

# The proper conflict-free $k$ -coloring problem and the odd $k$ -coloring problem are NP-complete on bipartite graphs

Jungho Ahn<sup>\*2,1</sup>, Seonghyuk Im<sup>†2,3</sup>, and Sang-il Oum<sup>\*1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Discrete Mathematics Group, Institute for Basic Science (IBS),  
Daejeon, South Korea

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, KAIST,  
Daejeon, South Korea

<sup>3</sup>Extremal Combinatorics and Probability Group,  
Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon, South Korea  
*Email addresses:* junghoahn@kaist.ac.kr, seonghyuk@kaist.ac.kr,  
sangil@ibs.re.kr

August 18, 2022

## Abstract

A proper coloring of a graph is *proper conflict-free* if every non-isolated vertex  $v$  has a neighbor whose color is unique in the neighborhood of  $v$ . A proper coloring of a graph is *odd* if for every non-isolated vertex  $v$ , there is a color appearing an odd number of times in the neighborhood of  $v$ . For an integer  $k$ , the PCF  $k$ -COLORING problem asks whether an input graph admits a proper conflict-free  $k$ -coloring and the ODD  $k$ -COLORING asks whether an input graph admits an odd  $k$ -coloring. We show that for every integer  $k \geq 3$ , both problems are NP-complete, even if the input graph is bipartite. Furthermore, we show that the PCF 4-COLORING problem is NP-complete when the input graph is planar.

---

\*Supported by the Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R029-C1).

†Supported by the Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R029-C4) and the POSCO Science Fellowship of POSCO TJ Park Foundation.

# 1 Introduction

Fabricsi, Lužar, Rindošová, and Soták [8] introduced the proper conflict-free coloring of graphs. For a positive integer  $k$ , a *proper  $k$ -coloring* of a graph is a function that maps each vertex to one of the  $k$  colors such that adjacent vertices receive distinct colors. A *proper conflict-free  $k$ -coloring* of a graph is a proper  $k$ -coloring such that every non-isolated vertex  $v$  has a neighbor whose color is unique in the neighborhood of  $v$ . We abbreviate it as a *PCF  $k$ -coloring*. The *proper conflict-free chromatic number* or *PCF chromatic number* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\chi_{\text{pcf}}(G)$ , is the smallest integer  $k$  such that  $G$  admits a PCF  $k$ -coloring.

Petruševski and Škrekovski [14] introduced the odd coloring of graphs. An *odd  $k$ -coloring* of a graph is a proper  $k$ -coloring such that for every non-isolated vertex  $v$  of  $G$ , there is a color appearing an odd number of times in the neighborhood of  $v$ . The *odd chromatic number* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\chi_{\text{odd}}(G)$ , is the smallest integer  $k$  such that  $G$  admits an odd  $k$ -coloring.

By definition, every proper conflict-free coloring is an odd coloring, so  $\chi_{\text{odd}}(G) \leq \chi_{\text{pcf}}(G)$ . The gap between the chromatic number and the odd chromatic number of a graph could be arbitrary large. For instance, let  $G$  be the graph obtained from the complete graph on  $n$  vertices by replacing each edge with a length-2 path. Since  $G$  is bipartite, it has the chromatic number 2, but it is known that  $\chi_{\text{odd}}(G) = \chi_{\text{pcf}}(G) = n$  [2]. Both colorings have been investigated actively [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16].

For an integer  $k$ , the PCF  $k$ -COLORING problem or the ODD  $k$ -COLORING problem asks whether an input graph admits a PCF  $k$ -coloring or an odd  $k$ -coloring, respectively. It is readily seen that for a graph  $G$ ,

- $\chi_{\text{pcf}}(G) \leq 2$  if and only if  $G$  has the maximum degree at most 1, and
- $\chi_{\text{odd}}(G) \leq 2$  if and only if  $G$  is bipartite and  $\deg_G(v)$  is odd or zero for every vertex  $v$  of  $G$ .

Thus, for  $k \leq 2$ , both the PCF  $k$ -COLORING problem and the ODD  $k$ -COLORING problem are polynomial-time solvable.

Caro, Petruševski, and Škrekovski [1, 2] showed that the following reductions lead to the NP-hardness of both problems.

**Lemma 1.1** (Caro, Petruševski, and Škrekovski [2]). *For a graph  $G$ , let  $H$  be the graph obtained from  $G$  by adding a pendant vertex to every vertex of  $G$ . Then  $\chi(G) \leq \chi_{\text{pcf}}(H) \leq \chi(G) + 1$ .*

**Lemma 1.2** (Caro, Petruševski, and Škrekovski [2]). *For a graph  $G$ , let  $H$  be the graph obtained from  $G$  by adding one vertex adjacent to all other vertices. Then  $\chi(G) + 1 \leq \chi_{\text{pcf}}(H) \leq \chi(G) + 2$ .*

**Lemma 1.3** (Caro, Petruševski, and Škrekovski [1]). *For a graph  $G$ , let  $H$  be the graph obtained from  $G$  by adding a pendant vertex to every vertex of  $G$  having even degree. Then  $\chi(G) = \chi_{\text{odd}}(H)$ .*

Here is a variation of Lemma 1.2. We omit its easy proof.

**Lemma 1.4.** *For a graph  $G$ , let  $H$  be the graph obtained from  $G$  by adding two new adjacent vertices and making them adjacent to all other vertices. Then  $\chi(G) + 2 = \chi_{\text{pcf}}(H)$ .*

For  $k \geq 3$ , above lemmas can be combined with the NP-hardness of deciding  $\chi(G) \leq k$ , or the NP-hardness of deciding whether  $\chi(G) \leq k$  or  $\chi(G) \geq k + 2$  shown by Khanna, Linial, and Safra [11]. That is how Caro, Petruševski, and Škrekovski [1, 2] showed that it is NP-complete to decide whether

$$\chi_{\text{pcf}}(G) \leq k \text{ for } k \geq 4,$$

and it is NP-complete to decide whether

$$\chi_{\text{odd}}(G) \leq k \text{ for } k \geq 3.$$

As these reductions require  $\chi(G) \geq 3$  to be NP-hard, we cannot use the above reductions to prove that our problems are NP-complete on bipartite graphs.

A graph is *subcubic* if every vertex has degree at most 3. We found a reference implying that the PCF 3-COLORING problem is NP-complete on subcubic bipartite planar graphs. In 2009, Li, Yao, Zhou, and Broersma [12] showed that it is NP-complete to decide whether a 2-connected subcubic bipartite planar graph admits a proper 3-coloring such that for every vertex  $v$  of  $G$ , its neighbors have at least two colors. For a subcubic graph, such a coloring is precisely the PCF 3-coloring, and therefore it implies that it is NP-complete to decide whether  $\chi_{\text{pcf}}(G) \leq 3$  on subcubic bipartite planar graphs. In addition, from their reduction, it can be easily seen that it is NP-complete to decide whether  $\chi_{\text{odd}}(G) \leq 3$  on subcubic bipartite planar graphs.

We prove that it is NP-complete to decide whether  $\chi_{\text{pcf}}(G) \leq k$  for  $k \geq 3$ , even if  $G$  is bipartite.

**Theorem 3.1.** *For every integer  $k \geq 3$ , it is NP-complete to decide whether a graph  $G$  admits a PCF  $k$ -coloring, even if  $G$  is bipartite.*

We also prove that it is NP-complete to decide whether  $\chi_{\text{odd}}(G) \leq k$  for  $k \geq 3$ , even if  $G$  is bipartite.

**Theorem 3.2.** *For every integer  $k \geq 3$ , it is NP-complete to decide whether a graph  $G$  admits an odd  $k$ -coloring, even if  $G$  is bipartite.*

In addition, we show that it is NP-complete to decide whether  $\chi_{\text{pcf}}(G) \leq 4$ , even if  $G$  is planar.

**Theorem 4.1.** *It is NP-complete to decide whether a graph  $G$  admits a PCF 4-coloring, even if  $G$  is planar.*

Fabrici, Lužar, Rindošová, and Soták [8] presented a planar graph having the PCF chromatic number 6 and showed that every planar graph admits a PCF 8-coloring. Thus, it remains as an open problem to determine the computational complexity of deciding whether  $\chi_{\text{pcf}}(G) \leq k$  on planar graphs  $G$  when  $k \in \{5, 6, 7\}$ .

We organize this paper as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some terminologies in graph theory. In Section 3, we prove Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 4.1. In Section 5, we conclude the paper with some open problems.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this paper, all graphs are simple and finite. For a positive integer  $k$ , let  $K_k$  be the complete graph on  $k$  vertices and  $[k] := \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . A *pendant vertex* is a vertex of degree 1. A *clique* in a graph  $G$  is a set of pairwise adjacent vertices of  $G$ . The  *$k$ -subdivision* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{sub}_k(G)$ , is the graph obtained from  $G$  by replacing each edge with a path of length  $k+1$ . A graph  $G$  is  *$k$ -connected* if it has more than  $k$  vertices and  $G \setminus X$  is connected for every set  $X \subseteq V(G)$  of size less than  $k$ .

A *plane* graph is a planar graph embedded into  $\mathbb{R}^2$  without crossings of edges. It is well known that in every 2-connected plane graph, each face is bounded by a cycle; see [7, Proposition 4.2.6].

We will use the following simple lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** *In any odd  $k$ -coloring of a graph, the neighbors of a degree-2 vertex have distinct colors.*

*Proof.* It is trivial from the definition of an odd  $k$ -coloring. □

**Lemma 2.2.**  $\chi(G) \leq \chi_{\text{odd}}(\text{sub}_1(G)) \leq \chi_{\text{pcf}}(\text{sub}_1(G))$  for every graph  $G$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1, every odd  $k$ -coloring of  $\text{sub}_1(G)$  induces a proper  $k$ -coloring of  $G$ . □

### 3 NP-completeness on bipartite graphs

We now restate and show Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

**Theorem 3.1.** *For every integer  $k \geq 3$ , it is NP-complete to decide whether a graph  $G$  admits a PCF  $k$ -coloring, even if  $G$  is bipartite.*

**Theorem 3.2.** *For every integer  $k \geq 3$ , it is NP-complete to decide whether a graph  $G$  admits an odd  $k$ -coloring, even if  $G$  is bipartite.*

The following lemma immediately implies Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 for  $k \geq 5$  because it is NP-complete to decide whether  $\chi(G) \leq k$  for a graph  $G$  [9].

**Lemma 3.3.** *For every graph  $G$ ,*

$$\chi(G) \leq \chi_{\text{odd}}(\text{sub}_1(G)) \leq \chi_{\text{pcf}}(\text{sub}_1(G)) \leq \max(\chi(G), 5).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.2, the first two inequalities hold. Let  $k := \max(\chi(G), 5)$  and let  $H := \text{sub}_1(G)$ . We may assume that  $G$  has no isolated vertices. It remains to show that  $\chi_{\text{pcf}}(H) \leq k$ .

Let  $c'$  be a proper  $k$ -coloring of  $G$ . Let  $X$  be a maximal subset of  $V(H)$  containing  $V(G)$  such that there exists a PCF  $k$ -coloring  $c$  of  $H[X]$  extending  $c'$ . We claim that  $X = V(H)$ . If not, then  $H$  has a vertex  $v$  of degree 2 not in  $X$ . Let  $x, y$  be the neighbors of  $v$ . We say a vertex  $w$  uses a color  $i$  if  $c(w) = i$  or  $i$  is the color appearing uniquely in the neighbors of  $w$  in  $H[X]$ . Then each of  $x$  or  $y$  uses at most 2 colors. Since  $k \geq 5$ , there is a color  $i$  not used in  $x$  or  $y$ . Then we can extend  $c$  to a PCF  $k$ -coloring of  $H[X \cup \{v\}]$  by making  $c(v) := i$ , contradicting the assumption that  $X$  is chosen to be maximal.  $\square$

The theorem of Li, Yao, Zhou, and Broersma [12] implies that it is NP-complete to decide whether a bipartite graph admits a PCF 3-coloring, proving Theorem 3.1 for  $k = 3$ . Their proof also implies that it is NP-complete to decide whether a bipartite graph admits an odd 3-coloring, proving Theorem 3.2 for  $k = 3$ . The following proposition states that the problem of deciding  $\chi_{\text{pcf}}(G) \leq 3$  or  $\chi_{\text{odd}}(G) \leq 3$  can be reduced to the problem of deciding  $\chi_{\text{pcf}}(G) \leq 4$  or  $\chi_{\text{odd}}(G) \leq 4$ , respectively, proving the theorems for  $k = 4$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *For a bipartite graph  $G$ , one can construct a bipartite graph  $\tilde{G}$  in polynomial time such that*

- $\chi_{\text{pcf}}(G) \leq 3$  if and only if  $\chi_{\text{pcf}}(\tilde{G}) \leq 4$ , and

- $\chi_{\text{odd}}(G) \leq 3$  if and only if  $\chi_{\text{odd}}(\tilde{G}) \leq 4$ .

To prove Proposition 3.4, we will use the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $G$  be the 1-subdivision of  $K_4$ , and  $x$  be a degree-3 vertex of  $G$ . Then  $G$  has a PCF 4-coloring  $c$  such that*

- (a) *all neighbors of  $x$  have distinct colors,*
- (b) *each degree-3 vertex  $y \neq x$  has a neighbor  $z$  non-adjacent to  $x$  such that  $c(z) \neq c(x)$  and the color of  $z$  is unique in the colors of neighbors of  $y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v_1, \dots, v_4 := x$  be the degree-3 vertices of  $G$  and for  $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ , let  $s_{ij}$  be the degree-2 vertex adjacent to both  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ . For each vertex  $v$  of  $G$ , let

$$c(v) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v \in \{v_1, s_{34}\}, \\ 2 & \text{if } v \in \{v_2, s_{13}, s_{14}\}, \\ 3 & \text{if } v \in \{v_3, s_{12}, s_{24}\}, \\ 4 & \text{if } v \in \{v_4, s_{23}\}. \end{cases}$$

Then  $c$  is a desired PCF 4-coloring of  $G$ . □

We now prove Proposition 3.4.

*Proof of Proposition 3.4.* Let  $(A, B)$  be a bipartition of  $G$ . If  $|V(G)| \leq 3$ , then we can take  $\tilde{G} := G$ . Thus, we may assume that  $|V(G)| > 3$ . By symmetry, we may further assume that  $|B| \geq 2$ .

For positive integers  $n$  and  $m$ , let  $G_{n,m}$  be the graph whose vertex set is  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{2m}, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$  such that

- (i) for each  $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ ,  $a_i$  is adjacent to all of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ , and  $\alpha_3$ ,
- (ii) for each  $1 \leq j \leq 2m$ ,  $b_j$  is adjacent to all of  $\beta_1, \beta_2$ , and  $\beta_3$ , and
- (iii) both  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$  and  $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$  are cliques.

Let  $\tilde{G}$  be the graph obtained from the disjoint union of  $G$  and  $\text{sub}_1(G_{|A|,|B|})$  by the following operations.

- For each  $1 \leq i \leq |A|$ , we add two edges from the  $i$ -th vertex of  $A$  to  $a_{2i-1}$  and  $a_{2i}$ .
- For each  $1 \leq j \leq |B|$ , we add two edges from the  $j$ -th vertex of  $B$  to  $b_{2j-1}$  and  $b_{2j}$ .



For each vertex  $v$  of  $G$ , all vertices in  $N_{\tilde{G}}(v) \setminus V(G)$  are colored by 4 and so it is easy to observe that  $c'$  is a proper coloring.

If  $v \in V(G)$ , then there are precisely two neighbors of  $v$  not in  $G$ , both having the color 4. Since  $c$  is a PCF 3-coloring of  $G$ , there is a color in  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  appearing exactly once in the neighborhood of  $v$  in  $\tilde{G}$ .

By Lemma 3.5(b), each vertex  $v$  in  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$  has a neighbor  $w$  non-adjacent to any vertex in  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2|A|}, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{2|B|}\}$  such that  $c^*(w) \neq 4$  and the color of  $w$  is unique in the neighborhood of  $v$  in  $\text{sub}_1(G_{|A|,|B|})$ . This implies that such a vertex  $v$  still satisfies the conflict-free condition in  $\tilde{G}$ , because  $b_1, b_2, b_3$  have the color 4.

If  $v$  is a degree-2 vertex of  $\text{sub}_1(G_{|A|,|B|})$ , then trivially  $v$  satisfies the conflict-free condition in  $\tilde{G}$ . If  $v \in \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2|A|}, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{2|B|}\}$ , then by Lemma 3.5(a), all three colors 1, 2, and 3 appear in the neighborhood of  $v$  in  $\text{sub}_1(G_{|A|,|B|})$ . Since the degree of  $v$  in  $\tilde{G}$  is at most two plus the degree of  $v$  in  $G_{|A|,|B|}$ , at least one of the colors 1, 2, and 3 appears exactly once in the neighborhood of  $v$  in  $\tilde{G}$ . Hence,  $c'$  is a PCF 4-coloring of  $\tilde{G}$ . Similarly, if  $\chi_{\text{odd}}(G) \leq 3$ , then  $\chi_{\text{odd}}(\tilde{G}) \leq 4$ .  $\square$

## 4 NP-completeness on planar graphs

We now turn our attention to planar graphs.

**Theorem 4.1.** *It is NP-complete to decide whether a graph  $G$  admits a PCF 4-coloring, even if  $G$  is planar.*

The theorem of Li, Yao, Zhou, and Broersma [12] implies that it is NP-complete to decide whether a 2-connected planar graph admits a PCF 3-coloring. The following proposition combined with their theorem immediately implies Theorem 4.1.

For a plane graph  $G$  and a face  $f$  bounded by a cycle  $C$  of length  $k$ , attaching a *tent* to  $f$  is an operation to create a plane graph from  $G$  by

- adding a cycle  $v_1^f v_2^f \cdots v_{4k+2}^f v_1^f$  of length  $4k + 2$  inside  $f$ ,
- attaching a pendant vertex  $\ell_i^f$  to  $v_i^f$  for each  $i \in [4k + 2]$ ,
- adding a *center*  $v^f$  and making it adjacent to all vertices in the cycle  $v_1^f v_2^f \cdots v_{4k+2}^f v_1^f$ ,
- adding a vertex  $w^f$  inside the triangle  $v^f v_1^f v_{4k+2}^f v^f$  and making it adjacent to all three vertices of the triangle, and



If  $v$  is a vertex of  $G$ , then every vertex in  $N_{\tilde{G}}(v) \setminus N_G(v)$  is colored by 4, and therefore there is a color in  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  appearing uniquely in the neighborhood of  $v$  in  $\tilde{G}$  because  $c$  is a PCF 3-coloring of  $G$ .

Since the neighborhood of  $w^f$  or  $\ell_i^f$  is a clique, every color in the neighborhood of  $w^f$  or  $\ell_i^f$  appears uniquely. In the neighborhood of  $v^f$ , the color 2 of  $w^f$  appears uniquely.

If  $v_i^f$  is adjacent to a vertex  $v$  in  $G$ , then the color 1 of  $v^f$  or the color 2 of  $\ell_i^f$  appears uniquely in the neighborhood of  $v_i^f$ , because  $v$  is the only vertex of  $G$  adjacent to  $v_i^f$ .

If  $v_i^f$  is non-adjacent to any vertex of  $G$ , then the color 1 of  $v^f$  appears uniquely in the neighborhood of  $v_i^f$ . Therefore,  $c'$  is a PCF 4-coloring of  $\tilde{G}$ , proving the claim.

Conversely, suppose that  $\tilde{G}$  has a PCF 4-coloring  $c$ . We are going to show that the restriction  $c'$  of  $c$  on  $V(G)$  is a PCF 3-coloring of  $G$ .

First we claim that for every face  $f$  of  $G$ , there is a color  $\xi(f)$  such that if  $v_i^f$  is adjacent to some vertex of  $G$ , then  $c(v_i^f) = \xi(f)$ . Let  $f$  be a face and  $k$  be the length of the boundary of  $f$ . Since  $\{v^f, w^f, v_1^f, v_{4k+2}^f\}$  is a clique, all four colors appear inside this clique. Since  $c$  is a PCF 4-coloring, there is a color  $i$  of some vertex in  $\{w^f, v_1^f, v_{4k+2}^f\}$  appearing uniquely in the neighborhood of  $v^f$ . This implies that all vertices  $v_i^f$  for  $2 \leq i \leq 4k+1$  avoid the color  $i$  and the color of  $v^f$ . Since those vertices induce a connected bipartite graph and we only have two available colors, there is a unique bipartition, and therefore all vertices in  $\{v_2^f, v_4^f, v_6^f, \dots, v_{4k}^f\}$  have the same color, say  $\xi(f)$ . This proves the claim.

Note that if a vertex  $v$  of  $G$  is incident with a face  $f$ , then  $v$  has two neighbors in  $\tilde{G}$  inside  $f$  and they have the same color  $\xi(f)$ . Since  $c$  is a PCF 4-coloring of  $\tilde{G}$ , there must be a color appearing uniquely in the neighborhood of  $v$  in  $\tilde{G}$ , and therefore  $c'$  is a PCF 4-coloring of  $G$ . So, it remains to show that  $c$  avoids one color.

For that, we will show that  $\xi$  is a constant function. It suffices to show that for each vertex  $v$  of  $G$ , all faces incident with  $v$  have the same value of  $\xi$ . Let  $v$  be a vertex of  $G$ . Since there is a color  $i$  appearing uniquely in the neighborhood of  $v$  in  $\tilde{G}$ , for every face  $f$  incident with  $v$ , we have  $\xi(f) \notin \{i, c(v)\}$ . So, there are at most two distinct values of  $\xi$  among faces incident with  $v$ .

If  $e = vw$  is an edge incident with faces  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  such that  $\xi(f_1) \neq \xi(f_2)$ , then  $c(w)$  is the unique element of  $[4] \setminus \{c(v), \xi(f_1), \xi(f_2)\}$  because  $c$  is a proper 4-coloring of  $\tilde{G}$ . So, if there are two distinct faces  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  incident with  $v$  such that  $\xi(f_1) \neq \xi(f_2)$ , then there are two edges  $vw_1$  and  $vw_2$

incident with faces of distinct values of  $\xi$  and so  $c(w_1) = c(w_2)$ . This implies that no color appears uniquely in the neighborhood of  $v$  in  $\tilde{G}$ , contradicting the assumption that  $c$  is a PCF 4-coloring of  $\tilde{G}$ . Therefore,  $\xi$  is a constant function and this completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5 Conclusion

We showed that for each  $k \geq 3$ , it is NP-complete to decide whether  $\chi_{\text{pcf}}(G) \leq k$  and to decide whether  $\chi_{\text{odd}}(G) \leq k$  for bipartite graphs  $G$ , and also showed that it is NP-complete to decide whether  $\chi_{\text{pcf}}(G) \leq 4$  for a planar graph  $G$ .

On the other hand, it is straightforward to see that for each fixed  $k$  and  $t$ , deciding whether  $\chi_{\text{pcf}}(G) \leq k$  or whether  $\chi_{\text{odd}}(G) \leq k$  can be done in time  $O(n^3)$  for an  $n$ -vertex graph of clique-width at most  $t$ . This is because those problems are expressible in the counting monadic second-order logic, which can be decided for graphs of bounded clique-width (or rank-width); see [5, Proposition 5.7].

Fabrici, Lužar, Rindošová, and Soták [8] showed that every planar graph admits a PCF 8-coloring and conjectured that every planar graph admits a PCF 6-coloring. They presented a planar graph with the PCF chromatic number 6. Petruševski and Škrekovski [14] conjectured that every planar graph admits an odd 5-coloring. As related questions, we propose the following problems.

**Problem 1.** *Determine the computational complexity of deciding whether a planar graph admits a PCF  $k$ -coloring for  $k \in \{5, 6, 7\}$ .*

**Problem 2.** *Determine the computational complexity of deciding whether a planar graph admits an odd  $k$ -coloring for  $k \in \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$ .*

## References

- [1] Yair Caro, Mirko Petruševski, and Riste Škrekovski, *Remarks on odd colorings of graphs*, [arXiv:2201.03608](#), 2022.
- [2] ———, *Remarks on proper conflict-free colorings of graphs*, [arXiv:2203.01088](#), 2022.
- [3] Eun-Kyung Cho, Ilkyoo Choi, Hyemin Kwon, and Boram Park, *Odd coloring of sparse graphs and planar graphs*, [arXiv:2202.11267](#), 2022.

- [4] ———, *Proper conflict-free coloring of sparse graphs*, [arXiv:2203.16390](#), 2022.
- [5] Bruno Courcelle and Sang-il Oum, *Vertex-minors, monadic second-order logic, and a conjecture by Seese*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **97** (2007), no. 1, 91–126, [doi:10.1016/j.jctb.2006.04.003](#). MR 2278126
- [6] Daniel W. Cranston, *Odd Colorings of Sparse Graphs*, [arXiv:2201.01455](#), 2022.
- [7] Reinhard Diestel, *Graph theory*, fifth ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 173, Springer, Berlin, 2017, [doi:10.1007/978-3-662-53622-3](#). MR 3644391
- [8] Igor Fabrici, Borut Lužar, Simona Rindošová, and Roman Soták, *Proper conflict-free and unique-maximum colorings of planar graphs with respect to neighborhoods*, [arXiv:2202.02570](#), 2022.
- [9] Michael R. Garey and David S. Johnson, *Computers and intractability*, A Series of Books in the Mathematical Sciences, W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, Calif., 1979, A guide to the theory of NP-completeness. MR 519066
- [10] Robert Hickingbotham, *Odd colourings, conflict-free colourings and strong colouring numbers*, [arXiv:2203.10402](#), 2022.
- [11] Sanjeev Khanna, Nathan Linial, and Shmuel Safra, *On the hardness of approximating the chromatic number*, *Combinatorica* **20** (2000), no. 3, 393–415, [doi:10.1007/s004930070013](#). MR 1774844
- [12] Xueliang Li, Xiangmei Yao, Wenli Zhou, and Hajo Broersma, *Complexity of conditional colorability of graphs*, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **22** (2009), no. 3, 320–324, [doi:10.1016/j.aml.2008.04.003](#). MR 2483491
- [13] Chun-Hung Liu, *Proper conflict-free list-coloring, subdivisions, and layered treewidth*, [arXiv:2203.12248](#), 2022.
- [14] Mirko Petruševski and Riste Škrekovski, *Colorings with neighborhood parity condition*, [arXiv:2112.13710](#), 2021.
- [15] ———, *Odd edge-colorings of subdivisions of odd graphs*, [arXiv:2109.04099](#), 2021.

- [16] Mengke Qi and Xin Zhang, *Odd coloring of two subclasses of planar graphs*, arXiv:2205.09317, 2022.