

THE COLLISION AVOIDANCE AND THE CONTROLLABILITY FOR n BODIES IN DIMENSION ONE

CHONG-KYU HAN AND DONGHOON PARK

ABSTRACT. We present a method of design of control systems for n bodies in the real line \mathbb{R}^1 and on the unit circle S^1 , to be collision-free and controllable. The problem reduces to designing a control-affine system in \mathbb{R}^n and in n -torus T^n , respectively, that avoids certain obstacles. We prove the controllability of the system by showing that the vector fields that define the control-affine system, together with their brackets of first order, span the whole tangent space of the state space, and then by applying the Rashevsky-Chow theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION AND THE STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Let M^1 be a smooth (C^∞) connected manifold of dimension 1 without boundary, namely, either the real line \mathbb{R}^1 or the unit circle S^1 . We are concerned in this paper with the design of control systems for n particles on M^1 to be collision-free and controllable, which we shall call *the control n body problem on M^1* . Let

$$M^n := \underbrace{M^1 \times \cdots \times M^1}_{n \text{ times}}$$

be the product. We shall see in §1.1 that the problem turns out to be constructing a control-affine system on M^n with avoidance of a certain obstacle so that for any two points of M^n off the obstacle one is reachable from the other by an admissible control. Thus we construct

Date: September 23, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 37C10, 57R27, 58A17, 93B05, 93C15 .

Key words and phrases. control-affine system, non-holonomic constraints, obstacle avoidance, collision-free, orbits, invariant submanifolds, generalized first integrals, controllability.

The first author was supported by NRF-Republic of Korea with grants 0450-20210049.

on M^n a driftless control-affine system

$$(1.1) \quad \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{\ell=1}^m \vec{f}_\ell(\mathbf{x})u_\ell, \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in M^n, \quad m \leq n,$$

that satisfies the following conditions:

- i) The motions avoid the obstacle.
- ii) The Lie algebra generated by $\vec{f}_\ell(x)$, $\ell = 1, \dots, m$, span the whole tangent space $T_x M$ at every point $x \in M^n$ off the obstacle. In terms of n -dimensional kinematics, this requirement is that *the constraints on the velocity are completely non-holonomic* (see [1]).

Then the controllability follows from the Rashevsky-Chow theorem (Theorem 2.3). We shall present our results separately; in §1.1 for the case $M^1 = \mathbb{R}^1$ and in §1.2 for the case $M^1 = S^1$, respectively. Since [4] was published there has been extensive study on control-affine systems. We mention some of them; [14] and [16] for non-holonomic constraints, [6], [7], [15], [17], and [18] for obstacle avoidance, and [5] and [19] for other motion planning problems.

1.1. Control n body problem on \mathbb{R}^1 . We consider n , $n \geq 2$, particles in the real line \mathbb{R}^1 . Let

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{x}(t) := (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)), \quad x_j < x_{j+1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

be their position at time t . Once for all in this paper we fix a small positive number $\epsilon > 0$. We shall say that (1.2) is separated by $\epsilon > 0$ if the distance between two adjacent particles is greater than ϵ , namely,

$$(1.3) \quad x_j + \epsilon < x_{j+1}, \quad \text{for each } j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

We want to design a control-affine system

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{x}_n \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{\ell=1}^m \underbrace{\vec{f}_\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n)}_{\mathbf{x}} u_\ell(t), \quad m \leq n,$$

where \vec{f}_ℓ are smooth vector fields in \mathbb{R}^n , expressed as n -dimensional column vectors, and $u_\ell(t)$ are piecewise smooth, with the following requirements:

- 1) Collision free, that is, (1.2) is separated by $\epsilon > 0$.

2) Controllability, that is, for any sequences of real numbers $\mathbf{p} := (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ and $\mathbf{q} := (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ that are separated by $\epsilon > 0$, there exist piecewise smooth real-valued functions $u_\ell(t)$, $\ell = 1, \dots, m$, in some interval $0 \leq t \leq T$, so that (1.4) has a solution $\mathbf{x}(t)$ with $x_j(0) = p_j$ and $x_j(T) = q_j$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$. The terminal point $\mathbf{x}(T) = (x_1(T), \dots, x_n(T))$ of the initial value problem (1.4) with the initial condition $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{p}$ shall be denoted by $\Gamma(\mathbf{p}, T, \mathbf{u})$, where $\mathbf{u}(t) = (u_1(t), \dots, u_m(t))$.

Let \mathbb{R}_ϵ^n be the set of points $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that satisfy (1.3). For each $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, let

$$(1.5) \quad \rho_j(\mathbf{x}) := x_{j+1} - x_j - \epsilon.$$

Then

$$(1.6) \quad \mathbb{R}_\epsilon^n = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} \{\rho_j > 0\}.$$

The requirement 1); system's being collision-free, is equivalent to that \mathbb{R}_ϵ^n is invariant under the flow of the vector fields \vec{f}_ℓ . Our basic observations are the following: A smooth vector field $\vec{f} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_j(\mathbf{x}) \partial / \partial x_i$ on \mathbb{R}^n is tangent to a hypersurfaces given by $\{\rho = 0\}$, with $d\rho \neq 0$, if and only if

$$(1.7) \quad (\vec{f}\rho)(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \text{ with } \rho(\mathbf{x}) = 0.$$

A function ρ that satisfies (1.7) is called *a generalized first integral* of the vector field \vec{f} (see §2.2). For a smooth function ρ on \mathbb{R}^n let us denote by $((\rho))$ the ideal generated by ρ , namely, the collection of all the smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^n that are divisible by ρ . Then (1.7) is saying that $\vec{f}\rho$ belongs to the ideal $((\rho))$; see Lemma 2.4 for the details. So, in order to design a control-affine system (1.4) we construct vector fields \vec{f}_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, m$, on \mathbb{R}^n so that

- i) each \vec{f}_ℓ is tangent to the boundary of \mathbb{R}_ϵ^n (collision-free),
- ii) the Lie algebra generated by $\vec{f}_1, \dots, \vec{f}_m$ spans the whole tangent space at every point of \mathbb{R}_ϵ^n (controllability).

Now we state our main results:

Theorem 1.1. *Given $\epsilon > 0$ and a positive integer $n, n \geq 2$, let ρ_j , $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, be smooth real valued functions on \mathbb{R}^n as in (1.5) and \mathbb{R}_ϵ^n is the connected open subset of \mathbb{R}^n given by (1.6). Then there exist smooth vector fields $\vec{f}_1, \dots, \vec{f}_m$, $m \leq n$, on \mathbb{R}^n having the following properties:*

i) The hypersurfaces $\rho_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, and the submanifold \mathbb{R}_ϵ^n are invariant under the flow of the vector fields.

ii) The control-affine system $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{\ell=1}^m \vec{f}_\ell(\mathbf{x})u_\ell(t)$ is controllable in \mathbb{R}_ϵ^n , namely, for any points $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ of \mathbb{R}_ϵ^n there exists a control $\mathbf{u}(t) = (u^1(t), \dots, u^m(t))$ for t with $0 \leq t \leq T$, for some $T > 0$, such that $\Gamma(\mathbf{p}, T, \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{q}$.

Such $\vec{f}_\ell, \ell = 1, \dots, m$, are constructible for m with

$$(1.8) \quad 2m \geq n + 1.$$

Example 1.2. The case $n = 2$: Let $\rho(x_1, x_2) = x_2 - x_1 - \epsilon$ and $\mathbb{R}_\epsilon^2 = \{\rho > 0\}$ be the open half plane. Then $m = 2$ is the smallest integer that satisfies (1.8) and the vector fields

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{f}_1 &:= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \\ \vec{f}_2 &:= (1 + \rho) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \end{aligned}$$

as shown in Figure 1, are linearly independent in \mathbb{R}_ϵ^n .

Moreover, $\vec{f}_j \rho = 0$ on the set $\{\rho = 0\}$, for each $j = 1, 2$, therefore, \vec{f}_j are tangent to the zero set of ρ , which is the boundary of \mathbb{R}_ϵ^2 . It follows that \mathbb{R}_ϵ^2 is invariant under the flow of \vec{f}_j and the controllability of (1.4) follows from the Rashevsky-Chow theorem (Theorem 2.3).




Figure 1 \vec{f}_2 on the region \mathbb{R}_ϵ^2

Corollary 1.3. *Given $\epsilon > 0$ and an integer $n, n \geq 2$, the control n body problem in the real line \mathbb{R} with separation by ϵ is solvable by constructing n -dimensional vector fields $\vec{f}_1, \dots, \vec{f}_m, 2m \geq n + 1$. Furthermore, for each $\ell = 1, \dots, m, \vec{f}_\ell$ depends only on $x_{\ell-1}, x_\ell, x_{m+\ell-2}$ and $x_{m+\ell-1}$.*

1.2. Control n body problem on S^1 . We consider now $n, n \geq 2$, particles moving on S^1 . Let

$$(1.9) \quad e^{ix_1(t)}, \dots, e^{ix_n(t)}$$

be their position at time t , where $x_j, j = 1, \dots, n$, is the angle measured in radian from a reference point of S^1 . Assuming $\epsilon < 2\pi/n$, we shall say that these points are separated by ϵ if

$$(1.10) \quad x_1 + \epsilon < x_2 < x_2 + \epsilon < x_3 < \dots < x_n + \epsilon < x_1 + 2\pi.$$

Let $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and let

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_j(\mathbf{x}) &:= x_{j+1} - x_j - \epsilon, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n-1 \\ \rho_n(\mathbf{x}) &:= x_1 + 2\pi - x_n - \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(1.12) \quad \tilde{\mathbb{R}}_\epsilon^n := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \rho_j(\mathbf{x}) > 0, j = 1, \dots, n\},$$

that is, the set of points where (1.10) holds. Now we denote by $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ the set of all integer multiples of 2π and consider the n -torus

$$T^n := \mathbb{R}^n / (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^n.$$

Since the projection

$$p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow T^n$$

is a local diffeomorphism and the subset $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}_\epsilon^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and connected, we have

Proposition 1.4. *$T_\epsilon^n := p(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}_\epsilon^n)$ is an open connected subset of T^n .*

In the light of Rashevsky-Chow's theorem (Theorem 2.3) we want to construct smooth vector fields $\vec{f}_\ell, \ell = 1, \dots, m, m \leq n$, on T^n , that satisfy the following conditions:

i) The submanifold $T_\epsilon^n \subset T^n$ is invariant under the motions subject to the control-affine system (1.4).

ii) \vec{f}_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, m$, together with their Lie-brackets of first order span the whole tangent space of T_ϵ^n .

A vector field on \mathbb{R}^n

$$(1.13) \quad \vec{f} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

shall be said to be periodic if each a_j is a periodic function in each variable x_1, \dots, x_n . A periodic vector field on \mathbb{R}^n with period 2π in each variable may be regarded as a vector field on T^n . Thus we state our result as follows:

Theorem 1.5. *Given $\epsilon > 0$ and a positive integer $n, n \geq 2$, with $\epsilon < 2\pi/n$, there exist smooth vector fields $\vec{f}_1, \dots, \vec{f}_m$, $m \leq n$, on $\mathbb{R}^n = \{\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_n)\}$, which are periodic with period 2π , having the following properties:*

i) *The hypersurfaces $\rho_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, the hypersurface $\rho_n(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, and the open submanifold $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}_\epsilon^n$ are invariant under the flow of these vector fields, where ρ_j and ρ_n are as defined by (1.11).*

ii) *$\vec{f}_\ell, [\vec{f}_\ell, \vec{f}_k]$, $\ell, k = 1, \dots, m$, span the whole tangent space of $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}_\epsilon^n$.*

Such \vec{f}_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, m$, are constructible in the following cases:

Case 1; both n and m are odd numbers and $2m \geq n + 1$.

Case 2; n is even and $2m \geq n + 2$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Orbits and controllability. Let M be a connected smooth manifold of dimension n and \mathcal{U} be a set of admissible controls $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $m \leq n$. Let

$$(2.1) \quad \dot{\mathbf{x}} := \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^m \vec{f}_j(\mathbf{x}) u_j$$

be a control-affine system on M , where \vec{f}_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, m$, are smooth vector fields on M , and $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathcal{U}$. Here the control $\mathbf{u}(t)$ can be chosen variously, for instance, to be piecewise continuous, measurable, smooth, and so forth. In this paper we shall assume that \mathcal{U} is the set of all piecewise smooth functions with finitely many discontinuities. For a point $\mathbf{p} \in M$ let $\mathbf{x}(t)$ be the solution of (2.1) subjected to the

initial condition $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{p}$. The solution $\mathbf{x}(t)$ is continuous, piecewise smooth and uniquely determined by the choice of \mathbf{p} and $\mathbf{u}(t)$, which we shall denote by $\Gamma(\mathbf{p}, t, \mathbf{u})$.

Definition 2.1. A submanifold N of M is said to be *invariant under controls* of (2.1) if $\mathbf{x}_0 \in N$ implies that $\Gamma(\mathbf{x}_0, t, \mathbf{u}) \in N$ for all possible choices of $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}$ and for all $t \geq 0$.

Now we recall some basics of non-linear control systems. For other definitions and theorems we refer the readers to our basic references [1, 4]. Consider the set of points that are reachable from a point in some non-negative time. A point $\mathbf{q} \in M$ is said to be *reachable from \mathbf{p}* if $\mathbf{q} = \Gamma(\mathbf{p}, t, \mathbf{u})$, for some $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}$ and for some $t \geq 0$. The *reachable set* $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{p}}$ of the control system (2.1) from a point $\mathbf{p} \in M$ is a subset of M defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{p}} = \{\Gamma(\mathbf{p}, t, \mathbf{u}) : t \geq 0, \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

The control system (2.1) is said to be *controllable from $\mathbf{p} \in M$* if

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{p}} = M$$

and it is called *controllable* if (2.2) holds for every $\mathbf{p} \in M$. We define the *orbit* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}$ of the control system (2.1) through a point $\mathbf{p} \in M$ to be the set of points $\mathbf{q} \in M$ such that either \mathbf{q} is reachable from \mathbf{p} or \mathbf{p} is reachable from \mathbf{q} . A basic theorem is the following

Theorem 2.2 (Nagano-Sussmann theorem, [1]). *$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}$ is a connected immersed submanifold of M .*

See [1] for the proof of Theorem 2.2. Now let \mathcal{G} be the Lie algebra of vector fields generated by $\vec{f}_1, \dots, \vec{f}_m$. Consider the vector space $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}) \subset T_{\mathbf{p}}M$, which is the linear span at $\mathbf{p} \in M$ of the left iterated Lie brackets

$$[\vec{f}_{i_1}, [\vec{f}_{i_2}, \dots, [\vec{f}_{i_{k-1}}, \vec{f}_{i_k}] \dots]]$$

of the vector fields $\vec{f}_1, \dots, \vec{f}_m$. Then we have

Theorem 2.3 (Rashevsky-Chow theorem, [1]). *Let M and \mathcal{G} be as above. If M is connected and $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p}) = T_{\mathbf{p}}M$ at every point $\mathbf{p} \in M$, then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}} = M$, that is (2.1) is controllable.*

2.2. Generalized first integrals. Let \mathcal{O} be a germ of smooth (C^∞) manifold of dimension n , or a small open ball of \mathbb{R}^n centered at the origin. A set of real-valued smooth functions r_1, \dots, r_d of \mathcal{O} is said to be *non-degenerate* if

$$dr_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dr_d \neq 0.$$

The common zeros of a non-degenerate set of d real-valued functions form a submanifold of codimension d .

Lemma 2.4. *A smooth real-valued function ρ vanishes on the common zero set of a non-degenerate system r_1, \dots, r_d of smooth real-valued functions if and only if*

$$(2.3) \quad \rho = \sum_{k=1}^d \alpha_k r_k,$$

for some smooth functions α_k 's.

Proof. Sufficiency of the condition is obvious. To show the necessity of the condition (2.3) notice that the non-degeneracy implies that r_1, \dots, r_d can be part of coordinate system of \mathcal{O} . Thus we may assume that $r_j = x_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(x_1, \dots, x_d, x_{d+1}, \dots, x_n) - \rho(0, \dots, 0, x_{d+1}, \dots, x_n) \\ &= [\rho(sx_1, \dots, sx_d, x_{d+1}, \dots, x_n)]_0^1 \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} \rho(sx_1, \dots, sx_d, x_{d+1}, \dots, x_n) ds \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^d x_k \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_k}(sx_1, \dots, sx_d, x_{d+1}, \dots, x_n) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By setting the integral of the last line α_k and recalling $x_k = r_k$, for $k = 1, \dots, d$ we obtain (2.3). \square

Lemma 2.4 is a local version in smooth category of the Hilbert's *Nullstellensatz* and the condition (2.3) is that ρ is in the ideal $((r^1, \dots, r^d))$.

Definition 2.5. Let $\vec{f}_1, \dots, \vec{f}_m$ be smooth vector fields on \mathcal{O} that are linearly independent at every point of \mathcal{O} . A real-valued smooth function

ρ is a *first integral* if ρ is invariant under the flow of those vector fields, namely

$$(2.4) \quad \vec{f}_\ell \rho = 0, \text{ for all } \ell = 1, \dots, m.$$

For m vector fields on n -manifold there can be at most $(n - m)$ non-degenerate first integrals. This is the case that the Frobenius integrability condition holds (see [3]).

Definition 2.6. A real-valued smooth function ρ is a *generalized first integral* of $\vec{f}_1, \dots, \vec{f}_m$ if

$$(2.5) \quad \vec{f}_\ell \rho = 0, \text{ on } \{\rho = 0\}, \text{ for all } \ell = 1, \dots, m.$$

The notion of the generalized first integral was first defined in [2]. We have

Proposition 2.7. *Suppose that ρ is a smooth real-valued function with $d\rho \neq 0$ on \mathcal{O} and $X \subset \mathcal{O}$ is the zero set of ρ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- i) ρ is a generalized first integral of the vector fields $\vec{f}_1, \dots, \vec{f}_m$.*
- ii) For each $\ell = 1, \dots, m$, $\vec{f}_\ell \rho$ is divisible by ρ , that is, there is a smooth function $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ so that*

$$(2.6) \quad \vec{f}_\ell \rho = \alpha \rho.$$

- iii) For each $\ell = 1, \dots, m$, \vec{f}_ℓ is tangent to X .*
- iv) X is invariant under the flow of \vec{f}_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, m$.*

Proof. *i) \implies ii)* follows from Definition 2.5 and Lemma 2.4. The other implications are easy to prove or obvious. \square

Using the observations of Proposition 2.7 the *partial integrability* of systems of vector fields, or equivalently, the *partial holonomy* of (2.1) has been studied in [8], [9], and in [11],[12],[13],[10] as applications in finding invariant submanifolds of vector fields.

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We shall construct \vec{f}_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, m$, for each of the following three cases:

1) **Case $m = n$.** Let \vec{f}_ℓ be the ℓ -th column of the following $m \times m$ matrix:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 + \rho_1 & 1 + \rho_2 & 1 + \rho_3 & \cdots & 1 + \rho_{m-1} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 + \rho_2 & 1 + \rho_3 & \cdots & 1 + \rho_{m-1} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 + \rho_3 & \cdots & 1 + \rho_{m-1} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 + \rho_{m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 + \rho_{m-1} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 + \rho_{m-1} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

namely,

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \vec{f}_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \partial/\partial x_j, \\ \vec{f}_\ell &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} (1 + \rho_{\ell-1}) \partial/\partial x_j + \sum_{j=\ell}^n \partial/\partial x_j, \quad \ell = 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Then (1.5) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{f}_1 \rho_j &= 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \vec{f}_\ell \rho_{\ell-1} &= -\rho_{\ell-1}, \quad \ell = 2, \dots, m, \\ \vec{f}_\ell \rho_j &= 0, \quad \text{for the other pairs } (\ell, j). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Proposition 2.7, \vec{f}_ℓ are tangent to $\{\rho_j = 0\}$, for each pair (ℓ, j) . This implies that the boundary of \mathbb{R}_ϵ^n is invariant, and therefore the interior of \mathbb{R}_ϵ^n is invariant, under the motions that are subject to the system (1.4). Furthermore, the square matrix (3.1) is invertible on $\mathbb{R}_\epsilon^n = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} \{\rho_j > 0\}$. Hence, by Theorem 2.3 the control-affine system (1.4) is controllable.

2) **Case $m < n$ and $2m = n + 1$:** For $\ell = 1, \dots, m$, let \vec{f}_ℓ be the ℓ -th column of the following matrix:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 + \rho_1 & 1 + \rho_2 & 1 + \rho_3 & \cdots & 1 + \rho_{m-1} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 + \rho_2 & 1 + \rho_3 & \cdots & 1 + \rho_{m-1} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 + \rho_3 & \cdots & 1 + \rho_{m-1} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 + \rho_{m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 + \rho_{m-1} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 + \rho_{m-1} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \\ 1 & 1 + x_m \rho_m & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + x_m \rho_m & 1 + x_{m+1} \rho_{m+1} & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + x_m \rho_m & 1 + x_{m+1} \rho_{m+1} & 1 + x_{m+2} \rho_{m+2} & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 + x_m \rho_m & 1 + x_{m+1} \rho_{m+1} & 1 + x_{m+2} \rho_{m+2} & \cdots & 1 + x_{n-1} \rho_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

thus \vec{f}_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, m$, is an n -dimensional vector given by

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \vec{f}_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \partial / \partial x_j, \\ \vec{f}_\ell &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} (1 + \rho_{\ell-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{j=\ell}^{m+\ell-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{j=m+\ell-1}^n (1 + x_{m+\ell-2} \rho_{m+\ell-2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \text{ for } \ell = 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Then by easy computations we have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \vec{f}_1 \rho_j &= 0, \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \vec{f}_\ell \rho_{\ell-1} &= -\rho_{\ell-1}, \text{ for all } \ell = 2, \dots, m, \\ \vec{f}_\ell \rho_{m+\ell-2} &= x_{m+\ell-2} \rho_{m+\ell-2}, \text{ for all } \ell = 2, \dots, m, \\ \vec{f}_\ell \rho_j &= 0, \text{ for all other pairs } (\ell, j). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Proposition 2.7 and (3.5) the vector fields $\vec{f}_1, \dots, \vec{f}_m$ are tangent to the boundary of \mathbb{R}_ϵ^n , which implies that the interior of \mathbb{R}_ϵ^n is invariant, under the motions that are subject to the control-affine system (1.4). On the other hand

$$(3.6) \quad [\vec{f}_1, \vec{f}_\ell] = \rho_{m+\ell-2} \sum_{j=m+\ell-1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \text{ for all } \ell = 2, \dots, m,$$

so that $[\vec{f}_1, \vec{f}_\ell]$ is the $(\ell - 1)$ th column of the following matrix:

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \\ \rho_m & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \rho_m & \rho_{m+1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \rho_m & \rho_{m+1} & \rho_{m+2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \rho_m & \rho_{m+1} & \rho_{m+2} & \rho_{m+3} & \cdots & \rho_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that the bottom part of (3.7) is a $(m - 1) \times (m - 1)$ square matrix, which is invertible on \mathbb{R}_ϵ^n . Thus m columns of the matrix (3.1) and $(m - 1)$ columns of (3.7), so that their totality $m + (m - 1) = n$ vectors are independent on \mathbb{R}_ϵ^n . Now conclusion follows from Theorem 2.3.

3) **Case $2m > n + 1$:** Proof is same as the previous case, except for that we need only the columns of (3.7) up to $(n + 1 - m)$ -th in order to span the whole tangent space of \mathbb{R}_ϵ^n .

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let ρ_j be the function on \mathbb{R}^n as in (1.11). We define a function $\sigma(s)$ in a real variable s as in Figure 2 by

$$\sigma(s) := \sin(s - \pi/2) + 1.$$




Figure 2 The function $\sigma(s)$

Now we define

$$(3.8) \quad \sigma_j(\mathbf{x}) := \sigma(\rho_j(\mathbf{x})), \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Immediately we have the following

Proposition 3.1. *For each $j = 1, \dots, n$, $\sigma_j(\mathbf{x})$ is a smooth function of \mathbb{R}^n with the following properties:*

- i) σ_j is periodic in each variable with period 2π .*
- ii) For $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, σ_j depends only on x_{j+1} and x_j , and σ_n depends only on x_1 and x_n .*
- iii) $\sigma_j(\mathbf{x}) > 0$, for all $\mathbf{x} \in \tilde{\mathbb{R}}_\epsilon^n$.*
- iv) $\sigma_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, for all \mathbf{x} with $\rho_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, that is, $\sigma_j \in ((\rho_j))$.*

Now we prove Theorem 1.5 for the following three cases:

- 1) case $m = n$; the largest choice of m . Let \vec{f}_j be the j -th column of the following matrix:

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 + \sigma_1\sigma_n & 1 + \sigma_2\sigma_n & \cdots & 1 + \sigma_{n-2}\sigma_n & 1 + \sigma_{n-1}\sigma_n \\ 1 & 1 & 1 + \sigma_2\sigma_n & \cdots & 1 + \sigma_{n-2}\sigma_n & 1 + \sigma_{n-1}\sigma_n \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 + \sigma_{n-2}\sigma_n & 1 + \sigma_{n-1}\sigma_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 + \sigma_{n-2}\sigma_n & 1 + \sigma_{n-1}\sigma_n \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 + \sigma_{n-1}\sigma_n \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By easy computation we see that

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \vec{f}_1\rho_j &= 0, \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, n \\ \vec{f}_\ell\rho_{\ell-1} &= -\sigma_{\ell-1}\sigma_n, \quad \text{for } \ell = 2, \dots, m \\ \vec{f}_\ell\rho_n &= \sigma_{\ell-1}\sigma_n, \quad \text{for } \ell = 2, \dots, m \\ \vec{f}_\ell\rho_j &= 0, \quad \text{for all other pairs } (\ell, j). \end{aligned}$$

From the second equation of (3.10) and Proposition 3.1-(iv) we have

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \vec{f}_\ell\rho_{\ell-1} &\in ((\rho_{\ell-1})) \\ \vec{f}_\ell\rho_n &\in ((\rho_n)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.10)-(3.11) that the vector fields $\vec{f}_1, \dots, \vec{f}_m$ are tangent to the zero sets $\{\rho_j = 0\}$, which implies that the boundary of $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_\epsilon^n$ is invariant, and also, the interior of \widetilde{R}_ϵ^n is invariant under the motions subject to the control-affine system (1.4). Furthermore, by Proposition 3.1-(iii) the matrix (3.9) is invertible on $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_\epsilon^n$, which implies that $\vec{f}_\ell, \ell = 1, \dots, m$, span the whole tangent space of $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_\epsilon^n$.

2) Case that n is odd, m is odd, and $2m = n + 1$.

Let us consider the following $n \times m$ matrix, whose top part is the same as the first m columns and the first m rows of (3.9) and the bottom part has $(n - m)$ rows. For $\ell = 1, \dots, m$, let \vec{f}_ℓ be the ℓ -th column of the following matrix:

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 + \sigma_1\sigma_n & 1 + \sigma_2\sigma_n & 1 + \sigma_3\sigma_n & \cdots & 1 + \sigma_{m-2}\sigma_n & 1 + \sigma_{m-1}\sigma_n \\ 1 & 1 & 1 + \sigma_2\sigma_n & 1 + \sigma_3\sigma_n & \cdots & 1 + \sigma_{m-2}\sigma_n & 1 + \sigma_{m-1}\sigma_n \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 + \sigma_3\sigma_n & \cdots & 1 + \sigma_{m-2}\sigma_n & 1 + \sigma_{m-1}\sigma_n \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 + \sigma_{m-2}\sigma_n & 1 + \sigma_{m-1}\sigma_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 + \sigma_{m-2}\sigma_n & 1 + \sigma_{m-1}\sigma_n \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 + \sigma_{m-1}\sigma_n \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \\ 1 & 1 + A_1 & 1 - B_1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + B_1 & 1 + A_1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 + A_2 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 + B_2 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 + A_{(m-1)/2} & 1 + B_{(m-1)/2} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 - B_{(m-1)/2} & 1 + A_{(m-1)/2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The bottom part of (3.12) has 2×2 square submatrices

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 + A_\lambda & 1 - B_\lambda \\ 1 + B_\lambda & 1 + A_\lambda \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda = 1, 2, \dots, (m-1)/2,$$

where

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} A_\lambda &= \sin x_{m+2(\lambda-1)}\sigma_{m+2(\lambda-1)}\sigma_{m+2(\lambda-1)+1} \\ B_\lambda &= \cos x_{m+2(\lambda-1)}\sigma_{m+2(\lambda-1)}\sigma_{m+2(\lambda-1)+1}, \end{aligned}$$

so that the last block with $\lambda = (m-1)/2$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 + A_{(m-1)/2} & 1 - B_{(m-1)/2} \\ 1 + B_{(m-1)/2} & 1 + A_{(m-1)/2} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{(m-1)/2} &= \sin x_{n-2}\sigma_{n-2}\sigma_{n-1} \\ B_{(m-1)/2} &= \cos x_{n-2}\sigma_{n-2}\sigma_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\vec{f}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial/\partial x_i$ calculation shows that for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, and each $\lambda = 1, \dots, (m-1)/2$ we have

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \vec{f}_1 \sigma_j &= \sigma'(\rho_j) \vec{f}_1 \rho_j = 0, \\ \vec{f}_1 A_\lambda &= \cos x_{m+2(\lambda-1)}\sigma_{m+2(\lambda-1)}\sigma_{m+2(\lambda-1)+1} \\ \vec{f}_1 B_\lambda &= -\sin x_{m+2(\lambda-1)}\sigma_{m+2(\lambda-1)}\sigma_{m+2(\lambda-1)+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, expressing the brackets $[\vec{f}_1, \vec{f}_\ell]$ for $\ell = 2, 3, 4, 5$ as column vectors, we have

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cos x_m \sigma_m \sigma_{m+1} & \sin x_m \sigma_m \sigma_{m+1} & 0 & 0 \\ -\sin x_m \sigma_m \sigma_{m+1} & \cos x_m \sigma_m \sigma_{m+1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos x_{m+2} \sigma_{m+2} \sigma_{m+3} & \sin x_{m+2} \sigma_{m+2} \sigma_{m+3} \\ 0 & 0 & -\sin x_{m+2} \sigma_{m+2} \sigma_{m+3} & \cos x_{m+2} \sigma_{m+2} \sigma_{m+3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice that σ_j 's are positive valued on $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}_\epsilon^n = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{\rho_i > 0\}$. Thus for each $\ell = 2, \dots, m$, $[\vec{f}_1, \vec{f}_\ell]$ divided by a positive scalar, is the $(\ell-1)$ -th column of the following matrix;

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cos x_m & \sin x_m & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -\sin x_m & \cos x_m & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos x_{m+2} & \sin x_{m+2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sin x_{m+2} & \cos x_{m+2} & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cos x_{n-3} & \sin x_{n-3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\sin x_{n-3} & \cos x_{n-3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The above $(m-1)$ vectors are linearly independent and we see that \vec{f}_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, m$ and $[\vec{f}_1, \vec{f}_\ell]$, $\ell = 2, \dots, m$, span the tangent space of $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}_\epsilon^n$.

3) Case that n is even, m is even, and $2m \geq n + 2$: It is enough to construct for the case $2m = n + 2$. Since m is even and we want \vec{f}_1 to be constant vector field $\sum_{j=1}^n \partial/\partial x_j$ as in the previous case. Since $m-1$ is odd, we can construct $(m-2)/2$ blocks of size 2×2 in the same way as in the previous case. Construction is same except for the brackets $[\vec{f}_1, \vec{f}_\ell]$ ends up with $\ell = m - 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Agrachev and Y. Sachkov, *Control theory from the geometric viewpoint*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Control Theory and Optimization, II, vol. 87, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [2] H. Ahn and C.-K. Han, *Invariant submanifolds for systems of vector fields of constant rank*, Sci. China Math. **59** (2016), no. 7, 1417–1426.
- [3] R. L. Bryant, S. S. Chern, R. B. Gardner, H. L. Goldschmidt and P. A. Griffiths, *Exterior differential systems*, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Vol. 18, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1991.
- [4] R. W. Brockett, R. S. Millman and H. J. Sussmann, editors, *Differential geometric control theory*, Proc. Conf. at Michigan Technological U., 1982, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983
- [5] I. Duleba, W. Khehifi and I. Karcz, *Layer, Lie algebraic method of motion planning for nonholonomic systems*, J. of the Franklin Institute 349 (2012), 201-215
- [6] V. Grushkovskaya and A. Zuyev, *Motion planning problem with obstacle avoidance for step-2 bracket-generating system*, PAMM. Proc. Appl. Math. Mech. **17** (2017), 799–800.

- [7] V. Grushkovskaya and A. Zuyev, *Obstacle avoidance problem for driftless nonlinear systems with oscillating controls*, ScienceDirect, IFAC Conference paper archive 50-1 (2017), 10476–10481.
- [8] C.-K. Han, *Pfaffian systems of Frobenius type and solvability of generic overdetermined PDE systems*, Symmetries and overdetermined systems of partial differential equations, IMA Vol. Math. Appl., 144, Springer-Verlag, New York (2008), 421–429.
- [9] ———, *Generalization of the Frobenius theorem on involutivity*, J. Korean Math. Soc. 46 (2009), 1087–1103
- [10] C.-K. Han and H. Kim, *Invariant submanifolds for affine control systems*, Ann. Polonici Math.124-1 (2020), 61-73
- [11] C.-K. Han and J. Park, *Method of characteristics and first integrals for overdetermined fsystem of quasi-linear PDE of first order*, Sci. China Math.58-8 (2015), 1665–1676
- [12] C.-K. Han and J. Park, *Local solvability of quasi-linear Cauchy-Riemann equations*, Pacific J. Math., 265-1 (2013), 59–84
- [13] C.-K. Han and J. Park, *Systems of quasi-linear PDE of first order with Cauchy data of higher codimensions*, J. Math. Analysis and Applications, 430-1 (2015), 390–402
- [14] F. Jean, *Control of nonholonomic systems: From sub-Riemannian geometry to motion planning*, Springer -Verlag, New York (2014).
- [15] O. Khatib, *Real-time obstacle avoidance for manipulators and mobile robots*, International J. of Robotics Research, Vol.5-1, (1986), 90-98.
- [16] I. Kolmanovsky and N. H. McClamroch, *Developments in nonholonomic control problems*, IEEE Contol systems, (1995), 20-33.
- [17] D. O. Popa and J. T. Wen, *Nonholonomic path-planning with obstacle avoidance: a path-space approach*, Proc. 1996 IEEE International Conf. on Robotics and Automation, 1996.
- [18] G. P. Roussos, D.V. Dimarogonas and K. J. Kyriakopoulos, *3D Navigation and collision avoidance for a non-holonimic vehicle* in American Control Conference 2008, Washington, USA
- [19] A. Zuyev and V. Grushkovskaya, *Motion planning for control-affine systems satisfying low-order controllability conditions*, International J. of Control vol 90-11 (2017), 2517–2537

(C.-K. Han) RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 1 GWANAK-RO, GWANAK-GU, SEOUL 08826, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: ckhan@snu.ac.kr

(D. Park) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YONSEI UNIVERSITY, 50 YONSEI-RO, SEODAEMUN-GU, SEOUL 03722, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: dhpark12@gmail.com