

Noncommutative hamiltonian formalism for noncommutative gravity

Leonardo Castellani

*Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica
Università del Piemonte Orientale, viale T. Michel 11, 15121 Alessandria, Italy*

INFN, Sezione di Torino, via P. Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy

*Regge Center for Algebra, Geometry and Theoretical Physics, via P. Giuria 1,
10125 Torino, Italy*

Abstract

We present a covariant canonical formalism for noncommutative (NC) gravity, and in general for NC geometric theories defined via a twisted \star -wedge product between forms. Noether theorems are generalized to the NC setting, and gauge generators are constructed in a twisted phase space with \star -deformed Poisson bracket. This formalism is applied to NC $d = 4$ vierbein gravity, and allows to find the canonical generators of the tangent space \star -gauge group.

In memoriam of Alessandro D'Adda

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	A summary of the covariant hamiltonian formalism	3
2.1	Geometric action and field equations	3
2.2	Form Hamiltonian	3
2.3	Form Poisson bracket	4
3	Twisted hamiltonian formalism	5
3.1	\star -Hamiltonian	5
3.2	\star -Poisson bracket and infinitesimal canonical transformations	6
3.3	\star -Symmetries and \star -Noether theorems	7
4	Twisted noncommutative gravity in $d = 4$	9
4.1	Classical action and symmetries	9
4.2	NC Action and NC symmetries	10
4.2.1	NC gravity action for the component fields	12
4.2.2	\star -gauge variations	12
5	NC Hamiltonian formulation of NC gravity	13
5.1	\star -Legendre transformation and \star -Hamiltonian	13
5.2	Construction of \star -gauge generators	13
6	Conclusions	15
A	Twist differential geometry	16
B	Gamma matrices in $d = 4$	18
B.1	Useful identities	18

1 Introduction

In this paper we present a hamiltonian formalism tailored for noncommutative (NC) geometric field theories. Both hamiltonian techniques and noncommutative geometry have a long history in theoretical physics, and have been brought together already many decades ago when phase space, the geometrical arena of canonical formalism, was studied by Dirac [1, 2] as a primordial example of noncommutative space. This inspired the idea of *spacetime coordinates* as non-commuting operators [3, 4], with commutation relations of the type $[x^\mu, x^\nu] = i\theta^{\mu\nu}$. Such relations lead to an uncertainty principle that smears spacetime at distances shorter than $\sqrt{\theta}$. Since quantum theory prevents us to “measure” geometry at distances smaller than the Planck length L_P (at this scale the curvature radius of spacetime becomes comparable to the wavelength of a probe particle), the above commutation relations seem to make good physical sense when $\sqrt{\theta} \approx L_P$. A quantum theory of gravity containing or predicting noncommutativity of spacetime coordinates at small distances would therefore stand a good chance to be intrinsically regulated.

Geometric theories, as for example (super)gravity, can be formulated on a NC spacetime, by deforming the usual wedge product between forms to a twisted wedge product, or \wedge_\star product. This program has been applied for instance to Yang-Mills theories coupled to fermions (for a NC version of the standard model see for ex. [5, 6]), to gravity coupled to fermions [7], and also to supergravity, see [8].

Canonical quantization of these NC field theories can be achieved by applying the traditional hamiltonian methods to the classical \star -deformed theories, expanded in the noncommutativity parameter θ . Another way, which we advocate in the present paper, is to design a NC hamiltonian formalism that can be applied directly to the NC theory, before expressing it as a classical deformed field theory.

Here we generalize to a NC setting the *covariant* hamiltonian formalism, introduced in the eighties in ref.s [9]-[13], and further developed more recently in [14, 15]. This formalism is well adapted to geometric theories, governed by Lagrangian d -forms.

By extending the covariant Legendre transformation of [14, 15] to a NC covariant Legendre transformation, we can define NC momenta and Hamiltonian. This we do explicitly on the example of the twisted noncommutative (pure) gravity in $d = 4$ of ref. [7].

The power of hamiltonian methods is particularly useful when investigating symmetries. Starting from the Hamiltonian, an algorithm [16] exists to construct all the canonical symmetry generators, based on the Dirac treatment of constrained hamiltonian systems [17, 18, 19]. The algorithm has been generalized to the covariant hamiltonian framework in [14, 15], and in the present paper further generalized to NC theories, thus providing a NC analogue of Noether theorems.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 summarizes the covariant hamiltonian method for geometric theories. Section 3 generalizes this method to NC twisted geometric theories. In Sections 4 and 5 we give a detailed account of $d = 4$ NC gravity in this formalism: NC momenta, Hamiltonian and Poisson brackets are

found, and the canonical generator of the NC symmetries of the theory is explicitly constructed via the NC generalization of the algorithm of [14, 15]. The Appendices contain a micro-review of twisted NC geometry (for a more detailed treatment see for ex. [20]), and some gamma matrix conventions.

2 A summary of the covariant hamiltonian formalism

2.1 Geometric action and field equations

Consider the action :

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{M}^d} L(\phi_i, d\phi_i) \quad (2.1)$$

where the Lagrangian L depends on a collection of p_i -form fields ϕ_i and their exterior derivatives, and is integrated on a d -dimensional manifold \mathcal{M}^d .

The variational principle reads

$$\delta S = \int_{\mathcal{M}^d} \delta\phi_i \frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial\phi_i} + d(\delta\phi_i) \frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial(d\phi_i)} = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

All products are exterior products between forms, satisfying

$$AB = (-)^{ab+\eta_a\eta_b} BA \quad (2.3)$$

with a, b and η_a, η_b the degrees and the fermionic gradings of the forms A and B respectively ($\eta = 0$ for bosons and $\eta = 1$ for fermions). In the following we consider for simplicity only bosonic fields. The symbol $\frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial\phi_i}$ indicates the right derivative of L with respect to a p_i -form ϕ_i , defined by first bringing ϕ_i to the left in L (taking into account the sign changes due to the form degrees) and then canceling it against the derivative.

After integrating by parts the second term in the action variation (2.2), one obtains the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$d \frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial(d\phi_i)} - (-)^{p_i} \frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial\phi_i} = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

These are $(d - p_i)$ -form equations.

2.2 Form Hamiltonian

We define the d -form Hamiltonian as:

$$H \equiv d\phi_i \pi^i - L \quad (2.5)$$

where the $(d - p_i - 1)$ -form momenta are given by:

$$\pi^i \equiv \frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial(d\phi_i)} \quad (2.6)$$

The Hamiltonian does not depend on the “velocities” $d\phi_i$, the proof being the same as in the usual case, unless primary constraints are present (see later).

The form-analogue of the Hamilton equations reads:

$$d\phi_i = (-)^{(d+1)(p_i+1)} \frac{\vec{\partial} H}{\partial\pi^i}, \quad d\pi^i = (-)^{p_i+1} \frac{\vec{\partial} H}{\partial\phi_i} \quad (2.7)$$

The first equation is equivalent to the momentum definition, and is obtained by taking the right derivative of H as given in (2.5) with respect to π^i , and then using (2.6), and $(d - p_i - 1)(p_i + 1) = (d + 1)(p_i + 1) \pmod{2}$. The second is equivalent to the Euler-Lagrange form equations, and is obtained by taking the right derivative of H with respect to ϕ_i . Both can be deduced as variational equations for the action (2.1) rewritten with canonical variables:

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{M}^d} d\phi_i \pi^i - H(\phi_i, \pi^i) \quad (2.8)$$

2.3 Form Poisson bracket

The form Hamilton equations allow to express the (on shell) exterior differential of any p -form $F(\phi_i, \pi^i)$ as

$$dF = d\phi_i \frac{\vec{\partial} F}{\partial\phi_i} + d\pi^i \frac{\vec{\partial} F}{\partial\pi^i} = (-)^{(d+1)(p_i+1)} \frac{\vec{\partial} H}{\partial\pi^i} \frac{\vec{\partial} F}{\partial\phi_i} + (-)^{p_i+1} \frac{\vec{\partial} H}{\partial\phi_i} \frac{\vec{\partial} F}{\partial\pi^i} \quad (2.9)$$

Using left derivatives this expression simplifies:

$$dF = \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} H}{\partial\pi^i} \frac{\vec{\partial} F}{\partial\phi_i} - (-)^{p_i d} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} H}{\partial\phi_i} \frac{\vec{\partial} F}{\partial\pi^i} \quad (2.10)$$

Note: left derivatives are defined as “acting on the left” and for example $\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} H}{\partial\phi_i}$ really means $H \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial\phi_i}$. It is easy to verify that the left and right derivatives of an f -form F with respect to an a -form A satisfy

$$\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} F}{\partial A} = (-)^{a(f+1)} \frac{\vec{\partial} F}{\partial A} \quad (2.11)$$

and this relation is used to prove eq. (2.10).

The expression for the differential (2.10) suggests the definition of the *form Poisson bracket* (FPB):

$$\{A, B\} \equiv \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} B}{\partial\pi^i} \frac{\vec{\partial} A}{\partial\phi_i} - (-)^{p_i d} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} B}{\partial\phi_i} \frac{\vec{\partial} A}{\partial\pi^i} \quad (2.12)$$

so that

$$dF = \{F, H\} \quad (2.13)$$

The form Poisson bracket between the a -form A and the b -form B is a $(a+b-d+1)$ -form, and canonically conjugated forms satisfy:

$$\{\phi_i, \pi^j\} = \delta_i^j \quad (2.14)$$

Using the definition (2.12), the following relations for the FPB of (2.12) can be shown to hold [14]:

$$\{B, A\} = -(-)^{(a+d+1)(b+d+1)}\{A, B\} \quad (2.15)$$

$$\{A, BC\} = B\{A, C\} + (-)^{c(a+d+1)}\{A, B\}C \quad (2.16)$$

$$\{AB, C\} = \{A, C\}B + (-)^{a(c+d+1)}A\{B, C\} \quad (2.17)$$

$$(-)^{(a+d+1)(c+d+1)}\{A, \{B, C\}\} + \text{cyclic} = 0 \quad (2.18)$$

$$(-)^{(a+d+1)(b+d+1)}\{\{B, C\}, A\} + \text{cyclic} = 0 \quad (2.19)$$

i.e. graded antisymmetry, derivation property, and form-Jacobi identities.

As discussed in [14, 15], canonical transformations, symmetry generators and Noether theorems have their covariant counterpart. We will treat them directly in the twisted case.

3 Twisted hamiltonian formalism

In this Section we extend the covariant hamiltonian formalism to geometric theories on noncommutative spaces, and more precisely to twisted geometric theories with a noncommutative \star -product. Many formulae of the preceding Section continue to hold, with the usual exterior product replaced by the \star -exterior product between forms.

3.1 \star -Hamiltonian

Starting from a geometric action

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{M}^d} L(\phi_i, d\phi_i, \wedge_\star) \quad (3.1)$$

where all the fields are multiplied with \wedge_\star products, the Euler-Lagrange equations are obtained by varying with respect to ϕ_i . This variation takes the same form as in the classical (undeformed) case (2.2), with two modifications:

- exterior products \wedge are replaced by \wedge_\star .
- the right derivative is defined via cyclic permutation. This means that the field to be varied is first brought to the left via cyclic permutation (this we can do since integration is cyclic), and then is varied.

The outcome is that the Euler-Lagrange equations take the same form as the classical ones, with the right derivative being defined with the cyclic procedure.

The \star -Hamiltonian d -form is defined as

$$H \equiv d\phi_i \wedge_{\star} \pi^i - L \quad (3.2)$$

where the $(d - p_i - 1)$ -form \star -momenta are given by:

$$\pi^i \equiv \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial} L}{\partial(d\phi_i)} \quad (3.3)$$

with cyclic right derivative. Note that here only the *integral* of H is independent of $d\phi_i$, since cyclicity is essential for the proof, and only integrated \wedge_{\star} products are cyclic.

Varying the action

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{M}^d} d\phi_i \wedge_{\star} \pi^i - H \quad (3.4)$$

yields the \star -Hamilton equations: these are formally the same as the classical ones in (2.7), with the proviso that the right derivative is the cyclic one.

In the following right and left derivatives are always defined to be cyclic, and \wedge_{\star} products between forms are understood when omitted.

3.2 \star -Poisson bracket and infinitesimal canonical transformations

Formula (2.9), with cyclic derivatives and \wedge_{\star} products, holds now only under integration, so that F must be a $(d - 1)$ -form. Still, the relation (2.11) continues to hold, with cyclic left and right derivatives, and we can define \star -Poisson brackets formally as in (2.12):

$$\{A, B\}_{\star} \equiv \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} B}{\partial\pi^i} \wedge_{\star} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial} A}{\partial\phi_i} - (-)^{p_i d} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} B}{\partial\phi_i} \wedge_{\star} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial} A}{\partial\pi^i} \quad (3.5)$$

As in the classical case, we can also define infinitesimal canonical transformations on the basic fields and momenta as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\phi_i &= \{\phi_i, G\}_{\star} = \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} G}{\partial\pi^i} \\ \delta\pi^i &= \{\pi^i, G\}_{\star} = -(-)^{p_i d} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} G}{\partial\phi_i} \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where $G = G(\phi_i, \pi^i)$ is a $(d - 1)$ -form, the generator of the canonical transformation. The generator G can contain parameters $\varepsilon(x)$ depending only on spacetime,

for example as $G = \varepsilon(x)G'$. For a d -form A , the following relation holds under integration:

$$\delta A = \delta\phi_i \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial\phi_i} A + \delta\pi^i \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial\pi^i} A = \{A, G\}_\star \quad (3.7)$$

The second equality follows immediately from eq.s (3.6). Note that $\{A, G\}_\star$ is a d -form like A .

In the following we always consider $(d-1)$ -form generators of the type $\varepsilon(x) \pi\phi$ (“point canonical transformations“) or of the more general form $d\varepsilon(x) \pi + \varepsilon(x) \pi\phi$, and it is easy to check that the transformations (3.6) preserve the canonical FPB relations (2.14). Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \{\phi'_i, \pi'^j\}_\star &= \{\phi_i + \{\phi_i, G\}_\star, \pi^j + \{\pi^j, G\}_\star\}_\star \\ &= \{\phi_i, \pi^j\}_\star + \{\phi_i, \{\pi^j, G\}_\star\}_\star + \{\{\phi_i, G\}_\star, \pi^j\}_\star + O(\varepsilon^2) \\ &= \{\phi_i, \pi^j\}_\star + O(\varepsilon^2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

since the two central terms of the second line cancel each other, as can be verified using the definition of \star -Poisson bracket (3.5). Therefore the variations (3.6) deserve to be called \star -canonical (infinitesimal) transformations.

3.3 \star -Symmetries and \star -Noether theorems

Consider now the generic variation of the action (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S &= \int_{\mathcal{M}^d} d(\delta\phi_i)\pi^i + d\phi_i \delta\pi^i - \delta H = \int_{\mathcal{M}^d} d(\delta\phi_i\pi^i) - (-)^{p_i}\delta\phi_i d\pi^i + d\phi_i \delta\pi^i - \delta H \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{M}^d} d(\delta\phi_i\pi^i) - (-)^{p_i}(-)^{(d-p_i)p_i} d\pi^i \delta\phi_i + d\phi_i \delta\pi^i - \delta H \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where \wedge_\star products are omitted. Recalling the variations (3.6), and the relation (2.11) between right and left derivatives, the variation of the action becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S &= \int_{\mathcal{M}^d} d\left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial\pi^i} G \pi^i\right) - d\pi^i \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial\pi^i} G - d\phi_i \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial\phi_i} G - \{H, G\}_\star = \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{M}^d} d\left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial\pi^i} G \pi^i - G\right) - \{H, G\}_\star \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where we have used (3.7) for the variation of H , since H is a d -form. In the final expression for δS we have equated dG to the two terms with right derivatives: this is correct as long as G contains only ϕ and π fields, plus possibly constant (infinitesimal) parameters ε . If it contains arbitrary functions $\varepsilon(x)$, for example $\mathbb{G} = \varepsilon(x)G$ (we denote in this case the generator with \mathbb{G} , while G is still a function of only ϕ and π), the two terms with right derivatives become $-d(\varepsilon(x)G) + d\varepsilon(x) G$

under integration. With appropriate conditions on the boundary of \mathcal{M}^d , the total derivative can be ignored and we are left with:

$$\delta S = \int_{\mathcal{M}^d} d\varepsilon G - \{H, \varepsilon G\}_\star \quad (3.11)$$

For constant ε , we recover the analogue of Noether's theorem for global symmetries: the symmetry generator $\mathbb{G} = \varepsilon G$ has vanishing \star -Poisson bracket with the Hamiltonian. For constant ε this also implies $\{H, G\}_\star = 0$. As in the classical case, this leads to a conservation law, since the on-shell differential of G can be expressed (under integration) as $dG = \{G, H\}_\star = -\{H, G\}_\star$. Then on shell $\int_{\mathcal{M}^d} dG = 0$ and the integral of G on the boundary $\partial\mathcal{M}^d$ vanishes. Taking vanishing fields at spatial infinity, the integral of G on a spacelike surface is conserved in time.

When ε is nonconstant, the variation (3.11) vanishes iff $G = 0$ and $\{H, \varepsilon G\}_\star = 0$ (a nonconstant ε cannot be taken outside the \star -Poisson bracket, since it \star -multiplies G). Thus generators of local symmetries have to vanish, together with their \star -Poisson bracket with the Hamiltonian, a result analogous to Noether's theorem for local symmetries.

In gauge and gravity theories the symmetry transformations on the basic fields can include derivatives of the parameter. In our geometric formalism these can only be exterior derivatives $d\varepsilon$. Therefore we must consider generators \mathbb{G} of the type

$$\mathbb{G} = \varepsilon G + d\varepsilon F \quad (3.12)$$

where F is a $(d-2)$ -form, and the action variation becomes

$$\delta S = \int_{\mathcal{M}^d} d\varepsilon G - \{H, \varepsilon G\}_\star - \{H, d\varepsilon F\}_\star \quad (3.13)$$

Thus \star -symmetry generators of this type must satisfy separately

$$\{H, \varepsilon G\}_\star = 0, \quad d\varepsilon G - \{H, d\varepsilon F\}_\star = 0 \quad (3.14)$$

since ε is an arbitrary function. To check whether these conditions (3.14) hold we can use cyclic reorderings, since they originate from the varied integral (3.13). Note that in presence of hamiltonian constraints $\Phi = 0$ (as is the case when the action is invariant under gauge-type transformations) one must also require the variation of the constraints to vanish weakly, i.e. modulo constraints:

$$\delta\Phi \approx 0 \quad (3.15)$$

with \approx meaning weak equality. In the commutative case, these variations can be expressed with the Poisson bracket $\{\Phi, \mathbb{G}\}$, whereas in the NC setting $\delta\Phi = \{\Phi, \mathbb{G}\}_\star$ holds only under integration, with Φ a d -form.

This generalizes to the noncommutative setting the algorithm of [16] (adapted to the covariant hamiltonian formalism in [14]) for the construction of canonical gauge symmetry generators. The steps of the algorithm are:

1) look for first class $(d-1)$ -forms $d\varepsilon F(\phi, \pi)$, first class meaning that they have weakly vanishing \star -Poisson bracket with all the constraints.

2) compute the \star -Poisson bracket $\{H, d\varepsilon F\}_\star$. The result leads to a candidate for G .

3) Check whether this candidate, possibly with the addition of weakly vanishing pieces, satisfies $\{H, \varepsilon G\}_\star = 0$. In fact this request usually fixes the weakly vanishing pieces (combinations of constraints) that need to be added.

4) Check whether the variations generated by $\mathbb{G} = \varepsilon G + d\varepsilon F$ preserve (weakly) the constraints Φ .

Then \mathbb{G} generates a symmetry of the action (3.4).

In the next Sections we apply this formalism to noncommutative (twisted) $d = 4$ vierbein gravity.

4 Twisted noncommutative gravity in $d = 4$

4.1 Classical action and symmetries

We start by rewriting the classical Einstein-Hilbert action in a compact form, using an index-free notation:

$$S = \int Tr (iR \wedge V \wedge V \gamma_5) \quad (4.1)$$

The fundamental fields are the 1-forms Ω (spin connection) and V (vierbein):

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{4} \omega^{ab} \gamma_{ab}, \quad V = V^a \gamma_a \quad (4.2)$$

The curvature 2-form R is defined as

$$R = d\Omega - \Omega \wedge \Omega, \quad (4.3)$$

Thus all fields are 4×4 matrices in the spinor representation (see Appendix A for $d = 4$ gamma matrix conventions). The trace Tr is taken on this representation. Using the $d = 4$ gamma matrix identities

$$\gamma_{abc} = i\varepsilon_{abcd} \gamma^d \gamma_5, \quad Tr(\gamma_{ab} \gamma_c \gamma_d \gamma_5) = -4i\varepsilon_{abcd} \quad (4.4)$$

we recover the usual action

$$S = \int R^{ab} \wedge V^c \wedge V^d \varepsilon_{abcd} \quad (4.5)$$

The action is invariant under local diffeomorphisms (it is the integral of a 4-form on a 4-manifold) and under the local Lorentz rotations:

$$\delta_\epsilon V = -[V, \epsilon], \quad \delta_\epsilon \Omega = d\epsilon - [\Omega, \epsilon], \quad (4.6)$$

with gauge parameter (0-form)

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{ab}\gamma_{ab} \quad (4.7)$$

The invariance can be directly checked on the action (4.1) noting that

$$\delta_\epsilon R = -[R, \epsilon], \quad (4.8)$$

and using the cyclicity of the trace Tr , and the fact that ϵ commutes with γ_5 . The Lorentz rotations close on the Lie algebra:

$$[\delta_{\epsilon_1}, \delta_{\epsilon_2}] = -\delta_{[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2]} \quad (4.9)$$

After substituting (4.2) and (4.7) into (4.6), simple gamma algebra yields the gauge variations of the component fields in (4.2):

$$\delta_\epsilon V^a = \epsilon^a_b V^b, \quad \delta_\epsilon \omega^{ab} = d\epsilon^{ab} - \omega^a_c \epsilon^{cb} + \omega^b_c \epsilon^{ca} \equiv \mathcal{D}\epsilon^{ab} \quad (4.10)$$

Similarly, the variation of the curvature components is found to be

$$\delta R^{ab} = \epsilon^a_c R^{cb} - \epsilon^b_c R^{ca} \quad (4.11)$$

Thus all quantities in the action (4.5) transform homogeneously under Lorentz local rotations, and since ε_{abcd} is an invariant tensor of $SO(1,3)$, the action is likewise invariant. Here the proof of invariance looks simple both in the index-free and in the component formulation. Note however that in general the index-free proof is much simpler.

4.2 NC Action and NC symmetries

In this subsection we recall the noncommutative generalization of the action (4.1), the bosonic part of the NC action studied in ref. [7]. It is obtained by replacing exterior products by deformed exterior products:

$$S = \int Tr (iR \wedge_\star V \wedge_\star V \gamma_5) \quad (4.12)$$

with

$$R = d\Omega - \Omega \wedge_\star \Omega, \quad (4.13)$$

Almost all formulae in Section 4.1 continue to hold, with \star -products and \star -exterior products. However, the expansion of the fundamental fields on the Dirac basis of gamma matrices must now include new contributions:

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{4}\omega^{ab}\gamma_{ab} + i\omega 1 + \tilde{\omega}\gamma_5, \quad V = V^a\gamma_a + \tilde{V}^a\gamma_a\gamma_5 \quad (4.14)$$

Similarly for the curvature :

$$R = \frac{1}{4}R^{ab}\gamma_{ab} + ir 1 + \tilde{r}\gamma_5 \quad (4.15)$$

and for the gauge parameter:

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^{ab}\gamma_{ab} + i\varepsilon 1 + \tilde{\varepsilon}\gamma_5 \quad (4.16)$$

Indeed now the \star -gauge variations read:

$$\delta_\epsilon V = -V \star \epsilon + \epsilon \star V, \quad \delta_\epsilon \Omega = d\epsilon - \Omega \star \epsilon + \epsilon \star \Omega, \quad (4.17)$$

and in the variations for V and Ω also anticommutators of gamma matrices appear, due to the noncommutativity of the \star -product. Since for example the anticommutator $\{\gamma_{ab}, \gamma_{cd}\}$ contains 1 and γ_5 , we see that the corresponding fields must be included in the expansion of Ω . Similarly, V must contain a $\gamma_a \gamma_5$ term due to $\{\gamma_{ab}, \gamma_c\}$. Finally, the composition law for gauge parameters becomes:

$$[\delta_{\epsilon_1}, \delta_{\epsilon_2}] = \delta_{\epsilon_2 \star \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_1 \star \epsilon_2} \quad (4.18)$$

so that ϵ must contain the 1 and γ_5 terms, since they appear in the composite parameter $\epsilon_2 \star \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_1 \star \epsilon_2$.

The invariance of the noncommutative action (4.12) under the \star -variations is proved in exactly the same way as for the commutative case, noting that

$$\delta_\epsilon R = -R \star \epsilon + \epsilon \star R, \quad (4.19)$$

and using now, besides the cyclicity of the trace Tr and the fact that ϵ still commutes with γ_5 , also the graded cyclicity of the integral. The local \star -symmetry satisfies the Lie algebra of $GL(2, C)$, and centrally extends the $SO(1, 3)$ Lie algebra of the commutative theory.

Invariance under diffeomorphisms $x \rightarrow x'(x)$ holds since the action is a 4-form integrated on 4-dimensional spacetime. More precisely, the action (4.12) is invariant under diffeomorphisms generated by the Lie derivative ℓ_ε , where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon^\mu \partial_\mu$ is an infinitesimal tangent vector. Indeed using the Cartan formula $\ell_\varepsilon = d\nu_\varepsilon + \nu_\varepsilon d$ we find that the variation of the action is a total derivative, since the Lagrangian is a top form. The (infinitesimal) variation obeys the Leibniz rule, amounting to vary in turn all the fields (forms and tangent vectors) present in the action. Form fields τ vary with the Lie derivative, i.e. $\delta_\varepsilon \tau = \ell_\varepsilon \tau$, and the tangent vectors $X_A = X_A^\mu \partial_\mu$ defining the \wedge_\star product (see Appendix A) transform as $\delta_\varepsilon X_A = [\varepsilon, X_A]$. With these variations the action varies into a total derivative¹.

Finally, in the next two subsections we give the NC action in terms of the component fields $(V^a, \omega^{ab}, \tilde{V}^a, \omega, \tilde{\omega})$, and the gauge variations of these fields.

¹In the present setting, the tangent fields X_A are background fields. Their promotion to dynamical fields is discussed in ref. [21].

4.2.1 NC gravity action for the component fields

Using the expansions (4.14) and (4.15), the action (4.12) takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
S = & \int R^{ab} \wedge_\star (V^c \wedge_\star V^d - \tilde{V}^c \wedge_\star \tilde{V}^d) \epsilon_{abcd} - 2i R^{ab} \wedge_\star (V_a \wedge_\star \tilde{V}_b - \tilde{V}_a \wedge_\star V_b) \\
& - 4 r \wedge_\star (V^a \wedge_\star \tilde{V}_a - \tilde{V}^a \wedge_\star V_a) + 4i \tilde{r} \wedge_\star (V^a \wedge_\star V_a - \tilde{V}^a \wedge_\star \tilde{V}_a)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
R^{ab} = & d\omega^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \omega^a_c \wedge_\star \omega^{cb} + \frac{1}{2} \omega^b_c \wedge_\star \omega^{ca} - i(\omega^{ab} \wedge_\star \omega + \omega \wedge_\star \omega^{ab}) - \\
& - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{ab}_{cd} (\omega^{cd} \wedge_\star \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{\omega} \wedge_\star \omega^{cd})
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
r = & d\omega - \frac{i}{8} \omega^{ab} \wedge_\star \omega_{ab} - i(\omega \wedge_\star \omega - \tilde{\omega} \wedge_\star \tilde{\omega}) \\
\tilde{r} = & d\tilde{\omega} + \frac{i}{16} \epsilon_{abcd} \omega^{ab} \wedge_\star \omega^{cd} - i(\omega \wedge_\star \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{\omega} \wedge_\star \omega)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

4.2.2 \star -gauge variations

The action (4.20) is invariant under the \star -gauge transformations

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\varepsilon V^a = & \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon^a_b \star V^b + V^b \star \varepsilon^a_b) + \frac{i}{4} \epsilon^a_{bcd} (\tilde{V}^b \star \varepsilon^{cd} - \varepsilon^{cd} \star \tilde{V}^b) \\
& + i(\varepsilon \star V^a - V^a \star \varepsilon) - \tilde{\varepsilon} \star \tilde{V}^a - \tilde{V}^a \star \tilde{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\varepsilon \tilde{V}^a = & \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon^a_b \star \tilde{V}^b + \tilde{V}^b \star \varepsilon^a_b) + \frac{i}{4} \epsilon^a_{bcd} (V^b \star \varepsilon^{cd} - \varepsilon^{cd} \star V^b) \\
& + i(\varepsilon \star \tilde{V}^a - \tilde{V}^a \star \varepsilon) - \tilde{\varepsilon} \star V^a - V^a \star \tilde{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\varepsilon \omega^{ab} = & d\varepsilon^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon^a_c \star \omega^{cb} - \varepsilon^b_c \star \omega^{ca} + \omega^{cb} \star \varepsilon^a_c - \omega^{ca} \star \varepsilon^b_c) \\
& + i(\varepsilon^{ab} \star \omega - \omega \star \varepsilon^{ab}) + \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{ab}_{cd} (\varepsilon^{cd} \star \tilde{\omega} - \tilde{\omega} \star \varepsilon^{cd})
\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + i(\varepsilon \star \omega^{ab} - \omega^{ab} \star \varepsilon) + \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{ab}_{cd} (\tilde{\varepsilon} \star \omega^{cd} - \omega^{cd} \star \tilde{\varepsilon})
\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \omega = d\varepsilon - \frac{i}{8} (\omega^{ab} \star \varepsilon_{ab} - \varepsilon_{ab} \star \omega^{ab}) + i(\varepsilon \star \omega - \omega \star \varepsilon - \tilde{\varepsilon} \star \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{\omega} \star \tilde{\varepsilon}) \tag{4.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\varepsilon \tilde{\omega} = & d\tilde{\varepsilon} + \frac{i}{16} \epsilon_{abcd} (\omega^{ab} \star \varepsilon^{cd} - \varepsilon^{cd} \star \omega^{ab}) + i(\varepsilon \star \tilde{\omega} - \tilde{\omega} \star \varepsilon + \tilde{\varepsilon} \star \omega - \omega \star \tilde{\varepsilon})
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

obtained by inserting the expansions (4.14), (4.15) and (4.16) into the variations (4.17).

5 NC Hamiltonian formulation of NC gravity

In this Section we apply the \star -Hamiltonian formalism to the NC gravity action (4.20).

5.1 \star -Legendre transformation and \star -Hamiltonian

We begin by giving the definition of momenta:

$$\pi_a = \frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial(dV^a)} = 0 \quad (5.1)$$

$$\tilde{\pi}_a = \frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial(d\tilde{V}^a)} = 0 \quad (5.2)$$

$$\pi_{ab} = \frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial(d\omega^{ab})} = \epsilon_{abcd}(V^c V^d - \tilde{V}^c \tilde{V}^d) + 2i(\tilde{V}^{[a} V^{b]} - V^{[a} \tilde{V}^{b]}) \quad (5.3)$$

$$\pi = \frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial(d\omega)} = 4(\tilde{V}^c V^c - V^c \tilde{V}^c) \quad (5.4)$$

$$\tilde{\pi} = \frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial(d\tilde{\omega})} = 4i(V^c V^c - \tilde{V}^c \tilde{V}^c) \quad (5.5)$$

The \star -Hamiltonian is therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H = & dV^a \pi_a + d\tilde{V}^a \tilde{\pi}_a + d\omega^{ab} \pi_{ab} + d\omega \pi + d\tilde{\omega} \tilde{\pi} - L = \\ & dV^a \Phi_a + d\tilde{V}^a \tilde{\Phi}_a + d\omega^{ab} \Phi_{ab} + d\omega \Phi + d\tilde{\omega} \tilde{\Phi} + \\ & + [\omega^{c[a} \omega^{b]}_c + i(\omega^{ab} \omega + \omega \omega^{ab}) + \frac{i}{2}(\omega_{ef} \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{\omega} \omega_{ef}) \epsilon^{abef}] \cdot \\ & \cdot (V^c V^d - \tilde{V}^c \tilde{V}^d) \epsilon_{abcd} + 2i(\tilde{V}_a V_b - V_a \tilde{V}_b)] + \\ & + 4(\frac{i}{8} \omega^{ab} \omega_{ab} - i\tilde{\omega} \tilde{\omega} + i\omega \omega)(\tilde{V}^c V_c - V^c \tilde{V}_c) - \\ & - 4i(\frac{i}{16} \omega^{ab} \omega^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} - i\omega \tilde{\omega} - i\tilde{\omega} \omega)(V^c V_c - \tilde{V}^c \tilde{V}_c) \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where the constraints Φ are defined by subtracting the right-hand sides from the left-hand sides in (5.1) - (5.5).

5.2 Construction of \star -gauge generators

We apply now the algorithm for the search of symmetry generators. We start from the first class 2-forms π_{ab} , π , $\tilde{\pi}$. They commute with all the constraints Φ , and are therefore good candidates for the F part of the gauge generator \mathbb{G} in (3.12). Their

Poisson bracket with H is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial} H}{\partial \omega^{ab}} &= \pi_{c[a} \omega^c{}_{b]} + \omega_{[b}{}^c \pi_{a]c} + i(\omega \pi_{ab} - \pi_{ab} \omega) + \frac{i}{2}(\tilde{\omega} \pi^{cd} - \pi^{cd} \tilde{\omega}) \epsilon_{abcd} + \\ &+ \frac{i}{8}(\omega_{ab} \pi - \pi \omega_{ab}) + \frac{i}{16}(\tilde{\pi} \omega^{cd} - \omega^{cd} \tilde{\pi}) \epsilon_{abcd} \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

$$\frac{\overrightarrow{\partial} H}{\partial \omega} = i(\omega^{ab} \pi_{ab} - \pi_{ab} \omega^{ab} + \omega \pi - \pi \omega + \tilde{\omega} \tilde{\pi} - \tilde{\pi} \tilde{\omega}) \quad (5.8)$$

$$\frac{\overrightarrow{\partial} H}{\partial \tilde{\omega}} = \frac{i}{2}(\omega_{ab} \pi_{cd} - \pi_{cd} \omega_{ab}) \epsilon^{abcd} + i(\omega \tilde{\pi} - \tilde{\pi} \omega - \tilde{\omega} \pi + \pi \tilde{\omega}) \quad (5.9)$$

Thus, if we take $d\varepsilon_{ab} \pi^{ab} + d\varepsilon \pi + d\tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{\pi}$ as the F part of the symmetry generator \mathbb{G} , the second condition in (3.14) is satisfied when the G part is the sum of the right-hand sides in the above equations. However we have still to satisfy the first condition in (3.14). This we achieve by adding to the G part a combination of constraints. The result is the \star - $GL(2, C)$ gauge generator:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G} &= d\varepsilon_{ab} \pi^{ab} + d\varepsilon \pi + d\tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{\pi} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} (V^a \pi^b + \pi^b V^a) + \frac{i}{4} \varepsilon^{cd} (\tilde{V}^b \pi^a - \pi^a \tilde{V}^b) \epsilon_{abcd} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} (\tilde{V}^a \tilde{\pi}^b + \tilde{\pi}^b \tilde{V}^a) + \frac{i}{4} \varepsilon^{cd} (V^b \tilde{\pi}^a - \tilde{\pi}^a V^b) \epsilon_{abcd} - \\ &- \varepsilon^{ca} (\omega^b{}_{c} \pi_{ab} + \pi_{ab} \omega^b{}_{c}) - i\varepsilon^{ab} (\omega \pi_{ab} - \pi_{ab} \omega) - \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon_{cd} (\tilde{\omega} \pi_{ab} - \pi_{ab} \tilde{\omega}) \epsilon^{abcd} - \\ &- \frac{i}{8} \varepsilon_{ab} (\omega^{ab} \pi - \pi \omega^{ab}) + \frac{i}{16} \varepsilon^{cd} (\omega^{ab} \tilde{\pi} - \tilde{\pi} \omega^{ab}) \epsilon_{abcd} - \\ &- i\varepsilon (V^a \pi_a - \pi_a V^a) + \tilde{\varepsilon} (\tilde{V}^a \pi_a + \pi_a \tilde{V}^a) - i\varepsilon (\tilde{V}^a \tilde{\pi}_a - \tilde{\pi}_a \tilde{V}^a) + \tilde{\varepsilon} (V^a \tilde{\pi}_a + \tilde{\pi}_a V^a) - \\ &- i\varepsilon (\omega^{ab} \pi_{ab} - \pi_{ab} \omega^{ab}) - \frac{i}{2} \tilde{\varepsilon} (\omega_{ab} \pi_{cd} - \pi_{cd} \omega_{ab}) \epsilon^{abcd} \\ &- i\varepsilon (\omega \pi - \pi \omega + \tilde{\omega} \tilde{\pi} - \tilde{\pi} \tilde{\omega}) + i\tilde{\varepsilon} (\tilde{\omega} \pi - \pi \tilde{\omega} - \omega \tilde{\pi} + \tilde{\pi} \omega) \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

By simple inspection one can verify that indeed it generates the \star - $GL(2, C)$ symmetry variations listed in (4.23)-(4.28). Moreover, we can now obtain also the

\star - $GL(2, C)$ symmetry variations of the momenta:

$$\delta_\varepsilon \pi_a = \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial V^a} \mathbb{G} = \frac{1}{2}(\pi^b \varepsilon_{ab} + \varepsilon_{ab} \pi^b) - \frac{i}{4}(\tilde{\pi}^b \varepsilon^{cd} - \varepsilon^{cd} \tilde{\pi}^b) \epsilon_{abcd} - i(\pi_a \varepsilon - \varepsilon \pi_a) + \tilde{\pi}_a \tilde{\varepsilon} + \tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{\pi}_a \quad (5.11)$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \tilde{\pi}_a = \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial \tilde{V}^a} \mathbb{G} = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\pi}^b \varepsilon_{ab} + \varepsilon_{ab} \tilde{\pi}^b) - \frac{i}{4}(\pi^b \varepsilon^{cd} - \varepsilon^{cd} \pi^b) \epsilon_{abcd} + \pi_a \tilde{\varepsilon} + \tilde{\varepsilon} \pi_a - i(\tilde{\pi}_a \varepsilon - \varepsilon \tilde{\pi}_a) \quad (5.12)$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \pi_{ab} = \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial \omega^{ab}} \mathbb{G} = -\pi_{c[a} \varepsilon_{b]}^c - \varepsilon_{c[b} \pi_{a]}^c - \frac{i}{8}(\pi \varepsilon_{ab} - \varepsilon_{ab} \pi) + \frac{i}{16}(\tilde{\pi} \varepsilon^{cd} - \varepsilon^{cd} \tilde{\pi}) \epsilon_{abcd} - i(\pi_{ab} \varepsilon - \varepsilon \pi_{ab}) - \frac{i}{2}(\pi^{cd} \tilde{\varepsilon} - \tilde{\varepsilon} \pi^{cd}) \epsilon_{abcd} \quad (5.13)$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \pi = \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial \omega} \mathbb{G} = -i(\pi_{ab} \varepsilon^{ab} - \varepsilon^{ab} \pi_{ab}) - i(\pi \varepsilon - \varepsilon \pi + \tilde{\pi} \tilde{\varepsilon} - \tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{\pi}) \quad (5.14)$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} = \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial \tilde{\omega}} \mathbb{G} = -\frac{i}{2}(\pi^{ab} \varepsilon^{cd} - \varepsilon^{cd} \pi^{ab}) \epsilon_{abcd} + i(\pi \tilde{\varepsilon} - \tilde{\varepsilon} \pi - \tilde{\pi} \varepsilon + \varepsilon \tilde{\pi}) \quad (5.15)$$

Finally, using the above variations of the momenta and the variations of the fields given in subsection 4.2.2, it is straightforward to check that the Φ constraints defined in (5.6) are weakly preserved.

6 Conclusions

In this paper we have developed a covariant hamiltonian formalism for noncommutative geometric theories, where noncommutativity is implemented via an abelian twist. In this canonical framework we have introduced \star -Poisson brackets, and the notion of \star -canonical gauge generator, providing also an algorithm for its construction. This is of relevance when studying the (local or global) symmetries of a geometric theory like gravity or supergravity, and allows to generalize Noether theorems to a NC setting.

The NC hamiltonian formalism presented here can be directly applied to supergravity theories, whose covariant symmetry generators have been constructed in [15]. The use of noncanonical symplectic structures (see for ex. [22]) could provide another interesting generalization of the covariant hamiltonian setting, and of its NC version.

Acknowledgements

We thank Paolo Aschieri and Chiara Pagani for useful discussions. We acknowledge partial support from INFN, CSN4, Iniziativa Specifica GSS. This research has a financial support from Università del Piemonte Orientale.

A Twist differential geometry

The noncommutative deformation of gravity considered in this paper (and introduced in ref.s [7, 8]) relies on the existence of an associative \star -product between functions and more generally an associative \wedge_\star exterior product between forms, satisfying the following properties:

- Compatibility with the exterior differential:

$$d(\tau \wedge_\star \tau') = d(\tau) \wedge_\star \tau' = \tau \wedge_\star d\tau' \quad (\text{A.1})$$

- Graded cyclicity of the integral:

$$\int \tau \wedge_\star \tau' = (-1)^{\deg(\tau)\deg(\tau')} \int \tau' \wedge_\star \tau \quad (\text{A.2})$$

with $\deg(\tau) + \deg(\tau') = d =$ dimension of the spacetime manifold, and where τ and τ' have compact support (i.e. we require (A.2) to hold up to boundary terms).

- Compatibility with complex conjugation:

$$(\tau \wedge_\star \tau')^* = (-1)^{\deg(\tau)\deg(\tau')} \tau'^* \wedge_\star \tau^* \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Following [7] we describe here a class of twists whose associated \star -products have all these properties. This class includes the Groenewold-Moyal \star -product

$$f \star g = \mu \left\{ e^{\frac{i}{2} \theta^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho \otimes \partial_\sigma} f \otimes g \right\}, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where the map μ is the usual pointwise multiplication: $\mu(f \otimes g) = fg$, and $\theta^{\rho\sigma}$ is a constant antisymmetric matrix.

Abelian Twists

Let Ξ be the linear space of smooth vector fields on a smooth manifold M , and $U\Xi$ its universal enveloping algebra. A twist $\mathcal{F} \in U\Xi \otimes U\Xi$ defines the associative \star -product

$$f \star g = \mu \left\{ \mathcal{F}^{-1} f \otimes g \right\} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where the map μ is the usual pointwise multiplication: $\mu(f \otimes g) = fg$. The product associativity relies on the defining properties of the twist, see for ex. [20].

Explicit examples of twist are provided by the so-called abelian twists:

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} = e^{\frac{i}{2} \theta^{AB} X_A \otimes X_B} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where $\{X_A\}$ is a set of mutually commuting vector fields globally defined on the manifold, and θ^{AB} is a constant antisymmetric matrix. The corresponding \star -product is in general position dependent because the vector fields X_A are in general

x -dependent. In the special case that there exists a global coordinate system on the manifold we can consider the vector fields $X_A = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A}$, corresponding to the Moyal twist, cf. (A.4):

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} = e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho\otimes\partial_\sigma} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Deformed exterior product

For abelian twists (A.6), the deformed exterior product between forms is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \wedge_\star \tau' &\equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^n \theta^{A_1 B_1} \dots \theta^{A_n B_n} (\ell_{X_{A_1}} \dots \ell_{X_{A_n}} \tau) \wedge (\ell_{X_{B_1}} \dots \ell_{X_{B_n}} \tau') \\ &= \tau \wedge \tau' + \frac{i}{2} \theta^{AB} (\ell_{X_A} \tau) \wedge (\ell_{X_B} \tau') + \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^2 \theta^{A_1 B_1} \theta^{A_2 B_2} (\ell_{X_{A_1}} \ell_{X_{A_2}} \tau) \wedge (\ell_{X_{B_1}} \ell_{X_{B_2}} \tau') + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where the commuting tangent vectors X_A act on forms via the Lie derivatives ℓ_{X_A} . This product is associative, and the above formula holds also for τ or τ' being a 0-form (i.e. a function).

Exterior derivative

The exterior derivative satisfies the usual (graded) Leibniz rule, since it commutes with the Lie derivative:

$$d(f \star g) = df \star g + f \star dg \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$d(\tau \wedge_\star \tau') = d\tau \wedge_\star \tau' + (-1)^{\deg(\tau)} \tau \wedge_\star d\tau' \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Integration: graded cyclicity

If we consider an abelian twist (A.6) given by globally defined commuting vector fields X_A , then the usual integral is cyclic under the \star -exterior products of forms, i.e. equation (A.2) holds up to boundary terms. Indeed:

$$\int \tau \wedge_\star \tau' = \int \tau \wedge \tau' = (-1)^{\deg(\tau)\deg(\tau')} \int \tau' \wedge \tau = (-1)^{\deg(\tau)\deg(\tau')} \int \tau' \wedge_\star \tau \quad (\text{A.10})$$

up to boundary terms. For example at first order in θ ,

$$\int \tau \wedge_\star \tau' = \int \tau \wedge \tau' - \frac{i}{2} \theta^{AB} \int \mathcal{L}_{X_A} (\tau \wedge \mathcal{L}_{X_B} \tau') = \int \tau \wedge \tau' - \frac{i}{2} \theta^{AB} \int di_{X_A} (\tau \wedge \mathcal{L}_{X_B} \tau') \quad (\text{A.11})$$

where we used the Cartan formula $\mathcal{L}_{X_A} = di_{X_A} + i_{X_A} d$.

Complex conjugation

If we choose real fields X_A in the definition of the twist (A.6), it is immediate to verify that:

$$(f \star g)^* = g^* \star f^* \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$(\tau \wedge_\star \tau')^* = (-1)^{\deg(\tau)\deg(\tau')} \tau'^* \wedge_\star \tau^* \quad (\text{A.13})$$

since sending i into $-i$ in the twist (A.7) amounts to send θ^{AB} into $-\theta^{AB} = \theta^{BA}$, i.e. to exchange the order of the factors in the \star -product.

B Gamma matrices in $d = 4$

We summarize in this Appendix our gamma matrix conventions in $d = 4$.

$$\eta_{ab} = (1, -1, -1, -1), \quad \{\gamma_a, \gamma_b\} = 2\eta_{ab}, \quad [\gamma_a, \gamma_b] = 2\gamma_{ab}, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

$$\gamma_5 \equiv i\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3, \quad \gamma_5\gamma_5 = 1, \quad \varepsilon_{0123} = -\varepsilon^{0123} = 1, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

$$\gamma_a^\dagger = \gamma_0\gamma_a\gamma_0, \quad \gamma_5^\dagger = \gamma_5 \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$\gamma_a^T = -C\gamma_a C^{-1}, \quad \gamma_5^T = C\gamma_5 C^{-1}, \quad C^2 = -1, \quad C^\dagger = C^T = -C \quad (\text{B.4})$$

B.1 Useful identities

$$\gamma_a\gamma_b = \gamma_{ab} + \eta_{ab} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$\gamma_{ab}\gamma_5 = \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{abcd}\gamma^{cd} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

$$\gamma_{ab}\gamma_c = \eta_{bc}\gamma_a - \eta_{ac}\gamma_b - i\varepsilon_{abcd}\gamma_5\gamma^d \quad (\text{B.7})$$

$$\gamma_c\gamma_{ab} = \eta_{ac}\gamma_b - \eta_{bc}\gamma_a - i\varepsilon_{abcd}\gamma_5\gamma^d \quad (\text{B.8})$$

$$\gamma_a\gamma_b\gamma_c = \eta_{ab}\gamma_c + \eta_{bc}\gamma_a - \eta_{ac}\gamma_b - i\varepsilon_{abcd}\gamma_5\gamma^d \quad (\text{B.9})$$

$$\gamma^{ab}\gamma_{cd} = -i\varepsilon^{abcd}\gamma_5 - 4\delta_{[c}^{[a}\gamma_{d]}^{b]} - 2\delta_{cd}^{ab} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

$$\text{Tr}(\gamma_a\gamma^{bc}\gamma_d) = 8\delta_{ad}^{bc} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

$$\text{Tr}(\gamma_5\gamma_a\gamma_{bc}\gamma_d) = -4\varepsilon_{abcd} \quad (\text{B.12})$$

$$\text{Tr}(\gamma^{rs}\gamma_a\gamma_{bc}\gamma_d) = 4(-2\delta_{cd}^{rs}\eta_{ab} + 2\delta_{bd}^{rs}\eta_{ac} - 3!\delta_{abc}^{rse}\eta_{ed}) \quad (\text{B.13})$$

$$\text{Tr}(\gamma_5\gamma^{rs}\gamma_a\gamma_{bc}\gamma_d) = 4(-i\eta_{ab}\varepsilon_{cd}^{rs} + i\eta_{ac}\varepsilon_{bd}^{rs} + 2i\varepsilon_{abc}^e\delta_{ed}^{rs}) \quad (\text{B.14})$$

where $\delta_{cd}^{ab} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\delta_c^a\delta_d^b - \delta_c^b\delta_d^a)$, $\delta_{abc}^{rse} \equiv \frac{1}{3!}(\delta_a^r\delta_b^s\delta_c^e + 5 \text{ terms})$, and indices antisymmetrization in square brackets has total weight 1.

References

- [1] P. A. M. Dirac, “The fundamental equations of quantum mechanics,” Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **109**, 642-653 (1925).
- [2] P. A. M. Dirac, “On quantum algebra,” Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **23**, 412-418 (1926).
- [3] H. S. Snyder, “Quantized space-time,” Phys. Rev **71** (1947) 38.

- [4] H. S. Snyder, “The electromagnetic field in quantized spacetime,” *Phys. Rev* **72** (1947) 68.
- [5] X. Calmet, B. Jurčo, P. Schupp, J. Wess and M. Wohlgenannt, “The Standard model on noncommutative space-time,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **23** (2002), 363-376 [arXiv:hep-ph/0111115 [hep-ph]].
- [6] P. Aschieri, B. Jurčo, P. Schupp, and J. Wess, “Noncommutative GUTs, standard model and C,P,T,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B651** (2003) 45–70, [arXiv:hep-th/0205214 [hep-th]].
- [7] P. Aschieri and L. Castellani, “Noncommutative D=4 gravity coupled to fermions,” *JHEP* **0906** (2009) 086 [arXiv:0902.3817 [hep-th]].
- [8] P. Aschieri and L. Castellani, “Noncommutative supergravity in D=3 and D=4,” *JHEP* **06**, 087 (2009) [arXiv:0902.3823 [hep-th]].
- [9] A. D’Adda, J. E. Nelson and T. Regge, “Covariant Canonical Formalism for the Group Manifold,” *Annals Phys.* **165**, 384 (1985).
- [10] J. E. Nelson and T. Regge, “Covariant Canonical Formalism for Gravity,” *Annals Phys.* **166**, 234 (1986).
- [11] A. Lerda, J. E. Nelson and T. Regge, “Covariant Canonical Formalism for Supergravity,” *Phys. Lett.* **161B**, 294 (1985).
- [12] A. Lerda, J. E. Nelson and T. Regge, “The Group Manifold Hamiltonian for Supergravity,” *Phys. Lett.* **161B**, 297 (1985).
- [13] A. Lerda, J. E. Nelson and T. Regge, “Covariant Canonical Formalism For Polynomial Supergravity In Any Dimension,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **2**, 1643 (1987).
- [14] L. Castellani and A. D’Adda, “Covariant hamiltonian for gravity coupled to p -forms,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, no. 2, 025015 (2020) [arXiv:1906.11852 [hep-th]].
- [15] L. Castellani, “Covariant hamiltonian for supergravity in $d = 3$ and $d = 4$,” *JHEP* **04**, 169 (2020) [arXiv:2002.05523 [hep-th]].
- [16] L. Castellani, “Symmetries in Constrained Hamiltonian Systems,” *Annals Phys.* **143**, 357 (1982).
- [17] P. A. M. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics* (Yeshiva University, New York, 1964).
- [18] A. Hanson, T. Regge, and C. Teitelboim, “Constrained Hamiltonian Systems,” *Contrib. centro linceo interdisc. di scienze mat.* N. 22, 1976.

- [19] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, “Quantization of gauge systems,” Princeton, USA: Univ. Pr. (1992) 520 p.
- [20] P. Aschieri, M. Dimitrijevic, P. Kulish, F. Lizzi, J. Wess, “Noncommutative Spacetimes”, Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. 774, Springer 2009.
- [21] P. Aschieri and L. Castellani, “Extended gravity theories from dynamical non-commutativity,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **45**, 411-426 (2013) [arXiv:1206.4096 [hep-th]].
- [22] V. Cuesta, M. Montesinos, and J. D. Vergara, “Gauge invariance of the action principle for gauge systems with noncanonical symplectic structures,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 025025 (2007).