

\mathcal{A} -2-FRAMES IN \mathcal{A} -2-INNER PRODUCT SPACES

B. MOHEBBI NAJMABADI AND T.L. SHATERI

ABSTRACT. Certain results about frames are extended for the new frames in Hilbert C^* -modules. In this paper, we introduce the notion of \mathcal{A} -2-frames in \mathcal{A} -2-inner product spaces and give some characterizations for these frames. Then we define the tensor product of \mathcal{A} -2-frames and prove some results for it.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Frames were first introduced in 1952 by Duffin and Schaeffer [3]. In 2000, Frank-Larson [4] introduced the notion of frames in Hilbert C^* -modules as a generalization of frames in Hilbert spaces and Jing [6] continued to consider them. It is well known that Hilbert C^* -modules are generalizations of Hilbert spaces by allowing the inner product to take values in a C^* -algebra rather than in the field of complex numbers. The theory of 2-inner product spaces as well as an extensive list of related references can be found in [5, 2]. The concept of 2-frames for 2-inner product spaces was introduced by A. Arefijamal and Ghadir Sadeghi [1] and described some fundamental properties of them. Recently T. Mehdiabad and A. Nazari [7] introduced the \mathcal{A} -2-inner product space and investigate some inequalities in these spaces. The authors [8] defined a 2-inner product that takes values in a locally C^* -algebra and studied some properties of it.

In this paper, we introduce an \mathcal{A} -2-frame in the \mathcal{A} -2-inner product space and describe some fundamental properties of them. The tensor product of \mathcal{A} -2-frames in the \mathcal{A} -2-inner product space is introduced. It is shown that the tensor product of two \mathcal{A} -2-frames is an \mathcal{A} -2-frame for the tensor product of \mathcal{A} -2-inner product space. Also, we investigate tensor products of \mathcal{A} -2-frames. From now, \mathcal{A} denotes a C^* -algebra.

Date: September, 14, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46C50; Secondary 42C15.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert C^* -module, \mathcal{A} -2-inner product space, tensor product, \mathcal{A} -2-frame.

*The corresponding author: t.shateri@hsu.ac.ir; t.shateri@gmail.com (Tayebe Lal Shateri).

Definition 1.1. A pre-Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module is a complex vector space E which is also a left \mathcal{A} -module, compatible with the Complex algebra structure, equipped with an \mathcal{A} -valued inner product

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \times E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which is \mathbb{C} -linear and \mathcal{A} -linear in its second variable and satisfies the following relations

- (I₁) $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$ for every $x \in E$,
- (I₂) $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle^*$ for every $x, y \in E$,
- (I₃) $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,
- (I₄) $\langle ax, by \rangle = a^* \langle x, y \rangle b$ for every $x, y \in E$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (I₅) $\langle x, \alpha y + \beta z \rangle = \alpha \langle x, y \rangle + \beta \langle x, z \rangle$ for every $x, y, z \in E$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Example 1.2. Let $l^2(\mathcal{A})$ be the set of all sequences $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} such that the series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n a_n^*$ is convergent in \mathcal{A} . Then $l^2(\mathcal{A})$ is a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module with respect to the pointwise operations and inner product defined

$$\langle \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n b_n^*.$$

Definition 1.3. Let E be a left \mathcal{A} -module, an \mathcal{A} -combination of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n in E is written as follows

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_n x_n \quad (a_i \in \mathcal{A}).$$

x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are called \mathcal{A} -independent if the equation $a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_n x_n = 0$ has exactly one solution, namely $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = 0$, otherwise, we say that x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are \mathcal{A} -dependent.

The maximum number of elements in E that are \mathcal{A} -independent is called the \mathcal{A} -rank of E .

Definition 1.4. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and E be a linear space by \mathcal{A} -rank greater than 1, which is also a left \mathcal{A} -module. We define a function $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle : E \times E \times E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the following properties

- (T₁) $\langle x, x | y \rangle = 0$, if and only if $x = ay$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$
- (T₂) $\langle x, x | y \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in E$
- (T₃) $\langle x, x | y \rangle = \langle y, y | x \rangle$ for all $x, y \in E$
- (T₄) $\langle x, y | z \rangle = \langle y, x | z \rangle^*$ for all $x, y, z \in E$
- (T₅) $\langle ax, by | z \rangle = a \langle x, y | z \rangle b^*$ for all $x, y, z \in E$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$

(T_6) $\langle x, \alpha y | z \rangle = \overline{\alpha} \langle x, y | z \rangle$ for all $x, y \in E$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$

(T_7) $\langle x + y, z | w \rangle = \langle x, z | w \rangle + \langle y, z | w \rangle$ for all $x, y, z, w \in E$.

Then the function $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is called \mathcal{A} -2- inner product and $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ is called \mathcal{A} -2-inner product space.

Example 1.5. [8] Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative C^* -algebra and E be a pre-Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, define $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle : E \times E \times E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by

$$(x, y, z) \longmapsto \langle x, y | z \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle \langle z, z \rangle - \langle x, z \rangle \langle z, y \rangle$$

Then $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ is a \mathcal{A} -2- inner product space.

Theorem 1.6. Let $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ be an \mathcal{A} -2-inner product space on a commutative C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Then the following inequality holds,

$$|\langle x, y | z \rangle|^2 = \langle x, y | z \rangle \langle x, y | z \rangle^* \leq \langle x, x | z \rangle \langle y, y | z \rangle \quad (x, y, z \in E).$$

Proof. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \langle \lambda x - y, \lambda x - y | z \rangle &= \langle \lambda x, \lambda x | z \rangle - \langle \lambda x, y | z \rangle - \langle y, \lambda x | z \rangle + \langle y, y | z \rangle \\ &= \lambda^* \langle x, x | z \rangle \lambda - \lambda^* \langle x, y | z \rangle - \langle y, x | z \rangle \lambda + \langle y, y | z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Take $\lambda = \langle x, y | z \rangle (\langle x, x | z \rangle + \varepsilon e)^{-1}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \langle y, x | z \rangle (\langle x, x | z \rangle + \varepsilon e)^{-1} \langle x, x | z \rangle \langle x, y | z \rangle (\langle x, x | z \rangle + \varepsilon e)^{-1} \\ - \langle y, x | z \rangle (\langle x, x | z \rangle + \varepsilon e)^{-1} \langle x, y | z \rangle - \langle y, x | z \rangle \langle x, y | z \rangle (\langle x, x | z \rangle + \varepsilon e)^{-1} + \langle y, y | z \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

hence, $2\langle y, x | z \rangle \langle x, y | z \rangle \leq (\langle x, x | z \rangle + \varepsilon e)^{-1} \langle x, x | z \rangle \langle y, x | z \rangle \langle x, y | z \rangle + \langle y, y | z \rangle (\langle x, x | z \rangle + \varepsilon e) \leq (\langle x, x | z \rangle + \varepsilon e)^{-1} (\langle x, x | z \rangle + \varepsilon e) \langle y, x | z \rangle \langle x, y | z \rangle + \langle y, y | z \rangle (\langle x, x | z \rangle + \varepsilon e)$ then by $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ inequality holds. \square

Definition 1.7. [7] Let E be a real vector space that \mathcal{A} -rank is greater than 1 and $p : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that

- (1) $p(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x, y \in E$ are linearly \mathcal{A} - dependent,
- (2) $p(x, y) = p(y, x)$ for every $x, y \in E$,
- (3) $p(\alpha x, y) = |\alpha| p(x, y)$, for every $x, y \in E$ and for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,
- (4) $p(x + y, z) \leq p(x, z) + p(y, z)$, for every $x, y, z \in E$.

(5) $P(ax, y) \leq \|a\|p(x, y)$, for every $x, y \in E$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, The function p is called an \mathcal{A} -2-norm.

It follows from theorem 1.6 that

Corollary 1.8. [7] *Let E be an \mathcal{A} -2- inner product space, For $x, z \in E$ we define $p(x, z) = \sqrt{\|(\langle x, x|z \rangle)\|}$. Then $\|\langle x, y|z \rangle\| \leq p(x, z)p(y, z)$.*

In the following theorem, we investigate some properties of an \mathcal{A} -2-norm.

Theorem 1.9. *Let $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ be an \mathcal{A} -2-inner product space and p be an \mathcal{A} -2-norm, then*

- (1) $p(x, y) = \sup \{\|\langle x, z|y \rangle\|; p(z, y) = 1\}$.
- (2) $p(x, y + ax) = p(x, y)$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. (1) By the Cauchy-schwarz inequality we observe that $\|\langle x, z|y \rangle\| \leq p(x, y)p(z, y) \leq p(x, y)$, for every $z \in E$ such that $p(z, y) \leq 1$. Moreover if $z = \frac{x}{p(x, y)}$ then $p(z, y) = 1$ and therefore $\|\langle x, z|y \rangle\| = p(x, y)$. \square

Let E be an \mathcal{A} -2- inner product space. A sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of E is said to be convergent if there exists an element $a \in E$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(a_n - a, x) = 0$, for all $x \in E$. Similarly, we can define a Cauchy sequence in E . An \mathcal{A} -2- inner product space E is called an \mathcal{A} -2- Hilbert space if it is complete.

Now, we give the notion of a frame on a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module which is defined in [6, definition 3.1].

Definition 1.10. [6] Let \mathcal{A} be an unital C^* -algebra and E be a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module. The sequence $\{x_j \in E | j \in J \subseteq \mathbb{N}\}$ is called a frame for E if there exist two positive elements A and B in real numbers such that

$$A\langle x, x \rangle \leq \sum_{j \in J} \langle x, x_j \rangle \langle x_j, x \rangle \leq B\langle x, x \rangle \quad (x \in E).$$

The frame $\{x_j\}$ is said to be tight frame if $A = B$, and said to be Parseval if $A = B = 1$. The operator $T : E \rightarrow l^2(\mathcal{A})$ defined by

$$Tx = \{\langle x, x_j \rangle\}_{j \in J}$$

is called the analysis operator. The adjoint operator $T^* : l^2(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow E$ is given by

$$T^* \{c_j\}_{j \in J} = \sum_{j \in J} c_j x_j$$

is called the pre-frame operator or the synthesis operator. By composing T and T^* , we obtain the frame operator $S : E \rightarrow E$, by

$$S = T^*Tx = \sum_{j \in J} \langle x, x_j \rangle x_j.$$

Also from this equation, we have

$$x = \sum_{j \in J} \langle x, S^{-1}x_j \rangle x_j \quad (x \in E).$$

Now we are ready to define an \mathcal{A} -2-frame on an \mathcal{A} -2- Hilbert space.

2. \mathcal{A} -2-FRAMES

In this section we define \mathcal{A} -2-frames on \mathcal{A} -2- Hilbert spaces, and we give some results about them.

Definition 2.1. Let $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ be an \mathcal{A} -2- Hilbert space and $\xi \in E$. A sequence $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of E is called an \mathcal{A} -2-frame (associated to ξ) if there exist positive real numbers A and B such that

$$A \langle x, x | \xi \rangle \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, x_i | \xi \rangle \langle x_i, x | \xi \rangle \leq B \langle x, x | \xi \rangle \quad (x \in E). \quad (2.1)$$

A sequence satisfying the upper \mathcal{A} -2-frame condition is called an \mathcal{A} -2-Bessel sequence, and every x_i is A - independent to ξ .

Proposition 2.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative and $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module and $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a frame for E . Then for invertible element $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle$, it is an \mathcal{A} -2-frame with the standard \mathcal{A} -2-inner product.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, x_i | \xi \rangle \langle x_i, x | \xi \rangle &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x \langle \xi, \xi \rangle - \langle \xi, x \rangle \xi, x_i \rangle \langle x_i, x \langle \xi, \xi \rangle - \langle \xi, x \rangle \xi \rangle \\ &\leq B \langle x \langle \xi, \xi \rangle - \langle \xi, x \rangle \xi, x \langle \xi, \xi \rangle - \langle \xi, x \rangle \xi \rangle \\ &\leq B \langle \xi, \xi \rangle \left(\langle x, x \rangle \langle \xi, \xi \rangle - \langle x, \xi \rangle \langle \xi, x \rangle \right) \\ &= B \langle \xi, \xi \rangle \left(\langle x, x | \xi \rangle \right) \leq \|B \langle \xi, \xi \rangle\| \left(\langle x, x | \xi \rangle \right) \end{aligned}$$

Take $D = \|B \langle \xi, \xi \rangle\|$, the argument for lower bound is similar. □

In the following proposition, E is a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module in which every closed submodule is orthogonally complemented and $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle$ is invertible and L_ξ is the subspace generated with ξ .

Proposition 2.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative and $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module and $\xi \in E$. Every \mathcal{A} -2-frame associated with ξ is a frame for L_ξ^\perp .*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x \langle \xi, \xi \rangle - \langle \xi, x \rangle \xi, x_i \rangle \langle x_i, x \langle \xi, \xi \rangle - \langle \xi, x \rangle \xi \rangle \\ & \leq B \langle x \langle \xi, \xi \rangle - \langle \xi, x \rangle \xi, x \langle \xi, \xi \rangle - \langle \xi, x \rangle \xi \rangle \\ & \leq B \langle \xi, \xi \rangle \left(\langle x, x \rangle \langle \xi, \xi \rangle - \langle x, \xi \rangle \langle \xi, x \rangle \right) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$A \langle x, x \rangle \langle \xi, \xi \rangle^2 \leq \langle \xi, \xi \rangle^2 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle x_i, x \rangle \leq B \langle \xi, \xi \rangle^2 \langle x, x \rangle \quad (x \in L_\xi^\perp)$$

Since $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle$ is invertible, the proof is completed. \square

Let $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an \mathcal{A} -2-Hilbert space and L_ξ be the subspace generated with ξ for a fix element ξ in E . Denote by \mathcal{M}_ξ the algebraic complement of L_ξ in E . So $L_\xi \oplus \mathcal{M}_\xi = E$. We define the semi-inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\xi$ on E as following

$$\langle x, z \rangle_\xi = \langle x, z | \xi \rangle.$$

This semi-inner product induces an inner product on the quotient space E/L_ξ as

$$\langle x + L_\xi, z + L_\xi \rangle_\xi = \langle x, z \rangle_\xi. \quad (z, x \in E).$$

By identifying E/L_ξ with \mathcal{M}_ξ in an obvious way, we obtain an inner product on \mathcal{M}_ξ .

Now if $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq E$ is an \mathcal{A} -2-frame associated with ξ with bounds A and B , we can rewrite(2.1) as

$$A \langle x, x \rangle_\xi \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle x_i, x \rangle \leq B \langle x, x \rangle_\xi \quad (x \in \mathcal{M}_\xi).$$

That is, $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a frame for \mathcal{M}_ξ . Let E_ξ be the completion of the inner product space E_ξ , then the sequence $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is also a frame for E_ξ . To summarize, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ be an \mathcal{A} -2-Hilbert space. Then $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq E$ is an \mathcal{A} -2-frame associated with ξ if and only if it is a frame for the Hilbert space E_ξ .*

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an \mathcal{A} -2-Bessel sequence in E . Then the \mathcal{A} -2-pre frame operator $T : l^2(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow E_\xi$ defined by*

$$T_\xi\{c_i\} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} c_i x_i$$

is well-defined and bounded.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i - \sum_{i=1}^m c_i x_i, \xi \right\|^2 &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \left\langle \sum_{i=m+1}^n c_i x_i, y | \xi \right\rangle \right\|^2, y \in E_\xi, \|y, \xi\| = 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \left\| \left\langle \sum_{i=m+1}^n \langle x_i, y | \xi \rangle \langle y, x_i | \xi \rangle, y \right\rangle \right\|, y \in E_\xi, \|y, \xi\| = 1 \right\} \left\| \sum_{i=m+1}^n c_i c_i^* \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=m+1}^n c_i c_i^* \right\| \|B\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since B is the upper bound of $\{x_i\}$ this implies that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty c_i x_i$ is well defined as an element of E_ξ . Moreover if $\{c_i\}$ is a sequence in $l^2(\mathcal{A})$, then an argument as above shows that

$$\|T_\xi(\{c_i\})\| \leq \sqrt{\|B\|} \left\| \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} c_i c_i^* \right\|$$

In particular, $\|T_\xi\| \leq \sqrt{\|B\|}$. □

We have $\langle x, T(c_j) | \xi \rangle = \langle x, T(c_j) \rangle_\xi = \langle x, \sum c_j x_j \rangle_\xi = \sum \langle x, x_j \rangle_\xi c_j^* = \langle \langle x, x_j \rangle_\xi, c_j \rangle$ Next, we can compute T_ξ^* , the adjoint of T_ξ as

$$T_\xi^* : E_\xi \rightarrow l^2(\mathcal{A}); \quad T_\xi^* x = \{\langle x, x_i | \xi \rangle\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

T_ξ^* is well-defined and bounded, because

$$\|T_\xi^*(x)\|^2 = \left\| \{\langle x, x_i | \xi \rangle\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, x_i | \xi \rangle \langle x_i, x | \xi \rangle \right\| \leq \|B\| \|x, \xi\|$$

That implies $\|T_\xi^*\| \leq \sqrt{\|B\|}$.

Definition 2.6. Let $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an \mathcal{A} -2-frame associated to ξ with bounds A and B in an \mathcal{A} -2-Hilbert space E . The operator $S_\xi : E_\xi \rightarrow E_\xi$ defined by

$$S_\xi x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, x_i | \xi \rangle x_i. \quad (2.2)$$

is called the \mathcal{A} -2-frame operator for $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

In the next theorem, we investigate some properties of S_ξ .

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an \mathcal{A} -2-frame associated to ξ for an \mathcal{A} -2-Hilbert space $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ with \mathcal{A} -2-frame operator S_ξ . Then S_ξ is bounded, invertible, self-adjoint, and positive.*

Proof. It is clear that $S_\xi = T_\xi T_\xi^*$ is self adjoint and

$$\|S_\xi\| = \|T_\xi T_\xi^*\| = \|T_\xi\|^2 \leq \|B\|.$$

We can conclude the boundedness of S_ξ directly

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_\xi(x), \xi\|^2 &= \sup\{\|\langle S_\xi(x), y | \xi \rangle\|^2, y \in E_\xi, \|y, \xi\| = 1\} \\ &= \sup\{\|\langle \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle x, x_i | \xi \rangle x_i, y | \xi \rangle\|^2, y \in E_\xi, \|y, \xi\| = 1\} \\ &\leq \sup\{\|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle x, x_i | \xi \rangle \langle x_i, x | \xi \rangle\| \|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle y, x_i | \xi \rangle \langle x_i, y | \xi \rangle\|, \|y, \xi\| = 1\} \\ &\leq \|B\|^2 \|\langle x, x | \xi \rangle\| \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (2.1) means that

$$A \langle x, x \rangle_\xi \leq \langle S_\xi(x), x \rangle_\xi \leq B \langle x, x \rangle_\xi$$

S_ξ is a positive element in the set of all bounded operators on the Hilbert space E_ξ . \square

By the definition of S_ξ we get the following results.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an \mathcal{A} -2-frame in an \mathcal{A} -2-Hilbert space $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ with frame operator S_ξ . Then each $x \in E_\xi$ has an expansion of the following*

$$x = S S_\xi^{-1} x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle S_\xi^{-1} x, x_i | \xi \rangle x_i.$$

Corollary 2.9. *Let ξ and η be \mathcal{A} -independent and $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an \mathcal{A} -2-frame associated with ξ and η , and for $x \in E_\xi \cap E_\eta$, the operators $S_\xi, S_\eta : E_\xi \cap E_\eta \rightarrow E_\xi \cap E_\eta$ defined by,*

$$S_\xi x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, x_i | \xi \rangle x_i,$$

$$S_\eta x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, x_i | \eta \rangle x_i.$$

Then we have $\langle S_\eta x, x | \xi \rangle = \langle S_\xi x, x | \eta \rangle^*$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_\eta x, x | \xi \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, x_i | \eta \rangle x_i, x | \xi \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, x_i | \eta \rangle \langle x_i, x | \xi \rangle \\ &= \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, x_i | \xi \rangle \langle x_i, x | \eta \rangle \right)^* \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, x_i | \xi \rangle x_i, x | \eta \right\rangle^* \\ &= \langle S_\xi x, x | \eta \rangle^*. \end{aligned}$$

□

3. TENSOR PRODUCT OF \mathcal{A} -2-FRAMES

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be C^* -algebras, E an \mathcal{A} -2-Hilbert space and F be a \mathcal{B} -2-Hilbert space. We take $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ as the completion of $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{alg} \mathcal{B}$ with the spatial norm. Hence $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is a C^* -algebra and for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$ we have $\|a \otimes b\| = \|a\| \|b\|$. The algebraic tensor product $E \otimes_{alg} F$ is a pre-Hilbert $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -module with module action

$$(a \otimes b)(x \otimes y) = ax \otimes by \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}, x \in E, y \in F)$$

and $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -valued 2-inner product

$$\langle x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2 | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2 | \xi \rangle \otimes \langle y_1, y_2 | \eta \rangle \quad (x_1, x_2, \xi \in E, y_1, y_2, \eta \in F).$$

The $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -2-norm on $E \otimes F$ is defined by

$$\|x_1 \otimes x_2, y_1 \otimes y_2\| = \|x_1, y_1\|_{\mathcal{A}} \|x_2, y_2\|_{\mathcal{B}} \quad (x_1, y_1 \in E, x_2, y_2 \in F).$$

where $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ are norms generated by $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$ respectively. The space $E \otimes F$ is complete with the above 2-inner product. Therefore, the space $E \otimes F$ is

an $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -2-Hilbert space.

The following definition is the extension of (2.1) to the sequence $\{x_i \otimes y_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Definition 3.1. Let $\{x_i\}$ and $\{y_i\}$ be two sequences in \mathcal{A} -2-Hilbert space E and \mathcal{B} -2-Hilbert space F , respectively. Then, the sequence $\{x_i \otimes y_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be a tensor product of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -2-frame for the tensor product of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -2-Hilbert space $E \otimes F$ associated to $\xi \otimes \eta$ if there exist two constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$A\langle x \otimes y, x \otimes y | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle \leq \sum_{i,j} \langle x \otimes y, x_i \otimes y_j | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle \langle x_i \otimes y_j, x \otimes y | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle \leq B\langle x \otimes y, x \otimes y | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle$$

for all $x \in E, y \in F$.

The numbers A and B are called lower and upper frame bounds of the tensor product of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -2-frame respectively.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an \mathcal{A} -2-frame for E with frame bounds A and B associated to ξ and let $\{y_i\}_{i \in J}$ be a \mathcal{B} -2-frame for F with frame bounds C and D associated with η . Then $\{x_i \otimes y_i\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$ is an $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -2-frame for $E \otimes F$ with frame bounds AC and BD associated with $\xi \otimes \eta$, if $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{y_i\}_{i \in J}$ are tight or Parseval frames, then so is $\{x_i \otimes y_i\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$.

Proof. Let $x \in E$ and $y \in F$. Then we have

$$A\langle x, x | \xi \rangle \leq \sum_{i \in I} \langle x, x_i | \xi \rangle \langle x_i, x | \xi \rangle \leq B\langle x, x | \xi \rangle \quad (3.1)$$

$$C\langle y, y | \eta \rangle \leq \sum_{j \in J} \langle y, y_j | \eta \rangle \langle y_j, y | \eta \rangle \leq D\langle y, y | \eta \rangle. \quad (3.2)$$

We know if a, b are hermitian elements of \mathcal{A} and $a \leq b$, then for every positive element x of \mathcal{B} , we have $a \otimes x \leq b \otimes x$. Therefore

$$A\langle x, x | \xi \rangle \otimes \langle y, y | \eta \rangle \leq \sum_{i \in I} \langle x, x_i | \xi \rangle \langle x_i, x | \xi \rangle \otimes \langle y, y | \eta \rangle \leq B\langle x, x | \xi \rangle \otimes \langle y, y | \eta \rangle.$$

Now by (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} AC\langle x, x | \xi \rangle \otimes \langle y, y | \eta \rangle &\leq \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \langle x, x_i | \xi \rangle \langle x_i, x | \xi \rangle \otimes \langle y, y_j | \eta \rangle \langle y_j, y | \eta \rangle \\ &\leq B\langle x, x | \xi \rangle \otimes \sum_{j \in J} \langle y, y_j | \eta \rangle \langle y_j, y | \eta \rangle \\ &\leq BD\langle x, x | \xi \rangle \otimes \langle y, y | \eta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} AC\langle x \otimes y, x \otimes y | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle &\leq \sum_{i,j} \langle x \otimes y, x_i \otimes y_j | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle \langle x_i \otimes y_j, x \otimes y | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle \\ &\leq BD\langle x \otimes y, x \otimes y | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From these inequalities, it follows that for all $z = \sum_{k=1}^n u_k \otimes v_k \in E \otimes_{alg} F$

$$\begin{aligned} AC\langle z, z | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle &\leq \sum_{i,j} \langle z, z | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle \langle x_i \otimes y_j, z | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle \\ &\leq BD\langle z, z | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it holds for all $z \in E \otimes F$. □

If take $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}$ and define $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -2-product by

$$\langle x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2 | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2 | \xi \rangle \langle y_1, y_2 | \eta \rangle \quad (x_1, x_2, \xi \in E, y_1, y_2, \eta \in F). \quad (3.3)$$

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\{x_i \otimes y_i\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$ be a $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -2-frame for $E \otimes F$ associated with $\xi \otimes \eta$, then $\{y_i\}_{j \in J}$ is a \mathcal{B} -2-frame for F associated with η .*

Proof. Suppose that $\{x_i \otimes y_i\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$ is a $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -2-frame for $E \otimes F$ associated to $\xi \otimes \eta$. Then for $x \otimes y \in E \otimes F - \{0 \otimes 0\}$, we have

$$A\langle x \otimes y, x \otimes y | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle \leq \sum_{i,j} \langle x \otimes y, x_i \otimes y_j | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle \langle x_i \otimes y_j, x \otimes y | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle \leq B\langle x \otimes y, x \otimes y | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle.$$

By using (3.3) the above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} A\langle x, x | \xi \rangle \langle y, y | \eta \rangle &\leq \sum_{i \in I} |\langle x, x_i | \xi \rangle|^2 \sum_{j \in J} \langle y, y_j | \eta \rangle \langle y_j, y | \eta \rangle \\ &\leq B\langle x, x | \xi \rangle \langle y, y | \eta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A\langle x, x | \xi \rangle}{\sum_{i \in I} |\langle x, x_i | \xi \rangle|^2} \langle y, y | \eta \rangle &\leq \sum_{j \in J} \langle y, y_j | \eta \rangle \langle y_j, y | \eta \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{B\langle x, x | \xi \rangle}{\sum_{i \in I} |\langle x, x_i | \xi \rangle|^2} \langle y, y | \eta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$A_1 \langle y, y|\eta \rangle \leq \sum_{j \in J} \langle y, y_j|\eta \rangle \langle y_j, y|\eta \rangle \leq B_1 \langle y, y|\eta \rangle. \quad (\forall y \in F)$$

Where $A_1 = \frac{A \langle x, x|\xi \rangle}{\sum_{i \in I} |\langle x, x_i|\xi \rangle|^2}$ and $B_1 = \frac{B \langle x, x|\xi \rangle}{\sum_{i \in I} |\langle x, x_i|\xi \rangle|^2}$. □

Remark 3.4. If the sequences $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$, $\{y_j\}_{j \in J}$ and $\{x_i \otimes y_j\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$ are 2-frames for Hilbert spaces E_ξ , F_η and $(E \otimes F)_{\xi \otimes \eta}$ respectively and S_ξ , S_η and $S_{x_i \otimes y_j}$ are frame operators of above frames respectively, then from (2.6), we have the following

$$S_\xi x = \sum_{i \in I} \langle x, x_i|\xi \rangle x_i, \quad S_\eta y = \sum_{j \in J} \langle y, y_j|\eta \rangle y_j.$$

$$S_{\xi \otimes \eta}(x \otimes y) = \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} \langle x \otimes y, x_i \otimes y_j|\xi \otimes \eta \rangle (x_i \otimes y_j), \quad (x \in E, y \in F, x \otimes y \in E \otimes F)$$

Theorem 3.5. *If the sequences $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$, $\{y_j\}_{j \in J}$ and $\{x_i \otimes y_j\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$ are 2-frames for Hilbert spaces E_ξ , F_η and $(E \otimes F)_{\xi \otimes \eta}$, respectively and S_ξ , S_η and $S_{\xi \otimes \eta}$ are frame operators respectively, then $S_{\xi \otimes \eta} = S_\xi \otimes S_\eta$.*

Proof. For $x \otimes y \in E \otimes F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\xi \otimes \eta}(x \otimes y) &= \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} \langle x \otimes y, x_i \otimes y_j|\xi \otimes \eta \rangle (x_i \otimes y_j) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} \langle x, x_i|\xi \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \langle y, y_j|\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} (x_i \otimes y_j) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \langle x, x_i|\xi \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} x_i \otimes \sum_{j \in J} \langle y, y_j|\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} y_j \\ &= S_\xi x \otimes S_\eta y = (S_\xi \otimes S_\eta)(x \otimes y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $S_{\xi \otimes \eta} = S_\xi \otimes S_\eta$. □

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose that $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a sequence in \mathcal{A} -2-Hilbert space E , with $x = \sum_{i \in I} \langle x, x_i|\xi \rangle x_i$ holds for all $x \in E$, then $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an \mathcal{A} -2-normalized tight frame for E .*

Proof. $x = \sum_{i \in I} \langle x, x_i|\xi \rangle x_i$, so $\langle x, x|\xi \rangle = \langle \sum_{i \in I} \langle x, x_i|\xi \rangle x_i, x|\xi \rangle$, hence $\implies \langle x, x|\xi \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle x, x_i|\xi \rangle \langle x_i, x|\xi \rangle$. □

Corollary 3.7. *Assume that $\{x_i \otimes y_j\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -2-Hilbert space $E \otimes F$ and $x \otimes y = \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} \langle x \otimes y, x_i \otimes y_j | \xi \otimes \eta \rangle (x_i \otimes y_j)$, for all $x \in E, y \in F$. Then $\{x_i \otimes y_j\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$ is an $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -2-normalized tight frame for $E \otimes F$.*

Proof. By lemma (3.6) is clear. □

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Arefijamaal and G. Sadeghi, *Frames in 2-Inner Product Spaces*, Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics, **8** (2013), 123-130.
- [2] Y. J. Cho, Paul C. S. Lin, S. S. Kim and A. Misiak, *Theory of 2-inner product spaces*, Nova Science Publishers, Inc. New York, 2001.
- [3] J. Duffin, A.C. Schaefer, *A class of nonharmonic Fourier series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **72** (1952), 341-366.
- [4] M. Frank and D. R. Larson, *A module frame concept for Hilbert C^* -modules*, Functional and Harmonic Analysis of Wavelets (San Antonio, TX, Jan. 1999), Contemp. Math., **247** (2000), 207-233.
- [5] S. Gähler, *Lineare 2-normierte Räume*, Math. Nachr., **28** (1965), 1-43.
- [6] W. Jing, *Frames in Hilbert C^* -modules*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Central Florida, 2006.
- [7] T. Mehdiabad Mahchari and A. Nazari, *2-Hilbert C^* -modules and some Gruss type inequalities in \mathcal{A} -2-inner product space*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **18**(2) (2015), 721-754.
- [8] B. Mohebbi Najmabadi and T.L. Shateri, *2-inner product which takes values on a locally C^* -algebra*, Indian J. Math. Soc., **85**(1-2) (2018), 217-225

BEHROOZ MOHEBBI NAJMABADI, PHD STUDENT OF MATHEMATICS, HAKIM SABZEVARI UNIVERSITY, SABZEVAR, IRAN

Email address: behrozmohebbi1351@gmail.com; behruz_mohebbi@yahoo.com

TAYEBE LAL SHATERI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, HAKIM SABZEVARI UNIVERSITY, SABZEVAR, P.O. BOX 397, IRAN

Email address: t.shateri@hsu.ac.ir; t.shateri@gmail.com