

# SOME REMARKS ON CHARACTERIZATION OF T-NORMED INTEGRALS ON COMPACTA

TARAS RADUL

Institute of Mathematics, Casimirus the Great University of Bydgoszcz, Poland;  
Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Ivan Franko National University of  
Lviv, Universytetska st., 1. 79000 Lviv, Ukraine.

e-mail: tarasradul@yahoo.co.uk

**Key words and phrases:** Capacity, t-normed integral

[MSC 2020]18B30, 18C15, 28E10, 54B30

**ABSTRACT.** A characterization of t-normed integrals was obtained in [1] for finite compacta and in [13] for the general case. Such characterization establishes a correspondence between the space of capacities and homogeneous respect t-norm monotone normed functionals preserving the maximum operation of comonotone functions. In fact these theorems we can consider as non-additive and non-linear analogues of well-known Riesz Theorem about a correspondence between the set of  $\sigma$ -additive regular Borel measures and the set of linear positively defined functionals. We discuss optimality of such characterization.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Capacities (non-additive measures, fuzzy measures) were introduced by Choquet in [2] as a natural generalization of additive measures. They found numerous applications (see for example [4],[5],[14]). Capacities on compacta were considered in [8] where the important role plays the upper-semicontinuity property which connects the capacity theory with the topological structure. Categorical and topological properties of spaces of upper-semicontinuous normed capacities on compact Hausdorff spaces were investigated in [11].

In fact, the most of applications of non-additive measures to game theory, decision making theory, economics etc deal not with measures as set functions but with integrals which allow to obtain expected utility or expected pay-off. Several types of integrals with respect to non-additive measures were developed for different purposes (see for example books [6] and [3]). Such integrals are called fuzzy integrals. The most known are the Choquet integral based on the addition and the minimum operations [2] and the Sugeno integral based on the maximum and the minimum operations [16]. If we change the minimum operation by any t-norm, we obtain the generalization of the Sugeno integral called t-normed integrals [15]. One of the important problems of the fuzzy integrals theory is characterization of integrals as functionals on some function space (see for example subchapter 4.8 in [6] devoted to characterizations of the Choquet integral and the Sugeno integral). A characterization of t-normed integrals was obtained in [1] for finite compacta and in [13] for the general case.

It was remarked in [6] that in particular case, when we consider the Sugeno integral on finite sets, some of conditions used in the characterization theorem in [1] are obsolete and it was given a simpler characterization of the Sugeno integral on finite sets. Another simpler characterization of the Sugeno integral was obtained in [9] for finite sets and [10] or [12] for any compactum.

The main aim of this paper is to analyze if we can generalize one of mentioned simplification to the general case of t-normed integral changing the minimum operation by any t-norm. We will answer this question in negative.

## 2. CAPACITIES AND T-NORMED INTEGRALS

In what follows, all spaces are assumed to be compacta (compact Hausdorff space) except for  $\mathbb{R}$  and the spaces of continuous functions on a compactum. All maps are assumed to be continuous. By  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  we denote the family of all closed subsets of a compactum  $X$ .

We shall denote the Banach space of continuous functions on a compactum  $X$  endowed with the sup-norm by  $C(X)$ . For any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  we shall denote the constant function on  $X$  taking the value  $c$  by  $c_X$ . We also consider the natural lattice operations  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  (on  $C(X)$  and its sublattices  $C(X, [0, +\infty))$  and  $C(X, [0, 1])$ ).

We need the definition of capacity on a compactum  $X$ . We follow a terminology of [11].

**Definition 1.** *A function  $\nu : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called an upper-semicontinuous capacity on  $X$  if the three following properties hold for each closed subsets  $F$  and  $G$  of  $X$ :*

1.  $\nu(X) = 1, \nu(\emptyset) = 0$ ,
2. if  $F \subset G$ , then  $\nu(F) \leq \nu(G)$ ,
3. if  $\nu(F) < a$  for  $a \in [0, 1]$ , then there exists an open set  $O \supset F$  such that  $\nu(B) < a$  for each compactum  $B \subset O$ .

By  $MX$  we denote the space  $MX$  of all upper-semicontinuous capacities on a compactum  $X$

Remind that a triangular norm  $*$  is a binary operation on the closed unit interval  $[0, 1]$  which is associative, commutative, monotone and  $s * 1 = s$  for each  $s \in [0, 1]$  [7]. Let us remark that the monotonicity of  $*$  implies distributivity, i.e.  $(t \vee s) * l = (t * l) \vee (s * l)$  for each  $t, s, l \in [0, 1]$ . We consider only continuous t-norms in this paper.

Integrals generated by t-norms are called t-normed integrals and were studied in [17], [18] and [15]. Denote  $\varphi_t = \varphi^{-1}([t, 1])$  for each  $\varphi \in C(X, [0, 1])$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . So, for a continuous t-norm  $*$ , a capacity  $\mu$  and a function  $f \in C(X, [0, 1])$  the corresponding t-normed integral is defined by the formula

$$\int_X^{\vee *} f d\mu = \max\{\mu(f_t) * t \mid t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Let  $X$  be a compactum. We call two functions  $\varphi, \psi \in C(X, [0, 1])$  comonotone (or equiordered) if  $(\varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_2)) \cdot (\psi(x_1) - \psi(x_2)) \geq 0$  for each  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . Let us remark that a constant function is comonotone to any function  $\psi \in C(X, [0, 1])$ .

Let  $*$  be a continuous t-norm. We denote for a compactum  $X$  by  $\mathcal{T}^*(X)$  the set of functionals  $\mu : C(X, [0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  which satisfy the conditions:

- (1)  $\mu(1_X) = 1$  ( $\mu$  is normed);
- (2)  $\mu(\varphi) \leq \mu(\psi)$  for each functions  $\varphi, \psi \in C(X, [0, 1])$  such that  $\varphi \leq \psi$  ( $\mu$  is monotone) ;
- (3)  $\mu(\psi \vee \varphi) = \mu(\psi) \vee \mu(\varphi)$  for each comonotone functions  $\varphi, \psi \in C(X, [0, 1])$  ( $\mu$  preserves  $\vee$  for comonotone functions);
- (4)  $\mu(c_X * \varphi) = c * \mu(\varphi)$  for each  $c \in [0, 1]$  and  $\varphi \in C(X, [0, 1])$  ( $\mu$  is  $*$ -homogeneous).

It was proved in [1] for finite compacta and in [13] for the general case that a functional  $\mu$  on  $C(X, [0, 1])$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}^*(X)$  if and only if there exists a unique capacity  $\nu$  such that  $\mu$  is the t-normed integral with respect to  $\nu$ .

Let us remark that the above characterization can be simplified in the particular case for the Sugeno integral (when  $*$  =  $\wedge$ ). We can replace Property 3 by a weaker condition:  $\mu(c_X \vee \varphi) = c \vee \mu(\varphi)$  for each  $c \in [0, 1]$  and  $\varphi \in C(X, [0, 1])$  (see [9] for finite sets and [10] for any compactum. See also [12] where some modification of the Sugeno integral was considered).

The following lemma implies another simplification of this characterization of the Sugeno integral.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X$  be a compactum and  $\mu : C(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a  $\vee$ -homogeneous functional. Then  $\mu$  is normed. If  $\mu$  is additionally  $\wedge$ -homogeneous, then it is monotone.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu : C(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a  $\vee$ -homogeneous functional. We have  $\mu(1_X) = \mu(1_X \vee 1_X) = 1 \vee \mu(1_X) = 1$ . Hence  $\mu$  is normed.

Now, let  $\mu : C(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a  $\vee$ -homogeneous and  $\wedge$ -homogeneous functional. Take any  $\varphi, \psi \in C(X, [0, 1])$  such that  $\psi \leq \varphi$ . Suppose the contrary  $\mu(\varphi) = b < a = \mu(\psi)$ . Choose  $c, d \in [0, 1]$  such that  $b < c < d < a$ .

Since  $\psi \leq \varphi$ , we have

$$\varphi^{-1}([0, c]) \cap \psi^{-1}([d, 1]) = \emptyset.$$

Choose a function  $\xi \in C(X, [0, 1])$  such that

$$\xi|_{\varphi^{-1}([0, c])} = \varphi|_{\varphi^{-1}([0, c])}, \quad \xi|_{\psi^{-1}([d, 1])} = \psi|_{\psi^{-1}([d, 1])}$$

and

$$\xi(X \setminus (\varphi^{-1}([0, c]) \cup \psi^{-1}([d, 1]))) \subset [c, d].$$

Then we have

$$\xi \wedge c_X = \varphi \wedge c_X \quad \text{and} \quad \xi \vee d_X = \psi \vee d_X.$$

Since  $\mu$  is  $\wedge$ -homogeneous, we have

$$\mu(\xi) \wedge c = \mu(\xi \wedge c_X) = \mu(\varphi \wedge c_X) = \mu(\varphi) \wedge c = b \wedge c = b,$$

what implies  $\mu(\xi) = b$ .

On the other hand, using  $\vee$ -homogeneity of  $\mu$  we obtain

$$\mu(\xi) \vee d = \mu(\xi \vee d_X) = \mu(\psi \vee d_X) = \mu(\psi) \vee d = a \vee d = a,$$

what implies  $\mu(\xi) = a$ . We have a contradiction.  $\square$

So, we obtain a further simplification.

**Theorem 1.** *A functional  $\mu : C(X, [0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is  $\vee$ -homogeneous and  $\wedge$ -homogeneous if and only if there exists a unique capacity  $\nu$  such that  $\mu$  is the Sugeno integral with respect to  $\nu$ .*

We will show that we can not generalize the above theorem for any t-norm, moreover we can not even generalize above mentioned results from [9] and [10] for any t-norm. Let us formulate it more precisely. Let  $*$  be a continuous t-norm. Denote for a compactum  $X$  by  $\mathcal{T}_1^*(X)$  the set of monotone  $\vee$ -homogeneous and  $*$ -homogeneous functionals  $\mu : C(X, [0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . The following question arises naturally: if  $\mu \in \mathcal{T}_1^*(X)$  implies that there exists a unique capacity  $\nu$  such that  $\mu$  is the t-normed integral with respect to  $\nu$ ? We answer this question in negative in the next section building a functional  $\mu \in \mathcal{T}_1^*(\{1, 2, 3\}) \setminus \mathcal{T}(\{1, 2, 3\})$ , where  $\cdot$  is usual multiplication on  $[0, 1]$ .

3. AN EXAMPLE OF NORMED MONOTONE  $\cdot$ -HOMOGENEOUS AND  
 $\vee$ -HOMOGENEOUS FUNCTIONAL WHICH DOES NOT PRESERVE  $\vee$  FOR  
COMMONOTONE FUNCTIONS.

The main aim of this section is to build a functional  $\mu \in \mathcal{T}_1(\{1, 2, 3\}) \setminus \mathcal{T}(\{1, 2, 3\})$ , where  $\cdot$  is usual multiplication on  $[0, 1]$ .

We will develop some technique of extending of monotone  $\cdot$ -homogeneous and  $\vee$ -homogeneous functionals defined on some subsets of  $C(X, [0, 1])$  for a compactum  $X$ . By  $C_0$  we denote the subset of  $C(X, [0, 1])$  consisting of all constant functions.

**Definition 2.** A subset  $A \subset C(X, [0, 1])$  is called a  $(\vee, \cdot)$ -subspace of  $C(X, [0, 1])$  if  $C_0 \subset A$  and  $c_X \vee \psi \in A$ ,  $c_X \cdot \psi \in A$  for each  $c \in [0, 1]$  and  $\psi \in A$ .

We will use simpler denotations  $c \vee \psi$ ,  $c \cdot \psi$  instead  $c_X \vee \psi$ ,  $c_X \cdot \psi$  and  $c \leq (\geq) \psi$  instead  $c_X \leq (\geq) \psi$  in the following.

The following theorem can be considered as partial idempotent version of Hahn-Banach Theorem and the proof uses the main idea of the proof of Hahn-Banach Theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mu : A \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a normed monotone  $\cdot$ -homogeneous and  $\vee$ -homogeneous functional where  $A$  is a  $(\vee, \cdot)$ -subspace of  $C(X, [0, 1])$ . Then there exists a normed monotone  $\cdot$ -homogeneous and  $\vee$ -homogeneous functional  $\mu_1 : C(X, [0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\mu_1|_A = \mu$ .

*Proof.* Consider any  $(\vee, \cdot)$ -subspace  $A$  of  $C(X, [0, 1])$  and a normed monotone  $\cdot$ -homogeneous and  $\vee$ -homogeneous functional  $\mu : A \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Let  $\varphi \in C(X, [0, 1])$ . Put  $A' = \{d \vee (c \cdot \varphi) \mid d, c \in [0, 1]\} \cup A$ . It is easy to check that  $A'$  is a  $(\vee, \cdot)$ -subspace of  $C(X, [0, 1])$ . We will build a normed monotone  $\cdot$ -homogeneous and  $\vee$ -homogeneous functional  $\mu' : A' \rightarrow [0, 1]$  which is an extension of  $\mu$ .

Define a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  as follows

$$H = \{c^{-1} \cdot \mu(\psi) \mid c \in (0, 1] \text{ and } \psi \in A \text{ such that } \psi \geq c \cdot \varphi\}.$$

Put  $a = \inf H$ . It is easy to check that  $a \in [0, 1]$ .

Define a functional  $\mu' : A' \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows  $\mu'(\psi) = \mu(\psi)$  for each  $\psi \in A$  and  $\mu'(d \vee (c \cdot \varphi)) = d \vee (c \cdot a)$  for  $d, c \in [0, 1]$ . It is easy to see that  $\mu'$  is a normed  $\cdot$ -homogeneous and  $\vee$ -homogeneous functional.

Let us show that  $\mu'$  is monotone. It is obvious for a pair of functions from  $A$ . It is easy to check for a pair of functions from  $\{d \vee (c \cdot \varphi) \mid d, c \in [0, 1]\}$ .

Now, consider any  $\psi \in A$  and  $d, c \in [0, 1]$  such that  $d \vee (c \cdot \varphi) \leq \psi$ . We can assume that  $c > 0$ . We have  $d \leq \psi$  and  $c \cdot \varphi \leq \psi$ . We also have  $c^{-1} \cdot \mu(\psi) \geq a$  by the choice of  $a$ . Since  $\mu$  is monotone on  $A$  and  $C_0 \subset A$ , we have  $\mu(\psi) \geq d$ . Hence  $\mu'(\psi) = \mu(\psi) \geq d \vee (c \cdot a) = \mu'(d \vee (c \cdot \varphi))$ .

Conversely, consider any  $\psi \in A$  and  $d, c \in [0, 1]$  such that  $d \vee (c \cdot \varphi) \geq \psi$ . We also can assume that  $c > 0$ . Suppose the contrary  $d \vee (c \cdot a) < \mu(\psi)$ . Since  $a = \inf\{c^{-1} \cdot \mu(\psi) \mid c \in (0, 1] \text{ and } \psi \in A \text{ such that } \psi \geq c \cdot \varphi\} < \mu(\psi)$  and  $a < c^{-1} \mu(\psi)$ , we can choose  $\xi \in A$  such that  $\xi \geq c \cdot \varphi$  and  $c^{-1} \cdot \mu(\xi) < c^{-1} \cdot \mu(\psi)$ . Thus we have  $c \cdot a \leq \mu(\xi) < \mu(\psi)$ . Since  $\mu \in A$ , we have  $\mu(d \vee \xi) = d \vee \mu(\xi) < \mu(\psi)$ . On the other hand  $\psi \leq d \vee (c \cdot \varphi) \leq d \vee \xi$  and we obtain a contradiction to the monotonicity of  $\mu$ .

Zorn Lemma implies existence of a normed monotone  $\cdot$ -homogeneous and  $\vee$ -homogeneous functional  $\mu_1 : C(X, [0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\mu_1|_A = \mu$ .  $\square$

Now we are able construct the announced example. By  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$  we denote 3-point space with the discrete topology. Define two functions  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C(X, [0, 1]) = [0, 1]^3$  as follows  $\varphi_1(1) = 0$ ,  $\varphi_1(2) = 1/3$ ,  $\varphi_1(3) = 2/3$  and  $\varphi_2(1) = 1/3$ ,  $\varphi_2(2) = 1/3$ ,

$\varphi_2(3) = 1$ . Put  $\varphi_3 = \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2$ . Then we have  $\varphi_3(1) = 1/3$ ,  $\varphi_3(2) = 1/2$  and  $\varphi_3(3) = 1$ . Let us remark that  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are comonotone.

For  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  define sets  $H_i \subset C(X, [0, 1])$  as follows  $H_i = \{d \vee (c \cdot \varphi_i) \mid d, c \in [0, 1]\}$  and put  $H = \cup_{i=1}^3 H_i$ . It is easy to check that  $H$  is a  $(\vee, \cdot)$ -subspace of  $C(X, [0, 1])$ .

Put  $m_1 = m_2 = 1/3$  and  $m_3 = 1/2$ . Define a functional  $\mu : H \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by the formula  $\mu(d \vee (c \cdot \varphi_i)) = d \vee (c \cdot m_i)$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $d, c \in [0, 1]$ . It is easy to see that  $\mu$  is normed  $\cdot$ -homogeneous and  $\vee$ -homogeneous. Let us show that  $\mu$  is monotone. It is a routine checking for a pair of functions belonging to the same  $H_i$  and we omit it. Let us check it for functions from distinct  $H_i$ .

Let  $\psi_1 \in H_1$  and  $\psi_2 \in H_2$ . Then we have

$$\psi_1 = d_1 \vee (c_1 \cdot \varphi_1), \quad \psi_2 = d_2 \vee (c_2 \cdot \varphi_2)$$

for some  $d_1, d_2, c_1, c_2 \in [0, 1]$  and

$$\mu(\psi_1) = d_1 \vee (c_1/3), \quad \mu(\psi_2) = d_2 \vee (c_2/3).$$

Consider the case when  $\psi_1 \leq \psi_2$ . In particular, we have

$$d_1 \vee (c_1/3) \leq d_1 \vee (c_1/2) = \psi_1(2) \leq \psi_2(2) = d_2 \vee (c_2/3).$$

We obtain  $\mu(\psi_1) \leq \mu(\psi_2)$ .

Conversely, let  $\psi_1 \geq \psi_2$ . In particular, we have

$$d_1 \vee (c_1/3) \geq d_1 = \psi_1(1) \geq \psi_2(1) = d_2 \vee (c_2/3).$$

Hence  $\mu(\psi_1) \geq \mu(\psi_2)$ .

Let  $\psi_1 \in H_1$  and  $\psi_3 \in H_3$ . Then we have

$$\psi_1 = d_1 \vee (c_1 \cdot \varphi_1), \quad \psi_3 = d_3 \vee (c_3 \cdot \varphi_3)$$

for some  $d_1, d_3, c_1, c_3 \in [0, 1]$  and

$$\mu(\psi_1) = d_1 \vee (c_1/3), \quad \mu(\psi_3) = d_3 \vee (c_3/2).$$

Consider the case when  $\psi_1 \leq \psi_3$ . In particular, we have

$$d_1 \vee (c_1/3) \leq d_1 \vee (c_1/2) = \psi_1(2) \leq \psi_3(3) = d_3 \vee (c_3/2).$$

We obtain  $\mu(\psi_1) \leq \mu(\psi_3)$ .

Conversely, let  $\psi_1 \geq \psi_3$ . In particular, we have

$$d_1 = \psi_1(1) \geq \psi_3(1) = d_3 \vee (c_3/3).$$

Suppose the contrary

$$d_1 \vee (c_1/3) < d_3 \vee (c_3/2).$$

The above inequalities imply

$$d_1 < c_3/2 = d_3 \vee (c_3/2).$$

On the other hand we have

$$d_1 \vee (2c_2/3) = \psi_1(3) \geq \psi_3(3) = d_3 \vee c_3 = c_3.$$

Thus, we obtain  $2c_2/3 \geq c_3$  or  $c_2/3 \geq c_3/2$  and we have a contradiction. Hence  $\mu(\psi_1) \geq \mu(\psi_3)$ .

Let  $\psi_2 \in H_2$  and  $\psi_3 \in H_3$ . Then we have

$$\psi_2 = d_2 \vee (c_2 \cdot \varphi_2), \quad \psi_3 = d_3 \vee (c_3 \cdot \varphi_3)$$

for some  $d_2, d_3, c_2, c_3 \in [0, 1]$  and

$$\mu(\psi_2) = d_2 \vee (c_2/3), \quad \mu(\psi_3) = d_3 \vee (c_3/2).$$

Let us remark that

$$\mu(\psi_2) = \psi_2(2), \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\psi_3) = \psi_3(2).$$

Hence  $\psi_2 \leq (\geq) \psi_3$  implies  $\mu(\psi_2) \leq (\geq) \mu(\psi_3)$ .

Thus the functional  $\mu$  is normed  $\wedge$ -homogeneous,  $\vee$ -homogeneous and monotone. But we have

$$\mu(\psi_1) \vee \mu(\psi_2) = 1/3 \neq 1/2 = \mu(\psi_3) = \mu(\psi_1 \vee \psi_2),$$

hence  $\mu$  does not preserve  $\vee$  for comonotone functions. By Theorem 2 we can extend  $\mu : H \rightarrow [0, 1]$  to a normed  $\wedge$ -homogeneous,  $\vee$ -homogeneous and monotone functional  $\mu_1 : C(X, [0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  which does not preserve  $\vee$  for comonotone functions.

#### 4. FUNCTIONALS WHICH PRESERVE $\vee$ FOR COMONOTONE FUNCTIONS.

Another characterization of the Sugeno integral on finite sets was given by Theorem 4.58 in [6]. Let  $X$  be a finite set and  $|X| = n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The characterization theorem is formulated for the Sugeno integral defined on functions from  $C(X, [0, +\infty)) = [0, +\infty)^n$ . Reformulating this theorem for functions from  $C(X, [0, 1]) = [0, 1]^n$  we obtain that a functional  $\mu : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  has the properties

- (1)  $\mu$  preserves  $\vee$  for comonotone functions;
- (2)  $\mu(c_X \wedge \chi_A) = c \wedge \mu(\chi_A)$  for each  $c \in [0, 1]$  and  $A \subset X$  (by  $\chi_A$  we denote the characteristic function of the set  $A$ ).

if and only if there exists a unique capacity  $\nu$  on  $X$  such that  $\mu$  is the Sugeno integral with respect to  $\nu$ .

We can rewrite the proof of Theorem 4.58 from [6] to obtain its generalization.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $X$  be a finite set and  $|X| = n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $*$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm. A functional  $\mu : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  has the properties*

- (1)  $\mu$  preserves  $\vee$  for comonotone functions;
- (2)  $\mu(c_X * \chi_A) = c * \mu(\chi_A)$  for each  $c \in [0, 1]$  and  $A \subset X$  (by  $\chi_A$  we denote the characteristic function of the set  $A$ ).

if and only if there exists a unique capacity  $\nu$  on  $X$  such that  $\mu$  is the  $t$ -normed integral with respect to  $\nu$ .

We do not know if it possible to generalize this theorem for the general case of any compactum.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Luis M. de Campos, María T. Lamata and Serafín Moral *A unified approach to define fuzzy integrals*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **39** (1991), 75–90.
- [2] G. Choquet *Theory of Capacity*, An.l’Instiute Fourie **5** (1953-1954), 13–295.
- [3] D. Denneberg, *Non-Additive Measure and Integral*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1994.
- [4] J.Eichberger, D.Kelsey, *Non-additive beliefs and strategic equilibria*, Games Econ Behav **30** (2000) 183–215.
- [5] I.Gilboa, *Expected utility with purely subjective non-additive probabilities*, J. of Mathematical Economics **16** (1987) 65–88.
- [6] Michel Grabisch, *Set Functions, Games and Capacities in Decision Making*. Springer, 2016.
- [7] E.P.Klement, R.Mesiar and E.Pap. *Triangular Norms*. Dordrecht: Kluwer. 2000.
- [8] Lin Zhou, *Integral representation of continuous comonotonically additive functionals*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **350** (1998) 1811–1822.
- [9] J.-L. Marichal, *On Sugeno integral as an aggregation function*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **114** (2000) 347–365.
- [10] O.R.Nykyforchyn, *The Sugeno integral and functional representation of the monad of lattice-valued capacities*, Topology **48** (2009) 137–148.
- [11] O.R.Nykyforchyn, M.M.Zarichnyi, *Capacity functor in the category of compacta*, Mat.Sb. **199** (2008) 3–26.
- [12] T.Radul, *A functional representation of capacity monad*, Topology **48** (2009) 100–104.
- [13] T.Radul, *Games in possibility capacities with payoff expressed by fuzzy integral*, Fuzzy Sets and systems (2021) in press.

- [14] D.Schmeidler, *Subjective probability and expected utility without additivity*, *Econometrica* **57** (1989) 571–587.
- [15] F. Suarez, *Familias de integrales difusas y medidas de entropia relacionadas*, Thesis, Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo (1983).
- [16] M.Sugeno, *Fuzzy measures and fuzzy integrals*, A survey. In *Fuzzy Automata and Decision Processes*. North-Holland, Amsterdam: M. M. Gupta, G. N. Saridis et B. R. Gaines editeurs. 89–102. 1977
- [17] S. Weber *Decomposable measures and integrals for archimedean  $t$ -conorms*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **101** (1984), 114–138.
- [18] S. Weber *Two integrals and some modified versions - Critical remarks*, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **20** (1986), 97–105.