

QUANTITATIVE STRONG UNIQUE CONTINUATION FOR ELLIPTIC OPERATORS - APPLICATION TO AN INVERSE SPECTRAL PROBLEM

MOURAD CHOULLI

ABSTRACT. Based on the three-ball inequality and the doubling inequality established in [24], we quantify the strong unique continuation for an elliptic operator with unbounded lower order coefficients. This result is then used to improve the quantitative unique continuation from a set of positive measure obtained in [24]. We also derive a uniform quantitative strong unique continuation for eigenfunctions that we use to prove that two Dirichlet-Laplace-Beltrami operators are gauge equivalent whenever their corresponding metrics coincide in the vicinity of the boundary and their boundary spectral data coincide on a subset of positive measure.

CONTENTS

1. Quantitative strong unique continuation	1
1.1. Statement of the main theorem	2
1.2. Quantitative unique continuation from a set of positive measure	3
1.3. Strong unique continuation for eigenfunctions	3
1.4. Determining the metric from a partial spectral boundary data	5
2. Proof of the main theorem	6
References	9

1. QUANTITATIVE STRONG UNIQUE CONTINUATION

Let Ω be a Lipschitz domain of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, with boundary Γ .

Throughout this section, $A = (a^{k\ell})$ denotes a symmetric matrix with Lipschitz continuous components: there exist $\kappa > 1$ and $\varkappa > 0$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^{-1}|\xi|^2 &\leq A(x)\xi \cdot \xi \leq \kappa|\xi|^2 & x \in \overline{\Omega}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ |a^{k\ell}(x) - a^{k\ell}(y)| &\leq \varkappa|x - y|, & x, y \in \overline{\Omega}, 1 \leq k, \ell \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Pick $V \in L^{n/2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ and $B, W \in L^s(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, for some $s > n$, and define the operator \mathcal{E} by

$$\mathcal{E}u = \operatorname{div}(A\nabla u + uB) + W \cdot \nabla u + Vu.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B60, 35J15, 35R30.

Key words and phrases. Elliptic operators, unbounded coefficients, strong unique continuation, three-ball inequality, doubling inequality, eigenfunctions, Laplace-Beltrami operator, gauge equivalent operators.

The author is supported by the grant ANR-17-CE40-0029 of the French National Research Agency ANR (project MultiOnde).

Set

$$\Omega_\rho = \{x \in \Omega; \text{dist}(x, \Gamma) > 4\rho\}, \quad \rho > 0.$$

We implicitly assume in the sequel that ρ is sufficiently small in such a way that Ω_ρ remains connected.

We say that $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ satisfies $\mathcal{E}u = 0$ in Ω if

$$\int_{\Omega} [-(A\nabla u + uB) \cdot \nabla v + (W \cdot \nabla u + Vu)v] dx = 0, \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

Also, $x_0 \in \Omega$ is called a zero of infinite order of $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega)$ if for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a sufficiently small $r > 0$ we have

$$\int_{B(x_0, r)} u^2 dx = O(r^N).$$

We showed in [9] that if $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ satisfies $\mathcal{E}u = 0$ in Ω and has a zero of infinite order in Ω , then $u = 0$. In other words, all zeroes of $u \in H^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $\mathcal{E}u = 0$ in Ω are of finite order.

Our objective is then to quantify the order of zeroes of solutions of $\mathcal{E}u = 0$ in Ω .

Prior to stating our result we introduce the following notations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} &= \{C = C(n, \Omega, \kappa, \varkappa, B, W, V) > 0\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_{\aleph} &= \{C = C(n, \Omega, \kappa, \varkappa, B, W, V, \aleph) > 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where \aleph is an arbitrarily quantity, and set

$$\mathcal{S}_0 = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}; \mathcal{E}u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}.$$

1.1. Statement of the main theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *There exist $\rho_0, \tau \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\tau < 1/4$ so that, for each $0 < \rho < \rho_0$, we find C and γ in \mathcal{C}_ρ with the property that if $u \in \mathcal{S}_0$ then*

$$(1.1) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Cr^{-\gamma} \|u\|_{L^2(B(x, r))}, \quad x \in \Omega_\rho, \quad 0 < r < \tau\rho.$$

Davey and Zhu [13] considered the case $B = 0$, $W \in L^s$, $s > n$ and $V \in L^t$, $t > n/2$. The quantitative inequalities in [13] are far from the boundary but with an explicit dependence on the norms of W and V . The result in [13] was improved in [12] in the case $B = 0$, $W = 0$ and $V \in L^t$, $t > n/2$.

Using an extension by reflexion across a half space, similar to that employed in the proof of [8, Theorem 2.1], we obtain the following consequence of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.1. *Assume that Ω is a polygonal domain and let Σ be an open subset of Γ . Let $x_0 \in \Sigma$ and $\rho_0 > 0$ so that $B(x_0, \rho_0) \cap \Gamma \subset \Sigma$. There exist $r_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ with $r_0 < \rho_0$ and $C, \gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{x_0, \rho_0}$ so that if $u \in \mathcal{S}_0$ satisfies $u = 0$ in Σ then*

$$\|u\|_{L^2(B(x_0, \rho_0) \cap \Omega)} \leq Cr^{-\gamma} \|u\|_{L^2(B(x_0, r) \cap \Omega)}, \quad x_0 \in \Sigma, \quad 0 < r \leq r_0.$$

In what follows, τ is as in Theorem 1.1

1.2. Quantitative unique continuation from a set of positive measure. Let

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \{u \in H^1(\Omega); \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1 \text{ and } \mathcal{E}u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}.$$

Proposition 1.1. ([24, Proposition 4]) *Let $m > 0$, $\rho_1 > 0$ and $0 < \sigma < 1/n$. There exists $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(m, \rho, \sigma)$ so that, if $u \in \mathcal{S}_1$ and $E \subset \Omega_{\rho_1}$ satisfy $|E| > m$ and $\||E|^{-1/2}u\|_{L^2(E)} < \epsilon_0$, then there exists $B(z, \tau\rho_1)$ with $z \in \Omega_{\rho_1}$ for which we have*

$$(1.2) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(B(z, \tau\rho_1))} \leq |\ln \||E|^{-1/2}u\|_{L^2(E)}|^{-\zeta},$$

where $\zeta \in \mathcal{C}$.

Let E and ϵ_0 be as in the preceding proposition, and $u \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Suppose first that

$$\||E|^{-1/2}u\|_{L^2(E)} < \epsilon_0.$$

Combining (1.1) and (1.2) (assuming that $\epsilon_0 \leq 1$), we get, for some fixed $\rho_1 < \rho_0$,

$$1 \leq C(\tau\rho_1)^\gamma \|u\|_{L^2(B(z, \tau\rho_1))} \leq C(\tau\rho_1)^\gamma |\ln \||E|^{-1/2}u\|_{L^2(E)}|^{-\zeta}.$$

Whence

$$(1.3) \quad 1 \leq C|E|^{-1/2} \|u\|_{L^2(E)},$$

where $C \in \mathcal{C}_{\rho_1}$ satisfies $C > 1$.

Otherwise, we have

$$(1.4) \quad 1 \leq \epsilon_0^{-1} |E|^{-1/2} \|u\|_{L^2(E)}.$$

In light of (1.3) and (1.4), we have

$$(1.5) \quad 1 \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(E)}, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}_1,$$

where $C \in \mathcal{C}_{\rho_1, m, \sigma}$.

For $u \in \mathcal{S}_0$, we obtain by taking $u/\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \in \mathcal{S}_1$ in (1.5)

$$(1.6) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(E)}, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}_0.$$

This inequality improves substantially that in [24, Theorem 1] which is of logarithmic type.

1.3. Strong unique continuation for eigenfunctions. Let $\lambda > 0$ and $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ satisfies $(\mathcal{E} + \lambda)u = 0$ in Ω . Then $v = e^{\sqrt{\lambda}t}u$ is a solution of the equation

$$(\mathcal{E} + \partial_t^2)v = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, 1).$$

Applying (1.1) with Ω substituted by $\Omega \times (0, 1)$ and \mathcal{E} by $\mathcal{E} + \partial_t^2$, we get

$$(1.7) \quad \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1))} \leq Cr^{-\gamma} \|v\|_{L^2(B((x, t), r))}, \quad (x, t) \in (\Omega \times (0, 1))_\rho, \quad 0 < r < \tau\rho.$$

Here and henceforth, $C, \gamma \in \mathcal{C}_\rho$ are generic constants.

Assume that $\rho < 1/8$. Let $(x, t) \in \Omega_\rho \times (4\rho, 1 - 4\rho) \subset (\Omega \times (0, 1))_\rho$. As $B((x, t), r) \subset B(x, r) \times (0, 1)$, (1.7) implies

$$(1.8) \quad \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1))} \leq Cr^{-\gamma} \|v\|_{L^2(B(x, r) \times (0, 1))}, \quad x \in \Omega_\rho, \quad 0 < r < \tau\rho.$$

Hence

$$(1.9) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Cr^{-\gamma} \|u\|_{L^2(B(x, r))}, \quad x \in \Omega_\rho, \quad 0 < r < \tau\rho.$$

Let $\omega \Subset \Omega_\rho$. Then the following inequality follows readily from (1.9)

$$(1.10) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \tilde{C} \|u\|_{L^2(\omega)},$$

where $\tilde{C} \in \mathcal{C}_{\rho, \omega}$.

This kind of estimate is well known for an eigenfunction φ of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a compact Riemannian manifold M without boundary or a compact Riemannian manifold M with boundary and φ vanishes on the boundary. Precisely, we have an estimate of the form

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^2(M)} \leq C' e^{c'\sqrt{\lambda}} \|u\|_{L^2(\omega)},$$

where the constants $C' > 0$ and $c' > 0$ only depend on $\dim(M)$, ω and the metric on M (e.g. [23, Theorem 1.10] and the references therein).

It is worth noticing that (1.10) is false in general for the Laplace-Beltrami operator on an arbitrary Riemannian manifold. As it is pointed out in [14], if M is the round sphere and ω lies strictly inside one hemisphere, then there exists a sequence of Gaussian beam eigenfunctions u concentrating on the equator with $\|u\|_{L^2(\omega)} \leq e^{-c\sqrt{\lambda}} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. However, it was demonstrated in [14] that (1.10) is true when M is a Riemannian surface of constant curvature -1 . Recently, it was established in [15] that (1.10) holds when M is an Anosov surface.

Let $E \times J \subset (\Omega \times (0, 1))_{\rho_1}$ satisfying $|J| \geq 1/2$ and $|E| > 2m$ (hence $|E||J| > m$). It follows from (1.6) that

$$(1.11) \quad \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1))} \leq \hat{C} \|v\|_{L^2(E \times (0, 1))},$$

where $\hat{C} \in \mathcal{C}_{\rho_1, m, \sigma}$ satisfies $C > 1$.

Hence

$$(1.12) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \hat{C} \|u\|_{L^2(E)},$$

where \hat{C} is as above.

If in addition $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$ then

$$(1.13) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(E)} \geq \hat{C},$$

where \hat{C} is as in (1.12).

Consider the bilinear form

$$\mathfrak{a}(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} [(A \nabla u + uB) \cdot \nabla v - (W \cdot \nabla u + Vu)v] dx, \quad u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

We can proceed as [10, Subsection 1.3] to prove that \mathfrak{a} is continuous and coercive. Therefore, the operator $\mathfrak{A} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ defined by

$$\langle \mathfrak{A}u, v \rangle = \mathfrak{a}(u, v), \quad u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

is bounded, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality pairing between $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $H^{-1}(\Omega)$.

Assume that $W = B$. Then \mathfrak{A} is self-adjoint and hence, according to [25, Theorem 3.37, page 49], \mathfrak{A} is diagonalizable, which means that the spectrum of \mathfrak{A} consists in a real-valued sequence (λ_j) converging to ∞ :

$$-\infty < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_j \leq \dots$$

and there exists an orthonormal basis (ϕ_j) of $L^2(\Omega)$ consisting of eigenfunctions.

Fix $\lambda^* \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $\lambda_1 + \lambda^* > 0$. Taking in (1.9), (1.10) and (1.13) (in which we substitute \mathcal{E} by $\mathcal{E} - \lambda^*$) $u = \phi_j$, $j \geq 1$, we obtain

$$1 \leq Cr^{-\gamma} \|\phi_j\|_{L^2(B(x, r))}, \quad x \in \Omega_\rho, \quad 0 < r < \tau\rho, \quad j \geq 1, \\ \|\phi_j\|_{L^2(E)} \geq \tilde{C}, \quad j \geq 1,$$

where $C, \gamma \in \mathcal{C}_\rho$ and $\tilde{C} \in \mathcal{C}_{\rho_1, m, \sigma}$.

1.4. Determining the metric from a partial spectral boundary data. Assume that Ω is C^∞ and let $g = (g_{k\ell})$ be a C^∞ Riemannian metric on $\overline{\Omega}$. Denote by $(g^{k\ell})$ the inverse matrix of $(g_{k\ell})$ and recall that the Laplace-Beltrami operator associated to g is given by

$$\Delta_g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \sum_{k,\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\sqrt{|g|} g^{k\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\ell} \cdot \right).$$

Here $|g|$ denotes the determinant of g .

Let $dV = \sqrt{|g|} dx^1 \dots dx^n$ and define the unbounded operator

$$A_g : L^2(\Omega, dV) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, dV)$$

acting as follows

$$A_g = -\Delta_g \quad \text{with} \quad D(A_g) = H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega).$$

As A_g is self-adjoint operator with compact resolvent, its spectrum is reduced to a sequence of eigenvalues:

$$0 < \lambda_1^g < \lambda_2^g \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k^g \leq \dots \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_k^g \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore, there exists (ϕ_k^g) , $k \geq 1$, an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Omega, dV)$ consisting of eigenfunctions, with ϕ_k^g associated to λ_k^g .

Note that, according to elliptic regularity, we have $\phi_k^g \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ for each $k \geq 1$.

For notational convenience, we use in the sequel the following notation

$$\psi_k^g = \partial_\nu \phi_k^g, \quad k \geq 1,$$

where ν is the unit normal exterior vector field on Γ with respect to g .

For $\chi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$, define the operator

$$A_g^\chi : L^2(\Omega, \chi^{-2} dV) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \chi^{-2} dV)$$

by

$$A_g^\chi = \chi A_g \chi^{-1}, \quad D(A_g^\chi) = D(A_g).$$

Henceforth, g_1 and g_2 denote two metric tensors on $\overline{\Omega}$.

We say that two operator A_{g_1} and A_{g_2} are gauge equivalent if $A_{g_2} = A_{g_1}^\chi$, for some $\chi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$.

It is worth noticing that if A_{g_1} and A_{g_2} are gauge equivalent then $(g_1^{k\ell}) = (g_2^{k\ell})$ (see [19, 2.2.9]).

Also, if A_{g_1} and A_{g_2} are gauge equivalent then $(\lambda_k^{g_2}) = (\lambda_k^{g_1})$ ([19, (2.54)]) and $(\phi_k^{g_2}) = (\chi \phi_k^{g_1})$ ([19, (2.55)]).

The sequence (λ_k^g, ψ_k^g) will called in the sequel the boundary spectral data for A_g .

Fix $\tilde{x} \in \Gamma = \partial\Omega$ and Σ a measurable subset of Γ so that

$$|\Sigma \cap B(r)| > 0, \quad 0 < r \leq r_0,$$

for some $r_0 > 0$, where $B(r) = B(\tilde{x}, r)$.

Assume in addition that Ω is chosen so that Γ has a (smooth) connected neighborhood N in $\overline{\Omega}$.

Pick $\lambda > 0$ and let $u \in W^{2,\infty}(N)$ be a solution of the following BVP

$$(1.14) \quad (\Delta_g + \lambda)u = 0 \text{ in } N \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

We get by paraphrasing the proof [8, Theorem 2.3] that there exists $r_1 \leq r_0$ so that

$$(1.15) \quad \sup_{B(r_1/2) \cap N} (|u| + |\nabla u|) \leq C e^{\lambda r_1} \left(\sup_{B(r_1) \cap \Sigma} |\partial_\nu u| \right)^\alpha \left(\sup_{B(r_1) \cap N} (|u| + |\nabla u|) \right)^{1-\alpha},$$

where the constants $C > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ only depends on n , N and Σ .

(1.15) together with (1.10) imply

$$(1.16) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(N)} \leq C e^{\lambda r_1} \|\partial_\nu u\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)}^\alpha \|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}(N)}^{1-\alpha}.$$

The partial boundary spectral data for A_g will consist in the $(\lambda_k^g, \psi_k^g|_\Sigma)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that $g_1 = g_2$ in N , A_{g_1} and A_{g_2} have the same partial boundary spectral data. Then A_{g_1} and A_{g_2} are gauge equivalent.*

Proof. For every $k \geq 1$, straightforward computations show that $u_k = \phi_k^{g_1} - \phi_k^{g_2}$ is a solution of (1.14) with $\lambda = \lambda_k^{g_1}$. We apply then (1.16) to derive that $u_k = 0$ in N . We have in particular $\psi_k^{g_1} = \psi_k^{g_2}$ and hence A_{g_1} and A_{g_2} admit the same boundary spectral data. We invoke [19, Theorem 3.3] to complete the proof. \square

When Σ is an arbitrary nonempty open subset of Γ , a logarithmic stability inequality was recently established in [16] in the two dimensional case.

The problem of determining the potential in a Schrödinger operator or the potential and the magnetic field appearing in magnetic Schrödinger operator were widely studied. We only quote the following recent works [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 26, 27].

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We use the following theorem which is a particular case of [24, Theorem 3].

Theorem 2.1. *(three-ball inequality) There exist $\alpha < 1$, $\tau < 1/4$, ρ_0 and C in \mathcal{C} such that, for every $\rho < \rho_0$, $x \in \Omega_\rho$, $r = \tau\rho/4$ and $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ satisfying $\mathcal{E}u = 0$ in Ω , we have*

$$(2.1) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(B(x,2r))} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(B(x,4r))}^{1-\alpha} \|u\|_{L^2(B(x,r))}^\alpha.$$

Inequality (2.1) yields

$$(2.2) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(B(x,2r))} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(B(x,r))}^\alpha, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}_1.$$

Here and henceforth C and α are generic constants in \mathcal{C} with $\alpha < 1$. Substituting if necessary C by $\max(C, 1)$, we may assume that $C \geq 1$.

Next, define the geometric distance on Ω by

$$d_g(x, y) = \inf \{ \ell(\psi); \psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega \text{ Lipschitz path joining } x \text{ to } y \},$$

where

$$\ell(\psi) = \int_0^1 |\psi'(t)| dt$$

is the length of ψ .

This quantity is well defined since, according to Rademacher's theorem, any Lipschitz continuous function $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ is almost everywhere differentiable with $|\varphi'(t)| \leq K$ a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$, where K is the Lipschitz constant of φ .

Lemma 2.1. ([28, Lemma A3]) *We have $d_g \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \Omega)$ and there exists a constant $\mathfrak{c} > 0$ so that*

$$(2.3) \quad |x - y| \leq d_g(x, y) \leq \mathfrak{c}|x - y|, \quad x, y \in \Omega.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Fix $\rho < \rho_0$, where ρ_0 is as in Theorem 2.1, $r = \tau\rho/4$ and pick $u \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Let $x, y \in \Omega_\rho$, with $x \neq y$, and $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ be a Lipschitz path joining $x = \psi(0)$ to $y = \psi(1)$, so that $\ell(\psi) \leq 2d_g(y, x)$.

Let $t_0 = 0$ and $t_{k+1} = \inf\{t \in [t_k, 1]; \psi(t) \notin B(\psi(t_k), r)\}$, $k \geq 0$. We claim that there exists an integer $N \geq 1$ verifying $\psi(1) \in B(\psi(t_N), r)$. Indeed, if our claim does not hold, we would have $\psi(1) \notin B(\psi(t_k), r)$ for any $k \geq 0$. As the sequence (t_k) is non decreasing and bounded from above by 1, it converges to $\tilde{t} \leq 1$. In particular, there exists an integer $k_0 \geq 1$ so that $\psi(t_k) \in B(\psi(\tilde{t}), r/2)$, $k \geq k_0$. But this contradicts the fact that $|\psi(t_{k+1}) - \psi(t_k)| = r$, for every $k \geq 0$.

We check now that $N \leq N_0$, where $N_0 = N_0(n, |x - y|, \mathfrak{c}, r)$. Pick $1 \leq j \leq n$ so that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\psi_i(t_{k+1}) - \psi_i(t_k)| = |\psi_j(t_{k+1}) - \psi_j(t_k)|,$$

where ψ_i is the i th component of ψ . Then

$$r \leq n |\psi_j(t_{k+1}) - \psi_j(t_k)| = n \left| \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \psi'_j(t) dt \right| \leq n \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |\psi'(t)| dt.$$

Consequently, where $t_{N+1} = 1$,

$$(N+1)r \leq n \sum_{k=0}^N \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |\psi'(t)| dt = n\ell(\psi) \leq 2nd_g(y, x) \leq 2n\mathfrak{c}|x - x_0|.$$

Therefore

$$N \leq N_0 = \left\lceil \frac{2n\mathfrak{c}|x - y|}{r} \right\rceil.$$

Here $[\ell]$ denotes the entire part of $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $y_0 = x$ and $y_j = \psi(t_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq N$. If $|z - y_{j+1}| < r$, then $|z - y_j| \leq |z - y_{j+1}| + |y_{j+1} - y_j| < 2r$. Thus we have

$$B(y_{j+1}, r) \subset B(y_j, 2r).$$

We get from (2.2)

$$(2.4) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(B(y_j, 2r))} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(B(y_j, r))}^\alpha, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N.$$

Set $I_j = \|u\|_{L^2(B(y_j, r))}$, $0 \leq j \leq N$ and $I_{N+1} = \|u\|_{L^2(B(y, r))}$. Since $B(y_{j+1}, r) \subset B(y_j, 2r)$, $1 \leq j \leq N-1$, estimate (2.4) implies

$$(2.5) \quad I_{j+1} \leq C I_j^\alpha, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N.$$

As $C^{1+\alpha+\dots+\alpha^{N+1}} \leq C^{1/(1-\alpha)}$, an induction argument shows that (2.5) yields

$$(2.6) \quad I_{N+1} \leq C^{1/(1-\alpha)} I_0^{\alpha^{N+1}},$$

which is combined with $I_0 \leq 1$, gives

$$(2.7) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(B(y, r))} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(B(x, r))}^{\alpha^{\mathfrak{d}/r}},$$

where we used that $N_0 + 1 \leq \mathfrak{d}/r$, for some constant \mathfrak{d} only depending on n and Ω .

Inequality (2.7) can be rewritten in the following form

$$(2.8) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(B(y, \tau\rho/8))} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(B(x, \tau\rho/8))}^{\alpha^{\mathfrak{d}/(\tau\rho)}}, \quad x, y \in \Omega_{\rho/2}.$$

Define

$$Q(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n; \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |y_i - x_i| < r\}.$$

We have $\Omega_{\rho/2} \subset \bigcup_{j \in J} \overline{Q}(x^j, \tau\rho/(8\sqrt{n}))$, for some $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ finite, where $x^j \in \Omega_{\rho/2}$, for each $j \in J$ and $Q(x^j, \tau\rho/(8\sqrt{n})) \cap Q(x^k, \tau\rho/(8\sqrt{n})) = \emptyset$, $j, k \in J$, $j \neq k$.

When ρ is sufficiently small, since $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$, we have

$$1/4 \leq \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\rho/2})}^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|u\|_{L^2(Q(x^j, \tau\rho/(8\sqrt{n})) \cap \Omega_{\rho/2})}^2.$$

Hence, there exists $z \in \Omega_{\rho/2}$ so that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(Q(z, \tau\rho/(8\sqrt{n})))} \geq 1/(2|J|) \geq c/\rho^n,$$

where $c > 0$ only depends on n and $\text{diam}(\Omega)$.

Therefore, we have

$$(2.9) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(Q(z, \tau\rho/8))} \geq c/\rho^n.$$

In light of (2.8), we derive from (2.9)

$$1 \leq C\rho^n \|u\|_{L^2(B(x, \tau\rho/8))}^{\alpha^{8\delta/(\tau\rho)}}, \quad x \in \Omega_{\rho/2},$$

or equivalently

$$(2.10) \quad C_\rho \leq \|u\|_{L^2(B(x, \tau\rho/8))}, \quad x \in \Omega_{\rho/2},$$

where $C_\rho = [C\rho^{-n}]^{1/\alpha^{8\delta/(\tau\rho)}}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{Q}_\rho(u) = \max_{x \in \Omega_{\rho/2}} \frac{\|u\|_{L^2(B(x, \rho))}}{\|u\|_{L^2(B(x, 2\tau\rho))}}.$$

Then (2.10) implies

$$\mathcal{Q}_\rho(u) \geq C_\rho^{-1}.$$

Applying [24, Proposition 2] (doubling inequality) in order to derive

$$(2.11) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(B(x, 2r))} \leq \hat{C}\|u\|_{L^2(B(x, r))}, \quad x \in \Omega_\rho, \quad 0 < r < \tau\rho,$$

for some $\hat{C} \in \mathcal{C}_\rho$ with $\hat{C} > 1$.

Let m be the nonnegative integer satisfying $2^{m-1}r < \tau\rho$ and $2^m r \geq \tau\rho$. Iterating (2.11) $(m-1)$ times, we obtain

$$(2.12) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(B(x, \tau\rho))} \leq \|u\|_{L^2(B(x, 2^m r))} \leq \hat{C}^m \|u\|_{L^2(B(x, r))}, \quad x \in \Omega_\rho, \quad 0 < r < \tau\rho.$$

This and (2.10) imply

$$(2.13) \quad C_\rho \leq \hat{C}^m \|u\|_{L^2(B(x, r))}, \quad x \in \Omega_\rho, \quad 0 < r < \tau\rho,$$

from which we derive in a straightforward manner that

$$(2.14) \quad \tilde{C}r^\gamma \leq \|u\|_{L^2(B(x, r))}, \quad x \in \Omega_\rho, \quad 0 < r < \tau\rho,$$

where $\tilde{C}, \gamma \in \mathcal{C}_\rho$.

If $u \in \mathcal{S}_0$ then $u/\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Therefore, we deduce from (2.14) the following inequality

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \tilde{C}r^{-\gamma} \|u\|_{L^2(B(x, r))}, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}_0, \quad x \in \Omega_\rho, \quad 0 < r < \tau\rho.$$

The proof is then complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Alessandrini and J. Sylvester, Stability for multidimensional inverse spectral problem, *Commun. Partial Diffent. Equat.* 15 (5) (1990), 711-736. [6](#)
- [2] M. Belishev, An approach to multidimensional inverse problems for the wave equation, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 297 (1987), 524-527. [6](#)
- [3] M. Belishev and Y. Kurylev, To the reconstruction of a Riemannian manifold via its spectral data (BC-method), *Commun. Partial Diffent. Equat.* 17 (1992), 767-804. [6](#)
- [4] M. Bellassoued, M. Choulli, D. Dos Santos Ferreira, Y. Kian and P. Stefanov, A Borg-Levinson theorem for magnetic Schrödinger operators on a Riemannian manifold, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 17 (6) (2021), 2471-2517. [6](#)
- [5] M. Bellassoued, M. Choulli and M. Yamamoto, Stability estimate for an inverse wave equation and a multidimensional Borg-Levinson theorem, *J. Diffent. Equat.* 247 (2) (2009), 465-494. [6](#)
- [6] M. Bellassoued, M. Choulli and M. Yamamoto, Stability estimate for a multidimensional inverse spectral problem with partial data, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 378 (1) (2011), 184-197. [6](#)
- [7] M. Bellassoued and D. Dos Santos Ferreira, Stability estimates for the anisotropic wave equation from the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. *Inverse Probl. Imaging* 5 (4) (2011), 745-773. [6](#)
- [8] N. Burq, C. Zuily, A remark on quantitative unique continuation from subsets of the boundary of positive measure, *arXiv:2110.14282*. [2](#), [6](#)
- [9] M. Choulli, Uniqueness of continuation for semilinear elliptic equations, *arXiv:2208.08378* [2](#)
- [10] M. Choulli, Inverse problems for Schrödinger equations with unbounded potentials, notes of the course given during AIP 2019 summer school, *arXiv:1909.11133*. [4](#)
- [11] M. Choulli and P. Stefanov, Stability for the multi-dimensional Borg-Levinson theorem with partial spectral data, *Commun. Partial Diffent. Equat.* 38 (3) (2013), 455-476. [6](#)
- [12] B. Davey, Quantitative unique continuation for Schrödinger operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* 279 (2020), no. 4, 108566, 23 pp. [2](#)
- [13] B. Davey and J. Zhu, Quantitative uniqueness of solutions to second-order elliptic equations with singular lower order terms, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 44 (11) (2019), 1217-1251. [2](#)
- [14] S. Dyatlov and L. Jin, Semiclassical measures on hyperbolic surfaces have full support, *Acta Math.* 220 (2) (2018), 297-339. [4](#)
- [15] S. Dyatlov, L. Jin and S. Nonnenmacher, Control of eigenfunctions on surfaces of variable curvature, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 35 (2) (2022), 361-465. [4](#)
- [16] O. Yu Imanuvilov and M. Yamamoto, Stability for determination of Riemannian metrics by spectral data and Dirichlet-to-Neumann map limited on arbitrary subboundary, *Inverse Probl. Imaging* 13 (6) (2019), 1213-1258. [6](#)
- [17] H. Isozaki, Some remarks on the multi-dimensional Borg-Levinson theorem, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 31 (3) (1991), 743-753. [6](#)
- [18] A. Katchalov and Y. Kurylev, Multidimensional inverse problem with incomplete boundary spectral data, *Commun. Partial Diffent. Equat.* 23 (1998), 55-95. [6](#)
- [19] A. Katchalov, Y. Kurylev, M. Lassas, *Inverse boundary spectral problems*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2001, 123, xx+290. [5](#), [6](#)
- [20] O. Kavian, Y. Kian, E. Soccorsi, Uniqueness and stability results for an inverse spectral problem in a periodic waveguide, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 104 (2015), no. 6, 1160-1189. [6](#)
- [21] Y. Kian, A multidimensional Borg-Levinson theorem for magnetic Schrödinger operators with partial spectral data, *J. Spectral Theory* 8 (2018), 235-269. [6](#)
- [22] Y. Kian, L. Oksanen, M. Morancey, Application of the boundary control method to partial data Borg-Levinson inverse spectral problem, *Math. Control and Related Fields* 9 (2019), 289-312. [6](#)
- [23] C. Laurent and M. Léautaud, Tunneling estimates and approximate controllability for hypoelliptic equations, *Memoirs of the American Math. Soc.*, in press. [4](#)
- [24] E. Malinnikova and S. Vessella, Quantitative uniqueness for elliptic equations with singular lower order terms, *Math. Ann.* 353 (4) (2012), 1157-1181. [1](#), [3](#), [6](#), [8](#)
- [25] W. McLean, *Strongly elliptic systems and boundary integral equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2000). [4](#)
- [26] A. Nachman, J. Sylvester, G. Uhlmann, An n-dimensional Borg-Levinson theorem, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 115 (4) (1988), 595-605. [6](#)

- [27] V. Pohjola, Multidimensional Borg-Levinson theorems for unbounded potentials, *Asymptot. Anal.* 110 (3-4) (2018), 203-226. [6](#)
- [28] A. F. M. ter Elst and M. F. Wong, Hölder kernel estimates for Robin operators and Dirichlet-to-Neumann operators, *J. Evol. Equ.* 20 (3) (2020), 1195-1225. [7](#)

UNIVERSITÉ DE LORRAINE

Email address: `mourad.choulli@univ-lorraine.fr`