

# BOUNDED ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ON CERTAIN SYMMETRIZED DOMAINS

MAINAK BHOWMIK AND POORNENDU KUMAR

**ABSTRACT.** In this note, we first give a canonical structure of scalar-valued rational inner functions on the symmetrized polydisc. Then we generalize Caratheodory's approximation result to the setting of matrix-valued holomorphic functions on the symmetrized bidisc,  $\mathbb{G}$ . We approximate matrix-valued holomorphic functions with sup-norm not greater than one by rational iso-inner or coiso-inner functions uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{G}$  using the interpolation results. En route, we also prove that any solvable data with initial nodes on the symmetrized bidisc and the final nodes in the operator norm unit ball of  $M \times N$  matrices has a rational iso-inner or coiso-inner solution. Finally, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for matrix-valued Schur class functions on  $\mathbb{G}$  to have a Schur class factorization on  $\mathbb{G}$  in several situations.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

**1.1. Rational Inner functions.** A rational function on the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  of the complex plane with poles outside the closed unit disc  $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$  is called a *rational inner function* if it maps the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$  to itself. Such functions have been greatly studied for their usefulness. For example, a classical theorem of Caratheodory says that any holomorphic self map of  $\mathbb{D}$  can be approximated uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$  by rational inner functions. It is well known that the map

$$e^{i\theta} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{z - a_j}{1 - \bar{a}_j z}$$

is a rational inner map and these are all. A bounded holomorphic map on a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^d$  is said to be *inner* if it sends almost every point of the distinguished boundary  $b\Omega$ , the Shilov boundary with respect to the uniform algebra  $A(\Omega)$  of functions holomorphic in  $\Omega$  and continuous in  $\bar{\Omega}$ , to the unit circle. We say that  $f$  is *rational inner* if it is both rational and inner. It is well known that the distinguished boundary of  $\mathbb{D}^d$  is  $\mathbb{T}^d$ . Various attempts have been made to find structures of rational inner functions on domains in  $\mathbb{C}^d$ . None of them is more exciting than the following result of Rudin from [29].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Given a rational inner function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{D}^d$ , there exists a  $d$ -tuple of positive integers  $n = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d)$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$  and a polynomial  $\xi$  with no zeros in  $\mathbb{D}^d$*

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 47A48, 47A68, 47A56, 32A17, 32E30.

*Key words and phrase:* Approximation, Pick Interpolation, Rational inner functions, Realization formula, Symmetrized bidisc.

such that

$$f(z) = \tau z^n \frac{\tilde{\xi}(z)}{\xi(z)}. \quad (1.1)$$

Conversely, any rational function of the form (1.1) is inner. Moreover, every inner function  $f \in A(\mathbb{D}^d)$  is a rational function of the form (1.1) with the additional property that  $\xi$  has no zero in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}^d}$ .

In the above, for a polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$  with degree of  $p$ ,  $n = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$  the polynomial  $\tilde{p}$  is defined as  $z^n p(\frac{1}{z})$  where  $z^n = \prod_{j=1}^d z_j^{n_j}$  and  $\frac{1}{z} = (\frac{1}{z_1}, \frac{1}{z_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{z_d})$ .

In this article, domains of our interest are the symmetrized bidisc

$$\mathbb{G} := \{(z + w, zw) : (z, w) \in \mathbb{D}^2\}$$

and the symmetrized polydisc

$$\mathbb{G}_d := \{\pi_d(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d : (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{D}^d\}$$

where the symmetrization map  $\pi_d : \mathbb{D}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$  is defined by

$$\pi_d(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^d z_j, \sum_{i<j} z_i z_j, \dots, \prod_{j=1}^d z_j \right).$$

These domains are non-convex but polynomially convex domains, see [19]. These domains have attracted good amount of interest in recent years, see [4, 5, 7, 6, 11, 10, 12, 13, 16, 19, 20, 24]. A typical member of the symmetrized polydisc is denoted by  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{d-1}, p)$ . Note that  $\mathbb{G}_2 = \mathbb{G}$ . The distinguished boundary of the symmetrized polydisc is  $b\mathbb{G}_d = \pi_d(\mathbb{T}^d)$ . From [13], we have the following description:

$$b\mathbb{G}_d = \left\{ (s_1, \dots, s_{d-1}, p) : |p| = 1, s_j = \overline{s_{d-j}}p \text{ and } \left( \frac{d-1}{d}s_1, \frac{d-2}{d}s_2, \dots, \frac{1}{d}s_{d-1} \right) \in \overline{G_{d-1}} \right\}.$$

Rudin [29] proved the Caratheodory type approximation result for  $\mathbb{D}^d$ . We observe that from there the Caratheodory type approximation on  $\mathbb{G}_d$  can be derived. Hence a structure of rational inner functions on  $\mathbb{G}_d$  is essential. The first main result of this paper is the following.

**Theorem A.** *Given a rational inner function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{G}_d$ , there exist a non-negative integer  $k$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$  and a polynomial  $\xi$  with no zero in  $\mathbb{G}_d$  such that*

$$f(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{d-1}, p) = \tau p^k \frac{\overline{\xi\left(\frac{s_{d-1}}{p}, \frac{s_{d-2}}{p}, \dots, \frac{s_1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right)}}{\xi(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{d-1}, p)}. \quad (1.2)$$

Conversely, any rational function of the form (1.2) is inner. Moreover, any inner function  $f \in A(\mathbb{G}_d)$  is a rational function of the form (1.2) with the additional property that  $\xi$  has no zero in  $\overline{\mathbb{G}^d}$ .

**1.2. Realization and interpolation.** Given a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and an isometry  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$  on  $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ , the function

$$f(z) = A + zB(I - zD)^{-1}C \quad (1.3)$$

is clearly holomorphic on  $\mathbb{D}$  with sup-norm not greater than 1. It is remarkable that for every holomorphic function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  with sup-norm no greater than 1, there exist a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and an isometry  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$  on  $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ , such that  $f$  can be expressed as in (1.3). The expression (1.3) is referred to as the *realization formula* and sometimes we call it *TFR* of  $f$  and we say that  $f$  has *finite dimensional realization* if  $\mathcal{H}$  is finite dimensional. If  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$  is a contraction, then we say that (1.3) is a *contractive TFR*. The realization formula has been generalized to the case of an annulus [17], the complex unit ball [9], the polydisc [1] and to the case of more general test functions [17, 18]. Recently, the realization formula has been also discovered in the case of the symmetrized bidisc [7, 12].

**Theorem 1.2** (Realization Formula (Agler and Young)). *Let  $f : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  be an analytic function. Then  $f$  is in the unit ball of  $H^\infty(\mathbb{G})$  if and only if there exist a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and unitary operators*

$$\tau : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \quad \text{and} \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{E} \\ \mathcal{H} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{H} \end{bmatrix}$$

such that

$$f(s, p) = A + B\varphi(\tau, s, p)(I - D\varphi(\tau, s, p))^{-1}C,$$

where  $\varphi(\tau, s, p) = (2p\tau - s)(2 - s\tau)^{-1}$ .

We shall denote, the set of all  $M \times N$  matrices with complex entries by  $\mathbb{M}_{M \times N}(\mathbb{C})$  and when  $M = N$  we denote it by  $\mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $\Omega$  be bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . We say that a rational map  $\Phi = ((\Phi_{ij})) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{M \times N}(\mathbb{C})$  is

(1) iso-inner if

$$\Phi(z)^* \Phi(z) = I_N \quad \text{a.e. } z \in b\Omega,$$

(2) coiso-inner if

$$\Phi(z)\Phi(z)^* = I_M \quad \text{a.e. } z \in b\Omega,$$

For a rational function  $f = \frac{f_1}{f_2}$  on  $\Omega$ , the  $\deg f_1 = (d_1, d_2)$  will be referred to as the degree of  $f$ .

In a recent work of Knese [21], he proved that any rational iso-inner (or coiso-inner) function has finite dimensional realization. We observed that the same holds in the case of  $\mathbb{G}$ , see Theorem 3.3.

Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  be points in  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be points in the closed operator-norm unit ball of  $\mathbb{M}_{M \times N}(\mathbb{C})$ . The Pick-Nevanlinna interpolation problem is concerned with the study of functions  $\Psi$  which are analytic on  $\Omega$  with  $\|\Psi(z)\| \leq 1$  for all  $z \in \Omega$  and satisfy the interpolation conditions

$$\Psi(\lambda_j) = A_j \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

When  $\Omega = \mathbb{D}$ , the problem was solved over a century ago, [27, 26]. Moreover, it was also shown that a solvable data on  $\mathbb{D}$  always has a rational inner solution. Agler and McCarthy studied the Pick–Nevanlinna problem on  $\mathbb{D}^2$  and gave a necessary and sufficient condition for such functions to exist. They also proved that a solvable matrix Nevanlinna–Pick problem with initial nodes on the bidisc and the final nodes in the closed operator-norm unit ball of  $M \times N$  complex matrices has a rational iso-inner or coiso-inner solution, see [2, 3]. Recently, the Pick–Nevanlinna problem is carried out in the setting of  $\mathbb{G}$ , [7, 12]. In [20], it was shown that a solvable Pick–Nevanlinna problem with initial nodes on  $\mathbb{G}$  and the final nodes in the closed operator-norm unit ball of complex square matrices has a rational inner solution. We observe that this theorem can be proved when the final nodes are in the closed operator-norm unit ball of  $M \times N$  complex matrices. With the help of this theorem, we prove a Caratheodory type approximation theorem for holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{G}$  taking values in the operator-norm unit ball in  $\mathbb{M}_{M \times N}(\mathbb{C})$ .

The second main theorem of this paper is the following.

**Theorem B.** *A solvable matrix Nevanlinna–Pick problem with initial nodes in  $\mathbb{G}$  and the final nodes on the closed operator-norm unit ball of  $M \times N$  complex matrices has a rational iso-inner or coiso-inner solution.*

**1.3. Factorization.** The *Schur class* functions on  $\Omega$ , a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{C}^d$ , is the set of all  $N \times N$  matrix-valued holomorphic functions  $\theta$  in  $\Omega$  such that  $\|\theta(z)\| \leq 1$  for each  $z \in \Omega$ . We denote it by  $\mathcal{S}(\Omega, \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$ . By a *factorization* of a Schur class function  $\theta$ , we mean  $\theta = \theta_1 \theta_2$  for some  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  in the Schur class. This is a very old theme. This appeared in many places, for instances in invariant subspace theory, characteristic function (see [25, 15, 14]). For factorizations of scalar valued Schur class functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\mathbb{D}^2$ , Debnath and Sarkar [28] gave necessary and sufficient conditions in terms of colligation operator. Their technique can also be applied for a special class of Schur functions on  $\mathbb{D}^d$ . Taking cue from these, in the final section we give necessary and sufficient conditions in terms of colligation operator for factorization of matrix-valued Schur class functions on  $\mathbb{G}$  in several situations. We quote a sample result here.

**Theorem C.** *Let  $\theta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$  with  $\theta(0, 0) = A$ , an invertible  $N \times N$  matrix. Then  $\theta = \psi_1 \psi_2$  for some  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$  if and only if there exist Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ , a unitary operator  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$  such that  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  are invariant under  $\tau$  and an isometric colligation*

$$V = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} A & B_1 & B_2 \\ \hline C_1 & D_1 & D_2 \\ C_2 & 0 & D_3 \end{array} \right] : \mathbb{C}^N \oplus (\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N \oplus (\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)$$

such that

$$D_2 = C_1 A^{-1} B_2, \quad A = A_1 A_2 \quad \text{and} \quad A_2^* A_2 = A^* A + C_1^* C_1 \quad (1.4)$$

for some  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$  and

$$\theta(s, p) = A + [B_1, B_2] \varphi(\tau, s, p) \left( I_{\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2} - \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & D_2 \\ 0 & D_3 \end{bmatrix} \varphi(\tau, s, p) \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

2. RATIONAL INNER FUNCTIONS ON  $\mathbb{G}_d$ 

The goal of this section is to study the rational inner functions on  $\mathbb{G}_d$ . First we give a structure of rational inner functions on  $\mathbb{G}_d$ . Then we prove an approximation result on  $\mathbb{G}_d$ . Often,  $z$  is used in this section to represent  $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d)$ .

**2.1. Structure of Rational Inner Functions.** We shall start with a few algebraic lemmas which will be used in the proof of the main result of this subsection.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be two polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d]$  such that  $\xi(0, 0) \neq 0$  and  $\eta(0, 0) \neq 0$ . Then the following hold:*

- (1)  $\tilde{\xi} = \xi$  and
- (2)  $\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\eta} = \tilde{\xi}\tilde{\eta}$ .

Moreover, if  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are two distinct irreducible polynomials, then the following are also true:

- (3) If  $\xi$  divides  $\tilde{\xi}$ , then  $\xi$  is a unimodular scalar multiple of  $\tilde{\xi}$  and
- (4) If  $\xi$  divides  $\tilde{\eta}$  then  $\eta$  is a non-zero scalar multiple of  $\tilde{\xi}$ .

*Proof.* The proof of (1) is obvious. To prove (2), let  $n = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d)$  and  $m = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d)$  be the degrees of the polynomials  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  respectively. Since both  $\xi(0, 0)$  and  $\eta(0, 0)$  are non-zero,  $\deg(\tilde{\xi}) = n$  and  $\deg(\tilde{\eta}) = m$ . Thus  $\deg(\xi\eta) = n + m = \deg(\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\eta})$ . Now,

$$\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\eta}(z) = \overline{z^{n+m}\xi\eta\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)} = \overline{z^n\xi\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)} \overline{z^m\eta\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)} = \tilde{\xi}(z)\tilde{\eta}(z).$$

Now, we shall prove part (3). Suppose  $\xi$  divides  $\tilde{\xi}$ . Then, there exists  $\psi \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d]$  such that  $\tilde{\xi} = \psi\xi$ . Thus  $\tilde{\xi} = \tilde{\psi}\tilde{\xi}$ . Hence, by part (1), we have  $\xi = \tilde{\psi}\tilde{\xi}$ . Since  $\xi$  is irreducible, it follows that  $\psi$  is a unimodular scalar.

Finally, suppose that  $\xi$  divides  $\tilde{\eta}$ . Then there exists  $\psi \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  such that  $\tilde{\eta} = \psi\xi$ . This gives that

$$\eta = \tilde{\eta} = \tilde{\psi}\tilde{\xi}.$$

But  $\eta$  being irreducible  $\tilde{\psi}$  must be a non-zero scalar. This proves (4).  $\square$

Now, we shall prove an elementary lemma about the symmetric rational functions on  $\mathbb{D}^d$ . Let  $S_d$  be the symmetric group of permutations on  $d$  many symbols. For a function  $f$  defined on  $\mathbb{D}^d$  and  $\sigma \in S_d$ , we define  $\sigma(f)(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d) = f(z_{\sigma(1)}, z_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(d)})$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $f$  be a symmetric rational function on  $\mathbb{D}^d$ . Then  $f$  can be expressed as quotient of two symmetric polynomials.*

*Proof.* If  $f$  is the identically zero function, then there is nothing to prove. So we assume  $f$  to be non-zero. Since  $f$  is a rational function, there exist polynomials  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  in  $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d]$  which are co-prime such that

$$f = \frac{\xi}{\eta}.$$

Let  $\sigma \in S_d$ . Then  $\sigma(f) = \frac{\sigma(\xi)}{\sigma(\eta)}$ . Since  $f$  is symmetric, so

$$\sigma(f) = f = \frac{\xi}{\eta} = \frac{\sigma(\xi)}{\sigma(\eta)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Thus,  $\xi\sigma(\eta) = \eta\sigma(\xi)$ . Since  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are co-prime,  $\xi$  divides  $\sigma(\xi)$  and  $\eta$  divides  $\sigma(\eta)$ . Also, note that the total degrees of  $\psi$  and  $\sigma(\psi)$  are same for any polynomial  $\psi \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d]$ . Thus,

$$\sigma(\xi) = \lambda_\sigma \xi \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(\eta) = \mu_\sigma \eta$$

for some non-zero scalars  $\lambda_\sigma$  and  $\mu_\sigma$ . From equation (2.1), it follows that  $\lambda_\sigma = \mu_\sigma$ . The map

$$\sigma \mapsto \lambda_\sigma$$

defines a group homomorphism from  $S_d$  to  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . If we show that the above group homomorphism is the trivial homomorphism, then  $\sigma(\xi) = \xi$  and  $\sigma(\eta) = \eta$  which proves that  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are symmetric polynomials. If possible, suppose there exists  $\sigma = (i, j) \in S_d$  such that  $\lambda_\sigma \neq 1$ . Now  $\sigma(\xi) = \lambda_\sigma \xi$  gives

$$\xi(z_1, \dots, z_i, \dots, z_j, \dots, z_d) = \lambda_\sigma \xi(z_1, \dots, z_j, \dots, z_i, \dots, z_d).$$

Putting  $z_i = z_j$ , we have

$$\xi(z_1, \dots, z_j, \dots, z_j, \dots, z_d) = \lambda_\sigma \xi(z_1, \dots, z_j, \dots, z_j, \dots, z_d).$$

Since  $\lambda_\sigma \neq 1$ ,  $\xi(z_1, \dots, z_j, \dots, z_j, \dots, z_d) = 0$  that is,  $z_i - z_j$  divides  $\xi$ . Similarly, we can show that  $z_i - z_j$  divides  $\eta$ . But this leads to a contradiction as  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are co-prime. Hence the above group homomorphism has to be trivial.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\xi$  be a polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d]$ . Then  $\frac{\xi}{\xi}$  can be written as*

$$\tau \frac{\tilde{\xi}_{j_1} \tilde{\xi}_{j_2} \dots \tilde{\xi}_{j_l}}{\xi_{j_1} \xi_{j_2} \dots \xi_{j_l}}$$

for some  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_l$  such that  $\tilde{\xi}_{j_1} \tilde{\xi}_{j_2} \dots \tilde{\xi}_{j_l}$  and  $\xi_{j_1} \xi_{j_2} \dots \xi_{j_l}$  are co-prime.

*Proof.* Write  $\xi$  as  $\xi_1 \xi_2 \dots \xi_r$  for some irreducible polynomials  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d]$ . Then, by part (2) of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\tilde{\xi} = \tilde{\xi}_1 \tilde{\xi}_2 \dots \tilde{\xi}_r.$$

So,

$$\frac{\tilde{\xi}}{\xi} = \frac{\tilde{\xi}_1 \tilde{\xi}_2 \dots \tilde{\xi}_r}{\xi_1 \xi_2 \dots \xi_r}.$$

Note that  $\tilde{\xi}$  and  $\xi$  can have common factors. If  $\xi_j$  divides  $\tilde{\xi}_j$  for some  $j$ , then by part (3) of Lemma 2.1,  $\frac{\tilde{\xi}_j}{\xi_j} \in \mathbb{T}$ . Since  $\xi_j$ 's are irreducible, any such common factor is divisible by  $\xi_i$  for some  $i$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\xi_1$  divides  $\tilde{\xi}_2$ . Then by part (4) of Lemma 2.1,  $\xi_2 = \beta \tilde{\xi}_1$ . Also  $\tilde{\xi}_2 = \bar{\beta} \xi_1$ . So, in this case we have,

$$\frac{\tilde{\xi}}{\xi} = \frac{\tilde{\xi}_1 (\bar{\beta} \xi_1) \dots \tilde{\xi}_r}{\xi_1 (\beta \tilde{\xi}_1) \dots \xi_r} = \frac{\bar{\beta} \tilde{\xi}_3 \dots \tilde{\xi}_r}{\beta \xi_3 \dots \xi_r}.$$

After such cancellations, we will end up with

$$\frac{\tilde{\xi}}{\xi} = \tau \frac{\tilde{\xi}_{j_1} \cdot \tilde{\xi}_{j_2} \cdots \tilde{\xi}_{j_l}}{\xi_{j_1} \xi_{j_2} \cdots \xi_{j_l}}$$

for some  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_l$  such that  $\tilde{\xi}_{j_1} \tilde{\xi}_{j_2} \cdots \tilde{\xi}_{j_l}$  and  $\xi_{j_1} \xi_{j_2} \cdots \xi_{j_l}$  are co-prime. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Now, we are ready to prove the main theorem about the structure of rational inner functions on  $\mathbb{G}_d$ . This is Theorem A. We restate it for the reader's convinience.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Given a rational inner function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{G}_d$ , there exist a non-negative integer  $k$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$  and a polynomial  $\xi$  with no zero in  $\mathbb{G}_d$  such that*

$$f(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{d-1}, p) = \tau p^k \frac{\xi\left(\frac{s_{d-1}}{p}, \frac{s_{d-2}}{p}, \dots, \frac{s_1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right)}{\xi(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{d-1}, p)}. \quad (2.2)$$

*Conversely, any rational function of the form (2.2) is inner. Moreover, any inner function  $f \in A(\mathbb{G}_d)$  is a rational function of the form (2.2) with the additional property that  $\xi$  has no zero in  $\overline{\mathbb{G}^d}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a rational inner function on  $\mathbb{G}_d$ . Then  $f \circ \pi : \mathbb{D}^d \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  is a symmetric rational inner function on  $\mathbb{D}^d$ . For notational simplicity we write  $\pi$  in place of  $\pi_d$  for the symmetrization map. Invoke Theorem 1.1, to get a polynomial  $\xi$  with no-zero on  $\mathbb{D}^d$ , a  $\tau_1 \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $n = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d)$  such that

$$f \circ \pi(z) = \tau_1 z^n \frac{\tilde{\xi}(z)}{\xi(z)}. \quad (2.3)$$

Note that  $f \circ \pi$  is a symmetric rational function. Thus by Lemma 2.3, we can find a polynomial  $\psi$  such that

$$f \circ \pi = \tau z^n \frac{\tilde{\psi}}{\psi}$$

for some  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$  with  $\tilde{\psi}$  and  $\psi$  are co-prime.

Since  $\xi$  has no zero in  $\mathbb{D}^d$ ,  $\psi$  can not have any zero in  $\mathbb{D}^d$ . Therefore  $z^n \tilde{\psi}$  and  $\psi$  are co-prime. By applying Lemma 2.2, we conclude that  $z^n \tilde{\psi}$  and  $\psi$  are both symmetric polynomials. It is easy to see that degree of a symmetric polynomial is of the form  $(r, r, \dots, r)$  for some non-negative integer  $r$ . If the degree of the polynomial  $\psi$  is  $l = (l_1, l_1, \dots, l_1)$ , then the degree of  $z^n \tilde{\psi}$  is  $(n_1 + l_1, n_2 + l_1, \dots, n_d + l_1)$ . But  $z^n \tilde{\psi}$  being symmetric polynomial  $n_j + l_1 = n_i + l_1$  that is,  $n_i = n_j$  for each  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ . So  $n = (n_1, n_1, \dots, n_1)$  for some non-negative integer  $n_1$ . Since  $\psi$  is symmetric polynomial,

there exists a polynomial  $g$  such that  $\psi = g \circ \pi$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
f \circ \pi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d) &= \tau z^n \frac{\widetilde{g \circ \pi}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d)}{g \circ \pi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d)} \\
&= \tau z^n z^l \frac{g \circ \pi\left(\frac{1}{z_1}, \frac{1}{z_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{z_d}\right)}{g \circ \pi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d)} \\
&= \tau z^n z^l \frac{g\left(\sum_j \frac{1}{z_j}, \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{z_i z_j}, \dots, \frac{1}{z_1 z_2 \dots z_d}\right)}{g(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{d-1}, p)} \\
&= \tau z^{n+l} \frac{g\left(\frac{\overline{s_{d-1}}}{\overline{p}}, \frac{\overline{s_{d-2}}}{\overline{p}}, \dots, \frac{\overline{s_1}}{\overline{p}}, \frac{1}{\overline{p}}\right)}{g(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{d-1}, p)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$f(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{d-1}, p) = \tau p^{n_1+l_1} \frac{g\left(\frac{\overline{s_{d-1}}}{\overline{p}}, \frac{\overline{s_{d-2}}}{\overline{p}}, \dots, \frac{\overline{s_1}}{\overline{p}}, \frac{1}{\overline{p}}\right)}{g(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{d-1}, p)}.$$

Conversely, consider a function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{G}_d$  of the form (2.2). From [29, Theorem 5.2.5], it can be seen that  $\xi$  has no zero in  $\mathbb{G}_d \cup b\mathbb{G}_d$  except possibly a measure zero subset of  $b\mathbb{G}_d$ . Now  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{d-1}, p) \in b\mathbb{G}_d$  satisfies  $\overline{s_j} = s_{d-j}\overline{p}$  and  $|p| = 1$ . Therefore, for almost every point  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{d-1}, p)$  in  $b\mathbb{G}_d$ ,  $|f(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{d-1}, p)| = 1$ . Hence  $f$  is inner.

Finally, suppose  $f \in A(\mathbb{G}_d)$  is inner. Then  $f \circ \pi \in A(\mathbb{D}^d)$  is an inner function. Thus, by Theorem 1.1,  $f \circ \pi$  is of the form (1.1) such that  $\xi$  has no zero in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}^d}$ . Again applying the similar argument as in the above theorem, we get that  $f$  has the form (2.2) and the polynomial in the denominator has no zero in  $\overline{\mathbb{G}_d}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

The Caratheodory type approximation result on  $\mathbb{G}_d$  is the following:

**Proposition 2.5.** *Any holomorphic function  $f : \mathbb{G}_d \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  can be approximated (uniformly on compact subsets) by rational inner functions on  $\mathbb{G}_d$ .*

The proof of the proposition is similar to Rudin's proof of Theorem 5.5.1 in [29] along with a symmetry argument. Hence we omit the proof.

### 3. RATIONAL ISO-INNER AND COISO-INNER FUNCTIONS ON $\mathbb{G}$

This section deals with the rational iso-inner and coiso-inner functions from  $\mathbb{G}$  to  $\mathbb{M}_{M \times N}(\mathbb{C})$ . We shall start this section by stating a recent result by Knese in [21]:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\Psi$  be an  $M \times N$  matrix-valued analytic function on  $\mathbb{D}^2$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (RI)  $\Psi$  is a rational iso-inner function;
- (AD) There exist matrix functions  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  such that

$$I - \Psi(w)^* \Psi(z) = (1 - \overline{w_1} z_1) F_1(w)^* F_1(z) + (1 - \overline{w_2} z_2) F_2(w)^* F_2(z);$$



(TFR) *There exist positive integers  $d_1, d_2$ , and an isometric matrix*

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B_1 & B_2 \\ C_1 & D_{11} & D_{12} \\ C_2 & D_{21} & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C}^N \\ \mathbb{C}^{d_1} \\ \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C}^M \\ \mathbb{C}^{d_1} \\ \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \end{bmatrix}$$

such that

$$\Psi(z) = A + B\mathcal{E}_z(I - D\mathcal{E}_z)^{-1}C$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_z = z_1P_1 + z_2P_2$  and  $P_1, P_2$  are orthogonal projections of  $\mathbb{C}^{d_1+d_2}$  onto  $\mathbb{C}^{d_1}$  and  $\mathbb{C}^{d_2}$ , respectively.

The above Theorem is known for  $M = N$  in [23]. A subset  $\mathbb{F}$  of  $\mathbb{D}^2$  is said to be *symmetric*, if  $(z_2, z_1) \in \mathbb{F}$ , whenever  $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{F}$ . Given a symmetric subset  $\mathbb{F}$  of  $\mathbb{D}^2$ , a function  $g$  on  $\mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$  is said to be *doubly symmetric* if it is symmetric in both the coordinates, i.e., denoting  $z^\sigma := (z_2, z_1)$  for  $z = (z_1, z_2)$ ,

$$g(z, w) = g(z^\sigma, w) = g(z, w^\sigma) = g(z^\sigma, w^\sigma)$$

for all  $z, w \in \mathbb{F}$ .

Now we shall state a lemma without proof which is known for scalar-valued case in [7] and for operator-valued case in [20].

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a symmetric subset of  $\mathbb{D}^2$  and  $G : \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$  be a doubly symmetric function such that there exist positive integers  $d_1, d_2$  and functions  $F_j : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^N, \mathbb{C}^{d_j})$  such that*

$$G(z, w) = (1 - \overline{w_1}z_1)F_1(w)^*F_1(z) + (1 - \overline{w_2}z_2)F_2(w)^*F_2(z)$$

for all  $z, w \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then there exist a unitary operator  $\tau$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{d_1+d_2}$  and a function  $F : \pi(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^N, \mathbb{C}^{d_1+d_2})$  such that for all  $z, w \in \mathbb{F}$ ,

$$G(z, w) = F(t, q)^*(I - \varphi(\tau, t, q)^*\varphi(\tau, s, p))F(s, p),$$

where  $(s, p) = \pi(z)$  and  $(t, q) = \pi(w)$ .

Moreover, the unitary  $\tau$  satisfies

$$\tau^* : \begin{bmatrix} F_1(z) - F_1(z^\sigma) \\ F_2(z^\sigma) - F_2(z) \end{bmatrix} \xi \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} z_1F_1(z) - z_2F_1(z^\sigma) \\ z_1F_2(z^\sigma) - z_2F_2(z) \end{bmatrix} \xi$$

for every vector  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^N$  and  $z \in \mathbb{F}$ , the function  $F' : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^N, \mathbb{C}^{d_1+d_2})$  given by

$$F' : (z_1, z_2) \mapsto (\tau^* - z_2I)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} F_1(z_1, z_2) \\ F_2(z_2, z_1) \end{bmatrix}$$

is symmetric, and  $F : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^N, \mathbb{C}^{d_1+d_2})$  is given by

$$F(z_1 + z_2, z_1z_2) = \left( I - \frac{1}{2}(z_1 + z_2)\tau \right) F'(z_1, z_2).$$

The following theorem is known for square matrix-valued rational inner functions, see [20]. Using the same technique with the help of Theorem 3.1 we observe that their result can be generalized for rectangular matrix-valued rational iso-inner or coiso-inner functions. The proof is in the same line, hence we omit the proof. We also restrict the theorem to rational iso-inner functions solely. The same is true for coiso-inner functions.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\Psi$  be an  $M \times N$  matrix-valued analytic function on  $\mathbb{G}$  such that  $M \geq N$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

(RI)  $\Psi$  is rational iso-inner function;

(AD) There exist positive integer  $d$ , a unitary operator  $\tau : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$ , and an analytic function  $F : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^N, \mathbb{C}^d)$  such that

$$I - \Psi(t, q)^* \Psi(s, p) = F(t, q)^* (I - \varphi(\tau, t, q)^* \varphi(\tau, s, p)) F(s, p); \quad (3.1)$$

(TFR) There exist positive integer  $d$ , unitary operator  $\tau : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$  and an isometric matrix

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C}^N \\ \mathbb{C}^d \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C}^M \\ \mathbb{C}^d \end{bmatrix}$$

such that

$$\Psi(s, p) = A + B\varphi(\tau, s, p)(I - D\varphi(\tau, s, p))^{-1}C. \quad (3.2)$$

For a matrix-valued holomorphic function  $\Psi$  with finite TFR, we consider  $\tilde{\Psi}(s, p) := \Psi(\bar{s}, \bar{p})^*$ . The following proposition shows  $\tilde{\Psi}$  has also finite TFR.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $\Psi : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{M \times N}(\mathbb{C})$  be a function with a finite contractive TFR given via a matrix*

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix},$$

and a unitary matrix  $\tau$ . Set  $\tilde{\Psi}(s, p) = \Psi(\bar{s}, \bar{p})^*$ . Then,  $\tilde{\Psi}$  has a finite contractive TFR given via matrix  $T^*$  and unitary  $\tau^*$ .

*Proof.* First note that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\tau, \bar{s}, \bar{p})^* &= [(2\bar{p}\tau - sI)(2I - \bar{s}\tau)^{-1}]^* \\ &= (2I - s\tau^*)^{-1}(2p\tau^* - sI) \\ &= \varphi(\tau^*, s, p). \end{aligned}$$

Now with  $\Psi(s, p) = A + B\varphi(\tau, s, p)(I - D\varphi(\tau, s, p))^{-1}C$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Psi}(s, p) &= \Psi(\bar{s}, \bar{p})^* \\ &= [A + B\varphi(\tau, \bar{s}, \bar{p})(I - D\varphi(\tau, \bar{s}, \bar{p}))^{-1}C]^* \\ &= A^* + C^*(I - \varphi(\tau, \bar{s}, \bar{p})^*D^*)^{-1}\varphi(\tau, \bar{s}, \bar{p})^*B^* \\ &= A^* + C^*(I - \varphi(\tau^*, s, p)D^*)^{-1}\varphi(\tau^*, s, p)^*B^* \\ &= A^* + C^*\varphi(\tau^*, s, p)(I - D^*\varphi(\tau^*, s, p))^{-1}B^*. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\tilde{\Psi}$  has a finite contractive TFR given by matrix  $T^*$  and unitary  $\tau^*$ .  $\square$

Let  $\Psi : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{M \times N}$  be a holomorphic map. Suppose  $\Psi$  possess a finite contractive transfer function realization given as equation (3.2). Let  $d$  be the dimension of the Hilbert space where the operator  $D$  acts. Then,  $d$  will be called the *size* of the TFR.

The next proposition shows that how a matrix-valued rational iso-inner or coiso-inner function with TFR of size  $d$  is related to a rational inner function with TFR of the same size.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $\Psi : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{M \times N}$  be a function which has a finite contractive TFR of size  $d$ . Then, there exist  $L \geq M, N$  and  $L \times L$  matrix-valued rational inner function  $\tilde{\Psi}$  on  $\mathbb{G}$  with finite-dimensional unitary transfer function realization of size  $d$  such that  $\Psi$  is a sub-matrix of  $\tilde{\Psi}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Psi : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{M \times N}$  has a finite contractive TFR of size  $d$ , there exist unitary matrix  $\tau : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$ , and contractive matrix

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C}^N \\ \mathbb{C}^d \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C}^M \\ \mathbb{C}^d \end{bmatrix}$$

such that

$$\Psi(s, p) = A + B\varphi(\tau, s, p)(I - D\varphi(\tau, s, p))^{-1}C. \quad (3.3)$$

Now use the fact that every contractive matrix is a sub-matrix of a unitary matrix. Suppose  $W$  is a unitary matrix such that  $U$  is a sub-matrix of  $W$  and (if necessary we arrange some rows and columns)

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} A & X & B \\ Y_1 & Y_2 & Y_3 \\ C & Z & D \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, define  $\tilde{\Psi} : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{L \times L}(\mathbb{C})$  as

$$\tilde{\Psi}(s, p) = \begin{bmatrix} A & X \\ Y_1 & Y_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B \\ Y_3 \end{bmatrix} \varphi(\tau, s, p)(I - D\varphi(\tau, s, p))^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} C & Z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then by Theorem 3.4 of [20],  $\tilde{\Psi}(s, p)$  is a rational inner function on  $\mathbb{G}$ . It is easy to see that

$$\Psi(s, p) = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\Psi}(s, p) \begin{bmatrix} I \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

The two propositions above are motivated by [21]. In [20], it is shown that any solvable data with initial nodes in  $\mathbb{G}$  and the final nodes in the set of square matrices has rational inner solution. We notice that the same type of outcome is obtained if rectangular matrices are used as final nodes. Specifically, we prove Theorem B, restated below.

**Theorem 3.6.** *A solvable matrix Nevanlinna-Pick problem with initial nodes in  $\mathbb{G}$  and the final nodes on the closed operator-norm unit ball of  $M \times N$  complex matrices has a rational iso-inner or coiso-inner solution.*

*Proof.* Suppose that ( $M \geq N$ ). Consider an  $n$ -point solvable Nevanlinna-Pick problem with initial data  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  in  $\mathbb{G}$  with  $\lambda_i = (s_i, p_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and final data  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  in the closed operator-norm unit ball of  $M \times N$  complex matrices. Let  $z_i \in \mathbb{D}^2$  be such that  $\pi(z_i) = \lambda_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Consider the at most  $2n$ -point  $\{z_i \rightarrow A_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and  $\{z_i^\sigma \rightarrow A_i\}_{i=1}^n$  matrix Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem on  $\mathbb{D}^2$ . By hypothesis, the above interpolation problem is solvable. Thus by Corollary 11.54 in [3], there exists a rational iso-inner function  $\Psi : \mathbb{D}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{M \times N}(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$\Psi(z_i) = A_i = \Psi(z_i^\sigma) \text{ for each } i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3.4)$$

Invoke Theorem 3.1, to get positive integers  $d_1, d_2$  and functions  $F_j : \mathbb{D}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^N, \mathbb{C}^{d_j})$  for  $j = 1, 2$  such that

$$I - \Psi(w)^* \Psi(z) = (1 - \bar{w}_1 z_1) F_1(w)^* F_1(z) + (1 - \bar{w}_2 z_2) F_2(w)^* F_2(z).$$

Consider the finite subset  $\mathbb{F} = \{z_i, z_i^c : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  of  $\mathbb{D}^2$ . Define  $G : \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$G(z, w) = I - \Psi(w)^* \Psi(z).$$

By (3.4), it follows that  $G$  is doubly symmetric. So, apply Lemma 3.2 to the doubly symmetric function  $G$  to get a unitary  $\tau$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{d_1+d_2}$  and a function  $F : \pi(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^N, \mathbb{C}^{d_1+d_2})$  such that

$$I - A_i^* A_j = F(\lambda_i)^* (I - \varphi(\tau, \lambda_i)^* \varphi(\tau, \lambda_j)) F(\lambda_j)$$

for each  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Rearrange the above equation to obtain

$$I + F(s_i, p_i)^* \varphi(\tau, s_i, p_i)^* \varphi(\tau, s_j, p_j) F(s_j, p_j) = A_i^* A_j + F(s_i, p_i)^* F(s_j, p_j). \quad (3.5)$$

From the above equation the map

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathbb{C}^N} \\ \varphi(\tau, \lambda_i) F(\lambda_i) \end{bmatrix} \xi \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} A_i \\ F(\lambda_i) \end{bmatrix} \xi \quad (3.6)$$

defines an isometry from  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathcal{N}$ , where

$$\mathcal{M} := \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} I \\ \varphi(\tau, \lambda_i) F(\lambda_i) \end{bmatrix} \xi : \xi \in \mathbb{C}^N, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\} \subset \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C}^N \\ \mathbb{C}^{d_1+d_2} \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathcal{N} := \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A_i \\ F(\lambda_i) \end{bmatrix} \xi : \xi \in \mathbb{C}^N, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\} \subset \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C}^M \\ \mathbb{C}^{d_1+d_2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Extend this isometry from  $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C}^N \\ \mathbb{C}^{d_1+d_2} \end{bmatrix}$  to  $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C}^M \\ \mathbb{C}^{d_1+d_2} \end{bmatrix}$  and denoting by

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C}^N \\ \mathbb{C}^{d_1+d_2} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C}^M \\ \mathbb{C}^{d_1+d_2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We define  $\tilde{\Psi} : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$\tilde{\Psi}(s, p) = A + B \varphi(\tau, s, p) (I - D \varphi(\tau, s, p))^{-1} C.$$

By Proposition 3.3, the function  $\tilde{\Psi}$  is a rational iso-inner and equation (3.6) gives that  $\tilde{\Psi}$  interpolates the data. Similarly, we can prove that if  $N \geq M$ , then the above data has a rational coiso-inner solution.  $\square$

It is a folklore that Pick-interpolation problem on the disc implies the Caratheodory approximation theorem which says that any holomorphic function  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  can be approximated uniformly (on compact subsets) by rational inner functions. The same technique with the help of the fact that any solvable data in the disc and bidisc has a rational iso-inner solution, can be applied in the matrix-valued setting also. An alternative operator theoretic proof of the same can be found in [8]. Having Theorem 3.6 on  $\mathbb{G}$  in hand, we have the following approximation result.

**Corollary 3.7.** *Any holomorphic function  $f : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{M \times N}(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\|f(s, p)\| \leq 1$  for all  $(s, p) \in \mathbb{G}$ , can be approximated (uniformly on compact subsets) by matrix-valued rational iso-inner or coiso-inner functions.*

*Proof.* We sketch the proof. Choose a countable dense subset  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots\}$  of  $\mathbb{G}$ , set up the interpolation problem  $\{\lambda_j \rightarrow f(\lambda_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ , for each  $n \geq 1$ , get rational iso-inner or coiso-inner solutions  $\{f_n\}$  and apply Montel's theorem. An application of Arzela-Ascoli theorem completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. FACTORIZATION OF MATRIX-VALUED SCHUR CLASS FUNCTIONS ON $\mathbb{G}$

Recall that, given any  $\theta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$ , there exist a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and a unitary operator  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\theta(s, p) = A + B\varphi(\tau, s, p) (I_{\mathcal{H}} - D\varphi(\tau, s, p))^{-1} C$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} : \mathbb{C}^N \oplus \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}$$

is an isometry, known as the *colligation operator* for  $\theta$ . In this section, we find a necessary and sufficient conditions for a function  $\theta$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$  to have a factorization  $\theta = \psi_1 \psi_2$  for some  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$ . The results of this section are motivated by [28].

First we consider the case when  $\theta$  vanishes at  $(0, 0)$  and one of its factors is a self adjoint invertible matrix at  $(0, 0)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\theta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$  be such that  $\theta(0, 0) = 0$ . Then  $\theta = \psi_1 \psi_2$  with  $\psi_2(0, 0) = A$ , a self adjoint invertible  $N \times N$  matrix, for some  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$  if and only if there exist Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ , a unitary operator*

$$\tau : \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$$

such that both  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  are invariant under  $\tau$  and an isometric colligation,

$$V = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & B_1 & 0 \\ \hline C_1 & D_1 & D_2 \\ C_2 & 0 & D_3 \end{array} \right] : \mathbb{C}^N \oplus (\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N \oplus (\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)$$

with

$$C_1 A^{-2} C_1^* D_2 = D_2, \quad C_1^* C_1 = A^2 \tag{4.1}$$

such that

$$\theta(s, p) = B\varphi(\tau, s, p) (I_{\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2} - D\varphi(\tau, s, p))^{-1} C,$$

for all  $(s, p) \in \mathbb{G}$ , where

$$B = [B_1 \quad 0], \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & D_2 \\ 0 & D_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\theta = \psi_1\psi_2$  and  $\psi_2(0, 0) = A$ , where  $A$  is self adjoint and invertible. Then  $\psi_1(0, 0) = 0$ . Now  $\psi_1$  being in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$ , by the Realization formula, there exist a unitary operator  $\tau_1$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_1$ , and an isometric colligation

$$V_1 = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & B_1 \\ \hline C_1 & D_1 \end{array} \right]$$

such that

$$\psi_1(s, p) = B_1\varphi(\tau_1, s, p)(I - D_1\varphi(\tau_1, s, p))^{-1}C_1.$$

Similarly, for  $\psi_2$ , there exist an unitary operator  $\tau_2$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_2$  and an isometric colligation

$$V_2 = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} A & B_2 \\ \hline C_2 & D_2 \end{array} \right]$$

such that

$$\psi_2(s, p) = A + B_2\varphi(\tau_2, s, p)(I - D_2\varphi(\tau_2, s, p))^{-1}C_2.$$

Now we define,

$$\tau := \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_2 \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2.$$

Clearly,  $\tau$  is a unitary operator and both  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  are invariant under  $\tau$ . So,

$$\varphi(\tau, s, p) = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(\tau_1, s, p) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(\tau_2, s, p) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Set,

$$V = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & B_1 & 0 \\ \hline C_1 & D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}_2} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} A & 0 & B_2 \\ \hline 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}_1} & 0 \\ C_2 & 0 & D_2 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & B_1 & 0 \\ \hline C_1A & D_1 & C_1B_2 \\ C_2 & 0 & D_2 \end{array} \right].$$

Since  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are isometries, it follows that  $V$  is also isometry. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(s, p) &= [B_1 \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(\tau_1, s, p) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(\tau_2, s, p) \end{bmatrix} \left( I_{\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2} - \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & C_1B_2 \\ 0 & D_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(\tau_1, s, p) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(\tau_2, s, p) \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} C_1A \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [B_1\varphi(\tau_1, s, p) \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} (I_{\mathcal{H}_1} - D_1\varphi(\tau_1, s, p))^{-1} & Z \\ 0 & (I_{\mathcal{H}_2} - D_2\varphi(\tau_2, s, p))^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_1A \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Z = (I_{\mathcal{H}_1} - D_1\varphi(\tau_1, s, p))^{-1} C_1B_2\varphi(\tau_2, s, p) (I_{\mathcal{H}_2} - D_2\varphi(\tau_2, s, p))^{-1}.$$

A straightforward calculation gives the following

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(s, p) &= [B_1\varphi(\tau_1, s, p) (I_{\mathcal{H}_1} - D_1\varphi(\tau_1, s, p))^{-1} C_1A] + \\ &\quad [B_1\varphi(\tau_1, s, p) (I_{\mathcal{H}_1} - D_1\varphi(\tau_1, s, p))^{-1} C_1B_2\varphi(\tau_2, s, p) (I_{\mathcal{H}_2} - D_2\varphi(\tau_2, s, p))^{-1} C_2] \\ &= \psi_1(s, p)\psi_2(s, p) \\ &= \theta(s, p). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose we write the isometry

$$V = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & \tilde{B}_1 & 0 \\ \hline C_1 & \tilde{D}_1 & \tilde{D}_2 \\ \tilde{C}_2 & 0 & \tilde{D}_3 \end{array} \right].$$

Then  $\tilde{B}_1 = B_1$ ,  $\tilde{C}_1 = C_1A$ ,  $\tilde{C}_2 = C_2$ ,  $\tilde{D}_1 = D_1$ ,  $\tilde{D}_2 = C_1B_2$ , and  $\tilde{D}_3 = D_2$ . Since  $V_1$  is an isometry,

$$V_1^*V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & C_1^* \\ B_1^* & D_1^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B_1 \\ C_1 & D_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathbb{C}^N} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}_1} \end{bmatrix}$$

which gives

$$C_1^*C_1 = I_{\mathbb{C}^N}, \quad C_1^*D_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B_1^*B_1 + D_1^*D_1 = I_{\mathcal{H}_1}. \quad (4.2)$$

Similarly,  $V_2$  is an isometry implies that

$$A^*A + C_2^*C_2 = I_{\mathbb{C}^N}, \quad A^*B_2 + C_2^*D_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B_2^*B_2 + D_2^*D_2 = I_{\mathcal{H}_2}. \quad (4.3)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_1A^{-2}\tilde{C}_1^*\tilde{D}_2 &= (C_1A)A^{-2}(C_1A)^*C_1B_2 \\ &= C_1A^{-1}A^*C_1^*C_1B_2 \\ &= C_1B_2 \\ &= \tilde{D}_2 \quad (\text{since } C_1^*C_1 = I_{\mathbb{C}^N} \text{ and } A = A^*) \end{aligned}$$

Also, using equation (4.2), we get the following

$$\tilde{C}_1^*\tilde{C}_1 = (C_1A)^*(C_1A) = A^*A = A^2.$$

Therefore, the isometry  $V$  satisfies the condition (4.1).

Conversely, suppose that there exist Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  and unitary operator  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$  such that

$$\tau(\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \{0\}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(\{0\} \oplus \mathcal{H}_2) \subseteq \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{H}_2.$$

So,  $\tau$  has the following operator matrix form:

$$\tau = \begin{bmatrix} \tau|_{\mathcal{H}_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau|_{\mathcal{H}_2} \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2.$$

Set,  $\tau_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \tau|_{\mathcal{H}_1} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}_2} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\tau_2 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{\mathcal{H}_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau|_{\mathcal{H}_2} \end{pmatrix}$  are both unitary operators on  $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ . Suppose,

$$V = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & B_1 & 0 \\ \hline C_1 & D_1 & D_2 \\ C_2 & 0 & D_3 \end{array} \right] : \mathbb{C}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$$

is an isometric colligation such that

$$\theta(s, p) = B\varphi(\tau, s, p)(I_{\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2} - D\varphi(\tau, s, p))^{-1}C,$$

for all  $(s, p) \in \mathbb{G}$ , where

$$B = [B_1 \ 0], \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & D_2 \\ 0 & D_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

that satisfies equation(4.1) for some self adjoint and invertible matrix  $A$ . Set,

$$V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B_1 \\ C_1 A^{-1} & D_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad V_2 = \begin{bmatrix} A & B_2 \\ C_2 & D_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $B_2 = A^{-1}C_1^*D_2$ . Since  $V$  is an isometry,

$$V^*V = \begin{bmatrix} C_1^*C_1 + C_2^*C_2 & C_1^*D_1 & C_1^*D_2 + C_2^*D_3 \\ D_1^*C_1 & B_1^*B_1 + D_1^*D_1 & D_1^*D_2 \\ D_2^*C_1 + D_3^*C_2 & D_2^*D_1 & D_2^*D_2 + D_3^*D_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathbb{C}^N} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}_2} \end{bmatrix},$$

which gives

$$C_1^*D_1 = 0 = D_1^*D_2, \quad C_1^*D_2 + C_2^*D_3 = 0, \quad B_1^*B_1 + D_1^*D_1 = I_{\mathcal{H}_1}, \quad D_2^*D_2 + D_3^*D_3 = I_{\mathcal{H}_2} \quad \text{and}$$

$$C_1^*C_1 + C_2^*C_2 = I_{\mathbb{C}^N} \implies A^2 + C_2^*C_2 = I_{\mathbb{C}^N}.$$

Using the above relations and

$$\begin{aligned} B_2^*B_2 + D_3^*D_3 &= D_2^*C_1A^{-2}C_1^*D_2 + D_3^*D_3 \\ &= D_2^*D_2 + D_3^*D_3 \quad (\text{by 4.1}) \\ &= I_{\mathcal{H}_2}, \end{aligned}$$

we find that,

$$V_1^*V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1}C_1^*C_1A^{-1} & A^{-1}C_1^*D_1 \\ D_1^*C_1A^{-1} & B_1^*B_1 + D_1^*D_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathbb{C}^N} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}_1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$V_2^*V_2 = \begin{bmatrix} A^2 + C_2^*C_2 & A^*B_2 + C_2^*D_3 \\ B_2^*A + D_3^*C_2 & B_2^*B_2 + D_3^*D_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathbb{C}^N} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}_2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

As  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are isometries, the operators

$$\tilde{V}_1 := \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & B_1 & 0 \\ \hline C_1A^{-1} & D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}_2} \end{array} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{V}_2 := \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} A & 0 & B_2 \\ \hline 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}_1} & 0 \\ C_2 & 0 & D_3 \end{array} \right]$$

on  $\mathbb{C}^N \oplus (\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)$  are isometries. Using the relation  $C_1A^{-1}B_2 = D_2$ , we get  $\tilde{V}_1\tilde{V}_2 = V$ .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(s, p) &= [B_1, 0] \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(\tau_1, s, p) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(\tau_2, s, p) \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathcal{H}_1} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}_2} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & C_1A^{-1}B_2 \\ 0 & D_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(\tau_1, s, p) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(\tau_2, s, p) \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [B_1\varphi(\tau_1, s, p), 0] \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathcal{H}_1} - D_1\varphi(\tau_1, s, p) & -C_1A^{-1}B_2\varphi(\tau_2, s, p) \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}_2} - D_3\varphi(\tau_2, s, p) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \psi_1(s, p)\psi_2(s, p) \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\psi_1(s, p) = B_1\varphi(\tau_1, s, p) (I_{\mathcal{H}_1} - D_1\varphi(\tau_1, s, p))^{-1} C_1A^{-1}$$

and

$$\psi_2(s, p) = A + B_2\varphi(\tau_2, s, p) (I_{\mathcal{H}_2} - D_3\varphi(\tau_2, s, p))^{-1} C_2.$$

Clearly,  $\psi_1(0, 0) = 0$  and  $\psi_2(0, 0) = A$ . And also  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are isometric colligations for  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  respectively. Therefore by Theorem 1.2,  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$ .  $\square$



Now we shall consider the case when  $\psi_j(0,0) = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . The proof is more or less similar to the previous one. Hence we omit the proof.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\theta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$  with  $\theta(0,0) = 0$ . Then, there exists  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$  such that  $\theta = \psi_1\psi_2$  and  $\psi_1(0,0) = 0 = \psi_2(0,0)$  if and only if there exists a unitary  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$  for some Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  such that they are invariant under  $\tau$  and an isometric colligation*

$$V = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & D_1 & D_2 \\ \hline C_2 & 0 & D_3 \end{array} \right] : \mathbb{C}^N \oplus (\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N \oplus (\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)$$

such that

$$\theta(s,p) = [B_1, 0]\varphi(\tau, s, p) \left( I_{\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2} - \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & D_2 \\ 0 & D_3 \end{bmatrix} \varphi(\tau, s, p) \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $X^*D_1 = 0$  and  $D_2 = XY$  for some  $Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathbb{C}^N)$  and isometry  $X$ .

So in the above two theorems we assumed that  $\theta(0,0) = 0$ . Now we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition for a factorization of  $\theta$  when  $\theta(0,0)$  is an invertible  $N \times N$  matrix.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $\theta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$  with  $\theta(0,0) = A$ , an invertible  $N \times N$  matrix. Then  $\theta = \psi_1\psi_2$  for some  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$  if and only if there exist Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ , a unitary operator  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$  such that  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  are invariant under  $\tau$  and an isometric colligation*

$$V = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} A & B_1 & B_2 \\ \hline C_1 & D_1 & D_2 \\ C_2 & 0 & D_3 \end{array} \right] : \mathbb{C}^N \oplus (\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N \oplus (\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)$$

such that

$$D_2 = C_1A^{-1}B_2, \quad A = A_1A_2 \quad \text{and} \quad A_2^*A_2 = A^*A + C_1^*C_1 \quad (4.4)$$

for some  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$  and

$$\theta(s,p) = A + [B_1, B_2]\varphi(\tau, s, p) \left( I_{\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2} - \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & D_2 \\ 0 & D_3 \end{bmatrix} \varphi(\tau, s, p) \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$  such that  $\theta = \psi_1\psi_2$ . Then by the Realization formula, there exist Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  and unitaries  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  acting on  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  respectively with isometric colligations  $V_1, V_2$  as follows:

$$V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & B_1 \\ C_1 & D_1 \end{bmatrix} : \mathbb{C}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$$

and

$$V_2 = \begin{bmatrix} A_2 & B_2 \\ C_2 & D_2 \end{bmatrix} : \mathbb{C}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$$

such that

$$\psi_1(s,p) = A_1 + B_1\varphi(\tau_1, s, p) (I_{\mathcal{H}_1} - D_1\varphi(\tau_1, s, p))^{-1} C_1$$

and

$$\psi_2(s,p) = A_2 + B_2\varphi(\tau_2, s, p) (I_{\mathcal{H}_2} - D_2\varphi(\tau_2, s, p))^{-1} C_2.$$

Define

$$V = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} A_1 & B_1 & 0 \\ \hline C_1 & D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}_2} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} A_2 & 0 & B_2 \\ \hline 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}_1} & 0 \\ C_2 & 0 & D_2 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} A_1 A_2 & B_1 & A_1 B_2 \\ \hline C_1 A_2 & D_1 & C_1 B_2 \\ C_2 & 0 & D_2 \end{array} \right]$$

and

$$\tau = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_2 \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2.$$

Clearly,  $V$  is an isometry and  $\tau$  is a unitary. A straightforward computation shows that the transfer function realization corresponding to the isometry  $V$  and the unitary  $\tau$  is  $\theta$ . Also, it is easy to check that the isometry  $V$  satisfies condition (4.4).

Conversely, suppose that there exist Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ , a unitary operator  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$  such that  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  are invariant under  $\tau$  and an isometric colligation

$$V = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} A & B_1 & B_2 \\ \hline C_1 & D_1 & D_2 \\ C_2 & 0 & D_3 \end{array} \right] : \mathbb{C}^N \oplus (\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N \oplus (\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)$$

satisfying (4.4) and

$$\theta(s, p) = A + [B_1, B_2] \varphi(\tau, s, p) \left( I_{\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2} - \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & D_2 \\ 0 & D_3 \end{bmatrix} \varphi(\tau, s, p) \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By our assumption we can write  $\tau = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_2 \end{bmatrix}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ . Set

$$V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & B_1 \\ C_1 A_2^{-1} & D_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad V_2 = \begin{bmatrix} A_2 & A_1^{-1} B_2 \\ C_2 & D_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now we shall prove that  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are isometries. Since  $V$  is an isometry, we have

$$A^* A + C_1^* C_1 + C_2^* C_2 = I_{\mathbb{C}^N}, \quad A^* B_1 + C_1^* D_1 = 0, \quad A^* B_2 + C_1^* D_2 + C_2^* D_3 = 0 \quad (4.5)$$

$$B_1^* B_1 + D_1^* D_1 = I_{\mathcal{H}_1}, \quad B_1^* B_2 + D_1^* D_2 = 0, \quad B_2^* B_2 + D_2^* D_2 + D_3^* D_3 = I_{\mathcal{H}_2}. \quad (4.6)$$

A matrix computation gives that,

$$V_1^* V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} A_1^* A_1 + A_2^{*-1} C_1^* C_1 A_2^{-1} & A_1^* B_1 + A_2^{*-1} C_1^* D_1 \\ B_1^* A_1 + D_1^* C_1 A_1^{-1} & B_1^* B_1 + D_1^* D_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$V_2^* V_2 = \begin{bmatrix} A_2^* A_2 + C_2^* C_2 & A_2^* A_1^{-1} B_2 + C_2^* D_3 \\ B_2^* A_1^{*-1} A_2 + D_3^* C_2 & B_2^* A_1^{*-1} A_1^{-1} B_2 + D_3^* D_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The first equation in (4.5) together with our assumption  $A_2^* A_2 = A^* A + C_1^* C_1$  shows that the  $(1, 1)^{th}$  entry in  $V_1^* V_1$  is the same as  $I_{\mathbb{C}^N}$ . From equation (4.5), we have  $B_1 = -A^{*-1} C_1 D_1$  which gives  $(1, 2)^{th}$  entry as well as  $(2, 1)^{th}$  entry of  $V_1^* V_1$  are 0. Clearly, from equation (4.6), the  $(2, 2)^{th}$  entry is  $I_{\mathcal{H}_1}$ .

Using (4.5), we get that the  $(1, 1)^{th}$  entry of  $V_1^* V_2$  is  $I_{\mathbb{C}^N}$ . Now from (4.5) and (4.4), we have

$$0 = A_2^* A_1^* B_2 + C_1^* C_1 A^{-1} B_2 + C_2^* D_3 = A_2^* A_1^* B_2 + (A_2^* A_2 - A^* A) A^{-1} B_2 + C_2^* D_3 = A_2^* A_1^{-1} B_2 + C_2^* D_3.$$

Hence  $(1, 2)^{th}$  and  $(2, 1)^{th}$  entries of  $V_2^*V_2$  are zero. A simple manipulation in the last equation in (4.6) with the help of (4.4) shows that the  $(2, 2)^{th}$  entry of  $V_2^*V_2$  is the same as  $I_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ . Hence  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are both isometries which in turn imply that the operators:

$$\tilde{V}_1 = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} A_1 & B_1 & 0 \\ \hline C_1 A_2^{-1} & D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}_2} \end{array} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{V}_2 = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} A_2 & 0 & A_1^{-1} B_2 \\ \hline 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}_1} & 0 \\ C_2 & 0 & D_3 \end{array} \right].$$

are isometries on  $\mathbb{C}^N \oplus (\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)$ . Let  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  be the transfer function realizations corresponding to the pair of isometry and unitary  $(\tilde{V}_1, \tau_1 \oplus I_{\mathcal{H}_2})$  and  $(\tilde{V}_2, I_{\mathcal{H}_1} \oplus \tau_2)$  respectively. A similar computation as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 gives that

$$\theta(s, p) = \psi_1(s, p)\psi_2(s, p) \quad \text{for all } (s, p) \in \mathbb{G}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement:** The authors are thankful to their research supervisor Prof. Tirthanakar Bhattacharyya for fruitful discussions and suggestions. The second author is also thankful to Dr. Haripada Sau for some discussion in the beginning of this work. The first author's work is supported by the Prime Minister's Research Fellowship PMRF-21-1274.03. The second author is supported by Int. Ph.D. scholarship from Indian Institute of Science.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Agler, On the representation of certain holomorphic functions defined on a polydisc, Topics in operator theory: Ernst D. Hellinger memorial volume, 47–66, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 48, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1990.
- [2] J. Agler and J. E. McCarthy, *Nevalinna-Pick interpolation on the bidisk*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 506 (1999), 191-204.
- [3] J. Agler and J. E. McCarthy, *Pick Interpolation and Hilbert Function Spaces*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2002.
- [4] J. Agler and N.J. Young, *A commutant lifting theorem for a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and spectral interpolation*, J. Funct. Anal. 161 (1999), 452–477.
- [5] J. Agler and N. J. Young, *The hyperbolic geometry of the symmetrized bidisc*, J. Geom. Anal. 14 (2004), 375-403.
- [6] J. Agler and N. J. Young, *A model theory for  $\Gamma$ -contractions*, J. Operator Theory 49 (2003), 45-60.
- [7] J. Agler and N. J. Young, *Realization of functions on the symmetrized bidisc*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 453(1) (2017), 227-240.
- [8] D. Alpay, T. Bhattacharyya, A. Jindal and P. Kumar, *A dilation theoretic approach to approximation by inner functions*, arXiv:2203.10936.
- [9] J. A. Ball, V. Bolotnikov and Q. Fang, *Transfer-function realization for multipliers of the Arveson space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 333(2007), 68-92.
- [10] T. Bhattacharyya, S. Pal and S. Shyam Roy, *Dilations of  $\Gamma$ -contractions by solving operator equations*, Adv. Math. 230 (2012), no. 2, 577–606.
- [11] T. Bhattacharyya, B. Krishna Das and H. Sau, *Toeplitz operators on the symmetrized bidisc*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2021, no. 11, 8492–8520.
- [12] T. Bhattacharyya and H. Sau, *Holomorphic functions on the symmetrized bidisc- Realization, interpolation and extension*, J. Funct. Anal. 274 (2018), 504-524.
- [13] S. Biswas, S. Shyam Roy, *Functional models of  $\Gamma_n$ -contractions and characterization of  $\Gamma_n$ -isometries*, J. Funct. Anal. 266 (2014), no. 10, 6224–6255.

- [14] M. S. Brodskii, *Unitary operator colligations and their characteristic functions*, (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 33 no. 4(202) (1978), 141–168, 256.
- [15] V. M. Brodskii and M. S. Brodskii, *Factorization of the characteristic function and invariant subspaces of a contraction operator*, (Russian) Funkcional. Anal. i Prilozen. 8 (1974), no. 2, 63–64.
- [16] C. Costara, *On the spectral Nevanlinna-Pick problem*, Studia Math. 170 (2005), no. 1, 23–55.
- [17] M. A. Dritschel and S. McCullough, *Test functions, kernels, realizations and interpolation. Operator theory, structured matrices, and dilations*, 153–179, Theta Ser. Adv. Math., 7, Theta, Bucharest, 2007.
- [18] M. A. Dritschel, S. Marcantognini and S. McCullough, *Interpolation in semigroupoid algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 606 (2007), 1–40.
- [19] A. Edigarian and W. Zwonek, *Geometry of the symmetrized polydisc*, Arch. Math. (Basel), 84 (2005), 364–374.
- [20] B. Krishna Das, P. Kumar and H. Sau, *Distinguished varieties and the Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem on the symmetrized bidisk*, arXiv:2104.12392.
- [21] G. Knese, *Kummert’s approach to realization on the bidisk*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 70 no. 6 (2021), 2369–2403.
- [22] Ł. Kosiński and W. Zwonek, *Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem in the ball*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 370 (2018), 3931–3947.
- [23] A. Kummert, *Synthesis of two-dimensional lossless  $m$ -ports with prescribed scattering matrix*, Circuits Systems Signal Process, 8 no. 1 (1989), 97–119, DOI 10.1007/BF01598747.
- [24] G. Misra, S. Shyam Roy and G. Zhang, *Reproducing kernel for a class of weighted Bergman spaces on the symmetrized polydisc*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 141 no. 7 (2013), 2361–2370.
- [25] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*, 1970.
- [26] R. Nevanlinna, *Über beschränkte Funktionen, die in gegebenen Punkten vorgeschriebene Werte annehmen*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. 13 (1919), 1–72.
- [27] G. Pick, *Über die Beschränkungen analytischer Funktionen, welche durch vorgegebene Funktionswerte bewirkt werden*, Math. Ann. 77 (1916), 7–23.
- [28] D. Ramlal and J. Sarkar, *Factorizations of Schur functions*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 15 no. 3 (2021), 31–49.
- [29] W. Rudin, *Function theory in polydiscs*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE 560012, INDIA  
*Email address:* mainakb@iisc.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE 560012, INDIA  
*Email address:* poornendukumar@gmail.com, poornenduk@iisc.ac.in