

Proof of a conjecture on the determinant of walk matrix of rooted product with a path

Wei Wang^a Zhidan Yan^{a*} Lihuan Mao^b

^aSchool of Mathematics, Physics and Finance, Anhui Polytechnic University, Wuhu 241000, China

^bSchool of Mathematics and Data Science, Shaanxi University of Science and Technology, Xi'an 710021, China

Abstract

The walk matrix of an n -vertex graph G with adjacency matrix A , denoted by $W(G)$, is $[e, Ae, \dots, A^{n-1}e]$, where e is the all-ones vector. Let $G \circ P_m$ be the rooted product of G and a rooted path P_m (taking an endvertex as the root), i.e., $G \circ P_m$ is a graph obtained from G and n copies of P_m by identifying each vertex of G with an endvertex of a copy of P_m . Mao-Liu-Wang (2015) and Mao-Wang (2022) proved that, for $m = 2$ and $m \in \{3, 4\}$, respectively

$$\det W(G \circ P_m) = \pm a_0^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} (\det W(G))^m,$$

where a_0 is the constant term of the characteristic polynomial of G . Furthermore, Mao-Wang (2022) conjectured that the formula holds for any $m \geq 2$. In this note, we verify this conjecture using the technique of Chebyshev polynomials.

Keywords: walk matrix; rooted product graph; generalized spectral characterization

AMS Classification: 05C50

1 Introduction

Let G be a simple graph with vertex set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. The *adjacency matrix* of G is the $n \times n$ symmetric matrix $A = (a_{i,j})$, where $a_{i,j} = 1$ if i and j are adjacent; $a_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise. For a graph G , the *walk matrix* of G is

$$W = W(G) := [e, Ae, \dots, A^{n-1}e], \quad (1)$$

where e is the all-ones vector. Note that walk matrices are clearly integral but are usually not symmetric. Compared to general integral matrices, walk matrices of graphs have some special properties. For example, the determinant of any walk matrix of order n is always a multiple of $2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$. This kind of matrices has attracted increasing attention in recent years as many interesting properties of graphs are closely related to the corresponding walk matrices.

*Corresponding author: yanzhidan.math@gmail.com

A typical result is a theorem of Wang [11] which says that any graph G with $2^{-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \det W(G)$ odd and square-free is uniquely determined (up to isomorphism) by its generalized spectrum. Here, the generalized spectrum of a graph G means the spectrum of G together with that of its complement \overline{G} .

In [6], in order to construct graphs that are determined by generalized spectrum, the authors considered the rooted product graph $G \circ P_2$ and proved the following theorem. Throughout this paper, we shall fix a graph G and use a_0 to denote the constant term of the characteristic polynomial of G .

Theorem 1 ([6]).

$$\det W(G \circ P_2) = \pm a_0 (\det W(G))^2.$$

Recently, Mao and Wang [7] extended the above formula to $G \circ P_m$ for $m = 3, 4$. Precisely, they proved:

Theorem 2 ([7]). *For $m = 3$ or 4 ,*

$$\det W(G \circ P_m) = \pm a_0^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} (\det W(G))^m.$$

In the same paper, the authors proposed the following natural conjecture, which (if true) unifies and extends the above two theorems.

Conjecture 1 ([7]). *For any positive integer $m \geq 2$,*

$$\det W(G \circ P_m) = \pm a_0^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} (\det W(G))^m.$$

The main aim of this note is to confirm this conjecture.

Theorem 3. *Conjecture 1 is true.*

The overall strategy of the proof is the same as in [7] which is based on explicit computations of eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Indeed, most derivations in [7] for $m = 3, 4$ can be easily extended to any positive m except some resultant-related computations. A new finding of this note is that most computations involved are closely related to Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. A crucial step is a newly established equality concerning the resultant of two special linear combinations of Chebyshev polynomials (Lemma 6).

2 Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $G \circ P_m$

We always regard the endvertex of P_m as its root vertex. For an n -vertex labelled graph G , the *rooted product graph* $G \circ P_m$, is a graph obtained from G and n copies of P_m by identifying the root vertex of the i -th copy of P_m with the i -th vertex of G for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, see Fig. 1 for an illustration. This is a special case of rooted product graphs $G \circ H$ introduced by Godsil-McKay [2] and Schwenk [9].




Figure 1: Graph G (left) and the rooted product graph $G \circ P_4$ (right).

Definition 1. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $m \times n$ matrix and B a $p \times q$ matrix. The *Kronecker product* $A \otimes B$ is the $pm \times qn$ block matrix:

$$A \otimes B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}B & \cdots & a_{1n}B \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}B & \cdots & a_{mn}B \end{bmatrix}.$$

By appropriately labelling the vertices in $G \circ P_m$, the adjacency matrix $A(G \circ P_m)$ has a nice structure.

Observation 1. $A(G \circ P_m) = A(P_m) \otimes I_n + D_1 \otimes A(G)$, where I_n is the identity matrix of order n and D_1 is the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ of order m .

For a graph G , we use $\phi(G; x)$ to denote the characteristic polynomial of G , i.e., $\phi(G; x) = \det(xI - A(G))$. The roots of $\phi(G; x) = 0$ are called the eigenvalues (spectrum) of G . The following lemma is a special case of decomposition of $\phi(G \circ H, x)$ derived by Schwenk [9] (see also [2, 3]).

Lemma 1. $\phi(G \circ P_m; x) = (\phi(P_{m-1}; x))^n \phi\left(G; \frac{\phi(P_m; x)}{\phi(P_{m-1}; x)}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\phi(P_m; x) - \lambda_i \phi(P_{m-1}; x))$, where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are eigenvalues of G .

Let $U_n(x)$ be the n -th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind, defined by

$$U_n(\cos \theta) = \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta}{\sin \theta}.$$

It is known that $U_n(x)$'s satisfy the three-term recurrence relations: $U_{n+1}(x) = 2xU_n(x) - U_{n-1}(x)$ and the initial conditions: $U_0(x) = 1$ and $U_1(x) = 2x$. Define $S_n(x) = U_n(x/2)$. Then $S_n(x)$ is a monic polynomial with integral coefficients and is referred to as the *renormalized* Chebyshev polynomial [8]. It is well known that $\phi(P_m; x) = S_m(x)$.

Definition 2. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ denote the eigenvalues of G and ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n be the corresponding normalized eigenvector. We use $\mu_i^{(j)}$ ($j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$) to denote all zeroes of $S_m(x) - \lambda_i S_{m-1}(x)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and write

$$\eta_i^{(j)} = \frac{1}{S_{m-1}(\mu_i^{(j)})} \begin{bmatrix} S_{m-1}(\mu_i^{(j)}) \\ S_{m-2}(\mu_i^{(j)}) \\ \vdots \\ S_0(\mu_i^{(j)}) \end{bmatrix} \otimes \xi_i.$$

It should be pointed out that $S_{m-1}(\mu_i^{(j)})$ is never zero, see Corollary 1 in Sect. 3.

Lemma 2. For any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, all roots of $S_m(x) - \lambda_i S_{m-1}(x)$ are simple, i.e., $\mu_i^{(j_1)} \neq \mu_i^{(j_2)}$ for any distinct j_1 and j_2 in $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.

Proof. The roots of the renormalized Chebyshev polynomials $S_m(x)$ and $S_{m-1}(x)$ are $\{a_k = 2 \cos \frac{k\pi}{m+1} : 1 \leq k \leq m\}$ and $\{b_k = 2 \cos \frac{k\pi}{m} : 1 \leq k \leq m-1\}$. Note that $a_1 > b_1 > a_2 > b_2 > \dots > a_{m-1} > b_{m-1} > a_m$. Moreover, as all roots a_k 's are clearly simple, we find that the sequence $S_m(+\infty), S_m(b_1), S_m(b_2), \dots, S_m(b_{m-1}), S_m(-\infty)$ must have alternating signs. Write $f(x) = S_m(x) - \lambda_i S_{m-1}(x)$. Since $S_{m-1}(b_k) = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ and $m-1 = \deg S_{m-1}(x) < \deg S_m(x) = m$, the signs of $S_m(x)$ and $f(x)$ are the same for each $x \in \{b_1, \dots, b_{m-1}\} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$. This means the sequence $f(+\infty), f(b_1), f(b_2), \dots, f(b_{m-1}), f(-\infty)$ also have alternating signs. By intermediate zero theorem for continuous functions, we see that $f(x)$ has at least one root in each of the m intervals: $(-\infty, b_{m-1}), (b_{m-1}, b_{m-2}), \dots, (b_2, b_1)$ and $(b_1, +\infty)$. Since $f(x)$ is a polynomial of degree m , this means all roots of $f(x)$ are simple, as desired. \square

Lemma 3. Let \tilde{A} denote the adjacency matrix of $G \circ P_m$. Then $\tilde{A}\eta_i^{(j)} = \mu_i^{(j)} \eta_i^{(j)}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.

Proof. We fix i and j and write $s_k = S_k(\mu_i^{(j)})$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$) for simplicity. By Observation 1 and some basic properties of the Kronecker product, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}\eta_i^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{s_{m-1}} (A(P_m) \otimes I_n + D_1 \otimes A(G)) ((s_{m-1}, s_{m-2}, \dots, s_0)^T \otimes \xi_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{s_{m-1}} \left((A(P_m) \begin{bmatrix} s_{m-1} \\ s_{m-2} \\ \vdots \\ s_0 \end{bmatrix}) \otimes \xi_i + \begin{bmatrix} s_{m-1} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes (\lambda_i \xi_i) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{s_{m-1}} \left(A(P_m) \begin{bmatrix} s_{m-1} \\ s_{m-2} \\ \vdots \\ s_0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i s_{m-1} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \otimes \xi_i \\ &= \frac{1}{s_{m-1}} \begin{bmatrix} s_{m-2} + \lambda_i s_{m-1} \\ s_{m-3} + s_{m-1} \\ \vdots \\ s_0 + s_2 \\ s_1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \xi_i. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

By Definition 2, we see that $\lambda_i s_{m-1} = s_m$. Noting that $S_1(x) = xS_0(x)$ and $S_k(x) + S_{k+2}(x) = xS_{k+1}$ for any $k \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_{m-2} + \lambda_i s_{m-1} \\ s_{m-3} + s_{m-1} \\ \vdots \\ s_0 + s_2 \\ s_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s_{m-2} + s_m \\ s_{m-3} + s_{m-1} \\ \vdots \\ s_0 + s_2 \\ s_1 \end{bmatrix} = \mu_i^{(j)} \begin{bmatrix} s_{m-1} \\ s_{m-2} \\ \vdots \\ s_1 \\ s_0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Now, Eq. (2) becomes

$$\tilde{A}\eta_i^{(j)} = \frac{1}{s_{m-1}} \mu_i^{(j)} \begin{bmatrix} s_{m-1} \\ s_{m-2} \\ \vdots \\ s_1 \\ s_0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \xi_i = \mu_i^{(j)} \eta_i^{(j)},$$

as desired. \square

3 Resultants of Chebyshev-related polynomials

Definition 3. Let $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$ and $g(x) = b_m x^m + b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + b_1 x + b_0$. The resultant of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, denoted by $\text{Res}_x(f(x), g(x))$, or simply $\text{Res}(f(x), g(x))$, is defined to be

$$a_n^m b_m^n \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m} (\alpha_i - \beta_j),$$

where α_i 's and β_j 's are the roots (in complex field \mathbb{C}) of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, respectively.

We list some basic properties of resultants for convenience.

Lemma 4. Let $f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 = a_n \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \alpha_i)$ and $g(x) = b_m x^m + \dots + b_0 = b_m \prod_{j=1}^m (x - \beta_j)$. Then the followings hold:

- (i) $\text{Res}(f(x), g(x)) = a_n^m \prod_{i=1}^n g(\alpha_i) = (-1)^{mn} b_m^n \prod_{j=1}^m f(\beta_j)$;
- (ii) If $m < n$ then $\text{Res}_x(f(x) + tg(x), g(x)) = \text{Res}_x(f(x), g(x))$ for any $t \in \mathbb{C}$;
- (iii) $\text{Res}_x(f(tx), g(tx)) = t^{mn} \text{Res}_x(f(x), g(x))$ for any $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

We need the following result due to Dilcher and Stolarsky [1], see [4, 5] for different proofs.

Lemma 5 ([1]). For any integer $m \geq 1$,

$$\text{Res}(U_m(x), U_{m-1}(x)) = (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} 2^{m(m-1)}.$$

Corollary 1. For any integers $m, n \geq 1$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\prod_{j=1}^m S_{m-1}(\mu_i^{(j)}) = (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}.$$

Proof. Recall that $S_{m-1}(x) = U_{m-1}(x/2)$ is a monic polynomial and so is $S_m(x) - \lambda_i S_{m-1}(x)$. Since $\mu_i^{(j)}$'s are roots of $S_m(x) - \lambda_i S_{m-1}(x)$, we obtain, by Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^m S_{m-1}(\mu_i^{(j)}) &= \text{Res}(S_m(x) - \lambda_i S_{m-1}(x), S_{m-1}(x)) \\ &= \text{Res}(S_m(x), S_{m-1}(x)) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m(m-1)} \text{Res}(U_m(x), U_{m-1}(x)) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

The following result on the resultant of Chebyshev-related polynomials seems to be new. We guessed this equality during this study and asked for a proof on MathOverflow. We appreciate Terry Tao very much for his elegant proof which we include here.

Lemma 6 ([10]). *For any integer $m \geq 1$ and any complex number t ,*

$$\text{Res}_x \left(U_m(x) + tU_{m-1}(x), \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} U_k(x) \right) = (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} t^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} 2^{m(m-1)}.$$

Proof. Since $U_m(x) + tU_{m-1}(x)$ is of degree m and $\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} U_k(x)$ is of degree $m-1$ with leading coefficient 2^{m-1} , the resultant factors as

$$(-1)^{m(m-1)} 2^{m(m-1)} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (U_m(\beta_j) + tU_{m-1}(\beta_j))$$

where $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-1}$ are zeroes of $\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} U_k(x)$.

Fortunately, these zeroes can be located explicitly using the usual trigonometric addition and subtraction identities. Telescoping the trigonometric identity

$$\sin k\theta = \frac{\cos(k - \frac{1}{2})\theta - \cos(k + \frac{1}{2})\theta}{2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}$$

we conclude that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} U_k(\cos \theta) = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \sum_{k=1}^m \sin k\theta = \frac{\cos \frac{\theta}{2} - \cos(m + \frac{1}{2})\theta}{2 \sin \theta \sin \frac{\theta}{2}} = \frac{\sin \frac{m+1}{2}\theta \sin \frac{m}{2}\theta}{2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}}$$

and so the $m-1 = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor$ zeroes of $\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} U_k(x)$ take the form $\cos \frac{2\pi j}{m+1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$ and $\cos \frac{2\pi j}{m}$ for $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor$.

Since the first class $\cos \frac{2\pi j}{m+1}$ of zeroes are also zeroes of $U_m(x)$, and the second class $\cos \frac{2\pi j}{m}$ are zeroes of $U_{m-1}(x)$, the resultant therefore simplifies to

$$(-1)^{m(m-1)} 2^{m(m-1)} t^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} U_{m-1} \left(\cos \frac{2\pi j}{m+1} \right) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor} U_m \left(\cos \frac{2\pi j}{m} \right).$$

But

$$U_{m-1} \left(\cos \frac{2\pi j}{m+1} \right) = \frac{\sin \frac{2\pi m j}{m+1}}{\sin \frac{2\pi j}{m+1}} = -1$$

and similarly

$$U_m \left(\cos \frac{2\pi j}{m} \right) = \frac{\sin \frac{2\pi(m+1)j}{m}}{\sin \frac{2\pi j}{m}} = +1$$

and the lemma then follows after counting up the signs. \square

Using a similar argument as in the proof of Corollary 1, we obtain the following

Corollary 2. *For any integers $m, n \geq 1$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,*

$$\prod_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} S_k(\mu_i^{(j)}) = (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} (-\lambda_i)^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}.$$

4 Proof of Theorem 3

Lemma 7 ([6]). *Let λ_i be the eigenvalues of G with normalized eigenvector ξ_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then*

$$\det W(G) = \pm \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n} (\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1}) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (e_n^T \xi_i).$$

Definition 4. $S(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} S_k(x)$.

The following equality is straightforward.

Lemma 8. $e_{mn}^T \eta_i^{(j)} = \frac{S(\mu_i^{(j)})}{S_{m-1}(\mu_i^{(j)})} e_n^T \xi_i$.

Let $\tilde{A} = A(G \circ P_m)$. By Lemmas 3 and 8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e_{mn}^T \tilde{A}^k [\eta_1^{(1)}, \dots, \eta_n^{(1)}; \dots; \eta_1^{(m)}, \dots, \eta_n^{(m)}] \\ &= e_{mn}^T [\eta_1^{(1)}, \dots, \eta_n^{(1)}; \dots; \eta_1^{(m)}, \dots, \eta_n^{(m)}] \begin{bmatrix} (\mu_1^{(1)})^k & & & \\ & (\mu_2^{(1)})^k & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & (\mu_n^{(m)})^k \end{bmatrix}_{(mn) \times (mn)} \\ &= [(\mu_1^{(1)})^k, (\mu_2^{(1)})^k, \dots, (\mu_n^{(m)})^k] \begin{bmatrix} \frac{S(\mu_1^{(1)})}{S_{m-1}(\mu_1^{(1)})} e_n^T \xi_1 & & & \\ & \frac{S(\mu_2^{(1)})}{S_{m-1}(\mu_2^{(1)})} e_n^T \xi_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \frac{S(\mu_n^{(m)})}{S_{m-1}(\mu_n^{(m)})} e_n^T \xi_n \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Let D denote the diagonal matrix in Eq. (4). Write $E^{(j)} = [\eta_1^{(j)}, \dots, \eta_n^{(j)}]$ and

$$M^{(j)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \mu_1^{(j)} & \mu_2^{(j)} & \cdots & \mu_n^{(j)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (\mu_1^{(j)})^{mn-1} & (\mu_2^{(j)})^{mn-1} & \cdots & (\mu_n^{(j)})^{mn-1} \end{bmatrix}_{(mn) \times n}.$$

Then, summarizing Eq. (4) for k from 0 to $mn - 1$ leads to

$$(W(G \circ P_m))^T [E^{(1)}, \dots, E^{(m)}] = [M^{(1)}, \dots, M^{(m)}] D. \quad (5)$$

Claim 1: It holds that

$$\det D = a_0^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} e_n^T \xi_i \right)^m,$$

where $a_0 = (-1)^n \det A(G)$, the constant term of characteristic polynomial of G .

By Corollary 1, we obtain

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} S_{m-1}(\mu_i^{(j)}) = (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)n}{2}}.$$

Recall that $S(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} S_k(x)$. We can rewrite Corollary 2 as

$$\prod_{j=1}^m S(\mu_i^{(j)}) = (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} (-\lambda_i)^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}.$$

Noting that $\prod_{i=1}^n (-\lambda_i) = a_0$, we obtain

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m S(\mu_i^{(j)}) = (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)n}{2}} a_0^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}.$$

and hence Claim 1 follows by direct multiplication of all diagonal entries in D .

Claim 2: It holds that

$$\det[M^{(1)}, \dots, M^{(m)}] = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq m} (\mu_i^{(j_2)} - \mu_i^{(j_1)}) \right) \left(\prod_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n} (\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1}) \right)^m.$$

We use co-lexicographical order for Cartesian product $\{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, m\}$. Precisely, $(i_1, j_1) < (i_2, j_2)$ (in co-lexicographical order) if either $j_1 < j_2$, or $j_1 = j_2$ and $i_1 < i_2$.

Write $V = [M^{(1)}, \dots, M^{(m)}]$. Using the familiar formula for a Vandermonde matrix, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \det V &= \prod_{(i_1, j_1) < (i_2, j_2)} (\mu_{i_2}^{(j_2)} - \mu_{i_1}^{(j_1)}) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq m} (\mu_i^{(j_2)} - \mu_i^{(j_1)}) \right) \left(\prod_{i_1 \neq i_2} \prod_{(i_1, j_1) < (i_2, j_2)} (\mu_{i_2}^{(j_2)} - \mu_{i_1}^{(j_1)}) \right). \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

The second factor of Eq. (6) can be regrouped as

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n} \left(\prod_{(i_1, j_1) < (i_2, j_2)} \left(\mu_{i_2}^{(j_2)} - \mu_{i_1}^{(j_1)} \right) \right) \left(\prod_{(i_2, j_2) < (i_1, j_1)} \left(\mu_{i_1}^{(j_1)} - \mu_{i_2}^{(j_2)} \right) \right) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n} \left(\prod_{j_2=1}^m \prod_{j_1=1}^m \left(\mu_{i_2}^{(j_2)} - \mu_{i_1}^{(j_1)} \right) \right) \left(\prod_{(i_2, j_2) < (i_1, j_1)} (-1) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Recall that $S_m(x) - \lambda_i S_{m-1}(x) = \prod_{j=1}^m (x - \mu_i^{(j)})$. We see that

$$\prod_{j_2=1}^m \prod_{j_1=1}^m \left(\mu_{i_2}^{(j_2)} - \mu_{i_1}^{(j_1)} \right) = \prod_{j_2=1}^m \left(S_m(\mu_{i_2}^{(j_2)}) - \lambda_{i_1} S_{m-1}(\mu_{i_2}^{(j_2)}) \right) = (\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})^m \prod_{j_2=1}^m S_{m-1}(\mu_{i_2}^{(j_2)}).$$

Note that for $i_1 < i_2$, the inequality $(i_2, j_2) < (i_1, j_1)$ holds if and only if $j_2 < j_1$. This means, for any fixed $i_1, i_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $i_1 < i_2$,

$$\prod_{(i_2, j_2) < (i_1, j_1)} (-1) = (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}.$$

Finally, by Corollary 1,

$$\prod_{j_2=1}^m S_{m-1}(\mu_{i_2}^{(j_2)}) = (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}.$$

Now, Eq. (7) reduces to

$$\left(\prod_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n} (\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1}) \right)^m$$

and Claim 2 holds by Eq. (6).

Claim 3: It holds that

$$\det[E^{(1)}, \dots, E^{(m)}] = \pm \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq m} \left(\mu_i^{(j_2)} - \mu_i^{(j_1)} \right).$$

Let $\tilde{s}_k^{(i,j)} = \frac{S_k(\mu_i^{(j)})}{S_{m-1}(\mu_i^{(j)})}$ and K_{j_1, j_2} be the diagonal matrix:

$$K_{j_1, j_2} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{s}_{m-j_1}^{(1, j_2)} & & & & \\ & \tilde{s}_{m-j_1}^{(2, j_2)} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \tilde{s}_{m-j_1}^{(n, j_2)} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}. \quad (8)$$

Write $Q = [\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n]$. Then it is routine to check the following factorization:

$$[E^{(1)}, E^{(2)}, \dots, E^{(m)}] = \begin{bmatrix} Q & & & \\ & Q & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & Q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K_{1,1} & K_{1,2} & \cdots & K_{1,m} \\ K_{2,1} & K_{2,2} & \cdots & K_{2,m} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ K_{m,1} & K_{m,2} & \cdots & K_{m,m} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

where the first factor is a block diagonal matrix with m identical diagonal blocks. Since each block K_{j_1, j_2} is diagonal, it is not difficult to see that, via an appropriate permutation matrix, the block matrix $[K_{j_1, j_2}]_{m \times m}$ is similar to the following block diagonal matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} L_1 & & & \\ & L_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & L_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where the (j_1, j_2) -th entry of each L_i is the (i, i) -th entry of K_{j_1, j_2} . Written exactly,

$$\begin{aligned} L_i &= \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{s}_{m-1}^{(i,1)} & \tilde{s}_{m-1}^{(i,2)} & \cdots & \tilde{s}_{m-1}^{(i,m)} \\ \tilde{s}_{m-2}^{(i,1)} & \tilde{s}_{m-2}^{(i,2)} & \cdots & \tilde{s}_{m-2}^{(i,m)} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \tilde{s}_0^{(i,1)} & \tilde{s}_0^{(i,2)} & \cdots & \tilde{s}_0^{(i,m)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} S_{m-1}(\mu_i^{(1)}) & \cdots & S_{m-1}(\mu_i^{(m)}) \\ S_{m-2}(\mu_i^{(1)}) & \cdots & S_{m-2}(\mu_i^{(m)}) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ S_0(\mu_i^{(1)}) & \cdots & S_0(\mu_i^{(m)}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{S_{m-1}(\mu_i^{(1)})} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \frac{1}{S_{m-1}(\mu_i^{(m)})} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Since $S_k(x)$ is a monic polynomial with degree k for each nonnegative integer k , we find that the determinant of the first factor in Eq. (10) equals

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} (\mu_i^{(1)})^{m-1} & (\mu_i^{(2)})^{m-1} & \cdots & (\mu_i^{(m)})^{m-1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \mu_i^{(1)} & \mu_i^{(2)} & \cdots & \mu_i^{(m)} \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq m} (\mu_i^{(j_2)} - \mu_i^{(j_1)}). \quad (11)$$

As $\det Q = \pm 1$ and $\det[K_{j_1, j_2}]_{m \times m} = \prod_{i=1}^n \det L_i$, Claim 3 follows by Eqs. (9)-(11).

By Claim 3 and Lemma 2, we see that $\det[E^{(1)}, \dots, E^{(m)}] \neq 0$. Taking determinants for both sides of Eq. (5) and using Claims 1-3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \det W(G \circ P_m) &= \frac{\det[M^{(1)}, \dots, M^{(m)}] \det D}{\det[E^{(1)}, \dots, E^{(m)}]} \\ &= \pm a_0^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \left(\prod_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n} (\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1}) \right)^m \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} e_n^T \xi_i \right)^m \\ &= \pm a_0^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} (\det W(G))^m, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 7. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

For any even positive integer n , let \mathcal{F}_n^* be the family of all n -vertex graph G such that $\det W(G) = \pm 2^{\frac{n}{2}}$ and the constant term of $\phi(G; x)$ is ± 1 . Write

$$\mathcal{F}^* = \bigcup_{n \text{ even}} \mathcal{F}_n^*.$$

As a special case of the aforementioned theorem of Wang [11], each graph in \mathcal{F}^* is DGS (determined by its generalized spectrum).

Lemma 9 ([7]). *If the constant term of $\phi(G; x)$ is ± 1 , then so is $\phi(G \circ P_m; x)$ for each integer $m \geq 2$.*

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3 and Lemma 9, we obtain the following result which was conjectured (in a slightly different form) by Mao and Wang [7, Conjecture 3.1].

Theorem 4. *If $G \in \mathcal{F}^*$ then for any integer $m \geq 2$, the graph $G \circ P_m \in \mathcal{F}^*$ and hence is DGS.*

Theorem 4 gives a simple method to construct large DGS-graphs from small ones. For example, let G be the left graph in Figure 1. It can be easily checked that $G \in \mathcal{F}^*$. Thus using Theorem 4 iteratively, we see that, for any positive sequence $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k, \dots$, with $m_i \geq 2$, all graphs in the family

$$G \circ P_{m_1}, (G \circ P_{m_1}) \circ P_{m_2}, ((G \circ P_{m_1}) \circ P_{m_2}) \circ P_{m_3}, \dots$$

are DGS.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12001006 and 12101379), Natural Science Basic Research Plan in Shannxi Province of China (Grant No. 2020JQ-696) and the Scientific Research Foundation of Anhui Polytechnic University (Grant No. 2019YQQ024).

References

- [1] K. Dilcher, K. B. Stolarsky, Resultants and discriminants of Chebyshev and related polynomials, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 357 (2005) 965-981.
- [2] C. D. Godsil, B. D. McKay, A new graph product and its spectrum. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 18(1978) 21-28.
- [3] I. Gutman, Spectral properties of some graphs derived from bipartite graphs. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 8(1980) 291-314.
- [4] D. P. Jacobs, M. O. Rayes V. Trevisan, The resultant of Chebyshev polynomials, *Canad Math. Bull.* 54 (2011) 288-296.
- [5] S. R. Louboutin, Resultants of Chebyshev polynomials: A short proof, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 56 (2013) 602-605.
- [6] L. Mao, F. Liu, W. Wang, A new method for constructing graphs determined by their generalized spectrum, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 477 (2015) 112-127.
- [7] L. Mao, W. Wang, Generalized spectral characterization of rooted product graphs, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, doi:10.1080/03081087.2022.2098226.

- [8] T. J. Rivlin, *Chebyshev Polynomials: From Approximation Theory to Algebra and Number Theory*, John Wiley, New York, 1990.
- [9] A.J. Schwenk, Computing the characteristic polynomial of a graph, *Graphs Combin.* (R. Bari and F. Harary, eds.), Springer, Berlin, (1974), 153-172.
- [10] <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/427585/resultant-of-linear-combinations-of-chebyshev-polynomials-of-the-second-kind>. Accessed on Aug. 9, 2022.
- [11] W. Wang, A simple arithmetic criterion for graphs being determined by their generalized spectra, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B* 122 (2017) 438-451.