

COHOMOLOGICAL MILNOR FORMULA AND SAITO'S CONJECTURE ON CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES

ENLIN YANG[†] AND YIGENG ZHAO[‡]

ABSTRACT. We construct a cohomological characteristic class supported on the non-locally acyclic locus of a separated morphism relatively to a constructible sheaf. We confirm the projective case of Saito's conjecture [Invent.Math.207,597–695 (2017)], namely the cohomological characteristic classes can be computed in terms of the characteristic cycles.

As applications of the functorial properties of this class, we prove cohomological analogs of the Milnor formula and the conductor formula for constructible sheaves on (not necessarily smooth) varieties.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Transversality condition	6
3.	Cohomological characteristic class	10
4.	Comparison of characteristic classes	16
5.	Non-acyclicity class	19
6.	Applications: Milnor formula and conductor formula	28
	References	29

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Let $h : X \rightarrow \text{Spec} k$ be a separated morphism of finite type over a perfect field k . Let Λ be a finite field of characteristic invertible in k and $\mathcal{K}_{X/k} = Rh^1\Lambda$. For a constructible étale sheaf \mathcal{F} of Λ -modules on X , the cohomological characteristic class $C_{X/k}(\mathcal{F}) \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/k})$ is introduced by Abbes and Saito in [1] by using Verdier pairing (cf. [10])¹. Using ramification theory, Abbes and Saito calculate the cohomological characteristic classes for rank 1 sheaves under certain ramification conditions in [1]. However, the calculation for general constructible étale sheaves is an outstanding question in ramification theory. Later, using the singular support defined by Beilinson [5], Saito [16] constructs the characteristic cycles of constructible étale sheaves and further proposes the following conjecture.

Date: October 17, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14F20; Secondary 14C17, 11S15.

Key words and phrases. characteristic class, Milnor formula, conductor formula, locally acyclic, transversality.

[†]Enlin Yang is the corresponding author.

[†]yangenlin@math.pku.edu.cn.

[†]School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, No.5 Yiheyuan Road Haidian District., Beijing, 100871, P.R. China.

[‡]zhaoyigeng@westlake.edu.cn.

[‡]School of Science, Westlake University, No.600 Dunyu Road, Xihu District, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310024, P.R. China.

¹See also [13] for the transcendental setting.

Conjecture 1.2 (Saito, [16, Conjecture 6.8.1]). *Let X be a closed sub-scheme of a smooth scheme over a perfect field k . Let \mathcal{F} be a constructible étale sheaf of Λ -modules on X . Let $cc_X(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathrm{CH}_0(X)$ be the characteristic class defined in [16, Definition 6.7.2] via the characteristic cycle of \mathcal{F} . Then we have*

$$(1.2.1) \quad C_{X/k}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{cl}(cc_X(\mathcal{F})) \quad \text{in} \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/k}),$$

where $\mathrm{cl} : \mathrm{CH}_0(X) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/k})$ is the cycle class map.

Saito's conjecture says that the cohomological characteristic class can be computed in terms of the characteristic cycle. Note that the two involved ramification invariants in 1.2 are defined in quite different ways. The characteristic cycle is characterized by the Milnor formula [16, Theorem 5.9], while the cohomological characteristic class in some sense is defined via the categorical trace (cf. Section 3). In the characteristic zero case, the equality (1.2.1) on a complex manifold is the microlocal index formula proved by Kashiwara and Schapira [13, 9.5.1]. However, we don't know such a microlocal description for characteristic cycles in positive characteristic. One of our main goals of this paper is to show the projective case of Saito's conjecture.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 4.7). *Conjecture 1.2 holds for any smooth and projective scheme X over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$.*

The above theorem implies the quasi-projective case if we assume the resolution of singularities. When X is projective and smooth over a finite field k of characteristic p , the cohomology group $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/k})$ is highly non-trivial. For example, if $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/\ell^m$ with $\ell \neq p$, then we have $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/k}) \simeq H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^m)^\vee \simeq \pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(X)/\ell^m$ (cf. [15, Theorem 1.12]).

1.4. Our approach to Saito's conjecture is the fibration method, which leans on the construction of the relative version of cohomological characteristic classes over a general base scheme. For this, it is necessary to add some transversality conditions on the structure morphism. Let S be a Noetherian scheme and Sch_S the category of separated schemes of finite type over S . Let Λ be a Noetherian ring such that $m\Lambda = 0$ for some integer m invertible on S . Let $h : X \rightarrow S$ be a separated morphism of finite type, $\mathcal{K}_{X/S} = Rh^1\Lambda$ and \mathcal{F} a constructible étale sheaf of Λ -modules on X . In fact, under certain smooth and transversality conditions on h , we introduce the relative (cohomological) characteristic class $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S})$ in [22, Definition 3.6]. It is further generalized to any separated morphism $h : X \rightarrow S$ which is (universally) locally acyclic relatively to \mathcal{F} by using categorical traces [14, 2.20]. We also define relative characteristic classes in a more general case that only assuming locally acyclicity away from small closed subsets. Indeed, if $Z \subseteq X$ is a closed subscheme such that $H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/S}) = H^1(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/S}) = 0$, and if $X \setminus Z \rightarrow S$ is (universally) locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus Z}$, then the relative characteristic class $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S})$ is still well-defined (cf. Definition 3.5). We prove the following fibration formula for cohomological characteristic classes.

Theorem 1.5 (Theorem 4.3). *Let Y be a smooth curve over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let Λ be a Noetherian ring such that $m\Lambda = 0$ for some integer m which is prime to p . Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a separated morphism of finite type and $Z \subseteq |X|$ be a finite set of closed points. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$ such that $f|_{X \setminus Z}$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus Z}$. Then we have*

$$(1.5.1) \quad C_{X/k}(\mathcal{F}) = c_1(f^*\Omega_{Y/k}^{1,\vee}) \cap C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F}) - \sum_{x \in Z} \mathrm{dimtot} R\Phi_{\bar{x}}(\mathcal{F}, f) \cdot [x] \quad \text{in} \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/k}),$$

where $R\Phi(\mathcal{F}, f)$ is the complex of vanishing cycles and $\mathrm{dimtot} = \dim + \mathrm{Sw}$ is the total dimension.

As a special case of (1.5.1), we have the following cohomological version of Grothendieck-Ogg-Shafarevich formula:

Corollary 1.6 (Corollary 4.4). *Let X be a smooth and connected curve over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$ and $Z \subseteq X$ a finite set of closed points such that the cohomology sheaves of $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus Z}$ are locally constant. Then we have*

$$(1.6.1) \quad C_{X/k}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{rank} \mathcal{F} \cdot c_1(\Omega_{X/k}^{1, \vee}) - \sum_{x \in Z} a_x(\mathcal{F}) \cdot [x] \quad \text{in} \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/k}),$$

where $a_x(\mathcal{F}) = \text{rank} \mathcal{F}|_{\bar{\eta}} - \text{rank} \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}} + \text{Sw}_x \mathcal{F}$ is the Artin conductor and η is the generic point of X .

Corollary 1.6 confirms Saito's conjecture 1.2 in the curve case. The projective case of Saito's conjecture 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.5 by using a fibration method.

1.7. For the proof of Theorem 1.5, the key observation is that $C_{X/k}(\mathcal{F}) - c_1(f^* \Omega_{Y/k}^{1, \vee}) \cap C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F})$ is zero on U (cf. Theorem 3.13). Hence the difference comes from a cohomological class in $H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/k})$. In this paper we construct a canonical lifting of $C_{X/k}(\mathcal{F}) - c_1(f^* \Omega_{Y/k}^{1, \vee}) \cap C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F})$ in $H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/k})$, where Z is contained in the non-acyclic locus of $f : X \rightarrow Y$ relatively to \mathcal{F} . In fact, the proof of Theorem 1.5 does not use this lifting. Later for proving a cohomological version of the Milnor formula [16, Theorem 5.9], we need this lifting in a relative version in the following.

1.8. Consider a commutative diagram in SchG:

$$(1.8.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Z \hookrightarrow X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \searrow h & \swarrow g \\ & & S \end{array},$$

where $\tau : Z \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion and g is a smooth morphism of relative dimension r . Let \mathcal{F} be a constructible étale sheaf of Λ -modules on X such that $X \setminus Z \rightarrow Y$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus Z}$ and that $X \rightarrow S$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to \mathcal{F} . We define an object $\mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S}$ on X sitting in a distinguished triangle (cf. (5.2.5))

$$(1.8.2) \quad \mathcal{K}_{X/Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S} \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

We introduce a new cohomological characteristic class $\tilde{C}_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) \in H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S})$ supported on Z in Definition 5.6, which measures the difference $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) - c_r(f^* \Omega_{Y/S}^{1, \vee}) \cap C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F})$. If $H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/Y}) = H^1(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/Y}) = 0$, then $H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/S}) \simeq H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S})$ and $\tilde{C}_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F})$ defines a class in $H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/S})$, which is denoted by $C_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F})$. We conjecture that the following formula should hold.

Conjecture 1.9 (Fibration formula, Conjecture 5.9). *If $H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/Y}) = H^1(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/Y}) = 0$, then we have*

$$(1.9.1) \quad C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) = c_r(f^* \Omega_{Y/S}^{1, \vee}) \cap C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F}) + C_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) \quad \text{in} \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}).$$

We call $C_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F})$ (resp. $\tilde{C}_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F})$) the non-acyclicity class of \mathcal{F} . We expect that this class on (not necessarily smooth) varieties could play a role as the characteristic cycles on smooth varieties.

If Z is empty, we prove (1.9.1) in Theorem 3.13. For the case that $f = \text{id}$ and $S = \text{Spec} k$. Since $\text{id} : X \setminus Z \rightarrow X \setminus Z$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus Z}$, the cohomology sheaves of $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus Z}$ are locally constant on $X \setminus Z$. Then (1.9.1) is just the Abbes-Saito's localization formula [1, Proposition 5.2.3]. We show that Conjecture 1.9 is true for a fibration over a smooth curve (cf. Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 6.3).

1.10. Now we summarize the functorial properties for the non-acyclicity classes. For simplicity, let us denote such a diagram (1.8.1) simply by $\Delta = \Delta_{X/Y/S}^Z$ and denote $\tilde{C}_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F})$ simply by $C_\Delta(\mathcal{F})$.

Proposition 1.11 (Proposition 5.16 and Proposition 5.19). *Let \mathcal{F} be a constructible sheaf of Λ -modules on X . Assume that $Y \rightarrow S$ is smooth, $X \setminus Z \rightarrow Y$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus Z}$ and that $X \rightarrow S$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to \mathcal{F} .*

- (1) *Let $b : S' \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of Noetherian schemes. Let $\Delta' = \Delta_{X'/Y'/S'}^{Z'}$ be the base change of $\Delta = \Delta_{X/Y/S}^Z$ by $b : S' \rightarrow S$. Let $b_X : X' = X \times_S S' \rightarrow X$ be the base change of b by $X \rightarrow S$. Then we have*

$$(1.11.1) \quad b_X^* C_\Delta(\mathcal{F}) = C_{\Delta'}(b_X^* \mathcal{F}) \quad \text{in} \quad H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y'/S'}),$$

where $b_X^* : H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S}) \rightarrow H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y'/S'})$ is the induced pull-back morphism.

- (2) *Consider a diagram $\Delta' = \Delta_{X'/Y/S}^{Z'}$. Let $s : X \rightarrow X'$ be a proper morphism over Y such that $Z \subseteq s^{-1}(Z')$. Then we have*

$$(1.11.2) \quad s_*(C_\Delta(\mathcal{F})) = C_{\Delta'}(Rs_* \mathcal{F}) \quad \text{in} \quad H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y/S}),$$

where $s_* : H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S}) \rightarrow H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y/S})$ is the induced push-forward morphism.

If Z is a finite set of closed points, the non-acyclicity class can be calculated as follows.

Theorem 1.12 (Theorem 6.2). *Let Y be a smooth curve over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a separated morphism of finite type and $x \in |X|$ a closed point. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$ such that $f|_{X \setminus \{x\}}$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus \{x\}}$. Then we have*

$$(1.12.1) \quad C_{X/Y/k}^{\{x\}}(\mathcal{F}) = -\dim \text{tot} R\Phi_{\bar{x}}(\mathcal{F}, f) \quad \text{in} \quad \Lambda = H_x^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/k}).$$

The above theorem gives a cohomological analogue of the Milnor formula proved by Saito in [16, Theorem 5.9], and does not involve the smoothness assumption on X . Its proof is based on the pull-back property of the non-acyclicity classes (cf. Proposition 1.11.(1)) together with the method in [3]. The construction of non-acyclicity classes in this paper can be applied to motivic categories with six functor formalism. If there is a generalization argument as [3] to the p -adic cohomology theory (cf. [3, 3.2]), we could expect that the construction will lead to a solution of Deligne's conjecture on the Milnor formula in the mixed case [8, Conjecture 1.9].

1.13. As an application of Proposition 1.11.(2), we prove a cohomological version of the conductor formula [18, Theorem 2.2.3] (also of Bloch's conjecture on the conductor formula for constant sheaves [6]).

Theorem 1.14 (Theorem 6.4). *Let Y be a smooth connected curve over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let Λ be a Noetherian ring such that $m\Lambda = 0$ for some integer m which is prime to p . Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism over k and $y \in |Y|$ a closed point. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$. Assume that $f|_{X \setminus f^{-1}(y)}$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus f^{-1}(y)}$. Then we have*

$$(1.14.1) \quad f_* \tilde{C}_{X/Y/k}^{f^{-1}(y)}(\mathcal{F}) = -a_y(Rf_* \mathcal{F}) \quad \text{in} \quad \Lambda = H_y^0(Y, \mathcal{K}_{Y/k}).$$

In [18, Theorem 2.2.3], Saito assumes that f is projective since the global index formula for the characteristic cycle is only known for projective schemes. However, using the proper push-forward property for cohomological characteristic classes, we could get rid of this assumption in Theorem 1.14. This is one of the advantages by using cohomological argument.

Let us show how to use functorial properties to prove (1.14.1). Taking $\Delta = \Delta_{X/Y/k}^{f^{-1}(y)}$, $\Delta' = \Delta_{Y/Y/k}^{\{y\}}$ and $s = f$, we have $f_* C_\Delta(\mathcal{F}) = C_{\Delta'}(Rf_* \mathcal{F})$ by the proper push-forward (1.11.2). Since

$f|_{X \setminus f^{-1}(y)}$ is proper and universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus f^{-1}(y)}$, the cohomology sheaves of $Rf_*\mathcal{F}$ are locally constant on $Y \setminus \{y\}$. By the curve case of Theorem 1.5, we have $C_{\Delta'}(Rf_*\mathcal{F}) = -\dim \text{tot} R\Phi_y(Rf_*\mathcal{F}, \text{id}) = -a_y(Rf_*\mathcal{F})$. This proves (1.14.1).

1.15. We see that the cohomological Milnor formula follows from the pull-back property together with a simple fact that $C_{X/X/k}^{\{x\}}(\mathcal{G}_x) = \text{rank} \mathcal{G}_x$ for any smooth scheme X of dimension ≥ 1 and any constructible sheaf \mathcal{G}_x supported on a closed point $x \in |X|$. The cohomological conductor formula follows from the proper push-forward property. It would be interesting to see whether the non-acyclicity classes are characterized by Proposition 1.11 and the above formula for skyscraper constructible sheaves.

1.16. This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the definition of transversality conditions, which is crucial for defining the non-acyclicity classes. In Section 3, we review the construction of the relative characteristic class and its functorial properties. Then we prove (1.9.1) under the condition $Z = \emptyset$ in Theorem 3.13. In Section 4, we establish an induction formula for the cohomological characteristic classes and then prove the projective case of Saito's conjecture in Theorem 4.7. In Section 5, we construct the non-acyclicity classes, and formulate Conjecture 1.9. Then we study functorial properties of the non-acyclicity classes and prove Proposition 1.11. In Section 6, we show the cohomological Milnor formula (1.12.1) and deduce the cohomological conductor formula (1.14.1).

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Professor Takeshi Saito for his careful reading and many improvement suggestions and thank him for suggesting the terminology “non-acyclicity class”. The authors would like to thank Tomoyuki Abe for the helpful discussion on the cohomological Milnor formula (1.12.1), which is stated as a conjecture in a draft version of this paper. He kindly suggests the authors study the pull-back formula for non-acyclicity classes and then use a similar argument [3] to conclude. The authors thank Haoyu Hu and Fangzhou Jin for their comments. This work was partially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No.2021YFA1001400), NSFC Grant No.11901008 and NSFC Grant No.12271006.

Notation and Conventions.

- (1) Let S be a Noetherian scheme and Sch_S the category of separated schemes of finite type over S .
- (2) Let Λ be a Noetherian ring such that $m\Lambda = 0$ for some integer m invertible on S .
- (3) For any scheme $X \in \text{Sch}_S$, we denote by $D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$ the derived category of bounded complexes of Λ -modules with constructible cohomology groups on X .
- (4) For any morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in Sch_S , we denote by $D_c^b(X/Y, \Lambda) \subseteq D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$ the full subcategory generated by objects \mathcal{F} such that f is universally locally acyclic relatively to \mathcal{F} (also say \mathcal{F} is universally locally acyclic over Y). We define $K_0(X/Y, \Lambda)$ to be the Grothendieck group of $D_c^b(X/Y, \Lambda)$.
- (5) For any separated morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in Sch_S , we use the following notation

$$\mathcal{K}_{X/Y} = Rf^!\Lambda, \quad D_{X/Y}(-) = R\mathcal{H}om(-, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y}).$$

- (6) For $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{G} \in D_c^b(Y, \Lambda)$ on S -schemes X and Y respectively, $\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L \mathcal{G}$ denotes $\text{pr}_1^*\mathcal{F} \otimes^L \text{pr}_2^*\mathcal{G}$ on $X \times_S Y$.
- (7) To simplify our notation, we omit to write R or L to denote the derived functors unless otherwise stated explicitly or for $R\mathcal{H}om$.

2. TRANSVERSALITY CONDITION

2.1. In this section, we introduce a generalized version of the transversality condition studied in [16] and investigate its relation with universally locally acyclicity. This generalized version is crucial for defining the non-acyclicity classes. Consider the following cartesian diagram in $\text{Sch}_{\mathcal{S}}$:

$$(2.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{i} & Y \\ p \downarrow & \square & \downarrow f \\ W & \xrightarrow{\delta} & T. \end{array}$$

Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(Y, \Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{G} \in D_c^b(T, \Lambda)$. Recall that there is a base change morphism

$$(2.1.2) \quad p^* \delta^! \mathcal{G} \rightarrow i^! f^* \mathcal{G},$$

which is adjoint to $i_! p^* \delta^! \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\text{b.c.}} f^* \delta_! \delta^! \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\text{adj}} f^* \mathcal{G}$. We define a morphism $c_{\delta, f, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}}$ to be the composition

$$(2.1.3) \quad c_{\delta, f, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}} : i^* \mathcal{F} \otimes^L p^* \delta^! \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{(2.1.2)} i^* \mathcal{F} \otimes^L i^! f^* \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{(a)} i^! (\mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^* \mathcal{G}),$$

where (a) is adjoint to the following composition (cf. [16, (8.8)])

$$(2.1.4) \quad i_! (i^* \mathcal{F} \otimes^L i^! f^* \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\text{proj. formula}} \mathcal{F} \otimes^L i_! i^! f^* \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\text{adj}} \mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^* \mathcal{G}.$$

We also put $c_{\delta, f, \mathcal{F}} := c_{\delta, f, \mathcal{F}, \Lambda} : i^* \mathcal{F} \otimes^L p^* \delta^! \Lambda \rightarrow i^! \mathcal{F}$. If $c_{\delta, f, \mathcal{F}}$ is an isomorphism, then we say the cartesian diagram (2.1.1) is \mathcal{F} -transversal (and also say that the morphism δ is \mathcal{F} -transversal). In (2.1.1), if we take $f = \text{id}$, then we obtain Saito's definition [16, Definition 8.5]. The following proposition generalizes [16, Corollary 8.10].

Proposition 2.2. *Consider the cartesian diagram (2.1.1). Assume that δ is an immersion and that $f : Y \rightarrow T$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(Y, \Lambda)$. Then for any $\mathcal{G} \in D_c^b(T, \Lambda)$, $c_{\delta, f, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}}$ is an isomorphism. In particular, δ is \mathcal{F} -transversal.*

Proof. We may assume that δ is a closed immersion and put $V = T \setminus W$. Consider the following cartesian diagram

$$(2.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{i} & Y & \xleftarrow{j'} & U \\ p \downarrow & \square & \downarrow f & \square & \downarrow f_V \\ W & \xrightarrow{\delta} & T & \xleftarrow{j} & V. \end{array}$$

Since f is universally locally acyclic relatively to \mathcal{F} , f is also strongly locally acyclic relatively to \mathcal{F} . By [16, Proposition 8.9], we obtain an isomorphism $\mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^* j_* j^* \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\simeq} j'_* j'^* (\mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^* \mathcal{G})$. Note that $i_* (i^* \mathcal{F} \otimes^L p^* \delta^! \mathcal{G}) \simeq \mathcal{F} \otimes^L i_* p^* \delta^! \mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^* \delta_* \delta^! \mathcal{G}$. Now we consider the following diagram between distinguished triangles:

$$(2.2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} i_* i^! (\mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^* \mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^* \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & j'_* j'^* (\mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^* \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{+1} \\ \uparrow i_*(c_{\delta, f, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}}) & & \parallel & & \uparrow \simeq \\ i_* (i^* \mathcal{F} \otimes^L p^* \delta^! \mathcal{G}) & & & & \\ \uparrow \simeq & & & & \\ \mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^* \delta_* \delta^! \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^* \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^* j_* j^* \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{+1} \end{array}$$

The commutativity of the above diagram can be verified by using the proof of [19, Lemma 1.1.3]. Thus $i_*(c_{\delta, f, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}})$ is an isomorphism. Since i is a closed immersion, the morphism $c_{\delta, f, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}}$ is also an isomorphism. \square

The following proposition gives a converse of Proposition 2.2, which is not used in this paper.

Proposition 2.3 (Saito, [16, Proposition 8.11]). *Let $f : Y \rightarrow T$ be a morphism of schemes of finite type over a field k of characteristic p and let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(Y, \Lambda)$. The morphism f is locally acyclic relatively to \mathcal{F} if the following condition is satisfied:*

Let T' and W be smooth schemes over a finite extension of k , and

$$(2.3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{i} & Y' & \xrightarrow{b'} & Y \\ p \downarrow & \square & \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ W & \xrightarrow{\delta} & T' & \xrightarrow{b} & T. \end{array}$$

be a cartesian diagram of schemes where $b : T' \rightarrow T$ is proper and generically finite and $\delta : W \rightarrow T'$ is a closed immersion. Then δ is $b^\mathcal{F}$ -transversal.*

2.4. For any separated morphism $g : Y \rightarrow S$, consider the following diagram

$$(2.4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\delta} & Y \times_S Y & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & Y \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \text{pr}_2 & & \downarrow g \\ & & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & S. \end{array}$$

There is a canonical morphism

$$(2.4.2) \quad \mathcal{K}_{Y/S} \otimes^L \delta^! \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda,$$

which is defined to be the following composition:

$$(2.4.3) \quad \mathcal{K}_{Y/S} \otimes^L \delta^! \Lambda = \delta^* \text{pr}_1^* g^! \Lambda \otimes \delta^! \Lambda \xrightarrow{c_{\delta, \text{id}, \text{pr}_1^* g^! \Lambda}} \delta^! (\text{pr}_1^* g^! \Lambda) \rightarrow \delta^! (\text{pr}_2^* g^! \Lambda) = \Lambda.$$

If g is a smooth morphism, then $\mathcal{K}_{Y/S} \otimes^L \delta^! \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ is an isomorphism.

Proposition 2.5. *Consider a commutative diagram in Sch_S :*

$$(2.5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \searrow h & \swarrow g \\ & & S \end{array}$$

Let $i : X \times_Y X \rightarrow X \times_S X$ be the base change of the diagonal morphism $\delta : Y \rightarrow Y \times_S Y$:

$$(2.5.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & X \\ & & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \delta_0 \\ f \curvearrowleft & & X \times_Y X & \xrightarrow{i} & X \times_S X \\ & & \downarrow p & \square & \downarrow f \times f \\ & & Y & \xrightarrow{\delta} & Y \times_S Y. \end{array}$$

where δ_0 is the diagonal morphism. Assume that $\mathcal{K}_{Y/S} = g^! \Lambda$ is locally constant and that $\mathcal{K}_{Y/S} \otimes^L \delta^! \Lambda \simeq \Lambda$ (cf. (2.4.2)). Then we have

(1) The morphism f is $\mathcal{K}_{Y/S}$ -transversal, i.e., $\mathcal{K}_{X/Y} \otimes^L f^* \mathcal{K}_{Y/S} = f^! \Lambda \otimes^L f^* \mathcal{K}_{Y/S} \xrightarrow{\cong} f^! \mathcal{K}_{Y/S} = \mathcal{K}_{X/S}$ is an isomorphism.

(2) The composition

$$\mathcal{K}_{X/S} \otimes^L f^* \delta^! \Lambda = f^! \mathcal{K}_{Y/S} \otimes^L f^* \delta^! \Lambda \xrightarrow[\simeq]{c_{f, \text{id}, \delta^! \Lambda, \mathcal{K}_{Y/S}}} f^! (\mathcal{K}_{Y/S} \otimes^L \delta^! \Lambda) \simeq f^! \Lambda = \mathcal{K}_{X/Y}$$

is an isomorphism.

(3) If $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X/Y, \Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{G} \in D_c^b(X/Y, \Lambda)$, then δ is $\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{G})$ -transversal, i.e.,

$$(2.5.3) \quad \mathcal{F} \boxtimes_Y^L D_{X/Y}(\mathcal{G}) \stackrel{(a)}{\simeq} i^* (\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{G})) \otimes^L p^* \delta^! \Lambda \rightarrow i^! (\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{G}))$$

is an isomorphism, where (a) is induced by the following composition:

$$(2.5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{X/Y}(\mathcal{G}) &= R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y}) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{(2)} R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \otimes f^* \delta^! \Lambda) \\ &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}) \otimes f^* \delta^! \Lambda = D_{X/S}(\mathcal{G}) \otimes^L f^* \delta^! \Lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{K}_{Y/S}$ is locally constant, the assertion (1) follows from [16, Proposition 8.6.2]. The second claim follows from (1) and $\delta^! \Lambda \otimes^L \mathcal{K}_{Y/S} \simeq \Lambda$. Now we prove (3). Since $\mathcal{G} \in D_c^b(X/Y, \Lambda)$, we have $D_{X/Y}(\mathcal{G}) \in D_c^b(X/Y, \Lambda)$ by [14, Corollary 2.18]. Since $D_{X/S}(\mathcal{G}) \otimes^L f^* \delta^! \Lambda \stackrel{(2.5.4)}{\simeq} D_{X/Y}(\mathcal{G})$ is an isomorphism and $f^* \delta^! \Lambda$ is locally constant, we have $D_{X/S}(\mathcal{G}) \in D_c^b(X/Y, \Lambda)$. By [12, Corollary 2.5], $f \times f : X \times_S Y \rightarrow Y \times_S Y$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{G})$. By Proposition 2.2, δ is $\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{G})$ -transversal. \square

2.6. Let us show that the morphism induced by $c_{\delta, f, \mathcal{F}}$ on cohomology groups is related to the Gysin map. Before that, let us briefly recall the cycle class defined by a regular immersion. Let $\eta : P \hookrightarrow Q$ be a closed immersion in Sch_S , which is a local complete intersection of pure codimension c . By [9, Definition 1.1.2] and [11, Exposé XVI, Définition 2.3.1], there is a cycle class $\text{Cl}_\eta \in H_P^{2c}(Q, \Lambda(c))$ which refines the c -th Chern class $c_c(\mathcal{N}_{P/Q}^\vee) \in H^{2c}(Q, \Lambda(c))$, where $\mathcal{N}_{P/Q}$ is the conormal sheaf associated to the closed immersion η . We view the cycle class Cl_η as a morphism $\text{Cl}_\eta : \Lambda \rightarrow \eta^! \Lambda(c)[2c]$.

2.7. Consider a cartesian diagram in Sch_S

$$(2.7.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xlongequal{\quad} & X \\ f \downarrow & \square & \downarrow s \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\delta} & E, \end{array}$$

where $\delta : Y \rightarrow E$ is a section of a smooth morphism $E \rightarrow Y$ of relative dimension r . For any $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$, the map $c_{\delta, s, \mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^* \delta^! \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ induces a morphism

$$(2.7.2) \quad \delta^! : H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^* \delta^! \Lambda) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}).$$

We view the top Chern class $c_r(s^* \Omega_{E/Y}^{1, \vee})$ as an element of $H^{2r}(X, \Lambda(r))$ (cf. [11, Exposé XVI, Théorème 1.3]). The cup product with this element induces a map

$$(2.7.3) \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^* \delta^! \Lambda) \xrightarrow{c_r(s^* \Omega_{E/Y}^{1, \vee})} H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^* \delta^! \Lambda(r)[2r]) \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{F}).$$

Lemma 2.8. Consider the notation and assumptions in 2.7. The two maps (2.7.2) and (2.7.3) are the same, i.e.,

$$(2.8.1) \quad \delta^! = c_r(s^* \Omega_{E/Y}^{1, \vee}) : H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^* \delta^! \Lambda) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}).$$

Proof. Note that the excess normal sheaf of the cartesian diagram (2.7.1) equals to $f^*\delta^*\Omega_{E/Y}^{1,\vee} = s^*\Omega_{E/Y}^{1,\vee}$. By [11, Exposé XVI, Proposition 2.3.2], the image of $\text{Cl}_\delta : \Lambda \rightarrow \delta^!\Lambda(r)[2r]$ under the map

$$(2.8.2) \quad H_Y^{2r}(E, \Lambda(r)) \xrightarrow{f^*} H_X^{2r}(X, \Lambda(r)) = H^{2r}(X, \Lambda(r))$$

equals to $c_r(s^*\Omega_{E/Y}^{1,\vee}) \in H^{2r}(X, \Lambda(r))$. By definition of (2.8.2), the class $c_r(s^*\Omega_{E/Y}^{1,\vee})$ equals to the composition

$$(2.8.3) \quad \Lambda = f^*\Lambda \xrightarrow[\simeq]{f^*\text{Cl}_\delta} f^*\delta^!\Lambda(r)[2r] \xrightarrow{b} s^*\Lambda(r)[2r] = \Lambda(r)[2r],$$

where b is the base change morphism induced by the cartesian diagram (2.7.1). For any $\alpha \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^*\delta^!\Lambda)$, we write it as $\alpha : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^*\delta^!\Lambda$. Now by definition, $c_r(s^*\Omega_{E/Y}^{1,\vee}) \cap \alpha$ equals to²

$$(2.8.4) \quad \Lambda \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes f^*\text{Cl}_\delta} (\mathcal{F} \otimes f^*\delta^!\Lambda) \otimes^L f^*\delta^!\Lambda(r)[2r] \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes b} (\mathcal{F} \otimes f^*\delta^!\Lambda) \otimes^L s^*\Lambda(r)[2r] \simeq \mathcal{F}.$$

Now we calculate $\delta^!(\alpha)$. By definition, the morphism $c_{\delta,s,\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^*\delta^!\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is defined to be

$$(2.8.5) \quad \mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^*\delta^!\Lambda \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes b} \mathcal{F} \otimes^L s^*\Lambda = \mathcal{F}.$$

Hence $\delta^!(\alpha)$ is the composition $\Lambda \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^*\delta^!\Lambda \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes b} \mathcal{F}$. Compared with (2.8.4), we get $\delta^!(\alpha) = c_r(f^*\Omega_{E/Y}^{1,\vee}) \cap \alpha$, which finishes the proof. \square

2.9. Consider the notation in 2.1. If δ is a closed immersion, we can imitate the constructions of [1, 5.1] and [20, Lemma 3.5] to define a functor $\delta^\Delta : D_c^b(Y, \Lambda) \rightarrow D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$ such that for any $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(Y, \Lambda)$, we have a distinguished triangle

$$(2.9.1) \quad i^*\mathcal{F} \otimes^L p^*\delta^!\Lambda \xrightarrow{c_{\delta,f,\mathcal{F}}} i^!\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \delta^\Delta \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{+1}$$

Indeed, we put

$$(2.9.2) \quad \delta^\Delta \mathcal{F} := i^!(\mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^*j_*\Lambda),$$

where $j : T \setminus W \rightarrow T$ is the open immersion. Applying $i^!(\mathcal{F} \otimes^L -)$ to the following distinguished triangle

$$(2.9.3) \quad f^*\delta_*\delta^!\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow f^*j_*\Lambda \xrightarrow{+1},$$

we get the required distinguished triangle (2.9.1) by the following isomorphisms

$$i^!(\mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^*\delta_*\delta^!\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\text{b.c.}} i^!(\mathcal{F} \otimes^L i_*p^*\delta^!\Lambda) \simeq i^!i_*(i^*\mathcal{F} \otimes^L p^*\delta^!\Lambda) \simeq i^*\mathcal{F} \otimes^L p^*\delta^!\Lambda.$$

Consider the cartesian diagram (2.2.1). There is a canonical morphism

$$(2.9.4) \quad \delta^\Delta \mathcal{F} = i^!(\mathcal{F} \otimes^L f^*j_*\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\text{b.c.}} i^!(\mathcal{F} \otimes^L j'_*f_V^*\Lambda) = i^\Delta \mathcal{F},$$

which induces a commutative diagram

$$(2.9.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} i^*\mathcal{F} \otimes^L p^*\delta^!\Lambda & \xrightarrow{c_{\delta,f,\mathcal{F}}} & i^!\mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \delta^\Delta \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{+} \\ \text{(2.1.2)} \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \text{(2.9.4)} \\ i^*\mathcal{F} \otimes^L i^!\Lambda & \xrightarrow{c_{i,\text{id},\mathcal{F}}} & i^!\mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & i^\Delta \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{+} \end{array}$$

For a general δ , one could use ∞ -enhancement of the étale cohomology theory and define δ^Δ to be the cofiber of $i^*(-) \otimes^L p^*\delta^!\Lambda \rightarrow i^!(-)$. Then $\delta^\Delta : D_c^b(Y, \Lambda) \rightarrow D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$ is a functor fitting in the

²For the sign issue of the cup-product, we refer to [11, Exposé XVI, 4.5.3].

distinguished triangle (2.9.1). In this paper, we essentially only need the case where δ is a closed immersion.

Lemma 2.10. *Consider the cartesian diagram (2.1.1). For any $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(Y, \Lambda)$, the morphism δ is \mathcal{F} -transversal if and only if the complex $\delta^\Delta \mathcal{F}$ is acyclic on X .*

Proof. It follows from the definition of \mathcal{F} -transversal and the distinguished triangle (2.9.1). \square

3. COHOMOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTIC CLASS

3.1. In this section, we summarize the construction and functorial properties of relative cohomological characteristic classes. Recall that a *correspondence* over S is a pair of morphisms $X \xleftarrow{c_1} C \xrightarrow{c_2} Y$ over S (or equivalently, a morphism $c = (c_1, c_2) : C \rightarrow X \times_S Y$). For such c , we define P to be the pull-back of C along the diagonal map $\delta : X \rightarrow X \times_S X$:

$$(3.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{i} & C \\ p \downarrow & \square & \downarrow c \\ X & \xrightarrow{\delta} & X \times_S X. \end{array}$$

For any $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X/S, \Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{G} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$, we have canonical isomorphisms

$$(3.1.2) \quad \mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{(a)} R\mathcal{H}om(\mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{G}, \mathrm{pr}_1^! \mathcal{F})$$

$$(3.1.3) \quad c^!(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{G})) \xrightarrow{\simeq} c^! R\mathcal{H}om(\mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{G}, \mathrm{pr}_1^! \mathcal{F}) \xleftarrow[\simeq]{(b)} R\mathcal{H}om(c_2^* \mathcal{G}, c_1^! \mathcal{F}),$$

where the isomorphism (a) follows from [14, Proposition 2.5](see also [22, Corollary 3.1.5]) and the isomorphism (b) follows from [4, XVIII, 3.1.12.2]. Combining with the canonical map

$$(3.1.4) \quad c^!(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \rightarrow \delta_* \delta^*} c^! \delta_* (\mathcal{F} \otimes^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{evaluation}} c^! \delta_* \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\mathrm{b.c.}} i_* \mathcal{K}_{P/S},$$

we obtain

$$(3.1.5) \quad R\mathcal{H}om(c_2^* \mathcal{F}, c_1^! \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow i_* \mathcal{K}_{P/S},$$

$$(3.1.6) \quad \mathrm{Tr} : \mathrm{Hom}(c_2^* \mathcal{F}, c_1^! \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda_C, i_* \mathcal{K}_{P/S}) = H^0(P, \mathcal{K}_{P/S}).$$

In particular, taking the special correspondence $C = X$ and $c = \delta$, we have canonical morphisms

$$(3.1.7) \quad R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X/S},$$

$$(3.1.8) \quad \mathrm{Tr} : \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}).$$

The *relative (cohomological) characteristic class* of \mathcal{F} is defined to be (cf. [22, Definition 3.6] and [14, 2.20])

$$(3.1.9) \quad C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) := \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}}) \quad \text{in} \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}).$$

The map $\mathcal{F} \mapsto C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})$ defines a group homomorphism

$$(3.1.10) \quad C_{X/S} : K_0(X/S, \Lambda) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}).$$

Note that if S is the spectrum of a field k , then $C_{X/k}(\mathcal{F})$ is the characteristic class introduced by Abbes and Saito (cf. [1, Definition 2.1.1]). The following lemma computes the characteristic classes of locally constant sheaves.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a connected scheme in Sch_S and \mathcal{L} a locally constant constructible sheaf of Λ -modules on X . Then for any $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X/S, \Lambda)$, we have*

$$(3.2.1) \quad C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}) = \text{rank} \mathcal{L} \cdot C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}).$$

In particular, $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{L}) = \text{rank} \mathcal{L} \cdot C_{X/S}(\Lambda)$.

Proof. In the following, we put $\mathcal{L}^\vee = R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda) = D_{X/X}(\mathcal{L})$. By definition, the class $C_{X/X}(\mathcal{L}) = \text{rank} \mathcal{L} \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/X}) = \Lambda$ is the composition

$$(3.2.2) \quad \Lambda \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{L}}} R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) \simeq \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \Lambda,$$

where ev is the evaluation map. We have a commutative diagram

$$(3.2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}}} & R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{(3.1.3) \& (3.1.4)} & (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \otimes^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \parallel \\ & & R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee & \xrightarrow{(3.1.3) \& (3.1.4)} & \mathcal{F} \otimes^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee & \xrightarrow{\text{ev} \otimes \text{ev}} & \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \otimes \Lambda \\ & & \uparrow \text{id} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{L}} & & \uparrow \text{id} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{L}} & & \parallel \\ \Lambda & \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{F}}} & R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{(3.1.3) \& (3.1.4)} & \mathcal{F} \otimes^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev} \otimes C_{X/X}(\mathcal{L})} & \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \otimes \Lambda. \end{array}$$

The composition of the first row is $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L})$, while the composition of the bottom row is $\text{rank} \mathcal{L} \cdot C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})$. Thus $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}) = \text{rank} \mathcal{L} \cdot C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})$, which finishes the proof. \square

We could also define relative characteristic classes in a more general case that only assuming locally acyclicity away from small closed subsets. We first note the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $X \in \text{Sch}_S$ and $Z \subseteq X$ a closed subscheme. Let $U = X \setminus Z$. Assume that $H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/S}) = 0$ and $H^1(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/S}) = 0$. Then we have*

$$(3.3.1) \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}) \simeq H^0(U, \mathcal{K}_{U/S}).$$

Proof. Let $\tau : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be the closed immersion and $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ the open immersion. The assertion follows from the following long exact sequence

$$(3.3.2) \quad H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/S}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}) \rightarrow H^0(U, \mathcal{K}_{U/S}) \rightarrow H^1(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/S}),$$

which is induced from the distinguished triangle $\tau_* \mathcal{K}_{Z/S} = \tau_* \tau^! \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \rightarrow j_* \mathcal{K}_{U/S} \xrightarrow{+1}$. \square

Remark 3.4. If X and S are smooth schemes over a field and that $X \rightarrow S$ is of relative dimension r . If $Z \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed immersion of codimension $> r$, then we have $H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/S}) = 0$ and $H^1(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/S}) = 0$ by semi-purity (cf. [9, §8]).

Definition 3.5. Under the assumptions in Lemma 3.3. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$ such that $\mathcal{F}|_U \in D_c^b(U/S, \Lambda)$. We define the relative (cohomological) characteristic class of \mathcal{F} to be

$$(3.5.1) \quad C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) := C_{U/S}(\mathcal{F}|_U) \quad \text{in} \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}).$$

3.6. For any $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X/S, \Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{G} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$, we call elements of $\text{Hom}(c_2^* \mathcal{G}, c_1^! \mathcal{F})$ *cohomological correspondences* from \mathcal{G} to \mathcal{F} . By the isomorphism (3.1.3), a cohomological correspondence $u : c_2^* \mathcal{G} \rightarrow c_1^! \mathcal{F}$ is equivalent to a map $\Lambda \rightarrow c^1 R\mathcal{H}om(\text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{G}, \text{pr}_1^! \mathcal{F})$ (resp. $\Lambda \rightarrow c^1(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}))$). By adjunction, this is also equivalent to a map $c_1 \Lambda \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om(\text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{G}, \text{pr}_1^! \mathcal{F})$ (resp. $c_1 \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})$). In the following, we will identify these descriptions freely.

3.7. We give an equivalent description of the relative (cohomological) characteristic classes. Let $X \in \text{Sch}_S$ and $\delta : X \rightarrow X \times_S X$ the diagonal morphism. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X/S, \Lambda)$. The canonical isomorphism

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{X \times_S X}(\text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{F}, \text{pr}_1^! \mathcal{F}) \xleftarrow{\cong} \mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}).$$

induces an isomorphism

$$\delta^* R\mathcal{H}om_{X \times_S X}(\text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{F}, \text{pr}_1^! \mathcal{F}) \xleftarrow{\cong} \mathcal{F} \otimes^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Thus the evaluation map $\mathcal{F} \otimes^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X/S}$ induces a map

$$(3.7.1) \quad v_{\mathcal{F}} : \delta^* R\mathcal{H}om_{X \times_S X}(\text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{F}, \text{pr}_1^! \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X/S}.$$

Let $u : \delta_! \Lambda \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om(\text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{F}, \text{pr}_1^! \mathcal{F})$ be a cohomological correspondence. Then $\text{Tr}(u) \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S})$ equals the cohomological class of the following composition

$$(3.7.2) \quad \Lambda = \delta^* \delta_! \Lambda \xrightarrow{\delta^*(u)} \delta^* R\mathcal{H}om_{X \times_S X}(\text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{F}, \text{pr}_1^! \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{v_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{K}_{X/S}.$$

We also write the class $\text{Tr}(u)$ in the form

$$(3.7.3) \quad \delta_! \Lambda \xrightarrow{u} R\mathcal{H}om_{X \times_S X}(\text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{F}, \text{pr}_1^! \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{v_{\mathcal{F}}} \delta_* \mathcal{K}_{X/S}.$$

Taking $u = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}}$ to be the cohomological correspondence defined by the identity of \mathcal{F} , we get that $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Tr}(\text{id}_{\mathcal{F}})$ equals the cohomological class of the composition

$$(3.7.4) \quad \delta_! \Lambda \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{F}}} R\mathcal{H}om_{X \times_S X}(\text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{F}, \text{pr}_1^! \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{v_{\mathcal{F}}} \delta_* \mathcal{K}_{X/S}.$$

3.8. Now we study the pull-back property of the relative characteristic classes. Consider a cartesian diagram of schemes

$$(3.8.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{b_X} & X \\ f' \downarrow & \square & \downarrow f \\ S' & \xrightarrow{b} & S, \end{array}$$

where f is a separated morphism of finite type, and $b : S' \rightarrow S$ is a morphism between Noetherian schemes. For any $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X/S, \Lambda)$, the object $b_X^* \mathcal{F}$ on X' is also universally locally acyclic over S' . Thus we have $b_X^* \mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X'/S', \Lambda)$. This shows that we have well-defined maps

$$(3.8.2) \quad b_X^* : D_c^b(X/S, \Lambda) \rightarrow D_c^b(X'/S', \Lambda),$$

$$(3.8.3) \quad b_X^* : K_0(X/S, \Lambda) \rightarrow K_0(X'/S', \Lambda).$$

Note that the following base change morphism

$$(3.8.4) \quad b_X^* \mathcal{K}_{X/S} = b_X^* f^! \Lambda \xrightarrow{\text{b.c.}} f'^! b^* \Lambda = \mathcal{K}_{X'/S'}$$

induces a pull-back morphism on cohomology groups

$$(3.8.5) \quad b_X^* : H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}) \rightarrow H^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/S'}).$$

Proposition 3.9. *Given the cartesian diagram (3.8.1), the following diagram commutes*

$$(3.9.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_0(X/S, \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{C_{X/S}} & H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}) \\ b_X^* \downarrow (3.8.3) & & b_X^* \downarrow (3.8.5) \\ K_0(X'/S', \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{C_{X'/S'}} & H^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/S'}). \end{array}$$

In a previous version of this paper, Proposition 3.9 is proved by verifying certain commutative diagrams. Weizhe Zheng remarked that the categorical method in [14] could also be used here in an email to the authors and Tomoyuki Abe.

Proof. For a proof of the proposition, we refer to [3, 1.3]. Here we only give a sketch. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X/S, \Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{F}' = b_X^* \mathcal{F}$. Consider the following cartesian diagram

$$(3.9.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{b_X} & X \\ \delta' \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta \\ X' \times_{S'} X' & \xrightarrow{b_X \times b_X} & X \times_S X, \end{array}$$

where δ and δ' are the diagonal morphisms. The characteristic class $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})$ is given by (3.7.4). Similar for $C_{X'/S'}(\mathcal{F}')$. There is a commutative diagram

$$(3.9.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \delta'^*(\mathcal{F}' \boxtimes_{S'}^L D_{X'/S'}(\mathcal{F}')) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{F}' \otimes^L D_{X'/S'}(\mathcal{F}') & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & \mathcal{K}_{X'/S'} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow (a) & & & & \uparrow (3.8.4) \\ b_X^* \Lambda & \longrightarrow & b_X^* \delta^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & b_X^*(\mathcal{F} \otimes^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & b_X^* \mathcal{K}_{X/S}. \end{array}$$

where (a) is given by

$$(3.9.4) \quad b_X^* \delta^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) \xrightarrow{\cong} \delta'^*(b_X \times b_X)^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) \xrightarrow{\text{b.c.}} \delta'^*(\mathcal{F}' \boxtimes_{S'}^L D_{X'/S'}(\mathcal{F}')).$$

By the above commutative diagram (3.9.3), we get $b_X^* C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) = C_{X'/S'}(b_X^* \mathcal{F})$. \square

3.10. Now we recall the proper push-forward property of the relative characteristic classes. Let $s : X \rightarrow X'$ be a proper morphism in Sch_S . For any $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X/S, \Lambda)$, then $s_* \mathcal{F}$ is universally locally acyclic over S . Thus we have well-defined maps

$$(3.10.1) \quad s_* : D_c^b(X/S, \Lambda) \rightarrow D_c^b(X'/S, \Lambda),$$

$$(3.10.2) \quad s_* : K_0(X/S, \Lambda) \rightarrow K_0(X'/S, \Lambda).$$

Proposition 3.11. *If $s : X \rightarrow X'$ is a proper morphism in Sch_S , then the following diagram commutes*

$$(3.11.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_0(X/S, \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{C_{X/S}} & H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}) \\ s_* \downarrow & & \downarrow s_* \\ K_0(X'/S, \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{C_{X'/S}} & H^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/S}), \end{array}$$

where $s_* : H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}) \rightarrow H^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/S})$ is induced by $s_* \mathcal{K}_{X/S} = s_! s^! \mathcal{K}_{X'/S} \xrightarrow{\text{adj}} \mathcal{K}_{X'/S}$.

Proof. For a proof of the proposition, we refer to [14, Corollary 2.22] (see also [22, Corollary 3.3.4]). Here we only sketch the main steps. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X/S, \Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{F}' = s_* \mathcal{F}$. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$(3.11.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & s & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\delta_0} & X \times_{X'} X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X' \\ & \searrow \delta & \downarrow \beta & \square & \downarrow \delta' \\ & & X \times_S X & \xrightarrow{s \times s} & X' \times_S X', \end{array}$$

where δ , δ_0 and δ' are the diagonal morphisms. There is a commutative diagram

$$(3.11.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \delta'^*(\mathcal{F}' \boxtimes_S^L D_{X'/S}(\mathcal{F}')) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{F}' \otimes^L D_{X'/S}(\mathcal{F}') & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & \mathcal{K}_{X'/S} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow (a) & & & & \uparrow \\ s_*\Lambda & \longrightarrow & s_*\delta^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & s_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & s_*\mathcal{K}_{X/S} \end{array}$$

where (a) is given by

$$(3.11.4) \quad \begin{aligned} s_*\delta^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) &\simeq \alpha_*\delta_{0*}\delta_0^*\beta^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) \xrightarrow{\text{adj}} \alpha_*\beta^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) \\ &\xrightarrow[\simeq]{\text{proper b.c.}} \delta'^*(s \times s)_*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow \delta'^*(\mathcal{F}' \boxtimes_S^L D_{X'/S}(\mathcal{F}')). \end{aligned}$$

By the commutativity of (3.11.3) and an equivalent description (3.7.4) of the characteristic classes, we get $s_*C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) = C_{X'/S}(s_*\mathcal{F})$. \square

3.12. Now we prove Conjecture 1.9 under the condition $Z = \emptyset$. Consider a commutative diagram in Sch_S :

$$(3.12.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \searrow h & \swarrow g \\ & & S \end{array}$$

Assume that $\mathcal{K}_{Y/S} = g^!\Lambda$ is locally constant and that $\mathcal{K}_{Y/S} \otimes^L \delta^!\Lambda \simeq \Lambda$ (cf. (2.4.2)). Consider the commutative diagram (2.5.2). Applying (2.9.1) to $\mathcal{K}_{X/S}$ and $\delta_{0*}\mathcal{K}_{X/S} = i_*\delta_{1*}\mathcal{K}_{X/S}$, we get a commutative diagram

$$(3.12.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{K}_{X/Y} & \xleftarrow[\simeq]{\text{Prop.2.5.(2)}} & \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \otimes^L f^*\delta^!\Lambda & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \\ & & \uparrow \simeq & & \uparrow \simeq \\ & & \delta_1^*(i^*\delta_{0*}\mathcal{K}_{X/S} \otimes p^*\delta^!\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \delta_1^*i^!\delta_{0*}\mathcal{K}_{X/S}. \end{array}$$

When $g : Y \rightarrow S$ is a smooth morphism, for any $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X/Y, \Lambda)$, we have $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X/S, \Lambda)$ by [7, Th.finitude, Lemme 2.14]. In this case, there is a canonical homomorphism

$$(3.12.3) \quad \begin{aligned} K_0(X/Y, \Lambda) &\rightarrow K_0(X/S, \Lambda). \\ \mathcal{F} &\mapsto \mathcal{F} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.13 (Fibration formula: transversal case). *Consider the notation and assumptions in 3.12. Let*

$$(3.13.1) \quad \delta^! : H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}).$$

be the homomorphism induced by the morphism (3.12.2). Then we have

$$(3.13.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}} & H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y}) \\ \parallel & & \delta^! \downarrow (3.13.1) \\ \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}} & H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}). \end{array}$$

If $g : Y \rightarrow S$ is smooth of relative dimension r , then the following diagram commutes

$$(3.13.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_0(X/Y, \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{C_{X/Y}} & H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y}) \\ (3.12.3) \downarrow & & \downarrow c_r(f^* \Omega_{Y/S}^{1, \vee}) \\ K_0(X/S, \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{C_{X/S}} & H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}). \end{array}$$

If $X = Y$ is smooth and connected, then any $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X/X, \Lambda)$ has locally constant cohomology sheaves and $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{rank} \mathcal{F} \cdot c_r(\Omega_{X/S}^{1, \vee})$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$ such that $X \rightarrow Y$ and $X \rightarrow S$ are universally locally acyclic relatively to \mathcal{F} . For any $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ which is given by a morphism $\Lambda \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$, the trace $C_{X/S}(\alpha) := \text{Tr}(\alpha) \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S})$ is given by the composition (cf. (3.7.2))

$$(3.13.4) \quad \Lambda \xrightarrow{\delta_0^*(\alpha)} \delta_0^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S} \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{v_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{K}_{X/S}.$$

The trace $C_{X/Y}(\alpha) := \text{Tr}(\alpha) \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y})$ is given by the composition

$$(3.13.5) \quad \Lambda \xrightarrow{\delta_1^*(\alpha)} \delta_1^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_Y^L D_{X/S} \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{v_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{K}_{X/Y}.$$

Applying $i^*(-) \otimes^L p^* \delta^! \Lambda \rightarrow i^!(-)$ to $\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{v_{\mathcal{F}}} \delta_{0*} \mathcal{K}_{X/S}$, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \delta_1^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_Y^L D_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F})) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \delta_1^*(i^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) \otimes p^* \delta^! \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & \delta_1^*(i^* \delta_{0*} \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \otimes^L p^* \delta^! \Lambda) \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X/Y} \\ \delta_1^*(\alpha) \nearrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow (3.12.2) \\ \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\delta_0^*(\alpha)} & \delta_0^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) & \xleftarrow[\text{b.c.}]{(5.2.1)} & \delta_1^* i^! (\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & \delta_1^* i^! \delta_{0*} \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \\ & & & \searrow & \text{ev} \nearrow & & \end{array}$$

where the middle vertical morphism is an isomorphism by Proposition 2.5.(3). Thus $C_{X/S}(\alpha) = \delta^!(C_{X/Y}(\alpha))$. The commutativity of the diagram (3.13.3) follows from Lemma 2.8 and (3.13.2). This finishes the proof. \square

For later convenience, we note the following blow-up formula for characteristic classes.

Lemma 3.14. *Let X and Y be smooth connected schemes over a perfect field k and let $i : Y \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed immersion of codimension $r \geq 1$. Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of X along Y and $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$. Then we have:*

$$(3.14.1) \quad \pi_*(C_{\tilde{X}/k}(\pi^* \mathcal{F})) = C_{X/k}(\mathcal{F}) + (r-1) \cdot i_*(C_{Y/k}(i^* \mathcal{F})) \quad \text{in } H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/k}),$$

$$(3.14.2) \quad \pi_*(cc_{\tilde{X}}(\pi^* \mathcal{F})) = cc_X(\mathcal{F}) + (r-1) \cdot i_*(cc_Y(i^* \mathcal{F})) \quad \text{in } CH_0(X),$$

where $cc_X : K_0(X, \Lambda) \rightarrow CH_0(X)$ is the morphism defined in [16, Definition 6.7.2] via the characteristic cycles of constructible étale sheaves.

In this paper, we only need the case where $r = 2$.

Proof. The equality (3.14.2) follows from [21, Lemma 3.3.2 and Remark 3.3.3]. We prove (3.14.1). By [11, Exposé XVI, Proposition 2.2.2.1], we have an isomorphism in $D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$:

$$(3.14.3) \quad R\pi_* \Lambda \simeq \Lambda \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{r-1} (i_* \Lambda)(-t)[-2t].$$

By the projection formula, we have

$$(3.14.4) \quad R\pi_*\Lambda \otimes^L \mathcal{F} \simeq R\pi_*(\Lambda \otimes^L \pi^*\mathcal{F}) = R\pi_*\pi^*\mathcal{F} \quad \text{and} \quad i_*\Lambda \otimes^L \mathcal{F} \simeq i_*i^*\mathcal{F}.$$

By (3.14.3) and (3.14.4), we get

$$(3.14.5) \quad R\pi_*\pi^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{r-1} (i_*i^*\mathcal{F})(-t)[-2t].$$

Now the required formula (3.14.1) follows from Lemma 3.2, Proposition 3.11 and (3.14.5). \square

4. COMPARISON OF CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES

4.1. In this section, we prove the projective case of Saito's conjecture 1.2. Consider a commutative diagram in Sch_S :

$$(4.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\tau} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & & & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & & & & S \end{array},$$

where $\tau : Z \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion. Assume that $\mathcal{K}_{Y/S} = g^!\Lambda$ is locally constant and that $\mathcal{K}_{Y/S} \otimes^L \delta^!\Lambda \simeq \Lambda$ (cf. (2.4.2)). Under these assumptions, we have the morphism $\delta^! : H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S})$ (cf. (3.13.1)). Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$ such that $X \setminus Z \rightarrow Y$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus Z}$ and that $h : X \rightarrow S$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to \mathcal{F} . Assume moreover that $H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/Y}) = 0$ and $H^1(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/Y}) = 0$. Then $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})$ and $C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F})$ are well-defined by Definition 3.5.

4.2. Let $U = X \setminus Z$. We have an exact sequence

$$(4.2.1) \quad H^{-1}(U, \mathcal{K}_{U/S}) \rightarrow H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/S}) \xrightarrow{\tau^*} H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}) \rightarrow H^0(U, \mathcal{K}_{U/S}).$$

By Theorem 3.13, $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) - \delta^!(C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F}))$ is zero in $H^0(U, \mathcal{K}_{U/S})$. Thus $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) - \delta^!(C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F})) \in \text{Im}(\tau_*)$. We define

$$(4.2.2) \quad T_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) := C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) - \delta^!(C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F})) \quad \text{in} \quad \text{Im}(H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/S}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S})).$$

In Section 5, we define the non-acyclicity class $C_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{X/S})$, which is expected to be a canonical lifting of $T_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F})$. If Z is a finite set of closed points, the class $T_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F})$ can be calculated as follows.

Theorem 4.3. *Let Y be a smooth curve over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let Λ be a Noetherian ring such that $m\Lambda = 0$ for some integer m which is prime to p . Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a separated morphism of finite type and $Z \subseteq |X|$ be a finite set of closed points. Let $U = X \setminus Z$. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$ such that $f|_U$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}|_U$. Then we have*

$$(4.3.1) \quad T_{X/Y/k}^Z(\mathcal{F}) = - \sum_{x \in Z} \text{dimtot} R\Phi_{\bar{x}}(\mathcal{F}, f) \cdot [x] \quad \text{in} \quad \text{Im}(H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/k}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/k})),$$

where $R\Phi(\mathcal{F}, f)$ is the complex of vanishing cycles. In particular, we get (cf. (4.2.2))

$$(4.3.2) \quad C_{X/k}(\mathcal{F}) = c_1(f^*\Omega_{Y/k}^{1,\vee}) \cap C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F}) - \sum_{x \in Z} \text{dimtot} R\Phi_{\bar{x}}(\mathcal{F}, f) \cdot [x] \quad \text{in} \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/k}).$$

Proof. Here we use the strategy in [3, Theorem 1.6]. Since cohomological characteristic classes are compatible with étale pull-backs, we may assume $Y = \mathbb{A}_k^1$ by étale descent. Let $\text{pr}'_2 : X \times \mathbb{P}'_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}'_t$ be the second projection, where t' denotes the coordinate. Let $f t' : X \times \mathbb{A}'_t \xrightarrow{f \times \text{id}} Y \times \mathbb{A}'_t \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_t \times \mathbb{A}'_t \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbb{A}^1$, where μ is the multiplication morphism. We choose a non-trivial additive character $\psi : \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \Lambda^*$, and let \mathcal{L} be the Artin-Schreier sheaf on \mathbb{A}^1 associated with ψ . Let $\mathcal{L}(f t')$ be zero extension to $X \times \mathbb{P}'_t$ of $(f t')^* \mathcal{L}$. By [3, Lemma 1.5], there exist a finite surjective morphism $\mathbb{P}' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}'_t$ with \mathbb{P}' integral, and an object $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \in D_c^b(X \times \mathbb{P}', \Lambda)$ such that the following hold:

- $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is an extension of $\text{pr}'_1^* \mathcal{F} \otimes^L \mathcal{L}(f t')|_U$, where $U = (X \times \mathbb{P}') \times_{\mathbb{A}'_t \times \mathbb{P}'_t} (\mathbb{A}'_t \times \mathbb{A}'_t)$.
- The projection $\pi' : X \times \mathbb{P}' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}'$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.

We fix geometric points $0'$ and ∞' of \mathbb{P}' over 0 and ∞ of \mathbb{P}'_t . Let η' be a geometric generic point of \mathbb{P}'_t . Let $\Psi_{\text{pr}'_2} := \Psi_{\text{pr}'_2, \infty' \leftarrow \eta'}$ be the notation defined in [2, 4.2]. We have $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{X \times \infty'} \simeq \Psi_{\text{pr}'_2}(\text{pr}'_1^* \mathcal{F} \otimes^L \mathcal{L}(f t'))$, which is supported on Z (cf. the proof of [3, Proposition 2.5]). We also have $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{X \times 0'} \simeq \mathcal{F}$.

Consider the following diagram

$$(4.3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Z \times \mathbb{P}' & \hookrightarrow & X \times \mathbb{P}' & \xrightarrow{f \times \text{id}} & Y \times \mathbb{P}' \\ & & \downarrow \pi' & \swarrow & \\ & & \mathbb{P}' & & \end{array}$$

By definition, $T_{X \times \mathbb{P}'/Y \times \mathbb{P}'/\mathbb{P}'}^{Z \times \mathbb{P}'}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \in \text{Im}(H^0(Z \times \mathbb{P}', \mathcal{K}_{Z \times \mathbb{P}'/\mathbb{P}'}) \rightarrow H^0(X \times \mathbb{P}', \mathcal{K}_{X \times \mathbb{P}'/\mathbb{P}'}))$. Consider the pull-back maps

$$(4.3.4) \quad H^0(Z \times 0', \mathcal{K}_{Z \times 0'/0'}) \xleftarrow{\simeq \text{res}_0} H^0(Z \times \mathbb{P}', \mathcal{K}_{Z \times \mathbb{P}'/\mathbb{P}'}) \xrightarrow{\simeq \text{res}_\infty} H^0(Z \times \infty', \mathcal{K}_{Z \times \infty'/\infty'}),$$

where $H^0(Z \times \mathbb{P}', \mathcal{K}_{Z \times \mathbb{P}'/\mathbb{P}'}) = \bigoplus_{x \in Z} H^0(\mathbb{P}', \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{P}'/k}) = \bigoplus_{x \in Z} \Lambda$. We have

$$(4.3.5) \quad \text{res}_0(T_{X \times \mathbb{P}'/Y \times \mathbb{P}'/\mathbb{P}'}^{Z \times \mathbb{P}'}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})) = \text{res}_\infty(T_{X \times \mathbb{P}'/Y \times \mathbb{P}'/\mathbb{P}'}^{Z \times \mathbb{P}'}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})).$$

By Proposition 3.9, we have

$$(4.3.6) \quad \text{res}_0(T_{X \times \mathbb{P}'/Y \times \mathbb{P}'/\mathbb{P}'}^{Z \times \mathbb{P}'}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})) = T_{X \times 0'/Y \times 0'/k}^{Z \times 0'}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{X \times 0'}) = T_{X/Y/k}^Z(\mathcal{F}),$$

$$(4.3.7) \quad \text{res}_\infty(T_{X \times \mathbb{P}'/Y \times \mathbb{P}'/\mathbb{P}'}^{Z \times \mathbb{P}'}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})) = T_{X \times \infty'/Y \times \infty'/k}^{Z \times \infty'}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{X \times \infty'}) = T_{X/Y/k}^Z(\Psi_{\text{pr}'_2}(\text{pr}'_1^* \mathcal{F} \otimes^L \mathcal{L}(f t'))).$$

Since $\Psi_{\text{pr}'_2}(\text{pr}'_1^* \mathcal{F} \otimes^L \mathcal{L}(f t'))$ is supported on Z , we have

$$(4.3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{X/Y/k}^Z(\Psi_{\text{pr}'_2}(\text{pr}'_1^* \mathcal{F} \otimes^L \mathcal{L}(f t'))) &= \sum_{x \in Z} \dim \Psi_{\text{pr}'_2}(\text{pr}'_1^* \mathcal{F} \otimes^L \mathcal{L}(f t'))_{\bar{x}} \cdot [x] \\ &= - \sum_{x \in Z} \text{dimtot} R\Phi_{\bar{x}}(\mathcal{F}, f) \cdot [x], \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from [2, Proposition 6.5.2]. Indeed, the same conclusion and proof in loc.cit also hold for an isolated non-locally acyclic point x of f relatively to \mathcal{F} . Now the result follows. \square

The following corollary gives a cohomological version of the Grothendieck-Ogg-Shafarevich formula:

Corollary 4.4. *Let X be a smooth and connected curve over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$ and $Z \subseteq X$ be a finite set of closed points such that the cohomology sheaves of*

$\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus Z}$ are locally constant. Then we have

$$C_{X/k}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{rank} \mathcal{F} \cdot c_1(\Omega_{X/k}^{1,\vee}) - \sum_{x \in Z} a_x(\mathcal{F}) \cdot [x] \quad \text{in } H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/k}),$$

where $a_x(\mathcal{F}) = \text{rank} \mathcal{F}|_{\bar{\eta}} - \text{rank} \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}} + \text{Sw}_x \mathcal{F}$ is the Artin conductor and η is the generic point of X .

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.3 together with the fact that $\text{dimtot} R\Phi_{\bar{x}}(\mathcal{F}, \text{id}) = a_x(\mathcal{F})$. \square

4.5. In the rest of this section, we prove the projective case of Saito's conjecture [16, Conjecture 6.8.1]. For the definitions of singular supports and characteristic cycles, we refer to [5] and [16]. Let Λ be a finite field of characteristic ℓ . Let X be a smooth scheme purely of dimension d over a perfect field k of characteristic $p \neq \ell$ and $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$. Let Y be a smooth connected and projective curve over k . Assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a good fibration with respect to the singular support $SS(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} , and $Z := \{x_v\}_{v \in \Sigma}$ is the set of isolated characteristic points of f and $\Sigma \subseteq Y$, i.e., the following conditions hold (cf. [21, Definition 3.4.2])

- (1) There exist finitely many closed points $x_v (v \in \Sigma)$ of X such that f is $SS(\mathcal{F})$ -transversal on $X \setminus Z$ (thus $X \setminus Z \rightarrow Y$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus Z}$).
- (2) For each $v \in \Sigma$, $f(x_v) = v$. If $x_v \neq x_u$, then $v \neq u$. (Each fiber X_v contains at most one isolated characteristic point)

Since $\dim Z = 0$, the relative cohomological characteristic class $C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F})$ is well-defined (cf. Definition 3.5). By Theorem 4.3, we have

$$(4.5.1) \quad C_{X/k}(\mathcal{F}) = c_1(f^* \Omega_{Y/k}^{1,\vee}) \cap C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F}) - \sum_{v \in \Sigma} \text{dimtot} R\Phi_{\bar{x}_v}(\mathcal{F}, f) \cdot [x_v] \quad \text{in } H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/k}).$$

Let ω be a non-zero rational 1-form on the curve Y such that ω has neither zeros nor poles on Σ . Let $U = X \setminus Z$. Then the image of $C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F})$ by the map $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(U, \mathcal{K}_{U/Y})$ equals $C_{U/Y}(\mathcal{F})$. Then we have an equality in $H^0(Y, \mathcal{K}_{Y/k})$

$$(4.5.2) \quad c_1(\Omega_{Y/k}^{1,\vee}) = - \sum_{v \in |Y \setminus \Sigma|} \text{ord}_v(\omega) \cdot [v].$$

By Proposition 3.9, we have

$$(4.5.3) \quad c_1(f^* \Omega_{Y/k}^{1,\vee}) \cap C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F}) = c_1(f^* \Omega_{Y/k}^{1,\vee}) \cap C_{U/Y}(\mathcal{F}|_U) = - \sum_{v \in |Y \setminus \Sigma|} \text{ord}_v(\omega) \cdot C_{X_v/v}(\mathcal{F}|_{X_v}).$$

By (4.5.1) and (4.5.3), we get the following induction formula for the cohomological characteristic classes:

$$(4.5.4) \quad C_{X/k}(\mathcal{F}) = - \sum_{v \in |Y \setminus \Sigma|} \text{ord}_v(\omega) \cdot C_{X_v/v}(\mathcal{F}|_{X_v}) - \sum_{v \in \Sigma} \text{dimtot} R\Phi_{\bar{x}_v}(\mathcal{F}, f) \cdot [x_v].$$

The formula (4.5.4) implies that the characteristic class $C_{X/k}(\mathcal{F})$ can be built from characteristic classes $C_{X_v/v}(\mathcal{F}|_{X_v})$ on schemes X_v of dimension smaller than X . Based on this idea, let us explain that Theorem 4.3 implies the projective case of Saito's conjecture [16, Conjecture 6.8.1]³.

Conjecture 4.6 (Saito, [16, Conjecture 6.8.1]). *Let X be a closed sub-scheme of a smooth scheme over a perfect field k . Let $cc_X : K_0(X, \Lambda) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0(X)$ be the morphism defined in [16, Definition*

³We refer to [21] for a weak version of Saito's another conjecture [16, Conjecture 6.8.2].

6.7.2]. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$(4.6.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_0(X, \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{cc_X} & \mathrm{CH}_0(X) \\ & \searrow^{C_{X/k}} & \downarrow \mathrm{cl} \\ & & H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/k}), \end{array}$$

where $\mathrm{cl} : \mathrm{CH}_0(X) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/k})$ is the cycle class map.

Corollary 4.4 proves the curve case of Saito's conjecture.

Theorem 4.7. *Conjecture 4.6 holds for any smooth and projective scheme X over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$.*

The above theorem implies the quasi-projective case if we assume the resolution of singularities.

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on the dimension $d = \dim(X)$. If $d = 0$, then both $C_{X/k}$ and cc_X equal the rank function. If $d = 1$, the result follows from the Grothendieck-Ogg-Shafarevich formula and Corollary 4.4. Suppose that $d \geq 1$ and that X has a good fibration $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with respect to $SS(\mathcal{F})$. We use the notation in 4.5. By [21, Proposition 5.3.7], the characteristic class $cc_X(\mathcal{F})$ satisfies the following fibration formula

$$(4.7.1) \quad cc_X(\mathcal{F}) = - \sum_{v \in |Y \setminus \Sigma|} \mathrm{ord}_v(\omega) \cdot cc_{X_v}(\mathcal{F}|_{X_v}) - \sum_{v \in \Sigma} \mathrm{dim} \mathrm{tot} R\Phi_{\tilde{x}_v}(\mathcal{F}, f) \cdot [x_v] \quad \text{in } \mathrm{CH}_0(X).$$

By the induction hypothesis and (4.5.4), we get $\mathrm{cl}(cc_X(\mathcal{F})) = C_{X/k}(\mathcal{F})$. Thus the result holds when X has a good fibration.

In general, for a finite Galois extension k'/k of degree invertible in Λ , assume the result holds for $(X_{k'}, \mathcal{F}|_{X_{k'}})$. We show the result also holds for (X, \mathcal{F}) . Indeed, let $\sigma : X_{k'} \rightarrow X$ be the projection. Since $\sigma_* \sigma^* \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^{\oplus \deg(k'/k)}$, we get

$$(4.7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \deg(k'/k) \cdot \mathrm{cl}(cc_X(\mathcal{F})) &= \mathrm{cl}(cc_X(\sigma_* \sigma^* \mathcal{F})) \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \sigma_*(\mathrm{cl}(cc_{X_{k'}}(\sigma^* \mathcal{F}))) \\ &= \sigma_*(C_{X_{k'}}(\sigma^* \mathcal{F})) \stackrel{3.11}{=} C_X(\sigma_* \sigma^* \mathcal{F}) = \deg(k'/k) \cdot C_X(\mathcal{F}), \end{aligned}$$

where (a) follows from [17, Lemma 2]. Since $\deg(k'/k)$ is invertible in Λ , hence $\mathrm{cl}(cc_X(\mathcal{F})) = C_X(\mathcal{F})$.

By [21, Lemma 4.2.7], after taking a finite extension of k if necessary, we may assume there is a blow-up $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ of X along a closed subscheme of codimension 2 and a good fibration $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Since \tilde{X} has a good fibration, the result holds for $(\tilde{X}, \pi^* \mathcal{F})$. By Lemma 3.14, the result also holds for (X, \mathcal{F}) . This finishes the proof. \square

5. NON-ACYCLICITY CLASS

5.1. In this section, we construct the non-acyclicity classes supported on the non-locally acyclic locus. Consider a commutative diagram in Sch_S :

$$(5.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\tau} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y, \\ & & & \searrow h & \swarrow g \\ & & & & S \end{array}$$

where $\tau : Z \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion. Let $\delta : Y \rightarrow Y \times_S Y$ be the diagonal morphism. Consider the following conditions:

(C1) $\mathcal{K}_{Y/S} = g^! \Lambda$ is locally constant and that $\mathcal{K}_{Y/S} \otimes^L \delta^! \Lambda \simeq \Lambda$ (cf. (2.4.2)). For example, the conditions hold if g is a smooth morphism.

(C2) The closed subscheme $Z \subseteq X$ satisfies

$$(5.1.2) \quad H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/Y}) = 0 \text{ and } H^1(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/Y}) = 0.$$

(C3) Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$ such that $X \setminus Z \rightarrow Y$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus Z}$ and that $h : X \rightarrow S$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to \mathcal{F} .

Let us clarify our strategy to define the non-acyclicity classes in the following:

- Using (C1), we have a distinguished triangle $\mathcal{K}_{X/Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S} \xrightarrow{+1}$ (cf. (5.2.5)).
- Under the conditions (C1) and (C3), we construct the non-acyclicity class $\tilde{C}_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) \in H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S})$.
- When (C2) holds, we show that $H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/S}) = H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}) \rightarrow H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S})$ is an isomorphism. Thus under the three conditions (C1)-(C3), the class $\tilde{C}_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F})$ defines the cohomological class $C_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) \in H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S})$.

In the rest of this section, we always assume that the conditions (C1) and (C3) are satisfied.

5.2. Let $i : X \times_Y X \rightarrow X \times_S X$ be the base change of the diagonal morphism $\delta : Y \rightarrow Y \times_S Y$:

$$(5.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xlongequal{\quad} & X \\ \delta_1 \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \delta_0 \\ X \times_Y X & \xrightarrow{i} & X \times_S X \\ p \downarrow & \square & \downarrow f \times f \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\delta} & Y \times_S Y \end{array}$$

where δ_0 and δ_1 are the diagonal morphisms. Applying (2.9.1) to $\mathcal{K}_{X/S}$ and $\delta_{0*} \mathcal{K}_{X/S} = i_* \delta_{1*} \mathcal{K}_{X/S}$, we get an isomorphism between distinguished triangles

$$(5.2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \otimes^L f^* \delta^! \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & \delta^\Delta \mathcal{K}_{X/S} & \xrightarrow{+1} & \\ \uparrow \simeq & & \uparrow \simeq & & \uparrow \simeq & & \\ \delta_1^*(i^* \delta_{0*} \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \otimes p^* \delta^! \Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \delta_1^* i^* \delta_{0*} \mathcal{K}_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & \delta_1^* \delta^\Delta \delta_{0*} \mathcal{K}_{X/S} & \xrightarrow{+1} & . \end{array}$$

By Proposition 2.5.(2), we have an isomorphism

$$(5.2.3) \quad \mathcal{K}_{X/Y} \xleftarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \otimes^L f^* \delta^! \Lambda.$$

We put

$$(5.2.4) \quad \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S} := \delta^\Delta \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \simeq \delta_1^* \delta^\Delta \delta_{0*} \mathcal{K}_{X/S}.$$

Then we rewrite the first row of (5.2.2) as the following distinguished triangle

$$(5.2.5) \quad \mathcal{K}_{X/Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S} \xrightarrow{+1} .$$

It induces an exact sequence

$$(5.2.6) \quad H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y}) \rightarrow H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}) \rightarrow H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S}) \rightarrow H_Z^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y}).$$

If the condition (C2) in 5.1 holds, then the map

$$(5.2.7) \quad H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/S}) = H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\text{condition (C2)}} H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S})$$

is an isomorphism.

Remark 5.3. By (2.9.2), $\mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \otimes^L \delta_0^*(f \times f)^* j_{Y*} \Lambda$, where $j_Y : Y \times_S Y \setminus \delta(Y) \rightarrow Y \times_S Y$ is the open immersion.

5.4. Since $i^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) \otimes p^* \delta^! \Lambda \simeq (\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_Y^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) \otimes p^* \delta^! \Lambda \stackrel{\text{Prop. 2.5.(2)}}{\simeq} \mathcal{F} \boxtimes_Y^L D_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F})$, we have distinguished triangles by applying (2.9.1) to $\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})$:

$$(5.4.1) \quad \mathcal{F} \boxtimes_Y^L D_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow i^!(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow \delta^\Delta(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

$$(5.4.2) \quad \mathcal{F} \otimes^L D_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \delta_1^* i^!(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow \delta_1^* \delta^\Delta(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

Since $X \setminus Z \rightarrow Y$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to \mathcal{F} , the morphism $\mathcal{F} \otimes^L D_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \delta_1^* i^!(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}))$ is an isomorphism on $X \setminus Z$ by Proposition 2.5.(3). We deduce that

$$\delta_1^* \delta^\Delta(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) \stackrel{(3.1.2)}{\simeq} \delta_1^* \delta^\Delta(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{H}om_{X \times_S X}(\text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{F}, \text{pr}_1^* \mathcal{F}))$$

is supported on Z .

5.5. Recall that the relative characteristic class $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})$ is defined by the composition (cf. (3.7.4))

$$(5.5.1) \quad \delta_{0*} \Lambda = \delta_0! \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \delta_{0*} \mathcal{K}_{X/S}.$$

Now we consider

$$(5.5.2) \quad \delta_1^* \delta^\Delta \delta_{0*} \Lambda \rightarrow \delta_1^* \delta^\Delta(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow \delta_1^* \delta^\Delta \delta_{0*} \mathcal{K}_{X/S}.$$

By definition of δ^Δ (cf. (2.9.1)), we have morphisms

$$(5.5.3) \quad \Lambda = \delta_1^* \delta_{1*} \Lambda \stackrel{\text{base change}}{\simeq} \delta_1^* i^! \delta_{0*} \Lambda \xrightarrow{(2.9.1)} \delta_1^* \delta^\Delta \delta_{0*} \Lambda$$

Note that $\tau : Z \hookrightarrow X$ denotes the closed immersion. Since the complex $\delta_1^* \delta^\Delta(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) \simeq \tau_* \tau^* \delta_1^* \delta^\Delta(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}))$ is supported on Z , the composition of (5.5.3) and (5.5.2) defines a cohomological class $\tau^* \Lambda \rightarrow \tau^* \delta_1^* \delta^\Delta(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow \tau^!(\delta_1^* \delta^\Delta \delta_{0*} \mathcal{K}_{X/S})$, which we denote by

$$\tilde{C}_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) \in H_Z^0(X, \delta_1^* \delta^\Delta \delta_{0*} \mathcal{K}_{X/S}) = H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S}).$$

If the condition (C2) in 5.1 holds, then the map $H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}) \xrightarrow{(5.2.7)} H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S})$ is an isomorphism. In this case, the class $\tilde{C}_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) \in H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S})$ defines an element of $H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S})$, which is denoted by $C_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F})$.

Definition 5.6. Under the notation and conditions (C1) and (C3) in 5.1. We define the *non-acyclicity class* of \mathcal{F} to be the class $\tilde{C}_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) \in H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S})$. If moreover the condition (C2) holds, we also call $C_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) = \tilde{C}_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) \in H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S})$ the *non-acyclicity class* of \mathcal{F} .

Remark 5.7. In [1, Definition 5.2.1], Abbes and Saito define a localized characteristic class for $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$, which is supported on the non-locally constant locus of \mathcal{F} . However the class $C_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F})$ is supported on the locus of non-locally acyclic points of $X \rightarrow Y$ relatively to \mathcal{F} . If $X = Y$ and $S = \text{Spec} k$, then these two definitions coincide.

Remark 5.8. Under the conditions (C1) and (C3) in 5.1. If $Z' \subseteq X$ is another closed subscheme such that $Z \subseteq Z'$, then $\tilde{C}_{X/Y/S}^{Z'}(\mathcal{F})$ equals the image of $\tilde{C}_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F})$ under the canonical map $H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S}) \rightarrow H_{Z'}^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S})$.

Inspired by the induction formula for the characteristic classes (cf. [21, Proposition 5.3.7] and Theorem 4.3), we propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 5.9 (Fibration formula). *Under the notation and conditions (C1)-(C3) in 5.1. Then we have*

$$(5.9.1) \quad C_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) = T_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) \quad \text{in} \quad \text{Im}(H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/S}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S})),$$

$$(5.9.2) \quad C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) = \delta^!(C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F})) + C_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) \quad \text{in} \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}),$$

where $\delta^! : H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S})$ is defined in (3.13.1). For the definition of $C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F})$, we refer to 3.5.

By definition of $T_{X/Y/S}^Z$ and (4.2.2), the equality (5.9.1) is equivalent to (5.9.2). We show 5.9 is true for a good fibration in Corollary 6.3.

When $g : Y \rightarrow S$ is smooth of relative dimension r . Note that $\mathcal{K}_{X/Y} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \otimes f^* \delta^! \Lambda$ by Proposition 2.5.(2). The cup product with $c_r(f^* \Omega_{Y/S}^{1, \vee})$ defines a map (cf. (2.7.3))

$$(5.9.3) \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y}) \xrightarrow{c_r(f^* \Omega_{Y/S}^{1, \vee})} H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}).$$

Applying Lemma 2.8 to the cartesian diagram (5.2.1), we get $\delta^! = c_r(f^* \Omega_{Y/S}^{1, \vee})$. Then (5.9.2) can be rewritten as

$$(5.9.4) \quad C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) = c_r(f^* \Omega_{Y/S}^{1, \vee}) \cap C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F}) + C_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) \quad \text{in} \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}).$$

5.10. Abbes-Saito's formula. In the case where $S = \text{Spec} k$ and $X = Y$ is a smooth scheme over a field k . Since $\text{id} : X \setminus Z \rightarrow X \setminus Z$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus Z}$, the cohomology sheaves of $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus Z}$ are locally constant on $X \setminus Z$. In this case, (5.9.2) follows from [1, Proposition 5.2.3].

5.11. For $Z = \emptyset$, Conjecture 5.9 is Theorem 3.13. Here we verify one special case of 5.9. If $\mathcal{F}|_U = 0$, then $C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ and the complex $R\mathcal{H}om_{X \times_Y X}(\text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{F}, \text{pr}_1^* \mathcal{F})$ is supported on $Z \times_Y Z$. In this case, the class $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})$ defined by $\delta_{0*} \Lambda \rightarrow \delta_{0*} \mathcal{K}_{X/S}$ is supported on Z . Since the class $C_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) \in H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S})$ is defined by the composition $\delta_{0*} \Lambda \rightarrow \delta_{0*} \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \rightarrow \delta_{0*} \delta_1^* \delta^\Delta \delta_{0*} \mathcal{K}_{X/S}$, we have $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) = C_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) = T_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F})$ and the assertion follows in this case.

5.12. In general, the distinguished triangle (5.2.5) induces a commutative diagram

$$(5.12.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 = H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/Y}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/S}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/Y}) = 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_* & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y}) & \xrightarrow{\delta^!} & H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y}) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0(U, \mathcal{K}_{U/Y}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(U, \mathcal{K}_{U/S}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(U, \mathcal{K}_{U/Y/S}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(U, \mathcal{K}_{U/Y}) \end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns. By definition, $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})$ and $C_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F})$ have same image in $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S})$. Thus $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) - C_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) \in \text{Im}(\delta^!)$. By Theorem 3.13, $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) - \delta^!(C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F}))$ is zero in $H^0(U, \mathcal{K}_{U/S})$. Thus $C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) - \delta^!(C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F})) \in \text{Im}(\tau_* : H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/S}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}))$. Therefore

$$(5.12.2) \quad C_{X/S}(\mathcal{F}) - \delta^!(C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F})) - C_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) \in \text{Im}(\delta^!) \cap \text{Im}(\tau_*).$$

5.13. Now we turn to study functorial properties of the non-acyclicity classes. We first consider the pull-back property. Consider the notation in 5.1 and assume the conditions (C1) and (C3) hold. Let $b : S' \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of Noetherian schemes. Let $U = X \setminus Z$. We denote by $X' := X \times_S S'$ the base change of X . Similar to define \mathcal{F}' , Y' , Z' , U' , f' , g' , h' and $\tau' : Z' \rightarrow X'$. Let b_X and b_Z be the base change of b by $X \rightarrow S$ and $Z \rightarrow S$ respectively. We form the following commutative diagrams

$$(5.13.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \xrightarrow{b_Z} & Z \\ \tau' \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau \\ X' & \xrightarrow{b_X} & X \\ \swarrow f' & & \searrow f \\ & Y' & \xrightarrow{b_Y} & Y \\ \downarrow h' & & \downarrow h \\ S' & \xrightarrow{b} & S \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \xrightarrow{b_X} & X & & X \\ \delta'_1 \downarrow & \searrow & \delta_1 \downarrow & & \searrow \\ & X' & \xrightarrow{b_X} & X & \\ & \downarrow \delta'_0 & & \downarrow \delta_0 & \\ X' \times_{Y'} X' & \xrightarrow{\beta_{Y'}} & X \times_Y X & & X \\ \downarrow p' & \swarrow i' & \downarrow p & \swarrow i & \downarrow \delta_0 \\ & X' \times_{S'} X' & \xrightarrow{\beta_S} & X \times_S X & \\ & \downarrow f' \times f' & & \downarrow f \times f & \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{b} & Y & & Y \times_S Y \\ \delta' \searrow & & \delta \searrow & & \downarrow \\ & Y' \times_{S'} Y' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y \times_S Y, & \end{array}$$

where squares and parallelograms are cartesian diagrams (cf. (5.2.1)). By Remark 5.3, the base change morphism (3.8.4) induces a canonical morphism

$$(5.13.2) \quad b_X^* \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y'/S'}.$$

Consider the distinguished triangle (5.2.5). We obtain a commutative diagram

$$(5.13.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} b_X^* \mathcal{K}_{X/Y} & \longrightarrow & b_X^* \mathcal{K}_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & b_X^* \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S} \xrightarrow{+1} \\ (3.8.4) \downarrow & & (3.8.4) \downarrow & & \downarrow (5.13.2) \\ \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y'} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{X'/S'} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y'/S'} \xrightarrow{+1}. \end{array}$$

5.14. In the following, we always assume that the following condition (C'1) holds:

$$(C'1) \quad \mathcal{K}_{Y'/S'} = g'^! \Lambda \text{ is locally constant and that } \mathcal{K}_{Y'/S'} \otimes^L \delta'^! \Lambda \simeq \Lambda.$$

Then the class $\tilde{C}_{X'/Y'/S'}^{Z'}(\mathcal{F}')$ is well-defined. If moreover the following condition holds:

(C'2) The closed subscheme $Z' \subseteq X'$ satisfies

$$(5.14.1) \quad H^0(Z', \mathcal{K}_{Z'/Y'}) = 0 \text{ and } H^1(Z', \mathcal{K}_{Z'/Y'}) = 0.$$

Then the class $C_{X'/Y'/S'}^{Z'}(\mathcal{F}')$ is well-defined.

5.15. Applying $i^*(-) \otimes^L p^* \delta^! \Lambda \rightarrow i^!(-) \rightarrow \delta^\Delta(-) \xrightarrow{+1}$ to (5.5.1), we get a commutative diagram

$$(5.15.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} f^* \delta^! \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \delta_1^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_Y^L D_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F})) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{X/Y} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \delta_1^* i^!(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & (a) & \downarrow \\ \delta_1^* \delta^\Delta \delta_{0*} \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \delta_1^* \delta^\Delta(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S} \\ \downarrow +1 & & \downarrow +1 & & \downarrow +1 \end{array}$$

where vertical sequences are distinguished triangles. Note that the diagram (a) in (5.15.1) admits a factorization

$$(5.15.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \delta_1^* i^!(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) & \xrightarrow{\text{b.c.}} & \delta_0^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) & \xrightarrow{v_{\mathcal{F}}} & \mathcal{K}_{X/S} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \delta_1^* \delta^\Delta(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) & \xrightarrow{\text{b.c.}} & \delta^\Delta \delta_0^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) & \xrightarrow{v_{\mathcal{F}}} & \delta^\Delta \mathcal{K}_{X/S} = \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S}. \end{array}$$

We have a similar diagram after taking base change. By Proposition 3.9, (5.15.2) and (5.13.3), there is a commutative diagram

$$(5.15.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \delta_1^* i^!(\mathcal{F}' \boxtimes_{S'}^L D_{X'/S'}(\mathcal{F}')) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{X'/S'} \\ & \nearrow & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ b_X^* \Lambda = \Lambda & \longrightarrow & b_X^* \delta_1^* i^!(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) & \longrightarrow & b_X^* \mathcal{K}_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & b_X^* \mathcal{K}_{X'/S'} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & \delta_1^* \delta^\Delta(\mathcal{F}' \boxtimes_{S'}^L D_{X'/S'}(\mathcal{F}')) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y'/S'} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & b_X^* \delta_1^* \delta^\Delta(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) & \longrightarrow & b_X^* \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S} & \longrightarrow & b_X^* \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y'/S'} \end{array}$$

where the bottom square is commutative by (2.9.2), (5.2.4) and (3.9.3). Consider the pull-back morphism $b_X^* : H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S}) \rightarrow H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y'/S'})$ (resp. $b_X^* : H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}) \rightarrow H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/S'})$). The above commutative diagram implies the following result:

Proposition 5.16. *Under the notation in 5.1 and 6.1, and the condition (C'1) in 5.14, we have*

$$(5.16.1) \quad b_X^* \tilde{C}_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) = \tilde{C}_{X'/Y'/S'}^{Z'}(\mathcal{F}') \quad \text{in} \quad H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y'/S'}).$$

If moreover the conditions (C2) and (C'2) holds, then we have

$$(5.16.2) \quad b_X^* C_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) = C_{X'/Y'/S'}^{Z'}(\mathcal{F}') \quad \text{in} \quad H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/S'}).$$

5.17. Now we study the proper push-forward property for non-acyclicity classes. We consider a morphism between two such diagrams (5.1.1), which is depicted as the following first commutative diagram in Sch_S :

$$(5.17.1) \quad \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} Z \xrightarrow{\tau_0} s^{-1}(Z') \xrightarrow{s} Z' \\ \tau \searrow \tau_1 \downarrow \tau' \searrow \\ X \xrightarrow{s} X' \\ \downarrow f \quad \downarrow f' \\ Y \\ \downarrow g \\ S \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} s_Z \text{---} \\ \square \end{array} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} X' \\ \downarrow \delta'_1 \\ X \\ \downarrow \delta_1 \\ X \times_Y X \\ \downarrow p \\ Y \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{s} \\ \downarrow \delta'_0 \\ X \\ \downarrow \delta_0 \\ X \times_S X \\ \downarrow p \\ Y \times_S Y \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} X' \xrightarrow{s} X' \\ \downarrow \delta'_1 \\ X \xrightarrow{s} X \\ \downarrow \delta_0 \\ X \times_S X \\ \downarrow p \\ Y \times_S Y \end{array} \end{array}$$

where τ, τ', τ_0 and τ_1 are closed immersions. Consider the notation in the diagram (5.2.1). We put the prime symbol ' on the corresponding diagram for $Z'/X'/Y/S$. Now the first diagram (5.17.1) induces the second one. Assume that the conditions (C1) and (C3) in 5.1 hold. Assume the morphism $s : X \rightarrow X'$ is proper. Then the morphism $X' \setminus Z' \rightarrow Y$ is also universally locally acyclic relatively to $s_*\mathcal{F}|_{X' \setminus Z'}$. Now the non-acyclicity classes

$$(5.17.2) \quad \tilde{C}_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) \in H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{C}_{X'/Y/S}^{Z'}(s_*\mathcal{F}) \in H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y/S})$$

are well-defined.

5.18. We define proper push-forward maps

$$(5.18.1) \quad s_* : H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}) \rightarrow H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/S}),$$

$$(5.18.2) \quad s_* : H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S}) \rightarrow H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y/S}).$$

First, we have a morphism

$$(5.18.3) \quad s_*\mathcal{K}_{X/Y} = s_!\mathcal{K}_{X/Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y} = f'^!\Lambda,$$

which is defined to be the adjunction of the following composition

$$(5.18.4) \quad f'_!s_!f^!\Lambda \simeq f_!f^!\Lambda \xrightarrow{\text{adj}} \Lambda.$$

Similarly, there is a canonical morphism

$$(5.18.5) \quad s_*\mathcal{K}_{X/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X'/S},$$

Therefore the composition

$$(5.18.6) \quad \tau'_!s_Z*\tau^!\mathcal{K}_{X/S} \simeq s_*\tau^!\mathcal{K}_{X/S} \xrightarrow{\text{adj}} s_*\mathcal{K}_{X/S} \xrightarrow{(5.18.5)} \mathcal{K}_{X'/S},$$

induces a morphism

$$(5.18.7) \quad s_Z*\tau^!\mathcal{K}_{X/S} \rightarrow \tau^!\mathcal{K}_{X'/S},$$

which gives the required morphism (5.18.1). By Remark 5.3, the morphism (5.18.3) induces a canonical morphism

$$(5.18.8) \quad s_*\mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y/S},$$

It further induces the required morphism (5.18.2). Consider the distinguished triangle (5.2.5). We obtain a commutative diagram

$$(5.18.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} s_*\mathcal{K}_{X/Y} & \longrightarrow & s_*\mathcal{K}_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & s_*\mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S} \xrightarrow{+1} \cdot \\ \text{(5.18.3)} \downarrow & & \text{(5.18.5)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{(5.18.8)} \\ \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{X'/S} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y/S} \xrightarrow{+1} \cdot \end{array}$$

Proposition 5.19. *Under the notation and conditions (1)-(3) in 5.17, we have*

$$(5.19.1) \quad s_*(\tilde{C}_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F})) = \tilde{C}_{X'/Y'/S}^{Z'}(s_*\mathcal{F}) \quad \text{in} \quad H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y'/S}),$$

where s_* is the morphism (5.18.2). In particular, if $H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y'}) = H_{Z'}^1(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y'}) = 0$, then we have

$$(5.19.2) \quad s_*(\tilde{C}_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F})) = C_{X'/Y'/S}^{Z'}(s_*\mathcal{F}) \quad \text{in} \quad H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/S}).$$

Proof. In the following, we put $\mathcal{F}' = s_*\mathcal{F}$. Consider the commutative diagrams (5.15.1) and (5.15.2). We have a similar diagram for $X'/Y'/S$. By Proposition 3.11, (5.15.2) and (5.18.9), there is a commutative diagram

$$(5.19.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \delta_1^* i'^1(\mathcal{F}' \boxtimes_S^L D_{X'/S}(\mathcal{F}')) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{K}_{X'/S} \\ \nearrow s_*\Lambda & \xrightarrow{\quad} & s_*\delta_1^* i^1(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & s_*\mathcal{K}_{X/S} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \delta_1^* \delta^\Delta(\mathcal{F}' \boxtimes_S^L D_{X'/S}(\mathcal{F}')) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y'/S} \\ & & \nearrow & & \downarrow \\ & & s_*\delta_1^* \delta^\Delta(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S^L D_{X/S}(\mathcal{F})) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & s_*\mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S} \end{array}$$

where the bottom square is commutative by (2.9.2), (5.2.4) and (3.11.3). This proves the equality (5.19.1). \square

Now we study the compatibility of the non-acyclicity classes with étale pull-backs.

Proposition 5.20. *Consider a commutative diagram in Sch_S*

$$(5.20.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} Z' \hookrightarrow \tau & X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' & \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow h' & \downarrow g' & \downarrow e_0 \\ Z \hookrightarrow \tau & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \\ & & \downarrow h & \downarrow g & \\ & & & & S \end{array}$$

where e_1 and e_0 are étale morphisms, $Z' = e_1^{-1}(Z)$, $h' = he_1$ and $g' = ge_0$. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{F}' = e_1^*\mathcal{F}$. Assume that the conditions (C1) and (C3) in 5.1 hold for g and \mathcal{F} respectively. Then we have

$$(5.20.2) \quad e_1^* \tilde{C}_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) = \tilde{C}_{X'/Y'/S}^{Z'}(\mathcal{F}') = \tilde{C}_{X'/Y/S}^{Z'}(\mathcal{F}') \quad \text{in} \quad H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y'/S}) = H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y/S}),$$

where $e_1^* : H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/Y/S}) \rightarrow H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y'/S}) = H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/Y/S})$ is the pull-back morphism. If moreover the condition (C2) in 5.1 holds for $Z/X/Y/S$ and $Z'/X'/Y/S$, then we have

$$(5.20.3) \quad e_1^* C_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) = C_{X'/Y'/S}^{Z'}(\mathcal{F}') = C_{X'/Y/S}^{Z'}(\mathcal{F}') \quad \text{in} \quad H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/S}),$$

where $e_1^* : H_Z^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/S}) \rightarrow H_{Z'}^0(X', \mathcal{K}_{X'/S})$ is the pull-back morphism.

Proof. It is clear that the condition (C1) in 5.1 holds for g' . Since e_1 is étale, the morphism h' (resp. $X' \setminus Z' \rightarrow S$) is universally locally acyclic relatively to \mathcal{F}' (resp. $\mathcal{F}'|_{X' \setminus Z'}$). Since e_0 is étale, the morphism $X' \setminus Z' \rightarrow Y'$ is also universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}'|_{X' \setminus Z'}$. So the condition (C3) in 5.1 holds for $X'/Y'/S$ and $X'/Y/S$. Hence $\tilde{C}_{X'/Y'/S}^{Z'}(\mathcal{F}')$ and $\tilde{C}_{X'/Y/S}^{Z'}(\mathcal{F}')$ are well-defined. Now we show $\tilde{C}_{X'/Y'/S}^{Z'}(\mathcal{F}') = \tilde{C}_{X'/Y/S}^{Z'}(\mathcal{F}')$. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$(5.20.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & X' & & X' & \\ & \parallel & & \parallel & \\ & X' & & X' & \\ \delta_1 \downarrow & & \delta_1'' \downarrow & & \delta_0 \downarrow \\ X' \times_{Y'} X' & \xrightarrow{i'} & X' \times_S X' & & \\ \eta' \searrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow i'' & \\ & X' \times_Y X' & & & \\ p' \downarrow & & p'' \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \times f' \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & Y' \times_S Y' & & \\ \gamma_0 \searrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow \gamma_1 & \\ & Y' \times_Y Y' & & & \\ e_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow e_0 \times e_0 \\ & Y & & & Y \times_S Y \\ & & \delta \nearrow & & \end{array}$$

where squares and parallelograms are cartesian. Since $e_0 : Y' \rightarrow Y$ is étale, the diagonal morphism $\gamma_0 : Y' \rightarrow Y' \times_Y Y'$ is an open immersion. So is its base change $\eta' : X' \times_{Y'} X' \rightarrow X' \times_Y X'$. For $X/Y/S$, we have the diagram (5.15.1). Similar for $X'/Y'/S$ and $X'/Y/S$. Since γ_0 is an open immersion and that $e_0 \times e_0$ is étale, we have isomorphisms $(f' e_0)^* \delta^! \Lambda \simeq f'^* e_0^* \delta^! \Lambda \simeq f'^* \gamma_0^* \gamma_1^! \Lambda \simeq f'^* \delta^! \Lambda$ and $\delta_1''^*(\mathcal{F}' \boxtimes_{Y'}^L D_{X'/Y'}(\mathcal{F}')) \simeq \delta_1''^*(\mathcal{F}' \boxtimes_Y^L D_{X'/Y}(\mathcal{F}'))$. Similarly, one can check objects in the diagram (5.15.1) for $X'/Y'/S$ are isomorphic to that for $X'/Y/S$. This proves that $\tilde{C}_{X'/Y'/S}^{Z'}(\mathcal{F}') = \tilde{C}_{X'/Y/S}^{Z'}(\mathcal{F}')$.

Consider the diagram (5.15.1). Since its pull-back by e_1^* is isomorphic to the diagram for $X'/Y/S$, therefore $e_1^* \tilde{C}_{X/Y/S}^Z(\mathcal{F}) = \tilde{C}_{X'/Y/S}^{Z'}(\mathcal{F}')$.

Now assume the condition (C2) in 5.1 holds for $Z'/X'/Y/S$. Since $\mathcal{K}_{Z'/Y'} = \mathcal{K}_{Z'/Y}$, thus the condition (C2) also holds for $Z'/X'/Y'/S$ and $C_{X'/Y'/S}^{Z'}(\mathcal{F}')$ is well-defined. Now (5.20.3) follows from (5.20.2). \square

6. APPLICATIONS: MILNOR FORMULA AND CONDUCTOR FORMULA

6.1. In this section, we first apply the pull-back property of the non-acyclicity classes to prove a cohomological Milnor formula.

Theorem 6.2. *Let Y be a smooth curve over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let Λ be a Noetherian ring such that $m\Lambda = 0$ for some integer m which is prime to p . Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a separated morphism of finite type and $x \in |X|$ a closed point. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$ such that $f|_{X \setminus \{x\}}$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus \{x\}}$. Then we have*

$$(6.2.1) \quad C_{X/Y/k}^{\{x\}}(\mathcal{F}) = -\dim \text{tot} R\Phi_{\bar{x}}(\mathcal{F}, f) \quad \text{in} \quad \Lambda = H_x^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/k}).$$

The above theorem gives a cohomological analogue of the Milnor formula proved by Saito in [16, Theorem 5.9]. Thanks to the cohomological argument, we don't need the smoothness assumption on X .

Proof. Here we use the strategy in [3, Theorem 1.6]. By Proposition 5.20, we may assume that Y is an étale neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec} k[t]$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \in D_c^b(X \times \mathbb{P}^1, \Lambda)$ be the object constructed in the proof of Theorem 4.3. We have $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{X \times \infty'} \simeq \Psi_{\text{pr}'_2}(\text{pr}'_1^* \mathcal{F} \otimes^L \mathcal{L}(ft'))$, which is supported on x . We also have $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{X \times 0'} \simeq \mathcal{F}$. Now we apply Proposition 5.16 to the following diagram

$$(6.2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Z := \{x\} \times \mathbb{P}' \hookrightarrow & X \times \mathbb{P}' & \xrightarrow{f \times \text{id}} Y \times \mathbb{P}' \\ & \pi' \downarrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathbb{P}' & \end{array}$$

We have $Z_{0'} = \{x\} \times 0'$ and $Z_{\infty'} = \{x\} \times \infty'$. Consider the following pull-back morphisms

$$(6.2.3) \quad H_{Z_{0'}}^0(X \times 0', \mathcal{K}_{X \times 0'/0'}) \xleftarrow[\simeq]{\text{reso}} H_Z^0(X \times \mathbb{P}', \mathcal{K}_{X \times \mathbb{P}'/\mathbb{P}'}) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\text{res}_\infty} H_{Z_{\infty'}}^0(X \times \infty', \mathcal{K}_{X \times \infty'/\infty'}).$$

We have $\text{res}_0(C_{X \times \mathbb{P}'/Y \times \mathbb{P}'/\mathbb{P}'}^Z(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})) = \text{res}_\infty(C_{X \times \mathbb{P}'/Y \times \mathbb{P}'/\mathbb{P}'}^Z(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}))$. By Proposition 5.16, we have

$$(6.2.4) \quad \text{res}_0(C_{X \times \mathbb{P}'/Y \times \mathbb{P}'/\mathbb{P}'}^Z(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})) = C_{X \times 0'/Y \times 0'/k}^{Z_{0'}}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{X \times 0'}) = C_{X/Y/k}^{\{x\}}(\mathcal{F}),$$

$$(6.2.5) \quad \text{res}_\infty(C_{X \times \mathbb{P}'/Y \times \mathbb{P}'/\mathbb{P}'}^Z(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})) = C_{X \times \infty'/Y \times \infty'/k}^{Z_{\infty'}}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{X \times \infty'}) = C_{X/Y/k}^{\{x\}}(\Psi_{\text{pr}'_2}(\text{pr}'_1^* \mathcal{F} \otimes^L \mathcal{L}(ft'))).$$

Since $\Psi_{\text{pr}'_2}(\text{pr}'_1^* \mathcal{F} \otimes^L \mathcal{L}(ft'))$ is supported on x , by 5.11 we have

$$(6.2.6) \quad C_{X/Y/k}^{\{x\}}(\Psi_{\text{pr}'_2}(\text{pr}'_1^* \mathcal{F} \otimes^L \mathcal{L}(ft'))) = \dim \Psi_{\text{pr}'_2}(\text{pr}'_1^* \mathcal{F} \otimes^L \mathcal{L}(ft'))_{\bar{x}} = -\dim \text{tot} R\Phi_{\bar{x}}(\mathcal{F}, f).$$

Now the result follows. \square

The following corollary is a special case of Conjecture 5.9.

Corollary 6.3. *Let Y be a smooth curve over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let Λ be a Noetherian ring such that $m\Lambda = 0$ for some integer m which is prime to p . Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a separated morphism of finite type and $Z \subseteq |X|$ be a finite set of closed points. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$ such that $f|_{X \setminus Z}$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus Z}$. Then we have*

$$(6.3.1) \quad C_{X/Y/k}^Z(\mathcal{F}) = T_{X/Y/k}^Z(\mathcal{F}) \quad \text{in} \quad \text{Im}(H^0(Z, \mathcal{K}_{Z/k}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/k})),$$

$$(6.3.2) \quad C_{X/k}(\mathcal{F}) = c_1(f^* \Omega_{Y/k}^{1, \vee}) \cap C_{X/Y}(\mathcal{F}) + C_{X/Y/k}^Z(\mathcal{F}) \quad \text{in} \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_{X/k}),$$

i.e., Conjecture 5.9 holds for $X/Y/k$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 6.2. \square

Now we apply the proper push-forward property of the non-acyclicity classes to prove a cohomological version of the conductor formula [18, Theorem 2.2.3] (also of Bloch's conjecture on the conductor formula for constant sheaves [6]).

Theorem 6.4. *Let Y be a smooth and connected curve over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let Λ be a Noetherian ring such that $m\Lambda = 0$ for some integer m which is prime to p . Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism over k and $y \in |Y|$ a closed point. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$. Assume that $f|_{X \setminus f^{-1}(y)}$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus f^{-1}(y)}$. Then we have*

$$(6.4.1) \quad f_* \tilde{C}_{X/Y/k}^{f^{-1}(y)}(\mathcal{F}) = -a_y(Rf_*\mathcal{F}) \quad \text{in} \quad \Lambda = H_y^0(Y, \mathcal{K}_{Y/k}).$$

Proof. We apply Proposition 5.19 to the following diagram (take $s = f$ and $f' = \text{id}$)

$$(6.4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & f^{-1}(y) & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & \{y\} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ & & X & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & Y \\ & & \searrow f & \swarrow \text{id} & \\ & & & Y & \\ & & \swarrow h & \downarrow g & \searrow g \\ & & & \text{Spec} k & \end{array}$$

Then we get

$$(6.4.3) \quad f_* \tilde{C}_{X/Y/k}^{f^{-1}(y)}(\mathcal{F}) = C_{Y/Y/k}^{\{y\}}(Rf_*\mathcal{F}) \quad \text{in} \quad \Lambda = H_y^0(Y, \mathcal{K}_{Y/k}).$$

Since $f|_{X \setminus f^{-1}(y)}$ is proper and universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus f^{-1}(y)}$, the cohomology sheaves of $Rf_*\mathcal{F}$ are locally constant on $Y \setminus \{y\}$. By the curve case of Theorem 6.2, we have $C_{Y/Y/k}^{\{y\}}(Rf_*\mathcal{F}) = -\text{dimtot} R\Phi_y(Rf_*\mathcal{F}, \text{id}) = -a_y(Rf_*\mathcal{F})$. This proves (6.4.1). \square

Remark 6.5. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper flat morphism of smooth schemes over a perfect field k . Let $y \in |Y|$. Assume that $\dim X = n$, $\dim Y = 1$ and that $X \setminus f^{-1}(y) \rightarrow Y \setminus \{y\}$ is smooth. We expect that $\tilde{C}_{X/Y/k}^{f^{-1}(y)}(\Lambda) = (-1)^n c_{n, X_y}^X(\Omega_{X/Y}^1) \cap [X]$ in $H^0(f^{-1}(y), \mathcal{K}_{f^{-1}(y)/k})$, where $c_{n, X_y}^X(\Omega_{X/Y}^1)$ is the localized Chern class defined in [6, Section 1]. We have the following weaker equality:

$$(6.5.1) \quad f_* (\tilde{C}_{X/Y/k}^{f^{-1}(y)}(\Lambda)) = f_* ((-1)^n c_{n, X_y}^X(\Omega_{X/Y}^1) \cap [X]) \quad \text{in} \quad H^0(Y, \mathcal{K}_{Y/k}).$$

Indeed, by Abbes-Saito's formula (cf. 5.10), we have

$$(6.5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} f_* (\tilde{C}_{X/Y/k}^{f^{-1}(y)}(\Lambda)) &\stackrel{(6.4.3)}{=} C_{Y/Y/k}^{\{y\}}(f_*\Lambda) \stackrel{5.10}{=} C_{Y/k}(f_*\Lambda) - c_1(\Omega_{Y/k}^{1, \vee}) \cap C_{Y/Y}(f_*\Lambda) \\ &= f_* C_{X/k}(\Lambda) - \text{rank}(f_*\Lambda|_{Y \setminus \{y\}}) \cdot c_1(\Omega_{Y/k}^{1, \vee}) \cap C_{Y/Y}(\Lambda) \\ &= f_* c_n(\Omega_{X/k}^{1, \vee}) - \text{rank}(f_*\Lambda|_{Y \setminus \{y\}}) \cdot c_1(\Omega_{Y/k}^{1, \vee}). \end{aligned}$$

Then (6.5.1) follows from the above formula together with [18, Lemma 2.1.3.(2) and Lemma 2.1.4].

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abbes and T. Saito, *The characteristic class and ramification of an ℓ -adic étale sheaf*, *Inventiones mathematicae*, 168 (2007):567-612. [↑1](#), [↑3](#), [↑9](#), [↑10](#), [↑21](#), [↑22](#)
- [2] T. Abe, *Ramification theory from homotopical point of view, I*, 2021, [arXiv:2206.02401](#). [↑17](#)
- [3] T. Abe, *On the Serre conjecture for Artin characters in the geometric case*, 2022, preprint. [↑4](#), [↑5](#), [↑13](#), [↑17](#), [↑28](#)

- [4] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, J.L. Verdier, *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA4), avec la collaboration de N. Bourbaki, P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vols. 269, 270, 305 (Springer, Berlin, 1972–1973). [↑10](#)
- [5] A. Beilinson, *Constructible sheaves are holonomic*, Sel. Math. New Ser. 22, (2016):1797–1819. [↑1](#), [↑18](#)
- [6] S. Bloch, *Cycles on arithmetic schemes and Euler characteristics of curves*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1987):421–450. [↑4](#), [↑29](#)
- [7] P. Deligne, *Cohomologie Étale*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie (SGA 4 $\frac{1}{2}$), avec la collaboration de J.F. Boutot, A. Grothendieck, L. Illusie et J.L. Verdier, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 569, (Springer, Berlin, 1977). [↑14](#)
- [8] P. Deligne, *La formule de Milnor*, Groupes de Monodromie en Géométrie Algébrique, SGA7II, Exposé XVI, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 340, (1973):197–211. [↑4](#)
- [9] K. Fujiwara, *A proof of the absolute purity conjecture (after Gabber)*, in Algebraic geometry 2000, Azumino, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics 36, (2002): 153–183. [↑8](#), [↑11](#)
- [10] A. Grothendieck *et al.*, *Cohomologie ℓ -adique et fonctions L*. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1965–1966 (SGA 5). dirigé par A. Grothendieck avec la collaboration de I. Bucur, C. Houzel, L. Illusie, J.-P. Jouanolou et J.-P. Serre. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 589, Springer-verlag, Berlin-New York, (1977). [↑1](#)
- [11] L. Illusie, Y. Lazslo, and F. Orgogozo, *Travaux de Gabber sur l'uniformisation locale et la cohomologie étale des schémas quasi-excellents*, Astérisque, Vol. 363-364, Soc. Math. France, 2014, Séminaire à l'École Polytechnique 2006-2008. [↑8](#), [↑9](#), [↑15](#)
- [12] L. Illusie, *Around the Thom–Sebastiani theorem, with an appendix by Weizhe Zheng*, Manuscripta Math., 152(2017): 61–125. [↑8](#)
- [13] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Sheaves on manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften, vol.292, Springer, Berlin (1990). [↑1](#), [↑2](#)
- [14] Q. Lu, W. Zheng, *Categorical traces and a relative Lefschetz-Verdier formula*, Forum of Mathematics, Sigma, Vol.10 (2022):1-24. [↑2](#), [↑8](#), [↑10](#), [↑13](#)
- [15] W. Raskind, *Abelian class field theory of arithmetic schemes*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 58.1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI(1995):85-187. [↑2](#)
- [16] T. Saito, *The characteristic cycle and the singular support of a constructible sheaf*, Inventiones mathematicae, 207 (2017): 597-695. [↑1](#), [↑2](#), [↑3](#), [↑4](#), [↑6](#), [↑7](#), [↑8](#), [↑15](#), [↑18](#), [↑19](#), [↑28](#)
- [17] T. Saito, *On the proper push-forward of the characteristic cycle of a constructible sheaf*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, volume 97, (2018):485-494. [↑19](#)
- [18] T. Saito, *Characteristic cycles and the conductor of direct image*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 34 (2021):369-410. [↑4](#), [↑29](#)
- [19] T. Saito, *Cotangent bundles and micro-supports in mixed characteristic case*, Algebra & Number Theory, vol.16, no.2, (2022): 335-368. [↑7](#)
- [20] T. Tsushima, *On localizations of the characteristic classes of ℓ -adic sheaves and conductor formula in characteristic $p > 0$* , Math.Z. 269 (2011):411-447. [↑9](#)
- [21] N. Umezaki, E. Yang and Y. Zhao, *Characteristic class and the ε -factor of an étale sheaf*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 373 (2020): 6887-6927. [↑15](#), [↑18](#), [↑19](#), [↑22](#)
- [22] E. Yang and Y. Zhao, *On the relative twist formula of ℓ -adic sheaves*, Acta. Math. Sin.-English Ser. 37 (2021): 73–94. [↑2](#), [↑10](#), [↑13](#)