Q \rangle| \leq c'(k, n)\delta$ for each $Q \in \hat{E}$, which means that $\|P\|_{C^{k-1}(E)} \leq c'(k, n)\delta$, a contradiction to (5). So there exists d points Q_1, \dots, Q_d in \hat{E} such that

$$\inf_{\|P\|=1} \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |\langle P, Q_i \rangle| > \frac{c'(k, n)}{2d}\delta.$$

This means there's a set $F \subset \{(x, \beta) | x \in E, |\beta| \leq k-1\}$ with $\#F = \dim(\mathbb{P}_k)$ such that

$$\inf_{P \in \mathbb{P}_k} \frac{\max_{(x, \beta) \in F} |\partial^\beta P(x)|}{\|P\|} > \frac{c'(k, n)}{2d}\delta.$$

Finally we replace $\|P\|$ by $\|P\|_{L^\infty(B)}$ to get (6). \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let E be a subset of B , the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n , with $w(E) > 0$. Then there exists a set $F \subset \{(x, \beta) | x \in E, |\beta| \leq k-1\}$ with $\#F = \dim(\mathbb{P}_k)$, and a basis of polynomials $\{P_{(x, \beta)}\}$ for \mathbb{P}_k such that for all $(x', \beta') \in F$ the following holds:*

$$(7) \quad \partial^{\beta'} (P_{(x, \beta)})(x') = \begin{cases} 1 & (x', \beta') = (x, \beta) \\ 0 & (x', \beta') \neq (x, \beta) \end{cases}$$

Moreover

$$(8) \quad \|P_{(x, \beta)}\|_{L^\infty(B)} \lesssim w(E)^{-1} \quad (x, \beta) \in F.$$

Proof. From Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5 it follows that there exists a set F with $\#F = \dim(\mathbb{P}_k)$ such that for every $P \in \mathbb{P}_k$ we have

$$\|P\|_{L^\infty(B)} \lesssim w(E)^{-1} \max_{(x, \beta) \in F} |\partial^\beta P(x)|$$

Now the mapping $P \rightarrow (\partial^\beta P(x))_{(x, \beta) \in F}$ is an isomorphism from \mathbb{P}_k to $\mathbb{R}^{\dim(\mathbb{P}_k)}$ which shows that for each $(x, \beta) \in F$, (7) has a unique solution $P_{x, \beta}$ such that (8) holds and because $\#F = \dim(\mathbb{P}_k)$, $\{P_{(x, \beta)}\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{P}_k . \square

The following lemma is a simple generalization of boundedness of norms of atoms in $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [10] Ch.3 Further results 5.5).

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose B is the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n , f a distribution supported in B and of the form $f = g + \sum_{(x,\beta) \in F} c_\beta \partial^\beta \delta_x$ with $\|g\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1$, $|c_{x,\beta}| \leq 1$, and F a finite number of pairs (x, β) such that $x \in B$ and $|\beta| < n(1/p - 1)$. Moreover, suppose all moments of f vanishes up to order $N_p = [n(1/p - 1)]$. Then $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \#F$.*

Proof. Take $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B)$, $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ with $\int \varphi = 1$. We estimate $M_\varphi(f)$ and as usual we do it for the local and nonlocal part, separately.

For $x_0 \in 3B$ we have

$$\varphi_t * f(x_0) = \varphi_t * g(x_0) + \sum_{(x,\beta) \in F} (-1)^\beta c_{x,\beta} t^{-n-|\beta|} (\partial^\beta \varphi) \left(\frac{x_0 - x}{t} \right) = \text{I} + \text{II}.$$

For all $t > 0$, $|\text{I}| \leq 1$, and we note that in the second term $(\partial^\beta \varphi) \left(\frac{x_0 - x}{t} \right) \neq 0$ only if $t > |x - x_0|$, so we get the following

$$|\text{II}| \lesssim \sum_{(x,\beta) \in F} |x - x_0|^{-n-|\beta|}.$$

This gives us an estimate for the local part. Now let us estimate $M_\varphi(f)$ on $(3B)^c$. In this case we have to use cancellation properties of f .

So this time assume $x_0 \notin 3B$; then because $\varphi_t(x_0 - \cdot)$ is supported in $B_t(x_0)$, $f * \varphi_t(x_0) \neq 0$ only if $t > |x_0|/2$. To use the cancellation properties of f , let P be the Taylor polynomial of $\varphi_t(x_0 - \cdot)$ around x_0 of order N_p . We have

$$\begin{aligned} f * \varphi_t(x_0) &= \langle f, \varphi_t(x_0 - \cdot) \rangle = \langle f, \varphi_t(x_0 - \cdot) - P \rangle \\ &= \int g(y) (\varphi_t(x_0 - y) - P(y)) dy + \sum_{(x,\beta) \in F} (-1)^\beta c_{x,\beta} \partial^\beta (\varphi_t(x_0 - y) - P(y))|_{y=x} \\ &= \text{I} + \text{II}. \end{aligned}$$

From the Taylor remainder theorem, for $y \in B$ we have $|\varphi_t(x_0 - y) - P(y)| \leq \|\nabla^{N_p+1}(\varphi_t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim t^{-(N_p+1+n)}$. So $|\text{I}| \lesssim |x_0|^{-(N_p+1+n)}$. To estimate the second term we note that $\partial^\beta P$ is the $(N_p - |\beta|)$ -th order Taylor polynomial of $\partial^\beta(\varphi_t(x_0 - \cdot))$ around x_0 . So we have the following:

$$|\partial^\beta(\varphi_t(x_0 - y) - P(y))| \lesssim \|\nabla^{N_p-|\beta|+1}(\partial^\beta(\varphi_t))\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim t^{-(N_p+1+n)} \lesssim |x_0|^{-(N_p+1+n)}$$

and $|\text{II}| \lesssim |x_0|^{-(N_p+1+n)}$.

By bringing the local and nonlocal estimates together we get

$$M_\varphi(f)(x_0) \lesssim \left(1 + \sum_{(x,\beta) \in F} |x - x_0|^{-n-|\beta|} \right) \mathbb{1}_{3B}(x_0) + |x_0|^{-(N_p+1+n)} \mathbb{1}_{(3B)^c}(x_0)$$

and finally it's easy to see that because of our assumptions on β and N_p we have

$$\|f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|M_\varphi(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \#F.$$

□

With the above lemmas in hand we now prove the sufficiency part of the Theorem 3.2.

Proof of sufficiency. From Lemma 2.1 it is enough to extend each (p, Ω) -atom to an element of $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with a uniform bound on its norm.

- Case $p = 1$. Take a $(1, \Omega)$ -atom g with supporting ball $B_r(x_0)$, with $r \approx d(x_0)$ then from our assumption there are positive constants a and δ such that (2) holds. We extend g simply by putting a constant on $\Omega^c \cap B_{ar}(x_0)$, to create the required cancellation. So let

$$\tilde{g} = g - c \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\Omega^c \cap B_{ar}(x_0)}, \quad c = \frac{\int g}{|\Omega^c \cap B_{ar}(x_0)|}.$$

Then $\text{supp}(\tilde{g}) \subset B_{ar}(x_0)$ and $\int \tilde{g} = 0$. Also we can bound this function by

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \|g\|_{L^\infty} \frac{|B_r(x_0)|}{|\Omega^c \cap B_{ar}(x_0)|} \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty} \left(1 + a^{-n} \frac{|B_{ar}(x_0)|}{|\Omega^c \cap B_{ar}(x_0)|}\right) \\ &\lesssim \|g\|_{L^\infty} (1 + a^{-n} \delta^{-1}) \lesssim |B_r(x_0)|^{-1} (1 + a^{-n} \delta^{-1}) \lesssim_{a, \delta} |B_{ar}(x_0)|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here \tilde{g} is a constant multiple of an 1-atom so $\|\tilde{g}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c(a, \delta, n)$ and this finishes the proof of the case $p = 1$.

- Case $p = \frac{n}{k+n}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Let g be (p, Ω) -atom similar to the previous case. Again this time there exist $a > 1$, $\delta > 0$ such that (3) holds. Let us by a translation and dilation, bring everything to the unit ball (it's not necessary but because we already stated our lemmas in the unit ball we prefer to work in this setting). Then set

$$E = \frac{(\Omega^c \cap \bar{B}_{ar}) - x_0}{ar}, \quad g' = g(x_0 + arx).$$

So E is a compact subset of the unit ball B with $w(E) > a^{-1}\delta$.

Take a set F from Lemma 3.6 and extend g' as follows

$$\tilde{g}' = g' + \sum_{(x, \beta) \in F} c_{x, \beta} \partial^\beta \delta_x.$$

Note that $c_{x, \beta}$ are uniquely determined if \tilde{g}' has vanishing moments up to order k . To see this from Lemma 3.6, we may choose a basis of polynomials for $\mathbb{P}_k \{P_{x, \beta}\}$, such that $\partial^\beta(P_{x, \beta})(x) = 1$ and $\partial^{\beta'}(P_{x, \beta})(x') = 0$ when $(x, \beta) \neq (x', \beta')$. Then the cancellation condition for \tilde{g}' is

$$\langle \tilde{g}', P_{x, \beta} \rangle = 0 \quad (x, \beta) \in F.$$

So we get the following equation for the coefficients $c_{x, \beta}$

$$(9) \quad \int g'(y) P_{x, \beta}(y) dy + \sum_{(x', \beta') \in F} c_{x', \beta'} \langle \partial^{\beta'} \delta_{x'}, P_{x, \beta} \rangle = 0 \quad (x, \beta) \in F.$$

Now note that

$$(10) \quad \langle \partial^{\beta'} \delta_{x'}, P_{x, \beta} \rangle = (-1)^{|\beta'|} \partial^{\beta'}(P_{x, \beta})(x').$$

So from (9), (10) and Lemma 3.6 we get the following

$$(11) \quad c_{x, \beta} = (-1)^{|\beta|+1} \int g'(y) P_{x, \beta}(y) dy.$$

This shows that \tilde{g}' depends linearly on g , $\langle \tilde{g}', P \rangle = 0$ for every $P \in \mathbb{P}_k$, and moreover

$$|c_{x,\beta}| \leq |B| \|g'\|_{L^\infty(B)} \|P_{x,\beta}\|_{L^\infty(B)} \lesssim \|g'\|_{L^\infty(B)} w(E)^{-1} \lesssim a\delta^{-1} \|g'\|_{L^\infty(B)}.$$

Now $\tilde{g}'/\|g'\|_{L^\infty(B)}$ satisfies all the required conditions of Lemma 3.7 and by applying that we get

$$\|\tilde{g}'\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|g'\|_{L^\infty(B)} \lesssim_{a,\delta} |B_{ar}(x_0)|^{\frac{-1}{p}}.$$

Finally let $\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}'(\frac{x-x_0}{ar})$ which is an extension of g with $\|\tilde{g}\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c(n, k, a, \delta)$, and this finishes the proof of this case.

- case $p \neq \frac{n}{n+k}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. This case is like the previous one and even simpler. This time we can take a to be any number such that $E = \Omega^c \cap B_{ar} \neq \emptyset$ and after dilation and translation, pick a point $x \in E$ and extend g' by

$$\tilde{g}' = g' + \sum_{|\beta| \leq [n(1/p-1)]} c_\beta \partial^\beta \delta_x, \quad c_\beta = (-1)^{|\beta|+1} \int g'(y) \frac{(y-x)^\beta}{\beta!} dy.$$

The rest is just like the previous case. As mentioned earlier, the difficulty of the second case, comes from the fact that we cannot create the required cancellation by the above formula because it is too singular.

In all the above cases, the method of extension is linear in terms of g so from Lemma 2.1, there is a linear extension operator if the conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold. \square

Now that we've proved the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are sufficient, we have to show they are necessary as well. To do this we need to construct some functions in the dual of $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, to prevent any bounded extension when those conditions are not satisfied. We need some lemmas and our first one is a simple consequence of a theorem proved by Uchiyama. We give it below and its proof may be found in [11], [3].

Theorem (Uchiyama). *Let $\lambda > 0$ and A, B be two subsets of \mathbb{R}^n such that for any cube Q ,*

$$(12) \quad \min \left\{ \frac{|Q \cap A|}{|Q|}, \frac{|Q \cap B|}{|Q|} \right\} \leq 2^{-2n\lambda}.$$

Then there exists a function $f \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f = 1$ on B and $f = 0$ on A with $\|f\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $a > 3$ and B the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n . Then for every set $E \subset (2B)^c$ such that $|E \cap aB| < \varepsilon$, there exists a function $f \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the following properties:*

- $f = 0$ on E
- $f = 1$ on B
- $\|f\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \frac{1}{\min\{\log a, \log \varepsilon^{-1}\}}$

Proof. In the above theorem set $B = B$ and $A = E$. Then if a cube Q doesn't intersect both sets, 12 is satisfied for any $\lambda > 0$. So assume it intersects both of them. Then either $Q \cap (aB)^c \neq \emptyset$ or $Q \subset aB$. In the first case $l(Q) \geq \frac{(a-2)}{\sqrt{n}}$ and then $\frac{|Q \cap B|}{|Q|} \lesssim a^{-n}$, and in the second one, we have $l(Q) \geq 1$ and then $\frac{|Q \cap A|}{|Q|} = \frac{|Q \cap E \cap aB|}{|Q|} \leq \varepsilon$. So we may choose $\lambda \approx \min\{\log a, \log \varepsilon^{-1}\}$ and the claim follows from the theorem. \square

To construct similar functions of the above lemma in $\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we need a couple of facts which we bring here as lemmas. The following one is well known. Here it's convenient to write $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}) = \dot{\Lambda}^0(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $f \in \dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R})$ and $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt$, Then $F \in \dot{\Lambda}^{k+1}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|F\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^{k+1}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R})}$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$*

Proof. For $k = 0$ we have

$$|\Delta_h^2 F(x)/h| = \left| 1/h \int_{x+h}^{x+2h} f - 1/h \int_x^{x+h} f \right| \lesssim \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R})}$$

In other words, $\|F\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^1(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R})}$ and for $k \geq 1$ we have

$$\Delta_h^{k+2} F(x) = \Delta_h^{k+1}(\Delta_h^1 F)(x) = \Delta_h^{k+1} \int_0^h f(x+t)dt = \int_0^h (\Delta_h^{k+1} f)(x+t)dt$$

so

$$\left| \frac{\Delta_h^{k+2} F(x)}{h^{k+1}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{|h|} \int_0^h \left| \frac{\Delta_h^{k+1}}{h^k} \right|(x+t)dt \leq \|f\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R})}$$

and we have $\|F\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^{k+1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|f\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R})}$. □

When we want to cut functions in $\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the next lemma is needed. Actually in the inhomogeneous setting it is already known as it says the inhomogeneous Lipschitz spaces are Banach algebras. But here we need the exact inequality so we decided to prove it here.

Lemma 3.10. *Let k be a positive integer, $f \in \dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $fg \in \dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|fg\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sum_{l=1}^k \|f\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^l(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla^{k-l}(g)\|_{L^\infty} + \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^k(g)\|_{L^\infty}$*

Proof. Let $\tau^h g(x) = g(x+h)$, so that

$$(13) \quad \Delta_h^1(fg) = \Delta_h^1(f)\tau^h g + f\Delta_h^1(g).$$

By taking the difference operator $k+1$ times and applying (13) each time we see that $\Delta_h^{k+1}(fg)$ has the form

$$\Delta_h^{k+1}(fg) = \sum_{-1 \leq l \leq k} \sum_{0 \leq m, s \leq k+1} c_{l,k,m,s} \tau^{mh} \Delta_h^{l+1}(f) \tau^{sh} \Delta_h^{k-l}(g).$$

Where in the above $\Delta_h^0(f) = f$ and $c_{l,k,m,s}$ are some integers. So if $1 \leq l \leq k$, $|\Delta_h^{l+1}(f)| \leq \|f\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^l(\mathbb{R}^n)} |h|^l$ and $|\Delta_h^{k-l}(g)| \lesssim \|\nabla^{k-l}(g)\|_{L^\infty} |h|^{k-l}$ ($\|g\|_{L^\infty}$ if $k=l$). Otherwise $|\Delta_h^{l+1}(f)| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty}$ and $|\Delta_h^{k-l}(g)| \lesssim \|\nabla^k(g)\|_{L^\infty} |h|^k$ and the claim follows. □

One more property of $\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that is needed here is the fact that we can interpolate norms. More precisely when $1 \leq l \leq k$ we have

$$(14) \quad \|f\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^l(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty}^{1-\frac{l}{k}} \|f\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{l}{k}}.$$

The reason for this is the fact that $\dot{\Lambda}^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the interpolation space between $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [8] Theorem 17.30).

Now we construct analogous functions to Lemma 3.8 in $\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^1)$ and then we extend them to $\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 3.11. *Suppose $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$, $a > 4$ and let $I \subset [-a, a]$ be an interval with $|I| < 2\varepsilon$. Then for each $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ there exists a function $f_k \in \dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^1)$ with the following properties*

- $f_k = 0$ on $I \cup [-a, a]^c$
- $\int_{-1}^1 |f| = 1$
- $\|f_k\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim a^k$
- $\|f_k\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^1)} \lesssim \frac{1}{\min\{\log a, \log \varepsilon^{-1}\}}$

Proof. We take a BMO function and each time we integrate it and cut it off the interval $[-a, a]$ by a nonnegative smooth cut-off. Then to show that the $\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^1)$ norm doesn't increase, in light of previous lemma we show that it doesn't grow too much.

Suppose x_0 is the mid point of I and consider the function $g(t) = \min\{\log^+ |\frac{t-x_0}{\varepsilon}|, \log^+ |\frac{a}{t}|\}$ which is nonnegative, vanishes on $I \cup [-a, a]^c$ and $g \geq \min\{\log a, \log \frac{\varepsilon^{-1}}{4}\}$ on the interval $[x_0 - 1/4, x_0 + 1/4]^c \cap [-1, 1]$. Also we have $\|g\|_{\text{BMO}} \lesssim 1$. Now let $F_1(x) = \int_{x_0}^x g(t)dt$ which vanishes on I , $|F_1| \gtrsim \min\{\log a, \log \varepsilon^{-1}\}$ on a slightly smaller set like $J = [x_0 - 1/2, x_0 + 1/2]^c \cap [-1, 1]$ and from Lemma 3.9 $\|F_1\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^1(\mathbb{R}^1)} \lesssim 1$. We note that

$$|F_1|(x) \leq \int_{-a}^a g(t)dt \leq \int_{-a}^a \log^+ |\frac{a}{t}|dt \lesssim a$$

Now let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty([-1, 1])$ such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi = 1$ on $[-1/2, 1/2]$ and set $\varphi_a(x) = \varphi(x/a)$. Then let $f_1(x) = F_1(x)\varphi_a(x)$ which has the following properties:

- $f_1 = 0$ on $I \cup [-a, a]^c$
- f_1 is positive on the right hand side of x_0 and negative on the other side with $|f_1| \gtrsim \min\{\log a, \log \varepsilon^{-1}\}$ on J
- $\|f_1\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim a$
- $\|f_1\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^1(\mathbb{R}^1)} \lesssim 1$

To see the last property, we note that $\|F_1\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^1(\mathbb{R}^1)} \lesssim 1$, $\|F_1\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim a$, $\|\varphi_a\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1$, and $\|\varphi'_a\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim a^{-1}$ so then Lemma 3.10 gives us $\|f_1\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^1(\mathbb{R}^1)} \lesssim 1$.

We continue this process, meaning each time we integrate the function of the previous step from x_0 and cut it with g_a outside of $[-a, a]$ then at k -th step we have $F_k(x) = \int_{x_0}^x f_{k-1}(t)dt$ and $f_k = F_k\varphi_a$.

F_k vanishes on I , is positive on the right hand side of x_0 and negative or positive (depending on k) on the other side, and by Lemma 3.9 we have

$$(15) \quad \|F_k\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^1)} \lesssim 1.$$

Moreover induction gives us

$$(16) \quad \|F_k\|_{L^\infty} \leq \int_{-a}^a |f_{k-1}| \lesssim a^k.$$

Now from 16, 15 and 14 we get $\|F_k\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^l(\mathbb{R}^1)} \lesssim a^{k-l}$ for $1 \leq l \leq k$. We also have the bound $\|\varphi_a^{(k-l)}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim a^{l-k}$ for $0 \leq l \leq k$. These facts combined with Lemma 3.10 gives us the estimate $\|f_k\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^1)} \lesssim 1$. Finally we observe that at the previous step, f_{k-1} changes sign only at x_0 . This is important because it implies that by integrating from x_0 , no cancellation happens and then $|f_k| \gtrsim \min\{\log a, \log \varepsilon^{-1}\}$ on J . At the end we normalize f_k by dividing to a constant multiple of $\min\{\log a, \log \varepsilon^{-1}\}$ and this finishes the proof. \square

The following is an analog of Lemma 3.8 in the $\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ setting.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/4$, $a > 4$ then For every set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $w(E \cap aB) < \varepsilon$, there exist a function $f \in \dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the following properties*

- $f = 0$ on E
- $\int_{B_1} |f| = 1$
- $\|f\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \frac{1}{\min\{\log a, \log \varepsilon^{-1}\}}$

Proof. Let ν be a direction for which $w(E \cap aB, \nu) \leq \varepsilon$. we may choose c such that $|\nu \cdot x + c| \leq \varepsilon$ when $x \in E \cap aB$ also let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B)$ with $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ and $\varphi = 1$ on $\frac{1}{2}B$. Now we take function $f_k \in \dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^1)$ constructed the previous lemma with $I = [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ and set $f(x) = f_k(\nu \cdot x + c)\varphi(x/a)$ then this function has the desired properties. In fact $\|f_k(\nu \cdot + c)\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f_k\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^1)}$ and an application of Lemma 3.10 gives us $\|f\|_{\dot{\Lambda}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \frac{1}{\min\{\log a, \log \varepsilon^{-1}\}}$. Finally we normalize f to get $\int_B |f| = 1$. \square

Now that we have constructed our functions in the dual of Hardy spaces we can prove the necessity part of Theorem 3.2.

Proof of necessity. Suppose Ω doesn't satisfy (2) when $p = 1$ ((3) when $p = \frac{n}{n+k}$ with $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) then for $\delta_j = 2^{-j}$ and $a_j = j$ there's a point x_j such that (2)((3)) doesn't hold. Let us denote $B_j = B(x_j, \frac{1}{2}d(x_j))$ and $r_j = \frac{1}{2}d(x_j)$.

Suppose Ω still is an extension domain then we take a sequence of (p, Ω) -atoms g_j with supporting ball B_j and denote their extensions by \tilde{g}_j . We must have $\|\tilde{g}_j\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1$. Let us move every thing to the setting of the unit ball by a translation and dilation. Set $E_j = \frac{\Omega^c - x_j}{r_j}$, $g'_j(x) = r_j^{n/p} g_j(r_j x + x_j)$, $\tilde{g}'_j(x) = r_j^{n/p} \tilde{g}_j(r_j x + x_j)$.

Now when $p = 1$, E_j satisfies the condition in Lemma 3.8 with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_j \approx \delta_j a_j^n$ and $a = a_j$ (in the other case conditions of Lemma 3.12 with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_j = \delta_j$ and $a = a_j$). So there exists a function $f_j \in (H^p(\mathbb{R}^n))'$ with properties of Lemma 3.8(Lemma 3.12). Now from duality and the fact that $\|\tilde{g}'_j\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1$ we get the following

$$|\langle \tilde{g}'_j, f_j \rangle| \lesssim \|\tilde{g}'_j\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_j\|_{(H^p(\mathbb{R}^n))'} \lesssim \frac{1}{\min\{\log a_j, \log \varepsilon_j^{-1}\}}$$

On the other hand because $f_j = 0$ on E_j we get

$$\langle \tilde{g}'_j, f_j \rangle = \int_{B_1} g'_j f_j$$

which results in the following contradiction if we choose $g_j = \text{sgn}(f_j) \cdot |B_j|^{-\frac{1}{p}}$

$$1 \approx \int_{B_1} |f_j| \approx |\langle \tilde{g}'_j, f_j \rangle| \lesssim \frac{1}{\min\{\log a_j, \log \varepsilon_j^{-1}\}} \rightarrow 0$$

and this finishes the proof of necessity and Theorem 3.2. \square

As an application of Theorem 3.2 we are able to characterize the dual of $H^1(\Omega)$ as a complemented subspace of $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [9] the final remark). Let us recall that the dual space of $H^1(\Omega)$ which is denoted by $BMO(\Omega)$ in [9], consists of those functions f such that

$$\|f\|_{BMO(\Omega)} = \sup_{2Q \subset \Omega} \int_Q |f - \int_Q f| + \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)} \int_Q |f| < \infty.$$

With $\mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ to be the set of all Whitney cubes of Ω . By extending $f \in BMO(\Omega)$ to be zero outside of Ω , we get $\tilde{f} \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|\tilde{f}\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{BMO(\Omega)}$. The converse of this inequality is also true when Ω is an H^1 -extension domain. Indeed by using duality we have

$$\|f\|_{BMO(\Omega)} \approx \sup_{\|g\|_{H^1(\Omega)}=1} \langle f, g \rangle \lesssim_{a,\delta} \sup_{\|\tilde{g}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}=1} \langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \rangle \approx \|\tilde{f}\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The above is true because every $g \in H^1(\Omega)$ has a bounded extension $\tilde{g} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So we have the following:

Corollary 3.13. *When Ω is an extension domain for H^1 , the dual of $H^1(\Omega)$ is isomorphic to the closed subspace of $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, consisting of all functions with support on Ω .*

Now let $E : H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the extension operator, then by duality the adjoint operator $E' : BMO(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow BMO(\Omega)$ given by

$$\langle E(f), g \rangle = \langle f, E'(g) \rangle,$$

is bounded. If $g \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with support on Ω and f is an (p, Ω) -atom supported on a Whitney cube Q , then we have

$$\int fg = \int E(f)g = \int fE'(g).$$

So on each Whitney cube Q , $E'(g) = g$, hence $E'(g) = g$ on the whole Ω . This allows us to conclude the following:

Corollary 3.14. *Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n satisfying (2). Then the subspace of all functions in $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, vanishing outside of Ω is a complemented subspace of $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover the operator E' defined above is a bounded projection onto this subspace.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Professor G. Dafni for suggesting the problem and valuable discussions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Butaev, A., Dafni, G. Approximation and Extension of Functions of Vanishing Mean Oscillation. *J Geom Anal* 31, 6892–6921 (2021).
- [2] García-Cuerva, José, and JL Rubio De Francia. *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*. Elsevier, 2011.
- [3] Garnett, John, and Peter Jones. "BMO from dyadic BMO." *Pacific Journal of mathematics* 99.2 (1982): 351-371.
- [4] Grafakos, Loukas. *Classical fourier analysis*. Vol. 2. New York: Springer, 2008.
- [5] Grafakos, Loukas. *Modern fourier analysis*. Vol. 250. New York: Springer, 2009.
- [6] Jones, Peter W. "Extension theorems for BMO." *Indiana University Mathematics Journal* 29.1 (1980): 41-66.
- [7] Jones, Peter W. "Quasiconformal mappings and extendability of functions in Sobolev spaces." *Acta Mathematica* 147 (1981): 71-88.
- [8] Leoni, Giovanni. *A first course in Sobolev spaces*. American Mathematical Soc., 2017.
- [9] Miyachi, Akihiko. " H^p spaces over open subsets of R^n ." *Studia Mathematica* 95.3 (1990): 205-228.
- [10] Stein, Elias M., and Timothy S. Murphy. *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*. Vol. 3. Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [11] Uchiyama, Akihito. "The construction of certain BMO functions and the corona problem." *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 99.1 (1982): 183-204.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY
Email address: shahaboddin.shaabani@mail.concordia.ca