

Tighter uncertainty relations based on (α, β, γ) modified weighted Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information of quantum channels

Cong Xu¹, Zhaoqi Wu^{1*}, Shao-Ming Fei^{2,3}

1. *Department of Mathematics, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330031, P R China*

2. *School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, P R China*

3. *Max-Planck-Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, 04103 Leipzig, Germany*

Abstract

We use a novel formation to illustrate the (α, β, γ) modified weighted Wigner-Yanase-Dyson $((\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ MWWYD) skew information of quantum channels. By using operator norm inequalities, we explore the sum uncertainty relations for arbitrary N quantum channels and for unitary channels. These uncertainty inequalities are shown to be tighter than the existing ones by a detailed example. Our results are also applicable to the modified weighted Wigner-Yanase-Dyson (MWWYD) skew information and the (α, γ) modified weighted Wigner-Yanase-Dyson $((\alpha, \gamma)$ MWWYD) skew information of quantum channels as special cases.

Keywords: Uncertainty relation; (α, β, γ) MWWYD skew information; Quantum channel

1. Introduction

As an extremely important issue in quantum physics, the uncertainty principle has been widespread concerned since Heisenberg [1] proposed the notions of uncertainties in measuring non-commuting observables. Based on the variance of measurement outcomes the well-known Heisenberg-Robertson uncertainty relation [2] says that for arbitrary two observables A and B with respect to a quantum state $|\psi\rangle$, one has

$$\Delta A \Delta B \geq \frac{1}{2} |\langle \psi | [A, B] | \psi \rangle|, \quad (1)$$

where $[A, B] = AB - BA$ and $\Delta M = \sqrt{\langle \psi | M^2 | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | M | \psi \rangle^2}$ is the standard deviation of an observable M .

*Corresponding author. E-mail: wuzhaoqi_conquer@163.com

There are also many ways to describe uncertainty relations, such as entropy [3–7], variance [8–11] and majorization techniques [12–15]. In particular, the quantum uncertainty can also be characterized by skew information. The skew information has been initially proposed by Wigner and Yanase [16], termed as *Wigner-Yanase* (WY) skew information. Then a more general quantity has been suggested by Dyson, called the *Wigner-Yanase-Dyson* (WYD) skew information [16]. This quantity has been further generalized in [17] and termed as *generalized Wigner-Yanase-Dyson* (GWYD) skew information. The uncertainty relations based on WY skew information, WYD skew information and GWYD skew information have been studied extensively [18–23].

For a quantum state ρ and an observable A , Furuichi, Yanagi and Kuriyama [24] defined another generalized Wigner-Yanase skew information,

$$K_{\rho}^{\alpha}(A) = -\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr} \left(\left[\frac{\rho^{\alpha} + \rho^{1-\alpha}}{2}, A \right]^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left[\frac{\rho^{\alpha} + \rho^{1-\alpha}}{2}, A \right] \right\|^2, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \quad (2)$$

which, called as the *weighted Wigner-Yanase-Dyson* (WWYD) skew information in [23], is different from WYD skew information. Chen, Liang, Li and Wang [25] proposed then a generalized Wigner-Yanase skew information for arbitrary operator E (not necessarily Hermitian),

$$K_{\rho}^{\alpha}(E) = -\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr} \left(\left[\frac{\rho^{\alpha} + \rho^{1-\alpha}}{2}, E^{\dagger} \right] \left[\frac{\rho^{\alpha} + \rho^{1-\alpha}}{2}, E \right] \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left[\frac{\rho^{\alpha} + \rho^{1-\alpha}}{2}, E \right] \right\|^2, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \quad (3)$$

which is termed as the *modified weighted Wigner-Yanase-Dyson* (MWWYD) skew information in [23]. By replacing the arithmetic mean of ρ^{α} and $\rho^{1-\alpha}$ with their convex combination, the *two-parameter extension of the Wigner-Yanase skew information* is introduced in [26],

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha}(A) &= -\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr} \left([(1-\gamma)\rho^{\alpha} + \gamma\rho^{1-\alpha}, A]^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\| [(1-\gamma)\rho^{\alpha} + \gamma\rho^{1-\alpha}, A] \right\|^2, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

which is called the (α, γ) *weighted Wigner-Yanase-Dyson* $((\alpha, \gamma)$ WWYD) skew information in [27]. Note that Eq. (4) reduces to Eq. (2) when $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$.

We defined the (α, β, γ) *weighted Wigner-Yanase-Dyson* $((\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ WWYD) skew information as [27],

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(A) &= -\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr} \left([(1-\gamma)\rho^{\alpha} + \gamma\rho^{\beta}, A]^2 \rho^{1-\alpha-\beta} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left[(1-\gamma)\rho^{\alpha} + \gamma\rho^{\beta}, A \right] \rho^{\frac{1-\alpha-\beta}{2}} \right\|^2, \quad \alpha, \beta \geq 0, \quad \alpha + \beta \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

which reduces to Eq. (4) when $\beta = 1 - \alpha$. We also defined the (α, β, γ) *modified weighted Wigner-Yanase-Dyson* $((\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ MWWYD) skew information with respect to a quantum

state ρ and an arbitrary operator E (not necessarily Hermitian) in [27] as

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\rho,\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(E) &= -\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}([(1-\gamma)\rho^\alpha + \gamma\rho^\beta, E^\dagger][(1-\gamma)\rho^\alpha + \gamma\rho^\beta, E]\rho^{1-\alpha-\beta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left\|[(1-\gamma)\rho^\alpha + \gamma\rho^\beta, E]\rho^{\frac{1-\alpha-\beta}{2}}\right\|^2, \quad \alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

which is the non-Hermitian extension of the (α, β, γ) WWYD skew information. Eq. (6) reduces to Eq. (10) in [23] when $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$. When $\beta = 1 - \alpha$, we obtain the (α, γ) *modified weighted Wigner-Yanase-Dyson* ((α, γ) MWWYD) skew information,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\rho,\gamma}^\alpha(E) &= -\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}([(1-\gamma)\rho^\alpha + \gamma\rho^{1-\alpha}, E^\dagger][(1-\gamma)\rho^\alpha + \gamma\rho^{1-\alpha}, E]) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left\|[(1-\gamma)\rho^\alpha + \gamma\rho^{1-\alpha}, E]\right\|^2, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

which is the non-Hermitian extension of the (α, γ) WWYD skew information. It reduces to Eq. (3) when $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$.

Quantum channels characterize the general evolutions of quantum systems [28, 29], which play an essential role in quantum information processing. The uncertainty relations for quantum channels have been investigated from both the variance-based and entropic-based uncertainty measure [30, 31]. Specifically, the unitary channels are useful and commonly encountered in both quantum information theory and quantum computation [28]. Uncertainty relations for general unitary channels have been investigated both theoretically and experimentally [32–34]. Recently, the sum uncertainty relations for quantum channels have attracted considerable attention [27, 35–38]. Fu, Sun and Luo [35] investigated the uncertainty relations for two quantum channels based on WY skew information for arbitrary operators. Afterwards, Zhang, Gao and Yan [36] generalized the uncertainty relations for two quantum channels to arbitrary N quantum channels and proposed tighter lower bounds than the ones in [35] for two quantum channels. Zhang, Wu and Fei [37] proposed new bounds which are tighter than the results in [36]. Cai [38] confirmed that the results in [35] also hold for all metric-adjusted skew information. By employing the norm inequalities proposed in [37], we have established sum uncertainty relations for arbitrary N quantum channels based on (α, β, γ) MWWYD skew information [27].

Following the idea in [39], the (α, β, γ) MWWYD skew information of a state ρ with respect to a channel Φ has been defined as [27],

$$K_{\rho,\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(\Phi) = \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\rho,\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(E_i), \quad (8)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, and $E_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ are Kraus operators of the channel Φ , i.e., $\Phi(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i \rho E_i^\dagger$. Very recently, we provided the following uncertainty relations for arbitrary N quantum channels $\{\Phi_t\}_{t=1}^N$ with $\Phi_t(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i^t \rho (E_i^t)^\dagger, t =$

$1, 2, \dots, N$ ($N > 2$) [27],

$$\sum_{t=1}^N K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(\Phi_t) \geq \max_{\pi_t, \pi_s \in S_n} \frac{1}{N-2} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(E_{\pi_t(i)}^t + E_{\pi_s(i)}^s) - \frac{1}{(N-1)^2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} \sqrt{K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(E_{\pi_t(i)}^t + E_{\pi_s(i)}^s)} \right)^2 \right] \right\}, \quad (9)$$

$$\sum_{t=1}^N K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(\Phi_t) \geq \max_{\pi_t, \pi_s \in S_n} \left\{ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta} \left(\sum_{t=1}^N E_{\pi_t(i)}^t \right) + \frac{2}{N^2(N-1)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} \sqrt{K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(E_{\pi_t(i)}^t - E_{\pi_s(i)}^s)} \right)^2 \right] \right\}, \quad (10)$$

$$\sum_{t=1}^N K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(\Phi_t) \geq \max_{\pi_t, \pi_s \in S_n} \frac{1}{2(N-1)} \left\{ \frac{2}{N(N-1)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} \sqrt{K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(E_{\pi_t(i)}^t \pm E_{\pi_s(i)}^s)} \right)^2 \right] + \sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(E_{\pi_t(i)}^t \mp E_{\pi_s(i)}^s) \right\}, \quad (11)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, S_n is the n -element permutation group and $\pi_t, \pi_s \in S_n$ are arbitrary n -element permutations.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we explore the (α, β, γ) MWWYD skew information-based sum uncertainty relations for arbitrary N quantum channels. Especially, we show that when $\beta = 1 - \alpha$, i.e., when the (α, β, γ) MWWYD skew information becomes the (α, γ) MWWYD skew information, our new bounds are tighter than the existing ones by a detailed example. The uncertainty relations based on the (α, β, γ) MWWYD skew information for unitary channels are discussed in Section 3. We conclude with a summary in Section 4.

2. Sum uncertainty relations for arbitrary N quantum channels in terms of (α, β, γ) MWWYD skew information

In this section, by using a new formation we explore the uncertainty relations for arbitrary N quantum channels in terms of the (α, β, γ) MWWYD skew information $K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(\Phi)$.

Let Φ be a quantum channel with Kraus representation, $\Phi(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i \rho E_i^\dagger$. Following the idea in [37], we define the (α, β, γ) MWWYD skew information of the channel as,

$$K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(\Phi) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(u^\dagger u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2, \quad (12)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, u = ([(1 - \gamma)\rho^\alpha + \gamma\rho^\beta, E_1] \rho^{\frac{1-\alpha-\beta}{2}}, [(1 - \gamma)\rho^\alpha + \gamma\rho^\beta, E_2] \rho^{\frac{1-\alpha-\beta}{2}}, \dots, [(1 - \gamma)\rho^\alpha + \gamma\rho^\beta, E_n] \rho^{\frac{1-\alpha-\beta}{2}})$ characterizes some intrinsic features of both the quantum state and the quantum channel. By employing operator norm inequalities and Eq. (12), we have the following theorem for arbitrary N quantum channels.

Theorem 1 Let Φ_1, \dots, Φ_N be N quantum channels with Kraus representations $\Phi_t(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i^t \rho (E_i^t)^\dagger, t = 1, 2, \dots, N (N > 2)$. We have

$$\sum_{t=1}^N K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(\Phi_t) \geq \max\{LB1, LB2, LB3\}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$LB1 = \max_{\pi_t, \pi_s \in S_n} \frac{1}{N-2} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(E_{\pi_t(i)}^t + E_{\pi_s(i)}^s) - \frac{1}{(N-1)^2} \left[\sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(E_{\pi_t(i)}^t + E_{\pi_s(i)}^s)} \right]^2 \right\}, \quad (14)$$

$$LB2 = \max_{\pi_t, \pi_s \in S_n} \left\{ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta} \left(\sum_{t=1}^N E_{\pi_t(i)}^t \right) + \frac{2}{N^2(N-1)} \left[\sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(E_{\pi_t(i)}^t - E_{\pi_s(i)}^s)} \right]^2 \right\}, \quad (15)$$

$$LB3 = \max_{\pi_t, \pi_s \in S_n} \frac{1}{2(N-1)} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(E_{\pi_t(i)}^t \pm E_{\pi_s(i)}^s) + \frac{2}{N(N-1)} \left[\sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(E_{\pi_t(i)}^t \mp E_{\pi_s(i)}^s)} \right]^2 \right\}, \quad (16)$$

$\alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, S_n$ is the n -element permutation group and $\pi_t, \pi_s \in S_n$ are arbitrary n -element permutations.

Proof The proof is completed directly by using the following inequalities [36, 37, 40],

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^N \|u_t\|^2 &\geq \frac{1}{N-2} \left[\sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} \|u_t + u_s\|^2 - \frac{1}{(N-1)^2} \left(\sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} \|u_t + u_s\| \right)^2 \right], \\ \sum_{t=1}^N \|u_t\|^2 &\geq \frac{1}{N} \left\| \sum_{t=1}^N u_t \right\|^2 + \frac{2}{N^2(N-1)} \left(\sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} \|u_t - u_s\| \right)^2, \\ \sum_{t=1}^N \|u_t\|^2 &\geq \frac{1}{2(N-1)} \left[\frac{2}{N(N-1)} \left(\sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} \|u_t \pm u_s\| \right)^2 + \sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} \|u_t \mp u_s\|^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

with $\|u_t\|^2 = 2K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(\Phi_t)$, $\|u_t + u_s\|^2 = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(E_{\pi_t(i)}^t + E_{\pi_s(i)}^s)$ and $\|u_t - u_s\|^2 = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\rho, \gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(E_{\pi_t(i)}^t - E_{\pi_s(i)}^s)$. \square

Note that when $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}$, Theorem 1 reduce to Theorem 1 in [37]. As a special case, we use the (α, γ) MWWYD skew information to compare our lower bounds with the existing ones. For convenience, we denote by $\overline{LB1}$, $\overline{LB2}$, $\overline{LB3}$ the right hand sides of (9), (10) and (11), respectively. The following example shows that our results give tighter lower bounds than $\overline{LB1}$, $\overline{LB2}$ and $\overline{LB3}$, see Figure 1.

Example 1 Given a qubit state $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the 2×2 identity matrix, $\mathbf{r} = (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos \theta, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin \theta, 0)$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ with σ_j ($j = 1, 2, 3$) the Pauli matrices, and $\mathbf{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \sum_{j=1}^3 r_j \sigma_j$. We consider the following three quantum channels:

(i) the amplitude damping channel Φ_{AD} ,

$$\Phi_{AD}(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^2 A_i \rho A_i^\dagger, \quad A_1 = |0\rangle\langle 0| + \sqrt{1-q}|1\rangle\langle 1|, \quad A_2 = \sqrt{q}|1\rangle\langle 1|;$$

(ii) the phase damping channel Φ_{PD} ,

$$\Phi_{PD}(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^2 B_i \rho B_i^\dagger, \quad B_1 = |0\rangle\langle 0| + \sqrt{1-q}|1\rangle\langle 1|, \quad B_2 = \sqrt{q}|0\rangle\langle 1|;$$

(iii) the bit flip channel Φ_{BF} ,

$$\Phi_{BF}(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^2 C_i \rho C_i^\dagger, \quad C_1 = \sqrt{q}|0\rangle\langle 0| + \sqrt{q}|1\rangle\langle 1|, \quad C_2 = \sqrt{1-q}(|0\rangle\langle 1| + |1\rangle\langle 0|)$$

with $0 \leq q < 1$, respectively.

For the case $\alpha = \gamma = \frac{1}{4}$, $q = 0.2$ and $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, we have $K_{\rho, \frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}(\Phi_{AD}) + K_{\rho, \frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}(\Phi_{PD}) + K_{\rho, \frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}(\Phi_{BF}) = 0.283955$. The lower bounds $\overline{LB1}$, $\overline{LB2}$ and $\overline{LB3}$ are 0.275596, 0.2644 and

0.256419, respectively, and the lower bounds $LB1$, $LB2$ and $LB3$ are 0.260707, 0.26726 and 0.265758, respectively. Obviously, $LB2$ is tightest among $LB1$, $LB2$ and $LB3$, which is also greater than $\overline{LB2}$ and $\overline{LB3}$ given in [27].

We also consider the case $\alpha = \gamma = \frac{1}{4}$. For $q = 0.4$ and $q = 0.9$, the sum and the lower bounds $\overline{LB1}$, $\overline{LB2}$, $\overline{LB3}$, $LB1$, $LB2$ and $LB3$ are shown in Figure 1, respectively. Especially, for $q = 0.4$, the sum and the lower bounds are calculated for some special θ , as listed in Table 1. It can be seen that for $q = 0.4$, our lower bounds $LB2$ and $LB3$ are tighter than $\overline{LB1}$, $\overline{LB2}$ and $\overline{LB3}$. While for $q = 0.9$, our lower bounds $LB2$ and $LB3$ are tighter than $\overline{LB1}$, $\overline{LB2}$ and $\overline{LB3}$.




Figure 1: The solid black line represents the sum $= K_{\rho, \frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}(\Phi_{AD}) + K_{\rho, \frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}(\Phi_{PD}) + K_{\rho, \frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}(\Phi_{BF})$. The solid blue, green and the red lines represent the lower bounds $LB1$, $LB2$ and $LB3$ in Theorem 1, respectively. The dotted magenta, dashed blue and green lines are for the lower bounds $\overline{LB1}$, $\overline{LB2}$ and $\overline{LB3}$, respectively. (a) $q = 0.4$; (b) $q = 0.9$.

Table 1. Comparison among the uncertainty lower bounds

q=0.4	$\overline{LB1}$	$\overline{LB2}$	$\overline{LB3}$	$LB1$	$LB2$	$LB3$	sum
$\theta = \pi/2$	0.234918	0.247658	0.241686	0.222065	0.252565	0.252654	0.258817
$\theta = \pi/3$	0.17968	0.204421	0.20082	0.168362	0.208841	0.208534	0.211782
$\theta = \pi/5$	0.0954994	0.13303	0.132687	0.0879256	0.135648	0.135459	0.135679
$\theta = \pi/7$	0.066361	0.104405	0.104922	0.0632504	0.106043	0.106062	0.106096

The above results show that Theorem 1 in this paper improve the existing results ones given in [27].

3. Sum uncertainty relations for N unitary channels in terms of (α, β, γ) MWWYD skew information

In this section, we consider sum uncertainty relations for arbitrary N unitary channels. For a unitary channel Φ_U , we have $\Phi_U(\rho) = U\rho U^\dagger$. According to Eq. (6), the (α, β, γ)

MWWYD skew information of an unitary operator U is given by

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\rho,\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(U) &= -\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}([(1-\gamma)\rho^\alpha + \gamma\rho^\beta, U^\dagger][(1-\gamma)\rho^\alpha + \gamma\rho^\beta, U]\rho^{1-\alpha-\beta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left\| \left[(1-\gamma)\rho^\alpha + \gamma\rho^\beta, U \right] \rho^{\frac{1-\alpha-\beta}{2}} \right\|^2, \quad \alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The (α, β, γ) MWWYD skew information of a unitary channel Φ_U is defined as $K_{\rho,\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(\Phi_U) = K_{\rho,\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(U)$. For simplicity, in the following, we use $K_{\rho,\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(U)$ to denote the quantity of the unitary channel Φ_U determined by U . Similar to the proof of Theorem 1, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2 Let U_1, \dots, U_N be arbitrary N unitary operators. Then we have

$$\sum_{t=1}^N K_{\rho,\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(U_t) \geq \max\{Lb1, Lb2, Lb3\}, \quad (18)$$

where

$$Lb1 = \frac{1}{N-2} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} K_{\rho,\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(U_t + U_s) - \frac{1}{(N-1)^2} \left[\sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} \sqrt{K_{\rho,\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(U_t + U_s)} \right]^2 \right\}, \quad (19)$$

$$Lb2 = \frac{1}{N} K_{\rho,\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta} \left(\sum_{t=1}^N U_t \right) + \frac{2}{N^2(N-1)} \left[\sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} \sqrt{K_{\rho,\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(U_t - U_s)} \right]^2, \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Lb3 &= \max_{x \in \{0,1\}} \frac{1}{2(N-1)} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} K_{\rho,\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(U_t + (-1)^x U_s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2}{N(N-1)} \left[\sum_{1 \leq t < s \leq N} \sqrt{K_{\rho,\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(U_t + (-1)^{x+1} U_s)} \right]^2 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and $x \in \{0, 1\}$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$.

Note that (19), (20) and (21) of Theorem 2 reduce to (13), (14) and (15) in [37] when $\alpha = \gamma = \frac{1}{2}$, respectively.

Example 2 Given a qubit state $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ with $\mathbf{r} = (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cos \theta, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sin \theta, 0)$. Consider the following three unitary operators,

$$U_1 = e^{\frac{i\pi\sigma_1}{8}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\pi}{8} & i \sin \frac{\pi}{8} \\ i \sin \frac{\pi}{8} & \cos \frac{\pi}{8} \end{pmatrix}, U_2 = e^{\frac{i\pi\sigma_2}{8}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\pi}{8} & \sin \frac{\pi}{8} \\ -\sin \frac{\pi}{8} & \cos \frac{\pi}{8} \end{pmatrix}, U_3 = e^{\frac{i\pi\sigma_3}{8}} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\frac{\pi}{8}} & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{i\frac{\pi}{8}} \end{pmatrix},$$

which correspond to the rotations around the z axis of the Bloch sphere. When $\beta = 1 - \alpha$, i.e., when the (α, β, γ) MWWYD skew information reduces to the (α, γ) MWWYD skew information, the comparison among the lower bounds of Theorem 2 is presented in Figure 2, from which one sees that the lower bound $Lb3$ is tighter than $Lb2$ and $Lb1$ in this case.




Figure 2: For $\alpha = \gamma = \frac{1}{4}$, the solid black curve represents the sum $= K_{\rho, \frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}(U_1) + K_{\rho, \frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}(U_2) + K_{\rho, \frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}(U_3)$. The dotted blue, dashed green and dot-dashed red curves represent $Lb1$, $Lb2$ and $Lb3$, respectively.

4. Conclusions

We have studied the sum uncertainty relations for N quantum channels based on the (α, β, γ) MWWYD skew information. By detailed example it has been shown that our uncertainty inequalities are tighter than the existing ones. Since the MWWYD skew information and (α, γ) MWWYD skew information are two special cases of the (α, β, γ) MWWYD skew information, our results are also valid for the MWWYD skew information and the (α, γ) MWWYD skew information. Finally, we have also explored sum uncertainty relations for unitary channels. These results may shed some new light on the study of skew information-based sum uncertainty relations for quantum channels.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12161056, 12075159, 12171044); Jiangxi Provincial Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 20202BAB201001); Beijing Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. Z190005); Academy for Multidisciplinary Studies, Capital Normal University; Shenzhen Institute for Quantum Science and Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology (Grant No. SIQSE202001); the Academician Innovation Platform of Hainan Province.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Heisenberg W 1927 Über den anschaulichen Inhalt der quantentheoretischen Kinematik und Mechanik *Z. Phys.* **43** 172
- [2] Robertson H P 1929 The uncertainty principle *Phys. Rev.* **34** 163
- [3] Deutsch D 1983 Uncertainty in quantum measurements *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50** 631
- [4] Maassen H and Uffink J B M 1988 Generalized entropic uncertainty relations *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60** 1103
- [5] Wehner S and Winter A 2010 Entropic uncertainty relations-a survey *New J. Phys.* **12** 025009
- [6] Wu S, Yu S and Mølmer K 2009 Entropic uncertainty relation for mutually unbiased bases *Phys. Rev. A* **79** 022104
- [7] Rastegin A E 2013 Uncertainty relations for MUBs and SIC-POVMs in terms of generalized entropies *Eur. Phys. J. D* **67** 269
- [8] Gudder S 2007 Operator probability theory *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **39** 511
- [9] Dou Y and Du H 2013 Generalizations of the Heisenberg and Schrödinger uncertainty relations *J. Math. Phys.* **54** 103508
- [10] Dou Y and Du H 2014 Note on the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **53** 952
- [11] Sun Y and Li N 2021 The uncertainty of quantum channels in terms of variance *Quantum Inf. Process.* **20** 25
- [12] Rudnicki L, Puchała Z and Życzkowski K 2014 Strong majorization entropic uncertainty relations *Phys. Rev. A* **89** 052115
- [13] Puchała Z, Rudnicki L and Życzkowski K 2013 Majorization entropic uncertainty relations *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46** 272002
- [14] Rudnicki L 2015 Majorization approach to entropic uncertainty relations for coarse-grained observables *Phys. Rev. A* **91** 032123
- [15] Friedland S, Gheorghiu V and Gour G 2013 Universal uncertainty relations *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** 230401
- [16] Wigner E P and Yanase M M 1963 Information contents of distributions *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **49** 910-918
- [17] Chen P and Luo S 2007 Direct approach to quantum extensions of Fisher information *Front. Math.* **2** 359
- [18] Luo S and Zhang Q 2004 On skew information *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **50** 1778

- [19] Cai L and Luo S 2008 On convexity of generalized Wigner-Yanase-Dyson information *Lett. Math. Phys.* **83** 253
- [20] Yanagi K 2010 Uncertainty relation on Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **365** 12
- [21] Yanagi K 2010 Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information and uncertainty relation *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **201** 012015
- [22] Wu Z, Zhang L, Fei S-M and Li-Jost X 2020 Coherence and complementarity based on modified generalized skew information *Quantum Inf. Process.* **19** 154
- [23] Wu Z, Zhang L, Wang J, Li-Jost X, and Fei S-M 2020 Uncertainty relations based on modified Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **59** 704
- [24] Furuichi S, Yanagi K and Kuriyama K 2009 Trace inequalities on a generalized Wigner-Yanase skew information *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **356** 179
- [25] Chen Z, Liang L, Li H and Wang W 2016 Two generalized Wigner-Yanase skew information and their uncertainty relations *Quantum Inf. Process.* **15** 5107
- [26] Zhang Z 2021 Trace inequalities based on two-parameter extended Wigner-Yanase skew information *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **497** 124851
- [27] Xu C, Wu Z and Fei S-M 2022 Sum uncertainty relations based on (α, β, γ) weighted Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **61** 185
- [28] Nielsen M A and Chuang I L 2010 *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [29] Busch P, Grabowski M and Lahti P 1997 *Operational Quantum Physics* 2nd edn (Berlin: Springer)
- [30] Krishna M and Parthasarathy K R 2002 An entropic uncertainty principle for quantum measurements *Sankhyā A* **64** 842
- [31] Massar S 2008 Uncertainty relations for positive-operator-valued measures *Phys. Rev. A* **76** 042114
- [32] Bagchi S and Pati A K 2016 Uncertainty relations for general unitary operators *Phys. Rev. A* **94** 042104
- [33] Tajima H, Shiraishi N and Saito K 2018 Uncertainty relations in implementation of unitary operations *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** 110403
- [34] Bong K-W, Tischler N, Patel R B, Wollmann S, Pryde G J and Hall M J W 2018 Strong unitary and overlap uncertainty relations: theory and experiment *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** 230402
- [35] Fu S, Sun Y and Luo S 2019 Skew information-based uncertainty relations for quantum channels *Quantum Inf. Process.* **18** 258
- [36] Zhang L, Gao T and Yan F 2021 Tighter uncertainty relations based on Wigner-Yanase skew information for observables and channels *Phys. Lett. A* **387** 127029

- [37] Zhang Q, Wu J and Fei S-M 2021 A note on uncertainty relations of arbitrary N quantum channels *Laser Phys. Lett.* **18** 095204
- [38] Cai L 2021 Sum uncertainty relations based on metric-adjusted skew information *Quantum Inf. Process.* **20** 72
- [39] Luo S and Sun Y 2018 Coherence and complementarity in state-channel interaction *Phys. Rev. A.* **98** 012113
- [40] Chen B and Fei S-M 2015 Sum uncertainty relations for arbitrary N incompatible observables *Sci. Rep.* **5** 14238