

Some asymptotic results for the continued fraction expansions with odd partial quotients

Gabriela Ileana Sebe*

*Politehnica University of Bucharest, Faculty of Applied Sciences,
Splaiul Independentei 313, 060042, Bucharest, Romania and
Institute of Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics,
Calea 13 Sept. 13, 050711 Bucharest, Romania and*

Dan Lascu†

Mircea cel Batran Naval Academy, 1 Fulgerului, 900218 Constanta, Romania

August 16, 2022

Abstract

We present and develop different approaches to study the asymptotic behavior of the distribution functions in the odd continued fractions case. Firstly, by considering the transition operator of the Markov chain associated with these expansions on a certain Banach space of complex-valued functions of bounded variation we make a brief survey of the solution in the Gauss-Kuzmin-type problem. Secondly, we use the method of Szűsz to obtain a similar asymptotic result and to give a good estimate of the convergence rate involved.

Keywords: odd continued fractions, Gauss-Kuzmin-type problem

1 Introduction

We define $OCF(x)$ the continued fraction expansions with odd partial quotients of $x \in [0, 1]$ as follows. Let us partition the unit interval $[0, 1]$ into intervals $\left(\frac{1}{2k}, \frac{1}{2k-1}\right]$, for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and $\left(\frac{1}{2k-1}, \frac{1}{2k-2}\right]$, for $k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$, and consider the transformation $T : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by $T(0) = 0$ and

$$T(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{x} \right\rfloor, & x \in \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{2k}, \frac{1}{2k-1} \right] \\ 1 - \left(\frac{1}{x} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{x} \right\rfloor \right), & x \in \bigcup_{k \geq 2} \left(\frac{1}{2k-1}, \frac{1}{2k-2} \right]. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

*e-mail: igsebe@yahoo.com.

†e-mail: lascudan@gmail.com, corresponding author

With auxiliary functions

$$\varepsilon_1(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{2k}, \frac{1}{2k-1} \right], \\ -1, & x \in \bigcup_{k \geq 2} \left(\frac{1}{2k-1}, \frac{1}{2k-2} \right] \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$a_1(x) = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{x} \right\rfloor & x \in \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{2k}, \frac{1}{2k-1} \right], \\ 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{x} \right\rfloor & x \in \bigcup_{k \geq 2} \left(\frac{1}{2k-1}, \frac{1}{2k-2} \right] \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

we arrive at

$$T(x) = \varepsilon_1(x) \left(\frac{1}{x} - a_1(x) \right), \quad x \in (0, 1).$$

Note that

$$T(x) = \varepsilon_1(x) \left(\frac{1}{x} - (2k-1) \right),$$

where $\varepsilon_1(x) = 1$ if $x \in \left(\frac{1}{2k}, \frac{1}{2k-1} \right]$ and $\varepsilon_1(x) = -1$ if $x \in \left(\frac{1}{2k-1}, \frac{1}{2k-2} \right]$. We obtain

$$x = \frac{1}{2k-1 + \varepsilon_1(x)T(x)}$$

and therefore the map T generates the continued fraction

$$x = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{a_2 + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{a_3 + \ddots}}} =: [1/a_1, \varepsilon_1/a_2, \varepsilon_2/a_3, \dots], \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= a_n(x) = a_1(T^{n-1}(x)), \quad \varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_n(x) = \varepsilon_1(T^{n-1}(x)), \quad n \geq 1, \\ \varepsilon_n &\in \{-1, +1\}, \quad a_n \geq 1, \quad a_n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } a_n + \varepsilon_n > 1, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

On the OCF expansion the iterates of the map T act as a shift map by

$$T^n([1/a_1, \varepsilon_1/a_2, \varepsilon_2/a_3, \dots]) = [1/a_{n+1}, \varepsilon_{n+1}/a_{n+2}, \varepsilon_{n+2}/a_{n+3}, \dots].$$

Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} r_n &= r_n(x) = a_n(x) + \varepsilon_n(x)T^n(x) \\ &= a_n + [\varepsilon_n/a_{n+1}, \varepsilon_{n+1}/a_{n+2}, \varepsilon_{n+2}/a_{n+3}, \dots], \quad n \geq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

which yields

$$r_n = a_n + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{r_{n+1}}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (1.7)$$

The rational approximants to x arise in a manner similar to that in the case of other continued fraction algorithms. However, the OCF case is the most intricate,

because the sequence of denominators of successive convergents in $\text{OCF}(x)$ is not necessarily increasing as in the regular continued fractions (RCF) or in the continued fractions with even partial quotients (ECF) cases.

Let us define

$$p_{-1} = 1, p_0 = 0, p_n = a_n p_{n-1} + \varepsilon_{n-1} p_{n-2}, \quad (1.8)$$

$$q_{-1} = 0, q_0 = 1, q_n = a_n q_{n-1} + \varepsilon_{n-1} q_{n-2} \quad (1.9)$$

for $n \geq 1$. The sequence of rationals $\left\{ \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right\}$, $n \geq 1$ are the convergents to x in $[0, 1]$.

The following elementary fundamental relations are satisfied

$$p_{n-1} q_n - p_n q_{n-1} = (-1)^k \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_{n-1} =: \delta_n, \quad (1.10)$$

$$\frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}} - \frac{p_n}{q_n} = \frac{\delta_n}{q_{n-1} q_n}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.11)$$

$$x = \frac{p_n + p_{n-1} \varepsilon_n t_n}{q_n + q_{n-1} \varepsilon_n t_n}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.12)$$

where $t_n = T^n(x)$. Equation (1.12) is equivalent to

$$\varepsilon_n t_n = \frac{q_n x - p_n}{-q_{n-1} x + p_{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (1.13)$$

Upon (1.13) we infer that for any irrational number $x \in [0, 1]$

$$0 < \left| \frac{q_n x - p_n}{-q_{n-1} x + p_{n-1}} \right| < 1, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Let us consider

$$s_0(x) = 0, s_1(x) = \frac{1}{a_1(x)}, s_n(x) = \frac{1}{a_n(x) + \varepsilon_{n-1}(x) s_{n-1}(x)}, \quad n \geq 2 \quad (1.14)$$

which yields

$$s_n = [1/a_n, \varepsilon_{n-1}/a_{n-1}, \varepsilon_{n-2}/a_{n-2}, \dots, \varepsilon_1/a_1]. \quad (1.15)$$

Obviously, $s_n = \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n}$, $n \geq 0$.

The golden ratios $G = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} = 1.6180\dots$ and $g = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} = 0.6180\dots$ will be used often. Without further mention we shall frequently use identities like

$$g + 1 = G, g^2 = 1 - g, G^2 = G + 1, gG = 1, g + 2 = G^2.$$

Denominators of successive convergents for $\text{OCF}(x)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q_n}{q_{n-1}} &= a_n + \varepsilon_{n-1} [1/a_{n-1}, \varepsilon_{n-2}/a_{n-2}, \dots, \varepsilon_1/a_1] \geq \\ &\geq a_n - [1/3, -1/3, \dots, -1/3] \\ &> a_n - [1/3, -1/3, -1/3, \dots] = a_n - 1 + \frac{1}{G} = a_n - 2 + G. \end{aligned}$$

Also, one has

$$\frac{q_n}{q_{n-1}} = a_n + \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{\frac{q_{n-1}}{q_{n-2}}} < a_n + \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{a_{n-1} - 2 + G} \leq a_n + \frac{1}{G-1} = a_n + G.$$

Whatever $n \geq 1$ we have $a_n \geq 3$ if and only if $0 < s_n < g^2$ and $a_n = 1$ if and only if $g^2 < s_n < G$.

Studied by Rieger [9] and Schweiger [10, 11] in the early time, the OCF continue to raise the interest in many ways. Any continued fraction algorithm on $[0, 1]$ generates a natural filtration $\{\mathcal{Y}_n\}$ of $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$, obtained by taking into account the sum of the partial quotients of the rationals. One can consider the simple non-decreasing functions

$$Q_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1], \quad Q_n(x) = \frac{|\{y \in \mathcal{Y}_n : y < x\}|}{|\mathcal{Y}_n| - 1}.$$

For the regular continued fraction, \mathcal{Y}_n is the set of rationals with sum of partial quotients at most n . The limit $Q(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n(x)$ provides an analogue of the Minkowski question mark function. The resulting map Q_O in the situation of continued fractions with odd partial quotients has been introduced and investigated by Zhabitskaya [15]. Actually, the analogue of Minkowski's question mark function related to continued fractions with odd partial quotients $Q_O(x)$ coincides with her $F^0(x)$. Following Zhabitskaya's work [15], Boca and Linden [2] proved that the function Q_O is Hölder continuous with best exponent $\frac{\log \lambda}{2 \log G} \approx 0.63317$, where $\lambda \approx 1.83929$ denotes the unique real root of the equation $x^3 - x^2 - x - 1 = 0$. They also proved that the map Q_O linearizes the odd Gauss and the odd Farey maps.

Boca and Merriman [3] described coding of geodesics on the modular surface \mathcal{M}_O connected to the dynamics of odd continued fractions.

Recently, Boca and Merriman [4] studied an analogue of Nakada's α -continued fraction transformation in the setting of continued fractions with odd partial quotients and described the natural extension of this transformation.

The purpose of this paper is to develop a different approach from [8] and [12] to study the asymptotic behavior of the distribution functions in the OCF case. Based on the finite T -invariant measure on the σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$ of all Borel subsets of $[0, 1]$

$$\rho(A) = \frac{1}{3 \log G} \int_A \left(\frac{1}{x + G - 1} - \frac{1}{x - G - 1} \right) dx, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]} \quad (1.16)$$

introduced by Schweiger [10], Kalpazidou [6] investigated the ergodic behavior of a certain homogeneous random system with complete connections (RSCC). In fact, it was proved that this RSCC is uniformly ergodic and its associated transition operator under the invariant measure ρ is regular with respect to the Banach space of Lipschitz functions. These results allowed to find the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(r_n > t) = \ell$ for a given non-atomic measure μ on $\mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$ and to estimate the error $\mu(r_n > t) - \ell$.

On the other hand, Popescu [7, 8] studied the $[0, G]$ -valued Markov chain $\{s_n\}$, $n \geq 1$ and its associated RSCC and solved a variant of the Gauss-Kuzmin problem.

Sebe [12] solved a Gauss-Kuzmin-type problem for the OCF expansion by considering the transition operator of the Markov chain $\{s_n\}$, $n \geq 1$ as an operator on a certain Banach space of complex-valued functions of bounded variation. It was

also obtained an improvement of the result given in [8] concerning the convergence rate. It should be said that Wirsing's method [14] cannot be applied in this case because the corresponding transition operator is not positive.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the definitions and present preliminary results. We also make a brief survey of the method used in [12].

In Section 3, to continue our investigation on the asymptotic behavior of the distribution functions of the map T , we use an approach in the spirit of Szűs [13]. We mention that using the method of Szűs to prove a Gauss-Kuzmin-type theorem for OCF expansions, we obtain a very good estimate of the convergence rate involved.

2 Preliminary results

2.1 The random system with complete connections associated with the sequence $\{s_n\}$

In the sequel we present some investigations on the sequence $\{s_n\}$, $n \geq 1$ studied in detail in [7].

Denoting by $E_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^{1j_1 \dots j_{n-1}}$ the set of irrational numbers

$$x = [1/a_1(x), \varepsilon_1/a_2(x), \varepsilon_2/a_3(x), \dots] \in [0, 1]$$

for which $a_\ell(x) = i_\ell$, $1 \leq \ell \leq n$, $\varepsilon_k(x) = j_k$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, with $j_k = \pm 1$, $i_k + j_k > 1$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, $i_\ell \geq 1$, $i_\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $1 \leq \ell \leq n$, $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\lambda \left(r_{n+1} > t, \varepsilon_n = e \mid E_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^{1j_1 \dots j_{n-1}} \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - s_n^2}{2(t + es_n)}, & i_n \neq 1, e = \pm 1, \\ 0, & i_n = 1, e = -1, \\ \frac{1 + s_n}{t + s_n}, & i_n = 1, e = 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $t \geq 1$, $n \geq 1$, and λ is the Lebesgue measure (see [7]). Also, since $r_1(x) = \frac{1}{x}$, we have

$$\lambda \left(r_1(x) > t \right) = \lambda \left(\left[0, \frac{1}{t} \right] \right) = \frac{1}{t}.$$

As is well-known (see [7]) the sequence $\{s_n\}$, $n \geq 1$ is a W -valued Markov chain with transition probability function Q defined as

$$Q(w, B) = \sum_{\{(e,i):u(w(e,i)) \in B\}} P(w(e,i)), \quad w \in W, B \in \mathcal{W},$$

where $W = [0, G]$, $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{B}_{[0,G]}$ the collection of all Borel subsets of W , $X = \{-1, 1\} \times \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$, \mathcal{X} the collection of all subsets of X ,

$$u(w, (-1, i)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{i - w}, & w \in [0, g^2) =: W_1, \\ \frac{1}{i - g^2}, & w \in [g^2, G] =: W_2, \end{cases}, \quad u(w, (1, i)) = \frac{1}{i + w}, \quad w \in W,$$

$$P(w, (e, i)) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-w^2)(2-\delta(i,1))}{2(i-1+\delta(i,1)+ew)(i+1+ew)}, & w \in W_1, \\ \frac{(1+w)(2-\delta(i,1))\delta(e,1)}{(i-1+\delta(i,1)+w)(i+1+w)}, & w \in W_2, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

for all $i \geq 1$, $i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $e = \pm 1$, where δ is the Kronecker's symbol.

According to the general theory [5]

$$((W, \mathcal{W}), (X, \mathcal{X}), u, P) \quad (2.3)$$

is the random system with complete connections (RSCC) associated with the sequence $\{s_n\}$, $n \geq 1$. Let Q^n , $n \geq 1$, be the n -step transition probability function associated with Q . The stationary probability Q^∞ for $\{s_n\}$, $n \geq 1$ is given by

$$Q^\infty(B) = \int_B d\xi(w), \quad B \in \mathcal{W}, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\xi(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3 \log G} \log \frac{1+w}{1-w}, & w \in W_1, \\ \frac{1}{3 \log G} \log \frac{1+w}{1-g^2}, & w \in W_2. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Therefore, for any $B \in \mathcal{B}_{[0,G]}$ we have

$$\int_W Q^\infty(dw) Q(w, B) = Q^\infty(B). \quad (2.6)$$

2.2 An operatorial treatment

Let us consider the transition operator U associated with RSCC (2.3) which is defined as

$$Uf(w) = \sum_{(e,i) \in X} P(w, (e, i)) f(u(w, (e, i))), \quad w \in W,$$

for any $f \in B(W)$ (= the Banach space of bounded measurable complex-valued functions f on W under the supremum norm $|f| = \sup_{w \in W} |f(w)|$). Note that U is also the transition operator of the Markov chain $\{s_n\}$, $n \geq 1$ and we have

$$Uf(w) = \int_W Q(w, dw') f(w')$$

which implies that

$$U^n f(w) = \int_W Q^n(w, dw') f(w'), \quad w \in W, n \geq 1.$$

The basic idea is to consider U as an operator on $BV(W)$ (=the Banach space of all complex-valued functions f of bounded variation on W under the norm $\|f\|_v =$

$\text{var}f + |f|$). Remember that the variation $\text{var}_A f$ over $A \subset W$ of $f \in B(W)$ is defined as

$$\sup \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |f(t_i) - f(t_{i+1})|$$

the supremum being taken over all $t_1 < \dots < t_k \in A$ and $k \geq 2$. We write simply $\text{var}f$ for $\text{var}_W f$ and, if $\text{var}f < \infty$, then f is called a function of bounded variation.

We recall two elementary results obtained in [12].

Proposition 2.1. *For any $f \in BV(W)$ we have*

$$\text{var}Uf \leq \theta_1 \text{var}f + \theta_2 |f|, \quad (2.7)$$

where θ_1 and θ_2 are positive constants such that $\theta_1 = 0.4270508\dots$ and $\theta_2 \leq 0.396312\dots$

Corollary 2.2. *There exists a positive constant $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2 \leq 0.8233628\dots$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in BV(W)$ we have*

$$\text{var}U^n f \leq \theta^n \cdot \text{var}f, \quad (2.8)$$

$$|U^n f - U^\infty f| \leq \theta^n \cdot \text{var}f, \quad (2.9)$$

where $U^\infty f = \int_W f(w)Q^\infty(dw)$.

Let us define two functions

$$F_n(x, e) := \lambda \left(r_{n+k+1} > \frac{1}{x}, \varepsilon_{n+k} = e \left| E_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}^{1j_1 \dots j_{k-1}} \right. \right) \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$F(x, e) := \int_{W_1} \frac{(1-y^2)x}{2(1+yx)} Q^\infty(dy) + \int_{W_2} \frac{(1+y)\delta(e, 1)x}{1+yx} Q^\infty(dy) \quad (2.11)$$

for $x \in [0, 1]$, $e = \pm 1$, $n > 0$ and $k \geq 1$.

The Corollary 2.2 allows us to solve a Gauss-Kuzmin-type problem, namely to obtain the asymptotic behavior as $n \rightarrow \infty$ of the distribution function F_n and estimate the convergence rate. In fact, we show that for all $n \geq 1$, $x \in [0, 1]$, $e = \pm 1$, we have

$$|F_n(x, e) - F(x, e)| \leq \theta^n.$$

Our convergence rate, $\mathcal{O}(\theta^n)$, with $\theta \leq 0.8233628\dots < 0.854120\dots$, is better than the one obtained in [8]. To proceed, by (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_0(x, e) &= \lambda \left(r_{k+1} > \frac{1}{x}, \varepsilon_k = e \left| E_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}^{1j_1 \dots j_{k-1}} \right. \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{(1-s_k^2)x}{2(1+es_k x)}, & s_k \in W_1, e = \pm 1, \\ 0, & s_k \in W_2, e = -1, \\ \frac{(1+s_k)x}{1+s_k x}, & s_k \in W_2, e = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Note that we also have

$$F_0(x, e) = \begin{cases} \int_{W_1} \frac{(1-y^2)x}{2(1+yx)} dG_0(y) + \int_{W_2} \frac{(1+y)x}{1+yx} dG_0(y), & e = 1, \\ \int_{W_1} \frac{(1-y^2)x}{2(1-yx)} dG_0(y), & e = -1, \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

with

$$G_0(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & y \leq s_k, \\ 1, & y > s_k, \end{cases} \quad y \in W. \quad (2.14)$$

Now, for any $n \geq 1$, by (2.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(x, e) &= \sum \lambda \left(r_{n+k+1} > \frac{1}{x}, \varepsilon_{n+k} = e, \varepsilon_{n+k-1} = j_n, \right. \\ &\quad \left. a_{n+k} = i_n, \dots, \varepsilon_k = j_1, a_{k+1} = i_1 \mid E_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}^{1j_1 \dots j_{k-1}} \right) \\ &= \sum \lambda \left(r_{n+k+1} > \frac{1}{x}, \varepsilon_{n+k} = e \mid E_{i_1 \dots i_k, i'_1 \dots i'_n}^{1 \dots j_{k-1}, j'_1 \dots j'_n} \right) \\ &\quad \times \lambda \left(\varepsilon_{n+k-1} = j'_n, a_{n+k} = i'_n, \dots, \varepsilon_k = j'_1, a_{k+1} = i'_1 \mid E_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{1 \dots j_{k-1}} \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \int_{W_1} \frac{(1-y^2)x}{2(1+yx)} dG_n(y) + \int_{W_2} \frac{(1+y)x}{1+yx} dG_n(y), & e = 1, \\ \int_{W_1} \frac{(1-y^2)x}{2(1-yx)} dG_n(y), & e = -1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where the sums are taken over all $i'_1, \dots, i'_n \geq 1$ and $j'_\ell = \pm 1$, $1 \leq \ell \leq n$, for which $i'_\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $i'_k + j'_k > 1$, $1 \leq \ell \leq n$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, and

$$G_n(y) = G_n \left(y, E_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}^{1j_1 \dots j_{k-1}} \right) = Q^n(s_k, [0, y]), \quad y \in W, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Let us define $G^\infty(y) = Q^\infty([0, y])$, $y \in W$.

Theorem 2.3. *For all $n \geq 1$, $x \in [0, 1]$, $e = \pm 1$, $\theta \leq 0.8233628\dots$ and $y \in W$ we have*

$$|F_n(x, e) - F(x, e)| \leq \theta^n, \quad (2.15)$$

$$|G_n(y) - G^\infty(y)| \leq \theta^n. \quad (2.16)$$

Proof. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|F_n(x, 1) - F(x, 1)| \\ &= \left| \int_{W_1} \frac{(1-y^2)x}{2(1+yx)} d(G_n(y) - G^\infty(y)) + \int_{W_2} \frac{(1+y)x}{1+yx} d(G_n(y) - G^\infty(y)) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{W_1} |G_n(y) - G^\infty(y)| \frac{x^2 + 2xy + x^2y^2}{2(1+yx)^2} dy \\ &\quad + \int_{W_2} |G_n(y) - G^\infty(y)| \frac{x(1-x)}{(1+yx)^2} dy + |G_n(g^2) - G^\infty(g^2)| \frac{5g^2x}{2(1+g^2x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$|F_n(x, -1) - F(x, -1)| = \left| \int_{W_1} \frac{(1-y^2)x}{2(1-yx)} d(G_n(y) - G^\infty(y)) \right|. \quad (2.17)$$

If $0 < x \leq \frac{2g^2}{g^4+1}$, then (2.17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} |F_n(x, -1) - F(x, -1)| &\leq \int_0^{\frac{1-\sqrt{1-x^2}}{x}} |G_n(y) - G^\infty(y)| \frac{x(xy^2 + x - 2y)}{2(1-yx^2)} dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\frac{1-\sqrt{1-x^2}}{x}}^{g^2} |G_n(y) - G^\infty(y)| \frac{x(-xy^2 - x + 2y)}{2(1-yx^2)} dy \\ &\quad + |G_n(g^2) - G^\infty(g^2)| \frac{(1-g^4)x}{2(1-g^2x)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

And if $\frac{2g^2}{g^4+1} < x \leq 1$, then (2.17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} |F_n(x, -1) - F(x, -1)| &\leq \int_{W_1} |G_n(y) - G^\infty(y)| \frac{x(xy^2 + x - 2y)}{2(1-yx)^2} dy \\ &\quad + |G_n(g^2) - G^\infty(g^2)| \frac{(1-g^4)x}{2(1-g^2x)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Now, for all $y \in W$ we have

$$|G_n(y) - G^\infty(y)| = |Q^n(s_k, [0, y]) - Q^\infty([0, y])| = |U^n f_y(s_k) - U^\infty f_y|, \quad (2.20)$$

where U is the transition operator of the Markov chain $\{s_n\}$, $n \geq 1$, $U^\infty f = \int_W f(w) Q^\infty(dw)$, and f_y is a function defined on W as

$$f_y(w) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq w \leq y, \\ 0, & y < w \leq G. \end{cases} \quad (2.21)$$

Hence, by (2.9), for all $y \in W$ and $n > 0$ we obtain

$$|U^n f_y(s_k) - U^\infty f_y| \leq \theta^n \text{var } f_y = \theta^n. \quad (2.22)$$

It follows that for all $y \in W$ and $n > 0$ we have (2.16). Now, we can obtain a good estimate of $|F_n(x, e) - F(x, e)|$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} &|F_n(x, e) - F(x, e)| \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \theta^n \sup \left(\frac{xy(x^2 + 2x + 7g + 3)}{2(1+g^2x)(1+Gx)} \right), & e = 1, \\ \theta^n \sup \left(\frac{2(1-\sqrt{1-x^2})}{x} - \frac{x}{2} \right), & e = -1 \text{ and } 0 < x \leq \frac{2g^2}{g^4+1}, \\ \theta^n \sup \left(\frac{x(g^2x + 1 - 2g^4)}{2(1-g^2x)} \right), & e = -1 \text{ and } \frac{2g^2}{g^4+1} < x \leq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

As is easy to see, the supremum does not exceed 1, so that we get (2.15). \square

3 A new solution of the Gauss-Kuzmin-type problem

Let μ be a non-atomic probability measure on $\mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$ and define:

$$H_0(x) := \mu([0, x]), \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad (3.1)$$

$$H_n(x) := \mu(r_{n+1}^{-1} < x), \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad n \geq 1 \quad (3.2)$$

where r_n is as in (1.6). Then the following holds.

Theorem 3.1. *Let r_n and H_n be as in (1.6) and (3.2). Then there exists a constant $0 < \eta < 1$ such that H_n can be written as*

$$H_n(x) = \frac{1}{3 \log G} \log \frac{(G+1)(G-1+x)}{(G-1)(G+1-x)} + \mathcal{O}(\eta^n) \quad (3.3)$$

uniformly with respect to $x \in [0, 1]$.

To prove Theorem 3.1 we need the following results.

Lemma 3.2. *For functions $\{H_n\}$ in (3.2), the following Gauss-Kuzmin-type equation holds:*

$$H_{n+1}(x) = \sum_{(i,\varepsilon)} \varepsilon \left(H_n \left(\frac{1}{i} \right) - H_n \left(\frac{1}{i + \varepsilon x} \right) \right) \quad (3.4)$$

for $x \in [0, 1]$ and $n > 0$. Here (i, ε) denotes that $i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $|\varepsilon| = 1$ and $i + \varepsilon > 1$.

Proof. From (1.7) and (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{n+1}(x) &= \mu(r_{n+2}^{-1} < x, \varepsilon_{n+1} = 1) + \mu(r_{n+2}^{-1} < x, \varepsilon_{n+1} = -1) \\ &= \sum_{i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}} \mu \left(\frac{1}{i+x} < r_{n+1}^{-1} < \frac{1}{i} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, i \neq 1} \mu \left(\frac{1}{i} < r_{n+1}^{-1} < \frac{1}{i-x} \right) \\ &= \sum_{(i,\varepsilon)} \varepsilon \left(H_n \left(\frac{1}{i} \right) - H_n \left(\frac{1}{i + \varepsilon x} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.3. *Assume that for some $p > 0$, the derivative H'_p exists everywhere in $[0, 1]$ and is bounded. Then it is easy to see by induction that H'_{p+n} exists and is bounded for all $n \geq 1$. This allows us to differentiate (3.4) term by term, obtaining*

$$H'_{n+1}(x) = \sum_{(i,\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{(i + \varepsilon x)^2} H'_n \left(\frac{1}{i + \varepsilon x} \right). \quad (3.5)$$

We introduce functions $\{h_n\}$ as follows:

$$h_n(x) := \frac{H'_n(x)}{(x+G-1)^{-1} - (x-G-1)^{-1}}, \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad n > 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Then (3.5) is

$$h_{n+1}(x) = (G^2 - (1 - x^2)) \sum_{(i,\varepsilon)} V(x, (i, \varepsilon)) h_n \left(\frac{1}{i + \varepsilon x} \right), \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$V(x, (i, \varepsilon)) = \frac{1}{((G-1)(i + \varepsilon x) + 1)((G+1)(i + \varepsilon x) - 1)}. \quad (3.8)$$

Lemma 3.4. For $\{h_n\}$ in (3.6), define $M_n := \max_{x \in [0,1]} |h'_n(x)|$. Then

$$M_{n+1} \leq \eta \cdot M_n, \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$\eta := 4g \sum_{i=1,3,\dots} \frac{1}{(G+i)i(i+2)}. \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. Before we derive (3.7), we bring it to a convenient form. First,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{n+1}(x) &= (G^2 - (1 - x^2)) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{i=1,3,\dots} V(x, (i, 1)) h_n \left(\frac{1}{i+x} \right) + \sum_{i=3,5,\dots} V(x, (i, -1)) h_n \left(\frac{1}{i-x} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Since $\frac{1}{G-1} = G$ and $\frac{1}{G+1} = 2 - G$, then

$$\begin{aligned} V(x, (i, 1)) &= \frac{1}{G^2 - 1} \frac{1}{(i+x+G)(i+x+G-2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2(G^2 - 1)} \left(\frac{1}{i-2+x+G} - \frac{1}{i+x+G} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$V(x, (i, -1)) = \frac{1}{2(G^2 - 1)} \left(\frac{1}{i-2-x+G} - \frac{1}{i-x+G} \right).$$

Therefore, (3.11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} h_{n+1}(x) &= \frac{(G^2 - (1 - x^2))}{2(G^2 - 1)} \left(\sum_{i=1,3,\dots} \left(\frac{1}{i-2+x+G} - \frac{1}{i+x+G} \right) h_n \left(\frac{1}{i+x} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=3,5,\dots} \left(\frac{1}{i-2-x+G} - \frac{1}{i-x+G} \right) h_n \left(\frac{1}{i-x} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by calculus we have

$$h'_{n+1}(x) = \frac{g}{2} \{2(1-x)(S_1 + S_2) + (G^2 - (1-x)^2)(S_3 + S_4 - S_5 + S_6)\} \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$S_1 := \sum_{i=1,3,\dots} \left(\frac{1}{i-2+x+G} - \frac{1}{i+x+G} \right) h_n \left(\frac{1}{i+x} \right), \quad (3.13)$$

$$S_2 := \sum_{i=3,5,\dots} \left(\frac{1}{i-2-x+G} - \frac{1}{i-x+G} \right) h_n \left(\frac{1}{i-x} \right), \quad (3.14)$$

$$S_3 := \sum_{i=1,3,\dots} \left(\frac{1}{(i+x+G)^2} - \frac{1}{(i-2+x+G)^2} \right) h_n \left(\frac{1}{i+x} \right), \quad (3.15)$$

$$S_4 := \sum_{i=3,5,\dots} \left(\frac{1}{(i-2-x+G)^2} - \frac{1}{(i-x+G)^2} \right) h_n \left(\frac{1}{i-x} \right), \quad (3.16)$$

$$S_5 := \sum_{i=1,3,\dots} \left(\frac{1}{i-2+x+G} - \frac{1}{i+x+G} \right) h'_n \left(\frac{1}{i+x} \right) \frac{1}{(i+x)^2}, \quad (3.17)$$

$$S_6 := \sum_{i=3,5,\dots} \left(\frac{1}{i-2-x+G} - \frac{1}{i-x+G} \right) h'_n \left(\frac{1}{i-x} \right) \frac{1}{(i-x)^2}. \quad (3.18)$$

But,

$$S_1 = \frac{h_n \left(\frac{1}{x+1} \right)}{x+G-1} - \sum_{i=1,3,\dots} \frac{2}{(x+G+i)(x+i)(x+i+2)} h'_n(\alpha_i), \quad (3.19)$$

$$S_2 = \frac{h_n \left(\frac{1}{3-x} \right)}{-x+G+1} - \sum_{i=3,5,\dots} \frac{2}{(i-x+G)(i+2-x)(i-x)} h'_n(\beta_i), \quad (3.20)$$

$$S_3 := \frac{-h_n \left(\frac{1}{x+1} \right)}{(x+G-1)^2} + \sum_{i=1,3,\dots} \frac{2}{(x+G+i)^2(x+i)(x+i+2)} h'_n(\alpha_i), \quad (3.21)$$

$$S_4 = \frac{h_n \left(\frac{1}{3-x} \right)}{(-x+G+1)^2} - \sum_{i=3,5,\dots} \frac{2}{(i-x+G)^2(i+2-x)(i-x)} h'_n(\beta_i), \quad (3.22)$$

where $\frac{1}{x+i+2} < \alpha_i < \frac{1}{x+i}$ and $\frac{1}{i+2-x} < \beta_i < \frac{1}{i-x}$. From (3.19)-(3.22) and

(3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h'_{n+1}(x) = & g \left\{ -h'_n(\gamma) \frac{1-x}{(x+1)(3-x)} \right. \\
& - \sum_{i=1,3,\dots} \frac{2(1-x)}{(x+G+i)(x+i)(x+i+2)} h'_n(\alpha_i) \\
& - \sum_{i=3,5,\dots} \frac{2(1-x)}{(i-x+G)(i+2-x)(i-x)} h'_n(\beta_i) \\
& + (G^2 - (1-x)^2) \left(\sum_{i=1,3,\dots} \frac{1}{(x+G+i)^2(x+i)(x+i+2)} h'_n(\alpha_i) \right. \\
& \left. - \sum_{i=3,5,\dots} \frac{1}{(i-x+G)^2(i+2-x)(i-x)} h'_n(\beta_i) - \frac{1}{2}S_5 + \frac{1}{2}S_6 \right) \left. \right\}, \tag{3.23}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{3-x} < \gamma < \frac{1}{x+1}$. Now, for $x = 1$ we have $\alpha_i = \beta_{i+2}$, and then $h'_{n+1}(1) = 0$. By the Mean Value Theorem, we know there exists at least one $c \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$h'_{n+1}(1) - h'_{n+1}(0) = h''_{n+1}(c), \tag{3.24}$$

i.e., $-h'_{n+1}(0) = h''_{n+1}(c)$. If $h'_{n+1}(0) < 0$, then $h''_{n+1}(c) > 0$. Since $h'_{n+1} \neq 0$ on $(0, 1)$ and h'_{n+1} is increasing in a neighbourhood of c , it follows that h'_{n+1} is increasing on $[0, 1]$. Similarly, if $h'_{n+1}(0) > 0$, then $h''_{n+1}(c) < 0$, and it follows that h'_{n+1} is non-increasing on $[0, 1]$. In both cases it results that

$$M_{n+1} = \max_{x \in [0,1]} |h'_{n+1}(x)| = |h'_{n+1}(0)|. \tag{3.25}$$

For, $x = 0$, we have $\alpha_i = \beta_i$, $\gamma = \alpha_1$ and we obtain

$$h'_{n+1}(0) = -4 \cdot g \cdot \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots} \frac{h'_n(\alpha_i)}{(G+i)i(i+2)}. \tag{3.26}$$

Thus,

$$M_{n+1} \leq 4 \cdot g \cdot M_n \cdot \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots} \frac{1}{(G+i)i(i+2)} \tag{3.27}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. For $\{H_n\}$ in (3.2), we introduce a function $R_n(x)$ such that

$$H_n(x) = \frac{1}{3 \log G} \log \frac{(G+1)(G-1+x)}{(G-1)(G+1-x)} + R_n(x). \tag{3.28}$$

Because $H_n(0) = 0$ and $H_n(1) = 1$, we have $R_n(0) = R_n(1) = 0$. To prove Theorem 3.1, we have to show the existence of a constant $0 < \eta < 1$ such that

$$R_n(x) = \mathcal{O}(\eta^n). \tag{3.29}$$

For $\{h_n\}$ in (3.6), if we can show that $h_n(x) = \frac{1}{3 \log G} + \mathcal{O}(\eta^n)$, then integrating (3.6) will show (3.3). To demonstrate that $\{h_n\}$ has this desired form, it suffices to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *For any $x \in [0, 1]$ and $n > 0$ there exists a constant $\eta := \eta(x)$ with $0 < \eta < 1$ such that*

$$h'_n(x) = \mathcal{O}(\eta^n). \quad (3.30)$$

Proof. Let η be as in Lemma 3.4. Using this lemma, to show (3.30) it is enough to prove that $\eta < 1$. Calculating the sum of the series involved, we obtain $\eta = 4 \cdot g \cdot 0.150853 = 0.372929$. \square

References

- [1] Abramowitz M., Stegun I.A. (Eds). Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs and Mathematical Tables, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C., 1964.
- [2] Boca F.P., Linden C. On Minkowski type question mark functions associated with even or odd continued fractions, Monatshefte für Mathematik 2018; 187 (1): 35-57. doi.org/10.1007/s00605-018-1205-8
- [3] Boca F.P., Merriman C. Coding of geodesics on some modular surfaces and applications to odd and even continued fractions, Indagationes Mathematicae 2018; 29 (5): 1214-1234. doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2018.05.004
- [4] Boca F.P., Merriman C. α -Expansions with odd partial quotients, Journal of Number Theory 2019; 199 (2019): 322-341. doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2018.11.015
- [5] Iosifescu M., Grigorescu S. Dependence With Complete Connections and its Applications, 2nd edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2009.
- [6] Kalpazidou S. On a problem of Gauss–Kuzmin type for continued fraction with odd partial quotients, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 1986; 123 (1): 103-114.
- [7] Popescu C. Continued fraction with odd partial quotients: and approach in the spirit of Doeblin, Studii și Cercetări Matematice 1997; 49: 107–117.
- [8] Popescu C. On the rate of convergence in Gauss' problem for the continued fraction expansion with odd partial quotients, Studii și Cercetări Matematice 1997; 49: 231-244.
- [9] Rieger G.J. On the metrical theory of continued fractions with odd partial quotients, In: *Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai* **34**, Topics in Classical Number Theory, Budapest, 1981, 1371-1418.
- [10] Schweiger F. Continued fractions with odd and even partial quotients, Arbeitsberichte Mathematisches Institut Universität der Salzburg 1982; 4: 59-70
- [11] Schweiger F. On the approximation by continued fractions with odd and even partial quotients, Arbeitsberichte Mathematisches Institut der Universität Salzburg 1984; 1–2: 105-114.

- [12] Sebe G.I. Gauss' problem for the continued fraction expansion with odd partial quotients revisited , *Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* 2001; 46 (6): 839-852.
- [13] Szűs P. Über einen Kusminschen Satz, *Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae* 1961; 12: 447-453.
- [14] Wirsing E. On the theorem of Gauss-Kusmin-Lévy and a Frobenius-type theorem for function spaces, *Acta Arithmetica* 1974; 24: 507-528.
- [15] Zhabitskaya E.N. Continued fractions with odd partial quotients, *International Journal of Number Theory* 2012; 8 (06): 1541-1556. doi.org/10.1142/S1793042112500947