

ON HOMOMORPHISMS OF DOUGLAS ALGEBRAS

ALEXANDER BRUDNYI

ABSTRACT. The paper describes homomorphisms between Douglas algebras and some semisimple Banach algebras. The main tool is a result on the structure of the space $C(Z, \mathfrak{M})$ of continuous mappings from a connected first-countable T_1 space Z to the maximal ideal space \mathfrak{M} of the algebra H^∞ of bounded holomorphic functions on the unit disk $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$. In particular, it is shown that the space of continuous mappings from Z to \mathbb{D} is dense in the topology of pointwise convergence in $C(Z, \mathfrak{M})$ and the homotopy groups of \mathfrak{M} are trivial.

1. FORMULATION OF MAIN RESULTS

1.1. Recall that for a commutative unital complex Banach algebra A , the maximal ideal space $\mathfrak{M}(A) \subset A^*$ is the set of nonzero homomorphisms $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ endowed with the Gelfand topology, the weak-* topology of A^* . It is a compact Hausdorff space contained in the unit sphere of A^* . The *Gelfand transform* defined by $\hat{a}(\varphi) := \varphi(a)$ for $a \in A$ and $\varphi \in \mathfrak{M}(A)$ is a nonincreasing-norm morphism from A into the Banach algebra $C(\mathfrak{M}(A))$ of complex-valued continuous functions on $\mathfrak{M}(A)$. For A a Banach complex function algebra on a topological space X , there is a continuous mapping $\iota : X \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(A)$ taking $x \in X$ to the evaluation homomorphism $f \mapsto f(x)$, $f \in A$, injective if A separates the points of X .

Let H^∞ be the Banach algebra of bounded holomorphic functions on the open unit disk $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ equipped with pointwise multiplication and supremum norm and let \mathfrak{M} be its maximal ideal space. Then the Gelfand transform $\hat{\cdot} : H^\infty \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{M})$ is an isometry and $\iota : \mathbb{D} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ is an embedding with dense image by the celebrated Carleson corona theorem [3]. In the sequel, we identify \mathbb{D} with its image under ι .

Let

$$(1.1) \quad \rho(z, w) := \left| \frac{z - w}{1 - \bar{w}z} \right|, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{D},$$

be the pseudohyperbolic metric on \mathbb{D} .

For $x, y \in \mathfrak{M}$ the formula

$$(1.2) \quad \rho(x, y) := \sup\{|\hat{f}(y)| : f \in H^\infty, \hat{f}(x) = 0, \|f\|_{H^\infty} \leq 1\}$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46J20. Secondary 30H05.

Key words and phrases. Maximal ideal space, Gleason part, Douglas algebra, homomorphism, first-countable T_1 space, Blaschke product.

Research is supported in part by NSERC.

gives an extension of ρ to \mathfrak{M} . The *Gleason part* of $x \in \mathfrak{M}$ is then defined by $\pi(x) := \{y \in \mathfrak{M} : \rho(x, y) < 1\}$. For $x, y \in \mathfrak{M}$ we have $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$ or $\pi(x) \cap \pi(y) = \emptyset$. Hoffman's classification of Gleason parts [7] shows that there are only two cases: either $\pi(x) = \{x\}$ or $\pi(x)$ is an analytic disk. The former case means that there is an *analytic parametrization* of $\pi(x)$, i.e., a continuous one-to-one and onto mapping $L : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \pi(x)$ such that $\hat{f} \circ L \in H^\infty$ for every $f \in H^\infty$. Moreover, any analytic disk is contained in a Gleason part and any maximal (i.e., not contained in any other) analytic disk is a Gleason part. By \mathfrak{M}_a and \mathfrak{M}_s we denote the sets of all non-trivial (analytic disks) and trivial (one-pointed) Gleason parts, respectively. It is known that $\mathfrak{M}_a \subset \mathfrak{M}$ is open. Hoffman proved that $\pi(x) \subset \mathfrak{M}_a$ if and only if x belongs to the closure of an interpolating sequence for H^∞ .

Let L^∞ be the Banach algebra of essentially bounded Lebesgue measurable functions on the unit circle \mathbb{S} (with pointwise operations and the supremum norm). Via identification with boundary values, H^∞ is a uniformly closed subalgebra of L^∞ . Due to the Chang-Marshall theorem, see, e.g., [6, Ch. IX, §3], any uniformly closed subalgebra \mathcal{D} between H^∞ and L^∞ is a *Douglas algebra* generated by H^∞ and a family $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{D} \subset \overline{H^\infty}$ of functions conjugate to some inner functions of H^∞ (written $\mathcal{D} = [H^\infty, \mathcal{U}_\mathcal{D}]$). The maximal ideal space $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D})$ of \mathcal{D} is a closed subset of \mathfrak{M} of the form (see, e.g., [6, Ch. IX, Thm. 1.3]):

$$(1.3) \quad \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}) = \bigcap_{\hat{u} \in \mathcal{U}_\mathcal{D}} \{x \in \mathfrak{M} : |\hat{u}(x)| = 1\}.$$

In particular, by the maximum modulus principle for holomorphic functions, $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}) \cap \mathfrak{M}_a$ is either empty or the union of some Gleason parts.

Our first result describes the structure of the set $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{D}, A)$ of unital (i.e., sending units to units) homomorphisms from $\mathcal{D} = [H^\infty, \mathcal{U}_\mathcal{D}]$ to a certain class of Banach complex function algebra A . For its formulation, we require

Definition 1.1. *A topological space Z is of class \mathcal{C} if each pair of points of Z belongs to the image of a continuous mapping from a connected first-countable T_1 space to Z .*

For instance, \mathcal{C} contains direct products of connected first-countable T_1 spaces and path-connected spaces.

Recall that a topological space is T_1 if for every pair of distinct points, each has a neighbourhood not containing the other point. A topological space is *first-countable* if each point has a countable neighbourhood basis. (E.g., a metric space is a first-countable T_1 space.)

Suppose Z is a compact topological space whose connected components are of class \mathcal{C} and the cardinality of the set of connected components is less than $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, where \mathfrak{c} is the cardinality of the continuum. Let A be a Banach complex function algebra on Z . We denote by $\mathbf{1}_Z$ the unit of A (i.e., the constant function of value 1 on Z). For a nonzero idempotent $p \in A$, we set $A_p := \{pg : g \in A\}$. Then A_p is a closed subalgebra of A with unit p .

Theorem 1.2. *For each $\Phi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{D}, A)$ there exist pairwise distinct idempotents and Gleason parts $p_i \in A$ and $\pi_i \subset \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D})$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that*

$$(1.4) \quad \Phi = p_1 \cdot \Phi + \cdots + p_k \cdot \Phi$$

and each homomorphism $p_i \cdot \Phi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{D}, A_{p_i})$ is a compact linear operator of one of the forms:

(a) If $\pi_i = \{x_i\} \subset \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}) \cap \mathfrak{M}_s$, then

$$(1.5) \quad (p_i \cdot \Phi)(f) = \hat{f}(x_i) \cdot p_i, \quad f \in \mathcal{D};$$

(b) If $\pi_i \subset \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}) \cap \mathfrak{M}_a$ with an analytic parametrization L_i , then there exists a function $g_i \in A_{p_i}$ with a compact range in \mathbb{D} such that

$$(1.6) \quad (p_i \cdot \Phi)(f) = (\hat{f} \circ L_i \circ g_i) \cdot p_i, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Remark 1.3. Under the hypothesis of the theorem,

$$\text{Ker } \Phi = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \text{Ker } (p_i \cdot \Phi),$$

where

(a₁) If $\pi_i = \{x_i\}$, then

$$\text{Ker } (p_i \cdot \Phi) = \text{Ker } x_i,$$

a maximal ideal of \mathcal{D} ;

(b₁) If $\pi_i \subset \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}) \cap \mathfrak{M}_a$ and the range of g_i restricted to $\text{supp } p_i$, the support of p_i , is a finite set, say, $\{z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_l}\} \subset \mathbb{D}$, then

$$\text{Ker } (p_i \cdot \Phi) = \bigcap_{j=1}^l \text{Ker } L_i(z_{i_j});$$

(b₂) If $\pi_i \subset \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}) \cap \mathfrak{M}_a$ and the range of g_i restricted to $\text{supp } p_i$ is infinite, then

$$\text{Ker } (p_i \cdot \Phi) = \{f \in \mathcal{D} : \hat{f}|_{\pi_i} = 0\}.$$

Indeed, in the final case, since $|\hat{u}| = 1$ on π_i for $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$, the function $L_i^* \bar{u} := \bar{u} \circ L_i$ is constant and hence $L_i^*(\mathcal{D}) \subset H^\infty$. Also, $g_i(\text{supp } p_i)$ is an infinite compact subset of \mathbb{D} . Thus, if $\hat{f} \circ L_i = 0$ on $g_i(\text{supp } p_i)$ for some $f \in \mathcal{D}$, then $\hat{f} \circ L_i = 0$ on \mathbb{D} , i.e., $\hat{f}|_{\pi_i} = 0$.

Example 1.4. Let A be a separable commutative semisimple unital complex Banach algebra. Then the closed unit ball of the dual space A^* equipped with the weak-* topology is a metrizable compact space; hence, its cardinality is $\leq \mathfrak{c} (< 2^{\mathfrak{c}})$. In particular, $\mathfrak{M}(A) \in \mathcal{C}$. Since A is semisimple, the Gelfand transform $\hat{\cdot} : A \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{M}(A))$ is a Banach algebra monomorphism. Thus, A can be identified via $\hat{\cdot}$ with a Banach complex function algebra on $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ and so the structure of the set $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{D}, A)$, $\mathcal{D} = [H^\infty, \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}]$, is described by Theorem 1.2.

1.2. Theorem 1.2 is based on some results about continuous mappings to the maximal ideal space of H^∞ formulated in this section.

In what follows, we work in the category of pointed topological spaces with pointed mappings (i.e., basepoint preserving continuous mappings) as morphisms.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $F : (Z, z) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{M}, x)$ be a pointed mapping from a topological space Z of class \mathcal{C} to \mathfrak{M} . Then $F(Z) \subset \pi(x)$.*

A topological space X is said to be *compactly generated* if it satisfies the following condition: a subspace S is closed in X if and only if $S \cap K$ is closed in K for all compact subspaces $K \subseteq X$. For instance, direct products of first-countable spaces and locally compact spaces are compactly generated, see, e.g., [10].

As a corollary of Theorem 1.5 we obtain:

Theorem 1.6. *Let Z be a compactly generated space of class \mathcal{C} .*

- (i) *The space of continuous mappings from Z to \mathbb{D} is dense in the topology of pointwise convergence in $C(Z, \mathfrak{M})$.*
- (ii) *Every pointed mapping in $C(Z, \mathfrak{M})$ is pointed null homotopic. In particular, all homotopy groups $\pi_n(\mathfrak{M}, x)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are trivial.*

Remark 1.7. (1) The special case of part (i) of the theorem for the space of analytic mappings from a connected analytic space to \mathfrak{M} was initially proved by Hoffman [7, Thm. 5.6].

(2) Theorem 1.5 is motivated by [2, Thm. 1.2 (d)] stating that if $F : (Y, y) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{M}_a, x)$ is a pointed mapping of a connected and locally connected space Y , then $F(Y) \subset \pi(x)$. It is not clear whether the same holds with \mathfrak{M} in place of \mathfrak{M}_a .

(3) Theorem 1.5 implies, in particular, that Gleason parts are path components of \mathfrak{M} , the result originally obtained in [1, Cor. 12].

(Recall that a *path component* of a topological space X is an equivalence class of X under the equivalence relation: $x, y \in X$ are equivalent if there is a path from x to y .)

Acknowledgement. I thank R. Moritini for calling my attention to reference [1].

2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.5 AND 1.6

We derive Theorem 1.5 from the following more general result.

Let Y be a topological space satisfying the properties

- (a) There exists a family of mutually disjoint subsets $Y_i \subset Y$, $i \in I$, such that

$$Y = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} Y_i.$$

- (b) If a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Y$ converges to some $y \in Y_i$, then $y_n \in Y_i$ for all sufficiently large n .

Proposition 2.1. *Let $F : (Z, z) \rightarrow (Y, y)$ be a pointed mapping from a topological space Z of class \mathcal{C} to Y . If $y \in Y_i$, then $F(Z) \subset Y_i$.*

Proof. By the definition of class \mathcal{C} , see Definition 1.1, it suffices to prove the result for a connected first-countable T_1 space Z .

Now, by (a) Z is the disjoint union of subsets $F^{-1}(Y_i)$, $i \in I$. We prove that each $F^{-1}(Y_i) \neq \emptyset$ is an open subset of Z . Indeed, for otherwise there is a boundary point $y \in F^{-1}(Y_i)$ of $F^{-1}(Y_i)$. Then, since Z is a first-countable T_1 -space, there is a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset Z \setminus F^{-1}(Y_i)$ converging to y . Hence, the sequence $\{F(y_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset Y \setminus Y_i$ converges to $F(y) \in Y_i$. But by (b), $F(y_n) \in Y_i$ for all sufficiently large n , a contradiction. The latter implies that Z is the disjoint union of open subsets $F^{-1}(Y_i)$. Then, since Z is connected, $F^{-1}(Y_i) = \emptyset$ for all Y_i which do not contain y . Hence, F maps (Z, z) into (Y_i, y) . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We set $Y := \mathfrak{M}$ and take Gleason parts of \mathfrak{M} as sets Y_i . Then property (b) of Y follows from [4, Prop.1]. Now, the required result is a consequence of Proposition 2.1. \square

Example 2.2. Let $H^\infty(\Omega)$ be the Banach algebra of bounded holomorphic functions on a nonempty open subset Ω of \mathbb{C}^N . We set $Y := \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(\Omega))$, the maximal ideal space of $H^\infty(\Omega)$, and take Gleason parts of $\mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(\Omega))$ as sets Y_i . Then property (b) of Y follows from [1, Cor.9].

To prove Theorem 1.6 we require the following result.

Let $L : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \pi(x) \subset \mathfrak{M}_a$ be an analytic parametrization of $\pi(x)$.

Proposition 2.3. *A subset $K \subset \pi(x)$ is compact in the topology of \mathfrak{M} if and only if $L^{-1}(K)$ is a compact subset of \mathbb{D} .*

Proof. According to Hoffman, see [7, page 104], the base of the topology of \mathfrak{M}_a consists of compact subsets homeomorphic to $\bar{\mathbb{D}} \times \beta\mathbb{N}$ (here $\bar{\mathbb{D}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the closure of \mathbb{D} and $\beta\mathbb{N}$ is the Stone-Ćech compactification on \mathbb{N}). Let $(S_i)_{i=1}^s \subset \mathfrak{M}_a$ be a finite cover of K by such sets, $\phi_i : S_i \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{D}} \times \beta\mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, be the corresponding homeomorphisms, and $p : \bar{\mathbb{D}} \times \beta\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \beta\mathbb{N}$ be the projection onto the second coordinate. Consider the compact sets $K_i = K \cap S_i \subset \pi(x)$, $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then $p \circ \phi_i$ maps K_i onto a compact subset $K'_i \subset \beta\mathbb{N}$. Since every infinite compact subset of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ contains a homeomorphic copy of $\beta\mathbb{N}$, its cardinality is $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$. On the other hand, the cardinality of K'_i does not exceed \mathfrak{c} , the cardinality of $\pi(x)$. Hence, K'_i is a finite set, say, $K'_i = \{t_{i1}, \dots, t_{il_i}\}$. In particular, $K_i = \sqcup_{j=1}^{l_i} K_{ij}$, where $K_{ij} \subset \pi(x)$ is compact such that $\phi_i(K_{ij}) \subset \bar{\mathbb{D}} \times \{t_{ij}\}$. Further, if for some i, j the closed set $L^{-1}(K_{ij}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ is not compact, there is a sequence $\{z_n\} \subset L^{-1}(K_{ij})$ tending to \mathbb{S} such that the sequence $\{(\phi_i \circ L)(z_n)\} \subset \bar{\mathbb{D}} \times \{t_{ij}\}$ converges; hence, the sequence $\{L(z_n)\} \subset K_{ij}$ converges to some $x \in K_{ij}$. Due to [1, Cor.13] this implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(L(z_n), x) = 0$ (see (1.2) for the definition of ρ). On the other hand, $L : (\mathbb{D}, \rho) \rightarrow (\pi(x), \rho)$ is an isometric isomorphism (see [7], page 105, equation (6.12)). Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = L^{-1}(x) \in \mathbb{D}$, a contradiction which proves the result. \square

As a corollary of the proposition we obtain:

Corollary 2.4. *The mapping $L^{-1} : \pi(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is continuous on compact subsets.*

Proof. Let $K \subset \pi(x)$ be a compact subset. Then due to Proposition 2.3, $L^{-1}(K) =: K'$ is a compact subset of \mathbb{D} . Since $L|_{K'} : K' \rightarrow K$ is a continuous bijection of compact spaces, it is a homeomorphism, i.e., $L^{-1}|_K : K \rightarrow K'$ is continuous. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let $F : (Z, z) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{M}, x)$ be a pointed mapping from a compactly generated space Z of class \mathcal{C} to \mathfrak{M} . Due to Theorem 1.5, $F(Z) \subset \pi(x)$. If $\pi(x)$ is an analytic disk, we denote by $L_x : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \pi(x)$ an analytic parametrization sending 0 to x .

Lemma 2.5. *There is a continuous mapping $\tilde{F} : (Z, z) \rightarrow (\mathbb{D}, 0)$ such that $F = L_x \circ \tilde{F}$.*

Proof. We set

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{F} = L_x^{-1} \circ F.$$

Since Z is compactly generated, \tilde{F} is continuous if and only if $\tilde{F}|_K$ is continuous for each compact subset $K \subseteq Z$, see, e.g., [10]. For such K continuity of F implies that $F(K) \subset \pi(x)$ is compact. Then due to Corollary 2.4, L_x^{-1} is continuous on $F(K)$. Thus, $\tilde{F}|_K = L_x^{-1}|_{F(K)} \circ F|_K$ is continuous, as required. \square

Now, we are ready to prove the theorem.

(i) If $\pi(x) = \{x\}$, by the corona theorem F is a pointwise limit of a net of constant mappings with values in \mathbb{D} . For otherwise, let $(L_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ be a net of Möbius transformations of \mathbb{D} converging pointwise to L_x (see, e.g., [6, Ch. X] for its existence). Then due to Lemma 2.5 the net $(L_\alpha \circ \tilde{F})_{\alpha \in A}$ of continuous mappings from Z to \mathbb{D} converges pointwise to F .

(ii) If $\pi(x) = \{x\}$, the mapping F is constant and so is trivially pointed null homotopic. In turn, if $\pi(x)$ is an analytic disk, then since the continuous mapping $\tilde{F} : (Z, z) \rightarrow (\mathbb{D}, 0)$ is pointed null homotopic, $F : (Z, z) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{M}, x)$ is pointed null homotopic as well.

The proof of the theorem is complete. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Each $\Phi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{D}, A)$, $\mathcal{D} = [H^\infty, \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}]$, is a bounded linear operator, see, e.g., [8, §24B, Theorem]. Hence, the transpose $\Phi^* : A^* \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^*$ is well defined and maps the maximal ideal space $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ of A continuously into $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D})$. Further, every evaluation homomorphism δ_z at a point $z \in Z$ is an element of $\mathfrak{M}(A)$. Thus, since $A \subset C(Z)$, the mapping $\Delta : Z \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(A)$, $z \mapsto \delta_z$, is continuous. The composition $\Phi^* \circ \Delta$ is a continuous mapping from Z to $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathfrak{M}$.

Lemma 3.1. *The compact set $(\Phi^* \circ \Delta)(Z)$ meets finitely many Gleason parts of $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D})$.*

Proof. By the hypotheses, each connected component of Z is of class \mathcal{C} . Hence, by Theorem 1.5, its image under $\Phi^* \circ \Delta$ lies in a Gleason part of $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D})$. Since by our assumption the cardinality of the set of connected components of Z is less than $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, the set of Gleason parts which meet $(\Phi^* \circ \Delta)(Z)$ is of cardinality $< 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ as well. Assume, on the contrary, that $(\Phi^* \circ \Delta)(Z)$ meets infinitely many Gleason parts. Then due to [4, Prop. 2] it has cardinality $\geq 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, a contradiction which proves the lemma. \square

The lemma implies that there exist distinct Gleason parts π_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, such that $\Phi^* \circ \Delta$ maps each connected component of Z to one of π_i . We set

$$K_i := (\Phi^* \circ \Delta)(Z) \cap \pi_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Each $K_i \subset \pi_i$ is compact.*

Proof. Clearly, it suffices to prove the result for π_i an analytic disk. Let $L_i : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \pi_i$ be an analytic parametrization. Suppose, on the contrary, that K_i is not compact. Then there is a sequence $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{D}$ tending to \mathbb{S} such that all $L_i(z_n) \in K_i$. Let $x \in K$ be a limit point of $\{L_i(z_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Due to [1, Thm.3], if $x \notin \pi$, then the cardinality of the closure of $\{L_i(z_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$. However, K belongs to finitely many Gleason parts and so has cardinality at most \mathfrak{c} . This shows that all limit points of $\{L_i(z_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ belong to π_i , i.e., the closure of the set is a compact subset of π_i . Then due to Proposition 2.3, $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a relatively compact subset of \mathbb{D} , a contradiction which proves the lemma. \square

As a direct corollary of the lemma we obtain that all $Y_i := (\Phi^*)^{-1}(K_i)$ are clopen subsets of $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ and all $Z_i := (\Phi^* \circ \Delta)^{-1}(K_i)$ are clopen subsets of Z formed by disjoint unions of some connected components. Hence, by the Shilov idempotent theorem, see, e.g., [5, Ch. III, Cor. 6.5], there exist idempotents $p_i \in A$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, such that $\text{supp } p_i = Z_i$ and $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \cdot \Phi$. Here each $p_i \cdot \Phi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{D}, A_{p_i})$ and the maximal ideal space of A_{p_i} is Y_i . The transpose of $p_i \cdot \Phi$ restricted to Z_i coincides with the mapping $(\Phi^* \circ \Delta)|_{Z_i}$ and, in particular, its image is $K_i \subset \pi_i$. To complete the proof of the theorem we must show that each $p_i \cdot \Phi$ is given by (1.5) or (1.6) and that $p_i \cdot \Phi$ is a compact operator.

To this end, we consider two cases:

1. $\pi_i := \{x_i\}$ is a trivial Gleason part.

Then, for every $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and all $z \in Z_i$,

$$(3.1) \quad ((p_i \cdot \Phi)(f))(z) = \delta_z(\Phi(f)) = \hat{f}((\Phi^* \circ \Delta)(z)) = \hat{f}(x_i).$$

Thus, $(p_i \cdot \Phi)(f) = \hat{f}(x_i) \cdot p_i$ for all $f \in \mathcal{D}$ as in (1.5).

2. π_i is an analytic disk.

Let $L_i : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \pi_i$ be an analytic parametrization. Since the image of $(\Phi^* \circ \Delta)|_{Z_i}$ is a compact subset of π_i , there is a function $g_i \in C(Z_i)$ with image in \mathbb{D} such that $L_i \circ g_i = (\Phi^* \circ \Delta)|_{Z_i}$ (cf. Lemma 2.5). Thus,

$$(3.2) \quad ((p_i \cdot \Phi)(f))(z) = \delta_z(\Phi(f)) = \hat{f}((\Phi^* \circ \Delta)(z)) = (\hat{f} \circ L_i \circ g_i)(z), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}, z \in Z_i.$$

To show that $p_i \cdot \Phi$ is as in (1.6), we must prove the following

Lemma 3.3. *Function g_i belongs to $A|_{Z_i}$.*

Proof. Below, we naturally identify A_{p_i} with $A|_{Z_i}$.

Since $g(Z_i) \subset \mathbb{D}$ is compact, by Hoffman's theorem [6, Ch. X, Thm. 1.7] there is an interpolating Blaschke product B such that the function $\hat{B} \circ L_i \in H^\infty \subset \mathcal{D}$ is one-to-one in a neighbourhood of $g(Z_i)$. Then there is a function h_i , holomorphic in a neighbourhood of the compact set $S_i := (\hat{B} \circ L_i)(g(Z_i))$ such that $h_i|_{S_i}$ is inverse of $(\hat{B} \circ L_i)|_{g(Z_i)}$. Due to (3.2),

$\hat{B} \circ L_i \circ g_i \in A|_{Z_i}$, and its spectrum is S_i . Since h_i is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of S_i , the functional calculus for $\hat{B} \circ L_i \circ g_i$, see, e.g., [9, Ch. 10.26], implies that $g_i = h_i \circ \hat{B} \circ L_i \circ g_i$ lies in $A|_{Z_i}$ as well. \square

From the lemma we obtain that $p_i \cdot \Phi$ given by (3.2) is as in (1.6).

Finally, let us show that each $p_i \cdot \Phi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{D}, A_{p_i})$ is a compact operator.

It is clear for $p_i \cdot \Phi$ satisfying (3.1). In turn, if $p_i \cdot \Phi$ satisfies (3.2), then the spectrum of $g_i \in A|_{Z_i}$ is $g(Z_i) \subset \mathbb{D}_r := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$ for some $r \in (0, 1)$. Also, recall that $L_i^*(\mathcal{D}) \subset H^\infty$, see Remark 1.3. Thus, $p_i \cdot \Phi$ is the composition of the homomorphism $L_i^* : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow H^\infty$, $f \mapsto \hat{f} \circ L_i$, the restriction homomorphism $R : H^\infty \rightarrow H^\infty(\mathbb{D}_r)$, $f \mapsto f|_{\mathbb{D}_r}$, and the homomorphism $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}_r) \rightarrow A_{p_i}$ determined by the functional calculus of $g_i \in A|_{Z_i}$, see, e.g., [9, Thm. 10.27]. Since the operator R is compact, $p_i \cdot \Phi$ is a compact operator as well.

The proof of the theorem is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Axler and P. Gorkin, Sequences in the maximal ideal space of H^∞ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (3) (1990), 731–740.
- [2] A. Brudnyi, Topology of the maximal ideal space of H^∞ , J. Funct. Anal. **189** (2002), 21–52.
- [3] L. Carleson, Interpolation of bounded analytic functions and the corona problem, Ann. of Math. **76** (1962), 547–559.
- [4] A. Dufresnoy, Sur les compacts d'interpolation du spectre de $H^\infty(D)$, Studia Math. **43** (1972), 235–244.
- [5] T. Gamelin, Uniform algebras, Prentice-Hall, 1969.
- [6] J. B. Garnett, Bounded analytic functions, Academic Press, 1981.
- [7] K. Hoffman, Bounded analytic functions and Gleason parts, Ann. of Math. **86** (1967), 74–111.
- [8] L. Loomis, An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis, Van Nostrand, 1953.
- [9] W. Rudin, Functional analysis, McGraw Hill, 1973.
- [10] N. E. Steenrod, A convenient category of topological spaces, Michigan Math. J. **14** (2) (1967), 133–152.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
 UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY
 CALGARY, ALBERTA, CANADA
 T2N 1N4
 Email address: abrudnyi@ucalgary.ca