The double white dwarf merger progenitors of SDSS J2211+1136 and ZTF J1901+1458
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ABSTRACT

Double white dwarf (DWD) mergers are possibly the leading formation channel of massive, rapidly rotating, high-field magnetic white dwarfs (HFMWDs). However, the direct link connecting a DWD merger to any observed HFMWD is still missing. We here show that the HFMWDs SDSS J221141.80+113604.4 (hereafter J2211+1136) and ZTF J190132.9+145808.7 (hereafter J1901+1458), might be DWD merger products. J2211+1136 is a 1.27 M⊙ WD with a rotation period of 70.32 s and a surface magnetic field of 15 MG. J1901+1458 is a 1.41 M⊙ WD with a rotation period of 416.20 s, and a surface magnetic field in the range 600–900 MG. With the assumption of single-star evolution, the currently measured WD masses and surface temperatures, the cooling ages of J2211+1136 and J1901+1458 are, respectively, 2.61–2.85 Gyr and 10–100 Myr. We hypothesize that these WDs are DWD merger products and compute the evolution of the post-merged configuration formed by a central WD surrounded by a disk. We show that the post-merger system evolves through three phases depending on whether accretion, mass ejection (propeller), or magnetic braking dominates the torque onto the central WD. We calculate the duration of these phases and find that the WD rotational age, i.e., the total time elapsed since the merger to the instant where the WD central remnant reaches the current measured rotation period, agrees with the cooling age. We infer the value of the accretion rate, the disk mass, and the value of the primary and secondary WD components of the DWD merger that lead to a post-merger evolution consistent with the observations.
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1. INTRODUCTION

It has been proposed for a long time that double white dwarf (DWD) mergers can produce high-field magnetic white dwarfs (HFMWDs; see, e.g., Wickramasinghe & Ferrario 2000). There are increasing observational results pointing to this scenario (see below), but still, there is a missing direct link connecting a DWD merger to any observed HFMWD. We here aim to provide such a link.

In general, the central remnant of a DWD merger can be (i) a stable newborn WD, (ii) a type Ia supernova (SN), or (iii) a newborn neutron star (NS). Sub-Chandrasekhar remnants might end either as (i) or (ii), super-Chandrasekhar remnants as (ii) or (iii). We are here interested in those DWD mergers whose remnant is a stable, ultramassive (≥ 1 M⊙ but sub-Chandrasekhar) HFMWD. Those central remnants might avoid unstable burning leading to an SN Ia if their central density remains under some critical value of a few 10^9 g cm^−3 (see Becerra et al. 2018, for further details). Numerical simulations show that HFMWDs might indeed originate in DWD mergers. The general merged configuration is a central remnant that contains the mass of the (undisrupted) primary, surrounded by a hot corona with about half of the (disrupted) secondary mass, and a rapidly rotating Keplerian disk with roughly the other half of the secondary mass. Little mass (∼ 10^{-3} M⊙) is ejected from the system (see Sec. 4 for further details).
and convective corona works as an efficient $\alpha\omega$-dynamo that might lead to magnetic fields of $\lesssim 10^{10}$ G (García-Berro et al. 2012). For a recent discussion of the emergence of high magnetic fields in observed WDs, we refer the reader to Baguhl & Landstreet (2022).

Therefore, theory tells us that stable WD remnants of DWD mergers can exist. It remains to have observational support. Observations confirm the existence of HFMWDs with magnetic field strengths in the range $10^6$–$10^9$ G (Külebi et al. 2009; Ferrario et al. 2015; Kepler et al. 2016), and that most of them are massive (see, e.g., Kepler et al. 2016). The latest measurements of the transverse velocities of massive WDs also point to a DWD merger origin (see Cheng et al. 2020, and references therein). There is an additional observational argument supporting this conclusion. The rate of DWD mergers is expected to be $(5–9) \times 10^{-13}$ yr$^{-1}$ $M_\odot^{-1}$ (Maoz & Hallakoun 2017; Maoz et al. 2018). Using a Milky Way-like stellar mass $6 \times 10^{10}$ $M_\odot$ and the extrapolating factor of Milky Way equivalent galaxies, $0.016$ Mpc$^{-3}$ (Kalogera et al. 2001), the above rate translates into a local cosmic merger rate of $(3.7–6.7) \times 10^5$ Gpc$^{-3}$ yr$^{-1}$. This merger rate is $5–8$ times larger than the population of SN Ia (see, e.g., Ruiter et al. 2009). Therefore, even if we were to explain the entire SN Ia population with the double-degenerate channel, i.e., with DWD mergers, we can safely conclude that many DWD mergers do not produce SNe Ia (see, also, Cheng et al. 2020).

All the above leads to a rather obvious question (but with no obvious answer), where and which are the WDs produced by (some of) those mergers? To answer this question, we here reconstruct the DWD progenitor of two recently detected HFMWDs, SDSS J221141.80+113604.4 (hereafter J2211+1136; DWD progenitor of two recently detected HFMWDs, Caiazzo et al. 2021). J2211+1136 has SDSS J221141.80+113604.4 (hereafter J2211+1136; DWD progenitor of two recently detected HFMWDs, Caiazzo et al. 2021). Using a Milky Way-like stellar mass $6.4 \times 10^{10}$ $M_\odot$ and the extrapolating factor of Milky Way equivalent galaxies, $0.016$ Mpc$^{-3}$ (Kalogera et al. 2001), the above rate translates into a local cosmic merger rate of $(3.7–6.7) \times 10^5$ Gpc$^{-3}$ yr$^{-1}$. This merger rate is $5–8$ times larger than the population of SN Ia (see, e.g., Ruiter et al. 2009). Therefore, even if we were to explain the entire SN Ia population with the double-degenerate channel, i.e., with DWD mergers, we can safely conclude that many DWD mergers do not produce SNe Ia (see, also, Cheng et al. 2020).

All the above leads to a rather obvious question (but with no obvious answer), where and which are the WDs produced by (some of) those mergers? To answer this question, we here reconstruct the DWD progenitor of two recently detected HFMWDs, SDSS J221141.80+113604.4 (hereafter J2211+1136; DWD progenitor of two recently detected HFMWDs, Caiazzo et al. 2021) and ZTF J190132.9+145808.7 (hereafter J1901+1458; Caiazzo et al. 2021). J2211+1136 has a mass of $1.27 M_\odot$, rotation period of 70.32 s, surface magnetic field strength of 15 MG, effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}} \approx 9020$ K, and cooling age 2.61–2.85 Gyr (Kilic et al. 2021b,a). The corresponding parameters of J1901+1458 are a mass of 1.35 $M_\odot$ WD, rotation period of 416.20 s, surface magnetic field in the range 600–900 MG, effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}} \approx 46000$ K, and cooling age 10–100 Myr (Caiazzo et al. 2021). The cooling age is estimated assuming single-star evolution and the currently measured WD masses and effective temperatures.

Following numerical simulations of DWD mergers, we model the post-merger configuration as a central HFMWD remnant surrounded by a Keplerian disk (see Sec. 2 for details). We compute the post-merger rotational evolution of the system and infer the model parameters for which the WD rotational age, i.e., the time at which it reaches the current value of the rotation period, agrees with the estimated cooling age. We show that the post-merger configuration evolves through three phases dominated by accretion, mass ejection (propeller), and magnetic braking (see Sec. 3 for details). The latter phase dominates the duration of the rotational age. We derive the accretion rate, the disk mass, and the mass of the pre-merger DWD primary and secondary binary components for which the post-merger system agrees with observations.

We organize the article as follows. In Sec. 2, we summarize the properties of the merged configuration derived from numerical simulations that serve as the starting point of our calculations. Section 3 describes the theoretical treatment to compute the rotational evolution of the post-merger configuration. We apply in Sec. 4 the theoretical model to the HFMWDs J2211+1136 and J1901+1458. In Sec. 5, we infer the parameters of the pre-merger DWD progenitors for the two sources. Finally, Sec. 6 outlines the conclusions of this article.

2. MERGER AND POST-MERGER PROPERTIES

According to numerical simulations of DWD mergers (see, e.g., Benz et al. 1990; Guerrero et al. 2004; Lorén-Aguilar et al. 2009; Longland et al. 2012; Raskin et al. 2012; Zhu et al. 2013; Dan et al. 2014; Becerra et al. 2018), the merged configuration is the central, rigidly rotating, isothermal WD core of mass $M_{\text{core}}$, surrounded by a hot envelope of mass $M_{\text{env}}$ with differential rotation and a rapidly rotating Keplerian disk of mass $M_d$. The mass of the disrupted secondary star distributes between the envelope and the disk. Some material of mass $M_{\text{fb}}$ falls back onto the WD remnant, and only a tiny amount of mass $M_{\text{ej}}$ escapes from the system. Dan et al. (2014) obtained the following fitting polynomials of the properties of the merged configurations from numerical simulations of DWD mergers for a variety of initial conditions

\[ M_{\text{core}} = M_{\text{tot}}(0.7786 - 0.5114 q), \]
\[ M_{\text{env}} = M_{\text{tot}}(0.2779 - 0.464 q + 0.7161 q^2), \]
\[ M_d = M_{\text{tot}}(-0.1185 + 0.9763 q - 0.6559 q^2), \]
\[ M_{\text{fb}} = M_{\text{tot}}(0.07064 - 0.0648 q), \]
\[ M_{\text{ej}} = \frac{0.0001807 M_{\text{tot}} - 0.01672 + 0.2463 q - 0.6982 q^2 + q^3}{q}, \]

where $M_{\text{tot}} = M_1 + M_2$ is the total binary mass, with $M_1$ and $M_2$ the masses of the primary and secondary, and $q \equiv M_2/M_1 \leq 1$ is the binary mass ratio.

We model the post-merger evolution after the short-lived phase in which the WD core incorporates the envelope. The post-merger system is thus composed of the...
WD remnant of mass $M$, radius $R$, surrounded by the accretion disk of mass $M_d$. As for the magnetic field configuration, we adopt a dipole+quadrupole model with a dipole strength $B$ and a quadrupole strength $B_{\text{quad}}$. Hence, the magnetic dipole moment is $\mu = BR^3$.

For fixed $M_{\text{tot}}$, Eq. (1e) shows that the unbound mass decreases for increasing $q$, so the largest value is obtained for a mass-symmetric binary ($q = 1$), i.e., $M_{\text{tot}} \approx 3.4 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot}$. Given the approximate mass conservation, we can estimate the mass of the final WD by

$$M = M_0 + M_{\text{acc}}, \quad M_0 = M_{\text{core}} + M_{\text{env}} + M_{\text{fb}}, \quad (2)$$

where $M_{\text{acc}} \leq M_d$ is the accreted mass. As we shall show, $M < M_{\text{tot}}$ because some mass is ejected from the system during the propeller phase (see more details in Sec. 4). With the aid of Eqs. (1a)-(1e), Eq. (2), and the estimate of the accreted mass, in Sec. 5 we estimate the parameters of the merging binary.

In the following calculations, we assume a constant value of $M$ given by the current value of the mass of the WD, i.e., the final value given by Eq. (2), neglecting the effect of the increase in mass from the value $M_0$ to the value $M_0 + M_{\text{acc}}$. We also assume a constant accretion rate onto the central WD, $\dot{M}$. These assumptions have a negligible effect on the final results because mass accretion and ejection consume the disk mass in a timescale much shorter than the WD lifetime, and so magnetic braking dominates the rotational evolution.

3. POST-MERGER ROTATIONAL EVOLUTION

3.1. Accretion and propeller torque

When the magnetosphere radius, $R_m$, is smaller than the WD radius, $R$, the disk extends up to the WD surface, i.e., $r_i = R$. When $R_m > R$, the disk extends up to $r_i = R_m$. Therefore, we have

$$r_i = \max(R, R_m), \quad (3)$$

where $R_m$ is the Alfvén radius\(^1\)

$$R_m = \left( \frac{\mu^2}{M \sqrt{2GM}} \right)^{2/7}. \quad (4)$$

From Eq. (4), we obtain that the condition $R_m > R$ is satisfied for accretion rates

$$\frac{\dot{M}}{M_{\odot} \, \text{yr}^{-1}} < 9.74 \times 10^{-4} \frac{B_8^2 R_8^{5/2}}{(M/M_{\odot})^{1/2}}, \quad (5)$$

where $B_8 = B/(10^8 \, \text{G})$ and $R_8 = R/(10^8 \, \text{cm})$.

\(^1\) This magnetosphere radius assumes spherical accretion and a pure dipole magnetic field configuration.

As we shall see, the accretion rate onto the remnant WD is much lower than the above value, so the WD evolves always in the regime $R_m > R$. In this case, the magnetic field lines thread the disk at $r_i = R_m$ and the matter flow from the disk to the WD through the magnetic field lines. Whether this mass flow spins up or down the central WD depends on the value of the so-called fastness parameter

$$\omega \equiv \frac{\Omega}{\Omega_K}, \quad (6)$$

where $\Omega_K$ is the Keplerian angular velocity at $r_i = R_m$

$$\Omega_K = \sqrt{\frac{GM}{R_m^3}}. \quad (7)$$

The specific (i.e., per unit mass) angular momentum of the matter leaving the disk is $l_i = \Omega_K R_i^2 = \Omega_K R_m^2 = \sqrt{GM R_m}$, while the specific angular momentum of the co-rotating magnetosphere at $r = R_m$ is $l_m = \Omega R_m^2$. Therefore, the WD will change its angular momentum at a rate given by

$$\dot{J}_{\text{acc}} = T_{\text{acc}} = (l_i - l_m) \dot{M} = \delta (1 - \omega), \quad (8)$$

where

$$\delta \equiv \frac{\dot{M} R_m^2}{\Omega_K} = \dot{M} \sqrt{GM R_m}, \quad (9)$$

being $\dot{M}$ the accretion rate, i.e., the rate at which mass flow from the disk to the WD at the inner disk radius, $r = R_m$. When $\omega < 1$, the inflowing material accretes onto the WD and transfers angular momentum to it (exerts a positive torque). When $\omega > 1$, the system enters into the so-called propeller regime in which the WD centrifugal barrier expels the inflowing mass from the disk. Such mass leaves the system removing angular momentum to the central WD, i.e., it exerts a negative torque onto it.

3.2. Magnetic dipole torque

The central remnant is also subjected to the torque by the magnetic field. Since the ratio between the stellar radius, $R$, and the light-cylinder radius, $R_{\text{lc}} = c/\Omega$, is small, i.e., $R/R_{\text{lc}} = \Omega R/c < 10^{-3}$, finite-size effects in the determination of the radiation field can be safely neglected. Therefore, we use the torque exerted by a point-like dipole+quadrupole magnetic field configuration (Pétrid 2015)

$$T_{\text{mag}} = T_{\text{dip}} + T_{\text{quad}}, \quad (10)$$

$$T_{\text{dip}} = -k_{\text{dip}} \Omega^3, \quad (11)$$

$$T_{\text{quad}} = -k_{\text{quad}} \Omega^5, \quad (12)$$
where
\[
k_{\text{dip}} = \frac{2}{3} B^2 R^6 c^3 \sin^2 \theta, \quad (13)
\]
\[
k_{\text{quad}} = \frac{32}{155} \frac{B^2}{c^5} R^8 \sin^2 \theta_1 (\cos^2 \theta_2 + 10 \sin^2 \theta_2), \quad (14)
\]
being \( \theta \) the inclination angle of the magnetic dipole moment with respect to the WD rotation axis, and the angles \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) specify the geometry of the quadrupole field. We can write the total magnetic torque as
\[
T_{\text{mag}} = -\frac{2}{3} B^2 R^6 \Omega^3 \left( \sin^2 \theta + \frac{16}{45} R^2 \Omega^2 \right), \quad (15)
\]
where \( \eta \) is a parameter that measures the quadrupole to dipole magnetic field strength ratio as
\[
\eta = \frac{B_{\text{quad}}}{B} \sqrt{\sin^2 \theta_1 \left( \cos^2 \theta_2 + 10 \sin^2 \theta_2 \right)}. \quad (16)
\]
Having defined the torques acting onto the central WD, we can write the equation of angular momentum conservation as
\[
T_{\text{tot}} = T_{\text{acc}} + T_{\text{mag}} = J \approx I \dot{\Omega}, \quad (17)
\]
whose integration gives the evolution of the WD rotational properties (e.g., angular momentum and angular velocity). The last equality neglects the change in time of the WD moment of inertia, \( I \), as required by self-consistency with the approximation of constant mass. The above differential equation can be integrated given initial condition to the angular velocity, \( \Omega_0 = \Omega(t_0 = 0) \), and setting all the model parameters, i.e., \{M, R, I, \dot{M}, M_d, B, B_{\text{quad}}, \theta, \theta_1, \theta_2 \}. The qualitative and quantitative features of the result are not sensitive to the initial condition of the angular velocity, \( \Omega_0 \). We shall explore a variety of initial rotation periods ranging from a few seconds to hundreds of seconds.

We now turn to the model parameters. The magnetic field and the mass of the WD are set by observations (and so its radius and moment of inertia from its structure properties, e.g., mass-radius relation), so it remains to set \( \dot{M}, M_d \) and \( \theta \). Without loss of generality, we shall assume an orthogonal dipole, \( \theta = 90^\circ \), and an \( m = 1 \) mode for the quadrupole, i.e., \( (\theta_1, \theta_2) = (\pi/2, 0) \). For the disk mass, we set values around \( M_d \approx 0.30 M_\odot \) according to numerical simulations (see, e.g., Dan et al. 2014; Becerra et al. 2018, and Sec. 5 for details). Therefore, it remains only to set the value of \( \dot{M} \). We shall do it by requesting that the value of \( \Omega \) equals the current observed value at the evolution time consistent with estimated WD cooling age.

The system evolves through three stages until it reaches the current rotation period. The division of the evolution into three phases depends on the value of the fastness parameter, that is, \( \omega > 1, \omega \approx 1 \) and \( \omega < 1 \). Depending on the initial angular velocity, \( \Omega_0 \), the initial value of the fastness parameter can be either \( \omega_0 > 1 \) (\( \Omega_0 > \Omega_K \)) or \( \omega_0 < 1 \) (\( \Omega_0 < \Omega_K \)). The torque \( T_{\text{acc}} \) is either negative when the propeller mechanism is active (\( \omega > 1 \)) or positive when the accretion process is active (\( \omega < 1 \)). On the other hand, the magnetic torque \( T_{\text{mag}} \) always removes angular momentum. When there is mass flowing from the inner radius of the disk, the propeller or the accretion dominates the changes in the rotational period given that \( T_{\text{acc}} \) dominates over \( T_{\text{mag}} \). Figure 1 shows \( T_{\text{acc}} \) and \( T_{\text{mag}} \) as a function of \( \omega > 1 \) up to the value of \( \omega \approx 1 \), for fiducial values of the dipole magnetic field and the accretion rate, respectively, \( B = 100 \) MG and \( \dot{M} = 10^{-7} M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1} \). We consider \( T_{\text{mag}} \) with different values of \( B_{\text{quad}}/B \) in order to assess the effect of \( B_{\text{quad}} \) on the spin evolution. Figure 2 is analogous to Fig. 1, but for \( \omega < 1 \). Here, we only consider the pure magnetic dipole case, \( B_{\text{quad}}/B = 0 \), because for low values of the angular velocity the torque by the quadrupole magnetic field is very small compared to that produced by the magnetic dipole [see Eq. (15)]. These figures shows that \( |T_{\text{acc}}| \gg |T_{\text{dip}}| \) for the entire present phase, with the only exception when \( \omega \approx 1 \) where they become comparable as \( T_{\text{acc}} \) drops significantly.

It is worth mentioning that for \( B_{\text{quad}}/B = 1000 \), the intensity of \( T_{\text{mag}} \) approaches the intensity of \( T_{\text{acc}} \) for values of the angular velocity around a few seconds. However, when the angular velocity decreases, \( T_{\text{mag}} \) drops rapidly while \( T_{\text{acc}} \) remains high for nearly the entire phase of \( \omega > 1 \). Thus, \( T_{\text{acc}} \) still dominates the torque and \( T_{\text{mag}} \) (even with \( B_{\text{quad}}/B = 1000 \)) contributes very little to this first evolution stage.

Taking the above into account in this first regime, we can approximate with sufficient accuracy the total torque by \( T_{\text{tot}} \approx T_{\text{acc}} \). Within this approximation, Eq. (17) has the analytic solution
\[
\omega = 1 + (\omega_0 - 1) e^{-t/\tau_{\text{acc}}}, \quad (18)
\]
where \( \omega_0 = \Omega_0/\Omega_K \) is initial fastness parameter and \( \tau_{\text{acc}} \) is the timescale (e-folding time) of the propeller/accretion phase
\[
\tau_{\text{acc}} = \frac{I \Omega_K}{\delta} = \frac{I}{MR^2 m} = 50.27 \frac{I_{49}}{M_{-7} R_{m,9}^2} \text{kyr}, \quad (19)
\]
where \( I_{49} \) is the moment of inertia in units of \( 10^{49} \) g cm\(^2 \), \( M_{-7} \) is the accretion rate in units of \( 10^{-7} M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1} \) and \( R_{m,9} \) is the Alfvén radius in units of \( 10^9 \) cm.
we considered a WD with \( B = 100 \text{ MG} \), \( M = 10^{-7} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \) and the quadrupole to dipole magnetic field strength ratio \( B_{\text{quad}}/B = 0.0 \). The ratio \( B_{\text{quad}}/B = 0.0 \) correspond to the case of a pure dipole magnetic field.
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**Figure 1.** \( |T_{\text{acc}}| \) and \( |T_{\text{mag}}| \) as a function of \( \omega \geq 1 \) where we considered a WD with \( B = 100 \text{ MG} \), \( M = 10^{-7} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \) and the quadrupole to dipole magnetic field strength ratio \( B_{\text{quad}}/B = 0.0 \). The ratio \( B_{\text{quad}}/B = 0.0 \) correspond to the case of a pure dipole magnetic field.

we considered a WD with \( B = 100 \text{ MG} \), \( M = 10^{-7} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \) and the quadrupole to dipole magnetic field strength ratio \( B_{\text{quad}}/B = 0.0 \). The ratio \( B_{\text{quad}}/B = 0.0 \) correspond to the case of a pure dipole magnetic field.
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**Figure 2.** \( |T_{\text{acc}}| \) and \( |T_{\text{mag}}| \) as a function of \( \omega < 1 \) where we considered a WD with \( B = 100 \text{ MG} \), \( M = 10^{-7} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \) and the quadrupole to dipole magnetic field strength ratio \( B_{\text{quad}}/B = 0.0 \), since the effect of the quadrupole magnetic field is very small when we take into account the values of \( \omega < 1 \) presented here (See Eq.15).

down until \( \omega \approx 1 \). If \( \omega_0 < 1 \), the accretion process spins the WD up to \( \omega \approx 1 \). Given a value of \( \dot{M} \), the timescale of these processes is of the same order of magnitude.

When \( \omega \approx 1 \), the WD enters the second phase of evolution, characterized by \( T_{\text{acc}} \approx T_{\text{mag}} \). When this occurs, \( T_{\text{mag}} \) decelerates the star to an angular velocity slightly smaller than \( \Omega_K \), so to a fastness parameter \( \omega \lesssim 1 \). Then, \( T_{\text{acc}} \) turns positive, the WD accretes matter, spinning it up. This happens until the WD returns once more to the regime of \( \omega \geq 1 \). Upon reaching this regime, due to the torques \( T_{\text{acc}} \) and \( T_{\text{mag}} \), the WD rotation decelerates once more until \( \omega \lesssim 1 \). The accretion acts, and the propeller process ceases again. Summarizing, in this stage the WD goes through a continuous spin-up and spin-down stages in which the fastness parameter oscillates around unity, so the angular velocity oscillates around an *equilibrium* value, \( \Omega \approx \Omega_{\text{eq}} = \Omega_K \).

Therefore, we can assume that in this phase \( \Omega \) remains constant at

\[
\Omega_{\text{eq}} = \Omega_K = \frac{\sqrt{2} (GM)^{5/3} M}{B^2 R^6} \bigg/ \frac{3}{7}
\]

\[
= 0.225 \frac{(M/M_\odot)^{5/3} M_{-7}}{B_s^2 R_8^6} \bigg/ \frac{3}{7} \text{ rad s}^{-1}. \tag{20}
\]

This phase lasts until the disk can feed the accretion and propeller. Thus, the duration timescale of this phase is of the order of \( \tau_{\text{disk}} \approx M_d/M \sim 10^6 \text{ yr} \), that is the time required to consume the disk mass.

After the disk is exhausted, the system evolves to the regime \( \omega < 1 \). Without mass flowing from the disk, only the magnetic dipole exerts torque. Equation (15) and Fig. 1 show that the effect of the quadrupole magnetic field on the magnetic torque is negligible in the range of angular velocities of the regime \( \omega \lesssim 1 \). In this case, the torque due to dipole radiation dominates and we can accurately approximate the total torque by \( T_{\text{tot}} = T_{\text{mag}} \approx T_{\text{dip}} \). Thus, we can solve Eq. (17) analytically

\[
\omega = \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta t}{\tau_{\text{dip}}} \right)^{-1/2}, \tag{21}
\]

where \( \Delta t = t - t_i \), being \( t_i \) the initial time of the pure magnetic dipole torque phase, and we have used that this phase starts with an initial value of the fastness parameter equal to unity, i.e., \( \omega(t = t_i) = 1 \). The characteristic spindown timescale \( \tau_{\text{dip}} \) is given by

\[
\tau_{\text{dip}} = \frac{I}{2 k_{\text{dip}} \Omega_K^2} = \frac{e^3 I R_m^3}{2 G M B^2 R^3 (1 + \sin^2 \theta)}
\]

\[
= 3.22 \frac{I_{49} R_{3,9}}{(M/M_\odot) B_s^2 R_8^6 (1 + \sin^2 \theta)} \text{ Gyr}, \tag{22}
\]

which is much longer than the timescale of the previous phases. The above implies that we can approximate the total post-merger age of the WD to the time it spends in this final phase.

We can invert Eq. (21) and find the elapsed time \( \Delta t_{\text{obs}} \) for the WD to reach an observed angular velocity, \( \Omega_{\text{obs}} \), i.e.,

\[
\Delta t_{\text{obs}} = \tau_{\text{dip}} \left( \frac{\Omega_K}{\Omega_{\text{obs}}} \right)^2 - 1 \right]. \tag{23}
\]
Since $\tau_{\mathrm{dip}}$ depends on $\Omega_K$, and the latter depends on $R_m$, and so on $\dot{M}$, we can use Eq. (23) to express $\dot{M}$ in terms of $\Omega_{\mathrm{obs}}$ and $\Delta t_{\mathrm{obs}}$

$$\dot{M} = \frac{B^2 R^6 \Omega_{\mathrm{obs}}^{7/3}}{\sqrt{2} (GM)^{5/3}} \left( 1 - \frac{2 k_{\mathrm{dip}} \Omega_{\mathrm{obs}}^2}{I} \Delta t_{\mathrm{obs}} \right)^{-7/6}. \quad (24)$$

Therefore, Eq. (24) allows to obtain, from observational parameters such as mass, angular velocity, magnetic field and the estimated age of the WD (e.g., the cooling age), the accretion rate for which the rotational evolution agrees with observations.

4. ANALYSIS OF SPECIFIC SOURCES

Having described all the generalities of the post-merger evolution, we turn to describe the rotational evolution of two observed HFMWDs, J2211+1136 and J1901+1458. We infer the time the WD spends on each phase, and calculate the accretion rate that leads to the rotational evolution to agree with the estimated WD cooling age. This assumption agrees with the fact that the cooling tracks of these sources are estimated considering the current mass of the WD, so the cooling age is the evolution time of the post-merger central remnant after the envelope has been fully incorporated into the isothermal core and the WD composition has settled down (see e.g. Schwab 2021a, for more details). The above is also supported by the fact that the initial phase of fusion containing the envelope is short-lived ($\sim 10^4$–$10^5$ yr; see, e.g., García-Berro et al. 2012; Schwab 2021b) compared to the estimated age of the WD and, as we show in this article, also the duration of the accreting phase is negligible.

We do not take into account any delay due to crystallization, phase separation due to sinking or dilution of heavier elements, or nuclear energy that can possibly occur as proposed e.g. by Cheng (2020); Blouin & Daligault (2021).

4.1. Rotational evolution of J2211+1136

J2211+1136 is a recently observed isolated WD with a rotation period $P_{\mathrm{obs}} = 70.32$ s (Kilic et al. 2021b). It has a mass $M = 1.27 M_\odot$, a stellar radius $R = 3210$ km, and a surface (dipole) magnetic field $B = 15$ MG. The cooling age is in the range $t_{\mathrm{cool}} = 2.61$–$3.85$ Gyr depending on the WD interior composition (Kilic et al. 2021b,a).

First, to explore the evolutionary path of the WD rotation, we need to know the accretion rate values for which the rotational evolution time agrees with the cooling age. For this task, we use Eq. (24), assuming $\Delta t_{\mathrm{obs}} = t_{\mathrm{cool}}$. Figure 3 shows that this condition implies that $\dot{M}$ must be in the range $\approx (2.60$–$2.68) \times 10^{-7} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. For an aligned rotator ($\theta = 0$), the corresponding accretion rate range is $(2.21$–$2.24) \times 10^{-7} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$.

To analyze in detail the phases of spin evolution, we consider a value of $\dot{M}$ within the above range, e.g., $\dot{M} = 2.62 \times 10^{-7} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. Furthermore, we consider six values for the initial rotation period to verify that the solution is not sensitive to this initial condition. For this task, we choose three values below and three values above the equilibrium period, $P_{\mathrm{eq}} = 2\pi/\Omega_{\mathrm{eq}} \approx 61.5$ s, i.e., $P_0 = (3.14, 21.5, 41.5, 81.5, 101.5, 119.8)$ s. Figure 4 shows the evolution of the WD rotation period for these initial conditions. We observe that irrespective of $P_0$, the WD accelerates or decelerates towards $P_{\mathrm{eq}}$ on a comparable timescale. Therefore, the duration of the rotational evolution in the first phase is not sensitive to the specific value of $P_0$.

Because of the above result, we examine in detail the evolution curve for a single case, e.g., $P_0 = 3.14$ s. For the parameters of this WD, and a disk mass of $M_d = 0.30 M_\odot$, the evolution of the rotation period of J2211+1136 crosses the three stages until it reaches the current value of the rotation period. First, it passes through the regime of $\omega > 1$, such that the torques $T_{\mathrm{acc}}$ and $T_{\mathrm{dip}}$ spindown the WD to a period of $61.5$ s in $\approx 0.38$ Myr. This time is marked by the first dotted line in Fig. 4. The amount of disk mass ejected by the propeller effect during this time is $\approx 0.1 M_\odot$.

From this period value, the parameter $\omega \approx 1$ and the system enters the equilibrium period regime, where the
WD rotation period is oscillating around $P_{eq}$ (See Eq. 20). The system remains at this stage until the disk mass ends. The phase lasts $\approx 0.77$ Myr. Therewith, adding the duration of the first and second stages, we have up to this point an evolution time of $\approx 1.15$ Myr. This time is marked by the second dotted line in Fig. 4.

After this point, the system enters the regime of $\omega < 1$, where the only active torque is $T_{\text{mag}}$. Thus, $T_{\text{mag}}$ spins the WD down from a period of 61.5 s to the observed period $P_{\text{obs}} = 70.3$ s, reached at a time of 2.66 Gyr (see Fig. 4). The third phase is by far the longest, so the WD spends most of its evolution in this regime.

4.2. Rotational evolution of J1901+1458

J1901+1458 has a period of rotation $P_{\text{obs}} = 416.2$ s, a mass $M \approx 1.35 M_\odot$, a stellar radius $R = 2140$ km, and a surface (dipole) magnetic field in the range $B = 600$–900 MG. The cooling age is $t_{\text{cool}} = 10$–100 Myr (Caiazzo et al. 2021). For the analysis of this source, we follow the analogous procedure described above for J2211+1136. We analyze the evolution of the WD rotation period for different values of the initial rotation period, a disk mass of $M_d = 0.34 M_\odot$ (see Sec. 5 for additional details), and a value of $\dot{M}$ consistent with Eq. (24). We use a dipole magnetic field strength of $B = 800$ MG, inferred in Caiazzo et al. (2021) from the analysis of the position of the Hα, Hβ, and Hγ spectral lines.

Using Eq. (24), we obtain the accretion rate as a function of $t_{\text{cool}}$. Considering $\theta = 90^\circ$, we obtain $\dot{M} \approx (6.92$–$8.05) \times 10^{-7} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (See Fig. 5). For an aligned rotator, $M \approx (6.87$–$7.39) \times 10^{-7} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$.

Figure 6 shows the rotation period evolution for $M = 8.0 \times 10^{-7} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, and initial values of the rotation period $P_0 = (3.14, 108.7, 258.7, 558.7, 708.7, 814.2)$ s. The curves approach the equilibrium period, $P_{eq}$, in a timescale of the same order of magnitude. Thus, also for this source, we see that the final evolution time is not affected by the choice of the initial period.

Without loss of generality, we now describe the phases of the spin evolution in the case $P_0 = 3.14$ s. The system first evolves through the propeller regime $\omega > 1$, in which the WD spins down to a period of 388.6 s in $\approx 5.98$ kyr (marked by the first dotted line in Fig. 6). During this time, the amount of the disk mass ejected was $4.79 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$.

After the rotation period reaches $\omega \approx 1$, the second stage starts. Thus, J1901+1458 evolves through spin-down and spinup stages around the equilibrium period value, until the disk mass ends. We estimate that this
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The magnetic field strength is \( B = 800 \text{ MG} \) and \( \theta = 90^\circ \).
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6. In the subsequent evolution, the only torque acting onto the WD is \( T_{\text{dip}}, \) so the WD enters the phase characterized by \( \omega < 1. \) The WD spins down from a period of 388.6 s to the observed period \( P_{\text{obs}} = 416.2 \text{ s}. \) This occurs in \( \approx 96.2 \text{ Myr}. \) Figure 6 shows this last stage for the spin evolution.

5. THE DWD MERGER PROGENITORS

We now turn to estimate the masses of the components \( (M_1 \) and \( M_2) \) of the DWD progenitor of the above two systems. Two results are essential for this task. The first result is that DWD mergers eject a tiny amount of mass that allows us to assume baryon mass conservation with an error of at most one part in a thousand. The second result is one of the conclusions of this article. The WD exhausts the disk mass in equal parts between accretion and ejection.

Bearing the above in mind, we can write the mass of the post-merger WD, after the phases of mass accretion and ejection phase are over, as

\[ M = M_{\text{core}} + M_{\text{env}} + M_{\text{fb}} + M_{\text{acc}}, \]

\[ M_{\text{acc}} \approx \frac{1}{2} M_d \]

where \( M_{\text{core}}, M_{\text{env}}, M_d \) and \( M_{\text{fb}} \) are, respectively, given by Eqs. (1a)-(1d). With the aid of these equations, Eq. (25) implies that the post-merger WD mass is a function of the total pre-merger binary mass and the binary mass-ratio, i.e., \( M = M(M_{\text{tot}}, q). \)

Given a WD mass, \( M, \) we can solve this equation and obtain, e.g., \( q = q(M_{\text{tot}}). \) In Fig. 7, we show this relation for the measured mass of J2211+1136 (\( M = 1.27 \text{M}_\odot, \) solid curve) and J1901+1458 (\( M = 1.35 \text{M}_\odot, \) dashed curve). We plot each curve between a minimum and a maximum value of the pre-merger binary mass, respectively, \( M_{\text{tot}}^{\text{min}} \) and \( M_{\text{tot}}^{\text{max}}. \) We set \( M_{\text{tot}}^{\text{min}} \) from the maximum value of the binary mass-ratio, i.e., \( q = 1. \) Then, for fixed \( M_{\text{tot}}, \) the curve \( M = M(M_{\text{tot}}, q) \) has a minimum at \( q \approx 0.711, \) so we set \( M_{\text{tot}}^{\text{max}} \) by equating the minimum value of \( M \) to the observed WD mass. For J2211+1136, \( M_{\text{tot}}^{\text{min}} = 1.41 \text{M}_\odot \) and \( M_{\text{tot}}^{\text{max}} = 1.46 \text{M}_\odot. \) For J1901+1458, \( M_{\text{tot}}^{\text{min}} = 1.49 \text{M}_\odot \) and \( M_{\text{tot}}^{\text{max}} = 1.55 \text{M}_\odot. \)

Having established the whole range of possible values of \( M_{\text{tot}} \) and \( q \) that lead to a post-merger WD mass in agreement with the observed value (see Fig. 7), we obtain the range of consistent values for the disk mass shown in Fig. 8. For J2211+1136, we obtain that the disk mass must be in the range \( M_{d} = 0.25-0.36 \text{M}_\odot. \) For J1901+1458, \( M_{d} = 0.26-0.38 \text{M}_\odot. \)

Figure 8 shows that a given disk mass, there are two possible values of \( M_{\text{tot}} \), so two possible values of \( q. \) With these values, we obtain the mass of the primary and secondary, respectively, \( M_1 = M_{\text{tot}}/(1 + q) \) and \( M_2 = q M_{\text{tot}}/(1 + q). \) In Fig. 9, we show the \( M_1-M_2 \) plane of possible solutions of the DWD progenitor.

We list in Table 1 the parameters of the pre-merger DWD and the parameters of the post-merger system for the disk mass that we have adopted in the simulation of the rotational evolution.

Interestingly, the inferred range of parameters of the DWD progenitor of J1901+1458 and J2211+1136 (see Fig. 9 and Table 1) is consistent with the parameters of known systems, e.g., NLTT 12758, a \( 0.83 + 0.69 \text{M}_\odot \) DWD. This result further supports the link that we have here provided between DWD mergers and the formation of HFMWDs.

6. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we have investigated the possibility that the HFMWDs, J2211+1136, and J1901+1458, are DWD merger products. Based on numerical simulations of DWD mergers, we have modeled the post-merger system as a central WD surrounded by a disk from which there is a mass inflow towards the WD remnant. We have calculated the post-merger rotational evolution of the WD and inferred the system parameters for which the rotational age agrees with the WD cooling age.

We have shown that the post-merger configuration evolves through three different phases depending on whether accretion, mass ejection (propeller), or mag-
Figure 6. Evolution of spin period of the J1901+1458 for $\dot{M} = 8.0 \times 10^{-7} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (with $B = 800$ MG) and for different values of initial rotational periods, $P_0 = (3.14, 108.7, 258.7, 558.7, 708.7, 814.2) \text{ s}$. The dotted lines divide the evolution into three stages according to the value of $\omega$. In the first stage, WD can start with $\omega > 1$ or $\omega < 1$ depending whether the initial period is below or above the equilibrium period, $P_{\text{eq}} = 388.6 \text{ s}$ (Eq. 20), respectively. For both values, the involved torques are dipole radiation torque, $T_{\text{mag}}$, and accretion torque, $T_{\text{acc}}$, in the propeller phase. However, $T_{\text{acc}}$ is the dominant torque in this phase. For $\omega \approx 1$, $T_{\text{mag}} \approx T_{\text{acc}}$ and the system goes through the equilibrium period, $P_{\text{eq}}$. For $\omega < 1$, the involved torque is $T_{\text{dip}}$. $T_{\text{acc}}$ no longer acts on the star, as in the third stage, the disk has already exhausted. The upper dashed line indicates the current rotation period of the WD.

Figure 7. Binary mass ratio, $q$, as a function of the total binary mass, $M_{\text{tot}}$. The solid curves represent the solutions for J2211+1136 ($M = 1.27 M_\odot$) and the dashed curves for J1901+1458 ($M = 1.35 M_\odot$).

Figure 8. Disk mass, $M_d$, as a function of the total binary mass, $M_{\text{tot}}$. The solid curves represent the solutions for J2211+1136 ($M = 1.27 M_\odot$) and the dashed curves for J1901+1458 ($M = 1.35 M_\odot$).

The results of this article are the first attempt to establish a direct link between observed HFMWDs and their DWD merger progenitor. We conclude that the observed parameters of J2211+1136 and J1901+1458 are consistent with a DWD merger origin. We have obtained the mass of the pre-merger DWD primary and secondary binary components (see Fig. 7, Fig. 9 and...
Table 1. Parameters of the pre-merger (DWD) and post-merger (central remnant WD+disk) systems leading to the current observed parameters of J1901+1458 and J2211+1136. For given values of the disk and WD mass, $M_d$ and $M$, there are two possible solutions for the pre-merger DWD system corresponding to different binary mass-ratio, total mass, primary mass, secondary mass, and ejected mass (see Figs. 7 and 9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>J2211+1136</th>
<th>J1901+1458</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M_1$ ($M_\odot$)</td>
<td>(0.95, 0.72)</td>
<td>(0.98, 0.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_2$ ($M_\odot$)</td>
<td>(0.48, 0.70)</td>
<td>(0.55, 0.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{tot}$ ($M_\odot$)</td>
<td>(1.43, 1.42)</td>
<td>(1.53, 1.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q$</td>
<td>(0.51, 0.97)</td>
<td>(0.56, 0.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{ej}$ ($10^{-3}$$M_\odot$)</td>
<td>(4.26, 0.54)</td>
<td>(3.61, 0.69)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-merger system

| $M$ ($M_\odot$) | 1.27$^{+5}_{-6}$ | 1.35$^c$ |
| $R$ (km) | 3210 | 2140$^c$ |
| $P$ (s) | 70.32$^a$ | 416.20$^a$ |
| $B$ ($10^6$ G) | 15$^a$ | 800$^c$ |
| $B_{quad}$ (G) | unconstrained | unconstrained |
| $M_d$ ($M_\odot$) | 0.30 | 0.34 |
| $\dot{M}$ ($10^{-7}$ $M_\odot$ s$^{-1}$) | 2.60 – 2.68 | 6.92 – 8.05 |
| $M_{loss}$ ($M_\odot$) | 0.15 | 0.17 |

References  — $^a$Kilic et al. (2021b), $^b$Kilic et al. (2021a), $^c$Caiazzo et al. (2021).

Table 1). Interestingly, the derived parameters of the merging DWDs agree with those of known DWDs (like NLTT 12758), which further supports the connection between HFMWDs and DWD mergers.

The results of this article are the first attempt to establish a direct link between observed HFMWDs and their DWD merger progenitor. We conclude that the observed parameters of J2211+1136 and J1901+1458 are consistent with a DWD merger origin, and we have obtained the mass of the pre-merger DWD primary and secondary binary components (see Figs. 7 and 9, and Table 1). Interestingly, the derived parameters of the merging DWDs are in line with those of known DWDs (like NLTT 12758), which further supports the connection between HFMWDs and DWD mergers.

If HFMWDs like J2211+1136 and J1901+1458 are DWD merger products, the newborn merged remnant, besides being massive and highly magnetic, might be fast rotating in its early post-merger life (e.g., in its first 1–100 kyr, see Figs. 4 and 6). WDs with such extreme properties might power a variety of transient and persistent electromagnetic phenomena in astrophysical sources.

The DWD merger and its early activity can lead to low-energy gamma-ray bursts (GRBs). Phenomena in the merged magnetosphere can power the prompt gamma-ray emission, the cooling of the expanding ($\sim 10^{-3}$$M_\odot$) ejecta can power an infrared/optical transient days to week post-merger, and synchrotron emission of the ejecta and the WD pulsar-like emission can lead to an extended (years) X-ray, optical and radio emission (Rueda et al. 2022, 2019).

Massive, fast rotating HFMWD with pulsar-like activity might show up as magnetars (see, e.g., Malheiro et al. 2012; Rueda et al. 2013; Coelho & Malheiro 2014; Mukhopadhyay & Rao 2016; Cáceres et al. 2017; Coelho et al. 2017; Becerra et al. 2018; Borges et al. 2020, and references therein). Other high-energy phenomena involving DWD mergers and HFMWD products are the emission of high-energy neutrinos (see, e.g., Xiao et al. 2016) and the particle acceleration leading to very-high ($\geq 10^{15}$ eV) and ultrahigh-energy ($\geq 10^{18}$ eV) cosmic rays. In addition, space-based detectors of gravitational waves (GWs) like the Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA) expect to detect the GW radiation driving the dynamics of compact, detached DWDs (see, e.g., Stroeer & Vecchio 2006; Korol et al. 2022). Electromagnetic radiation phenomena might affect the evolution of merging DWDs detectable through the deviations from the case when pure GW radiation drives the orbital dynamics (see Carvalho et al. 2022, and references therein), and the fast rotation and high magnetic fields might also lead to GW radiation from HFMWD pulsars (see, e.g., Sousa et al. 2020a,b).
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