

No quasi-isometric rigidity for proper actions on CAT(0) cube complexes

Francesco Fournier-Facio and Anthony Genevois

August 30, 2022

Abstract

We exhibit a variety of groups that act properly and even cocompactly on median graphs (a.k.a. one-skeleta of CAT(0) cube complexes), with quasi-isometric groups that do not admit any proper action on a median graph. This answers a question of Niblo, Sageev and Wise. Our examples are all quasi-isometrically trivial central extensions of certain cubulated groups.

1 Introduction

The study of group actions on median graphs (a.k.a. one-skeleta of CAT(0) cube complexes) is a major subject in modern geometric group theory. This is mainly due to the numerous groups of interest acting on median graphs (such as Artin groups, Coxeter groups, small cancellation groups, random groups, one-relator groups with torsion, 3-manifold groups, free-by-cyclic groups, graph braid groups, Thompson-like groups, Cremona groups) and to the valuable information that can be deduced from such actions (including Tits alternative, Hilbertian geometry, bi-automaticity, asymptotic dimension, subgroup separability, subgroup distortion, behaviours of negative curvature). Applications include many branches of mathematics, most famously low-dimensional topology with the proof of the virtual Haken conjecture.

As is common in the field, it is natural to wonder whether the existence of such actions is a quasi-isometry invariant. During the 2007 AIM Meeting *Problems in geometric group theory*, Niblo, Sageev and Wise asked the following question:

Question 1.1 ([NSW, Question 12]). Is the property of acting (properly, cocompactly) on a median graph a quasi-isometry invariant?

In [NSW], the authors also remark that the property of acting without fixed points on a median graph is not a quasi-isometry invariant, since there are groups with property (T) that are quasi-isometric to groups surjecting onto \mathbb{Z} .

They suggest that a negative answer could come from understanding actions of certain lattices on CAT(0) cube complexes. In particular, if $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is an irreducible uniform lattice, then it is quasi-isometric to the product of two surface groups, which is cocompactly cubulated (see Example 3.2), but such lattices Γ are conjectured to have Property FW [Cor13]. This is still open, but on the other hand such lattices are known to have the fixed point property for actions on *finite-dimensional* CAT(0) cube complexes [CFI16], and in particular cannot act properly and cocompactly on a median graph.

It is also shown in [Wis21] (and investigated further in [Hag14]) that admitting a geometric action on a median graph is not even preserved under commensurability. Namely, the $(3, 3, 3)$ -triangle group (which coincides with the symmetry group of the tessellation of the Euclidean plane by equilateral triangles) does not act geometrically on a median graph, even though it contains a free abelian subgroup of finite index. As another source of interesting examples, it is worth mentioning that, as a consequence of [BN08] and [HP15], there exist quasi-isometric fundamental groups of graph manifolds such that one admits a proper and cocompact action on a median graph while the other do not.

In the opposite direction, acting properly on a median graph is preserved under commensurability, since, by a classical argument, if a group G contains a finite-index subgroup H acting (properly) on some graph X then G naturally acts (properly) on $X^{[G:H]}$.

The above discussion shows that the property of acting properly and cocompactly on median graphs is not a quasi-isometry invariant. In this article, we observe that acting properly on median graph is not quasi-isometry invariant either, answering Question 1.1. More precisely, we identify a broad source of counterexamples coming from bounded central extensions of groups acting properly (and cocompactly) on median graphs. Let us mention two specific instances of this phenomenon. The first one deals with hyperbolic groups.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a hyperbolic group that acts properly (and cocompactly) on a median graph. Suppose that its Schur multiplier $H_2(G; \mathbb{Z})$ is infinite. Then G admits a central extension G_1 that does not act properly on a median graph, but is quasi-isometric to $G_2 := G \times \mathbb{Z}$, which acts properly (and cocompactly) on a median graph.*

Examples to which this criterion applies include certain hyperbolic one-relator groups (such as surface groups) and hyperbolic von Dyck groups.

Remark 1.3. As pointed out to us by Yves Cornuier, it is worth mentioning that [Cor13, Example 6.A.10] shows that the central extension of a hyperbolic surface group $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ inside $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$, which is well-known to be quasi-isometric to $\pi_1(\Sigma) \times \mathbb{Z}$ (see e.g. [DT16]), does not act *metrically* properly on a median graph. In this article, an action of a group G on a graph X is said to be proper if it is properly discontinuous when both the group and the (vertex-set of the) graph are endowed with the discrete topology, which amounts to saying that vertex-stabilisers are finite. The action $G \curvearrowright X$ is metrically proper if $\{g \in G \mid d(x, gx) \leq R\}$ is finite for all $x \in X$ and $R \geq 0$. Thus, metrically proper actions are more restrictive than proper actions.

Our second source of specific examples comes from groups acting on the circle. For instance, if $\overline{T} \leq \mathrm{Homeo}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the lift of Thompson's group $T \leq \mathrm{Homeo}(\mathbb{S}^1)$, then:

Theorem 1.4. *The group \overline{T} does not act properly on any median graph, and every action on a median graph with finite cubical dimension has a finite orbit. Nevertheless, \overline{T} is quasi-isometric to $T \times \mathbb{Z}$, which acts properly on a median graph.*

See Example 3.9 for more details. The finite-orbit property satisfied by \overline{T} can be proven by arguments similar to those in [Gen19a]; see [BFFG] for detailed proofs.

Theorem 1.4 does not only answer Question 1.1 but also provides valuable information regarding the following broad question: To which extent can two quasi-isometric groups have different median geometries? Such a question is illustrated in [NSW] by

Question 1.5 ([NSW, Question 13]). Does there exist a group acting properly and cocompactly on a median graph but quasi-isometric to a group satisfying Kazhdan’s property (T)?

Indeed, because Kazhdan’s property (T) can be characterised as a fixed-point property on median spaces [CDH10], the finite-orbit property on median graph (of finite cubical dimension) can be thought of as a discrete (and finite-dimensional) version of Kazhdan’s property (T). From this point of view, while Question 1.5 is still wide open, Theorem 1.4 hints at the fact that it might have a positive answer.

Finally, let us observe that, from our examples, it is easy to construct many new ones in the following sense:

Corollary 1.6. *1. There exists an infinite family $\{G_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ of pairwise non-isomorphic quasi-isometric groups such that G_1 acts properly and cocompactly on a median graph, while G_i does not act properly on a median graph, for any $i \geq 2$.*

2. There exists an uncountable family $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ of pairwise non-isomorphic quasi-isometric groups such that G_{i_0} acts properly on a median graph, while G_i does not, for any $i \neq i_0$.

Note that a group acting properly and cocompactly on a median graph is finitely presented, therefore it is not possible to upgrade the first item of Corollary 1.6 to an uncountably family.

Although Question 1.1 has a negative answer, there are several variations and generalizations thereof that could still be investigated: We discuss these in Section 4. In particular, our counterexamples lead naturally to the definition of *almost median graphs*, which seem more suitable for studying groups up to quasi-isometry.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank Jason Behrstock, Yves Cornulier, Alessandro Sisto and Abdul Zalloum for useful comments.

2 Bounded central extensions

We start by reviewing the necessary cohomological tools: See [Bro94, Chapter IV] for more details. Let R be a ring; in our case we will only deal with $R = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ and \mathbb{R} . Given a group G , we denote its second cohomology with coefficients in R by $H^2(G; R)$. The inclusions $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ induce change of coefficient maps in cohomology. Given $\alpha \in H^2(G; \mathbb{Z})$, we denote by $\alpha_R \in H^2(G; R)$ its image under these maps, where $R = \mathbb{Q}$ or \mathbb{R} . Note that $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(G; \mathbb{Q})$ is in general not injective (as will be clear from Proposition 2.4), however $H^2(G; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(G; \mathbb{R})$ is always injective: This is an immediate consequence of the Universal Coefficient Theorem [Bro94, Chapter I.0].

Recall that $H^2(G; R)$ parametrizes central extensions of G by R . More precisely, let $\alpha \in H^2(G; R)$, and let $\omega : G^2 \rightarrow R$ be an inhomogeneous cocycle representing it. One can then associate a central extension:

$$1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow E_\omega \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1,$$

and every central extension arises this way. Up to a suitable notion of equivalence, E_ω depends only on its class α , therefore in the sequel we will use the notation E_α instead. In particular, the extension splits if and only if $\alpha = 0$.

Cohomology is functorial, in that group homomorphisms induce maps in cohomology. For our purposes, it will be sufficient to consider the restriction to a subgroup $H \leq G$, denoted

$\text{res} : \mathbb{H}^2(G; R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(H; R)$, which is given by restricting cocycles from G^2 to H^2 . At the level of central extensions we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & E_{\text{res}(\alpha)} & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & E_{\alpha} & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

That is, $E_{\text{res}(\alpha)}$ is simply the preimage of H under the quotient $E_{\alpha} \rightarrow G$.

A class $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}^2(G; R)$ is said to be *bounded* if it admits a cocycle representative whose image is a bounded subset of R , with respect to the Euclidean norm. The relevance of bounded classes to our purposes is due to the following:

Proposition 2.1 (Gersten [Ger92]). *Let G be a finitely generated group, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}^2(G; \mathbb{Z})$ be a bounded class. Then E_{α} is quasi-isometric to $G \times \mathbb{Z}$.*

The converse does not hold: The first counterexample was found by Frigerio and Sisto [FS20], and more recently Ascari and Milizia provided a finitely presented one [AM22]. Let us point out that $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}^2(G; \mathbb{Z})$ is bounded if and only if $\alpha_{\mathbb{R}}$ is bounded [FS20, Lemma 2.8]. This is especially useful given all that is known about bounded cohomology with real coefficients [Fri17].

One classical context in which bounded classes arise is via actions on the circle [Ghy01], which we will exploit in Subsection 3.3. Another easy way to tell that a class is bounded is to apply the following:

Theorem 2.2 (Neumann–Reeves [NR97]). *Let G be a hyperbolic group. Then every class $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}^2(G; \mathbb{Z})$ is bounded.*

This has been vastly generalized by Mineyev [Min01], who also proved that the more general statement characterizes hyperbolic groups [Min02].

Clearly every (proper, cocompact) action of a group G on a median graph defines a (proper, cocompact) product action of $G \times \mathbb{Z}$ on a median graph. Our goal is to show that, under certain conditions on $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}^2(G; \mathbb{Z})$, the central extension E_{α} does not act properly on a median graph. We will use the following property of such groups, well-known for groups acting on CAT(0) spaces by semi-simple isometries [BH99, Theorem II.6.12] and extended to arbitrary median graphs in [Gen19b, Theorem 5.4] (see also [Cor13, Propositions 6.A.9 and 6.B.8]):

Proposition 2.3 ([Gen19b]). *Let G be a group acting on a median graph X and $A \triangleleft G$ a normal finitely generated abelian subgroup. If every non-trivial element in A has unbounded orbits in X , then A is a direct factor in a finite-index subgroup of G .*

Our final goal is therefore to provide a cohomological criterion that prevents this behaviour.

Proposition 2.4. *Let G be a group, and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}^2(G; \mathbb{Z})$. Suppose that $\alpha_{\mathbb{Q}} \in \mathbb{H}^2(G; \mathbb{Q})$ is non-zero. Then the central extension E_{α} does not virtually split, and in particular it does not act properly on a median graph.*

This result is standard and well-known, in fact the converse holds as well, assuming that G is finitely generated [FS20, Lemma 5.13, Lemma 5.14]. We include a proof for the reader's convenience.

Proof. Suppose that the extension virtually splits: There exists a subgroup H of E_α that intersects \mathbb{Z} trivially, and such that $H \times \mathbb{Z}$ has finite index in E_α . Since H intersects \mathbb{Z} trivially, the quotient $E_\alpha \rightarrow G$ realizes H as a finite-index subgroup of G . Now the hypothesis on H means that $E_{\text{res}(\alpha)}$ splits, and thus $\text{res}(\alpha) \in H^2(H; \mathbb{Z})$ is the zero class. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(G; \mathbb{Q}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^2(H; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(H; \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are change of coefficients maps and the vertical arrows are restriction maps. Since $\text{res}(\alpha) = 0$, we obtain $\text{res}(\alpha)_\mathbb{Q} = \text{res}(\alpha_\mathbb{Q}) = 0$. But every positive integer is divisible in \mathbb{Q} , so the restriction to a finite-index subgroup in rational cohomology is injective [Bro94, Proposition III.10.4]. In particular $\alpha_\mathbb{Q} = 0$.

The last statement follows from Proposition 2.3. \square

Here is an easy way to tell when there exists such a class:

Corollary 2.5. *Let G be a group, and suppose that $H_2(G; \mathbb{Z})$ is an infinite finitely generated group. Then there exists $\alpha \in H^2(G; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\alpha_\mathbb{Q} \in H^2(G; \mathbb{Q})$ is non-zero.*

Note that the hypothesis of finite generation on $H_2(G; \mathbb{Z})$ is very mild: For instance it is satisfied by all finitely presented groups.

Proof. The Universal Coefficient Theorem [Bro94, Chapter I.0] gives the following diagram of short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(H_1(G; \mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_2(G; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(H_1(G; \mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(G; \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_2(G; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Since $H_2(G; \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated and infinite, there exists a non-zero homomorphism from $H_2(G; \mathbb{Z})$ to \mathbb{Z} , which defines a non-zero homomorphism from $H_2(G; \mathbb{Z})$ to \mathbb{Q} . Choosing a class $\alpha \in H^2(G; \mathbb{Z})$ that maps to this homomorphism, we obtain $\alpha_\mathbb{Q} \neq 0$. \square

We can now deduce Theorem 1.2:

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let G be a hyperbolic group that acts properly (and cocompactly) on a median graph. Then the same is true of $G_2 := G \times \mathbb{Z}$. Now G is finitely presented, so $H_2(G; \mathbb{Z})$ is a finitely generated group. Assuming moreover that it is infinite, Corollary 2.5 produces a class $\alpha \in H^2(G; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\alpha_\mathbb{Q} \neq 0$. Proposition 2.4 then shows that the corresponding central extension G_1 does not act properly on a median graph. But G is hyperbolic, so α is bounded by Theorem 2.2, and so G_1 is quasi-isometric to G_2 . \square

3 Examples

We will now go through a list of examples of negative answers to Question 1.1. These will come in several classes: Hyperbolic one-relator groups and hyperbolic von Dyck groups, to which Theorem 1.2 will directly apply, and groups acting on the circle, where the boundedness of the relevant class is independent of hyperbolicity.

3.1 One-relator groups

Let $G_r = \langle S \mid r \rangle$ be a one-relator group, where $r \in [F(S), F(S)]$. The latter hypothesis is equivalent to the fact that the quotient $F(S) \rightarrow G_r$ induces an isomorphism in the abelianization. Under this assumption we have $H_2(G_r; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ (see e.g. [HL19, Section 3.1]). Thus Theorem 1.2 implies:

Corollary 3.1. *Let $r \in [F(S), F(S)]$, and let G_r be the corresponding one-relator group. Suppose that G_r is hyperbolic and acts properly (and cocompactly) on a median graph. Then G_r admits a central extension that does not act properly on a median graph, but is quasi-isometric to $G_r \times \mathbb{Z}$, that acts properly (and cocompactly) on a median graph.*

Example 3.2. Let Σ be a closed surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Then $\pi_1(\Sigma) = \langle a_i, b_i : i = 1, \dots, g \mid [a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_g, b_g] = 1 \rangle$ satisfies the above hypotheses. Therefore there exists a central extension of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ that does not act properly on a median graph, but which is quasi-isometric to $\pi_1(\Sigma) \times \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ is cocompactly cubulated: Σ classically admits a non-positively curved square tessellation which lifts in the hyperbolic plane as a square complex whose one-skeleton is a median graph. (More precisely, think of Σ as obtained by identifying the opposite sides of a $2g$ -gon P , add a vertex at the center of P , and connect it with edges to half of the vertices of P alternatively.)

This central extension has already been studied from this point of view in [DT16]. Therein, the reader can also find an elementary argument as to why this central extension is quasi-isometrically trivial, which makes no reference to (bounded) cohomology or hyperbolicity.

Example 3.3. Let $r \in [F(S), F(S)]$ be a proper power. The latter hypothesis is equivalent to the fact that G_r has torsion. Then G_r is hyperbolic and cocompactly cubulable [LW13]. Therefore G_r admits a central extension that does not act properly on a median graph, but which is quasi-isometric to $G_r \times \mathbb{Z}$, which is cocompactly cubulated.

Example 3.4. Let $r \in [F(S), F(S)]$ be such that the corresponding group G_r has *negative immersions*, as defined in [LW22]. This is equivalent to the fact that r has primitivity rank greater than 2 (see [LW22] or [Pud14] for the relevant definition) or that every 2-generated subgroup of G_r is free. Then G_r is hyperbolic and virtually special [Lin22] so it follows from [Sag97, Theorem 3.1] that it is cocompactly cubulated. Therefore G_r admits a central extension that does not act properly on a median graph, but is quasi-isometric to $G_r \times \mathbb{Z}$, which is cocompactly cubulated.

3.2 Hyperbolic von Dyck groups

Let a, b, c be positive integers such that $1/a + 1/b + 1/c < 1$. The group

$$D := D(a, b, c) := \langle x, y, z \mid x^a = y^b = z^c = xyz = 1 \rangle$$

is called a *hyperbolic von Dyck group*. It is an index-2 subgroup in the Coxeter group

$$\Delta := \Delta(a, b, c) := \langle s, t, u \mid s^2 = t^2 = u^2 = (st)^a = (tu)^b = (us)^c = 1 \rangle.$$

which is called a *hyperbolic triangle group*. Hyperbolic Coxeter groups such as Δ are cocompactly cubulated [NR03], therefore this is also true of D . Moreover, the natural action of D on the hyperbolic plane by rotations can be used to show that $H_2(D; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ (see e.g. [EW05, Section 3]). Thus Theorem 1.2 implies:

Corollary 3.5. *Let a, b, c be positive integers such that $1/a + 1/b + 1/c < 1$. Then $D := D(a, b, c)$ admits a central extension that does not act properly on a median graph. Moreover, this central extension is quasi-isometric to $D \times \mathbb{Z}$, which is cocompactly cubulated.*

Example 3.6. As an explicit example, the $(2, 3, 7)$ -von-Dyck group satisfies the previous corollary. This group and its central extension $\langle x, y, z \mid x^2 = y^3 = z^7 = xyz \rangle$, sometimes called the $(2, 3, 7)$ -homology sphere group, have often served as examples of peculiar behaviour, particularly in the theory of left-orderable groups (see e.g. [Thu74, MT22]), which leads naturally to the following subsection.

3.3 Groups acting on the circle

In this subsection we go through a different class of examples, where the boundedness of the classes comes from their dynamical nature and not from hyperbolicity. Let G be a group acting faithfully by orientation-preserving homeomorphisms on the circle. To this action, one associates a cohomology class $\alpha \in H^2(G; \mathbb{Z})$, called the *Euler class*, which is moreover bounded. The corresponding central extension \overline{G} is the group of homeomorphisms of the line that commute with integer translations and induce G on the quotient; in particular \overline{G} is left-orderable and thus torsion-free. See [Ghy01] or [Fri17, Chapter 10] for more details.

While the vanishing or non-vanishing of the Euler class in bounded cohomology is well-understood from a dynamical point of view, the same cannot be said of the Euler class in cohomology, which vanishes much more often (see e.g. [Ghy01, Section 6.2]). On the other hand, we can use a different criterion than Proposition 2.4 to ensure that the corresponding central extension does not virtually split:

Lemma 3.7. *Let G be a group that is not virtually torsion-free, and let E be a torsion-free central extension. Then the extension does not virtually split.*

Proof. If the extension does virtually split, then E contains a finite-index subgroup of the form $\mathbb{Z} \times H$, where H is isomorphic to a finite-index subgroup of G . Since E is torsion-free, so is H , and thus G is virtually torsion-free. \square

Corollary 3.8. *Let G be a finitely generated group of orientation-preserving homeomorphism of the circle, and let \overline{G} be the corresponding central extension. Then \overline{G} is quasi-isometric to $G \times \mathbb{Z}$. If moreover G is not virtually torsion-free, then \overline{G} does not act properly on a median graph.*

Example 3.9 (Theorem 1.4). Let T be Thompson's group acting on the circle [CFP96]. Then T is simple and has torsion, so its lift to the real line \overline{T} does not act properly on a median graph. (As an alternative argument, one can observe that \overline{T} contains \mathbb{Q} as a subgroup [BHM20], which prevents it from acting properly on a median graph [Hag21].) However T acts properly on a median graph [Far05] and therefore so does $T \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 3.10. More generally, let $T_{n,r}$ be a Stein-Thompson group acting on the circle [BS16, Bro87, Ste92]. The subgroup $T_n \leq T_{n,r}$ is simple and has torsion, therefore $T_{n,r}$ is not virtually torsion-free, so again $\overline{T_{n,r}}$ does not act properly on a median graph. However $T_{n,r}$ acts properly on a median graph [Far05] and therefore so does $T_{n,r} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

3.4 Uncountably many examples

We end by showing Corollary 1.6. This will be an easy application of our previous examples and the following result:

Lemma 3.11. *Let G be a cocompactly cubulated group with a central extension G_2 quasi-isometric to $G_1 \cong G \times \mathbb{Z}$ that does not virtually split. Then for every (cocompactly) cubulated group H , the group $G_1 \times H$ is (cocompactly) cubulated, while $G_2 \times H$ does not act properly on a median graph.*

Proof. Since G_1 and H both act properly (and cocompactly) on a median graph, the same is true of their product. On the other hand, $G_2 \times H$ still does not have a finite-index subgroup which contains the center as a direct factor, and so it does not act properly on a median graph by Proposition 2.3. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.6. Let $\{H_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ be an infinite family of pairwise non-isomorphic quasi-isometric groups acting properly and cocompactly on a median graph, for instance all the free groups of finite rank or all the fundamental groups of closed hyperbolic surfaces. Let G_1, G_2 be groups as in Theorem 1.2, for instance the two central extensions of a surface group as in Example 3.2. Then $G_1 \times H_i$ are all cocompactly cubulated, while none of $G_2 \times H_i$ act properly on a median graph. Moreover, the $G_2 \times H_i$ are pairwise non-isomorphic as they have different abelianizations.

The second item follows from the same argument, by letting $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an uncountable family of pairwise non-isomorphic quasi-isometric groups acting properly on a median graph. Since a finitely generated group has only countably many finitely generated subgroups, it follows that among the groups $G_2 \times H_i$ there are still uncountably many isomorphism classes. For instance, one can consider pairwise distinct finite central extensions of the Grigorchuk group or of the lamplighter group $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$ as shown in [Ers04], these two groups acting properly on median graphs according to [CSV12] (see also [Gen22]) and [Sch22].

Let us sketch a direct elementary construction (in the same spirit) of distinct finite central extensions of the lamplighter group $L_2 := \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$. This group admits

$$\langle a, t \mid a^2 = 1, [t^n a t^{-n}, a] = 1 \ (n \in \mathbb{N}) \rangle$$

as a presentation. Now, fix an arbitrary subset $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ and define the new group

$$G_I := \left\langle a, t, z \mid a^2 = z^2 = [z, a] = [z, t] = 1, [t^n a t^{-n}, a] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in I \\ z & \text{if } n \notin I \end{cases} \right\rangle.$$

In other words, we add a central element of order two and we use it to “twist” the commutator relations of L_2 . We get a central extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G_I \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow 1.$$

The claim is that, for all $I, J \subset \mathbb{N}$, G_I and G_J are isomorphic if and only if $I = J$. The key observation is that every automorphism of L_2 (as described, for instance, in [MS21] or [GT21]) extends to G_I . Thus, if there exists an isomorphism $G_I \rightarrow G_J$, then we can assume without loss of generality that it induces the identity when we mod out by the centers. Such an isomorphism must send the element $[t^n a t^{-n}, a]$ of G_I to the same element $[t^n a t^{-n}, a]$ of G_J for every $n \geq 1$, which implies that $I = J$. \square

4 Concluding remarks

In this note, we have proved that many central extensions do not act properly on median graphs, the geometric obstruction being recorded by Proposition 2.3. Such a virtual splitting is well-known for loxodromic isometries in CAT(0) spaces, and it is reasonable to expect the same property to hold in various other nonpositively curved spaces.

In that spirit, define a metric space X to be *loxodromically indicable* if, for every *loxodromic isometry* $g \in \text{Isom}(X)$ (i.e. $n \mapsto g^n \cdot x$ induces a quasi-isometric embedding $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow X$ for every $x \in X$), there exists a morphism $\varphi : C(g) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $\varphi(g) \neq 0$, where $C(g)$ denotes the centralizer of g in $\text{Isom}(X)$. Median graphs and CAT(0) spaces are loxodromically indicable (see the proof of [BH99, Theorem II.6.12] for CAT(0) spaces; the proof of [Gen19b, Theorem 5.4] and the improvement [Gen, Proposition 11.2.1] for median graphs), and a virtual splitting similar to Proposition 2.3 holds for every loxodromically indicable space. More precisely, if a group G acts on a loxodromically indicable space X and if $A \leq G$ is a central finitely generated subgroup all of whose non-trivial elements are loxodromic, then A is a direct factor in a finite-index subgroup of G .

Investigating for more examples of loxodromically indicable spaces is an interesting problem. Metric spaces for which Axis and Flat Torus Theorems are known provide good candidates, such as systolic complexes, Helly graphs, spaces with good bicomings, Garside groups.

In another direction, one could claim that the central extensions constructed in this note are not so different from groups acting on median graphs and that the notion of median graphs is too rigid in order to include them. A key observation is that our central extensions do not admit morphisms to \mathbb{Z} that are non-trivial on their centers but they do admit quasi-morphisms that are unbounded on their centers. This motivates the idea to relax the definition of median graphs in order to encompass quasi-lines.

Definition 4.1. Let X be a graph. Given two vertices $x, y \in X$ and some constant $\delta \geq 0$, define the δ -interval

$$I_\delta(x, y) := \{z \in X \mid |d(x, y) - d(x, z) - d(z, y)| \leq \delta\}.$$

A graph is *almost median* if there exist $\delta, \Delta \geq 0$ such that, for all $x, y, z \in X$, the intersection

$$I_\delta(x, y) \cap I_\delta(y, z) \cap I_\delta(x, z)$$

is non-empty and has diameter $\leq \Delta$.

When $\delta = \Delta = 0$, we recover the definition of median graphs. As desired, every quasi-line (and, in fact, every hyperbolic graph) is almost median. See [Eld04, DC17] for similar definitions.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow E \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ be a bounded central extension. If Q acts properly on an almost median graph, then so does E .*

Proof. We can describe E as the set $\mathbb{Z} \times Q$ endowed with the product

$$(z_1, q_1) \cdot (z_2, q_2) = (z_1 + z_2 + c(q_1, q_2), q_1 q_2), \quad z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, q_1, q_2 \in Q$$

for some inhomogeneous bounded cocycle c . The map $(z, q) \mapsto z$ defines a quasi-morphism $E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, from which we can define an action of E on a quasi-line L [ABO19, Lemma 4.15]. Therefore, if M is an almost median graph on which Q acts properly, then E naturally acts on $L \times Q$, and the action is proper. A product of almost median graphs being almost median, the desired conclusion follows \square

A natural problem to investigate is to which extent the geometry of almost median graphs is similar to the geometry of median graphs. Clearly, almost-median graphs are no longer loxodromically indicable, but it may be expected that a coarse version of the property still holds: If X is an almost median graph and $g \in \text{Isom}(X)$ a loxodromic isometry, does there exist a quasi-morphism $\text{Isom}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is unbounded on $\langle g \rangle$?

Following [Bri10], it is shown in [Gen19b] that mapping class groups cannot act properly on median graphs, precisely because the latter are loxodromically indicable. Interestingly, one can reasonably say that this is the only obstruction since mapping class groups act properly on products of hyperbolic spaces [BBF15] and a fortiori on almost median graphs. However, it is not clear whether such an action on an almost median graph can be in addition cocompact.

Finally, since the groups we considered all come from central extensions, it would be interesting to produce counterexamples to Question 1.1 among center-free groups. A reasonable source of candidates is provided by [LM21], as it follows from [HP20] that they cannot act properly on median graphs with finite cubical dimension.

References

- [ABO19] C. Abbott, S. H. Balasubramanya, and D. Osin. Hyperbolic structures on groups. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 19(4):1747–1835, 2019.
- [AM22] D. Ascari and F. Milizia. Weakly bounded cohomology classes and a counterexample to a conjecture of Gromov. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.03972*, 2022.
- [BBF15] M. Bestvina, K. Bromberg, and K. Fujiwara. Constructing group actions on quasi-trees and applications to mapping class groups. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 122:1–64, 2015.
- [BFFG] S. Balasubramanya, F. Fournier-Facio, and A. Genevois. Property (NL) for group actions on hyperbolic spaces. *In preparation*.
- [BH99] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, volume 319 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [BHM20] J. Belk, J. Hyde, and F. Matucci. Embedding \mathbb{Q} into a finitely presented group. *To appear in Bull. of the AMS*, *arxiv:2005.02036*, 2020.
- [BN08] J. A. Behrstock and W. D. Neumann. Quasi-isometric classification of graph manifold groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 141(2):217–240, 2008.
- [Bri10] M. R. Bridson. Semisimple actions of mapping class groups on CAT(0) spaces. In *Geometry of Riemann surfaces*, volume 368 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 1–14. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [Bro87] K. S. Brown. Finiteness properties of groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 44(1-3):45–75, 1987.
- [Bro94] K. S. Brown. *Cohomology of groups*, volume 87 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994. Corrected reprint of the 1982 original.
- [BS16] R. Bieri and R. Strebel. *On groups of PL-homeomorphisms of the real line*, volume 215 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2016.
- [CDH10] I. Chatterji, C. Druţu, and F. Haglund. Kazhdan and Haagerup properties from the median viewpoint. *Adv. Math.*, 225(2):882–921, 2010.
- [CFI16] I. Chatterji, T. Fernós, and A. Iozzi. The median class and superrigidity of actions on CAT(0) cube complexes. *J. Topol.*, 9(2):349–400, 2016. With an appendix by Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace.

- [CFP96] J. W. Cannon, W. J. Floyd, and W. R. Parry. Introductory notes on Richard Thompson’s groups. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 42(3-4):215–256, 1996.
- [Cor13] Y. Cornulier. Group actions with commensurated subsets, wallings and cubings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1302.5982*, 2013.
- [CSV12] Y. Cornulier, Y. Stalder, and A. Valette. Proper actions of wreath products and generalizations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364(6):3159–3184, 2012.
- [DC17] C. Druţu and I. Chatterji. Median geometry for spaces with measured walls and for groups. *arXiv preprint arxiv:1708.00254*, 2017.
- [DT16] K. Das and R. Tessera. Integrable measure equivalence and the central extension of surface groups. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 10(3):965–983, 2016.
- [Eld04] M. Elder. L_δ groups are almost convex and have a sub-cubic Dehn function. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 4:23–29, 2004.
- [Ers04] A. Erschler. Not residually finite groups of intermediate growth, commensurability and non-geometricity. *J. Algebra*, 272(1):154–172, 2004.
- [EW05] G. Ellis and G. Williams. On the cohomology of generalized triangle groups. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 80(3):571–591, 2005.
- [Far05] D. S. Farley. Actions of picture groups on CAT(0) cubical complexes. *Geom. Dedicata*, 110:221–242, 2005.
- [Fri17] R. Frigerio. *Bounded cohomology of discrete groups*, volume 227 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.
- [FS20] R. Frigerio and A. Sisto. Central extensions and bounded cohomology. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.01146*, 2020.
- [Gen] A. Genevois. *Algebraic properties of groups acting on median graphs*. In preparation, draft available on the author’s webpage.
- [Gen19a] A. Genevois. Hyperbolic and cubical rigidities of Thompson’s group V . *J. Group Theory*, 22(2):313–345, 2019.
- [Gen19b] A. Genevois. Median sets of isometries in CAT(0) cube complexes and some of its applications. *To appear in Michigan Math. J.*, *arxiv:1902.04883*, 2019.
- [Gen22] A. Genevois. Lamplighter groups, median spaces and Hilbertian geometry. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)*, 65(2):500–529, 2022.
- [Ger92] S. M. Gersten. Bounded cocycles and combings of groups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 2(3):307–326, 1992.
- [Ghy01] E. Ghys. Groups acting on the circle. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 47(3-4):329–407, 2001.
- [GT21] A. Genevois and R. Tessera. A note on morphisms to wreath products. *arXiv preprint arxiv:2110.09822*, 2021.
- [Hag14] M. F. Hagen. Cocompactly cubulated crystallographic groups. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 90(1):140–166, 2014.
- [Hag21] F. Haglund. Isometries of CAT(0) cube complexes are semi-simple. *Ann. Math. du Québec*, pages 1–13, 2021.
- [HL19] N. Heuer and C. Löh. Simplicial volume of one-relator groups and stable commutator length. *To appear in Alg. Geom. Topol.*, *arxiv:1911.02470*, 2019.
- [HP15] M. F. Hagen and P. Przytycki. Cocompactly cubulated graph manifolds. *Israel J. Math.*, 207(1):377–394, 2015.
- [HP20] J. Huang and T. Przytuła. Commensurators of abelian subgroups in CAT(0) groups. *Math. Z.*, 296(1-2):79–98, 2020.

- [Lin22] M. Linton. One-relator hierarchies. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.11324*, 2022.
- [LM21] I. J. Leary and A. Minasyan. Commensurating HNN extensions: nonpositive curvature and biautomaticity. *Geom. Topol.*, 25(4):1819–1860, 2021.
- [LW13] J. Lauer and D. T. Wise. Cubulating one-relator groups with torsion. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 155(3):411–429, 2013.
- [LW22] L. Louder and H. Wilton. Negative immersions for one-relator groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 171(3):547–594, 2022.
- [Min01] I. Mineyev. Straightening and bounded cohomology of hyperbolic groups. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 11(4):807–839, 2001.
- [Min02] I. Mineyev. Bounded cohomology characterizes hyperbolic groups. *Q. J. Math.*, 53(1):59–73, 2002.
- [MS21] F. Matucci and P. V. Silva. Extensions of automorphisms of self-similar groups. *J. Group Theory*, 24(5):857–897, 2021.
- [MT22] K. Mann and M. Triestino. On the action of the $(2, 3, 7)$ -homology sphere group on its space of left-orders. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.03058*, 2022.
- [NR97] W. D. Neumann and L. Reeves. Central extensions of word hyperbolic groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 145(1):183–192, 1997.
- [NR03] G. A. Niblo and L. D. Reeves. Coxeter groups act on CAT(0) cube complexes. *J. Group Theory*, 6(3):399–413, 2003.
- [NSW] G. A. Niblo, M. Sageev, and D. T. Wise. AIM: Problems in Geometric Group Theory - Questions on CAT(0) cube complexes. <https://sites.google.com/a/scu.edu/rscott/pggt>.
- [Pud14] D. Puder. Primitive words, free factors and measure preservation. *Israel J. Math.*, 201(1):25–73, 2014.
- [Sag97] M. Sageev. Codimension-1 subgroups and splittings of groups. *J. Algebra*, 189(2):377–389, 1997.
- [Sch22] G. Schneeberger. Proper actions of Grigorchuk groups on a CAT(0) cube complex. *arXiv preprint arxiv:2207.04980*, 2022.
- [Ste92] M. Stein. Groups of piecewise linear homeomorphisms. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 332(2):477–514, 1992.
- [Thu74] W. P. Thurston. A generalization of the Reeb stability theorem. *Topology*, 13:347–352, 1974.
- [Wis21] D. T. Wise. *The structure of groups with a quasiconvex hierarchy*, volume 209 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, [2021] ©2021.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ETH ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: francesco.fournier@math.ethz.ch

INSTITUT MONTPELLIERAIN ALEXANDER GROTHENDIECK, MONTPELLIER, FRANCE
E-mail address: anthony.genevois@umontpellier.fr