

FAMILIES OF HOMOMORPHIC MAPPINGS IN THE POLYDISK

MARTIN CHUAQUI AND RODRIGO HERNÁNDEZ

ABSTRACT. We study classes of locally biholomorphic mappings defined in the \mathbb{P}^n that have bounded Schwarzian operator in the Bergman metric. We establish important properties of specific solutions of the associated system of differential equations, and show a geometric connection between the order of the classes and a covering property. We show for modified and slightly larger classes that the order is Lipschitz continuous with respect to the bound on the Schwarzian, and use this to estimate the order of the original classes.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we investigate families of locally biholomorphic mappings in the polydisk \mathbb{P}^n defined by the Schwarzian operator. This is a classical theme in one complex variable, where the simply-connected case corresponds to mapping in the unit disk \mathbb{D} with bounded Schwarzian in the hyperbolic norm. The nature of the group of automorphisms in \mathbb{D} yields families that are *linearly invariant*, with results that are often invariant under the group. The original papers by Pommerenke have become a landmark in this [19]. In several variables, linear invariance is present for the families in the ball \mathbb{B}^n , allowing for a fairly detailed analysis that includes a generalization of the Ahlfors-Weill extension and examples of locally linearly convex domains [4],[1, 10]. Our aim here is to study the case for the polydisk, for which the explicit nature of the automorphisms and the Bergman metric partially compensates for the absence of linear invariance. Nevertheless, we were unable to produce a *density function* associated with each mapping that was both invariant and convex relative to the Bergman metric, missing thus a crucial step for the aforementioned Ahlfors-Weill extension.

In Section 2 we present preliminary facts about the Schwarzian operator and specific bounds that are satisfied in \mathbb{P}^n . In Section 3 we present the important notion of *normalization* that gives a geometric insight for the norm of the families. We also develop techniques based on comparison to study specific solutions of the linear system related to the Schwarzian operator. In particular, for sufficiently small values of the parameter in the family, we show that certain normalized solutions at the

The authors were partially supported by Fondecyt Grants 1150115, 1150284, 1190380, and 1190756.

Key words: Schwarzian operator, polydisk, locally biholomorphic, norm, covering.
MCS 2020. Primary: 32H02, 32A17; Secondary: 30C45.

origin do vanish in \mathbb{P}^n . This can be thought as having the Ahlfors-Weill extension at one point, and implies that for f in the family, the image $f(\mathbb{P}^n)$ misses the complex hyperplane $1 - a_1 w_1 - \cdots - a_n w_n = 0$, where $(n+1)(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (\nabla J_f)(0)$, J_f the complex jacobian of f . In one complex variable, this corresponds to the fact that $-2/f''(0) \notin f(\mathbb{D})$ when $(1 - |z|^2)^2 |Sf(z)| \leq 2$.

In the final section we estimate the *order* of the families treated. In [3], a generalization of Pommerenke's variational methods was employed to estimate the order of the families in \mathbb{B}^n , but linear invariance was required. Here instead, we appeal to a dilation argument that yields Lipschitz continuity for the order of slightly larger families. Even though some of our methods are to some extent extensions of techniques that in one variable yield optimal results [5, 6, 16], sharp constants in \mathbb{C}^n are probably still far out of reach. Nevertheless, we have made an effort to be as precise as possible. The comparison techniques we develop are likely applicable on more general domains, and our results fit into a broader class of mappings in several variables studied by different authors, see for example [8, 11, 18, 20].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a locally biholomorphic mapping defined on some domain Ω . There are several equivalent definitions of a Schwarzian derivative in several complex variables [13, 14, 15], and we will follow the notion offered in [17] because of its explicit connection with differential equations. The author defines a family of Schwarzian derivatives of $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ as

$$(2.1) \quad S_{ij}^k f = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f_l}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial f_l} - \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\delta_i^k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \delta_j^k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right) \log(J_f),$$

where $i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and δ_i^k are the Kronecker symbols. For $n > 1$ the Schwarzian derivatives have the properties $S_{ij}^k f = 0$ for all $i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ iff $f(z) = M(z)$, for some Möbius transformation

$$M(z) = \left(\frac{l_1(z)}{l_0(z)}, \dots, \frac{l_n(z)}{l_0(z)} \right),$$

where $l_i(z) = a_{i0} + a_{i1}z_1 + \cdots + a_{in}z_n$ with $\det(a_{ij}) \neq 0$. Furthermore, for a composition one has

$$(2.2) \quad S_{ij}^k(g \circ f)(z) = S_{ij}^k f(z) + \sum_{l,m,r=1}^n S_{lm}^r g(w) \frac{\partial w_l}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial w_m}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial w_r}, \quad w = f(z).$$

Thus, if g is a Möbius transformation then $S_{ij}^k(g \circ f) = S_{ij}^k f$. The coefficients $S_{ij}^0 f$ are given by

$$S_{ij}^0 f(z) = (J_f)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} (J_f)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} (J_f)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} S_{ij}^k f(z) \right).$$

In [17] we can also find a description of the functions with prescribed Schwarzian derivatives $S_{ij}^k f$. Consider the following over-determined system of partial differential equations,

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} = \sum_{k=1}^n P_{ij}^k(z) \frac{\partial u}{\partial z_k} + P_{ij}^0(z) u, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \Omega$ and $P_{ij}^k(z)$ are holomorphic functions in Ω , for $i, j, k = 0, \dots, n$. The system (2.3) is called *completely integrable* if there are $n + 1$ (maximun) linearly independent solutions, and is said to be in *canonical form* (see [21]) if the coefficients satisfy

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n P_{ij}^j(z) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

It was shown that (2.3) is a completely integrable system in canonical form if and only if $P_{ij}^k = S_{ij}^k f$ for a locally biholomorphic mapping $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$, where $f_i = u_i/u_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n is a set of linearly independent solutions of the system. For a given mapping f , $u_0 = (J_f)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}$ is always a solution of (2.3) with $P_{ij}^k = S_{ij}^k f$.

We recall the following definitions from [9], where the individual Schwarzians $S^k f$ are conformed to define the following operators.

Definition 2.1. For each $k = 1, \dots, n$ we let S_f^k be the $n \times n$ matrix

$$S_f^k = (S_{ij}^k f), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Definition 2.2. Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be locally holomorphic, and let $T_z \Omega$ stand for the tangent space at $z \in \Omega$. We define the *Schwarzian derivative operator* as the mapping $S_f(z) : T_z \Omega \rightarrow T_z \Omega$ given by

$$S_f(z)(\vec{v}) = (S_f^1(z)(\vec{v}), \dots, S_f^n(z)(\vec{v})),$$

where $\vec{v} \in T_z \Omega$ and $S_f^k(z)(\vec{v}) = \vec{v}^t S_f^k(z) \vec{v}$.

With this, we can rewrite the equation (2.3) as

$$(2.5) \quad \text{Hess}u(z)(\vec{v}, \vec{v}) = S_f(z)(\vec{v}) \cdot \nabla u(z) + S_f^0(z)(\vec{v}),$$

where $S_f^0(z)(\vec{v}) = \vec{v}^t S_f^0(z) \vec{v}$.

The Bergman metric in the polydisk $\mathbb{P}^n = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) : |z_i| < 1, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is the hermitian product defined by the diagonal matrix

$$g_{ii}(z) = \frac{2}{(1 - |z_i|^2)^2},$$

see, e.g., [12]. The norm of the Schwarzian operator is defined by

$$\|S_f(z)\| = \sup_{\|\vec{v}\|=1} \|S_f(z)(\vec{v})\|,$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad \|\vec{v}\| = \left[2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|v_i|^2}{(1 - |z_i|^2)^2} \right]^{1/2}$$

is the Bergman norm of $\vec{v} \in T_z \mathbb{P}^n$. Finally, let

$$\|S_f\| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{P}^n} \|S_f(z)\|.$$

For the system (2.3), we define $\|P\|$ to be $\|S_f\|$ of any mapping with Schwarzian derivatives given by the coefficients P_{ij}^k . Let now

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha = \{f : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \mid f(0) = 0, Df(0) = I, \|S_f\| \leq \alpha\}.$$

It is well known that up to multiplication by a diagonal unitary transformation and a permutation of the coordinates, the automorphisms of the polydisk are given by

$$(2.7) \quad \psi(z) = \psi_a(z) = (\psi_{a_1}(z_1), \dots, \psi_{a_n}(z_n)), \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{P}^n,$$

where $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{P}^n$ and $\psi_{a_j}(z_j) = \frac{z_j - a_j}{1 - \overline{a_j} z_j}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Because the automorphisms of \mathbb{P}^n are not Möbius transformations unless the origin is fixed, the bound on $\|S_f\|$ in \mathcal{F}_α will generally not be preserved when composing with them. This poses a significant difference regarding the analysis of the corresponding family in \mathbb{B}^n , and it will be useful to estimate the norm of $\|S_\psi\|$ for an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^n .

The components $\psi_j = \psi_{a_j}$ of the mapping $\psi = \psi_a$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} S_{kk}^k \psi(z) &= \frac{n-1}{n+1} \frac{\psi_k''}{\psi_k'}(z_k), \\ S_{jj}^k \psi(z) &= 0, \quad j \neq k, \\ S_{kj}^k \psi(z) &= -\frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\psi_j''}{\psi_j'}(z_j), \quad j \neq k. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ we have

$$\vec{v}^t S_\psi^k(z) \vec{v} = \frac{n-1}{n+1} \cdot \frac{\psi_k''(z_k)}{\psi_k'(z_k)} v_k^2 - \frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{j=2, j \neq k}^n \frac{\psi_j''(z_j)}{\psi_j'(z_j)} v_k v_j = \frac{v_k}{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{kj},$$

where $\delta_{kj} = -2 \frac{\psi_j''(z_j)}{\psi_j'(z_j)} v_j$ for $j \neq k$ and $\delta_{kk} = (n-1) \frac{\psi_k''(z_k)}{\psi_k'(z_k)} v_k$. Therefore

$$(2.8) \quad S_\psi(z)(\vec{v}) = \frac{1}{n+1} (v_1 D_1, \dots, v_n D_n),$$

where

$$(2.9) \quad D_k = \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{kj} = (n-1) \frac{\psi_k''(z_k)}{\psi_k'(z_k)} v_k - 2 \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{\psi_j''(z_j)}{\psi_j'(z_j)} v_j.$$

The square of the Bergman norm of $S_\psi(z)(\vec{v})$ is given by

$$A = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2|v_k|^2}{(1-|z_k|^2)^2} |D_k|^2,$$

and if we assume now that $\|\vec{v}\| = 1$, we see that the sum on the right hand-side is a convex combination of the $|D_k|^2$. Hence

$$A \leq \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \max_i |D_i|^2.$$

From (2.9) we see that

$$|D_k| \leq 2(n-1) \frac{|a_k| |v_k|}{|1 - \bar{a}_k z_k|} + 4 \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{|a_j| |v_j|}{|1 - \bar{a}_j z_j|},$$

and using that $\frac{1}{|1 - \bar{a}_k z_k|} \leq \frac{2}{1 - |z_k|^2}$ together with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |D_k| &\leq 4(2|a_1|, \dots, (n-1)|a_k|, \dots, 2|a_n|) \cdot \left(\frac{|v_1|}{1 - |z_1|^2}, \dots, \frac{|v_n|}{1 - |z_n|^2} \right) \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{2} \sqrt{4|a_1|^2 + \dots + (n-1)^2 |a_k|^2 + \dots + 4|a_n|^2} \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{2} \sqrt{(n+3)(n-1)} \max_i |a_i| \leq 2\sqrt{2}(n+1) \max_i |a_i|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $A \leq 8|\psi(0)|^2$, which gives finally that

$$(2.10) \quad \|S_\psi\| \leq 2\sqrt{2} |\psi(0)|.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and ψ is an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^n , then we see from (2.2) and the invariance of the Bergman metric under ψ that

$$(2.11) \quad \|S_{f \circ \psi}\| \leq \|S_f\| + \|S_\psi\| \leq \alpha + 2\sqrt{2}|\psi(0)|.$$

We let $|z| = \max_i |z_i|$ denote the polydisk norm, while $|z|$ will always refer to the Euclidean norm.

Lemma 2.3. *If $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and $|\vec{v}| = 1$ then*

$$(i) \quad |S_f^k(z)(\vec{v})| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}(2n-1)\alpha}{1-|z|^2}.$$

$$(ii) \quad |S_f^0(z)(\vec{v})| \leq \frac{2n(n+1)n\alpha^2 + \sqrt{2}n(2n-1)\alpha}{(1-|z|^2)^2}.$$

Proof. For the first part, let $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ be a vector with $|\vec{v}| = 1$. It was shown in [2] that for $\|S_f\| \leq \alpha$ in \mathbb{P}^n , one has $S_{ij}^k f \equiv 0$ for $k \neq i, j$ and $|S_{ik}^k(z)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha}{1-|z_i|^2}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |S_f^k(z)(\vec{v})| &= |v_k| \left| \left(2 \sum_{i=1}^n S_{ik}^k f(z) v_i - S_{kk}^k f(z) v_k \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}\alpha}{(1-|z_1|^2)} + \dots + \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha}{(1-|z_k|^2)} + \dots + \frac{2\sqrt{2}\alpha}{(1-|z_n|^2)} \\ &\leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}(n-1)\alpha + \sqrt{2}\alpha}{1-|z|^2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}(2n-1)\alpha}{1-|z|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second part, we differentiate (2.3) and find that

$$S_{ii}^0 f(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} S_{ik}^k f(z) + (S_{ik}^k f(z))^2 - S_{ii}^i f(z) S_{ik}^k f(z), \quad k \neq i,$$

and for $i \neq j$

$$S_{ij}^0 f(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} S_{ii}^i f(z) - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} S_{ij}^i f(z) - S_{ij}^j f(z) S_{ij}^i f(z).$$

From this and the Cauchy integral formula we conclude that

$$(2.12) \quad |S_{ii}^0 f(z)| \leq \frac{4\alpha^2 + \sqrt{2}\alpha}{(1-|z_i|^2)^2},$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad |S_{ij}^0 f(z)| \leq \frac{2\alpha^2 + 2\sqrt{2}\alpha}{(1-|z_i|^2)(1-|z_j|^2)}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 |S_f^0(z(\vec{v}))| &= \left| v_1 \sum_{k=1}^n S_{1k}^0 f(z) v_k + \cdots + v_n \sum_{k=1}^n S_{nk}^0 f(z) v_k \right| \\
 &\leq n \left(\frac{4\alpha^2 + \sqrt{2}\alpha}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} + \frac{(2\alpha^2 + 2\sqrt{2}\alpha)(n-1)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} \right) \\
 &= \frac{2n(n+1)\alpha^2 + \sqrt{2}n(2n-1)\sqrt{2}\alpha}{(1 - |z|^2)^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

3. NORMALIZATION AND FINITE NORM

In this section we will establish important bounds for mappings in \mathcal{F}_α . In particular, we will show that the family \mathcal{F}_α is compact and will exhibit a connection between the order

$$\lambda_\alpha = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha} |\nabla J_f(0)|$$

and the size of the largest Euclidean ball centered at the origin that is contained in every image $f(\mathbb{P}^n)$, $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$. To this end, we will consider the associated family \mathcal{F}_α^0 of mappings g with $\|Sg\| \leq \alpha$ normalized so that $\nabla J_g(0) = 0$. The procedure of normalizing is described in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be locally biholomorphic, with $f(0) = 0$ and $Df(0) = I$. Then there exists a Möbius map T such $g = T \circ f$ satisfies that $g(0) = 0$, $Dg(0) = \text{Id}$ and $\nabla J_g(0) = 0$.*

Proof. Let T be a Möbius map of the form

$$(3.1) \quad T_a(w) = \left(\frac{w_1}{1 + a_1 w_1 + \cdots + a_n w_n}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{1 + a_1 w_1 + \cdots + a_n w_n} \right).$$

The mapping $g = T(f)$ has $g(0) = 0$ and $Dg(0) = I$. Also, $Dg(z) = DT(f(z)) \cdot Df(z)$ hence $J_g(z) = J_T(f(z)) \cdot J_f(z)$ and

$$\nabla J_g(z) = \nabla J_T(f(z)) \cdot Df(z) \cdot J_f(z) + J_T(f(z)) \cdot \nabla J_f(z).$$

Evaluating at the origin we obtain that

$$\nabla J_g(0) = \nabla J_T(0) + \nabla J_f(0) = -(n+1)(a_1, \dots, a_n) + \nabla J_f(0),$$

so that the lemma holds if $(n+1)(a_1, \dots, a_n) = J_f(0)$. □

It is important to observe that $u = (J_g)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} = (1 + a_1 f_1 + \cdots + a_n f_n)(J_f)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}$ is a solution of (2.3) with the initial conditions $u(0) = 1, \nabla u(0) = 0$. It vanishes precisely when $1 + a_1 f_1 + \cdots + a_n f_n = 0$, that is, when the image $f(\mathbb{P}^n)$ meets the hyperplane $1 + a_1 w_1 + \cdots + a_n w_n = 0$, or g becomes infinite.

In order to analyze normalization, we will require estimates obtained from comparison techniques for differential equation.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $h : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative function satisfying*

$$h'' \leq \frac{2a}{1-x^2}h' + \frac{4a}{(1-x^2)^2}h, \quad h(0) = 1, \quad h'(0) = 0,$$

where $a > 0$ is a constant. Then

$$(3.2) \quad h' \leq \frac{1+3a}{1-x^2}h,$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad h \leq 2 \left(\frac{1+x}{1-x} \right)^{\frac{3a}{2}}.$$

Proof. We will analyze the function h as a function of the variable $s = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+x}{1-x}$ defined on $[0, \infty)$. The change of variable $x = x(s)$ has $x' = 1 - x^2$. Let

$$w(s) = \frac{h}{u}(x(s)),$$

where $u(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}$. Then $w(0) = 1, w'(0) = 0$ and $w' = uh' - u'h$, with the agreement that derivatives of w are with respect to s , while those of u, h are with respect to x . One further derivative yields $w'' = (1-x^2)(uh'' - hu'')$, and because $u'' = -u/(1-x^2)^2$ we see that

$$w'' = 2a(uh') + \frac{1+4a}{1-x^2}uh.$$

We replace the term uh' in the right hand side with $w' + u'h = w' - (x/u)h = w' - xw$, and because h , and thus w , are non-negative, we obtain

$$w'' = 2a(w' - xw) + (1+4a)w \leq 2aw' + (1+4a)w.$$

Let $\lambda_{1,2} = -a \pm \sqrt{1+4a+a^2} = -a \pm c$ be the roots of the equation $\lambda(\lambda+2a) = 1+4a$. Then

$$w'' + \lambda_1 w' \leq (2a + \lambda_1)w' + (1+4a)w = (2a + \lambda_1)(w' + \lambda_1 w) = -\lambda_2(w' + \lambda_1 w),$$

which upon amplification by $e^{\lambda_1 s}$ yields

$$(e^{\lambda_1 s} w')' \leq -\lambda_2 (e^{\lambda_1 s} w)'$$

The initial conditions $w(0) = 1, w'(0) = 0$ imply that

$$e^{\lambda_1 s} w' \leq -\lambda_2 (e^{\lambda_1 s} w - 1),$$

hence

$$(3.4) \quad e^{\lambda_1 s} (w' + \lambda_2 w) \leq \lambda_2.$$

Multiplication now by $e^{-\lambda_2 s}$ and integration leads to

$$\begin{aligned} w &\leq \frac{\lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_1 s} - \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_2 s}}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} = \frac{1}{2c} \left((c-a)e^{(a+c)s} + (c+a)e^{(a-c)s} \right) \\ (3.5) \quad &= \frac{e^{(a+c)s}}{2c} \left(c-a + (c+a)e^{-2cs} \right) \leq e^{(a+c)s}. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of the function h we see that

$$h \leq \sqrt{1-x^2} \left(\frac{1+x}{1-x} \right)^{\frac{a+c}{2}} = (1+x) \left(\frac{1+x}{1-x} \right)^{\frac{a+c-1}{2}} \leq 2 \left(\frac{1+x}{1-x} \right)^{\frac{a+c-1}{2}}.$$

Finally, we see from (3.4) that $w' + \lambda_2 w \leq 0$, which yields

$$h'u + \frac{x}{u}h \leq (a+c)\frac{h}{u},$$

hence

$$h' \leq \frac{a+c}{1-x^2} h.$$

Inequalities (3.2), (3.3) follow since $c \leq 1+2a$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let u be the solution of (2.3) with $\|P\| \leq \alpha$ and $u(0) = 1, \nabla u(0) = 0$. Then*

$$(3.6) \quad |u| \leq 2 \left(\frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|} \right)^\gamma,$$

$$(3.7) \quad |\nabla u| \leq 2 \frac{1+2\gamma}{1-|z|^2} \left(\frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|} \right)^\gamma,$$

where $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}n\sqrt{n}\alpha$.

Proof. For $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{P}^n$ we let $v(t) = u(t\zeta)$, $t \in [0, 1]$. We seek to estimate the derivatives let $w_j(t) = \partial u / \partial z_j(t\zeta)$. The t -derivatives of these quantities are given

by

$$\begin{aligned}
w'_j &= \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_1 \partial z_j} \zeta_1 + \cdots + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_n \partial z_j} \zeta_n \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n P_{1j}^k \frac{\partial u}{\partial z_k} + P_{1j}^0 u \right) \zeta_1 + \cdots + \left(\sum_{k=1}^n P_{nj}^k \frac{\partial u}{\partial z_k} + P_{nj}^0 u \right) \zeta_n \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n (P_{1j}^k \zeta_1 + \cdots + P_{nj}^k \zeta_n) \frac{\partial u}{\partial z_k} + (P_{1j}^0 \zeta_1 + \cdots + P_{nj}^0 \zeta_n) u \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n (P_{1j}^k \zeta_1 + \cdots + P_{nj}^k \zeta_n) w_k + (P_{1j}^0 \zeta_1 + \cdots + P_{nj}^0 \zeta_n) u = \sum_{k=1}^n P_j^k w_k + P_j^0 u.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi(t)$ the vector function in \mathbb{C}^n with coordinates $\varphi_i = w_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $u' = \nabla u \cdot \zeta$ we can see that

$$\varphi' = A \cdot \varphi + uB,$$

where $A = (P_j^k)_{k,j}$ is an $n \times n$ matrix and B is the vector with components P_j^0 . Since $|\varphi|' \leq |\varphi'|$ we see that

$$|\varphi|' \leq |A| |\varphi| + |B| |u|,$$

where $|A| = \max_{|v|=1} |Av|$. We will resort to the basic estimate $|A| \leq \sqrt{n} \max_i |C_i|$ given in terms of the norm of the columns C_i . The function $\phi(t) = \int_0^t |\varphi(s)| ds + 1$ is an upper bound for $|u|$ with $\phi(0) = 1, \phi'(0) = 0$ and satisfies

$$\phi'' \leq |A| \phi' + |B| \phi.$$

Using Lemma 2.3 we conclude from elementary estimates that $|A| \leq 2a/(1-t^2)$ and that $|B| \leq 4a/(1-t^2)^2$ with $a = n\sqrt{n}\alpha$. It follows now from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\phi \leq 2 \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t} \right)^\gamma, \quad \phi' \leq 2 \frac{1+2\gamma}{1-t^2} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t} \right)^\gamma,$$

which proves the lemma. \square

Corollary 3.4. *The set of solutions u of (2.3) with $\|P\| \leq \alpha$ and $u(0) = 1, \nabla u(0) = 0$ is compact in \mathbb{P}^n .*

Lemma 3.5. *Let $g \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha^0$. Then there exists $r_0 = r_0(n, \alpha) > 0$ such that g is holomorphic in $\mathbb{B}^n(0, r_0)$.*

Proof. Let $g = T(f) \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha^0$ with $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ as before. Then $u = (J_g)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} = (1 + a_1 w_1 + \cdots + a_n w_n)(J_f)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}$ is a solution of (2.3) that vanishes precisely when g ceases to be holomorphic. We will show that $u \neq 0$ in some neighborhood of the origin.

For $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{P}^n$ we define $v(t) = |u(t\zeta)|$, $t \in [0, 1)$. Therefore $vv' = \operatorname{Re}\{\bar{u}(\nabla u \cdot \zeta)\}$ and

$$vv'' + (v')^2 = |\nabla u \cdot \zeta|^2 + \operatorname{Re}\{\bar{u} \operatorname{Hess}(u)(\zeta, \zeta)\}.$$

Since $|\nabla u \cdot \zeta|^2 - (v')^2 \geq 0$ we conclude from (3.4) that

$$v(t)v''(t) \geq \operatorname{Re}\{\bar{u}S_f(t\zeta)(\zeta) \cdot \nabla u(t\zeta) + |u|^2S_f^0(t\zeta)(\zeta)\},$$

and hence

$$(3.8) \quad v''(t) \geq -|S_f(t\zeta)(\zeta)||\nabla u(t\zeta)| - |S_f^0(t\zeta)(\zeta)|v(t).$$

The bound (3.5) and Lemma 2.3 give that

$$v'' + \frac{\varepsilon}{(1-t^2)^2}v \geq -\frac{\delta}{(1-t^2)^2} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^\gamma,$$

with $v(0) = 1, v'(0) = 0$, and ε, δ are positive constants depending on n, α . Thus $v \geq y$ for $t \in [0, t_0]$, where t_0 first zero of the solution $y = y(t)$ of

$$y'' + \frac{\varepsilon}{(1-t^2)^2}y = -\frac{\delta}{1-t^2} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^\gamma, \quad y(0) = 1, y'(0) = 0.$$

Therefore $u(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{P}^n$ with $|z| < r_0 = t_0$, which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.6. *The family \mathcal{F}_α has finite order bounded by $1/s_0$ for some $s_0 = s_0(n, \alpha) > 0$. The image of every mapping in \mathcal{F}_α^0 covers a Euclidean ball of radius s_0 centered at the origin, and every mapping in \mathcal{F}_α covers a corresponding Euclidean ball of radius $s_0/2$ centered at the origin.*

Proof. Corollary 3.4 and Lemma show that the family \mathcal{F}_α^0 is compact in any ball $B(0, t_1)$ for $t_1 < t_0$. We conclude that there exists $s_0 > 0$ such that $B(0, s_0) \subset g(\mathbb{P}^n)$ for every $g \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha^0$. An estimate for s_0 in terms of n, α will be given in the final section. The mapping

$$f = T^{-1}(g) = \left(\frac{g_1}{L(g)}, \dots, \frac{g_n}{L(g)}\right), \quad L(g) = 1 - (a_1g_1 + \dots + a_n g_n)$$

is holomorphic in \mathbb{P}^n , hence $L(g) = 1 - (a_1g_1 + \dots + a_n g_n)$ cannot vanish in \mathbb{P}^n . Since g covers a Euclidean ball of radius s_0 it follows that

$$|\nabla J_f(0)|^2 = |a_1|^2 + \dots + |a_n|^2 \leq \frac{1}{s_0^2}.$$

This implies that

$$(3.9) \quad \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha} |\nabla J_f(0)| \leq \frac{1}{s_0} < \infty.$$

Finally, let $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and let $g = T(f)$ be the corresponding mapping in \mathcal{F}_α^0 as before. For $z \in U = g^{-1}(B(0, s_0))$ we see from the triangle and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities that

$$|L(g)(z)| = |1 - a_1g_1 - \cdots - a_n g_n| \leq 1 + |a_1g_1| + \cdots + |a_n g_n| \leq 2.$$

It follows that

$$|f(z)| = \frac{|g(z)|}{|1 - L(g)|} \geq \frac{|g(z)|}{2},$$

therefore

$$\liminf_{z \rightarrow \partial U} |f(z)| \geq \frac{s_0}{2}.$$

□

As it turns out, for $\alpha \leq \alpha_0(n)$ small enough the mappings in \mathcal{F}_α^0 will be holomorphic in all of \mathbb{P}^n . Equivalently, the solutions u of (2.3) with $u(0) = 1, \nabla u(0) = 0$ do not vanish in \mathbb{P}^n . To establish this we will require a variation of Lemma 3.3 focusing on small α . The phenomenon of normalizing at the origin while keeping the mapping regular also occurs in one variable, with \mathcal{F}_{α_0} corresponding to the Nehari class $\|Sf\| \leq 2$. Indeed, for a Nehari mapping $f = z + a_2z^2 + \cdots$ it is known that $-1/a_2 \notin f(\mathbb{D})$, and thus the mapping

$$g = \frac{f}{1 + a_2f}$$

which is normalized to have $g''(0) = 0$ remains holomorphic in \mathbb{D} . It is to be noted that the mappings in \mathcal{F}_α are univalent if $3\sqrt{2}\alpha \leq 1$ [2].

Lemma 3.7. *Let h be the solution of the equation*

$$(3.10) \quad h' = \frac{2c}{1-x^2}h + \frac{4c}{(1-x^2)^2} + h^2, \quad h(0) = 0,$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant. If $c \leq 3 - 2\sqrt{2} = 0,1715\dots$ then h is regular on the entire interval $[0, 1)$ and

$$(3.11) \quad h \leq \frac{(1-c)x}{1-x^2}.$$

The result is sharp in the sense that for $c > 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$, the solution h becomes infinite before $x = 1$.

Proof. Because of the term h^2 in the differential equation, h will become infinite before reaching $x = 1$ if at any point $x_0 < 1$ the value $h(x_0)$ is too large. This will happen for large c because of the term $4c/(1-x)^2$ in the right-hand side.

The function $y = \frac{(1-c)x}{1-x^2}$ satisfies

$$y' = (1-c) \frac{1+x^2}{(1-x^2)^2} \geq \frac{2cy}{1-x^2} + \frac{4c}{(1-x^2)^2} + y^2$$

provided

$$(1-c)(1+x^2) \geq 2c(1-c)x + 4c + (1-c)^2x^2.$$

This inequality will hold for all $x \in [0, 1)$ precisely when $c \leq 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$. By comparison we conclude that for such values of c , h will be regular on $[0, 1)$ with $h \leq y$.

On the other hand, suppose that $c > 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$. With $\phi = (1-x)h$, equation (3.9) can be rewritten as

$$(1-x)\phi' = - \left(1 - \frac{2c}{1+x}\right) \phi + \frac{4c}{(1+x)^2} + \phi^2 = (\phi - \beta)^2 + \gamma,$$

where $\beta = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2c}{1+x}\right)$ and

$$\gamma = \frac{4c}{(1+x)^2} - \beta^2 \geq c - \frac{(1-c)^2}{4} := d.$$

Since $c > 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$ we have that $d > 0$, so that ϕ is strictly increasing. Hence $\phi' \geq d(1-x)^{-1}$ and there exists $x_0 \in (0, 1)$ so that $\phi(x_0) > 1/2 > \beta$. For $x \geq x_0$ we thus have that $\phi > 1/2 > \beta$ and

$$\frac{\phi'}{(\phi - \frac{1}{2})^2} \geq \frac{1}{1-x},$$

which upon integration shows that ϕ becomes infinite at some $x_1 < 1$. This finishes the proof. □

Theorem 3.8. *Let u be a solution of (2.3) in \mathbb{P}^n with $\|P\| \leq \alpha$ and $u(0) = 1, \nabla u(0) = 0$. If*

$$(3.12) \quad n\sqrt{n}\alpha \leq 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$$

then u does not vanish in \mathbb{P}^n and

$$(3.13) \quad \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right| \leq \frac{(1 - n\sqrt{n}\alpha)|z|}{1 - |z|^2}.$$

Proof. Let u be as stated in the theorem. For fixed $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{P}^n$ we let

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{\nabla u}{u}(t\zeta),$$

which is defined on some maximal interval $[0, t_0)$. We claim that $t_0 = 1$ when α is sufficiently small. We see that

$$\varphi'(t) = \left[\frac{1}{u} \text{Hess } u(\zeta, \cdot) \right] (t\zeta) - \left[\frac{\nabla u \cdot \zeta}{u^2} \nabla u \right] (t\zeta),$$

It follows from (2.3) that

$$\text{Hess } u(\zeta, \cdot) = A \cdot \nabla u + uB,$$

where $A = (a_{ij})$ is the $n \times n$ matrix given by $a_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n S_{ik}^j f_{\zeta_k}$, and $B = (b_i)$ is the vector with $b_i = \sum_{k=1}^n S_{ik}^0 \zeta_k$. Hence the function $g(t) = |\varphi(t)|$ has $g(0) = 0$ and satisfies

$$(3.14) \quad g' \leq |\varphi'| \leq \frac{ag}{1-t^2} + \frac{b}{(1-t^2)^2} + g^2,$$

where, as before, we estimate from Lemma 2.3 that $a = |A| \leq 2n\sqrt{n}\alpha$ and $b = |B| \leq 4n\sqrt{n}\alpha$. The theorem now follows from Lemma 3.7 with $c = n\sqrt{n}$. \square

We draw the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 3.9. *If $n\sqrt{n}\alpha \leq 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$ then all mappings in \mathcal{F}_α^0 are holomorphic in \mathbb{P}^n .*

The next result is also a direct consequence of Theorem 3.8.

Theorem 3.10. *Let u be a solution of (2.3) in \mathbb{P}^n with $\|P\| \leq \alpha$ and $u(0) = 1, \nabla u(0) = 0$. If*

$$(3.15) \quad n\sqrt{n}\alpha \leq 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$$

then

$$(3.16) \quad \sqrt{1 - |z|^2} \leq |u| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}}.$$

Proof. Since $u(0) = 1$, we find from integrating the bound in (3.14) that

$$|\log u| \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2},$$

which gives the result. \square

The following corollary follows at once from the previous theorem, and mimics results for mappings in linearly invariant families [7].

Corollary 3.11. *Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha^0$ for $n\sqrt{n}\alpha \leq 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$. Then*

$$(3.17) \quad (1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \leq |J_f| \leq \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}.$$

Theorem 3.12. *Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$. For $z \in \mathbb{P}^n$ we let $\eta(z) = \min_{|v|=1} |Df(z)v|$ and $\beta = \alpha + 2\sqrt{2}|z|$. Then image $f(\mathbb{P}^n)$ covers a Euclidean ball centered at $f(z)$ of radius at least*

$$(3.18) \quad \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z|^2)\eta(z)s_0(n, \beta),$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad |\nabla J_f(z)| \leq \frac{\lambda_\beta + 2|z|}{1 - |z|^2} |J_f(z)|.$$

Proof. For $z_0 \in \mathbb{P}^n$ fixed, let g be defined by

$$(3.20) \quad g(z) = [Df(z_0)]^{-1}[D\psi(0)]^{-1}(f(\psi(z)) - f(z_0)), \quad \psi(0) = z_0,$$

where $\psi = \psi_{z_0}$ is an automorphism as given by (2.7). Then $g(0) = 0$, $Dg(0) = I$ and we have that $\|S_g\| \leq \alpha + 2\sqrt{n}|z_0|$. Hence $g \in \mathcal{F}_\beta$ for $\beta = \alpha + 2\sqrt{2}|z_0|$, and by Theorem 3.6, $g(\mathbb{P}^n)$ contains a ball centered at the origin of Euclidean radius at least $s_0(n, \beta)$. Since $D\psi(0)$ is a diagonal matrix of elements $1 - |z_k^0|^2$, we see that $|D\psi(0)| \geq 1 - |z_0|^2$. The first statement of the theorem follows now from (3.14).

On the other hand,

$$J_g(z) = J_\psi(0)^{-1} \cdot J_f(0)^{-1} \cdot J_f(\psi(z)) \cdot J_\psi(z),$$

which implies that $\log J_g(z) = \log J_f(\psi(z)) + \log J_\psi(z)$ and

$$(3.21) \quad \nabla J_g(0) = \frac{\nabla J_f}{J_f}(z_0)D\psi(0) + \frac{\nabla J_\psi}{J_\psi}(0).$$

Because $g \in \mathcal{F}_\beta$ we must have that $|\nabla J_g(0)| \leq \lambda_\beta$. In addition, with $z_0 = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$

$$J_\psi(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1 - |a_j|^2}{(1 - \bar{a}_j z_j)^2},$$

therefore

$$\frac{\nabla J_\psi}{J_\psi}(z) = \left(\frac{2\bar{a}_1}{1 - \bar{a}_1 z_1}, \dots, \frac{2\bar{a}_n}{1 - \bar{a}_n z_n} \right),$$

so that $\frac{\nabla J_\psi}{J_\psi}(0) = 2\bar{z}_0$. From (3.19) we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\nabla J_f}{J_f}(z_0)D\psi(0) \right| \leq \lambda_\beta + 2|z_0|.$$

Since $D\psi(0)$ is a diagonal matrix with elements $1 - |a_k|^2$, we have

$$(1 - |z_0|^2)|\nabla J_f(z_0)| \leq (\lambda_\beta + 2|z_0|)|J_f(z_0)|,$$

which shows that

$$|\nabla J_f(z_0)| \leq \frac{\lambda_\beta + 2|z_0|}{1 - |z_0|^2} |J_f(z_0)|.$$

□

4. ORDER

The purpose in this section is to establish an explicit upper bound for the order of the family \mathcal{F}_α . We will consider the families $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,r}$ consisting of all mappings f that are defined and locally biholomorphic for $|z| < r < 1$, which have $f(0) = 0$, $Df(0) = I$, and $\|S_{ij}^k f\| \leq \alpha$; here $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the Bergman norm in \mathbb{P}^n restricted to the set $|z| < r$. We see that $\mathcal{F}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,r}$, and it follows as before that $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,r}$ is compact. For fixed r we see that

$$(4.1) \quad \mu_r(\alpha) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,r}} |\nabla J_f(0)| < \infty,$$

which is attained for some mapping in the class. In addition, $\lambda_\alpha \leq \mu(\alpha)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $r^2 < 1/5$. Then the function $\mu_r = \mu_r(\alpha)$ is locally Lipschitz for $\alpha > 0$, and whenever the derivative exists,*

$$(4.2) \quad \alpha \mu'(\alpha) \leq C(r) \mu(\alpha),$$

$$\text{with } C(r) = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 5r^2}.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha > 0$ be fixed, and let $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,r}$ be extremal for (4.1). We consider the dilation of f given by $g(z) = \frac{1}{s} f(sz)$ for $0 < s < 1$. It is easy to see that $|\nabla J_g(0)| = s |\nabla J_f(0)| = s \mu(\alpha)$, and we claim that $g \in \mathcal{F}_{\beta,r}$ for

$$\beta = s \left(\frac{1 - s^2 r^2}{1 - r^2} \right)^2 \alpha < \alpha.$$

From the composition law (2.2) we see that $S_{ij}^k g(z) = s S_{ij}^k f(sz)$, hence in order to estimate $\|S_g(z)\|$ we must consider how the Bergman norm of given vector \vec{v} changes when measured at z and sz . Let $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ be a Bergman unit vector at $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. The square of the Bergman norm $\|\vec{v}\|_{sz}^2$ at sz is given by

$$\sum_i \frac{2|v_i|^2}{(1 - s^2|z_i|^2)} = \sum_i t_i \left(\frac{1 - |z_i|^2}{1 - s^2|z_i|^2} \right)^2,$$

where $t_i = 2|v_i|^2/(1 - |z_i|^2)^2$ are non-negative numbers with $\sum_i t_i = 1$. It follows that $\|\vec{v}\|_{sz}^2$ is a number between the maximum and minimum value of the quantities $[(1 - |z_i|^2)/(1 - s^2|z_i|^2)]^2$. Because the function $(1 - x^2)/(1 - s^2x^2)$ is decreasing for $x \in [0, 1)$ we see that

$$\left(\frac{1 - r^2}{1 - s^2 r^2} \right)^2 \leq \|\vec{v}\|_{sz}^2 \leq 1,$$

therefore

$$(4.3) \quad \|S_g(z)\| \leq s \left(\frac{1 - s^2 r^2}{1 - r^2} \right)^2 \alpha.$$

Simple algebra shows that $s \left(\frac{1 - s^2 r^2}{1 - r^2} \right) < 1$ for $r^2 < 1/5$, hence $g \in \mathcal{F}_{\beta,r}$ as claimed.

Finally, since $|\nabla J_g(0)| = s\mu_r(\alpha)$ we conclude that $\mu_r(\beta) \geq s\mu_r(\alpha)$, and so

$$\mu_r(\alpha) - \mu_r(\beta) \leq (1 - s)\mu_r(\alpha) = C(r)(\alpha - \beta) \frac{\mu_r(\alpha)}{\alpha}.$$

This proves the theorem. □

For our next theorem, recall the order λ_α defined in (4.1).

Theorem 4.2. *For each $\sigma > 0$ there exists $m(\sigma) > 0$ such that*

$$(4.4) \quad \lambda_\alpha \leq 2\sqrt{n} + m(\sigma)\alpha^{1+\sigma},$$

with $m(\sigma) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Let $\alpha_1 > 0$ be fixed. It follows from (4.2) that for $\alpha \geq \alpha_1$

$$\mu_r(\alpha) \leq \mu_r(\alpha_1) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_1} \right)^{C(r)},$$

where $C(r) = (1 - r^2)/(1 - 5r^2) > 1$ approaches 1 as $r \rightarrow 0$. Hence

$$\lambda_\alpha \leq \mu_r(\alpha_1) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_1} \right)^{C(r)}.$$

We now estimate $\lambda(0)$, that is, the order of the family \mathcal{F}_0 consisting of Möbius mappings of the form

$$f(z) = \left(\frac{z_1}{l(z)}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{l(z)} \right), \quad l(z) = 1 - a_1 z_1 - \dots - a_n z_n,$$

with the requirement that $l(z) \neq 0$ in \mathbb{P}^n . Hence $|a_i| \leq 1$ for all i , and thus

$$|\nabla J_f(0)| = \sqrt{|a_1|^2 + \dots + |a_n|^2} \leq \sqrt{n}.$$

The theorem follows now by choosing α_1 so that $\lambda(\alpha_1) = 2\lambda(0)$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Andersson, M. Passare and R. Sigurdsson. *Complex Convexity and Analytic Functionals*. Progress in Mathematics 225, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.
- [2] M. Chuaqui and R. Hernández. *A condition for univalent in the polydisc*. Comput. Methods Funct. Theory 9 (2009), 443-454.
- [3] M. Chuaqui and R. Hernández. *The order of a linearly invariant family in \mathbb{C}^n* . J. Math. Anal. Appl. 398 (2013) 372-379.

- [4] M. Chuaqui and R. Hernández. *Ahlfors-Weill extensions in several complex variables*. J. reine angew. Math. 698 (2015) 161-179.
- [5] M. Chuaqui and B. Osgood. *Sharp distortion theorems associated with the Schwarzian derivative*. Jour. London Math. Soc. 48 (1993), 289-298.
- [6] M. Essén and F.R. Keogh. *The Schwarzian derivative and estimates of functions analytic in the unit disc*. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 78 (1975), 501-511.
- [7] I. Graham and G. Kohr. *Geometric function theory in one and higher dimensions*. Pure and Applied Math. 255, Marcel Dekker, 2003.
- [8] H. Hamada and G. Kohr. *The growth theorem and quasiconformal extension of strongly spirallike mappings of type α* . Complex Variables 44 (2001), 281-297.
- [9] R. Hernández. *Schwarzian derivatives and a linearly invariant family in \mathbb{C}* . Pacific J. Math 228 (2006), 201-218.
- [10] L. Hörmander. *Notions of Convexity*. Modern Classics, Birkäuser, Boston, 2007.
- [11] K. Kikuchi. *Starlike and convex mappings in several complex variables*. Pacific J. Math. 44 (1973), 569-580.
- [12] S. Krantz. *Function Theory of several complex variables*. Pure and Applied Math., Wiley, 1982.
- [13] R. Molzon and K.P. Mortensen. *Differential operators associated with holomorphic mappings*. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 12 (1994), 291-304.
- [14] R. Molzon and K.P. Mortensen. *The schwarzian derivative for maps between manifolds with complex projective connections*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 348 (1996) 3015-3036.
- [15] R. Molzon and H. Tamanoi. *Generalized schwarzians in several variables and Möbius invariant differential operators*. Forum Math. 14 (2002), 165-188.
- [16] Z. Nehari. *The Schwarzian derivative and schlicht functions*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1949), 545-551.
- [17] T. Oda. *On Schwarzian derivatives in several variables (in japanese)*. Kokyuroku of R.I.M., Kyoto Univ. 226 (1974).
- [18] J.A. Pfaltzgraff. *Distortion of locally biholomorphic maps of the n -ball*. Complex Variables 33 (1997), 239-253.
- [19] Ch. Pommerenke. *Linear-invariante Familien analytischer Funktionen I, II*. Math. Ann. 155, 156 (1964), 108-154, 226-262.
- [20] T. Suffridge. *Starlikeness, convexity and other geometric properties of holomorphic maps in higher dimensions*. Lecture Notes in Math. 599 (1976), 146-159, Springer-Verlag.
- [21] Masaaki Yoshida. *Canonical forms of some system of linear partial differential equations*. Proc. Japan Acad. 52 (1976), 473-476.

Facultad de Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Casilla 306, Santiago 22, Chile, mchuaqui@mat.uc.cl

Facultad de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez, Av. Padre Hurtado 750, Viña del Mar, Chile, rodrigo.hernandez@uai.cl