

# PARTITIONS OF NONNEGATIVE INTEGERS WITH IDENTICAL REPRESENTATION FUNCTIONS

CUI-FANG SUN, HAO PAN  
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, ANHUI NORMAL UNIVERSITY  
WUHU, 241002, P.R.CHINA

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of all nonnegative integers. For any integer  $r$  and  $m$ , let  $r + m\mathbb{N} = \{r + mk : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . For  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $R_S(n)$  denote the number of solutions of the equation  $n = s + s'$  with  $s, s' \in S$  and  $s < s'$ . Let  $r_1, r_2, m$  be integers with  $0 < r_1 < r_2 < m$  and  $2 \mid r_1$ . In this paper, we prove that there exist two sets  $C$  and  $D$  with  $C \cup D = \mathbb{N}$  and  $C \cap D = (r_1 + m\mathbb{N}) \cup (r_2 + m\mathbb{N})$  such that  $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if and only if there exists a positive integer  $l$  such that  $r_1 = 2^{2l+1} - 2, r_2 = 2^{2l+1} - 1, m = 2^{2l+2} - 2$ .

**Keywords:** Sárközy's problem, partition, representation function, Thue-Morse sequence.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of all nonnegative integers. For  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let the representation function  $R_S(n)$  denote the number of solutions of the equation  $s + s' = n$  with  $s, s' \in S$  and  $s < s'$ . Let  $A$  be the set of all nonnegative integers which contain an even number of digits 1 in their binary representations and  $B = \mathbb{N} \setminus A$ . The set  $A$  is called Thue-Morse sequence. For any positive integer  $l$ , let  $A_l = A \cap [0, 2^l - 1]$  and  $B_l = B \cap [0, 2^l - 1]$ . For any integer  $r$  and  $m$ , let  $r + m\mathbb{N} = \{r + mk : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Sárközy asked whether there exist two subsets  $C, D \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  with  $|(C \cup D) \setminus (C \cap D)| = \infty$  such that  $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$  for all sufficiently large integers  $n$ . By using the Thue-Morse sequence, Dombi [6] answered Sárközy's problem affirmatively. Later, Lev [10], Sándor [12] and Tang [14] proved this result by different methods. Other related results about representation functions can be found in [4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18]).

In 2012, Yu and Tang [19] began to focus on partitions of nonnegative integers with the intersection not empty. In 2016, Tang [15] obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem A** [15, Theorem 1]. Let  $m$  be an integer with  $m \geq 2$ . If  $C \cup D = \mathbb{N}$  and  $C \cap D = m\mathbb{N}$ , then  $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$  cannot hold for all large enough integers  $n$ .

In 2016, Chen and Lev [3] proved the following result.

**Theorem B** [3, Theorem 1]. Let  $l$  be a positive integer. There exist two sets  $C$  and  $D$  with  $C \cup D = \mathbb{N}$  and  $C \cap D = (2^{2l} - 1) + (2^{2l+1} - 1)\mathbb{N}$  such that  $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$  for every positive integer  $n$ .

In [3], Chen and Lev also posed the following two problems.

**Problem 1.** Given  $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$  for every positive integer  $n$ ,  $C \cup D = [0, m]$  and  $C \cap D = \{r\}$  with  $r \geq 0$  and  $m \geq 2$ , must there exist an integer  $l \geq 1$  such that  $r = 2^{2l} - 1, m = 2^{2l+1} - 2, C = A_{2l} \cup (2^{2l} - 1 + B_{2l})$  and  $D = B_{2l} \cup (2^{2l} - 1 + A_{2l})$ ?

**Problem 2.** Given  $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$  for every positive integer  $n$ ,  $C \cup D = \mathbb{N}$  and  $C \cap D = r + m\mathbb{N}$  with  $r \geq 0$  and  $m \geq 2$ , must there exist an integer  $l \geq 1$  such that  $r = 2^{2l} - 1, m = 2^{2l+1} - 1$ ?

---

*Date:* 2022-8-15

E-mail: cuifangsun@163.com, panhao0905@163.com

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(Grant No.11971033).

In 2017, Kiss and Sándor [8] solved Problem 1 affirmatively. Afterwards, Li and Tang [11], Chen, Tang and Yang [2] solved Problem 2 under the condition  $0 \leq r < m$ . In 2021, Chen and Chen [1] solved Problem 2 affirmatively.

**Theorem C** [8, Theorem 7]. Let  $C$  and  $D$  be sets of nonnegative integers such that  $C \cup D = [0, m]$  and  $|C \cap D| = 1, 0 \in C$ . Then  $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$  for every positive integer  $n$  if and only if there exists a positive integer  $l$  such that  $C = A_{2^l} \cup (2^{2^l} - 1 + B_{2^l})$  and  $D = B_{2^l} \cup (2^{2^l} - 1 + A_{2^l})$ .

**Theorem D** [1, Theorem 1.1]. Let  $m \geq 2$  and  $r \geq 0$  be two integers and let  $C$  and  $D$  be two sets with  $C \cup D = \mathbb{N}$  and  $C \cap D = r + m\mathbb{N}$  such that  $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$  for every positive integer  $n$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $l$  such that  $r = 2^{2^l} - 1$  and  $m = 2^{2^{l+1}} - 1$ .

Let  $r_1, r_2, m$  be integers with  $0 < r_1 < r_2 < m$ . In this paper, we focus on partitions of nonnegative integers into two sets  $C, D$  with  $C \cup D = \mathbb{N}$  and  $C \cap D = (r_1 + m\mathbb{N}) \cup (r_2 + m\mathbb{N})$  such that  $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and obtain the following results.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $r_1, r_2, m$  be integers with  $0 < r_1 < r_2 < m$  and  $2 \mid r_1$ . Then there exist two sets  $C$  and  $D$  with  $C \cup D = \mathbb{N}$  and  $C \cap D = (r_1 + m\mathbb{N}) \cup (r_2 + m\mathbb{N})$  such that  $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if and only if there exists a positive integer  $l$  such that  $r_1 = 2^{2^{l+1}} - 2, r_2 = 2^{2^{l+1}} - 1, m = 2^{2^{l+2}} - 2$ .*

**Remark 1.2.** *Let  $r_1, r_2, m$  be integers with  $0 < r_1 < r_2 < m$  and  $2 \nmid r_1$ . By Theorems B and D, we know that there exist two sets  $C$  and  $D$  with  $C \cup D = \mathbb{N}, C \cap D = (r_1 + m\mathbb{N}) \cup (r_2 + m\mathbb{N})$  such that  $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if and only if there exists a positive integer  $l$  such that  $r_1 = 2^{2^l} - 1, r_2 = 2^{2^{l+1}} + 2^{2^l} - 2, m = 2^{2^{l+2}} - 2$ .*

Throughout this paper, let  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and for  $m \leq n$ , define

$$(f(x))_m = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_mx_m.$$

For  $C, D \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $R_{C,D}(n)$  be the number of solutions of  $n = c + d$  with  $c \in C$  and  $d \in D$ . Let  $C + D = \{c + d : c \in C, d \in D\}$ . Let  $C(x)$  be the set of integers in  $C$  which are less than or equal to  $x$ . The characteristic function of  $C$  is denoted by

$$\chi_C(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n \in C, \\ 0, & n \notin C. \end{cases}$$

## 2. LEMMAS

**Lemma 2.1.** [3, Lemma 1] *Suppose that  $C_0, D_0 \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  satisfy  $R_{C_0}(n) = R_{D_0}(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and that  $m$  is a nonnegative integer with  $m \notin (C_0 - D_0) \cup (D_0 - C_0)$ . Then, letting*

$$C_1 := C_0 \cup (m + D_0) \text{ and } D_1 := D_0 \cup (m + C_0),$$

*we have  $R_{C_1}(n) = R_{D_1}(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and furthermore*

- i)  $C_1 \cup D_1 = (C_0 \cup D_0) \cup (m + C_0 \cup D_0)$ ;*
- ii)  $C_1 \cap D_1 \supseteq (C_0 \cap D_0) \cup (m + C_0 \cap D_0)$ , the union being disjoint.*

*Moreover, if  $m \notin (C_0 - C_0) \cup (D_0 - D_0)$ , then also in i) the union is disjoint, and in ii) the inclusion is in fact an equality. In particular, if  $C_0 \cup D_0 = [0, m - 1]$ , then  $C_1 \cup D_1 = [0, 2m - 1]$ , and if  $C_0$  and  $D_0$  indeed partition the interval  $[0, m - 1]$ , then  $C_1$  and  $D_1$  partition the interval  $[0, 2m - 1]$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** [8, Claim 1] *Let  $0 < r_1 < \cdots < r_s \leq m$  be integers. Then there exists at most one pair of sets  $(C, D)$  such that  $C \cup D = [0, m], 0 \in C, C \cap D = \{r_1, \dots, r_s\}$  and  $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$  for every  $n \leq m$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** [8, Claim 3] *If for some positive integer  $M$ , the integers  $M - 1, M - 2, M - 4, M - 8, \dots, M - 2^{\lceil \log_2 M \rceil - 1}$  are all contained in the set  $A$ , then  $\lceil \log_2 M \rceil$  is odd and  $M = 2^{\lceil \log_2 M \rceil} - 1$ .*

**Lemma 2.4.** [8, Claim 4] *If for some positive integer  $M$ , the integers  $M - 1, M - 2, M - 4, M - 8, \dots, M - 2^{\lceil \log_2 M \rceil - 1}$  are all contained in the set  $B$ , then  $\lceil \log_2 M \rceil$  is even and  $M = 2^{\lceil \log_2 M \rceil} - 1$ .*

**Lemma 2.5.** [8, Theorem 3] *Let  $C$  and  $D$  be sets of nonnegative integers such that  $C \cup D = [0, m]$ ,  $C \cap D = \emptyset$  and  $0 \in C$ . Then  $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$  for every positive integer  $n$  if and only if there exists a positive integer  $l$  such that  $C = A_l$  and  $D = B_l$ .*

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

*Proof.* (Sufficiency). For any given positive integer  $l$ , let

$$(3.1) \quad m_i = \begin{cases} 2^{i+1}, & 0 \leq i \leq 2l - 1, \\ 2^{2l+1} - 2, & i = 2l, \\ 2^{i+1} - 2^{i-2l}, & i \geq 2l + 1. \end{cases}$$

For given sets  $C_0 = \{0\}, D_0 = \{1\}$ , define

$$(3.2) \quad C_i = C_{i-1} \cup (m_{i-1} + D_{i-1}), \quad D_i := D_{i-1} \cup (m_{i-1} + C_{i-1}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad C = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i, \quad D = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_i.$$

Clearly,  $R_{C_0}(n) = R_{D_0}(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (both representation functions are identically equal to 0) and  $C_0, D_0$  partition the interval  $[0, m_0 - 1]$ . Applying Lemma 2.1 inductively  $2l - 1$  times, we can deduce that  $R_{C_i}(n) = R_{D_i}(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $C_i, D_i$  partition the interval  $[0, m_i - 1]$  for  $i \in [0, 2l - 1]$ . Thus  $R_{C_{2l-1}}(n) = R_{D_{2l-1}}(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $C_{2l-1}, D_{2l-1}$  partition the interval  $[0, m_{2l-1} - 1] = [0, 2^{2l} - 1]$ . Noting that

$$m_{2l-1} = 2^{2l} \notin (C_{2l-1} - D_{2l-1}) \cup (D_{2l-1} - C_{2l-1}) \cup (C_{2l-1} - C_{2l-1}) \cup (D_{2l-1} - D_{2l-1}),$$

by Lemma 2.1, we have  $R_{C_{2l}}(n) = R_{D_{2l}}(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $C_{2l}, D_{2l}$  partition the interval  $[0, 2m_{2l-1} - 1] = [0, 2^{2l+1} - 1] = [0, m_{2l} + 1]$ .

In addition, it is easily seen that  $\{0, m_{2l}\} \subseteq C_{2l}$ . Then

$$m_{2l} \notin (C_{2l} - D_{2l}) \cup (D_{2l} - C_{2l}), \quad m_{2l} \in (C_{2l} - C_{2l}), \quad m_{2l} \notin (D_{2l} - D_{2l}).$$

By lemma 2.1, we have  $R_{C_{2l+1}}(n) = R_{D_{2l+1}}(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} C_{2l+1} \cup D_{2l+1} &= [0, 2m_{2l} + 1] = [0, m_{2l+1} - 1], \\ C_{2l+1} \cap D_{2l+1} &= (C_{2l} \cup (m_{2l} + D_{2l})) \cap (D_{2l} \cup (m_{2l} + C_{2l})) \\ &= (C_{2l} \cap D_{2l}) \cup (C_{2l} \cap (m_{2l} + C_{2l})) \cup (D_{2l} \cap (m_{2l} + D_{2l})) \cup (m_{2l} + C_{2l} \cap D_{2l}) \\ &= \{m_{2l}, m_{2l} + 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying again Lemma 2.1, we can conclude that  $R_{C_i}(n) = R_{D_i}(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $C_i \cup D_i = [0, m_i - 1]$  and  $C_i \cap D_i = \{m_{2l}, m_{2l} + 1\} + \{0, m_{2l+1}, \dots, (2^{i-2l} - 1)m_{2l+1}\}$  for each  $i \geq 2l + 1$ .

Therefore, by the definition of  $C$  and  $D$  in (3.1)-(3.3), we have  $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $C \cup D = \mathbb{N}$  and

$$C \cap D = \{m_{2l}, m_{2l} + 1\} + m_{2l+1}\mathbb{N} = (r_1 + m\mathbb{N}) \cup (r_2 + m\mathbb{N}).$$

(Necessity). To prove the necessity of Theorem 1.1, we need the following three claims.

**Claim 1.** Given  $0 < r_1 < r_2 < m$ , there exists at most one pair of sets  $(C, D)$  such that  $C \cup D = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $C \cap D = (r_1 + m\mathbb{N}) \cup (r_2 + m\mathbb{N})$  and  $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof of Claim 1.** Assume that there exist at least two pairs of sets  $(C, D)$  and  $(C', D')$  which satisfy the conditions

$$C \cup D = \mathbb{N}, C \cap D = (r_1 + m\mathbb{N}) \cup (r_2 + m\mathbb{N}), R_C(n) = R_D(n) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$C' \cup D' = \mathbb{N}, C' \cap D' = (r_1 + m\mathbb{N}) \cup (r_2 + m\mathbb{N}), R_{C'}(n) = R_{D'}(n) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We may assume that  $0 \in C \cap C'$ . Let  $k$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $\chi_C(k) \neq \chi_{C'}(k)$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} ((r_1 + m\mathbb{N}) \cup (r_2 + m\mathbb{N})) \cap [0, k] &= \{t_1, \dots, t_s\}, \\ C_1 &= C \cap [0, k], \quad D_1 = D \cap [0, k], \\ C_2 &= C' \cap [0, k], \quad D_2 = D' \cap [0, k]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(3.4) \quad C_1 \cup D_1 = C_2 \cup D_2 = [0, k],$$

$$(3.5) \quad C_1 \cap D_1 = C_2 \cap D_2 = \{t_1, \dots, t_s\},$$

$$(3.6) \quad \chi_{C_1}(k) \neq \chi_{C_2}(k), \quad 0 \in C_1 \cap C_2.$$

For any integer  $n \in [0, k]$ , by the hypothesis, we have

$$(3.7) \quad R_{C_1}(n) = |\{(c, c') : c < c' \leq n, c, c' \in C_1, c + c' = n\}| = R_C(n) = R_D(n) = R_{D_1}(n),$$

$$(3.8) \quad R_{C_2}(n) = |\{(c, c') : c < c' \leq n, c, c' \in C_2, c + c' = n\}| = R_{C'}(n) = R_{D'}(n) = R_{D_2}(n).$$

Thus there exist two pairs of sets  $(C_1, D_1)$  and  $(C_2, D_2)$  satisfying (3.4)-(3.8). By Lemma 2.2, this is impossible. This completes the proof of Claim 1.

**Claim 2.** Let  $r_1, r_2, m$  be integers with  $0 < r_1 < r_2 < r_1 + r_2 \leq m$  and  $2 \mid r_1$ . Let  $C$  and  $D$  be sets of nonnegative integers such that  $C \cup D = [0, m]$ ,  $C \cap D = \{r_1, r_2\}$  and  $0 \in C$ . If  $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$  for any integer  $n \in [0, m]$ , then there exists a positive integer  $l$  such that  $r_1 = 2^{2l+1} - 2, r_2 = 2^{2l+1} - 1$ .

**Proof of Claim 2.** Let

$$(3.9) \quad p_C(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m \chi_C(i)x^i, \quad p_D(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m \chi_D(i)x^i.$$

Then

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{1}{2}(p_C(x)^2 - p_C(x^2)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_C(n)x^n, \quad \frac{1}{2}(p_D(x)^2 - p_D(x^2)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_D(n)x^n.$$

Since  $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$  for any integer  $n \in [0, m]$ , we have

$$(3.11) \quad \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_C(n)x^n \right)_m = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_D(n)x^n \right)_m.$$

By (3.9)-(3.11), we have

$$\left( \frac{1}{2}(p_C(x)^2 - p_C(x^2)) \right)_m = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_C(n)x^n \right)_m = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_D(n)x^n \right)_m = \left( \frac{1}{2}(p_D(x)^2 - p_D(x^2)) \right)_m.$$

Noting that  $C \cup D = [0, m]$ ,  $C \cap D = \{r_1, r_2\}$ , we have

$$p_D(x) = \frac{1 - x^{m+1}}{1 - x} - p_C(x) + x^{r_1} + x^{r_2}.$$

Then

$$(p_C(x)^2 - p_C(x^2))_m = \left( \left( \frac{1-x^{m+1}}{1-x} - p_C(x) + x^{r_1} + x^{r_2} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{1-x^{2m+2}}{1-x^2} - p_C(x^2) + x^{2r_1} + x^{2r_2} \right) \right)_m.$$

Thus

$$(3.12) \quad (2p_C(x^2))_m = \left( \frac{1-x^{2m+2}}{1-x^2} + 2p_C(x)x^{r_1} + 2p_C(x)x^{r_2} + 2p_C(x)\frac{1-x^{m+1}}{1-x} - \left( \frac{1-x^{m+1}}{1-x} \right)^2 - 2x^{r_1}\frac{1-x^{m+1}}{1-x} - 2x^{r_2}\frac{1-x^{m+1}}{1-x} - 2x^{r_1+r_2} \right)_m.$$

The remainder of the proof is the same as the proof of [13, Theorem 1.1]. For the sake of completeness we give the details.

It is easy to see that  $r_1 \geq 4$ . If there exists a positive even integer  $k$  such that  $r_1 \leq k < k+1 < \min\{r_2, 2r_1\} < m$ , then the coefficient of  $x^k$  in (3.12) is

$$(3.13) \quad 2\chi_C\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) = 1 + 2\chi_C(k-r_1) + 2\sum_{i=0}^k \chi_C(i) - (k+1) - 2$$

and the coefficient of  $x^{k+1}$  in (3.12) is

$$(3.14) \quad 0 = 2\chi_C(k+1-r_1) + 2\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \chi_C(i) - (k+2) - 2.$$

It follows from (3.13) and (3.14) that

$$(3.15) \quad \chi_C\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) = \chi_C(k-r_1) - \chi_C(k+1-r_1) - \chi_C(k+1) + 1.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$(3.16) \quad C \cap [0, r_1 - 1] = A \cap [0, r_1 - 1], \quad D \cap [0, r_1 - 1] = B \cap [0, r_1 - 1].$$

Since  $k+1-r_1 < r_1$ ,  $k-r_1$  is even, by (3.16) and the definition of  $A$ , we have

$$\chi_C(k-r_1) + \chi_C(k+1-r_1) = 1.$$

If  $\chi_C(k-r_1) = 0$ , then  $\chi_C(k+1-r_1) = 1$ . By (3.15), we get  $\chi_C\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) = 0$ .

If  $\chi_C(k-r_1) = 1$ , then  $\chi_C(k+1-r_1) = 0$ . By (3.15), we get  $\chi_C\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) = 1$ .

Thus  $\chi_C(k-r_1) = \chi_C\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)$ . Putting  $k = 2r_1 - 2^{i+1}$  with  $i \geq 0$ , we have  $\chi_C(r_1 - 2^{i+1}) = \chi_C(r_1 - 2^i)$ . Then

$$\chi_C(r_1 - 1) = \chi_C(r_1 - 2) = \chi_C(r_1 - 4) = \cdots = \chi_C(r_1 - 2^{\lceil \log_2 r_1 \rceil - 1}).$$

By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we have  $r_1 = 2^{\lceil \log_2 r_1 \rceil} - 1$ , which contradicts the condition that  $r_1$  is even. Thus  $r_2 = r_1 + 1$ . Let  $k$  be a positive even integer with  $r_2 < k < k+1 < 2r_1 < r_1 + r_2 \leq m$ . Comparing the coefficients of  $x^{k-1}$ ,  $x^k$  and  $x^{k+1}$  on the both sides of (3.12) respectively, we have

$$0 = 2\chi_C(k-1-r_1) + 2\chi_C(k-1-r_2) + 2\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \chi_C(i) - k - 4,$$

$$2\chi_C\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) = 2\chi_C(k-r_1) + 2\chi_C(k-r_2) + 2\sum_{i=0}^k \chi_C(i) - k - 4,$$

$$0 = 2\chi_C(k+1-r_1) + 2\chi_C(k+1-r_2) + 2\sum_{i=0}^{k+1}\chi_C(i) - k - 6.$$

Calculating the above three equalities, we have

$$(3.17) \quad \chi_C\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) = \chi_C(k-r_1) - \chi_C(k-1-r_2) + \chi_C(k).$$

$$(3.18) \quad \chi_C\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) = \chi_C(k-r_2) - \chi_C(k+1-r_1) - \chi_C(k+1) + 1.$$

By choosing  $k = 2r_1 - 2$  in (3.18), we have

$$2\chi_C(r_1-1) = \chi_C(r_1-3) - \chi_C(2r_1-1) + 1.$$

Then  $\chi_C(r_1-1) = \chi_C(r_1-3)$ . Thus  $r_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $r_2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

If  $k-1-r_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then  $k-r_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Thus

$$\chi_C\left(\frac{k-1-r_2}{2}\right) + \chi_C\left(\frac{k-r_1}{2}\right) = 1.$$

Hence

$$\chi_C(k-1-r_2) + \chi_C(k-r_1) = 1.$$

If  $\chi_C(k-1-r_2) = 0$ , then  $\chi_C(k-r_1) = 1$ . By (3.17), we have  $\chi_C\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) = 1$ . If  $\chi_C(k-1-r_2) = 1$ , then  $\chi_C(k-r_1) = 0$ . By (3.17), we have  $\chi_C\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) = 0$ . Thus  $\chi_C\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) = \chi_C(k-r_1)$  and  $\chi_C\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) + \chi_C(k-1-r_2) = 1$ . Noting that  $\chi_C(k-1-r_2) + \chi_C(k-r_2) = 1$ , we have  $\chi_C\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) = \chi_C(k-r_2)$ .

If  $k-1-r_2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then  $k-r_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . By (3.17), we have

$$\chi_C\left(\frac{k-2}{2}\right) = \chi_C(k-2-r_1) - \chi_C(k-3-r_2) + \chi_C(k-2).$$

Then  $\chi_C\left(\frac{k-2}{2}\right) = \chi_C(k-2-r_1)$ . Noting that  $\chi_C\left(\frac{k-2}{2}\right) + \chi_C\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) = 1$  and  $\chi_C(k-1-r_2) + \chi_C(k-r_2) = 1$ , we have  $\chi_C\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) = \chi_C(k-r_2)$ .

Thus we get  $\chi_C\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) = \chi_C(k-r_2)$ . Let  $k = 2r_2 - 2^{i+1}$  with  $i \geq 0$ . Then  $\chi_C(r_2 - 2^{i+1}) = \chi_C(r_2 - 2^i)$ . Thus

$$\chi_C(r_2-1) = \chi_C(r_2-2) = \chi_C(r_2-4) = \cdots = \chi_C(r_2 - 2^{\lceil \log_2 r_2 \rceil - 1}).$$

By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we have  $r_2 = 2^{\lceil \log_2 r_2 \rceil} - 1$ .

Comparing the coefficients of  $x^{r_1}$  and  $x^{r_1-1}$  on the both sides of (3.12) respectively, we have

$$2\chi_C\left(\frac{r_1}{2}\right) = 2\sum_{i=0}^{r_1}\chi_C(i) - r_1, \quad 0 = 2\sum_{i=0}^{r_1-1}\chi_C(i) - r_1.$$

Subtracting the above two equalities and dividing by 2, we get  $\chi_C\left(\frac{r_1}{2}\right) = 1$ . Then  $\chi_A\left(\frac{r_1}{2}\right) = \chi_C\left(\frac{r_1}{2}\right) = 1$ . Thus  $r_2 = 2^{2l+1} - 1$  and  $r_1 = 2^{2l+1} - 2$  for some positive integer  $l$ . This completes the proof of Claim 2.

**Claim 3.** Let  $l$  be a positive integer and let  $E, F$  be two sets of nonnegative integers with  $E \cup F = [0, 3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4]$ ,  $0 \in E$  and  $E \cap F = \{2^{2l+1} - 2, 2^{2l+1} - 1\}$ . Then  $R_E(n) = R_F(n)$  for any integer  $n \in [0, 3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4]$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} E &= A_{2l+1} \cup (2^{2l+1} - 2 + B_{2l+1}) \cup (2^{2l+2} - 2 + (B_{2l+1} \cap [0, 2^{2l+1} - 3])) \cup \{3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4\}, \\ F &= B_{2l+1} \cup (2^{2l+1} - 2 + A_{2l+1}) \cup (2^{2l+2} - 2 + (A_{2l+1} \cap [0, 2^{2l+1} - 3])). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof of Claim 3.** We first prove the sufficiency of Claim 3. It is easy to verify that  $E \cup F = [0, 3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4]$ ,  $0 \in E$  and  $E \cap F = \{2^{2l+1} - 2, 2^{2l+1} - 1\}$ .

If  $n \in [0, 2^{2l+2} - 3]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} R_E(n) &= R_{A_{2l+1}}(n) + R_{A_{2l+1}, 2^{2l+1}-2+B_{2l+1}}(n) + R_{2^{2l+1}-2+B_{2l+1}}(n) \\ &= R_{A_{2l+1}}(n) + R_{A_{2l+1}, B_{2l+1}}(n - (2^{2l+1} - 2)) + R_{B_{2l+1}}(n - 2(2^{2l+1} - 2)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_F(n) &= R_{B_{2l+1}}(n) + R_{2^{2l+1}-2+A_{2l+1}, B_{2l+1}}(n) + R_{2^{2l+1}-2+A_{2l+1}}(n) \\ &= R_{B_{2l+1}}(n) + R_{A_{2l+1}, B_{2l+1}}(n - (2^{2l+1} - 2)) + R_{A_{2l+1}}(n - 2(2^{2l+1} - 2)). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $R_{A_{2l+1}}(k) = R_{B_{2l+1}}(k)$ . Then  $R_E(n) = R_F(n)$ .

If  $n \in [2^{2l+2} - 2, 3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 5]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} R_E(n) &= R_{A_{2l+1}, 2^{2l+1}-2+B_{2l+1}}(n) + R_{2^{2l+1}-2+B_{2l+1}}(n) \\ &\quad + R_{A_{2l+1}, 2^{2l+2}-2+(B_{2l+1} \cap [0, 2^{2l+1}-3])}(n) \\ &= R_{A_{2l+1}, B_{2l+1}}(n - (2^{2l+1} - 2)) + R_{B_{2l+1}}(n - 2(2^{2l+1} - 2)) \\ &\quad + R_{A_{2l+1}, B_{2l+1}}(n - (2^{2l+2} - 2)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_F(n) &= R_{B_{2l+1}, 2^{2l+1}-2+A_{2l+1}}(n) + R_{2^{2l+1}-2+A_{2l+1}}(n) \\ &\quad + R_{B_{2l+1}, 2^{2l+2}-2+(A_{2l+1} \cap [0, 2^{2l+1}-3])}(n) \\ &= R_{B_{2l+1}, A_{2l+1}}(n - (2^{2l+1} - 2)) + R_{A_{2l+1}}(n - 2(2^{2l+1} - 2)) \\ &\quad + R_{B_{2l+1}, A_{2l+1}}(n - (2^{2l+2} - 2)). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5,  $R_{A_{2l+1}}(k) = R_{B_{2l+1}}(k)$  holds for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and then  $R_E(n) = R_F(n)$ .

By  $3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4 = (2^{2l+1} - 2) + (2^{2l+2} - 2)$  in  $D$ , we have

$$R_C(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4) = 1 + R_{B_{2l+1}}(2^{2l+1}) + R_{A_{2l+1}, B_{2l+1}}(2^{2l+1} - 2)$$

and

$$R_D(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4) = 1 + R_{A_{2l+1}}(2^{2l+1}) + R_{B_{2l+1}, A_{2l+1}}(2^{2l+1} - 2).$$

Thus  $R_C(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4) = R_D(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4)$ .

The necessity of Claim 3 follows from Lemma 2.2 and the sufficiency of Claim 3. This completes the proof of Claim 3.

Let

$$C_1 = C \cap [0, m - 1 + r_1], \quad D_1 = D \cap [0, m - 1 + r_1].$$

Then

$$C_1 \cup D_1 = [0, m - 1 + r_1], \quad C_1 \cap D_1 = \{r_1, r_2\}.$$

Moreover, for any integer  $n \in [0, m - 1 + r_1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{C_1}(n) &= |\{(c, c') : c < c' \leq n, c, c' \in C_1, c + c' = n\}| \\ &= |\{(c, c') : c < c' \leq n, c, c' \in C, c + c' = n\}| \\ &= R_C(n), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{D_1}(n) &= |\{(d, d') : d < d' \leq n, d, d' \in D_1, d + d' = n\}| \\
&= |\{(d, d') : d < d' \leq n, d, d' \in D, d + d' = n\}| \\
&= R_D(n).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus for any integer  $n \in [0, m - 1 + r_1]$ , we have

$$R_{C_1}(n) = R_C(n) = R_D(n) = R_{D_1}(n).$$

Noting that  $r_2 \leq m - 1$ , we see that  $r_1 + r_2 \leq m - 1 + r_1$ . By Claim 2, there exists a positive integer  $l$  such that  $r_1 = 2^{2l+1} - 2$ ,  $r_2 = 2^{2l+1} - 1$ .

Let  $E$  and  $F$  be as in Claim 3. If  $m \geq 2^{2l+2} - 1$  and  $0 \in C$ , then  $m - 1 + r_1 \geq 3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4$  and

$$C(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4) \cup D(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4) = [0, 3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4],$$

$$C(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4) \cap D(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4) = \{2^{2l+1} - 2, 2^{2l+1} - 1\}.$$

Moreover, for  $0 \leq n \leq 3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4$ ,

$$R_{C(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4)}(n) = R_C(n) = R_D(n) = R_{D(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4)}(n).$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$C(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4) = E, \quad D(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 4) = F.$$

By

$$R_C(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 3) = \chi_C(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 3) + R_{A_{2l+1}, B_{2l+1}}(2^{2l+1} - 1) + R_{B_{2l+1}}(2^{2l+1} + 1)$$

and

$$R_D(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 3) = R_{B_{2l+1}, A_{2l+1}}(2^{2l+1} - 1) + R_{A_{2l+1}}(2^{2l+1} + 1).$$

Thus  $R_C(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 3) = R_D(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 3)$  if and only if  $\chi_C(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 3) = 0$ , that is,  $3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 3 \in D$ . Noting that  $2^{2l+1} - 2 \in A_{2l+1}$ ,  $2^{2l+1} - 1 \in B_{2l+1}$ ,  $3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 2 = (2^{2l+1} - 1) + (2^{2l+2} - 1)$  in  $C$  and  $3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 2 = 1 + (3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 3)$  in  $D$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
R_C(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 2) &= 1 + \chi_C(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 2) + R_{A_{2l+1}, B_{2l+1}}(2^{2l+1}) + R_{B_{2l+1}}(2^{2l+1} + 2) \\
&\quad - \chi_{A_{2l+1}}(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 2 - (2^{2l+2} - 2 + 2^{2l+1} - 1)) \\
&= 1 + \chi_C(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 2) + R_{A_{2l+1}, B_{2l+1}}(2^{2l+1}) + R_{B_{2l+1}}(2^{2l+1} + 2)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
R_D(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 2) &= 1 + R_{B_{2l+1}, A_{2l+1}}(2^{2l+1}) + R_{A_{2l+1}}(2^{2l+1} + 2) \\
&\quad - \chi_{B_{2l+1}}(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 2 - (2^{2l+2} - 2 + 2^{2l+1} - 2)) \\
&= R_{B_{2l+1}, A_{2l+1}}(2^{2l+1}) + R_{A_{2l+1}}(2^{2l+1} + 2).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by Lemma 2.4, we have  $R_C(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 2) > R_D(3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 2)$ , which is impossible. Therefore  $m \leq 2^{2l+2} - 2$ .

Now we assume that  $2^{2l+1} \leq m \leq 2^{2l+2} - 3$  and  $0 \in C$ . Let

$$M = 2^{2l+1} - 2 + m.$$

Since  $2^{2l+2} - 2 \leq M \leq 3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 5$ , by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$(3.19) \quad E(M) \cup F(M) = [0, M], \quad E(M) \cap F(M) = \{2^{2l+1} - 2, 2^{2l+1} - 1\},$$

$$(3.20) \quad R_{E(M)}(n) = R_E(n) = R_F(n) = R_{F(M)}(n) \text{ for any integer } n \in [0, M].$$



Moreover,

$$(3.21) \quad C(M) \cup D(M-1) = [0, M], \quad C(M) \cap D(M-1) = \{2^{2l+1} - 2, 2^{2l+1} - 1\}.$$

Since  $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \notin D$ , we have

$$(3.22) \quad R_{C(M)}(n) = R_C(n) = R_D(n) = R_{D(M-1)}(n) \text{ for any integer } n \in [0, M].$$

By (3.19)-(3.22) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$C(M) = E(M), \quad D(M-1) = F(M).$$

Then  $\chi_E(M) = 1$ ,  $\chi_F(M) = 0$ .

By  $2^{2l+1} - 3 \in A_{2l+1}$ , we have  $3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 5 \in F$ . Then  $M < 3 \cdot 2^{2l+1} - 5$ . If  $\chi_E(M+1) = 1$ , then  $\chi_F(M+1) = 0$  and  $C(M+1) = E(M+1)$ ,  $D(M+1) = F(M+1) \cup \{M, M+1\}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} R_C(M+1) &= |\{(c, c') : 0 \leq c < c' \leq M+1, c, c' \in C, c+c' = M+1\}| \\ &= |\{(c, c') : 0 \leq c < c' \leq M+1, c, c' \in C(M+1), c+c' = M+1\}| \\ &= R_{E(M+1)}(M+1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_D(M+1) &= |\{(d, d') : 1 \leq d < d' \leq M+1, d, d' \in D, d+d' = M+1\}| \\ &= |\{(d, d') : 1 \leq d < d' \leq M+1, d, d' \in D(M+1), d+d' = M+1\}| \\ &= 1 + |\{(d, d') : 1 \leq d < d' \leq M+1, d, d' \in F(M+1), d+d' = M+1\}| \\ &= 1 + R_{F(M+1)}(M+1). \end{aligned}$$

By Claim 3, we have  $R_{E(M+1)}(M+1) = R_{F(M+1)}(M+1)$ . Then  $R_C(M+1) \neq R_D(M+1)$ , a contradiction. If  $\chi_E(M+1) = 0$ , then  $\chi_F(M+1) = 1$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} R_C(M+2) &= |\{(c, c') : 0 \leq c < c' \leq M+2, c, c' \in C, c+c' = M+2\}| \\ &= \chi_C(M+2) + |\{(c, c') : 0 \leq c < c' \leq M, c, c' \in C(M), c+c' = M+2\}| \\ &= \chi_C(M+2) + |\{(c, c') : 0 \leq c < c' \leq M, c, c' \in E(M), c+c' = M+2\}| \\ &= \chi_C(M+2) + R_{E(M)}(M+2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_D(M+2) &= |\{(d, d') : 0 \leq d < d' \leq M+2, d, d' \in D, d+d' = M+2\}| \\ &= |\{(d, d') : 1 \leq d < d' \leq M+1, d, d' \in D(M+1), d+d' = M+2\}| \\ &= 2 + |\{(d, d') : 1 \leq d < d' \leq M-1, d, d' \in D(M-1), d+d' = M+2\}| \\ &= 2 + |\{(d, d') : 1 \leq d < d' \leq M-1, d, d' \in F(M), d+d' = M+2\}| \\ &= 2 + R_{F(M)}(M+2). \end{aligned}$$

By Claim 3, we have  $R_{E(M)}(M+2) = R_{F(M)}(M+2)$ . Then  $R_C(M+2) \neq R_D(M+2)$ , also a contradiction. Therefore  $m = 2^{2l+2} - 2$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

## REFERENCES

- [1] S.Q. Chen, Y.G. Chen, *Integer sets with identical representation functions II*, European J. Combin. 94 (2021) 103293.
- [2] S.Q. Chen, M. Tang, Q.H. Yang, *On a problem of Chen and Lev*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 99 (2019) 15-22.
- [3] Y.G. Chen, V.F. Lev, *Integer sets with identical representation functions*, Integers 16 (2016) A36.
- [4] Y.G. Chen, M. Tang, *Partitions of natural numbers with the same representation functions*, J. Number Theory 129 (2009) 2689-2695.

- [5] Y.G. Chen, B. Wang, *On additive properties of two special sequences*, Acta Arith. 110 (2003) 299-303.
- [6] G. Dombi, *Additive properties of certain sets*, Acta Arith. 103 (2002) 137-146.
- [7] K.J. Jiao, C. Sándor, Q.H. Yang, J.Y. Zhou, *On integer sets with the same representation functions*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972722000119>.
- [8] S.Z. Kiss, C. Sándor, *Partitions of the set of nonnegative integers with the same representation functions*, Discrete Math. 340 (2017) 1154-1161.
- [9] S.Z. Kiss, C. Sándor, *On the structure of sets which have coinciding representation functions*, Integers 19 (2019) A66.
- [10] V.F. Lev, *Reconstructing integer sets from their representation functions*, Electron. J. Combin. 11 (2004) R78.
- [11] J.W. Li, M. Tang, *Partitions of the set of nonnegative integers with the same representation functions*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 97 (2018) 200-206.
- [12] C. Sándor, *Partitions of natural numbers and their representation functions*, Integers 4 (2004) A18.
- [13] C.F. Sun, H. Pan, *Partitions of finite nonnegative integer sets with identical representation functions*, arXiv: 2208.06846.
- [14] M. Tang, *Partitions of the set of natural numbers and their representation functions*, Discrete Math. 308 (2008) 2614-2616.
- [15] M. Tang, *Partitions of natural numbers and their representation functions*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser A 37 (2016) 41-46. For English version, see Chinese J. Contemp. Math. 37 (2016) 39-44.
- [16] M. Tang, S.Q. Chen, *On a problem of partitions of the set of nonnegative integers with the same representation functions*, Discrete Math. 341 (2018) 3075-3078.
- [17] M. Tang, J.W. Li, *On the structure of some sets which have the same representation functions*, Period. Math. Hungar. 77 (2018) 232-236.
- [18] Q.H. Yang, Y.G. Chen, *Partitions of natural numbers with the same representation functions*, J. Number Theory 132 (2012) 3047-3055.
- [19] W. Yu, M. Tang, *A note on partitions of natural numbers and their representation functions*, Integers 12 (2012) A53.