

# A note on another approach on power sums

José Luis Cereceda  
Collado Villalba, 28400 (Madrid), Spain  
[jl.cereceda@movistar.es](mailto:jl.cereceda@movistar.es)

## Abstract

In this note, we first review the novel approach to power sums put forward recently by Muschielok in arXiv:2207.01935v1, which can be summarized by the formula  $S_m^{(a)}(n) = \sum_k c_{mk} \psi_k^{(a)}(n)$ , where the  $c_{mk}$ 's are the expansion coefficients and where the basis functions  $\psi_m^{(a)}(n)$  fulfil the recursive property  $\psi_m^{(a+1)}(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_m^{(a)}(i)$ . Then, we point out a number of supplementary facts concerning the said approach not contemplated explicitly in Muschielok's paper. In particular, we show that, for any given  $m$ , the values of the  $c_{mk}$ 's can be obtained by inverting a matrix involving only binomial coefficients. This may be compared with the original approach of Muschielok, where the values of the  $c_{mk}$ 's can be obtained by inverting a lower triangular matrix involving the Stirling numbers of the first kind. Also, we make a conjecture about the functional form of the coefficients  $c_{m\ m-k}$ .

## 1 Introduction

For integers  $m, a \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ , the  $a$ -fold summation  $S_m^{(a)}(n)$  (or hyper-sum) over the first  $n$  positive integers to the  $m$ -th powers is defined recursively as

$$S_m^{(a)}(n) = \begin{cases} n^m & \text{if } a = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n S_m^{(a-1)}(i) & \text{if } a \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Several methods to obtain explicit formulas for  $S_m^{(a)}(n)$  have been described in the literature (see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9]). Recently, in a very interesting paper, C. Muschielok [10] has developed another approach on the iterated power sums  $S_m^{(a)}(n)$ . Briefly, Muschielok's procedure is as follows.

First, define the polynomial sequence

$$\psi_m(n) = n + (m-1)(n-1)B_{m-1, n-1},$$

where the binomial coefficient  $B_{a,b}$  is given by

$$B_{a,b} = \binom{a+b}{a} = \binom{a+b}{b}.$$

Note that  $\psi_m(n)$  can alternatively be expressed as

$$\psi_m(n) = B_{1, n-1} + m(m-1)B_{m, n-2},$$

where it is understood that  $B_{m, n-2} = 0$  when  $n = 1$ . Similarly to  $S_m^{(a)}(n)$ , we can define recursively the polynomial series of  $a$ -th order  $\psi_m^{(a)}(n)$  with respect to the sequence  $\psi_m(n)$  as

$$\psi_m^{(a)}(n) = \begin{cases} \psi_m(n) & \text{if } a = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_m^{(a-1)}(i) & \text{if } a \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, using the property  $B_{a,b} = \sum_{\beta=1}^b B_{a-1,\beta}$ , it can be shown that the polynomials  $\psi_m^{(a)}(n)$  have the closed form [10, Lemma 3]

$$\psi_m^{(a)}(n) = B_{a+1,n-1} + \frac{m(m-1)}{m+a}(n-1)B_{m+a-1,n-1}. \quad (1)$$

Having established the  $\psi_m^{(a)}(n)$ 's, the next step of Muschiellok's procedure is to express the monomial  $n^m$  as a linear combination of the polynomial basis  $\psi_k(n)$ 's, that is,

$$n^m = \sum_{k=0}^m c_{mk} \psi_k(n),$$

for some certain coefficients  $c_{mk}$ . Hence, from the preceding equation, it immediately follows that

$$S_m^{(a)}(n) = \sum_{k=0}^m c_{mk} \psi_k^{(a)}(n).$$

In order to find the coefficients  $c_{mk}$ , one expands  $\psi_m(n)$  in terms of powers of  $n$ , that is,

$$\psi_m(n) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_{mi} n^i.$$

As this point, it should be noted that, as shown in [10],  $a_{m,0} = a_{m,1} = 0$ . Therefore, in the following (as is done in [10]), and without loss of generality, we restrict ourselves to the case where  $m \geq 2$ . Clearly, the bases  $\{n^k\}_{k=2}^m$  and  $\{\psi_k(n)\}_{k=2}^m$  form a pair of dual bases, and thus we have

$$\sum_{i=2}^m a_{mi} c_{ij} = \delta_{mj}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, m,$$

or, equivalently,

$$c_{mk} = \begin{cases} 1/a_{mm} = (m-2)!, & \text{if } k = m; \\ -(m-2)! \sum_{l=k}^{m-1} a_{ml} c_{lk}, & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq m-1; \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The recurrence equation (2) enables one to obtain the coefficients  $c_{mk}$  in terms of the  $a_{ml}$ 's and the earlier coefficients  $c_{lk}$ . Alternatively, as pointed out in [10], we can build the truncated matrix  $A_m = (a_{ml})$  and calculate its inverse. As we shall presently see, the  $a_{ml}$ 's can be expressed in terms of the Stirling numbers of the first kind. For completeness, below we write down the matrix  $C_{10} = (c_{mk})_{2 \leq m, k \leq 10}$  containing the coefficients  $c_{mk}$  for  $m = 2, 3, \dots, 10$ , and where, for each involved  $m$ , the index  $k$  ranges from 2 to  $m$ :

$$C_{10} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & & & & & & & & \\ 1 & -2 & 2 & & & & & & & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & -10 & 6 & & & & & & \\ 1 & -10 & 40 & -54 & 24 & & & & & \\ 0 & 21 & -140 & 336 & -336 & 120 & & & & \\ 1 & -42 & 462 & -1764 & 3024 & -2400 & 720 & & & \\ 0 & 85 & -1470 & 8442 & -22176 & 29520 & -19440 & 5040 & & \\ 1 & -170 & 4580 & -38178 & 144648 & -288000 & 313200 & -176400 & 40320 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this way, provided with the coefficients  $c_{mk}$ , we can obtain  $S_m^{(a)}(n)$  from

$$S_m^{(a)}(n) = \sum_{k=2}^m c_{mk} \psi_k^{(a)}(n), \quad m \geq 2, \quad (3)$$

with the basis functions  $\psi_k^{(a)}(n)$  being given explicitly in (1).

**Remark 1.** *The expansion coefficients  $c_{mk}$  correspond to the sequence [A355570](#) in OEIS (The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences).*

In the next section, we present a number of additional facts concerning Muschielok's approach not appearing in [10]. In particular, we show that, for any given  $m$ , the numerical values of the coefficients  $c_{m2}, c_{m3}, \dots, c_{mm}$  in the summation formula (3) can be obtained by inverting a matrix involving only binomial coefficients (see equation (9) below). Moreover, we make a conjecture about the functional form of the coefficients  $c_{m, m-k}$ , with  $k \geq 0$  and  $m \geq k + 2$ .

## 2 Facts and Conjecture

In what follows, we point out several facts concerning Muschielok's summation procedure, along with a conjecture.

**Fact 1.** When  $a = 0$ , equation (3) becomes  $n^m = \sum_{k=2}^m c_{mk} \psi_k(n)$ . Since  $\psi_k(1) = 1$ , it immediately follows that

$$\sum_{k=2}^m c_{mk} = 1, \quad \text{for all } m \geq 2. \quad (4)$$

Property (4) can be readily checked for each of the rows in the matrix  $C_{10}$  above.

**Fact 2.** When  $m = 2$ , from (3) and (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_2^{(a)}(n) &= c_{22} \psi_2^{(a)}(n) \\ &= B_{a+1, n-1} + \frac{2}{a+2} (n-1) B_{a+1, n-1} \\ &= \frac{2n+a}{a+2} B_{a+1, n-1} = \frac{2n+a}{a+2} \binom{n+a}{a+1} = \frac{2n+a}{a+2} S_1^{(a)}(n), \end{aligned}$$

in accordance with the result for  $S_2^{(a)}(n)$  given in [8, p. 281].

**Fact 3.** For  $m \geq 2$  and  $l = 2, 3, \dots, m$ , the coefficients  $a_{ml}$  are given explicitly by

$$a_{ml} = \frac{1}{(m-2)!} \left( \binom{m-1}{l-1} - \binom{m-1}{l} \right), \quad (5)$$

or, equivalently,

$$a_{ml} = \frac{1}{(m-2)!} \left( \binom{m}{l} - m \binom{m-1}{l} \right), \quad (6)$$

where  $\binom{m}{l}$  denotes the (unsigned) Stirling numbers of the first kind. Using either (5) or (6), we can construct the matrix  $A_m = (a_{ml})$ . For example, the matrix  $A_{10} = (a_{ml})_{2 \leq m, l \leq 10}$  whose inverse is

$C_{10}$ , is given by

$$A_{10} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & & & & & & & & \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & & & & & & 0 & \\ -\frac{5}{6} & \frac{5}{6} & \frac{5}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & & & & & & \\ -\frac{13}{12} & \frac{5}{8} & \frac{25}{24} & \frac{3}{8} & \frac{1}{24} & & & & & \\ -\frac{77}{60} & \frac{49}{120} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{7}{12} & \frac{7}{60} & \frac{1}{120} & & & & \\ -\frac{29}{20} & \frac{7}{36} & \frac{889}{720} & \frac{7}{9} & \frac{77}{360} & \frac{1}{36} & \frac{1}{720} & & & \\ -\frac{223}{140} & -\frac{4}{315} & \frac{101}{80} & \frac{229}{240} & \frac{13}{40} & \frac{7}{120} & \frac{3}{560} & \frac{1}{5040} & & \\ -\frac{481}{280} & -\frac{61}{288} & \frac{1271}{1008} & \frac{427}{384} & \frac{853}{1920} & \frac{19}{192} & \frac{17}{1344} & \frac{1}{1152} & \frac{1}{40320} & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us further note, incidentally, that the elements of the first column of  $A_m$  are given by  $a_{m2} = 1 - H_{m-2}$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , where  $H_m$  denotes the  $m$ -th harmonic number.

**Fact 4.** By substituting the coefficient  $a_{ml}$  in (2) by its expression in either (5) or (6), one can derive explicit formulas for the coefficients  $c_{mm}, c_{m,m-1}, c_{m,m-2}, c_{m,m-3}$ , etc., in succession. It should be noticed, however, that the complexity of the calculation of  $c_{m,m-k}$  grows rapidly with  $k$ . Next, we quote the exact formula of  $c_{m,m-k}$  for  $k = 0, \dots, 7$ :

$$c_{mm} = (m-2)!, \quad m \geq 2,$$

$$c_{m,m-1} = (m-3)!(3-m)\frac{1}{2}m, \quad m \geq 3,$$

$$c_{m,m-2} = (m-4)!\binom{m}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{4}m^2 - \frac{23}{12}m + \frac{46}{12} \right], \quad m \geq 4,$$

$$c_{m,m-3} = (m-5)!(5-m)\binom{m}{3} \left[ \frac{1}{8}m^2 - \frac{9}{8}m + \frac{11}{4} \right], \quad m \geq 5,$$

$$c_{m,m-4} = (m-6)!\binom{m}{4} \left[ \frac{1}{16}m^4 - \frac{11}{8}m^3 + \frac{553}{48}m^2 - \frac{1747}{40}m + \frac{1901}{30} \right], \quad m \geq 6,$$

$$c_{m,m-5} = (m-7)!(7-m)\binom{m}{5} \left[ \frac{1}{32}m^4 - \frac{37}{48}m^3 + \frac{697}{96}m^2 - \frac{1489}{48}m + \frac{611}{12} \right], \quad m \geq 7,$$

$$c_{m,m-6} = (m-8)!\binom{m}{6} \left[ \frac{1}{64}m^6 - \frac{43}{64}m^5 + \frac{775}{64}m^4 - \frac{67513}{576}m^3 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1930}{3}m^2 - \frac{1916141}{1008}m + \frac{198721}{84} \right], \quad m \geq 8,$$

$$c_{m,m-7} = (m-9)!(9-m)\binom{m}{7} \left[ \frac{1}{128}m^6 - \frac{47}{128}m^5 + \frac{2777}{384}m^4 - \frac{88093}{1152}m^3 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{14669}{32}m^2 - \frac{425993}{288}m + \frac{16083}{8} \right], \quad m \geq 9.$$

Motivated by the patterns exhibited by the above expressions for  $c_{m m-k}$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, 7$ , we propose the following conjecture regarding the functional form of the coefficients  $c_{m m-k}$ :

**Conjecture 1.**

- For all integers  $k \geq 0$  and  $m \geq 2k + 2$ , we have

$$c_{m m-2k} = (m - (2k + 2))! \binom{m}{2k} \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \Gamma_{m,j} m^j,$$

where the non-zero (rational) coefficients in the set  $\{\Gamma_{m,0}, \Gamma_{m,1}, \dots, \Gamma_{m,2k}\}$  have alternating signs, with the leading coefficient  $\Gamma_{m,2k} = 1/2^{2k}$  being positive. Furthermore, the polynomial  $\sum_{j=0}^{2k} \Gamma_{m,j} m^j$  is always a (rational) positive number, and the coefficient  $c_{m m-2k}$  is a positive integer for all  $m \geq 2k + 2$ . In particular, for  $m = 2k + 2$ , we have  $c_{2k+2,2} = 1$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .

- For all integers  $k \geq 0$  and  $m \geq 2k + 3$ , we have

$$c_{m m-(2k+1)} = (m - (2k + 3))! (2k + 3 - m) \binom{m}{2k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \Upsilon_{m,j} m^j,$$

where the non-zero (rational) coefficients in the set  $\{\Upsilon_{m,0}, \Upsilon_{m,1}, \dots, \Upsilon_{m,2k}\}$  have alternating signs, with the leading coefficient  $\Upsilon_{m,2k} = 1/2^{2k+1}$  being positive. Furthermore, the polynomial  $\sum_{j=0}^{2k} \Upsilon_{m,j} m^j$  is always a (rational) positive number, and the coefficient  $c_{m m-(2k+1)}$  is a negative integer for all  $m \geq 2k + 4$ , whereas, for  $m = 2k + 3$ , we have that  $c_{2k+3,2} = 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .

**Fact 5.** By using (1) and (3), and taking into account the property (4) and the definition of  $B_{a,b}$ , we can express  $S_m^{(a)}(n)$  in the form

$$S_m^{(a)}(n) = \binom{n+a}{a+1} \left[ 1 + (n-1)(a+1) \sum_{k=2}^m c_{mk} \binom{a+k}{k}^{-1} \binom{n+a+k-2}{k-2} \right], \quad m \geq 2. \quad (7)$$

In particular, when  $a = 1$ , the above expression yields the following formula for the ordinary power sums  $S_m^{(1)}(n) = 1^m + 2^m + \dots + n^m$ :

$$S_m^{(1)}(n) = \frac{1}{2} n(n+1) \left[ 1 + 2(n-1) \sum_{k=2}^m \frac{c_{mk}}{k+1} \binom{n+k-1}{k-2} \right], \quad m \geq 2.$$

Note that formula (7) gives us  $S_m^{(a)}(n)$  as  $S_1^{(a)}(n)$  times a polynomial in  $n$  of degree  $m - 1$ . Furthermore, formula (7) tells us that  $S_m^{(a)}(1) = 1$  for all integers  $a \geq 0$  and  $m \geq 2$ . (By the way,  $S_m^{(a)}(1) = 1$  for all integers  $a \geq 0$  and  $m \geq 0$ .)

**Fact 6.** For  $a = 0$ , the formula (7) reduces to

$$n^m = n \left[ 1 + (n-1) \sum_{k=2}^m c_{mk} \binom{n+k-2}{k-2} \right],$$

which can be written in the form

$$\sum_{k=2}^m c_{mk} \binom{n+k-2}{n} = \frac{n^{m-1} - 1}{n-1}, \quad (8)$$

provided that  $m \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Letting successively  $n = 2, 3, \dots, m$  in (8) yields the following linear system of  $m - 1$  equations in the unknowns  $c_{m2}, c_{m3}, \dots, c_{mm}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^m \binom{k}{2} c_{mk} &= 2^{m-1} - 1, \\ \sum_{k=2}^m \binom{k+1}{3} c_{mk} &= \frac{3^{m-1} - 1}{2}, \\ &\vdots \\ \sum_{k=2}^m \binom{m+k-2}{m} c_{mk} &= \frac{m^{m-1} - 1}{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, solving for the coefficients  $c_{mk}$ , we are left with the matrix equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{m2} \\ c_{m3} \\ \vdots \\ c_{mm} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \binom{2}{2} & \binom{3}{2} & \binom{4}{2} & \cdots & \binom{m-1}{2} & \binom{m}{2} \\ \binom{3}{3} & \binom{4}{3} & \binom{5}{3} & \cdots & \binom{m}{3} & \binom{m+1}{3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \binom{m}{m} & \binom{m+1}{m} & \binom{m+2}{m} & \cdots & \binom{2m-3}{m} & \binom{2m-2}{m} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 2^{m-1} - 1 \\ \frac{3^{m-1} - 1}{2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{m^{m-1} - 1}{m-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

from which we can obtain, for any given  $m$ , the corresponding values of the  $c_{mk}$ 's. For example, for  $m = 10$ , the matrix equation (9) reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{10,2} \\ c_{10,3} \\ c_{10,4} \\ c_{10,5} \\ c_{10,6} \\ c_{10,7} \\ c_{10,8} \\ c_{10,9} \\ c_{10,10} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 6 & 10 & 15 & 21 & 28 & 36 & 45 \\ 1 & 4 & 10 & 20 & 35 & 56 & 84 & 120 & 165 \\ 1 & 5 & 15 & 35 & 70 & 126 & 210 & 330 & 495 \\ 1 & 6 & 21 & 56 & 126 & 252 & 462 & 792 & 1287 \\ 1 & 7 & 28 & 84 & 210 & 462 & 924 & 1716 & 3003 \\ 1 & 8 & 36 & 120 & 330 & 792 & 1716 & 3432 & 6435 \\ 1 & 9 & 45 & 165 & 495 & 1287 & 3003 & 6435 & 12870 \\ 1 & 10 & 55 & 220 & 715 & 2002 & 5005 & 11440 & 24310 \\ 1 & 11 & 66 & 286 & 1001 & 3003 & 8008 & 19448 & 43758 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 511 \\ 9841 \\ 87381 \\ 488281 \\ 2015539 \\ 6725601 \\ 19173961 \\ 48427561 \\ 111111111 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -170 \\ 4580 \\ -38178 \\ 144648 \\ -288000 \\ 313200 \\ -176400 \\ 40320 \end{pmatrix},$$

thus retrieving the values of  $c_{10,2}, c_{10,3}, \dots, c_{10,10}$  appearing in the last row of the matrix  $C_{10}$ .

**Fact 7.** Let us recall that  $S_m^{(a)}(n)$  admits the following representation in terms of the Stirling numbers of the second kind  $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} m \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ :

$$S_m^{(a)}(n) = \sum_{k=1}^m k! \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} m \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \binom{n+a}{a+k}, \quad a \geq 0, m \geq 1,$$

(see [6]). Therefore, writing the last formula as

$$S_m^{(a)}(n) = \binom{n+a}{a+1} \left[ 1 + \binom{n+a}{a+1}^{-1} \sum_{k=2}^m k! \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} m \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \binom{n+a}{a+k} \right],$$

and comparing it to (7), we obtain the identity

$$\sum_{k=2}^m c_{mk} \binom{a+k}{k}^{-1} \binom{n+a+k-2}{k-2} = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \binom{n+a}{a}^{-1} \sum_{k=2}^m k! \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} m \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \binom{n+a}{a+k},$$

which holds for any integers  $a \geq 0$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , and  $n \geq 2$ . In particular, when  $a = 0$ , the above identity reduces to

$$\sum_{k=2}^m c_{mk} \binom{n+k-2}{k-2} = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{k=2}^m k! \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} m \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \binom{n}{k},$$

which, of course, is equivalent to (8).

**Fact 8.** Starting from  $\psi_m(n) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_{mi} n^i$ , one can readily obtain the recurrence relation

$$S_m^{(a)}(n) = (m-2)! \left[ \psi_m^{(a)}(n) - \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} a_{mi} S_i^{(a)}(n) \right],$$

which applies to any integers  $a \geq 0$  and  $m \geq 2$ , and where the summation on the right-hand side is zero if  $m = 2$ . For the case  $m = 2$ , as shown in Fact 2, we have  $S_2^{(a)}(n) = \psi_2^{(a)}(n) = \frac{2n+a}{a+2} \binom{n+a}{a+1}$ . When  $m > 2$ , the above recurrence relation gives us  $S_m^{(a)}(n)$  in terms of  $\psi_m^{(a)}(n)$ , the coefficients  $a_{mi}$ , and the earlier sums  $S_i^{(a)}(n)$ ,  $i = 2, 3, \dots, m-1$ .

## Note added

In a sequel to [10], Muschielok evaluated sums of the form

$$T_m^\alpha = \sum_{k=2}^m c_{mk} k^\alpha,$$

for integer  $\alpha \geq 1$ . In particular, he showed that  $T_m^1 = \sum_{k=2}^m c_{mk} k = m$  ([11, Equation (35)]). Moreover, he obtained the relation ([11, Equation (48)])

$$n^m - n + m = \sum_{l=2}^n a_{nl} T_m^l,$$

which we write as

$$n^m - n = -T_m^1 + \sum_{l=2}^n a_{nl} T_m^l, \quad (10)$$

with  $n \geq 2$ . On the other hand, it is to be noted that (8) can be expressed in the form

$$n^m - n = \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \sum_{k=2}^m c_{mk} (k-1)^{\overline{n}},$$

where  $n \geq 2$  and  $(k-1)^{\overline{n}} = (k-1)k \cdots (k+n-2)$ . Hence, using that  $(k-1)^{\overline{n}} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (k-1)^j$ , and applying the binomial theorem to  $(k-1)^j$ , it follows immediately that

$$n^m - n = \sum_{l=0}^n A_{nl} T_m^l, \quad (11)$$

where

$$A_{nl} = \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \sum_{j=l}^n (-1)^{j-l} \binom{j}{l} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, comparing (10) and (11), we are led to conclude that  $A_{n0} = 0$ ,  $A_{n1} = -1$ , and, for  $l \geq 2$ ,  $A_{nl} = a_{nl}$ . Renaming  $n$  as  $m$ , the latter means that

$$a_{ml} = \frac{1}{(m-2)!} \sum_{j=l}^m (-1)^{j-l} \binom{j}{l} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ j \end{bmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

Incidentally, in view of (5) and (12), we deduce the identity

$$\sum_{j=l}^m (-1)^{j-l} \binom{j}{l} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ l-1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ l \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{with } 2 \leq l \leq m.$$

**Acknowledgment.** I would like to thank Christoph Muschielok for useful interchange of e-mails concerning his papers [10] and [11].

## References

- [1] Bounebirat F., Laissaoui D., & Rahmani M., Several explicit formulae of sums and hyper-sums of powers of integers, *Online Journal of Analytic Combinatorics*, 13 (4), 9 p., 2018.
- [2] Cereceda J. L., Iterative procedure for hypersums of powers of integers, *J. Integer Seq.*, 17, Article 14.5.3, 8 p., 2014.
- [3] Cereceda J. L., A determinantal formula for the hyper-sums of powers of integers, arXiv: 2207.14188v3 [math.NT], 2022.
- [4] Chen W. Y. C., Fu A. M., & Zhang I. F., Faulhaber's theorem on power sums, *Discrete Math.*, 309 (10), 2974–2981, 2009.



- [5] Coffey M. W., & Lettington M. C., On Fibonnaci polynomial expressions for sums of  $m$ th powers, their implications for Faulhaber's formula and some theorems of Fermat, arXiv:1510.05402v1 [math.NT], 2015.
- [6] Inaba Y., Hyper-sums of powers of integers and the Akiyama-Tanigawa matrix, *J. Integer Seq.*, 8, Article 05.2.7, 6 p., 2005.
- [7] Kargin L., Cenkci M., Dil A., & Can M., Generalized harmonic numbers via poly-Bernoulli polynomials, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 100 (3-4), 365–386, 2022.
- [8] Knuth D. E., Johann Faulhaber and sums of powers, *Math. Comp.*, 61 (203), 277–294, 1993.
- [9] Laissaoui D., Bounebirat F., & Rahmani M., On the hyper-sums of powers of integers, *Miskolc Math. Notes*, 18 (1), 307–314, 2017.
- [10] Muschielok C., Another approach on power sums, arXiv:2207.01935v1 [math.CO], 2022.
- [11] Muschielok C., Further identities for  $c_{mk}$ - and  $a_{mk}$ -weighted sums and a remark on a representation of Pythagoras' equation, arXiv:2208.11032v2 [math.NT], 2022.