

# CURL THROUGH DIRAC ON COMPACT THREE MANIFOLDS

S. MONTIEL

ABSTRACT. In the last decades, many mathematicians have studied the *curl operator* on compact three-manifolds (both with or without empty boundary), mainly the behaviour of its spectrum and some isoperimetric problems associated with it. In this paper, we reveal an (unexpected?) relation between this curl operator and the Dirac operator corresponding to any of the  $\text{spin}^c$  structures on the manifold. Then, we make the ellipticity of  $D$  (curl is not) and the many facts already known about the spectrum of  $D$  to recuperate with not difficult proofs some results above curl *déjà connus* and obtain others unknown for me. *For example, we will find that the eigenvalues of curl, removing the point spectrum zeros, are always, up to a fixed constant, lower bounded by those of the Dirac and the equality characterize the round three-sphere and the real projective space.* Also, we show that *there do not exist mean-convex  $L^2$ -solutions for the isoperimetric problem associated to curl*, as Cantarella, de Turck, Gluck y Teytel [CdTGT] had conjectured, while other authors proved properties for these unknown solutions (adding always the expression *optimal domains exist*) *perhaps by thinking in the case of the successful maximization of the helicity.*

*To the Queen of Liars, with all my compliments*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Maxwell equations in vacuum in absence of external sources are described in terms of two first order differential operators, say, the functional *divergence*,  $\text{div} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and the operator *curl*,  $\text{curl} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ , where  $M$  is a domain, mainly in a space form, either compact or not, (and, so, and either with nonempty boundary or with boundary), of any oriented Riemannian three-manifold. Here, we will focus on the curl operator. In fact, curl can be seen as the translation to the Riemannian setting of the exterior differential on certain level of this algebra of

---

*Date:* August, 2022.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Analysis Geometrical.

*Key words and phrases.*  $\text{Spin}^c$  geometry, Dirac operator, Vector Field, Curl. Research partially supported by a Junta de Andalucía Grant No. FQM325.

any odd-dimensional  $\Lambda^p(M)$ ,  $p = 1, \dots, p = 2k + 1$  [Ba4]. Perhaps, the lack of works about this suboperator restricted to odd order has double reason: it is not elliptic and its relation with has with the order operators which generates (on spin stages) all the differential operators of any order, and the Dirac operator [see BHMM,BFGK,Fr2,LM], which is not too strong. After recalling in Section 2 how write and work in a metric way the divergence and the curl operators in a three-Riemannian context, we remind a famous result by Smale [MS] showing that any orientable three-manifold is spin. But, on the manifold  $M$ , we have, not only the tangent bundle  $TM$  (with a real three-dimensional fiber), and its associated tensor bundles, but the spinor bundles  $SM$  corresponding to the diverse  $\text{spin}^c$  structures, parametrized by Stiefel-Whitney  $w_2(M)$ . This relation between them (the multiplication of Clifford) maps each tangent vector on  $T_pM$  onto a special skew-Hermitian endomorphism of  $S_pM$ . The transformation between tangent vectors  $v \in TM$  into skew-Hermitian endomorphisms  $\gamma(v)$  on  $SM$  is given by the called multiplicacion de Clifford

$$\gamma : v \in TM \longmapsto \gamma(v) \in \text{End}(SM).$$

But, maybe, Section 4 is the core of this paper. Inspired on the topological works of Scorpan in dimension four [Sc1, Sc2], we see that here each spinor field  $\psi \in \Gamma(M)$  determines a vector field  $X \in \Gamma(M)$  which depends quadratically from it and, conversely and more importantly, *each nowhere-zero vector field  $X$  on  $M$  has an spinor field  $\psi$  that can be considered its squared root and is unique up to product by unit complex functions.*

In Section 5, we see that both  $\text{div } X$  and  $\text{curl } X$  can be expressed in terms of  $D\psi$ . That is, not only, the topology, but the geometry of  $X$  y de  $\psi$  are strictly related each other. Then,  $\text{curl } X$ ,  $\nabla\psi$  and  $D\psi$  are involved. From here, we will be able to transfer through Theorem 9 all that we know about the Dirac operator to the curl one. For example, the structure of the spectrum of curl to that of the spectrum of  $D$  [see Ge1] (but he could also have read this in [Ba2]) and the reasons why the ellipticity is lost and, finally,  $|\text{curl}|$  is bounded in term of  $D$  characterizing those manifolds attaining the minimum obtained in this way. Finally, Theorem 13, our method, *curl through spin*, provides a short way to answer to many physicians and some mathematicians such that Enciso, Peralta-Salas y Gerner. The isoperimetric problem which the latter says to have initiated (but [A], where is wisely prescribed the boundary, not the volume) cannot be always minimized if we impose to the domain  $M$  some type of symmetry for the candidate to optimal domain. *There no  $L^2$  optimal domains at all for the curl operator on*

*compact domains inner mean-convex.* From now on, we must start to change properties about these optimal domains (adding the *assuming such domains exist*) by searching the type of singularities of optimal domains *assuming such singular  $L^1$ , or less, domains exist*) or figures with not too symmetries, local (axisymmetric) or global (inner mean-convex,  $H > 0$ ). This result is in the line of the of Enciso, Gerner Peralta. In them, any symmetry of a compact domain seems to be an obstacle to be an optimal domain. Enciso shows that there no axisymmetric optimal domains. Here, we show that there no mean-convex optimal domains. We substitute a global symmetry condition by one global. *It seems that any type of symmetry is not help to minimize the curl among vector fields with volume bounded from below.*

## 2. RIEMANNIAN THREE-MANIFOLDS

Let  $M$  be an oriented three-dimensional Riemannian manifold and let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $\det(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  be its scalar product an orientation three-form respectively. (In this paper, all manifolds will be supposed to be connected up to contrary mention, but their possible non-empty boundaries can be disconnect). From these two structures we may define a vector product on  $M$ , like the standard wedge vector product of Euclidean three-space, by

$$\wedge : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM) \quad \langle X \wedge Y, Z \rangle = \det(X, Y, Z),$$

for all  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ , where  $\Gamma$  denotes the space of sections of a given vector bundle and  $TM$  is the tangent bundle of the manifold  $M$ . It is clear that this vector product  $\wedge$  is parallel, skew-symmetric and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} X \wedge (Y \wedge Z) &= \langle X, Z \rangle Y - \langle X, Y \rangle Z \\ \langle X \wedge Y, Z \wedge W \rangle &= \langle X, Z \rangle \langle Y, W \rangle - \langle X, W \rangle \langle Y, Z \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TM)$ . If we represent by  $\nabla$  the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian metric on  $M$ , the two natural first order differential operators acting on vector fields,

$$\operatorname{div} : \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow C^\infty(M) \quad \operatorname{curl} : \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM),$$

namely, the divergence and the curl, can be expressed as follows:

$$(1) \quad \operatorname{div} X = \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \nabla_{e_i} X, e_i \rangle, \quad \operatorname{curl} X = \sum_{i=1}^3 e_i \wedge \nabla_{e_i} X,$$

where  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is any local orthonormal frame on  $M$ . The following proposition gathers all the fundamental properties of these operators,

all of whose can be easily deduced from the very definitions or found in the papers cited in the final bibliography.

**Remark 1.** Note that, in this article, we will treat with compact manifolds, both without boundary (closed), mainly [Ba2, Ba4], or with non-empty boundary (Section 6). It is important to realize the situation where one is, specially when we were work on the isoperimetric problem relying the curl operator on domains of the space forms which are compact with non-empty boundary (for example, [Ge2, EGP1, EGP2]). If one does not make this distinction, one can become totally confused. Anyway, we expect to use our methods in the closed case to obtain some results in the non-empty boundary one.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $M$  be an oriented Riemannian three-manifold. Then*

- (1)  $\operatorname{div} \operatorname{curl} X = 0$ ,
- (2)  $\operatorname{curl} \nabla f = 0$ ,
- (3)  $\operatorname{div} (X \wedge Y) = \langle \operatorname{curl} X, Y \rangle - \langle X, \operatorname{curl} Y \rangle$ ,
- (4)  $\operatorname{div} (fX) = \langle \nabla f, X \rangle + f \operatorname{div} X$ ,
- (5)  $\operatorname{curl} (fX) = (\nabla f) \wedge X + f \operatorname{curl} X$ ,
- (6)  $\operatorname{curl}^2 X = -\Delta X + \nabla \operatorname{div} X + \operatorname{Ric} X$ ,

for all vector fields  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and all smooth function  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  and where  $\Delta$  is the rough Laplacian acting on vector fields and  $\operatorname{Ric}$  is the Ricci curvature operator of  $M$ .  $\square$

Note that the notions and equalities above are a way to express the exterior geometry of the three-manifold when it is endowed with a Riemannian metric.

### 3. UNIT VECTOR FIELDS

We will denote by  $UM$  the unit tangent bundle on the manifold  $M$ , that is, the real subbundle

$$UM = \{v \in TM \mid |v| = 1\} \subset TM,$$

which is a  $\mathbb{S}^2$ -bundle on  $M$ . Let  $\pi : UM \rightarrow M$  be its projection. Each unit vector field  $X \in \Gamma(UM) \subset C^\infty(M, UM)$  on  $M$  determines a complex line bundle  $X^\perp$ , which is another subbundle of  $TM$ , whose fiber at each point  $p \in M$  is given by the plane of  $T_p M$  orthogonal to  $X_p$  endowed with complex structure  $J_X$  given by

$$J_X v = X \wedge v \quad v \in X^\perp.$$

We will deliberately not distinguish between complex line bundles and  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -principal bundles over the manifold  $M$ . Their equivalence classes of

isomorphisms will be parametrized by the cohomology groups

$$(1) \quad c_1 : H^1(M, \mathbb{S}^1) \cong H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where the isomorphism is given by mapping each line bundle or  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -principal bundle  $L$  onto its first Chern class  $c_1(L)$ . Then, each unit vector field  $X$  determines a cohomology class

$$c_1(X) := c_1(X^\perp) \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}).$$

It is clear that, for any such unit vector field  $X \in \Gamma(UM)$ , we have the orthogonal bundle decomposition

$$TM = \langle X \rangle \oplus X^\perp,$$

where  $\langle X \rangle$  is the trivial real line bundle spanned by  $X$  at each point of  $M$ . Since  $M$  is an oriented manifold,  $\langle X \rangle$  is trivial and  $X^\perp$  is an oriented bundle, we have

$$w_1(M) = w_1(\langle X \rangle) = w_1(X^\perp) = 0,$$

where we denote by  $w_i$  the  $i$ -th Stiefel-Whitney class of the corresponding bundle. Using these equalities and the multiplicativity of Whitney classes, we get

$$w_2(M) = w_2(\langle X \rangle) + w_2(X^\perp) = w_2(X^\perp).$$

On the other hand, we know that Whitney classes of the underlying real bundle of a complex bundle are the reductions modulo two of the corresponding Chern classes. Then

$$w_2(M) = [c_1(X)]_2.$$

In particular, this shows that any orientable three-manifold  $M$  is a  $\text{spin}^c$  manifold (provided that we knew that there exists a nowhere vanishing vector field on  $M$ ), and that  $M$  is a spin manifold (provided that it was a parallelizable manifold). But, some more elaborated manipulations with the so called Wu formula involving the Whitney classes of  $M$  show that this is, in fact, just this is the case, because a famous result by Smale asserts that *all orientable three-manifolds are parallelizable and, so, they are spin* (see [LM, p. 82] and [Ki, MS], for example), and, so,  $\text{spin}^c$ .

The following paragraph purports to give a geometrical interpretation of the integer number  $c_1(X)$  associated to the unit vector field  $X$  defined on the oriented three-manifold  $M$ . Take, then, two unit vector fields  $X, Y \in \Gamma(UM)$  on  $M$  and see them as oriented maps from the three-dimensional manifold  $M$  to the five-dimensional manifold  $UM$ . We may deform one of them so that they become transverse each other. Then, their intersection  $X \cap Y$  is an oriented curve in  $UM$  that projects

nicely to an oriented curve in  $M$ , say  $X \overset{M}{\cap} Y$ , the locus of  $M$  where the two fields  $X$  and  $Y$  coincide. It is not so difficult to prove that

$$X \overset{M}{\cap} Y = Y \overset{M}{\cap} X \quad (-X) \overset{M}{\cap} (-Y) = -(X \overset{M}{\cap} Y),$$

where the last signs on the right side denote orientation change. Suppose now that both pairs  $X, Y$  and  $X, -Y$  are transverse. Notice that, by projecting the field  $Y$  on the plane  $X^\perp$ , we obtain a section of this later line bundle vanishing just at the points of  $M$  where either  $X = Y$  or  $X = -Y$ . Since the vanishing locus of such a section corresponds to the Chern class  $c_1(X^\perp)$  via Poincaré duality ( $Pd$ ), we have this result.

**Proposition 3.** *For each two unit vector fields  $X, Y \in \Gamma(UM)$  on an oriented Riemannian three-manifold, we have that*

$$c_1(X) \overset{Pd}{=} X \overset{M}{\cap} Y + X \overset{M}{\cap} (-Y).$$

*In particular,*

$$c_1(X) \overset{Pd}{=} X \overset{M}{\cap} X.$$

*As a consequence, by reversing the roles of  $X$  and  $Y$ , we obtain*

$$c_1(X) + c_1(Y) \overset{Pd}{=} 2(X \overset{M}{\cap} Y)$$

*and the equality  $c_1(X) = c_1(Y)$  holds if and only if  $2(X \overset{M}{\cap} (-Y))$  is a boundary.  $\square$*

#### 4. SPINOR BUNDLES AND SQUARED ROOTS OF FIELDS

Let  $SM$  be the spinor bundle corresponding to any of the possible  $\text{spin}^c$  structures of  $M$  and  $p : SM \rightarrow M$  the corresponding projection. This  $SM$  is a rank two Hermitian bundle whose Hermitian metric will be also denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . We will represent by  $\nabla$  any compatible connection on  $SM$  and by  $\gamma$  the Clifford multiplication, whose fundamental properties are that  $\gamma(X)$  is a skew-Hermitian on  $\Gamma(SM)$ , for any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ , and the relations [see [BHMM, Mo2], called Clifford equalities

$$(2) \quad \gamma(X)\gamma(Y) = -\langle X, Y \rangle + \gamma(X \wedge Y)$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

Consider the bundle

$$\pi^*SM = \{(v, \psi) \in UM \times SM \mid \pi(v) = p(\psi)\}$$

induced from the spinor bundle over  $M$  through the projection  $\pi : UM \rightarrow M$ . This is a rank two complex vector bundle on the five-dimensional manifold  $UM$ . Since each skew-Hermitian endomorphism

$\gamma(v)$  for  $v \in UM$  satisfies  $\gamma(v)^2 = -I$  and anticommutes with any other  $\gamma(w)$  with  $w \in UM$  perpendicular to  $v$ , we have that  $\gamma(v)$  has two eigenvalues  $\pm i$  with associated eigenspaces of the same dimension. Then

$$SM|_{UM} := \{(v, \psi) \in \pi^*SM \mid \gamma(v)\psi = i\psi\}$$

is a rank one complex subbundle of  $\pi^*SM$ , that is, a complex line bundle over  $UM$ .

On the other hand, we define a quadratic map  $Q : SM \rightarrow TM$  sending spinors onto vectors by the rule

$$(3) \quad \psi \mapsto Q(\psi) = X_\psi := \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \gamma(e_i)\psi, i\psi \rangle e_i, \text{ for all } \psi \in SM,$$

where  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is an orthonormal frame on  $M$ . Since

$$\{\psi, \gamma(e_1)\psi, \gamma(e_2)\psi, \gamma(e_3)\psi\}$$

is a local orthonormal frame of  $S_pM$  for each  $\psi \in S_pM - \{0\}$ , we have that

$$|X_\psi| = |\psi|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(X_\psi)\psi = i|X_\psi|\psi.$$

So, the map  $SM|_{UM} \rightarrow UM$  given by

$$(v, \psi) \mapsto \frac{1}{|X_\psi|} X_\psi = \frac{1}{|\psi|^2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \gamma(e_i)\psi, i\psi \rangle e_i,$$

for each  $\psi \neq 0$  coincides with the bundle projection

$$\Pi : (v, \psi) \in SM|_{UM} \mapsto v \in UM.$$

It is obvious that the quadratic map  $Q$  may be induced in a natural way on the corresponding section spaces  $Q : \Gamma(SM) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$  to get a quadratic map sending spinor fields on  $M$  on vector fields.

The lack of uniqueness of the spin<sup>c</sup> structures on the spin manifold  $M$  is reflected by the fact that, if  $L \rightarrow M$  is any complex line bundle over  $M$  endowed with a Hermitian metric and a compatible connection, then the tensor product  $S \otimes L$  is another spinor bundle over  $M$  with the tensor product of the Hermitian metrics and of the connections and with the Clifford multiplication given by

$$\gamma(X)(\psi \otimes \ell) = \gamma(X)\psi \otimes \ell$$

for any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ ,  $\psi \in \Gamma(SM)$  and  $\ell \in \Gamma(L)$ . Then, from the very definition, we obtain

$$(4) \quad (SM \otimes L)|_{UM} = SM|_{UM} \otimes \pi^*L,$$

for every complex line bundle  $L$  over  $M$ .

Let  $S^1M$  the subbundle of  $SM$  consisting of the unit spinors with respect to the Hermitian metric. It is obvious that  $S^1M$  is a spherical bundle with fiber  $\mathbb{S}^3$  with projection map  $\pi|_{S^1M}$  and we can see that this restriction is the composition

$$\psi \in S^1M \mapsto X_\psi \in UM \mapsto \pi(X_\psi) \in M,$$

which takes all the spinors in the circle spanned by a unit  $\psi \in S_pM$  on the unit vector  $X_\psi \in T_pM$ . Then  $\pi|_{S^1M}$  is nothing but the fiberwise version of the usual Hopf projection

$$\mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1 = \mathbb{S}^2$$

and so  $S^1M$  can be seen as a circle bundle over  $UM$  whose projection is  $\psi \mapsto X_\psi$ . This is the circle bundle associated to the complex line bundle  $SM|_{UM}$  above.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $M$  be a three-dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold and  $X \in \Gamma(UM)$  a unit vector field on  $M$ . Then there exists a unique  $\text{spin}^c$  structure on  $M$  whose spinor bundle  $S_XM$  satisfies that the complex line bundle  $X^*(S_XM|_{UM})$  is trivial. As a consequence, there exists a unit spinor field  $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma_XM)$  of this  $\text{spin}^c$  structure which is a squared root of  $X$ , that is, such that*

$$X = Q(\psi) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \gamma(e_i)\psi, i\psi \rangle e_i,$$

where  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is an orthonormal frame on  $M$ , or, equivalently,

$$\langle X, v \rangle = \langle \gamma(v)\psi, i\psi \rangle, \quad \forall v \in TM.$$

*This spinor is unique up to multiplication by functions taking values in  $\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Conversely and more easily, if we begin with a spinor field  $\psi \in \Gamma(SM)$ , we can construct its squared using the expression above.*

*Proof :* Let us start by taking a spinor bundle  $SM$  in any of the possible  $\text{spin}^c$  structures on  $M$ . We construct the complex line bundle  $\Sigma M|_{UM}$  on the unit tangent bundle as above. Since the unit field  $X \in \Gamma(UM)$  can be seen as a map  $X : M \rightarrow UM$ , we can apply the pull-back operator  $X^*$  to the bundle equation (4) to obtain

$$X^*((SM \otimes L)|_{UM}) = X^*(SM|_{UM}) \otimes L,$$

because  $X^* \circ \pi^* = (\pi \circ X)^* = I$ . From these equality, one has that the choice

$$L = (X^*(SM|_{UM}))^{-1}$$

implies that  $X^*((SM \otimes L)|_{UM})$  is trivial. Hence, if we define

$$S_XM := SM \otimes (X^*(SM|_{UM}))^{-1},$$

we obtain that  $X^*(S_X M|_{UM})$  is the trivial complex line bundle on  $M$ . Consequently, the associated circle bundle  $X^*(S^1 M|_{UM})$  is also trivial and this is equivalent to claim that this circle bundle possesses a global section  $\psi$  which will be a spinor field of the  $\text{spin}^c$  structure of  $M$  given by  $S_X M$  such that  $Q(\psi) = X$  and so it must be unit.  $\square$

Suppose then that  $X \in \Gamma(UM)$  is a unit vector field on  $M$  and that  $\psi_X \in \Gamma(\Sigma_X M)$  is the unit spinor field of the associated  $\text{spin}^c$  structure given by the result above. We may consider the subbundle  $\psi_X^\perp$  of  $\Sigma_X M$  whose fiber at  $p \in M$  consist of the spinors orthogonal to  $\psi(p)$  through the spinor Hermitian product. It is clear that this new Hermitian bundle is just a complex line bundle on  $M$ . It is not difficult to check that the bundle map

$$v \in X^\perp \mapsto \gamma(v)\psi_X \in \psi_X^\perp$$

is a bundle equivalence and so  $X^\perp = \psi_X^\perp$ .  $\square$

## 5. THE GEOMETRY OF FIELDS AND THEIR SQUARED ROOT SPINORS

Let  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$  a vector field nowhere zero on  $M$  and  $\psi \in \Gamma(S_X M)$  a spinor field of the associated  $\text{spin}^c$  structure given by the result above. That is,  $\psi$  a squared root of  $X$ . Then, if  $v \in TM$  is tangent to the manifold  $M$ , we have, from Proposition 4, the squared root spinor  $\psi$  which was defined, up to product by circle valued functions, by

$$\langle X, v \rangle = \langle \gamma(v)\psi, i\psi \rangle \quad \forall v \in TM.$$

(Alternatively, we can start with an arbitrary spinor field  $\psi$  on  $M$ , and consider the vector field  $X$  defined by the formula above). Since the Levi-Civita connections and the metrics on  $TM$  and  $S_X M$  are compatible, given another vector  $u$  tangent to  $M$  at the same point, we have

$$\langle \nabla_u X, v \rangle = 2 \langle \gamma(v)\nabla_u \psi, i\psi \rangle.$$

(Note that this is not our definition of  $Q(\nabla_u \psi)$ , but, even so, the expression is correct because all the time we remain in the same  $\text{spin}^c$  structure). By using the first definition in (1), taking traces, we obtain:

**Lemma 5.** *If  $X$  is a nowhere zero vector field tangent to an orientable three-manifold  $M$  and  $\psi$  is any squared root of it on a suitable  $\text{spin}^c$  fiber bundle on  $M$ , we have*

$$\text{div } X = 2 \langle D\psi, i\psi \rangle,$$

where  $\operatorname{div}$  is the divergence operator on  $M$  and  $D$  is the Dirac operator of the  $\operatorname{spin}^c$  structure where lie the squared root  $\psi$  of  $X$ , given by

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^3 \gamma(e_i) \nabla_{e_i},$$

where  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is any orthonormal basis on  $TM$ .  $\square$

([See any of BFGK,BHMM,Fr2,LM] for the definition above and the elemental properties of the Dirac operator  $D$  on a Riemannian manifold). It is worthy to see that both functions  $\operatorname{div} X$  and  $\langle D\psi, i\psi \rangle$  have null mean for any  $X$  and for any  $\psi$ , even in the case when there does not exist any relation between them. In other words, a vector field on  $M$  has pointwise null divergence if and only if all its squared roots  $\psi$  have their Dirac images without components in  $i\psi$ , where  $i$  is the complex imaginary unit.

With respect to the curl operator acting on the vector fields  $X$  on the manifold three-manifold  $M$ , we use the second definition in (1) and Proposition 4as above, and get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \operatorname{curl} X, e_1 \rangle &= \langle \gamma(e_3) \nabla_{e_2} \psi - \gamma(e_2) \nabla_{e_3} \psi, i\psi \rangle \\ \langle \operatorname{curl} X, e_2 \rangle &= \langle \gamma(e_1) \nabla_{e_3} \psi - \gamma(e_3) \nabla_{e_1} \psi, i\psi \rangle \\ \langle \operatorname{curl} X, e_3 \rangle &= \langle \gamma(e_2) \nabla_{e_1} \psi - \gamma(e_1) \nabla_{e_2} \psi, i\psi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is an orthonormal basis at each point of  $M$  and  $\psi$  is one of the *squared roots* of  $X$ . Now, making use of the skew-commutativity (2), we may rewrite these same components in this form

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \operatorname{curl} X, e_1 \rangle &= \left( \langle \gamma(e_1) \gamma(e_3) \nabla_{e_3} \psi + \gamma(e_1) \gamma(e_2) \nabla_{e_2} \psi, i\psi \rangle \right) \\ \langle \operatorname{curl} X, e_2 \rangle &= \left( \langle \gamma(e_2) \gamma(e_1) \nabla_{e_1} \psi + \gamma(e_3) \gamma(e_2) \nabla_{e_2} \psi, i\psi \rangle \right) \\ \langle \operatorname{curl} X, e_3 \rangle &= \left( \langle \gamma(e_3) \gamma(e_2) \nabla_{e_2} \psi + \gamma(e_3) \gamma(e_1) \nabla_{e_1} \psi, i\psi \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

But, as the Clifford multiplication is skew-Hermitian, a few long computation but easy gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \operatorname{curl} X, e_1 \rangle &= - \langle \gamma(e_3) \nabla_{e_3} \psi + \gamma(e_2) \nabla_{e_2} \psi, i\gamma(e_1) \psi \rangle \\ \langle \operatorname{curl} X, e_2 \rangle &= - \langle \gamma(e_1) \nabla_{e_1} \psi + \gamma(e_3) \nabla_{e_3} \psi, i\gamma(e_2) \psi \rangle \\ \langle \operatorname{curl} X, e_3 \rangle &= - \langle \gamma(e_2) \nabla_{e_2} \psi + \gamma(e_1) \nabla_{e_1} \psi, i\gamma(e_3) \psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using again the definitions of the curl operator, in (1), and the well-known of the Dirac operator of a  $\operatorname{spin}^c$  bundle, we have

**Lemma 6.** *Take  $X$  a vector field tangent to an orientable three-manifold  $M$  which is a squared of a spinor  $\psi$  defined on any of a  $\text{spin}^c$  fiber bundles on  $M$ . Then, we have*

$$\langle \text{curl } X, v \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1, u_i \perp v}^2 \gamma(u_i) \nabla_{u_i} \psi - D\psi, i\gamma(v)\psi \right\rangle, \quad \forall v \in TM,$$

where  $D$  is the Dirac operator of the  $\text{spin}^c$  structure where  $\psi$  lies in and  $\{u_1, u_2\}$  is any orthonormal basis of the vectorial plane  $\langle v \rangle^\perp$ .  $\square$

As a very useful consequence, we obtain a relation which will allow us to relate the zero set of the curl of a given vector field on  $M$  with the behaviour of any of its squared roots (if there exists) or, reciprocally, with the relation between the curl of a field with Dirac operator of the previously known squared.

**Corollary 7.** *If  $X$  is a vector field on an orientable three-manifold  $M$  which is a squared root of a spinor field  $\psi$  lying in any of the  $\text{spin}^c$  fiber bundles of  $M$ , then*

$$|\text{curl } X|^2 = |\psi|^2 (|D\psi|^2 + |\nabla\psi|^2),$$

where  $|\nabla\psi|^2$  is the norm  $|\nabla_{e_1}\psi|^2 + |\nabla_{e_2}\psi|^2 + |\nabla_{e_3}\psi|^2$  of the first derivative of  $|\psi|$ , and  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is any orthonormal basis on  $TM$  y  $D$  is the Dirac operator of the  $\text{spin}^c$  operator.  $\square$

**Remark 8.** Note that, from Corollary 7 above and definition (1), each parallel (recall that  $M$  is parallelizable) vector field  $X$  on  $M$  satisfies  $\text{curl } X = 0$ . So the curl operator has an infinite kernel and, so, curl cannot be elliptic. These eigenfields correspond to the eigenvalue 0 of curl, that we already said is the only point spectrum for curl on  $M$ . On the other hand, we see, that, for each orientable manifold, we have the inequality  $|\text{curl}| \geq |D|$ , independently on the curvature of  $M$ . This would allow us to get an accurate, if  $M$  is compact, Weyl asymptotics for curl to the analogue for the Dirac operator [see Ba3, Theorem 3.6]. But we let this work to the reader.

As a first consequence of the relation between the curl of a vector field  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$  on the Riemannian manifold  $M$  and the Dirac and directional norm operators applied to any of its squared roots  $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma^c M)$ , we may recover quickly and easily the form of nodal set of curl acting on vector fields of Riemannian three-manifolds. By the way, we will get some new results the subject of the curl of vectors on three-manifolds.

**Theorem 9** (Ba3, Main Theorem). *Let  $M$  be an oriented three-dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$  a non-trivial vector field defined on it. Suppose that  $X$  is expressible as the square of a spinor  $\psi$  lying in  $\text{spin}^c$  fiber bundle on  $M$ . If  $\text{curl}$  is the rotational operator on  $M$  and  $D$  is the Dirac operator of  $\Sigma^c M$ , then*

- (1) *The nodal set of  $X$  consists of isolated points and of a countably 1-rectifiable set. Thus, at most, has Hausdorff dimension either 0 or 1, that is, is a union countable of points and images of lipschitzian curves. (see [Ba2])*
- (2) *If  $M$  is compact, the point part of the spectrum of  $\text{curl}$  consists of isolated points, the continuous part is empty and the discrete part is a discrete unbounded sequence of real eigenvalues with finite-dimensional smooth eigenspaces where we have upper and lower bounded. Thus, there exists the maximum negative eigenvalue and the minimum of  $\text{curl}$ .*
- (3) *The following universal (independent of the geometry of  $M$ ) pointwise inequality is always accomplished:*

$$|\text{curl } X| \geq \frac{4}{3} |\psi| |D\psi| \geq \frac{4}{3} \lambda^D |X|,$$

*if  $M$  is compact and we denote by  $\lambda^D > 0$  the first non-null eigenvalue of the Dirac operator. The equality holds if and only if  $M$  is isometric either to a round three-sphere or a three real projective space. Moreover, the field  $X$  must be Killing.*

- (4) *We know that, on each compact spin Riemannian manifold, Friedrich [Fr1] obtained an integral lower bound for the Dirac operator in terms of its scalar curvature, only attained by those spin manifolds admitting non-trivial Killing spinor fields, which just are the first eigenvalues of  $D$ . This gives us, if  $X$  is a nowhere zero field*

$$\int_M |\text{curl } X| dM \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} (\inf_M R) \int_M |X| dM,$$

*where  $R$  is the scalar curvature function on  $M$ . The equality only is attained by the corresponding round sphere of constant scalar  $R > 0$ .*

**Remark 10.** In the compact Riemannian spin manifold  $M$ , using the equality (6) in Proposition 2, one can easily get the integral inequality

$$\int_\Omega |\text{curl } X|^2 dM \geq \int_\Omega \text{Ric } X dM,$$

for all *divergence-free* vector field on  $M$ , where never is attained the equality, unless trivially. But, using the finest inequality between  $|\nabla\psi|$  and  $D\psi$  that we have found, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\operatorname{curl} X|^2 dM \geq 2 \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Ric} X dM, \quad R > 0,$$

which improves the above inequality and characterizes the round three-sphere, but uses the Ricci curvature instead of the scalar one and improves (4) above. Since these formulae are valid *only* when the field is divergence-free, they could be used for eigenfields of curl and provide a lower bound for the spectrum of curl in terms of the Ricci curvature of the manifold.

- (5) *If  $M$  is compact, and we denote by  $\lambda^{\operatorname{curl}}$  and  $\lambda^D$  the smallest non-negative eigenvalues of curl and  $D$  operators, respectively, we have*

$$\lambda^{\operatorname{curl}} \geq \frac{4}{3} \lambda^D.$$

*The equality holds if and only if  $M$  is conformally equivalent to the three-sphere  $\mathbb{S}^3$  (see [BHMM, BFGK]). Moreover,  $-\lambda^{\operatorname{curl}}$  is the greatest non-positive eigenvalue of curl on  $M$ . So, one can obtain similar inequalities and the equalities are given in analogous cases. (In fact, both spectra of curl and  $D$ , in this three-dimensional case, are symmetric with respect to zero due to different causes).*

**Remark 11.** Note, on one hand, that 4) and 5) (in the case of empty boundary) are strong generalizations of bounds obtained in [Ge1, Theorem 2.1]. On the other hand, the discrete spectrum of curl is symmetric on  $M$ . This was already showed in [Ba4] by Bär. The reason is elemental. Since  $M$  has dimension odd, each geodesic reflection around a given point is an isometry reversing the orientation that commutes with curl. This is why, the spectrum of the Dirac operator is symmetric with respect to the origin on all the Riemannian  $\operatorname{spin}^c$  manifolds.

*Proof:* 1) Let  $X$  be a non-trivial solution of  $\operatorname{curl} X = 0$ . We desire to find the zeroes set of  $X$  on  $M$  in the set  $\operatorname{curl} X = 0$ . Let us suppose that  $X(p) = 0$ ,  $p \in M$ . Reitering  $\operatorname{curl} X = 0$  and using the last equality in Proposition 2, we may apply the Aronszajn Unique Continuation Theorem [see Ba3 to clarify oneself]. Then, if  $p$  were not isolated in the nodal set,  $X$  would vanish on the whole of  $M$ . Thus, in each neighbourhood of  $p$  we have that there are points with  $\nabla\psi = 0$ . In particular,  $\nabla_p\psi = 0$ . Thus, the spinor  $\psi$  vanishes at  $p$  of infinite order and would be identically zero, which is impossible. But, at those

same point, we have the weaker equation  $D\psi = 0$ , that is, the squared root spinor is harmonic, again by the Aronszajn Unique Continuation. Now, it suffices to apply the corresponding theorem by Bär in [Ba3] applied to the Dirac operator on the spin<sup>c</sup> on which are working. In other words, the relation in (7) and the subsequent theorem between the elliptic operator  $D$  and the non-elliptic curl allows us to determine the form of the nodal set of the latter.

2) This proof is, up to small modifications, similar to the above one, and we do not be able to supply any simplification or new relation different than above as in part 1).

3) First, as  $X$  does not vanish anywhere, from Corollary 7, we only have to show that the inequality, à la Cauchy-Schwarz,  $|D\psi|^2 \leq 3|\nabla\psi|^2$  is true for all spinor  $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma M)$  on any three-manifold  $M$ . This is very well-known among spin geometers, but we are going to write a proof for other specialists. In fact, using the triangular and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities,

$$|D\psi| = |\gamma(e_1)\nabla_{e_1}\psi + \gamma(e_2)\nabla_{e_2}\psi + \gamma(e_3)\nabla_{e_3}\psi| \leq |\nabla_{e_1}\psi| + |\nabla_{e_2}\psi| + |\nabla_{e_3}\psi| \leq \sqrt{3}\sqrt{|\nabla_{e_1}\psi|^2 + |\nabla_{e_2}\psi|^2 + |\nabla_{e_3}\psi|^2} = \sqrt{3}|\nabla\psi|.$$

The equality occurs [see BFGK,Fr2 for details] if and only if  $\psi$  is a so-called a *real-Killing spinor field*, that is, if its covariant derivative satisfies

$$\nabla_v\psi = -\frac{\lambda}{3}\gamma(v)\psi, \quad \forall v \in \Gamma(TM),$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  has to be the smallest positive eigenvalue of the Dirac operator on  $M$ . But a spin Riemannian manifold, like  $M$ , admits the existence of a real-Killing spinor  $\psi$  if and only it lies in a short list determined by Bär in [Ba1] (be careful, in this list only appear the simply connected examples). We can see that all manifolds there are even-dimensional, unless to the corresponding  $\mathbb{S}^n$  and  $G_2$ . But the latter is 7-dimensional. As a consequence,  $M$  is isometric to the round  $\mathbb{S}^3$  which support six (three linearly independent with  $\lambda = \frac{3}{2} > 0$  and three with  $\lambda < 0$ ). It suffices to realize that the only spin quotient on  $\mathbb{S}$  preserving some of these Killing spinor is the real projective three space  $\mathbb{R}P^3$ .

4) We already commented that this inequality is obtained simply combining our Corollary 7 and the Hijazi inequality. It only remains to remark that the Hijazi inequality implies that  $M$  must be Einstein (a fortiori with *constant scalar curvature*  $R$ ). But conformally equivalent to the round three-sphere and Einstein implies that  $M$  is a round sphere. Note that if the equality is attained, then a Killing field does vanish anywhere. Finally, the field  $X$  must be a twistor-spinor and,

at the same time, an eigenvalue for  $D$ . Then,  $X$  is a Killing field, as above.

**Remark 12.** Note that Bär has also obtained our same lower bounds for the curl operator on three-manifolds [see Ba4, p. 031501-8 and 9] in terms of the sectional and Ricci curvatures. He checks that those estimates are reached by round three-spheres, but he does not say if there are other manifolds making the same as well. Moreover, though Enciso, Gerner and Peralta-Salas deal mainly with the curl operator on compact manifolds with non-empty boundary lying in space forms with a given volume, they also study the closed case [EGP1] and [Ge2, Theorem 2.1], but it seems to me not to know the clear and fundamental papers by Bär. Its reading maybe could help anyone to write its result is much more updated language and notation. On the other hand, I am sure that this spin method must give some non-obvious result in the non-empty boundary case. In fact, I have several some of them in progress.

5) It is enough to choose  $X$  on  $M$  as an eigenfield associated to any positive eigenvalue of the operator curl.

## 6. THE ENCISO, GERNER AND PERALTA-SALAS ISOPERIMETRIC PROBLEM

Eigenvectors of curl operator are also called (grosso modo) Beltrami fields and they model different physical fields in magnetohydrodynamics, fluid dynamics and as certain solutions of incompressible resting and constant pressure plasma (see [Ge2] and references therein). When they are defined on a compact three-manifold with non-empty boundary (usually embedded into one of the three space forms  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\mathbb{H}^3$ ,  $\mathbb{S}^3$ ), it is normal to think of them as wave functions of baryons confined in a *bag*. It is strange that, in the literature, one can find a lot a problems (expressible in an isoperimetric or Lagrangian way) trying to model the same or similar situations where the *helicity*, but not the curl operator, is involved (the introduction of [Ge2] is clarifying and we forward to it). These problems generally have smooth solutions and characterize most symmetric domains and fields. In view of this, Gerner says that *is then natural to ask whether or not it is possible to minimize the first curl eigenvalue in fixed volume classes for some given reference ambient manifold, such as  $\mathbb{R}^3$* . I suppose that the input here of the *volume* is due to Enciso and Peralta-Salas [see Appendix A in the thesis of Gerner] had been able to bound away from zero the first curl eigenvalue by functions depending only on the volume of the domain. However, not many papers can be found in the literature about the

curl isoperimetric problem. We have to bound from below the absolute value of curl operator among all compact domains of a given ambient manifold with a less than or equal to a positive prescribed volume and to try to show that there are minima (*optimal domain*). Among the aforementioned few papers about the isoperimetric problem for the curl operator, it is worthy to read [CdTGT], where the authors prove the unexistence of simply connected optimal domains. One could think, as the desired solutions, in other geometrical forms, such that solid tori, but these four authors say: *we believe that there are no smooth optimal domains at all*. In spite of this qualified advice, Enciso, Gerner and Peralta-Salas have dedicated some efforts to prove the properties which would not have such optimal domains, adding always *provided that there do exist*, (that makes me remind the *etsi Deus non daretur* by Kant in his moral critics).

Maybe, in the long paragraph above, the clue word is *bag*. In fact, in the seventies of the past century, some physicists (see [CJJT, CJJTW, J]) at the Massachusetts of Technology proposed a model for fermions confined in a *bag* and massless spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  case in a context of Lorentzian geometry. Such a confinement is expressed by means of spinor fields satisfying a certain condition for the Lorentz-Dirac equation on the domain and obeying a precise boundary condition, that we will study in its Riemannian version, which has been used in another context (see [FGMSS, HMZ2]) because of its invariance under conformal changes of the metric of the domain.

Consider the Hermitian pointwise bundle endomorphism  $i\gamma(N) : \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$  defined along the boundary on the compact domain  $M$  with non-empty boundary  $\partial M = C$ , where  $N$  is the inner unit normal field along  $C$ . Its eigenvalues are  $\pm 1$  with the same multiplicity one (that is, this Hermitian operator is the mathematical representation of the *physical magnitude spin* with respect to the direction  $N$ ). In Theorem 4 of [HMZ2], we proved that the MIT bag boundary

$$B_{MIT} = \frac{1}{2}(I - i\gamma(N)) = 0$$

makes  $D$  self-adjoint on  $M$  and that it is an *elliptic* boundary condition for  $D$ . Moreover, its eigenvalues consist of a unbounded discrete set of complex numbers with positive imaginary part satisfying

$$|\lambda^{MIT}| > \frac{3}{8} \inf_{\Omega} R,$$

inequality significative only when the scalar curvature is non-negative (for example, for domains in the Euclidean space and in the sphere).

Let take us now any vector field  $X$  defined on the domain  $M$ . Since this domain is compact, we can add to  $X$  a field  $\varepsilon$  with a *very big norm* in such way that  $X_\varepsilon$  have nowhere zeroes at all on  $M$ . Using Theorem 4, we have the unique (smooth) solution  $\psi$  for the eigenvalue problem (recall, the eigenvalues of  $D$  with the *MIT* boundary condition are never real numbers)

$$\begin{cases} D\psi = V_0\psi & \text{on } M, \\ B_{MIT}\psi = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $V_0 = \inf_{\omega \subset M} \text{vol } \omega$  of the volumes of our subdomains  $\omega \subset M$ . We place the solution spinor  $\psi$  in the Reilly spinorial formula (for example, (10) in [HMZ1], and get

$$\int_M \left( \frac{1}{4}R|\psi|^2 - |c_1(X)| + \frac{2}{3}|D\psi|^2 \right) dM \leq \int_C (\langle \mathcal{D}\psi, \psi \rangle - H|\psi|^2) dC,$$

where  $\mathcal{D}$  is the Dirac operator of the induced spin structure on the boundary  $M$  and  $H$  the inner mean curvature along the boundary  $C$ . But our boundary condition  $B_{MIT}\psi = 0$  implies straightforward that  $\langle \mathcal{D}\psi, \psi \rangle = 0$  and, if we suppose that  $C$  is inner mean convex ( $H \geq 0$ ), which is a weaker condition than the convexity (for example, tori of revolution in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are mean-convex only when are thin enough). Then

$$\int_M \left( |D\psi|^2 - |c_1(X)| - \frac{3}{8}R|\psi|^2 \right) dM \geq 0.$$

As a consequence

$$\int_M |D\psi|^2 dM \geq V_0^2 \int_M dM.$$

Now, applying Corollary 7, we have

$$\int_M |\text{curl } X|^2 dM \geq \int_M |D\psi|^2 dM \geq V_0^3.$$

This says that the curl operator has an  $L^2$ -lower bounded among of the compact domains  $\omega$  of a Riemannian manifold with non negative scalar curvature and mean-convex along its boundary, only in terms of their fixed volume  $\inf_M \text{vol } V_0$ . Moreover, the inequality is impossible to attain because all parallel fields lying on  $M$  would be parallelizable and so minima. Then,  $0 = \int_M \text{curl } X dM = V_0^3$ . Thus,  $X$  would be identically null and this is impossible because one should find non-trivial on  $M$  and so  $V_0 > 0$ . So, the lower bound that we have found cannot be reachable. So, we obtain the following non existence result.

**Theorem 13** (see EGP1,EGP2,Ge2). *Let  $M$  be a three-dimensional Riemannian orientable manifold with non-negative scalar curvature ( $R \geq 0$ ) and non-empty (inner) mean-convex ( $H \geq 0$ ). There do not exist  $L^2$ -compact optimal domains in  $M$  for the isoperimetric problem corresponding to the curl operator.*

That is, we have changed geometric global hypotheses (i.e., the axisymmetry, for exmple) by local ones, as the (inner mean-convexity) (this property includes all the spheres, of course, and, in the realm of revolution tori, the thinner is the torus the more mean-convex is).

#### REFERENCES

- [A] J. Aramaki, *Variational problem involving operator curl in a multiconnected domain*, Chinese J. Math. **2016**, ID2459694.
- [Ba1] C. Bär, *Real Killing spinors and holonomy*, Commun. Math. Phys. **154** (1993), 509–521.
- [Ba2] C. Bär, *Nodal sets for Dirac and Laplace operators*, Commun. Math. Phys **(188)**, 709–721-201, 1997.
- [Ba3] C. Bär, *Extrinsic bounds of the Dirac operators*, Ann. Glob. Anal. and Geom **16**, 1998, 573-596.
- [Ba4] C. Bär, *The curl operator on odd-dimensional manifolds*, J. Math. Phys. **(60)**, 2019, 031501.
- [BFGK] H. Baum, T. Friedrich, R. Gr unewald, I. Kath, “Twistor and Killing Spinors on Riemannian Manifolds”, in *Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik*, **124**, 1991.
- [BHMM] J.P. Bourguignon, O. Hijazi, J.-L. Milhorat, A. Moroianu, “A Spinorial Approach to Riemannian and Conformal Geometry, Monograph”, EMS Monographs in Mathematics”, European Matematical Society, 2015.
- [CdTGT] J. Cantarella, Dennis de Turck, Herman Gluck, Mikhail Teytel, *Isoperimetric problems and the Biot-Savart and curl operators*, J. Math. Phys. **418**, 5615–5641, 2000.
- [CJJT] A. Chodos, R. L. Jaffe, K. Johnson, C.B. Thorn, *Baryon structure in the bag theory*, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 2599–2604, 1974.
- [CJJTW] A. Chodos, R. L. Jaffe, K. Johnson, C.B. Thorn, V. F. Weisskopf, *New extended model of hadrons*, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 3471–3495, 1974.
- [EGP1] A. Enciso, W. Gerner, D. Peralta-Salas, *Non-existence of axisymmetric optimal domains with smooth boundary for the first curl eigenvalue*, arXiv: 200705406v1.
- [EGP2] A. Enciso, W. Gerner, D. Peralta-Salas, *Optimal convex domains for the first curl eigenvalue*, arXiv: 2202.09204v1.
- [FGMSS] H. Falomir, R. E. Gamboa, R.E. Muschietti, M. A. Muschietti, E. M. Santangelo, J. E. Solomin, (1996), 5805–5819.
- [Fr1] T. Friedrich, *Der erste Eigenwert des Dirac-Operators einer kompakten, Riemannschen Mannigfaltigkeit nichtnegativer Skalarkr ummung*, Math. Nachr. **97** (1980), 117–146.
- [Fr2] T. Friedrich, “Dirac Operators in Riemannian Geometry, A.M.S. Graduate Studies in Mathematics” **25** 2000.

- [Ge1] W. Gerner, *Zero set structure of real analytic Beltrami fields*, J. Geom. Anal. **31** (2021), 9928-9950.
- [Ge2] W. Gerner, *Isoperimetric problem for the first curl eigenvalue*, arXiv:2203.00718v1.
- [HMZ1] O. Hijazi, S. Montiel, A. Roldán, *Eigenvalue boundary problems for the Dirac operator*, Commun. Math. Phys. **231**, 375–390.–162, 2002.
- [HMZ2] O. Hijazi, S. Montiel, X. Zhang, *Dirac operator on embedded hypersurfaces*, Math. Res. Lett. **8** (2001). Asian J. Math. **6** (2002), no. 1, 23–36.
- [J] K. Johnson, *The M.I.T. bag model*, Acta Phys. Pol. **B6**, 865-892, 1975.
- [Ki] R. Kirby, *The topology of 4-manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1374**, p. 46, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [LM] H.B. Lawson, M. Michelsohn, “Spin Geometry”, Princeton University Press, 1989.
- [MS] J. W. Milnor, J. D. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **76**, Problem 12-B, University Press, Princeton, 1974.
- [Mo1] A. Moroianu, *Parallel and Killing spinors on  $spin^c$  Manifolds*, Commun. Math. Phys. **187** (1997), 417–428.
- [Mo2] A. Moroianu, U. Semmelmann, *Parallel spinors and holonomy groups*, J. Math. Phys. **41** (2000), 2395–2402.
- [Sc1] A. Scorpan, *emphNowhere-zero harmonic spinors and their associated self-dual 2-forms*, Commun. Contemp. Math., **4** (2002), 45-63.
- [Sc2] A. Scorpan, *Spinors as automorphisms of tangent bundle*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, **356** (2004),2049-2066.

(Montiel) DEPARTAMENTO DE GEOMETRÍA Y TOPOLOGÍA, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, 18071 GRANADA, SPAIN  
*Email address:* smontiel@ugr.es