

Frobenius-Perron theory of representation-directed algebras

Jianmin CHEN¹, Jiayi CHEN¹

¹ School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China

© Higher Education Press 2021

Abstract We study the Frobenius-Perron dimension of representation-directed algebras and quotient algebras of canonical algebras of type ADE , prove that the Frobenius-Perron dimension of a representation-directed algebra is always zero and the Frobenius-Perron dimension of a quotient algebra of canonical algebras of type ADE is 0 or 1. Moreover, we give a sufficient and necessary condition for a quotient algebra of a canonical algebra of type ADE under which its Frobenius-Perron dimension is 0.

Keywords Frobenius-Perron dimension, endofunctor, representation-directed algebra, canonical algebra

MSC2020 16G60, 16G70, 18G15

1 Introduction

1.1 Backgrounds

The spectral radius (also called the Frobenius-Perron dimension) of a matrix is an elementary and extremely useful invariant in linear algebra, combinatorics, topology, probability and statistics. For instance, one can classify all the finite graphs which are simple and connected by applying the spectral radius to adjacency matrix of them [5].

The Frobenius-Perron dimension of an object in a semisimple finite tensor (or fusion) category was introduced by Etingof-Nikshych-Ostrik in 2005 [8] (also see [6, 7, 10]). Since then it has become an extremely useful invariant in the study of fusion categories and representations of semisimple (weak and/or quasi-)Hopf algebras.

Recently, the Frobenius-Perron dimension of an endofunctor of a category was introduced by the authors in [3]. It can be viewed as a generalization of

the Frobenius-Perron dimension of an object in a fusion category introduced by Etingof-Nikshych-Ostrik [8]. It was shown in [3, 4, 17] that the Frobenius-Perron dimension has strong connections with the representation type of a category.

In the present paper we pay our attention to the Frobenius-Perron theory of representation-directed algebras and quotient algebras of canonical algebras of type ADE . As we know, representation-directed algebras and canonical algebras get widespread attention in representation theory, e.g., [12, 13, 16] for representation-directed algebras and [11, 15] for canonical algebras, the algebras of finite type are representation-directed and the algebras of tame type are derived equivalent to canonical algebras. Therefore, it is significant to study representation-directed algebras and canonical algebras.

We will give several correlative inequalities of Frobenius-Perron dimension of a category in this paper, prove the Frobenius-Perron dimension of a representation-directed algebra is always zero and find a way to calculate the Frobenius-Perron dimension of a family of quotient algebras of canonical algebras of type ADE .

Throughout, let \mathbb{k} be an algebraically closed field and everything be over \mathbb{k} .

1.2 Conventions

- (1) Usually Q means a quiver.
- (2) If A is an algebra over the base field \mathbb{k} , then $\text{mod}A$ denote the category of finite dimensional left A -modules.

1.3 Organization

The paper is organized as follows. We provide background materials in Section 2. Several inequations of Frobenius-Perron dimension are introduced in Section 3. Section 4 and Section 5 calculate the Frobenius-Perron dimension of representation-directed algebras and quotient algebras of canonical algebras, respectively. The main results in Section 4 and Section 5 are as following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let A be a representation-directed algebra and τ be the Auslander-Reiten translation of $\text{mod}A$. Then we have*

$$\text{fpd}_A(\tau) = 0.$$

Moreover, $\{\text{fpd}_A^n(E^m)\}_{n \geq 1, m \geq 0}$ is a collection consisting of 1 (when $m = 0$) and 0 (when $m > 0$).

Where $\text{fpd}_A(\tau)$ is the Frobenius-Perron dimension of the endofunctor τ (see Definition 2.3) and $\{\text{fpd}_A^n(E^m)\}_{n \geq 1, m \geq 0}$ is the Frobenius-Perron theory of $\text{mod}A$ (see Definition 2.4).

Theorem 1.2. *Let A be a quotient algebra of a canonical algebra (see Definition 5.1). Then we have*

$$\mathrm{fpd}_A(E^1) = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

Moreover, $\mathrm{fpd}_A(E^1) = 0$ if and only if all the paths is commutative when they have the same source and target. Here, $\mathrm{fpd}_A(E^1)$ is the Frobenius-Perron dimension of the abelian category $\mathrm{mod}A$ (see Definition 2.4).

We present some examples in Section 6.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Frobenius-Perron dimension of a matrix

Let A be an $n \times n$ -matrix over complex numbers \mathbb{C} . The *spectral radius* of A is defined to be

$$\rho(A) := \max\{|r_1|, |r_2|, \dots, |r_n|\}$$

where $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}$ is the complete multi-set of eigenvalues of A . When each entry of A is a positive real number, $\rho(A)$ is also called the *Perron root* or the *Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue* of A .

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ be an $n \times n$ -matrix with entries a_{ij} in $\overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$. Define $A' = (a'_{ij})_{n \times n}$ where

$$a'_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{ij} & a_{ij} \neq \pm\infty, \\ x_{ij} & a_{ij} = \infty, \\ -x_{ij} & a_{ij} = -\infty. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.1. [3, Definition 1.2] *Let A be an $n \times n$ -matrix with entries in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. The spectral radius of A is defined to be*

$$\rho(A) := \liminf_{\text{all } x_{ij} \rightarrow \infty} \rho(A') \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}.$$

2.2 Frobenius-Perron dimension of endofunctors

If \mathcal{C} is a \mathbb{k} -linear category, then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, N)$ is a \mathbb{k} -module for all objects M, N in \mathcal{C} . If \mathcal{C} is also abelian, then $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^i(M, N)$ are \mathbb{k} -modules for all $i \geq 0$. Let \dim be the \mathbb{k} -vector space dimension.

Throughout the rest of the paper, let \mathcal{C} denote a \mathbb{k} -linear category. A functor between two \mathbb{k} -linear categories is assumed to preserve the \mathbb{k} -linear structure. For simplicity, $\dim(A, B)$ stands for $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ for any objects A and B in \mathcal{C} .

The family of finite sets of nonzero objects in \mathcal{C} is denoted by Φ and the family of sets of n nonzero objects in \mathcal{C} is denoted by Φ_n for each $n \geq 1$. It is clear that $\Phi = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \Phi_n$. We do not consider the empty set as an element of Φ .

Definition 2.2. [3, Definition 2.1] Let $\phi = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ be a finite subset of nonzero objects in \mathcal{C} , namely, $\phi \in \Phi_n$.

(1) An object M in \mathcal{C} is called a brick if

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, M) = \mathbb{k}.$$

(2) $\phi \in \Phi$ is called a brick set if each X_i is a brick and

$$\dim(X_i, X_j) = \delta_{ij}$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. The set of brick n -object subsets is denoted by $\Phi_{n,b}$. We write $\Phi_b = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \Phi_{n,b}$. Define the b -height of \mathcal{C} to be

$$h_b(\mathcal{C}) = \sup\{n \mid \Phi_{n,b} \text{ is nonempty}\}.$$

For $\mathcal{M} = (M_{ij})$ with each entry $M_{ij} \in \text{Mod} - \mathbb{k}$, we denote a matrix $\dim \mathcal{M} = (\dim M_{ij})$. Then we have the following definitions.

Definition 2.3. [3, Definition 2.3] Retain the notation as in Definition 2.2, assume there is an assignment $\zeta : \Phi \rightarrow \bigcup_{n \geq 1} M_{n \times n}(\text{Mod} - \mathbb{k})$ and for each $n \geq 1$, the restriction of ζ on Φ_n is $\zeta_n : \Phi_n \rightarrow M_{n \times n}(\text{Mod} - \mathbb{k})$. ζ satisfies the property: if ϕ_1 is a subset of ϕ_2 , then $\zeta(\phi_1)$ is a principal submatrix of $\zeta(\phi_2)$.

(1) Define the adjacency matrix of $\phi \in \Phi_n$ to be

$$A(\phi, \zeta) := \dim(\zeta(\phi)).$$

(2) Define the n th Frobenius-Perron dimension of ζ to be

$$\text{fpd}_{\mathcal{C}}^n(\zeta) := \sup_{\phi \in \Phi_{n,b}} \{\rho(A(\phi, \zeta))\}.$$

(3) Define the Frobenius-Perron dimension of ζ to be

$$\text{fpd}_{\mathcal{C}}(\zeta) := \sup_n \{\text{fpd}^n(\zeta)\}.$$

Remark 1. Let σ be an endofunctor of \mathcal{C} , then we can define an assignment

$$\Phi \longrightarrow \bigcup_{n \geq 1} M_{n \times n}(\text{Mod} - \mathbb{k})$$

$$\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\} \mapsto (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_i, \sigma(X_j)))_{i,j=1}^n.$$

Denote the assignment also by σ , then we can define the Frobenius-Perron dimension of σ by Definition 2.3.

Example 1. Let \mathfrak{A} be a \mathbb{k} -linear abelian category. For each $m \geq 1$ and $\phi = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$, define

$$E^m : \phi \longrightarrow (\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{A}}^m(X_i, X_j))_{n \times n}.$$

By convention, let $\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{A}}^0(X_i, X_j)$ denote $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(X_i, X_j)$. Then, for each $m \geq 0$, one can define the Frobenius-Perron dimension of E^m by Definition 2.3. If \mathfrak{A} is a hereditary abelian category, let $D^b(\mathfrak{A})$ be the bounded derived category of \mathfrak{A} , $[1]$ be the shift functor, then we can know from [3, Theorem 3.5] that the Frobenius-Perron dimension of E^1 in \mathfrak{A} is equal to the Frobenius-Perron dimension of $[1]$ in $D^b(\mathfrak{A})$.

Definition 2.4. [3, Definition 2.7] Let \mathfrak{A} be an abelian category. The Frobenius-Perron dimension of \mathfrak{A} is defined to be

$$\text{fpd}_{\mathfrak{A}} := \text{fpd}_{\mathfrak{A}}(E^1)$$

where $E^1 := \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{A}}^1(-, -)$ is defined as in Example 1(1). The Frobenius-Perron theory of \mathfrak{A} is the collection

$$\{\text{fpd}_{\mathfrak{A}}^n(E^m)\}_{n \geq 1, m \geq 0}$$

where $E^m := \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{A}}^m(-, -)$ is defined as in Example 1(1).

For simplicity, ‘‘Frobenius-Perron’’ is abbreviated to ‘‘fp’’; If A is an algebra, $\text{fpd}_{\text{mod}A}$ is abbreviated as fpd_A .

3 Inequations of fp-dimension

In this section, we will prove several inequations of fp-dimension. First we give the following lemma which is a well-known fact in linear algebras.

Lemma 3.1. (1) Let B be a square matrix with nonnegative entries and let A be a principal minor of B . Then $\rho(A) \leq \rho(B)$.

(2) Let $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ and $B = (b_{ij})_{n \times n}$ be two square matrices such that $0 \leq a_{ij} \leq b_{ij}$ for all i, j . Then $\rho(A) \leq \rho(B)$.

Proposition 3.2. Let A be an algebra, \mathcal{I} be a two-sided ideal of A , and $B = A/\mathcal{I}$. Then $\text{mod}B$ is a full subcategory of $\text{mod}A$, and we have

$$\text{fpd}_B(E^1) \leq \text{fpd}_A(E^1).$$

Proof. A linear space M becomes a B -module when there is an algebraic homomorphism $B \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}M$. Since we have a natural algebraic homomorphism $\pi : A \rightarrow B, a \mapsto a + \mathcal{I}$, a B -module can always become a A -module.

Let M, N be B -modules, φ, ψ are the corresponding algebraic homomorphisms. A linear mapping $f : M \rightarrow N$ becomes a B -module homomorphism if

and only if $f \circ \varphi(b) = \psi(b) \circ f$ for any $b \in B$. We know M, N are also A -modules, $\varphi' = \varphi \circ \pi, \psi' = \psi \circ \pi$ are the corresponding algebraic homomorphisms. It is clear that $f \circ \varphi'(a) = f \circ \varphi(\pi(a)) = \psi(\pi(a)) \circ f = \psi'(a) \circ f$ for any $a \in A$, so f is also a A -module homomorphism. Conversely, if f is a A -module homomorphism, we have $f \circ \varphi'(a) = \psi'(a) \circ f$ for any $a \in A$. Since π is surjective, for any $b \in B$, we can find an $a \in A$ such that $f \circ \varphi(b) = f \circ \varphi(\pi(a)) = \psi(\pi(a)) \circ f = \psi(b) \circ f$. It follows that f is also a B -module homomorphism. Therefore, $\text{mod}B$ can be seen a full subcategory of $\text{mod}A$.

Since for arbitrary B -modules M, N , $\text{Ext}_B^1(M, N)$ can be seen as a subspace of $\text{Ext}_A^1(M, N)$, we have $\dim \text{Ext}_B^1(M, N) \leq \dim \text{Ext}_A^1(M, N)$. If ϕ is a brick set in $\text{mod}B$, it is also a brick set in $\text{mod}A$. Then by Lemma 3.1, we have $\rho(A(\phi, E_B^1)) \leq \rho(A(\phi, E_A^1))$. Thus we get

$$\sup_{\phi \in \Phi_{\text{mod}B}} \{\rho(A(\phi, E_B^1))\} \leq \sup_{\phi \in \Phi_{\text{mod}B}} \{\rho(A(\phi, E_A^1))\} \leq \sup_{\phi \in \Phi_{\text{mod}A}} \{\rho(A(\phi, E_A^1))\}$$

where $\Phi_{\text{mod}A}$ means the set of brick sets in $\text{mod}A$, $\Phi_{\text{mod}B}$ means the set of brick sets in $\text{mod}B$. It follows that $\text{fpd}_B(E^1) \leq \text{fpd}_A(E^1)$. \square

Lemma 3.3. [2, Theorem 2.13, Ch. IV] *Let A be an algebra, τ be the Auslander-Reiten translate of $\text{mod}A$ and M, N be two A -modules in $\text{mod}A$. Then there exist isomorphisms*

$$\text{Ext}_A^1(M, N) \cong D\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(\tau^{-1}N, M) \cong D\overline{\text{Hom}}_A(N, \tau M)$$

that are functorial in both variables, where $\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(M, N) = \text{Hom}_A(M, N)/\mathcal{P}(M, N)$, $\overline{\text{Hom}}_A(M, N) = \text{Hom}_A(M, N)/\mathcal{I}(M, N)$, $\mathcal{P}(M, N)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{I}(M, N)$) is a subset of $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ consisting of all homomorphisms that factor through a projective (respectively, injective) A -module.

Proposition 3.4. *Let A be an algebra, Then we have*

$$\text{fpd}_A(E^1) \leq \text{fpd}_A(\tau).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, for any two A -modules M, N , we have

$$\dim \text{Ext}_A^1(M, N) = \dim D\overline{\text{Hom}}_A(N, \tau M) \leq \dim \text{Hom} \text{Hom}_A(N, \tau M).$$

It follows that

$$\text{fpd}_A(E^1) = \sup_{\phi \in \Phi_b} \{\rho(A(\phi, E^1))\} \leq \sup_{\phi \in \Phi_b} \{\rho(A(\phi, \tau))\} = \text{fpd}_A(\tau)$$

where Φ_b means the set of brick sets in $\text{mod}A$. \square

Lemma 3.5. [2, Lemma 5.2, Ch. VIII] *Let A be an algebra, \mathcal{I} be a two-sided ideal of A , and $B = A/\mathcal{I}$. If M is a B -module, then the Auslander-Reiten translate $\tau_B M$ of M in $\text{mod}B$ is a submodule of the Auslander-Reiten translate $\tau_A M$ of M in $\text{mod}A$.*

Proposition 3.6. *Let A be an algebra, \mathcal{I} be a two-sided ideal of A , and $B = A/\mathcal{I}$. Then we have*

$$\text{fpd}_B(\tau_B) \leq \text{fpd}_A(\tau_A).$$

Proof. For two B -modules M, N , by Lemma 3.5, there is a monomorphism $i : \tau_B N \rightarrow \tau_A N$. Since the functor $\text{Hom}_A(M, -)$ is left exact, the induced homomorphism $\text{Hom}(M, i) : \text{Hom}_A(M, \tau_B N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, \tau_A N)$ is also a monomorphism. So we have

$$\dim \text{Hom}_B(M, \tau_B N) = \dim \text{Hom}_A(M, \tau_B N) \leq \dim \text{Hom}_A(M, \tau_A N).$$

It follows that

$$\text{fpd}_B(\tau_B) = \sup_{\phi \in \Phi_{\text{mod}B}} \{\rho(A(\phi, \tau_B))\} \leq \sup_{\phi \in \Phi_{\text{mod}A}} \{\rho(A(\phi, \tau_A))\} = \text{fpd}_A(\tau_A)$$

where $\Phi_{\text{mod}A}$ means the set of brick sets in $\text{mod}A$, $\Phi_{\text{mod}B}$ means the set of brick sets in $\text{mod}B$. \square

4 Fp-dimension of representation-directed algebras

Let A be an algebra. Recall that a *path* in $\text{mod}A$ is a sequence

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} M_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_{t-1} \xrightarrow{f_t} M_t,$$

where $t \geq 1$, M_0, M_1, \dots, M_t are indecomposable A -modules and f_1, \dots, f_t are non-zero non-isomorphisms homomorphisms. A path in $\text{mod}A$ is called a *cycle* if its source module M_0 is isomorphic to its target module M_t . An indecomposable A -module that lies on no cycle in $\text{mod}A$ is called a *directing module*. An algebra is called *representation-directed* if every indecomposable A -module is directing.

Lemma 4.1. *Let A be a representation-directed algebra, $\{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$ be a set of indecomposable A -modules where n is a positive integer. Then there exists a permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that there is no path from M_i to M_j for $\sigma(i) \leq \sigma(j)$.*

Proof. Using induction on n . If $n = 1$, there is only one element M_1 . The conclusion is tenable.

Now assume the conclusion holds for $n = k - 1$. When $n = k$, there exists i_0 , such that there is no path from M_{i_0} to the other modules. Otherwise, for each i , there exists j and a path from M_i to M_j , then we can get a longer path

$$M_{i_1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_{i_2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_{i_3} \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since the set is finite, we can find $i_s = i_t$. And then we get a cycle which contradicts the assumption A is a representation-directed algebra. Define σ_1 permuting i_0 with 1. By induction, we have σ_2 such that there is no path from $M_{\sigma_1(i)}$ to $M_{\sigma_1(j)}$ for $1 < \sigma_2\sigma_1(i) \leq \sigma_2\sigma_1(j)$. Let $\sigma = \sigma_2\sigma_1$, then we get what we need. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let A be a representation-directed algebra. Then we have*

$$\text{fpd}_A(\tau) = 0.$$

Proof. For any two bricks M_1, M_2 , if $\text{Hom}_A(M_1, \tau M_2) \neq 0$, then there exists a non-zero non-isomorphism homomorphism $f : M_1 \rightarrow \tau M_2$. Note that Auslander-Reiten sequence $\tau M_2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^k N_i \rightarrow M_2$ gives a path $\tau M_2 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow M_2$, so we get a path $M_1 \rightarrow \tau M_2 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow M_2$.

Assume $\phi = \{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$ is a brick set. By Lemma 4.1, we have a permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that for $\sigma(i) \leq \sigma(j)$ there is no path from M_i to M_j , thus $\text{Hom}_A(M_i, \tau M_j) = 0$. Therefore, the adjacency matrix of ϕ is a strictly upper triangular matrix in some order, so the spectral radius of the matrix is zero. It follows that $\text{fpd}_A(\tau) = 0$. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let A be a representation-directed algebra. Then we have*

$$\text{fpd}_A(E^1) = 0.$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 4.2, we have $0 \leq \text{fpd}_A(E^1) \leq \text{fpd}_A(\tau) = 0$. Thus $\text{fpd}_A(E^1) = 0$. \square

Let \mathfrak{A} be an abelian category with enough projective objects or enough injective objects. For two objects M, N in \mathfrak{A} , recall from [14] that the zero element in $\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{A}}^m(N, M)$ can be represented as

$$[0] = \begin{cases} 0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} M \xrightarrow{0} N \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} N \longrightarrow 0. & (m = 2) \\ 0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} M \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} N \longrightarrow 0. & (m \geq 3) \end{cases}$$

We have the following property.

Lemma 4.4. *If there exists a positive integer $m \geq 2$ such that $\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{A}}^m(N, M) \neq 0$, then we can find a path from M to N .*

Proof. We use induction on m . If $m = 2$, $\text{Ext}^2(N, M) \neq 0$ means there exists a non-zero exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} L_1 \xrightarrow{g} L_2 \xrightarrow{h} N \rightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

Let $K = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k K_i$ be the kernel of $L_2 \rightarrow N$, where each K_i is indecomposable. We can conclude that there is a K_i such that $\text{Ext}^1(K_i, M) \neq 0$ and $\text{Ext}^1(N, K_i) \neq 0$. Otherwise, $\text{Ext}^1(K_j, M) = 0$ or $\text{Ext}^1(N, K_j) = 0$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\text{Ext}^1(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r K_i, M) = 0$ and $\text{Ext}^1(N, \bigoplus_{i=r+1}^k K_i) = 0$. Denote $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r K_i$ by $K_{\underline{r}}$ and $\bigoplus_{i=r+1}^k K_i$ by $K_{\overline{r}}$.

Then there is a direct summand L'_1 of L_1 and isomorphism $\varphi : L_1 \rightarrow L'_1 \oplus K_{\bar{r}}$ satisfying the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & L_1 & \longrightarrow & K_{\underline{r}} \oplus K_{\bar{r}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow \varphi & & \parallel & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f' \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} & L'_1 \oplus K_{\bar{r}} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} g_1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & K_{\underline{r}} \oplus K_{\bar{r}} & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Similarly there is a direct summand L'_2 of L_2 and isomorphism $\psi : L_2 \rightarrow K_{\underline{r}} \oplus L'_2$ satisfying the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_{\underline{r}} \oplus K_{\bar{r}} & \longrightarrow & L_2 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow \psi & & \parallel & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_{\underline{r}} \oplus K_{\bar{r}} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ g_2 \end{pmatrix}} & K_{\underline{r}} \oplus K_{\bar{r}} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & h' \end{pmatrix}} & N & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Thus (1) is just the following exact sequence in the sense of isomorphism

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f' \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} L'_1 \oplus K_{\bar{r}} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{pmatrix}} K_{\underline{r}} \oplus L'_2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & h' \end{pmatrix}} N \rightarrow 0$$

Then we have commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} & M \oplus K_{\bar{r}} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g_2 \end{pmatrix}} & K_{\underline{r}} \oplus L'_2 & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & h' \end{pmatrix}} & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} f' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & L'_1 \oplus K_{\bar{r}} & \longrightarrow & K_{\underline{r}} \oplus L'_2 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M \oplus K_{\bar{r}} & \longrightarrow & K_{\underline{r}} \oplus L'_2 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h \end{pmatrix} & & \parallel & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{1} & M & \xrightarrow{0} & N & \xrightarrow{1} & N & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

which implies that (1) is the zero element in $\text{Ext}^2(N, M)$. So it contradicts to the assumption. Thus there exists a K_i such that $\text{Ext}^1(K_i, M) \neq 0$ and $\text{Ext}^1(N, K_i) \neq 0$. Therefore, we get a path $M \rightarrow K_i \rightarrow N$.

Now assume that for $m = n - 1$, the assertion holds. Consider $m = n$, then $\text{Ext}^n(N, M) \neq 0$ means there exists a nonzero exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L_n \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$. Let $K = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k K_i$ be the kernel of $L_n \rightarrow N$, where each K_i is indecomposable. Similarly, we can conclude that there exists a K_i such that $\text{Ext}^{n-1}(K_i, M) \neq 0$ and $\text{Ext}^1(N, K_i) \neq 0$. By assumption, we get a path $M \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow K_i$, then a path $M \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow K_i \rightarrow N$. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let A be a representation-directed algebra. Then we have*

$$\text{fpd}_A(E^m) = 0, m = 2, 3, \dots$$

Proof. Let $\phi = \{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$ be a brick set, $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer. By Lemma 4.1, we have a permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that for $\sigma(i) \leq \sigma(j)$, there is no path from M_i to M_j , thus $\text{Ext}_A^m(M_j, M_i) = 0$ by Lemma 4.4. Therefore, the adjacency matrix of ϕ is a strictly upper triangular matrix in some order, and $\rho(A(\phi, E^m)) = 0$. By the arbitrariness by ϕ , we are done. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let A be a representation-directed algebra. Then the Frobenius-Perron theory of $\text{mod}A$ is a collection consisting of 1 and 0.*

Proof. For each brick set $\phi \in \Phi_{n,b}$, we have $A(\phi, E^0) = \mathbb{E}_n$, where \mathbb{E}_n is the identity matrix of order n . Thus $\text{fpd}_A^n(E^0) = 1$ for $n \geq 1$. Moreover, $\text{fpd}_A^n(E^m) = 0$ for $n \geq 1, m > 0$ by Corollary 4.3 and Theorem 4.5. \square


5 Fp-dimension of quotient algebras of a canonical algebra

We consider a family of algebras closely related to canonical algebras.


Definition 5.1. *Let $A_{\mathcal{I}}(n, m) = \mathbb{k}Q_A/\mathcal{I}$ for $n \geq 1, m \geq 0$, where Q_A is the following quiver and \mathcal{I} is an admissible ideal of $\mathbb{k}Q_A$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & 2 \leftarrow \cdots \cdots \cdots n & \\
 \alpha_1 \swarrow & & \nwarrow \alpha_n \\
 1 & & n+m+1 \\
 \gamma_1 \swarrow & & \nwarrow \gamma_{m+1} \\
 & n+1 \leftarrow \cdots \cdots \cdots n+m &
 \end{array}$$

Let $D_{\mathcal{I}}(n) = \mathbb{k}Q_D/\mathcal{I}$ for $n \geq 4$, where Q_D is the following quiver and \mathcal{I} is an admissible ideal of $\mathbb{k}Q_D$ satisfying $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2} + \beta_1 \beta_2 + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \in \mathcal{I}$.



Let $E_{\mathcal{I}}(n) = \mathbb{k}Q_E/\mathcal{I}$ for $n = 6, 7, 8$, where Q_E is the following quiver and \mathcal{I} is an admissible ideal of $\mathbb{k}Q_E$ satisfying $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-3} + \beta_1\beta_2 + \gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3 \in \mathcal{I}$.



Then $A_{\mathcal{I}}(n, m)$ for $n \geq 1, m \geq 0$, $D_{\mathcal{I}}(n)$ for $n \geq 4$ and $E_{\mathcal{I}}(n)$ for $n = 6, 7, 8$ are called quotient algebras of the canonical algebra of type A, D and E, respectively.

Remark 2. When I is trivial, that is, $\mathcal{I} = \{0\}$ for $A_{\mathcal{I}}(n, m)$, $\mathcal{I} = \langle \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2} + \beta_1\beta_2 + \gamma_1\gamma_2 \rangle$ for $D_{\mathcal{I}}(n)$ or $\mathcal{I} = \langle \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-3} + \beta_1\beta_2 + \gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3 \rangle$ for $E_{\mathcal{I}}(n)$, the corresponding quotient algebras $A_{\mathcal{I}}(n, m), D_{\mathcal{I}}(n)$ and $E_{\mathcal{I}}(n)$ are just canonical algebras.

The following lemmas are necessary.

Lemma 5.2. [3, Theorem 0.3] Let Q be a finite quiver and let \mathfrak{A} be the category of finite dimensional left $\mathbb{k}Q$ -modules.

- (1) $\mathbb{k}Q$ is of finite representation type if and only if $\text{fpd}\mathfrak{A} = 0$.
- (2) $\mathbb{k}Q$ is of tame representation type if and only if $\text{fpd}\mathfrak{A} = 1$.
- (3) $\mathbb{k}Q$ is of wild representation type if and only if $\text{fpd}\mathfrak{A} = \infty$.

Lemma 5.3. Let $A = A_{\mathcal{I}}(n, m)$, if $\mathcal{I} = \langle \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n + c\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{m+1} \rangle$ for a nonzero constant c , then the Auslander-Reiten quiver of A is connected by two Auslander-Reiten quiver with an indecomposable projective-injective module.

Proof. Define $A_1 = \mathbb{k}Q_1$ where Q_1 is a subquiver of Q_A that the point $n+m+1$ is removed, $A_2 = \mathbb{k}Q_2$ where Q_2 is a subquiver of Q_A that the point 1 is removed.

Notice that the left hand of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\text{mod}A$ is equivalent to the left hand of $\text{mod}A_1$ until the radical of $P(n+m+1)$ appears. So the left hand of the Auslander-Reiten quiver is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & P(n) & \cdots & S(n) \\
 & & \nearrow & & \nearrow \\
 & P(2) & \cdots & M(2) & \\
 & \nearrow & & \nearrow & \\
 P(1) & \cdots & M(1) & & \\
 \searrow & & \searrow & & \\
 P(n+1) & \cdots & M(3) & & \\
 \searrow & & \searrow & & \\
 & P(n+m) & \cdots & S(n+m) &
 \end{array}$$

where $P(i)$ is the projective module at i , $S(j)$ is the simple module at j , $M(1), M(2), M(3)$ is respectively defined as follows

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \mathbb{k} \mathbb{k} \cdots \mathbb{k} 0 \\
 \mathbb{k} \mathbb{k} \cdots \mathbb{k} \\
 \hline
 0 \mathbb{k} \cdots \mathbb{k} 0 \\
 0 \mathbb{k} \cdots \mathbb{k} 0
 \end{array}, \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 0 \mathbb{k} \cdots \mathbb{k} 0 \\
 0 \mathbb{k} \cdots \mathbb{k} 0 \\
 \hline
 0 \mathbb{k} \cdots \mathbb{k} 0 \\
 0 \mathbb{k} \cdots \mathbb{k} 0
 \end{array}, \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 0 \mathbb{k} \cdots \mathbb{k} 0 \\
 0 \mathbb{k} \cdots \mathbb{k} \\
 \hline
 0 \mathbb{k} \cdots \mathbb{k} 0 \\
 0 \mathbb{k} \cdots \mathbb{k} 0
 \end{array}.$$

Similarly, the right hand of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\text{mod}A$ is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 S(2) & \cdots & I(n) & & \\
 \searrow & & \searrow & & \\
 M(2) & \cdots & I(2) & & \\
 \searrow & & \searrow & & \\
 & M(4) & \cdots & I(n+m+1) & \\
 \nearrow & & \nearrow & & \\
 M(3) & \cdots & I(n+m) & & \\
 \nearrow & & \nearrow & & \\
 S(n+1) & \cdots & I(n+1) & &
 \end{array}$$

where $I(i)$ is the injective module at i , $S(j)$ is the simple module at j , $M(4)$ is defined as follow

$$\begin{array}{c}
 0 \mathbb{k} \cdots \mathbb{k} \mathbb{k} \\
 0 \mathbb{k} \cdots \mathbb{k} \mathbb{k}
 \end{array}$$

Note that $P(n+m+1) = I(1) = \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{k} \mathbb{k} \cdots \mathbb{k} \mathbb{k} \\ \mathbb{k} \mathbb{k} \cdots \mathbb{k} \mathbb{k} \end{array}$ is an indecomposable projective-injective module and the radical of $P(n+m+1)$ is M_1 , so there exists a irreducible morphisma from $M(1)$ to $P(n+m+1)$. Similarly, there exists a irreducible morphisma from $I(1)$ to $M(4)$. Thus we get a Auslander-Reiten

sequence.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & M(2) & & \\
 & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
 M(1) & \rightarrow & P(n+m+1) & \rightarrow & M(4) \\
 & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\
 & & M(3) & &
 \end{array}$$

Which implies that we can connect the left hand and the right hand of $\text{mod}A$ by the indecomposable projective-injective module $P(n+m+1)$. Thus every simple module is preprojective (also preinjective). By [1, Proposition 4.7], we get the whole Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\text{mod}A$. \square

Now we calculate the Frobenius-Perron dimension of quotient algebras of the canonical algebra of type A, D and E .

Theorem 5.4. *Let $A = A_{\mathcal{S}}(n, m)$. Then*

$$\text{fpd}_A(E^1) = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

Moreover, $\text{fpd}_A(E^1) = 0$ if and only if there exists $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{k}$ such that $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n + c\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{m+1} \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 5.3, if $\mathcal{S} = \langle \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n + c\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{m+1} \rangle$ with a nonzero constant c , then A is a representation-directed algebra. Therefore, by Corollary 4.3, $\text{fpd}_A(E^1) = 0$.

(2) If there exists a scalar $c \neq 0$ such that $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n + c\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{m+1} \in \mathcal{S}$, then A is a quotient algebra of the algebra studied in (1). So by Proposition 3.2, we have $\text{fpd}_A(E^1) = 0$.

(3) If there is no scalar $c \neq 0$ such that $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n + c\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{m+1} \in \mathcal{S}$, we have $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n \neq 0$ or $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{m+1} \neq 0$ in A . Without loss of generality, assume $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{m+1} \neq 0$. Consider the brick set $\phi = \{S(2), S(3), \dots, S(n), M(0)\}$, where $M(0)$ is defined as follow

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{k} & \begin{array}{cc} 0 & - \\ - & 0 \end{array} & \\
 \mathbb{k} & \begin{array}{cc} - & - \\ - & \mathbb{k} \end{array} &
 \end{array}$$

It is clear that $\text{Ext}_A^1(S(i), S(i-1)) \neq 0$ for $3 \leq i \leq n$, $\text{Ext}_A^1(S(2), M(0)) \neq 0$ and $\text{Ext}_A^1(M(0), S(n)) \neq 0$. Therefore we get

$$\text{fpd}_A(E^1) \geq \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1.$$

Note that A is a quotient algebra of a canonical algebra \hat{A} which means that $\text{fpd}_A(E^1) \leq \text{fpd}_{\hat{A}}(E^1) = 1$ by Proposition 3.2. It follows that $\text{fpd}_A(E^1) = 1$. \square

Theorem 5.5. *Let $D = D_{\mathcal{S}}(n)$. Then*

$$\text{fpd}_D(E^1) = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

Moreover, $\text{fpd}_D(E^1) = 0$ if and only if there exists scalars $c_1, c_2 \neq 0$ such that $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2} + c_1\beta_1\beta_2$ and $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2} + c_2\gamma_1\gamma_2$ belong to \mathcal{S} .

Proof. (1) If there exists scalars $c_1, c_2 \neq 0$ such that $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2} + c_1\beta_1\beta_2$ and $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2} + c_2\gamma_1\gamma_2$ belong to \mathcal{S} , then $\text{fpd}_D(E^1) = 0$. The proof is similar to Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 5.4.

(2) If there are no scalars $c_1, c_2 \neq 0$ such that $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2} + c_1\beta_1\beta_2, \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2} + c_2\gamma_1\gamma_2 \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2}, \beta = \beta_1\beta_2$ and $\gamma = \gamma_1\gamma_2$. Then at least two of them do not belong to \mathcal{S} . Otherwise, there are two belonging to \mathcal{S} . Since $\alpha + \beta + \gamma$ belongs to \mathcal{S} , the other one also belongs to \mathcal{S} . And then $\alpha + \beta, \beta + \gamma$ belong to \mathcal{S} which contradicts the assumption.

Without loss of generality, assume β, γ not belong to \mathcal{S} . In this case, neither of $\alpha + c\beta, \alpha + c\gamma$ belong to \mathcal{S} for any scalar $c \neq 0$. Consider the brick set $\phi = \{S(2), S(3), \dots, S(n-3), M(0)\}$, where $M(0)$ is defined as follow

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \bar{\quad} & 0 \\ \mathbb{k} & \mathbb{k} & \mathbb{k} \\ & \mathbb{k} & \end{array}$$

It is clear that $\text{Ext}_D^1(S(i), S(i-1)) \neq 0, 3 \leq i \leq n-2, \text{Ext}_D^1(S(2), M(0)) \neq 0, \text{Ext}_D^1(M(0), S(n-2)) \neq 0$. Therefore we get

$$\text{fpd}_D(E^1) \geq \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1.$$

It follows that $\text{fpd}_D(E^1) = 1$. □

Theorem 5.6. *Let $E = E_{\mathcal{S}}(n)$. Then we have*

$$\text{fpd}_E(E^1) = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

Moreover, $\text{fpd}_E(E^1) = 0$ if and only if there exists scalars $c_1, c_2 \neq 0$ such that $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-3} + c_1\beta_1\beta_2$ and $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-3} + c_2\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$ belong to \mathcal{S} .

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 5.5. □


6 Examples

In this section we give some examples.


Example 2. Let A be a path algebra of a Dynkin quiver. As is known to us, A is representation-directed, it follows that

$$\text{fpd}_A(E^m) = 0, m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Example 3. Define quiver Q as follow.



(1) Let $A_1 = kQ/(\alpha\beta - \gamma\delta)$, then the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\text{mod}A_1$ is as following.




Since A_1 is a representation-directed algebra, there is no cycle in $\text{mod}A_1$. Thus we have

$$\text{fpd}(\text{mod}A_1) = 0.$$

(2) Let $A_2 = kQ/(\alpha\beta)$, then the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\text{mod}A_2$ is as

following.



There is a brick set consisting of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

And the corresponding matrix is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus

$$\text{fpd}(\text{mod}A_2) = 1.$$

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Yanan Lin and Shiquan Ruan for useful discussions, and thank the referees for their helpful comments. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11971398 and 12131018).

References

1. Auslander M. A functorial approach to representation theory. *Lecture Notes in Math*, 1982, 944: 105–179
2. Assem I, Simson D, Skowroński A. *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras Vol 1 Techniques of representation theory*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts 65. New York: Cambridge University Press, 2006
3. Chen J M, Gao Z B, Wicks E, Zhang J J, Zhang X H, Zhu H. Frobenius-Perron theory of endofunctors. *Algebra Number Theory*, 2019, 13(9): 2005–2055

4. Chen J M, Gao Z B, Wicks E, Zhang J J, Zhang X H, Zhu H. Frobenius-Perron theory for projective schemes. preprint (2019), arXiv:1907.02221
5. Dokuchaev M A, Gubareni N M, Futorny V M, Khibina M A, Kirichenko V V. Dynkin diagrams and spectra of graphs. *São Paulo J Math Sci*, 2013, 7(1): 83–104
6. Etingof P, Gelaki S, Nikshych D, Ostrik V. *Tensor categories*. Rhode Island: American Mathematical Society, 2015
7. Etingof P, Gelaki S, Ostrik V. Classification of fusion categories of dimension pq . *Int Math Res Not IMRN*, 2004, 57: 3041–3056
8. Etingof P, Nikshych D, Ostrik V. On fusion categories. *Ann of Math (2)*, 2005, 162(2): 581–642
9. Etingof P, Ostrik V. Finite tensor categories. *Mosc Math J*, 2005, 4(3): 627–654
10. Nikshych D. Semisimple weak Hopf algebras. *J Algebra*, 2004, 275(2): 639–667
11. Jaworska-Pastuszak A, Pogorzaly Z. Poisson structures for canonical algebras. *J Geom Phys*, 2020, 148: 15 pp
12. Kasjan S. Representation-directed algebras form an open scheme. *Colloq Math*, 2002, 93(2): 237–250
13. Kasjan S, Konsakowska J. On Lie algebras associated with representation-directed algebras. *J Pure Appl Algebra*, 2010, 214: 678–688
14. Oort F. Yoneda extensions in abelian categories. *Math Ann*, 1964, 153: 227–235
15. Plamondon P G, Schiffmann O. Kac polynomials for canonical algebras. *Int Math Res Not IMRN*, 2019, 13: 3981—4003
16. Vaso L. n -Cluster tilting subcategories of representation-directed algebras. *J Pure Appl Algebra*, 2019, 223: 2101–2122
17. Zhang J J, Zhou J H. Frobenius-Perron theory of representations of quivers. preprint (2020), arXiv:2004.09111