

ON THE INTERSECTION FORM OF FILLINGS

ZHENGYI ZHOU

ABSTRACT. We prove, by an ad hoc method, that topologically simple exact fillings of flexibly fillable contact manifolds with vanishing first Chern class have unique integral intersection forms and discuss cases where the topologically simple assumption can be removed. We appeal to the special Reeb dynamics (stronger than ADC in [13]) on the contact boundary, while a more systematic approach working for general ADC manifolds is developed independently by Eliashberg, Ganatra and Lazarev [9].

1. INTRODUCTION

Starting from Gromov’s uniqueness theorem on fillings of (S^3, ξ_{std}) [12] and the Eliashberg-Floer-McDuff theorem [14] on fillings of $(S^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$, understanding the uniqueness of exact fillings of some contact manifolds has been a fundamental and influential question. In dimension 3, the intersection theory of holomorphic curves can be used to construct foliations of symplectic fillings. A landmark result is Wendl’s theorem on planar contact 3-folds [19], which translates the classification of symplectic fillings into factorizations in mapping class groups. In higher dimensions, only “homological” foliations by holomorphic curves can be obtained, just like the Eliashberg-Floer-McDuff theorem compared to Gromov’s theorem. Based on “homological” foliations, various generalizations of the Eliashberg-Floer-McDuff theorem were obtained [1, 5, 10, 17]. On the other hand, we studied the filling question from the perspective of Floer theories and obtained various uniqueness results [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25]. In this note, we show the uniqueness of the integral intersection form for exact fillings of some flexibly fillable contact manifolds, which shall yield uniqueness of diffeomorphism types in some cases.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (Y^{2n-1}, ξ) be a flexibly fillable contact manifold with the rational first Chern class $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(\xi) = 0$, then the integral intersection form of any exact filling W with $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(W) = 0$ and $H_1(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_1(W; \mathbb{Q})$ injective is isomorphic to the integral intersection form of the flexible filling W_0 . In view of Proposition 1.2 below, when n is even or $H^n(W_0; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^n(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ is injective, the topological assumptions can be removed.*

The proof is based an ad hoc argument of viewing the intersection number as a boundary of a 1-dimensional moduli space, and show that the count of other boundary components is independent of fillings by neck-stretching. It is important that Y is flexibly fillable rather than just being asymptotically dynamically convex (ADC). On the other hand, a more systematic approach is independently developed by Eliashberg, Ganatra and Lazarev [9] using the secondary coproduct defined by Ekholm and Oancea [8]. In particular, their results apply to general ADC contact manifolds.

A variant of the topological condition in Theorem 1.1 was called topologically simple condition in [20, 21]. Such condition was used to make sure the grading of symplectic cohomology of filling is consistent with the grading on the contact boundary, so that we can do dimension computation after neck-stretching. Results in [20, 21] hold for the topological condition in Theorem 1.1 by considering symplectic cohomology generated by orbits that are trivial in $H_1(W; \mathbb{Q})$ instead of only those contractible in W . Following a somewhat different perspective as in [22], we can drop the topological condition in some cases.

Proposition 1.2 (Corollary 3.4). *Let (Y^{2n-1}, ξ) be a flexibly fillable contact manifold with $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(\xi) = 0$. If n is even or $H^n(W_0; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^n(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ is injective for the flexible filling W_0 . Then for any exact filling W of Y , we have $H^*(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $H^*(W_0; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z})$.*

We have the following applications of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.3. *Let Y be the boundary of a flexible Weinstein domain W^{2n} of one of the following types, then any exact filling of Y is diffeomorphic to W^{2n} .*

- (1) $n = 3$ or 7 , and W^{2n} is the flexible version of T^*S^n .
- (2) $n \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$, W^{2n} only has flexible n -handles except for the 0-handle such that the intersection form is even.

Proof. In the first case, we have $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(\partial W; \mathbb{Q})$ is injective. Then by Proposition 1.2 and [21, Theorem E], any exact filling V is simply connected. Since $H^*(V; \mathbb{Z}) = H^*(W; \mathbb{Z})$ by [21, Corollary B] and is freely generated and supported in degree 0 and n and $\dim V \geq 6$, we have V has a handle decomposition of one 0-handle and several n -handles. By [18, Corollary 4.6 and the remark afterwards], the diffeomorphism type of such manifold is uniquely determined by the intersection form under the conditions listed. Therefore V is diffeomorphic to W by Theorem 1.1. \square

It is worth-noting that the uniqueness of diffeomorphism type above follows from completely different topological argument compared to results in [1, 5, 10, 14, 23], where the uniqueness hinges on $H^*(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ being injective and the h -cobordism theorem, which is rarely the case in Corollary 1.3.

Let V be an exact domain, we call a closed hypersurface $Y \subset V$ an exact contact hypersurface if there exists a Liouville vector field on V that is transverse to Y and bounds a compact domain (which is automatic if $H_{2n-1}(V; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$). Obstructions to exact hypersurfaces were studied by Cieliebak and Frauenfelder [6] using Rabinowitz-Floer homology. Using Theorem 1.1, we have the following obstructions to exact contact hypersurfaces, where the obstructions from Rabinowitz-Floer homology typically vanish.

Corollary 1.4. *Let V be an exact domain with a trivial intersection form and $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(V) = 0$, and W is a simply connected flexible Weinstein domain with a non-trivial intersection form, then ∂W can not be embedded into V as an exact contact hypersurface.*

Proof. If ∂W embeds as an exact surface hypersurface, then it bounds an exact subdomain $U \subset V$ with $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(U) = 0$. Then by Theorem 1.1, the intersection form of U is non-trivial, which contradicts the triviality of the intersection form on V . \square

Remark 1.5. *In general, we expect to drop the requirement on the hypersurface being exact. Then the bounded domain U may not be exact in general, but will be symplectically aspherical if we assume V is symplectically aspherical. However in this case, the independence argument in [21] does not work in general due to a technical issue of uncontrolled shrinking in [21, §8].*

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The idea of the proof is as follows: take two closed cycles A, B in $H_n(W; \mathbb{Z})$, under the transversality assumption, the intersection number $A \cdot B = \#(A \pitchfork B)$, where the later is a finite set of oriented points. By [21], we have $SH^*(W; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for any topologically simple exact filling. Geometrically, it means that there is one curve counted algebraically passing through a fixed point. If we choose the point constraint to be $A \pitchfork B$, this allows us to view $A \cdot B$ as the counting of one boundary component of a 1-dimensional moduli space. Then we can establish the independence result by looking at the other boundaries and applying neck-stretching.

Let (Y, ξ) be a contact manifold such that the rational first Chern class $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(\xi) \in H^2(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ is zero. Then after choosing a trivialization of $\det_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus^N \xi$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}_+$, we can assign a rational Conley-Zehnder index to each non-degenerate Reeb orbit [11, 15]. For those orbits with torsion homology classes, the Conley-Zehnder index is independent of N and the trivialization. We say W is a *topologically simple* exact filling of Y if $H_1(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_1(W; \mathbb{Q})$ is injective and $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(W) = 0$. In this case, the symplectic cohomology of W can be graded by \mathbb{Q} and is consistent with boundary Conley-Zehnder index if the trivialization of $\det_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus^N \xi$ can be extended to a trivialization of $\det_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus^N TW$. In particular, if we only consider orbits with torsion homology class in W , such class of orbits is independent of W as well as their \mathbb{Q} -gradings.

Proposition 2.1. *Let (Y^{2n-1}, ξ) be a flexibly fillable contact manifold with $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(\xi) = 0$ and a fixed contact form α_0 . Then for any $D \gg 0$, there exists a contact form $\alpha < \alpha_0$ such that Reeb orbits of α with period smaller than D are non-degenerate and have Conley-Zehnder index ≥ 1 (for any fixed trivialization of $\det_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus^N \xi$). And those with Conley-Zehnder index 1 are simple.*

Proof. This follows from the proof of [13, Theorem 3.15, 3.17, 3.18]. For $D \gg 0$ and a suitable $\alpha < \alpha_0$, if we consider Reeb orbits of period smaller than D , then they fall into the following two classes: (1) Each subcritical handle of index k creates a simple contractible Reeb orbit with Conley-Zehnder index $n + 1 - k$, and all multiple covers of it have higher Conley-Zehnder indices; (2) Every loose handle attachment creates (several) contractible simple Reeb orbits of Conley-Zehnder index 1 and many other orbits with Conley-Zehnder index strictly greater than 1. \square

Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N$ denote all the Reeb orbits of action smaller than D with Conley-Zehnder index 1. We assume they are ordered increasingly with respect to their periods. In the definition of filtered positive symplectic cohomology $SH_+^{*, < D}(W)$ with slope D , we will use the following special Hamiltonian H . Here W is a topologically simple exact filling of (Y, α) .

- (1) $H = 0$ on W and $H'(r) = D$ for $r > 1 + w$ for $w > 0$.
- (2) H on $Y \times [1, 1 + w]$ is a small perturbation of $H = f(r)$ with $f''(r) > 0$ such that the periodic orbits of X_H are non-degenerate and in a two-to-one correspondence with Reeb orbits of period smaller than D .

More precisely, every non-degenerate Reeb orbits γ will split into two Hamiltonian orbits $\hat{\gamma}$ and $\check{\gamma}$ with $\mu_{\text{CZ}}(\hat{\gamma}) = \mu_{\text{CZ}}(\gamma) + 1$ and $\mu_{\text{CZ}}(\check{\gamma}) = \mu_{\text{CZ}}(\gamma)$. Then by degree reason, $[\check{\gamma}_1], \dots, [\check{\gamma}_N]$ represent classes in $SH_+^{n-1, < D}(W; \mathbb{Z})$. Our grading the cohomological convention given by $n - \mu_{\text{CZ}}$.

Without loss of generality, we can assume for every $D > 0$, there exists a contact form $\alpha_D < \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0$ such that Proposition 2.1 holds. Let M_D denote the cobordism from α_D to α_0 in the symplectization of (Y, α_0) . Then we have a transfer map

$$SH_+^{*, < \frac{D}{2}}(W \cup M_D; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow SH_+^{*, < D}(W; \mathbb{Z}).$$

which is compatible with the connecting map to $H^*(W; \mathbb{Z})$. We will stretch on the contact boundary of W , the following propositions hold if stretch the almost complex structure sufficiently.

Proposition 2.2. *For $D \gg 0$ and sufficiently stretched almost complex structure, we have*

$$\delta : \langle \check{\gamma}_1, \dots, \check{\gamma}_N \rangle \rightarrow SH_+^{n-1, D}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^n(W; \mathbb{Z})$$

is surjective and the kernel is independent of the topologically simple exact filling W .

Proof. By [21], $SH_+^{n-1}(W \cup M_D; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^n(W \cup M_D; \mathbb{Z}) = H^n(W; \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism for any filling with the listed topological conditions. In particular, for D big enough, the map $SH_+^{n-1, < \frac{D}{2}}(W \cup M_D; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^n(W; \mathbb{Z})$ is a surjection (W varies w.r.t. to D , $W \cup M_D$ does not). We can assume threshold of D for it to hold works for the flexible filling W_0 as well. We have that $SH_+^{n-1, < D}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^n(W; \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective by the Viterbo transfer. Moreover, $SH_+^{n-1, < D}(W; \mathbb{Z})$ must be spanned by $[\check{\gamma}_i]$ by degree reason. The remaining part of the proposition follows from that $\check{\gamma}_i$ is matched in the identification of $SH_+^{n-1, < D}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow SH_+^{n-1}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^n(W; \mathbb{Z})$ with that of the flexible filling for a sufficiently stretched almost complex structure [13, 21]. \square

In the following, we will use α, β, γ to stand for Reeb orbits and $\hat{\alpha}, \check{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha}$ to stand for Hamiltonian orbits, where $\bar{\alpha}$ means that we do not specify whether it is a check or hat orbit.

Proposition 2.3. *For $D \gg 0$ and a sufficiently stretched almost complex structure, there is a linear combination of Hamiltonian orbits $\sum a_i \bar{\alpha}_i$ of Conley-Zehnder index $n + 1$, such that $[\sum a_i \bar{\alpha}_i] \in SH_+^{-1, < D}(W; \mathbb{Z})$ is sent to 1 in $H^0(W; \mathbb{Z})$ and it is independent of the filling.*

Proof. This element represents the element hitting 1 under the map $SH_*^{-1}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^0(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^0(Y; \mathbb{Z})$. The Proposition follows from that the map above is independent of the filling by [21, Corollary B]. \square

In the following, for the simplicity of notation, we will assume $\sum a_i \bar{\alpha}_i$ is represented by a single Hamiltonian orbit $\bar{\alpha}$. The argument below works for linear combinations as long as they represent a closed class. The key point is the that effect of such class does not depend on fillings.

Fixing any two closed chains A, B representing classes in $H_n(W; \mathbb{Z})$ with transverse intersection and a periodic orbit $\bar{\alpha}$, we consider the compactified moduli space of the following

$$\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\alpha}, A, B} := \left\{ u : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widehat{W} \mid (du - v)^{0,1} = 0, u(\infty) = \bar{\alpha}, u(0) \in A, u(1) \in B \right\}$$

where $v = X_H \otimes \beta$ with H a Hamiltonian as before and β a one form, such that $\beta = dt$ near the ends and $d\beta \leq 0$. Since $H = 0$ near A and B , the removal of singularity implies that u can be viewed as a map on \mathbb{C} . Similarly for another orbit $\bar{\beta}$, we can define $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, B}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\beta}}$. We also define $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\alpha}, A}$ to be the compactification of the following.

$$\left\{ u : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widehat{W} \mid (du - X_H dt)^{0,1} = 0, u(\infty) = \bar{\alpha}, u(0) \in A \right\} / \mathbb{R}$$

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\bar{\alpha}$ be the class in Proposition 2.3, then the intersection number is*

$$A \cdot B = \sum_{i=1}^N (\#(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}_i, B} \times \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\gamma}_i, A}) + \#(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\alpha}, A, \tilde{\gamma}_i} \times \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\gamma}_i, B})).$$

Proof. It follows from the boundary configuration of $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\alpha}, A, B}$ whose dimension is 1. Since $\dim \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\beta}, A} = \mu_{CZ}(\beta) - 1$, and all periodic orbits have Conley-Zehnder indices greater than 1 unless they are one of $\tilde{\gamma}_i$. By degree reason, the Floer type breakings near 0, 1 give rise to the right hand side. Since $\bar{\alpha}$ is closed in the positive symplectic cohomology, the Floer type breakings near ∞ at a non-constant orbit sum up to 0. If we consider the Floer type breakings near ∞ at interior of W . Then by the integrated maximal principle the curve is contained in W , where the equation is the Cauchy-Riemann equation. By the exactness of W , such curve must be constant. Therefore such degeneration can be identified with curves $u : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widehat{W}$ solves $(du - X_H dt)^{0,1} = 0$ with $u(\infty) = \bar{\alpha}$ and $u(0) \in A \cap B$ modulo the \mathbb{R} translation. Since $\bar{\alpha}$ is mapped to $1 \in H^0(W; \mathbb{Z})$, i.e. the count of curves u with a point constraint at $u(0)$ and $u(\infty) = \bar{\alpha}$ module \mathbb{R} is 1 when transversality holds. Therefore, this type of degeneration is counted as $A \cdot B$. \square

Proposition 2.5. *For a sufficiently stretched almost complex structure, we have*

$$\#\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\gamma}_i, B} = \langle \delta([\tilde{\gamma}_j]), B \rangle,$$

where the last pairing is the natural map $H^n(W; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_n(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Given a Morse function f on W such that $\partial_r f > 0$ on ∂W , then we can represent a cochain complex of $H^*(W; \mathbb{Z})$ by critical points of f , then the pairing of critical point x with a closed cycle B is the intersection number of the ascending manifold of x with B . Following [21], the map δ can be represented counting the moduli space of (u, l) with u solves the Floer equation and $u(0) \in W$ and l is a gradient trajectory from $u(0)$ to a critical point x . Therefore $\langle \delta([\tilde{\gamma}_j]), B \rangle$ counts the moduli space of (u, l_1, l_2) with l_1, l_2 be two half infinite gradient trajectories connected at an index n critical point of f . Then by shrinking the time of the gradient flow lines from ∞ to 0 as in [21, §3.1], and note that $[\tilde{\gamma}_i]$ is closed in positive symplectic cohomology and B is closed, the count equals to length 0 count, which is $\#\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\gamma}_i, B}$. \square

To compute $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}_j, B}$, we perform a full neck-stretching on the boundary. Let \widehat{Y} denote the symplectization $Y \times (0, \infty)$, which is equipped with a Hamiltonian H such that $H = 0$ on $Y \times (0, 1)$ and after that it is the same as H on \widehat{W} . Then we define $\mathcal{N}_{\bar{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}_i, \tilde{\gamma}_j}$ to be the compactification of the following moduli space.

$$\left\{ u : \mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{\infty, 0, 1\} \rightarrow \widehat{Y} \mid (du - X_H \otimes \beta)^{0,1} = 0, u(\infty) = \alpha, u(0) = \tilde{\gamma}_i, u(1) = (0, \gamma_j) \right\}$$

i.e. $u(\infty), u(0)$ are asymptotic to Hamiltonian orbits and $u(1)$ is asymptotic to a Reeb orbit at a negative puncture. We define $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma_j, B}$ to be the compactification of the following moduli space.

$$\left\{ u : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widehat{W} \mid (du)^{0,1} = 0, u(\infty) = (+\infty, \gamma_j), u(0) \in B \right\} / \mathbb{R} \times S^1$$

Proposition 2.6. *For a sufficiently stretched almost complex structure, we have*

$$\#\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\alpha}, \check{\gamma}_j, B} = \sum_{k=1}^N \# (\mathcal{N}_{\bar{\alpha}, \check{\gamma}_j, \gamma_k} \times \mathcal{N}_{\gamma_k, B}).$$

Proof. We perform a full neck-stretching along the boundary, then any curve in $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\alpha}, \check{\gamma}_j, B}$ will converge to a SFT building type curve, since $B \subset W$. The top level curve is necessarily connected by [7, Proposition 9.17], with one fixed negative puncture at 1 which will connect to the component that eventually intersects B . But there might be other free moving punctures that will eventually be closed off by holomorphic planes. Let γ denote the Reeb orbit on the puncture 1, and β_i be those Reeb orbits on those free punctures. Then the virtual dimension of this moduli space is $\mu_{\text{CZ}}(\bar{\alpha}) - \mu_{\text{CZ}}(\check{\gamma}_j) - (\mu_{\text{CZ}}(\gamma) + n - 1) - \sum (\mu_{\text{CZ}}(\beta_i) + n - 3)$. When we choose $D \gg 0$ in the first place, we have all Reeb orbits that can potentially appear must have $\mu_{\text{CZ}} \geq 1$. Since we can assume transversely for the upper level curve. Therefore the only possibility is γ is one of γ_i and there is no β_i , and we have the expected dimension is 0. After the top level, we might have several levels of curves in the symplectization, with the highest with only one positive puncture asymptotic to γ_i . Since γ_i is simple, the highest curve is necessarily somewhere injective, hence we can assume transversality for this curve. Since the curve must connect to some component that eventually intersects B , therefore the curve must have at least one negative end γ' , then the expected dimension of the moduli space of this curve is $\mu_{\text{CZ}}(\gamma_i) - \mu_{\text{CZ}}(\gamma') - \sum_j (\mu_{\text{CZ}}(\beta_j) + n - 3) - 1$. Since $\mu_{\text{CZ}}(\gamma_i)$ is the lowest and all SFT grading $\mu_{\text{CZ}}(\beta_i) + n - 3$ are positive, we have the dimension is negative. As a result, there is no curve in the symplectization. The last part is the curve in the completion \widehat{W} , which is exactly $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma_i, B}$ with expected dimension 0. Since γ_i is simple, transversality is not a issue. Therefore the right hand side is the count from the fully stretched almost complex structure. If we assume we start with an almost complex structure that is stretched enough, we may assume in the process of stretching there is no curve in $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\beta}, \check{\gamma}_i}$ with expected dimension -1 . Moreover, we also assume there is no curve in $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma}, B}$ with expected dimension -1 , for otherwise, we have a curve in a moduli space of negative dimension after the fully stretch. Since B is closed, in the process of neck-stretching, we only have $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\alpha}, \check{\gamma}_j, B}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^N \# \mathcal{N}_{\bar{\alpha}, \check{\gamma}_j, \gamma_k} \times \mathcal{N}_{\gamma_k, B}$ as boundary corresponding to the two ends of the neck-stretching parameter. \square

We define $\mathcal{N}_{\check{\gamma}_i, \gamma_j}$ to be the compactified moduli space of

$$\left\{ u : \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \rightarrow \widehat{Y} \mid (du - X_H dt)^{0,1} = 0, u(\infty) = \check{\gamma}_i, u(-\infty) = (0, \gamma_j) \right\} / \mathbb{R}$$

Proposition 2.7. *For a sufficiently stretched almost complex structure and H sufficient close to the autonomous one which only depends on r , we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^j \#\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\gamma}_j, \gamma_i} \times \mathcal{N}_{\gamma_i, B} = \langle \delta([\tilde{\gamma}_j]), B \rangle,$$

and $\#\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\gamma}_j, \gamma_j} = 1$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Proposition 2.6 by fully stretching the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\gamma}_j, B}$. By a similar dimension argument, the moduli space must break into $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\gamma}_j, \gamma_i} \times \mathcal{N}_{\gamma_i, B}$. Therefore it suffices to prove $i \leq j$. When H is autonomous and only depends on r , X_H is parallel to the Reeb vector. Therefore for any solution $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\gamma}_j, \gamma_i}$, we have the α -energy $\int u^* \alpha \geq 0$, which implies that the period of γ_j must be greater than γ_i unless $\gamma_i = \gamma_j$. Then for H sufficient close to the autonomous one, we have $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\gamma}_j, \gamma_i} \neq \emptyset$ implies that $i \leq j$. Moreover, for the autonomous Hamiltonian, curves in $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\gamma}_j, \gamma_j}$ is necessarily reparametrization of the trivial cylinder since the α -energy is 0. The moduli space is diffeomorphic to S^1 and is cut out transversely in the Morse-Bott sense. Then by the same analysis in [4] and γ_j is simple, we have $\#\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\gamma}_j, \gamma_j} = 1$. One can avoid such perturbation if uses a cascades setup with a autonomous Hamiltonian as in [4]. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If we are given $\langle \delta([\tilde{\gamma}_j]), B \rangle$ and $\#\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\gamma}_j, \gamma_j}$, we can solve uniquely $\#\mathcal{N}_{\gamma_i, B}$ by Proposition 2.7, since the coefficient matrix is triangular with ones on the diagonal. Then by Proposition 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6, we can represent the intersection $A \cdot B$ by $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}_i, \gamma_j}$, $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\alpha}, \gamma_i, \tilde{\gamma}_j}$, $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\gamma}_i, \gamma_j}$ and $\langle \delta([\tilde{\gamma}_j]), A/B \rangle$. The first three moduli spaces are independent of the filling, as they are contained in the symplectization. Note that $H^n(W; \mathbb{Z})$ is independent of filling, and a basis can be represented by combinations of $[\tilde{\gamma}_i]$ by Proposition 2.2. By the universal coefficient theorem, $H_n(W; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the free part of $H^n(W; \mathbb{Z})$ since $H^*(W; \mathbb{Z})$ is supported in degree $\leq n$. Fixing a basis of a fixed free part of $H^n(W; \mathbb{Z})$ induces a dual basis on $H_n(W; \mathbb{Z})$. We use this dual basis to identify the homology of two fillings. Under this identification, we also identify $\langle \delta([\tilde{\gamma}_j]), A(B) \rangle$ for both fillings, hence the intersection form can be identified. \square

3. REMOVING THE TOPOLOGICALLY SIMPLE ASSUMPTION

Exploiting the independence of augmentations using grading constraints dates back to the index-positive contact manifolds in [3, 7]. This notion was generalized by Lazarev [13] to the notion of asymptotically dynamically convex (ADC) manifolds to contain examples like flexibly fillable contact manifolds with vanishing first Chern class. Several structural maps on $(S^1$ -equivariant) symplectic cohomology of exact fillings of ADC manifolds are independent of *topologically simple* fillings [21, 24]. Those topological conditions are used to get a \mathbb{Z} grading for the symplectic cohomology generated by *contractible orbits*, as the ADC condition only requires that $\mu_{CZ}(\gamma) + n - 3 > 0$ for contractible Reeb orbits γ (which has a canonical \mathbb{Z} -valued Conley-Zehnder index, as $c_1(\xi) = 0$). Because of this, $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$ is ADC for any finite $G \subset U(n)$ acting freely on S^{2n-1} and $n \geq 2$, as contractible orbits of $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$ are the same as those on $(S^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$. However, those non-contractible orbits on $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$ play an important role in [22], and by [22, Theorem A], there are no topological

simple fillings (even strong fillings) of $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$. Therefore, it is natural to generalize the notion of ADC manifolds as follows to impose conditions on non-contractible orbits.

Definition 3.1. *Let (Y, ξ) be a contact manifold such that $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(\xi) = 0$. Let Ψ be a trivialization of $\det_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus^N \xi$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}_+$. We say (Y, ξ, Ψ) is generalized ADC if there exist contact forms $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \dots$, positive real numbers $D_1 < D_2 < \dots$ converging to infinity and a positive number ϵ , such that all Reeb orbits of α_i of period up to D_i are non-degenerate and have rational SFT grading [15, 22] $\mu_{\text{CZ}}(\gamma) + n - 3 \geq \epsilon$. We say (Y, ξ, Ψ) is generalized TADC, if in addition, there is a contact form α such that all $\alpha_i > \alpha$.*

Since the Conley-Zehnder index of a contractible orbit is an integer and independent of the trivialization Ψ , it is clear that generalized ADC implies ADC. Moreover, if $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(\xi) = 0$ and $H_1(Y; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$, then rational Conley-Zehnder indices are independent of Ψ , which is the case for $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$ in [22]. But in general, if $H^1(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$, then the notion of generalized ADC depends on the trivialization Ψ .

Let W be a strong filling, such that $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(W) = 0$. After fixing a trivialization Ψ of $\det_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus^N TW$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, using the induced rational Conley-Zehnder indices for Reeb orbits/Hamiltonian orbits, we have a \mathbb{Q} -graded symplectic cohomology $SH^*(W; R; \Psi)$ for a ring R as well as the \mathbb{Q} -graded positive symplectic cohomology $SH_+^*(W; R; \Psi)$.

Example 3.2. *We have the following examples of generalized ADC contact manifolds.*

- (1) *Let $G \subset U(n)$ such that quotient \mathbb{C}^n/G has an isolated singularity at 0, the contact link $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$ is generalized ADC if and only if \mathbb{C}^n/G is a terminal singularity by the work of McLean [15].*
- (2) *The contact boundary of a flexible Weinstein domain with vanishing rational first Chern class for any trivialization by the arguments in [13]. More precisely, the contact boundary of a subcritical Weinstein domain is generalized ADC as all of the relevant orbits can be assumed to be contractible (as they wind around cores of handles). When we attach a flexible handle, non-contractible orbits could appear, however the argument of lifting the Conley-Zehnder indices by adding zig-zags in [13, Theorem 3.18] works for non-contractible orbits and any fixed trivialization.*
- (3) *For a closed manifold Q , we have that $\det_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus^2 TT^*Q$ is trivialized using the trivial real bundle $\det_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus^2 TQ$. We use Ψ to denote the trivialization. Then (S^*Q, Ψ) is generalized ADC if $\dim Q \geq 4$, as the Conley-Zehnder index using such trivialization is the Morse index when the contact form is induced from a metric. This is an example where the notion of generalized ADC depends on Ψ , as changing Ψ will increase the Conley-Zehnder indices of some orbits with nontrivial homotopy classes and decrease the same amount for orbits with the opposite homotopy classes, e.g. T^*T^n . The same holds for any closed orbifold Q with only isolated singularities (then S^*Q is a contact manifold).*
- (4) *Let V be a Liouville domain, such that $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(V) = 0$, then $\partial(V \times \mathbb{D})$ is generalized ADC for any trivialization by (the proof of) [21, Theorem K].*

Proposition 3.3. *Assume (Y, ξ, Ψ) is generalized ADC and there is an exact orbifold filling W , such that Ψ extends to a trivialization $\tilde{\Psi}$ of $\det_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus^N TW$. Then for any exact orbifold filling V of Y , there is a spectral sequence converging to $SH_+^*(V; R)$ (not graded), such that*

- (1) *The $(N + 1)$ th page of the spectral sequence is isomorphic to $SH_+^*(W; R; \tilde{\Psi})$ (filtered by the \mathbb{Q} -grading using $\tilde{\Psi}$) for any coefficient ring R .*
- (2) *The cochain map δ_{∂} from the positive cochain complex to the Morse cochain complex of Y is compatible with spectral sequence. On the $(N + 1)$ th page, the induced map is isomorphic to $SH_+^*(W; R; \tilde{\Psi}) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(Y; R)$.*

If (Y, ξ, Ψ) is generalized TADC, the same holds for (semi-positive) strong fillings V, W and R the Novikov field. If V, W are strong orbifold fillings, the same holds if we assume transversality issues for the setup of symplectic cohomology are resolved.

Proof. First note that $\mu_{\text{CZ}}(x)$ computed using the trivialization Ψ is always a multiple of $\frac{1}{N}$. The proof follows from applying arguments in [22, §3] to the spectral sequence associated to the filtration

$$F^k C_+(H) := \left\langle x \mid |x|^{\partial} \geq \frac{k}{N} \right\rangle, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $|x|^{\partial} = n - \mu_{\text{CZ}}(x)$. Strictly speaking, we need to apply arguments in [22, §3] to the infinite telescope construction of filtered (by D_i) positive cochain complexes of α_i in the definition of generalized ADC. \square

As a corollary, we can exploit the degeneracy of the spectral sequence in some special cases, which improves some of the results in [21].

Corollary 3.4. *Let (Y^{2n-1}, ξ) be the contact boundary of a flexible Weinstein domain W_0 with $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(W_0) = 0$. Then for any exact orbifold filling W of Y , we have the following.*

- (1) *We have $\dim \oplus SH_+^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \leq \dim \oplus H^*(W_0; \mathbb{Q})$.*
- (2) *If $H^n(W_0; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^n(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ is injective, then $SH^*(W; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, W is a manifold and $H^*(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $H^*(W_0; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z})$.*
- (3) *If n is even, then $SH^*(W; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, W is a manifold and $H^*(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $H^*(W_0; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. By Example 3.2, there is a trivialization Ψ , such that (Y, ξ, Ψ) is generalized ADC. Since the trivialization Ψ is the restriction of a trivialization of $\det_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus^N TW_0$, by [2, 16], we have $SH_+^*(W_0; \mathbb{Q}) = H^{*+1}(W_0, \mathbb{Q})$. Then by Proposition 3.3, we have $\dim \oplus SH_+^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \leq \dim \oplus H^*(W_0; \mathbb{Q})$ for any exact orbifold filling W . This proves (1).

For (2), by assumption, we have $SH_+^{*-1}(W_0; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ is injective. Then by Proposition 3.3, the spectral sequence map from the spectral sequence of $SH_+^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$ to that of $H^*(Y; \mathbb{Q})$, which on the $(N + 1)$ th page, is isomorphic to the injective map $SH_+^*(W_0; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(Y; \mathbb{Q})$. Since the spectral sequence on $H^*(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ of index gap $1/N$ degenerates from the $(N + 1)$ page, the injectivity implies that the spectral sequence on $SH_+^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$ also degenerates at the $(N + 1)$ page. And the map $SH^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ on the associated graded is the same as

$SH_+^*(W_0; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(Y; \mathbb{Q})$. Since 1 is in the image of $SH_+^*(W_0; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(Y; \mathbb{Q})$, we know that $1 + a$ is in the image of $SH_+^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ for $|a| > 0$. Therefore, we have $SH^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ and $SH_+^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H^{*+1}(W; \mathbb{Q})$. The injectivity of $SH_+^*(W_0; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ implies that $SH_+^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H^{*+1}(W; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ is also injective. As a consequence, we have $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(W) = 0$. As a consequence, we can use a new trivialization Ψ' of $\det_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus^N \xi$ induced from a trivialization of $\det_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus^N TW$, which clearly extends to a trivialization of $\det_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus^N TW_0$ as W_0 is Weinstein with dimension at least 6. We can run the argument again using Ψ' instead. Since the situation on W_0 is independent of such trivialization, we get identification of the cochain complexes and cochain maps on the nose just like the situation in [21] but for \mathbb{Z} coefficient. As a consequence, the claim follows. That W being a manifold follows from the same argument as in [11, Theorem C].

For (3), when n is even, we have $H^*(W_0; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ is injective on odd degrees. Note that symplectic cohomology is canonically graded by $\mathbb{Z}/2$, and the differentials on the spectral sequence is compatible with the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ grading. By looking at the $(N + 1)$ th page of spectral sequence map as before, which is injective on even $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ degrees of $SH_+^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$, the differential of the $(N + 1)$ th page of the spectral sequence for $SH_+^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$ must be zero on odd degrees. As a consequence, by induction, the $(N + k)$ th page of spectral sequence map is injective on even degrees and the differential of the $(N + k)$ th page of the spectral sequence for $SH_+^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$ must be zero on odd degrees for $k > 0$. As a consequence, we also have $SH^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ and $SH_+^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H^{*+1}(W; \mathbb{Q})$. We can also conclude that $H^2(W; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ is injective, hence $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(W) = 0$. Then we can argue as before. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Kilian Barth, Hansjörg Geiges, and Kai Zehmisch. The diffeomorphism type of symplectic fillings. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 17(4):929–971, 2019.
- [2] Frédéric Bourgeois, Tobias Ekholm, and Yasha Eliashberg. Effect of Legendrian surgery. *Geom. Topol.*, 16(1):301–389, 2012. With an appendix by Sheel Ganatra and Maksim Maydanskiy.
- [3] Frédéric Bourgeois and Alexandru Oancea. An exact sequence for contact- and symplectic homology. *Invent. Math.*, 175(3):611–680, 2009.
- [4] Frédéric Bourgeois and Alexandru Oancea. Symplectic homology, autonomous Hamiltonians, and Morse-Bott moduli spaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 146(1):71–174, 2009.
- [5] Jonathan Bowden, Fabio Gironella, and Agustín Moreno. Bourgeois contact structures: tightness, fillability and applications. *Invent. Math.*, to appear.
- [6] Kai Cieliebak and Urs Adrian Frauenfelder. A Floer homology for exact contact embeddings. *Pacific J. Math.*, 239(2):251–316, 2009.
- [7] Kai Cieliebak and Alexandru Oancea. Symplectic homology and the Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 18(4):1953–2130, 2018. Appendix written jointly with Peter Albers.
- [8] Tobias Ekholm and Alexandru Oancea. Symplectic and contact differential graded algebras. *Geom. Topol.*, 21(4):2161–2230, 2017.
- [9] Yakov Eliashberg, Sheel Ganatra, and Oleg Lazarev. On the signature of flexible fillings. in preparation.
- [10] Hansjörg Geiges, Myeonggi Kwon, and Kai Zehmisch. Diffeomorphism type of symplectic fillings of unit cotangent bundles. *Journal of Topology and Analysis*, pages 1–23, 2021.
- [11] Fabio Gironella and Zhengyi Zhou. Exact orbifold fillings of contact manifolds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.12247*, 2021.
- [12] M. Gromov. Pseudo holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 82(2):307–347, 1985.
- [13] Oleg Lazarev. Contact manifolds with flexible fillings. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 30(1):188–254, 2020.

- [14] Dusa McDuff. Symplectic manifolds with contact type boundaries. *Invent. Math.*, 103(3):651–671, 1991.
- [15] Mark McLean. Reeb orbits and the minimal discrepancy of an isolated singularity. *Invent. Math.*, 204(2):505–594, 2016.
- [16] Emmy Murphy and Kyler Siegel. Subflexible symplectic manifolds. *Geom. Topol.*, 22(4):2367–2401, 2018.
- [17] Alexandru Oancea and Claude Viterbo. On the topology of fillings of contact manifolds and applications. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 87(1):41–69, 2012.
- [18] Stephen Smale. On the structure of 5-manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 75:38–46, 1962.
- [19] Chris Wendl. Strongly fillable contact manifolds and J -holomorphic foliations. *Duke Math. J.*, 151(3):337–384, 2010.
- [20] Zhengyi Zhou. Vanishing of symplectic homology and obstruction to flexible fillability. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (23):9717–9729, 2020.
- [21] Zhengyi Zhou. Symplectic fillings of asymptotically dynamically convex manifolds I. *J. Topol.*, 14(1):112–182, 2021.
- [22] Zhengyi Zhou. On fillings of contact links of quotient singularities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.06086*, 2022.
- [23] Zhengyi Zhou. On fillings of $\partial(V \times \mathbb{D})$. *Math. Ann.*, 2022.
- [24] Zhengyi Zhou. Symplectic fillings of asymptotically dynamically convex manifolds II- k -dilations. *Adv. Math.*, 406:Paper No. 108522, 2022.
- [25] Zhengyi Zhou. On the cohomology ring of symplectic fillings. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, to appear.

Zhengyi Zhou,

MORNINGSIDE CENTER OF MATHEMATICS AND INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, AMSS, CAS, CHINA

E-mail address: zhyzhou@amss.ac.cn