

# Notes on Mitsui's Prime Number Theorem with Siegel zeros

Wataru Kai

## Abstract

In these notes, we refine Mitsui's Prime Number Theorem, which for a number field  $K$  predicts how many prime elements there are in bounded convex sets in  $K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$ , by incorporating potential Siegel zeros of Hecke  $L$ -functions. This allows the norm of the modulus  $N(\mathfrak{q})$  to grow at a pseudopolynomial rate  $e^{\sqrt{\log X}/O_K(1)}$  with respect to the size  $X$  of the convex set as opposed to powers of  $\log X$ . The extra flexibility will be essential in our future application to the study of linear patterns of prime elements. We also hope that our updated exposition will make Mitsui's work accessible to a wider mathematical audience.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Basics of algebraic number theory</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Fourier analysis on a torus</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Idèle class group as a torus</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Main theorem</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Main theorem for thin cones—Fourier analysis</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>End of proof</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Euclid's algorithm</b>	<b>37</b>

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 The Siegel–Walfisz prime number theorem

Prime Number Theorem states in one of the several equivalent forms:

$$\sum_{0 < p < X} \log(p) = X + o(X) \quad \text{as } X \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Here the sum  $\sum_{0 < p < X}$  is the sum over prime numbers  $p$  satisfying  $0 < p < X$ .

A little more generally, the Siegel–Walfisz prime number theorem says for any modulus  $1 < q < \log^A X$  ( $A \geq 1$  is a prescribed constant) and  $a \in (\mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z})^\times$ , we have the estimate

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < p < X \\ p \equiv a \pmod{q}}} \log(p) = \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} X + O_A(Xe^{-\sqrt{\log X}/O_A(1)}). \quad (1)$$

Formulated this way, the restriction  $q < \log^A X$  cannot be improved for now because the contemporary analytic number theory has not been able to exclude the existence of notorious *Siegel zeros* of Dirichlet L-functions. Siegel zeros are potential real zeros of Dirichlet L-functions slightly smaller than 1, which contradicts the Grand Riemann Hypothesis. This concept will be reviewed in §2.3.

Indeed, a Siegel zero  $0 < \beta < 1$  modulo  $q$  would give rise to a second main term  $X^\beta/\beta\varphi(q)$  to (1). While this can be absorbed in the error term if we stay in the realm  $q < \log^A X$ , we have to take it seriously if we want to go beyond this bound. Indeed, by incorporating the term  $X^\beta/\beta\varphi(q)$  into the picture, the modulus  $q$  can be at least as large as  $e^{\sqrt{\log X}/O(1)}$ . Namely, for all  $1 \leq q < e^{\sqrt{\log X}/O(1)}$  we have

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < p < X \\ p \equiv a \pmod{q}}} \log(p) = \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \left( X - \psi_{\text{Siegel}}(a) \frac{X^\beta}{\beta} \right) + O(Xe^{-\sqrt{\log X}/O(1)})$$

where  $\psi_{\text{Siegel}}: (\mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z})^\times \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  is the potential Siegel character mod  $q$ . See e.g. [6, Theorem 5.27 on p. 122].

## 1.2 Mitsui’s theorem and our main result

The number field analogue of the Siegel–Walfisz theorem has already been documented. It is known as Mitsui’s prime number theorem, which can be formulated as follows (see §2 for the notation):

**Theorem 1.2.1** (Mitsui [11, Corollary and Theorem on p. 35], 1956). *Let  $K$  be a number field of degree  $n = [K : \mathbf{Q}] = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} K$ . Let  $A > 1$  be a fixed positive number. Let  $X > 1$  and consider a convex open set  $C \subset K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$  contained in the region  $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<X}$  of size  $X$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$  be a non-zero ideal such that*

$$N(\mathfrak{q}) < \log^A X \quad (2)$$

and choose any  $\alpha \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{q})^\times$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\pi \in C, \\ \text{prime element,} \\ \pi \equiv \alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}}} \log |N(\pi)| = \frac{w_K}{\varphi_K(\mathfrak{q}) 2^{r_1} \pi^{r_2} h_K R_K} \text{Vol}(C) + O_{K,A}(X^n e^{-\sqrt{\log X}/O_{K,A}(1)}). \quad (3)$$

Here, there is a canonical  $\mathbf{R}$ -linear isomorphism  $K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \cong \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\text{Vol}(C)$  refers to the volume in the Lebesgue measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

The main purpose of this text is to give a modern account of the proof of Mitsui's theorem and improve it by incorporating the secondary main term coming from potential Siegel zeros  $\beta$  of L-functions  $L(\psi, s)$  associated with mod  $\mathfrak{q}$  characters  $\psi$ . To be specific, let  $\psi_{\text{Siegel}}$  be the potential Siegel character mod  $\mathfrak{q}$  and  $\beta$  the Siegel zero. The right hand side of (3) is replaced by the expression

$$\frac{w_K}{\varphi_K(\mathfrak{q})2^{r_1}\pi^{r_2}h_K R_K} \int_C 1 - \psi_{\text{Siegel}}(-; \alpha)N(-)^{\beta-1} d\mu + \mathcal{O}_K(Ne^{-\sqrt{\log N}/\mathcal{O}_K(1)}).$$

This modification allows us to relax the restriction (2) to a pseudopolynomial one

$$N(\mathfrak{q}) < e^{\sqrt{\log X}/\mathcal{O}_K(1)}.$$

See Theorem 5.1.1 for the statement in full generality.

This quantitative improvement will be essential in our application in the forthcoming work, where based on the author's former joint work [7] we extend results of Green–Tao–Ziegler [3, 4] and Tao–Teräväinen [14] on linear patterns of prime numbers to general number fields. To illustrate what it is about, consider polynomials of degree 1:

$$ax + by + c \in \mathcal{O}_K[x, y], \tag{4}$$

where the coefficients  $(a, b, c)$  vary in a given finite subset  $T \subset \mathcal{O}_K^3$ . Under some conditions on  $T$ , we will be able to conclude that for any large enough convex body  $C \subset (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^2$ , there are points  $(x, y) \in C \cap \mathcal{O}_K^2$  such that the values of (4) are prime elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  simultaneously for all  $(a, b, c) \in T$ .

This will furthermore be applied to a Hasse principle type problem on  $K$ -rational points on certain specific type of varieties as was done in [5] by Harpaz–Skorobogatov–Wittenberg over  $K = \mathbf{Q}$ .

### 1.3 Remarks on the formulation

What Mitsui proves in [11] is a little more general than Theorem 1.2.1 in that he proves the same result for “prime ideal numbers,” a concept which has something to do with the fact that the number ring  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is not necessarily a unique factorization domain (UFD). Our result will also be shown in this generality, replacing ideal numbers by ideals. This generality will be useful for example when one wants to find linear patterns of points in  $\mathbf{Z}^2$  at which a given quadratic form  $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  takes primes values; see [7, §10].

Mitsui's theorem is also stated and proven for a region of the following form, determined by the choice of  $X_1, \dots, X_{r_1+r_2} > 1$ :

$$C = (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<X_1, \dots, X_{r_1+r_2}} := \{x \in K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \mid |\sigma_i(x)| < X_i \ 1 \leq \forall i \leq r_1 + r_2\}$$

provided that  $X_i \leq X_j^a$  holds for all  $i, j$  for a prescribed constant  $a \geq 1$ . The error term is replaced by  $O_{K,A,a}(Ne^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_{K,A,a}(1)})$  where  $N$  is the supremum of norms in the region  $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<X_1, \dots, X_{r_1+r_2}}$ . It is not clear if the theorem stays true for general convex bodies  $C$  in  $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<X_1, \dots, X_{r_1+r_2}}$ . Our Theorem 5.1.1 can also be shown for  $C = (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<X_1, \dots, X_{r_1+r_2}}$  with essentially the same proof but we do not present the argument specifically needed for this case mainly because our intended application requires the statement for arbitrary convex bodies  $C \subset (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<X}$ .

1

## 1.4 Outline of the method

The method is largely the same as Mitsui's in [11]. On top of the consideration of the potential Siegel zeros, there are two expositional improvements which we hope are making this text more accessible to the modern reader. One is that we got rid of the Byzantine notion of *ideal numbers* (see e.g. [13, p. 486] for this notion) and used exclusively the usual notion of *ideals* to formulate and prove the results. The other is that much of Mitsui's highly unpenetrable computations and estimates has been replaced by general discussions of idèles and Fourier analysis.<sup>2</sup>

Now we outline Mitsui's [11] method. The case of quadratic fields is also sketched in references like [6, p. 130] [9, p. 318].<sup>3</sup> Let us assume that *there is no Siegel zeros* because we want to focus on the main idea here.

First, from a known zero-free region of Hecke L-functions, we have the following estimate of the weighted sum of prime *ideals* (Theorem 2.3.4). Below,  $\psi$  is an arbitrary mod  $\mathfrak{q}$  Hecke character<sup>4</sup>

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \\ \text{prime to } \mathfrak{q} \\ N(\mathfrak{p}) < N}} \psi(\mathfrak{p}) \log N(\mathfrak{p}) = \begin{cases} N + O_K(Ne^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}) & \text{if } \psi \equiv 1, \\ O_K(Ne^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

For simplicity let us further assume  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a UFD. If we choose an  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ -fundamental domain  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \{0\}$ , prime ideals (all principal now) correspond to unique prime elements in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Thus the left hand side of (5) becomes a sum over prime elements  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $N(\pi) < N$ .

Though not strictly needed, there is a standard, geometric way of choosing  $\mathcal{D}$ . For example when  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ , an instance of  $\mathcal{D}$  is shown in Figure 1.

<sup>1</sup>For the interested reader, here is a hint. Note that  $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<X_1, \dots, X_{r_1+r_2}}$  is an annulus sector in the sense of Definition 7.1.2. Its logarithmic image in  $\mathbf{R}^{r_1+r_2}$  is a cuboid in which the ratios of the side lengths are bounded by  $a$ . Then one runs the process of §7.

<sup>2</sup>Actually these two aspects have been preventing the authors from being able to read through his paper to this day.

<sup>3</sup>By the way it's a pity that they do not mention Mitsui's work even though he pretty much predates these books.

<sup>4</sup>Let us not forget that we are assuming there is no Siegel zero. Also, in the main body  $\psi(\mathfrak{p})$  is written  $\psi([\mathfrak{p}])$  for good reason.




Figure 1: A fundamental domain for  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  truncated at some norm. The scale is adjusted so that the point  $x + y\sqrt{2} \in \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  looks like  $(x, y\sqrt{2}) \in \mathbf{R}^2$ . The norm is  $N(x + y\sqrt{2}) = |x^2 - (y\sqrt{2})^2|$ . The hyperbolas pass through points of the same norm. In this example we know  $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})/\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cong \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})$ .




Figure 2: Fourier analysis allows us to focus on prime elements inside thin cones like this (colored dark gray).

By some algebraic number theory (§§2, 4) or by definition, mod  $\mathfrak{q}$  Hecke characters are precisely characters  $C(\mathfrak{q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$  of a commutative Lie group  $C(\mathfrak{q})$ . By modding out a subgroup  $\cong \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times \cong \mathbf{R}$ , we obtain a compact commutative Lie group  $C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$ . It is equipped with a finite covering map

$$C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times \rightarrow (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})/(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times \cdot \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times)$$

whose kernel is  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}/\mathfrak{q})^\times$ . Fourier analysis (§3) can be applied to this torus. This allows us to count prime elements in a specified mod  $\mathfrak{q}$  class and inside thin cones as in Figure 2. Such cones are written as  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times P$  in the main body of the text. Thus we will obtain:<sup>5</sup>

$$\sum_{\substack{\pi \text{ prime element} \\ \pi \equiv \alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{q}} \\ \pi \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times P \\ |N(\pi)| < N}} \log |N(\pi)| = \frac{\text{const.}}{\varphi_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathfrak{q})} N + O_{\mathbf{K}}(N e^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_{\mathbf{K}}(1)}), \quad (6)$$

where the constant depends only on  $\mathbf{K}$  and  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times P$ , and is proportional to the volume of the set  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times P \cap \{x \in \mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \mid |N(x)| < 1\}$ . See Corollary 6.2.1.

<sup>5</sup>Let us repeat that we are assuming that there is no Siegel zero.




Figure 3: Approximation of a convex body  $C$  by segments of thin cones

In §7, we will use (6) to count prime elements in a given convex set  $C$  by approximating it with segments of thin cones (Figure 3). One shows that this approximation can be done within the claimed error.

Management of the error (notably the independence from  $q$ ) is what Mitsui spends most of the pages for and this is also the case for the present paper.

## 1.5 General notation and terminology

### 1.5.1 Multiplicative groups of complex numbers

Here are some subgroups of  $\mathbf{C}^\times$  that we frequently use in this paper.

- We write  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$  for the multiplicative group of positive real numbers. We know  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times \cong \mathbf{R}$  via the logarithm.
- We write  $S^1 \subset \mathbf{C}^\times$  for the subgroup of complex numbers of absolute value 1. It is canonically isomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$  by the map  $\theta \pmod{\mathbf{Z}} \mapsto e^{2\pi i\theta}$ .
- We know

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}^\times &\cong \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times \times \{\pm 1\} \cong \mathbf{R} \times \{\pm 1\} \text{ and} \\ \mathbf{C}^\times &\cong \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times \times S^1 \cong \mathbf{R} \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

where we choose the projection  $\mathbf{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$  to be  $z \mapsto |z|^2$ .

### 1.5.2 Landau's $O(-)$ symbol

The symbol  $O_{a,b,c}(1)$  denotes a complex value whose absolute value is bounded from above by a constant  $C_{a,b,c} > 0$  depending only on the parameters  $a, b, c$ . The specific value can be different from occasion to occasion. In particular, an  $O(1)$  means a complex value whose absolute value is bounded by an absolute constant independent of any parameter even if some parameters are at play.

When  $f(t)$  is a positively valued function of  $t$ , the expression  $O_{a,b,c}(f(t))$  means  $O_{a,b,c}(1) \cdot f(t)$ . Often  $t$  will be a positive real parameter, and the bound may be valid only when  $t$  is large enough. We allow this threshold to depend on the parameters  $a, b, c$ . We shall indicate it if the threshold depends on more (or less) parameters.

We make an exception of allowing ourselves to use  $O_K(-)$  even when the bounds depend on additional choices related to  $K$ , as long as those choices are independent of the problem at hand and can be made once the number field  $K$  is given. Such choices include an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cong (\mathbf{Z}/w\mathbf{Z}) \times \mathbf{Z}^r$  and representatives  $\mathfrak{a}_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \text{Cl}(K)$ ) for the ideal class group.

The expression  $f(t) \ll_{a,b,c} g(t)$  means  $f(t) = O_{a,b,c}(g(t))$ . The expression  $f(t) \asymp_{a,b,c} g(t)$  means that  $f(t) \ll_{a,b,c} g(t)$  and  $g(t) \ll_{a,b,c} f(t)$  both hold.

It goes without saying that a statement of the form

- If  $A = B/O_{a,b,c}(1)$ , then  $X = O_{d,e,f}(Y)$ , or
- If  $A \ll_{a,b,c} B$ , then  $X \ll_{d,e,f} Y$

should be read as the statement that there are large enough positive constants  $C_{a,b,c} > 1$  and  $C_{d,e,f} > 1$  depending only on the parameters indicated in subscript such that the following holds:

$$\text{If } |A| < B/C_{a,b,c}, \text{ then } |X| < C_{d,e,f}Y.$$

Observe that the larger the constants  $C_{a,b,c}, C_{d,e,f}$  are, the more restrictive the hypothesis is and the weaker the conclusion. So the statement gets more likely to hold.

### 1.5.3 Usage of some letters

The letter  $X$  is often used to indicate the length which characterizes the region in  $K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$  in which we search for prime elements.

The letter  $N$  is used to bound the norm of elements. It is often set to be  $N := X^n$ , where  $n = [K : \mathbf{Q}]$ .

The letters  $M, Y > 1$  will be used to denote generic positive parameters which grow at a pseudopolynomial rate  $e^{\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}$ . The specific value of  $O_K(1)$  may vary from occasion to occasion.



### 1.5.4 Acknowledgments

The author thanks Federico Binda, Hiroyasu Miyazaki and Rin Sugiyama for fruitful on-line and in-person discussions, and feedback to earlier versions of this paper.

## 2 Basics of algebraic number theory

We fix notation for basic algebraic number theory. We refer the reader to [13, §1] [9, §1] for the full definitions and details.

### 2.1 Number fields and its zeta function

#### 2.1.1 The number ring and class number

A *number field* is a field  $K$  of characteristic 0 which is a finite dimensional  $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space. We write  $n$  for its degree:  $n := [K : \mathbf{Q}] = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} K$ . The subset

$$\mathcal{O}_K := \{\alpha \in K \mid \exists n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}, c_0, \dots, c_{n-1} \in \mathbf{Z}: \alpha^n + c_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \dots + c_0 = 0\}$$

is known to be a nice ring called a *Dedekind domain*, which supports beautiful theories of (fractional, prime) ideals and prime elements. Let

$$\text{Ideals}_K \cong \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

be the multiplicative monoid of non-zero ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . The right hand side is the direct sum of copies of the commutative (additive) monoid  $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$  indexed by the non-zero prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ . A *fractional ideal* of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -submodule of  $K$  which is finitely generated. The multiplicative monoid  $J_K$  of non-zero fractional ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is isomorphic to the additive group  $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbf{Z}$ .

The ring  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is usually not a unique factorization domain (UFD). This failure is witnessed by the *ideal class group*  $\text{Cl}(K)$ , which is a finite abelian group obtained as a quotient monoid of  $J_K$ . The group  $\text{Cl}(K)$  is trivial if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a UFD. Let us write

$$h_K := \#\text{Cl}(K).$$

#### 2.1.2 Parametrization of ideals

For each  $\lambda \in \text{Cl}(K)$  we fix a representing fractional ideal  $\mathfrak{a}_\lambda \subset K$  throughout the paper. For the trivial class  $\lambda = [\mathcal{O}_K]$ , let us choose  $\mathfrak{a}_{[\mathcal{O}_K]} = \mathcal{O}_K$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1} = \{\alpha \in K \mid \alpha \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{O}_K\}$  be the inverse fractional ideal. Suppose an  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ -fundamental domain  $\mathcal{D}$  for  $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times$  is given. We have bijections

$$\left\{ (0) \neq \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \text{ ideals} \mid [\mathfrak{a}] = \lambda \text{ in } \text{Cl}(K) \right\} \cong (\mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1} \setminus \{0\}) / \mathcal{O}_K^\times \cong \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1} \cap \mathcal{D} \quad (7)$$

$$\mathfrak{a} = a \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \leftrightarrow a.$$

It follows that there is a bijection

$$\text{Ideals}_K \cong \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \text{Cl}(K)} \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1} \cap \mathcal{D}. \quad (8)$$

### 2.1.3 Prime elements of fractional ideals

Recall that an element  $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_K$  is called a *prime element* if the ideal  $(\pi)$  is a non-zero prime ideal. More generally, let us call an element  $\pi \in \mathfrak{a}$  of a non-zero fractional ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  a *prime element of  $\mathfrak{a}$*  if  $\pi\mathfrak{a}^{-1}$  is a non-zero prime ideal (where  $\mathfrak{a}^{-1}$  is the inverse fractional ideal of  $\mathfrak{a}$ ).<sup>6</sup> Denote by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a})$  the set of prime elements of  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

In this paper, sums and products  $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}}, \sum_{\mathfrak{p}}, \prod_{\mathfrak{p}}$  indexed by the letter  $\mathfrak{p}$  will always mean those indexed by non-zero prime ideals, often subject to some additional conditions.

Likewise, sums and products  $\bigoplus_{\pi}, \sum_{\pi}, \prod_{\pi}$  indexed by  $\pi$  will mean those indexed by prime elements.

### 2.1.4 The norm of an ideal

For a non-zero ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ , we write  $N(\mathfrak{a}) := \#(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{a})$  for its norm. For a non-zero element  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , by slight abuse of notation we write  $N(\alpha) := N(\alpha\mathcal{O}_K) = |\mathbb{N}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\alpha)|$ .

Euler's totient function  $\varphi_K$  for  $K$  is defined as the function  $\mathfrak{a} \mapsto \#(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{a})^\times$ ,  $\text{Ideals}_K \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ .

The *Dedekind zeta function*  $\zeta_K$  is a meromorphic function on  $\mathbf{C}$ , which for  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$  can be defined by the following formulas:

$$\zeta_K(s) := \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \\ \text{non-zero ideals}}} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{a})^s} = \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \\ \text{non-zero} \\ \text{prime ideals}}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})^s}}.$$

## 2.2 Dirichlet's unit theorem

We recall some structures of  $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times$  and its quotients  $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathcal{O}_K^\times$  and  $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathcal{O}_K^\times \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$ .

### 2.2.1 Real and complex embeddings

There are exactly  $n$  different ring homomorphisms  $\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , which are necessarily injective. Let  $r_1$  be the number of those having image contained in  $\mathbf{R}$  (*real embeddings*) and  $r_2$  be the number of conjugate pairs of those whose image is not contained in  $\mathbf{R}$  (*imaginary embeddings*).

---

<sup>6</sup>An algebro-geometric justification for this piece of terminology is that for any integral scheme  $X$  and a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on it, we could call a non-zero section  $s: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  *prime* if its zero locus  $(s) \subset X$  is an integral scheme.

Following the usual convention, we number the embeddings as

$$\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{r_1}; \quad \sigma_{r_1+1}, \dots, \sigma_{r_1+r_2}; \quad \sigma_{r_1+r_2+1}, \dots, \sigma_{r_1+2r_2}$$

where  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{r_1}$  are the different real embeddings and  $\sigma_{r_1+1}, \dots, \sigma_{r_1+r_2}$  represent the different conjugate pairs of imaginary embeddings.<sup>7</sup> We have  $n = r_1 + 2r_2$ .

### 2.2.2 Norm functions

The norm functions  $N(-)$  and  $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}$  extend to  $K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$  naturally,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{N \text{ or } N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} & \xrightarrow{N \text{ or } N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}} & \mathbf{R}, \end{array}$$

where we have denoted the extensions by the same symbols.

Let us emphasize that  $N(-)$  always takes positive values (see §2.1.4); not to be confused with the ring-theoretic norm  $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(-)$ , which does take negative values unless  $K$  is totally imaginary.

### 2.2.3 The structure of $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times$

Let  $\sigma_i \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}: K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r_1$ ) and  $\sigma_i \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}: K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  ( $r_1 + 1 \leq i \leq r_1 + r_2$ ) be the unique  $\mathbf{R}$ -linear extensions. The map they jointly define

$$K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbf{C}^{r_2}$$

is known to be an isomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}$ -algebras.

It follows that

$$(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times \cong (\mathbf{R}^\times)^{r_1} \times (\mathbf{C}^\times)^{r_2} \cong \mathbf{R}^{r_1+r_2} \times (S^1)^{r_2} \times \{\pm 1\}^{r_1}, \quad (9)$$

where the second isomorphism is from §1.5.1.

### 2.2.4 Decomposition of $\mathbf{R}^{r_1+r_2}$

Write

$$\mathbf{u}_{r_1+r_2} := \frac{1}{n} (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{r_1}, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{r_2}) \in \mathbf{R}^{r_1+r_2}.$$

In (9), the diagonal image  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times \subset (\mathbf{R}^\times)^{r_1} \times (\mathbf{C}^\times)^{r_2}$  corresponds to the subspace

$$\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{r_1+r_2} \times \{1\}^{r_2} \times \{+1\}^{r_1} \subset \mathbf{R}^{r_1+r_2} \times (S^1)^{r_2} \times \{\pm 1\}^{r_1}.$$

---

<sup>7</sup>Also, one often imposes  $\bar{\sigma}_{r_1+r_2+i} = \sigma_{r_1+i}$  though irrelevant in this text.

Let  $H \subset \mathbf{R}^{r_1+r_2}$  be the following  $\mathbf{R}$ -linear subspace of codimension 1:

$$H := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_{r_1+r_2}) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{r_1+r_2} x_i = 0 \right\}.$$

This corresponds to elements  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{r_1}; z_1, \dots, z_{r_2}) \in (\mathbf{R}^\times)^{r_1} \times (\mathbf{C}^\times)^{r_2}$  of norm  $\pm 1$ .

We have the direct sum decomposition

$$\mathbf{R}^{r_1+r_2} = \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{r_1+r_2} \oplus H$$

and hence the isomorphism

$$(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times \cong H \times (\mathbf{S}^1)^{r_2} \times \{\pm 1\}^{r_1}.$$

This also gives a decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times &= \mathbf{R} \times H \times (\mathbf{S}^1)^{r_2} \times \{\pm 1\}^{r_1} \\ &= \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times \times (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times. \end{aligned}$$

Given a subset  $P \subset (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$ , we write  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times \cdot P$  for its inverse image in  $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times$ . When we see it as a subset of  $\mathbf{R} \times H \times (\mathbf{S}^1)^{r_2} \times \{\pm 1\}^{r_1}$ , we prefer to use the symbol  $\mathbf{R} \times P$ .

If furthermore  $0 < a < b$  are given, we write

$$[a, b] \cdot P := \{x \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times \cdot P \mid a \leq N(x) \leq b\}. \quad (10)$$

When we see it as a subset of  $\mathbf{R} \times H \times (\mathbf{S}^1)^{r_2} \times \{\pm 1\}^{r_1}$ , we write it as  $[\log a, \log b] \times P$ .

### 2.2.5 The domain $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<X}$

For an embedding  $\sigma: \mathbf{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$  and  $x \in \mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$ , we set

$$|x|_\sigma := |(\sigma \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})(x)|,$$

where  $|\cdot|$  in the right hand side is the usual absolute value in  $\mathbf{C}$ . For a positive number  $X > 1$ , we define a subset  $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<X} \subset \mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$  by

$$(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<X} := \{x \in \mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \mid |x|_{\sigma_i} < X \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r_1 + r_2\}.$$

### 2.2.6 Measures

We will consider two measures on  $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times$ . One is the restriction of the Lebesgue measure by the inclusion  $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times \subset \mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \cong \mathbf{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbf{C}^{r_2} \cong \mathbf{R}^n$ . We denote it by  $\mu_{\text{add}}$ .

The other is obtained by  $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times \cong \mathbf{R}^{r_1+r_2} \times (\mathbf{S}^1)^{r_2} \times \{\pm 1\}^{r_1}$ . We give  $\mathbf{R}^{r_1+r_2}$  the Lebesgue measure and  $(\mathbf{S}^1)^{r_2}$  the Haar measure such that the volume

of  $(S^1)^{r_2}$  is  $(2\pi)^{r_2}$ . (In other words, as far as volume is concerned, we regard  $S^1$  as  $\mathbf{R}/2\pi\mathbf{Z}$ , not  $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ .) Each point of  $\{\pm 1\}^{r_1}$  is given weight 1. We denote the resulting product measure on  $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times$  by  $\mu_{\text{mult}}$ .

By some calculus one finds

$$d\mu_{\text{mult}} = \frac{2^{r_2}}{N(-)} d\mu_{\text{add}} \quad \text{on } (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times,$$

where  $N(-)$  is the norm function in §2.2.2.

We endow  $\mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{R}^{r_1+r_2}$  with the induced metric and hence the measure  $\mu_{\mathbf{H}}$ . This endows  $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times = \mathbf{H} \times (S^1)^{r_2} \times \{\pm 1\}^{r_1}$  with the product measure. We denote it by  $\mu_{\text{mult}}$  as well.

Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{r_1+r_2-1} \in \mathbf{H}$  be any orthonormal basis and  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r_1+r_2})$  be the coordinate system corresponding to the basis  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{r_1+r_2}$  of  $\mathbf{R}^{r_1+r_2}$ . (See §2.2.4 for the vector  $\mathbf{u}_{r_1+r_2}$ .) Note that the measure  $\mu_{\mathbf{H}}$  on  $\mathbf{H}$  is exactly the one associated with the volume form  $d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_{r_1+r_2-1}$  on  $\mathbf{H}$ . The inner product of  $\mathbf{u}_{r_1+r_2}$  and the unit normal vector  $(1, \dots, 1)/\sqrt{r_1+r_2}$  of  $\mathbf{H}$  is  $1/\sqrt{r_1+r_2}$ . This implies the following relation of measures:

$$|d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_{r_1+r_2}| = \sqrt{r_1+r_2} d\mu_{\text{mult}} = \frac{2^{r_2} \sqrt{r_1+r_2}}{N(-)} d\mu_{\text{add}}. \quad (11)$$

### 2.2.7 The unit theorem

Let us recall that the group  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times$  is known to have the following structure (Dirichlet's unit theorem):

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times \cong (\mathbf{Z}/w_{\mathbf{K}}\mathbf{Z}) \times \mathbf{Z}^{r_1+r_2-1},$$

where  $w_{\mathbf{K}} := \#(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times)_{\text{tors}} \geq 2$ . We fix such an isomorphism throughout.

### 2.2.8 The regulator

Let us consider the inclusion  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times & \hookrightarrow & (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times \\ \cong \Big| & & \cong \Big| \\ (\mathbf{Z}/w_{\mathbf{K}}\mathbf{Z}) \times \mathbf{Z}^{r_1+r_2-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{H} \times (S^1)^{r_2} \times \{\pm 1\}^{r_1}. \end{array}$$

The part  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is the zero map because every element of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times$  has norm  $\pm 1$ . The image of the part  $\mathbf{Z}^{r_1+r_2-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  is a full-rank lattice. The *regulator*  $R_{\mathbf{K}} > 0$  of  $\mathbf{K}$  is defined to be the real number satisfying  $\text{Vol}_{\text{mult}}(\mathbf{H}/\mathbf{Z}^{r_1+r_2-1}) = R_{\mathbf{K}} \sqrt{r_1+r_2}$ .

### 2.2.9 The structure of $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times$

We take this opportunity to compute the abelian group  $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times$  further. By §2.2.8 we know it decomposes as

$$\mathbf{R} \times \frac{(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times}{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times \cdot \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times} = \mathbf{R} \times \frac{\mathbf{H} \times \{\pm 1\}^{r_1} \times (\mathbf{S}^1)^{r_2}}{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times}.$$

We have the next commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z}/w_{\mathbf{K}}\mathbf{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z}^{r_1+r_2-1} \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \{\pm 1\}^{r_1} \times (\mathbf{S}^1)^{r_2} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H} \times \{\pm 1\}^{r_1} \times (\mathbf{S}^1)^{r_2} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

This gives an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \frac{\{\pm 1\}^{r_1} \times (\mathbf{S}^1)^{r_2}}{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times)_{\text{tors}}} \rightarrow \frac{(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times}{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times \cdot \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times} \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^{r_1+r_2-1} \rightarrow 0. \quad (12)$$

The left hand term is isomorphic to  $\{\pm 1\}^{r_1-1} \times (\mathbf{S}^1)^{r_2}$  if  $r_1 \neq 0$  and  $(\mathbf{S}^1)^{r_2}$  if  $r_1 = 0$ .

### 2.2.10 The neutral component of $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$

From (12) it is now clear that  $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times)$  is a torus (= commutative compact Lie group) of dimension  $n - 1$ . As such, its neutral component  $((\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times))^0$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbf{S}^1)^{n-1}$ . Choose and fix any such isomorphism

$$\left( \frac{(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times}{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times} \right)^0 \cong (\mathbf{S}^1)^{n-1}. \quad (13)$$

Of course there are natural ways of specifying such an isomorphism in the spirit of (12) relying only on mild choices. But this is not needed in this paper.

### 2.2.11 The volume of $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$

Since  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times$  is a discrete subgroup of  $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$ , and translation by an element of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times$  preserves the measure  $\mu_{\text{mult}}$  (see §2.2.6 for this measure), the quotient inherits the measure. Let us write the resulting one also by  $\mu_{\text{mult}}$ .

It will be convenient to know the volume of  $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$ . The left hand side of (12) has volume  $2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} / w_{\mathbf{K}}$ . The right hand side has volume  $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}} \sqrt{r_1 + r_2}$  (§2.2.8). By the definition of  $\mu_{\text{mult}}$  as the product measure, it follows that

$$\text{Vol}_{\text{mult}}((\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times) = \frac{2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}} \sqrt{r_1 + r_2}}{w_{\mathbf{K}}}. \quad (14)$$

## 2.3 Idèles, Hecke L-functions and Prime Ideal Theorem

We denote the group of idèles by  $\mathbf{I}_K$ . It is a locally compact commutative group containing  $K^\times$  as a discrete subgroup. For general backgrounds on idèles and Hecke characters on idèles, see [1, XV-§3], [9, VII-§3 and XVI-§7] or [13, VI-§1 and VII-§6]

### 2.3.1 Hecke characters

A *Hecke character* is a continuous homomorphism

$$\psi: \mathbf{I}_K/K^\times \rightarrow S^1.$$

In the literature it is also called a *Größencharakter*. Every such  $\psi$  factors through a quotient of the following form for some non-zero ideal  $\mathfrak{q} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{finitely many } \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \psi: \mathbf{I}_K/K^\times &\twoheadrightarrow \left( (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times \times \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{q}} K^\times / (1 + \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}) \times \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}' \nmid \mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{Z} \right) / K^\times \\ &\longrightarrow S^1. \end{aligned}$$

In this case we say  $\psi$  is a *mod  $\mathfrak{q}$  Hecke character*. It is called a *primitive mod  $\mathfrak{q}$  Hecke character* if it is not a mod  $\mathfrak{q}'$  Hecke character for any other divisor  $\mathfrak{q}'|\mathfrak{q}$ ,  $\mathfrak{q}' \neq \mathfrak{q}$ . In this case  $\mathfrak{q}$  is called the *conductor* of  $\psi$ .

Let us write this quotient (the so-called idèle class group mod  $\mathfrak{q}$ ) in the middle as

$$C(\mathfrak{q}) = \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{q})/K^\times := \left( (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times \times \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{q}} K^\times / (1 + \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}) \times \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}' \nmid \mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{Z} \right) / K^\times.$$

### 2.3.2 The L-function

Let  $\psi$  be a Hecke character and  $\mathfrak{q}$  its conductor. For prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{q}$ , let  $[\mathfrak{p}] \in \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{q})$  be the element whose  $\mathfrak{p}$ -component is 1 and the other components are trivial. Sometimes the symbol  $[\mathfrak{p}]$  is used to mean its image to  $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}' \nmid \mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{Z}$  as well. Multiplicatively we can define  $[\mathfrak{a}] \in \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{q})$  or  $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}' \nmid \mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{Z}$  for every ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  prime to  $\mathfrak{q}$ .

The *Hecke L-function* associated to  $\psi$  is defined by (recall  $\mathfrak{q}$  is the conductor)

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \psi) &:= \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \text{ ideal prime to } \mathfrak{q}} \frac{\psi([\mathfrak{a}])}{N(\mathfrak{a})^s} \\ &= \prod_{\mathfrak{p}' \nmid \mathfrak{q}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\psi([\mathfrak{p}'])}{N(\mathfrak{p}')^s}} \end{aligned}$$

for  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ .  $L(s, \psi)$  is known to be a meromorphic function on  $\mathbf{C}$  (see e.g. [1, Main Theorem 4.4.1 and p. 346] [9, Theorem 12 on p. 295 and p. 299] or [13, VII-§8 (8.6)]).

If  $\psi$  is the trivial character (= the constant function 1), then  $L(s, \psi) = \zeta_K(s)$ .  
A classical zero-free region is known:

**Theorem 2.3.3** (zero-free region). *There is a positive constant  $0 < c_K < 1$  such that the following holds for every non-zero ideal  $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ .*

- (i) *For all but possibly one mod  $\mathfrak{q}$  Hecke character  $\psi$  (not necessarily primitive), the L-function  $L(s, \psi)$  does not have zeros or poles in the region (where  $s = \sigma + it$  as usual)*

$$\sigma > 1 - \frac{c_K}{\log(N(\mathfrak{q})(|t| + 4))}.$$

- (ii) *The potential exceptional  $\psi$ , if it exists, has to be real (i.e., takes values in  $\{-1, +1\}$ ). This  $\psi$  is called the Siegel (or exceptional) character mod  $\mathfrak{q}$  and denoted by  $\psi_{\text{Siegel}}$ .*

- (iii) *The L-function  $L(\psi_{\text{Siegel}}, s)$  can have at most one zero  $\beta$  and the zero has to be real:*

$$1 - \frac{c_K}{\log(N(\mathfrak{q})(|t| + 4))} < \beta < 1.$$

*The zero  $\beta$  is called the Siegel (or exceptional) zero mod  $\mathfrak{q}$ .*

*Proof.* See e.g. [6, Theorem 5.35] or [8, Lemma 2.3], and [12, Theorem 11.7]  $\square$

It is known that an L-function with a zero-free region as in Theorem 2.3.3 is accompanied by a Prime Ideal Theorem where the prime ideals are counted with corresponding weights (see e.g. [6, Theorem 5.13]). To us, the pseudopolynomial control of the error term in the following theorem matters.

**Theorem 2.3.4** (Prime Ideal Theorem). *Let  $N > 1$  be a positive number and  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ideals}_K$  be an ideal with norm*

$$N(\mathfrak{q}) < e^{\sqrt{\log N}/\mathcal{O}_K(1)}.$$

*Let  $\psi$  be a mod  $\mathfrak{q}$  Hecke character. Then we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \\ \mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{q}, N(\mathfrak{p}) < N}} \psi([\mathfrak{p}]) \log N(\mathfrak{p}) = N \cdot 1_{\psi \equiv 1} - \frac{N^\beta}{\beta} 1_{\psi: \text{Siegel}} + \mathcal{O}_K(N e^{-\sqrt{\log N}/\mathcal{O}_K(1)}),$$

*where  $\beta$  is the potential Siegel zero mod  $\mathfrak{q}$ .*

*The terms  $1_{(\dots)}$  are present only when the condition in the subscript is true and equal the constant function 1 in such cases.*

To convert this fact to a result on the number of prime *elements*, we need to recall basic Fourier analysis.



### 3 Fourier analysis on a torus

In this text, a *torus* will mean a compact *commutative* Lie group. By so-called Lie's three theorems, such a group  $\mathbf{T}$  is known to be isomorphic to a product

$$\mathbf{T} \cong \mathbf{G} \times (\mathbf{S}^1)^d \quad (15)$$

of a finite *commutative* group  $\mathbf{G}$  and a connected torus  $(\mathbf{S}^1)^d$ . Of course the isomorphism is usually non-canonical.

#### 3.1 Approximation of indicator functions of small convex subsets by characters

Let  $\mathbf{T}$  be a torus and

$$\widehat{\mathbf{T}} := \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{S}^1) \cong \widehat{\mathbf{G}} \times \mathbf{Z}^d \quad (16)$$

be its Pontryagin dual. Every  $\xi \in \widehat{\mathbf{T}}$  will be seen as a  $\mathbf{C}$ -valued function on  $\mathbf{T}$  by  $\mathbf{T} \xrightarrow{\xi} \mathbf{S}^1 \subset \mathbf{C}$ . Fourier analysis on  $\mathbf{T}$  roughly says that functions on  $\mathbf{T}$  can be written as  $\mathbf{C}$ -linear combinations of elements of  $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}$ . A very common formulation of this principle is the identification  $L^2(\mathbf{T}) = l^2(\widehat{\mathbf{T}})$ . The statement we use in this paper is Proposition 3.1.2 below.

**Definition 3.1.1.** Let  $\mathbf{T}$  be a torus whose neutral component  $\mathbf{T}^0$  is equipped with an isomorphism  $\mathbf{T}^0 \cong (\mathbf{S}^1)^d$ . A *small convex subset* of  $\mathbf{T}$  (resp. of size  $\leq \delta$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1/4$ ) is a connected subset  $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{T}$  such that for some  $p \in \mathbf{P}$  the translate

$$\mathbf{P} - p \subset \mathbf{T}^0 \cong (\mathbf{S}^1)^d \cong (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^d$$

is the projection of an open convex subset  $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \subset [-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}]^d \subset \mathbf{R}^d$  (resp.  $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \subset [-\delta, \delta]^d$ ).

**Proposition 3.1.2.** Let  $M, Y > 1$  be positive parameters. Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be a small convex subset of a torus with respect to some identification  $\mathbf{T}^0 \cong (\mathbf{S}^1)^d = \mathbf{R}^d/\mathbf{Z}^d$ . Then the indicator function  $1_{\mathbf{P}}$  can be approximated as:

$$1_{\mathbf{P}} = \sum_{\xi \in \Xi} c_{\xi} \cdot \xi + \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{H},$$

$$|\mathbf{G}(x)| \leq \left| \sum_{\xi \in \Xi} c'_{\xi} \cdot \xi(x) + \mathbf{H}'(x) \right| \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{T}$$

where  $\Xi \subset \widehat{\mathbf{T}}$  is a subset of size  $O_d(\#\pi_0(\mathbf{T}) \cdot Y^d)$ , the coefficients  $c_{\xi}, c'_{\xi} \in \mathbf{C}$  obey bounds  $|c_{\xi}| \leq 1$  and  $|c'_{\xi}| \leq O_d(1/M)$ , and  $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}'$  are functions satisfying  $\|\mathbf{H}\|_{\infty}, \|\mathbf{H}'\|_{\infty} \leq O_d(M \cdot \frac{\log Y}{Y})$ .

Moreover, for  $\xi \in \pi_0(\mathbf{T})^\wedge \subset \widehat{\mathbf{T}}$  the coefficient  $c_\xi$  can be explicitly described: if  $\mu$  is a Haar measure on  $\mathbf{T}$ ,

$$c_\xi = \frac{\mu(\mathbf{P})}{\mu(\mathbf{T})} \overline{\xi(\mathbf{P})} + \mathcal{O}_d(1/M),$$

where the value  $\xi(\mathbf{P}) \in \mathbf{C}$  is well defined because  $\xi \in \pi_0(\mathbf{T})^\wedge$  is constant on every connected set.

*Proof.* This follows from [3, Corollary A.3] and [2, Lemma A.9].

Note that although [2, Lemma A.9] is stated for the connected torus  $(\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^d$ , the corresponding statement for a non-connected commutative torus easily follows from the decompositions (15) (16). The specific choice of these decompositions affect the resulting objects  $\Xi, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \dots$  but do not affect the bounds of the error terms.  $\square$

In our application, the number  $\#\pi_0(\mathbf{T})$  of connected components of  $\mathbf{T}$  will be allowed to grow at a pseudopolynomial rate  $e^{\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}$  at the fastest.

## 4 Idèle class group as a torus

Let  $\mathbf{R} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$ ;  $x \mapsto 1 \otimes x$  be the canonical injection and  $\mathbf{R}^\times \rightarrow (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{K}}$  be the induced homomorphism. In this paper, we are particularly interested in mod  $\mathfrak{q}$  Hecke characters which factor through the quotient  $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{q} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$  be the prime ideal decomposition of  $\mathfrak{q}$ .

### 4.1 The structure of $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$

In this subsection we review how the quotient  $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$  is a real torus and fix some notation on the way.

#### 4.1.1 To factor out $\text{Cl}(\mathbf{K})$

Recall our notation

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q}) = \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{K}^\times = \left( (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times \times \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{K}^\times / (1 + \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K},\mathfrak{p}}) \times \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{Z} \right) / \mathbf{K}^\times.$$

Consider the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic valuation map  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}: \mathbf{K}^\times / (1 + \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K},\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  for each  $\mathfrak{p} | \mathfrak{q}$ . Its kernel is identified with  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K},\mathfrak{p}}^\times / (1 + \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K},\mathfrak{p}}) = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K},\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K},\mathfrak{p}})^\times = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}} / \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}})^\times$ . If we take the direct sum over  $\mathfrak{p} | \mathfrak{q}$ , by Chinese Remainder Theorem we get  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}/\mathfrak{q})^\times$ .

It follows that we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows and injective vertical maps, where  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{K}}$  denotes the group of principal fractional

ideals

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_K^\times & \longrightarrow & K^\times & \longrightarrow & P_K \longrightarrow 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \frac{(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times}{\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times} \times (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{q})^\times & \longrightarrow & \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times & \xrightarrow{(v_p)_p} & \bigoplus_{\substack{p \text{ non-zero} \\ \text{prime ideals}}} \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

By the snake lemma we obtain an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \frac{\frac{(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times}{\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times} \times (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{q})^\times}{\mathcal{O}_K^\times} \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{q}) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(K) \rightarrow 0. \quad (17)$$

#### 4.1.2 To factor out $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{q})^\times$

We want to compute the first term of (17) further. Consider the commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_K^\times & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathcal{O}_K^\times & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{q})^\times & \longrightarrow & \frac{(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times}{\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times} \times (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{q})^\times & \longrightarrow & \frac{(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times}{\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times} \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array}$$

As the vertical maps are injective, we conclude that  $(\frac{(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times}{\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times} \times (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{q})^\times)/\mathcal{O}_K^\times$  is an extension of  $\frac{(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times}{\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times \cdot \mathcal{O}_K^\times}$  by  $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{q})^\times$ , the first of which has been computed in §2.2.9.

### 4.1.3 The structure of $C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$ —conclusion

Our computation so far expresses  $C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$  as a twofold extension

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & & & (18) \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{q})^\times \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \left( \frac{(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times}{\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times} \right) \times (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{q})^\times / \mathcal{O}_K^\times & \longrightarrow & C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times & \longrightarrow & \text{Cl}(\mathbf{K}) \longrightarrow 0. \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & \frac{(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times}{\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cdot \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times} \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & 1
 \end{array}$$

In §2.2.9 we saw that  $\frac{(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times}{\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cdot \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times}$  is a torus. It follows that  $C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$  is also a torus.

Its neutral component  $(C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times)^0$  surjects to the neutral component of  $\frac{(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times}{\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cdot \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times}$ , and the size of the kernel of this map is bounded from above by  $\#(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{q})^\times = \varphi_K(\mathfrak{q}) < N(\mathfrak{q})$ .

### 4.1.4 The volume of $C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$

We give the metric on  $C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$  which is translation-invariant and locally isometric to  $\frac{(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times}{\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cdot \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times}$  via the diagram (18). This defines a measure on  $C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$ . Write it as  $\mu_{\text{idèle}}$ .<sup>8</sup> By the diagram and (14) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Vol}_{\text{idèle}}(C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times) & (19) \\
 & = h_K \varphi_K(\mathfrak{q}) \text{Vol}_{\text{mult}}\left(\frac{(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times}{\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cdot \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times}\right) \\
 & = \varphi_K(\mathfrak{q}) 2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} R_K h_K \sqrt{r_1 + r_2} / w_K.
 \end{aligned}$$

## 4.2 Combining with Fourier analysis

Let  $\mu_{\text{idèle}}$  continue to be the Haar measure on  $C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$  as in §4.1.4, although the consequence (19) of this specific choice of the Haar measure will not be important until §6.2.2.

---

<sup>8</sup>Note that this may be different from the measure adopted in your favorite reference because every reference has its own convention on the choice of measures. Don't worry, they differ only by a constant factor because both are Haar measures.

### 4.2.1 A trivialization of the neutral component

Consider the cover  $(\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times})^0 \rightarrow \left(\frac{(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^{\times}}{\mathfrak{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\times} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times}}\right)^0$ . In (13) we fixed an isomorphism between the target and  $(S^1)^{n-1} = (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^{n-1}$ . This identifies this covering map with a map of the form

$$\mathbf{R}^{n-1}/\Lambda(\mathfrak{q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1}/\mathbf{Z}^{n-1} \quad (20)$$

for some sublattice  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{q}) \subset \mathbf{Z}^{n-1}$  of index dividing  $\varphi_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathfrak{q})$ . By  $\mathbf{Z}$ -linear algebra (Euclid's algorithm, see Proposition A.1), one can find a basis

$$\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{n-1} \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{q}) \quad (21)$$

whose coefficients as elements of  $\mathbf{Z}^{n-1}$  have absolute values  $\leq \varphi_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathfrak{q})$ .

Now we are ready to state the following consequence of Fourier analysis.

**Proposition 4.2.2** (indicator function of a small convex set). *Let  $M, Y > 1$  be real numbers.*

*Let  $P \subset \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times}$  be a connected open subset such that its translate to  $(\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times})^0$  projects injectively by the map*

$$(\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times})^0 \rightarrow \left(\frac{(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^{\times}}{\mathfrak{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\times} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times}}\right)^0 \cong (S^1)^{n-1}$$

*and the image is a small convex subset of size  $\ll_n \varphi_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathfrak{q})^{-(n-2)}$  in the sense of Definition 3.1.1.*

*Then one has a decomposition of the indicator function  $1_P: \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  of the form:*

$$1_P = \sum_{\psi \in \Xi \subset (\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times})^{\wedge}} c_{\psi} \psi + G + H,$$

$$|G(x)| \leq \left| \sum_{\psi \in \Xi \subset (\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times})^{\wedge}} c'_{\psi} \psi(x) + H'(x) \right| \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times},$$

where

- $\#\Xi = O_{\mathbf{K}}(\varphi_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathfrak{q})Y^{n-1})$ ,
- $|c_{\xi}| \leq 1, |c'_{\xi}| \leq O_n(1/M)$ ,
- $\|H\|_{\infty}, \|H'\|_{\infty} \leq O_n(M^{\frac{\log Y}{Y}})$ .

Moreover, for  $\psi \in \pi_0(\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times})^{\wedge} \subset (\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times})^{\wedge}$  we have

$$c_{\psi} = \frac{\text{Vol}(P)}{\text{Vol}(\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times})} \overline{\psi(P)} + O_n(1/M).$$

*Proof.* We continue to identify  $(C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times)^0$  with  $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}/\Lambda(\mathfrak{q})$  as in (20). Take a basis of  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{q})$  as in (21). For this basis the matrix representing the linear map  $\phi: \mathbf{Z}^{n-1} \cong \Lambda(\mathfrak{q}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{n-1}$  has entries of absolute values  $\leq \varphi_K(\mathfrak{q})$ . It follows that the  $\mathbf{R}$ -linear inverse map  $\phi_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}: \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathfrak{q}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R} \cong \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  has coefficients  $\ll_n \varphi_K(\mathfrak{q})^{n-2}$ . It follows that if the constant  $0 < c_n < 1$  is chosen small enough to match the implied constant, the inverse map  $\phi_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}$  sends the cube  $[-c_n \varphi_K(\mathfrak{q})^{-(n-2)}, c_n \varphi_K(\mathfrak{q})^{-(n-2)}]^{n-1}$  into  $[-1/4, 1/4]^{n-1}$ .

Under such a choice of  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{q}) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{n-1}$  and  $0 < c_n < 1$  we see that  $P$  is a small convex set in  $C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$  with respect to the corresponding trivialization  $(C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times)^0 \cong (\mathbf{S}^1)^{n-1}$ .

Therefore Proposition 3.1.2 applies and gives the desired result. Note that by (18)

$$\#\pi_0(C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times) \leq h_K \cdot \varphi_K(\mathfrak{q}) \cdot \#\pi_0\left(\frac{(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times}{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times \cdot \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times}\right)$$

$$\text{and } \#\pi_0\left(\frac{(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times}{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times \cdot \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times}\right) \leq 2^{r_1} = O_n(1). \quad \square$$

### 4.2.3 A chart

To produce connected open sets  $P$  as in Proposition 4.2.2, it is useful to take the following kind of chart.

To state it, for non-zero ideals  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{q} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$  let us denote by  $(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a})^\times$  the set of elements which is a generator of  $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}$  as an  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{q}$ -module. For  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{a}$ , this recovers the set of invertible elements  $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{q})^\times$ . Note that by Chinese Remainder Theorem  $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathfrak{a}$ , the condition that  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}$  is in  $(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a})^\times$  is equivalent to the condition that its image in  $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathfrak{a}$  is in  $(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathfrak{a})^\times$  for all  $\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{q}$ .

Let  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}) \in \mathbf{Z}$  be the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic valuation of a non-zero (fractional) ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Let us quickly recall its definition: the scalar extension  $\mathfrak{a}\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of rank 1. Take any generator  $\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{a}\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$  and we write  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}) := v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha)$ , which is independent of the choice of  $\alpha$ .

Then  $(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a})^\times$  is equivalently defined as the set of residue classes  $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}$  such a lift  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$  satisfies

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}) \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{q}. \quad (22)$$

For each  $\mathfrak{p}$ , this condition does not depend on the specific lift  $\alpha$ .

Consider the map of sets

$$(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a})^\times \rightarrow \left( \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{K}^\times / (1 + \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}) \right) \oplus \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{Z} \quad (23)$$

induced by  $\mathfrak{a} \setminus \{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{K}^\times$  composed with  $\mathbf{K}^\times \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{K}^\times / (1 + \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}})$  for each  $\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{q}$  and the valuation  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}: \mathbf{K}^\times \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  for each  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{q}$ . The map (23) is well defined on  $(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a})^\times$

because if  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathfrak{a}$  are two elements satisfying (22) such that  $\varepsilon := \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \in \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}$ , one can verify  $\alpha_1/\alpha_2 \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$  for  $\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{q}$ . Indeed, we have  $(\alpha_1/\alpha_2) - 1 = \varepsilon/\alpha_2$  and

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varepsilon/\alpha_2) \geq (v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{q}) + v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a})) - v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{q}) = n_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

**Proposition 4.2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{D} \subset (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^{\times}$  be a fundamental domain for the  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\times}$ -action and  $\{\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \text{Cl}(\mathbf{K})}$  be a complete set of representatives.*

*The inclusions  $\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda} \setminus \{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{K}^{\times}$  induce the following bijections of sets*

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q}) \cong \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \text{Cl}(\mathbf{K})} \frac{(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^{\times} \times (\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda})^{\times}}{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\times}} \cong \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \text{Cl}(\mathbf{K})} \mathcal{D} \times (\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda})^{\times}.$$

*Proof.* Recall  $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q}) = \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{K}^{\times}$ . Consider the following maps

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \text{ all}} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \text{Cl}(\mathbf{K})$$

and for each  $\lambda \in \text{Cl}(\mathbf{K})$  let  $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})_{\lambda}$  be the fiber of this map over  $\lambda$ :

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})_{\lambda} := \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q}) \times_{\text{Cl}(\mathbf{K})} \{\lambda\}.$$

Then we get a decomposition  $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q}) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \text{Cl}(\mathbf{K})} \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})_{\lambda}$ . Since the action of  $\mathbf{K}^{\times}$  preserves each  $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})_{\lambda}$ , we get

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q}) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \text{Cl}(\mathbf{K})} \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})_{\lambda}/\mathbf{K}^{\times}. \quad (24)$$

For a non-zero (fractional) ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  we consider the following constructions. We define subsets

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{a}} := \left\{ ((x_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{q}}, (a_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{q}}) \in \left( \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{K}^{\times} / (1 + \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}) \times \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{Z} \right) \right\},$$

$$\forall \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{q} : v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_{\mathfrak{p}}) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}), \quad \forall \mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{q} : a_{\mathfrak{p}} = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}),$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{a}} := (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^{\times} \times \mathbf{K}_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{a}} \subset \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})_{[\mathfrak{a}]}, \text{ in particular } \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}} \subset \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})_{\lambda}.$$

One sees that  $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}}$  is stable under the  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\times}$ -action. The induced map

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\times} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})_{\lambda}/\mathbf{K}^{\times} \quad (25)$$

is a bijection. The inverse is described as follows: let  $(x; (x_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{q}}; (a_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{q}}) \in \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})_{\lambda}$ . By the definitions of the sets  $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}} \subset \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})_{\lambda}$  and  $\text{Cl}(\mathbf{K}) = \text{cok}(\mathbf{K}^{\times} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}: \text{all}} \mathbf{Z})$  there is an  $\alpha \in \mathbf{K}^{\times}$  such that if we let  $\mathbf{diag}(\alpha) \in \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})$  be its diagonal image

$$\mathbf{diag}(\alpha) \cdot (x; (x_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{q}}; (a_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{q}}) \in \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}}.$$

This gives an element of  $\tilde{C}(\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{a}_\lambda}$  whose class in  $\tilde{C}(\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{a}_\lambda}/\mathcal{O}_K^\times$  is independent of the choice of  $\alpha \in K^\times$ .

Since  $\mathcal{D} \subset (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times$  is a fundamental domain for the  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ -action we see that the composite

$$\mathcal{D} \times K_{\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{a}_\lambda} \hookrightarrow \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{a}_\lambda} \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{a}_\lambda}/\mathcal{O}_K^\times \quad (26)$$

is a bijection.

In view of the bijections (24) (25) (26), it remains to verify that the map (23) induces a bijection

$$(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)^\times \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{a}_\lambda}.$$

Both sides naturally decompose into products  $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{q}}$ . It suffices to show the following bijection

$$(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda/\mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)^\times \xrightarrow{\cong} \{x \in K^\times/(1 + \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}) \mid v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)\}$$

for each  $\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{q}$ .

The inverse map can be constructed as follows. Let  $\alpha \in K^\times$  be an element satisfying  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)$ . This implies  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}_\lambda\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$  and that it generates  $\mathfrak{a}_\lambda\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$  as an  $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$ -module. So it determines a class in  $(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}})^\times = (\mathfrak{a}_\lambda/\mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)^\times$ . Moreover, it is obvious that if the ratio of two such  $\alpha, \alpha'$  is in  $1 + \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$ , their difference is in  $\mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$ .

It is routine to check that this is actually the inverse.  $\square$

## 5 Main theorem

Before stating our main result, we have to introduce a piece of notation. Let  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{q} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$  be non-zero fractional ideals. By Proposition 4.2.4, we have a canonical map  $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times \times (\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a})^\times \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{q})$ . Thus for any function  $\psi$  on  $C(\mathfrak{q})$ , we may consider its pullback to  $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times \times (\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a})^\times$ . We write this restriction as  $(x, \alpha) \mapsto \psi(x; \alpha)$ . In particular if we fix a residue class  $\alpha \in (\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a})^\times$ , we obtain a function

$$\psi(-; \alpha): (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}.$$

### 5.1 The statement

**Theorem 5.1.1.** *Let  $K$  be a number field of degree  $n = [K : \mathbf{Q}] = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} K$ . Fix a non-zero ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ .*

*Let  $X > 1$  be a real number. Set  $N := X^n$ , the upper bound of norm in  $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<X}$ .*

*Let  $C \subset (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<X}$  be a convex open set and  $\mathfrak{q}$  be an ideal whose norm obeys the following bound*

$$N(\mathfrak{q}) < e^{\sqrt{\log N}/\mathcal{O}_K(1)}. \quad (27)$$



Let  $\alpha \in (\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a})^\times$ .

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathfrak{a}, \\ \pi = \alpha \text{ in } \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}}} \log N(\pi \mathfrak{a}^{-1}) = \\ \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{a}) \varphi_K(\mathfrak{q})} \frac{w_K}{2^{r_1} \pi^{r_2} h_K R_K} \int_C 1 - \psi_{\text{Siegel}}(-; \alpha) N((-)\mathfrak{a}^{-1})^{\beta-1} d\mu_{\text{add}} \\ + O_K \left( \frac{N}{N(\mathfrak{a})} e^{-\sqrt{\log(N/N(\mathfrak{a}))/O_K(1)}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where by convention we ignore the term  $\psi_{\text{Siegel}}(-; \alpha) N((-)\mathfrak{a}^{-1})^{\beta-1}$  if there is no Siegel zero mod  $\mathfrak{q}$ ; in this case the integral is  $\text{Vol}_{\text{add}}(C)$ .

Let us note that the measure  $d\mu_{\text{add}}$  was defined in §2.2.6.

Though this is not needed in the sequel, the coefficient  $w_K/(2^{r_1} \pi^{r_2} h_K R_K)$  is equal to  $2^{r_2}/(\text{res}_{s=1}(\zeta_K(s))\sqrt{|\mathbb{D}_K|})$  by Class Number Formula [9, Theorem 5 on p. 161], where  $\mathbb{D}_K$  is the discriminant of  $K$ .

Requirements for the  $O_K(1)$  constant in (27) will be specified in §§6.1.2 and 7.2.5.

## 5.2 First reduction

Here we show that in Theorem 5.1.1 we may assume  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda$  without loss of generality. The reader who is not interested in Theorem 5.1.1 for a general  $\mathfrak{a}$  may of course skip this step.

By the bijection (7)

$$\text{Ideals}_K \cong \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \text{Cl}(K)} (\mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1} \setminus \{0\})/\mathcal{O}_K^\times$$

there are an index  $\lambda$  and an element  $a_0 \in \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} = a_0 \mathfrak{a}_\lambda$ . We have

$$N(\mathfrak{a}) = N(a_0) N(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda). \quad (29)$$

We argue that Theorem 5.1.1 for  $\mathfrak{a}$  follows from the case of  $\mathfrak{a}_\lambda$  if we multiply some parameters by  $a_0^{-1} \in K^\times \subset (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times$ .

### 5.2.1 The left hand side

Via the correspondence of prime elements  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)$ ,  $\pi \mapsto a_0^{-1} \pi =: \pi'$ , the left hand sides of (28) for  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_\lambda$  are equal:

$$\sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathfrak{a}, \\ \pi = \alpha \text{ in } \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}}} \log N(\pi \mathfrak{a}^{-1}) = \sum_{\substack{\pi' \in a_0^{-1} \mathcal{C} \cap \mathfrak{a}_\lambda, \\ \pi' = a_0^{-1} \alpha \text{ in } \mathfrak{a}_\lambda/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda}} \log N(\pi' \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}). \quad (30)$$

There is a constant  $A = O_K(1)$  independent<sup>9</sup> of  $\mathfrak{a}$  such that  $a_0$  can be taken from  $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<A N(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1})^{1/n}}$ , see e.g. [7, Lemma 4.11] or [10, Lemma 4.2], the latter of which is stated for principal ideals but whose proof is valid for the general case if appropriately read. It follows that  $a_0^{-1}C \subset (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<A' N(a_0)^{-1/n}X}$  with  $A' = A^{O_n(1)}$ . Write  $X' := A' N(a_0)^{-1/n}X$ . The new product  $N' := X_i'^n$  is related to the old one by

$$N' = A'^n N(a_0)^{-1}N \simeq_K N(a_0)^{-1}N. \quad (31)$$

### 5.2.2 The right hand side

On the right hand sides, since the Jacobian of the multiplication map  $[a_0]: a_0^{-1}C \rightarrow C$  is  $N(a_0)$  we have the compatibility of measures  $[a_0]^*d\mu_{\text{add}} = N(a_0)d\mu_{\text{add}}$ .

We can compute the pullback of the norm function as  $[a_0]^*N((-)\mathfrak{a}^{-1}) = N((-)\mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1})$ .

We have  $a_0^{-1}\alpha \in (\mathfrak{a}_\lambda/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)^\times$ . By the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times \times (\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a})^\times & & \\ \cong \uparrow [a_0] & \searrow & \\ (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times \times (\mathfrak{a}_\lambda/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)^\times & \searrow & C(\mathfrak{q}) \end{array}$$

we have  $[a_0]^*\psi(-; \alpha) = \psi(-; a_0^{-1}\alpha)$ .

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_C 1 - \psi_{\text{Siegel}}(-; \alpha) N((-)\mathfrak{a}^{-1})^{\beta-1} d\mu_{\text{add}} \\ &= N(a_0) \int_{a_0^{-1}C} 1 - \psi_{\text{Siegel}}(-; a_0^{-1}\alpha) N((-)\mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1})^{\beta-1} d\mu_{\text{add}}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

---

<sup>9</sup>The independence of  $A$  from  $\mathfrak{a}$  is not needed if one is fine with the constants in the error term in the theorem depending on  $\mathfrak{a}$ . It is not needed either in our intended application in the forthcoming work.

### 5.2.3 End of reduction to $\mathfrak{a}_\lambda$

Now if we assume the validity of Theorem 5.1.1 for  $\mathfrak{a}_\lambda$  and the convex body  $a_0^{-1}C \subset (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<A N(a_0)^{-1/n} X}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\pi' \in a_0^{-1}C \cap \mathfrak{a}_\lambda, \\ \pi' \equiv a_0^{-1}\alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda}}} \log N(\pi' \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) = \\ \frac{w_{\mathbf{K}}}{N(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda) \varphi_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathfrak{q}) 2^{r_1} \pi^{r_2} h_{\mathbf{K}} R_{\mathbf{K}}} \int_{a_0^{-1}C} 1 - \psi_{\text{Siegel}}(-; a_0^{-1}\alpha) N((-)\mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1})^{\beta-1} d\mu_{\text{add}} \\ + O_{\mathbf{K}}\left(\frac{N'}{N(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)} e^{-\sqrt{\log(N'/N(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda))}/O_{\mathbf{K}}(1)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By (29) (30) (31) (32), this is equivalent to Theorem 5.1.1 for  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $C \subset (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<X}$ .

This reduces the problem to the case of  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \text{Cl}(\mathbf{K})$ .

Since the representatives  $\mathfrak{a}_\lambda$  can be chosen as soon as we are given the number field  $\mathbf{K}$ , the convention in §1.5.2 allows us to omit  $N(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)$  from the error term in (28) from now on.

## 5.3 From prime ideals to prime elements

We already know how many prime *ideals* there are within bounded norms (Theorem 2.3.4). Here is the first step to deduce information on the number of prime *elements* in bounded regions.

Let the situation be as in Theorem 5.1.1 and let  $\psi$  be a mod  $\mathfrak{q}$  Hecke character.

### 5.3.1 Prime ideals and prime elements

Let  $\mathcal{D} \subset (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times$  be an arbitrary  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times$ -fundamental domain.

We temporarily use the bijection (8) in the form

$$\text{Ideals}_{\mathbf{K}} \cong \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \text{Cl}(\mathbf{K})} \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \cap \mathcal{D}.$$

Namely we use the complete set of representatives  $\{\mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}\}_\lambda$  as opposed to  $\{\mathfrak{a}_\lambda\}_\lambda$ . It follows that for every  $\mathfrak{p}$ , there are a unique  $\lambda \in \text{Cl}(\mathbf{K})$  and a  $\pi \in \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \cap \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} = \pi \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}$ . In this situation  $\pi$  is a prime element of  $\mathfrak{a}_\lambda$  by the very definition of this notion. This establishes the bijection

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}} \mid \text{non-zero prime ideals}\} \cong \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \text{Cl}(\mathbf{K})} \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda) \cap \mathcal{D}.$$

### 5.3.2 To subtract a diagonal image

Now let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a non-zero prime ideal *not* dividing  $\mathfrak{q}$  and  $\pi \in \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \cap \mathcal{D}$  the corresponding prime element. Let us write  $\mathbf{diag}(\pi) \in \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{q})$  for the canonical diagonal image

$$\mathbf{diag}(\pi) \in \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{q}) = (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times \times \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{K}^\times / (1 + \mathfrak{p}^{n_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K},\mathfrak{p}}) \times \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}' \nmid \mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{Z}.$$

We know  $v_{\mathfrak{p}'}(\pi) = 0$  for  $\mathfrak{p}' \nmid \mathfrak{q}$  different from  $\mathfrak{p}$ . This implies that  $\mathbf{diag}(\pi) = (\pi; \mathbf{diag}(\pi); [\mathfrak{p}])$ , where  $\mathbf{diag}(\pi) \in \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}'|\mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{K}^\times / (1 + \mathfrak{p}^{n_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K},\mathfrak{p}'})$  is also the diagonal image, which we will simply write as  $\pi$  in the sequel. Since the Hecke character  $\psi$  kills the image of  $\mathbf{K}^\times$ , we know

$$\begin{aligned} \psi([\mathfrak{p}]) &= \psi([\mathfrak{p}] \mathbf{diag}(\pi)^{-1}) \\ &= \psi(\pi^{-1}; \pi^{-1}; 0) \\ &= \overline{\psi}(\pi; \pi; 0). \end{aligned}$$

By changing the notation  $\psi \leftrightarrow \overline{\psi}$ , from Theorem 2.3.4 we deduce:

**Corollary 5.3.3.** *Under the notation of Theorem 2.3.4 and this §5.3, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\lambda \in \text{Cl}(\mathbf{K})} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \cap \mathcal{D}, \\ N(\pi \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) < N}} \psi(\pi; \pi; 0) \log N(\pi \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) \\ &= N \cdot 1_{\psi \equiv 1} - \frac{N^\beta}{\beta} 1_{\psi: \text{Siegel}} + O_{\mathbf{K}}(N e^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_{\mathbf{K}}(1)}). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

## 6 Main theorem for thin cones—Fourier analysis

Here we will combine Fourier analysis recalled in §§3–4 and Corollary 5.3.3 to prove Theorem 5.1.1 for a special type of subsets (Corollary 6.2.3).

Let the situation be as in Theorem 5.1.1.

By Proposition 4.2.4 we have a chart

$$C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times \cong \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \text{Cl}(\mathbf{K})} \frac{((\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times) \times (\mathfrak{a}_\lambda / \mathfrak{q} \mathfrak{a}_\lambda)^\times}{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times}.$$

Let  $\lambda \in \text{Cl}(\mathbf{K})$  be an ideal class and let  $\alpha \in (\mathfrak{a}_\lambda / \mathfrak{q} \mathfrak{a}_\lambda)^\times$ .

### 6.1 Thin cones

Let  $P \subset (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$  be a connected open set such that the map  $P \rightarrow (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times)$  is injective. There is an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times$ -fundamental domain  $\mathcal{D} \subset (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times$  containing  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times P$ . Fix any such  $\mathcal{D}$ .

It follows that  $P \times \{\alpha\} \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$  is also injective. Write  $P \times \{\alpha\} \subset C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$  for the image as well. Write  $(\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times P) \times \{\alpha\}$  for the corresponding subset of either  $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times \times (\mathfrak{a}_\lambda / \mathfrak{q} \mathfrak{a}_\lambda)^\times$  or  $C(\mathfrak{q})$ .

### 6.1.1 Applying Fourier analysis

Suppose further  $P$  is convex and small enough, depending on  $\varphi_K(\mathfrak{q})$ , to satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 4.2.2. The informal term *thin cones* refers to subsets of the form  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times P$  for such a  $P$ . We then have a decomposition of the indicator function  $1_{P \times \{\alpha\}}$  on  $C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$  as in the proposition:

$$1_{P \times \{\alpha\}} = \sum_{\psi \in \Psi_C(C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times)} c_\psi \psi + G + H. \quad (34)$$

Now we take the sum  $\sum_{\psi \in \Psi} c_\psi$  of (33). Combined with (34) we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \cap \mathcal{D}, \\ N(\pi \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) < N, \\ (\pi; \pi; 0) \in (\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times P) \times \{\alpha\}}} \log N(\pi \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) + G((\pi; \pi; 0)) + H((\pi; \pi; 0)) \\ &= \frac{\text{Vol}_{\text{idèle}}(P \times \{\alpha\})}{\text{Vol}_{\text{idèle}}(C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times)} \left( N(1 + O_n(1/M)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{N^\beta}{\beta} (\psi_{\text{Siegel}}(P \times \{\alpha\}) + O_n(1/M)) \right) \\ & \quad + O_K(\varphi_K(\mathfrak{q}) Y^{n-1}) O_K(N e^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}). \quad (35) \end{aligned}$$

Here, note that as  $\psi_{\text{Siegel}}$  takes values in the discrete set  $\{\pm 1\}$  and  $P \times \{\alpha\}$  is connected, the value  $\psi_{\text{Siegel}}(P \times \{\alpha\}) \in \{\pm 1\}$  is well defined.

The parameters  $Y, M > 1$  are from Proposition 4.2.2. Let us specify their values.

### 6.1.2 Estimate of errors

First, we want that the term

$$O_K(\varphi_K(\mathfrak{q}) Y^{n-1}) O_K(N e^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)})$$

in (35) remain in the form  $O_K(N e^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)})$ . For this, we declare that the  $O_K(1)$  constant in (27) in the statement of Theorem 5.1.1 be large enough so that  $\varphi_K(\mathfrak{q}) \leq N(\mathfrak{q}) < e^{\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}$  with  $O_K(1)$  large enough, and that the parameter  $Y$  be an  $e^{\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}$  with  $O_K(1)$  large enough.

Having fixed  $Y$ , we take  $M$  to be an  $e^{\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}$  with  $O_K(1)$  even larger so that  $H((\pi; \pi; 0)) = O_n(M \frac{\log Y}{Y})$  will be an  $O_K(e^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)})$ ; it follows then that in (35)

$$\sum_{\pi} H((\pi; \pi; 0)) = O_K(N e^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)})$$

because the number of  $\pi$ 's is at most  $\#\{x \in \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \cap \mathcal{D} \mid N(x\mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) < N\} = O_K(N)$ .

The above choices allow us to conclude also

$$\sum_{\pi} G((\pi; \pi; 0)) = O_K(Ne^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}).$$

As a result, for every  $\alpha, P$  as in the beginning of §6.1.1 we get:

$$\sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \cap \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times P, \\ N(\pi\mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) < N, \\ \pi \equiv \alpha \text{ in } \mathfrak{a}_\lambda/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda}} \log N(\pi\mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) = \tag{36}$$

$$\frac{\text{Vol}_{\text{idèle}}(P \times \{\alpha\})}{\text{Vol}_{\text{idèle}}(C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times)} \left( N - \frac{N^\beta}{\beta} \psi_{\text{Siegel}}(P \times \{\alpha\}) \right) + O_K(Ne^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}).$$

## 6.2 Slight change of notation

Let us rewrite the condition  $N(\pi\mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) < N$  in the left hand side of (36) as  $N(\pi) < N(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)N =: N'$  and write this  $N'$  as  $N$  afresh. Also, we may consider this formula for two values  $N' < N$  and take the difference. This gives:

**Corollary 6.2.1.** *Let  $N' < N$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a non-zero ideal whose norm satisfies*

$$N(\mathfrak{q}) < e^{\sqrt{\log N'}/O_K(1)}.$$

*Let  $\lambda \in \text{Cl}(K)$ ,  $\alpha \in (\mathfrak{a}_\lambda/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)^\times$  be arbitrary, and  $P \subset (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$  be a connected open set small enough (depending on  $\varphi_K(\mathfrak{q})$ ) and convex to satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 4.2.2.*

*Then we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \cap \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times P, \\ N' < N(\pi) < N, \\ \pi \equiv \alpha \text{ in } \mathfrak{a}_\lambda/\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda}} \log N(\pi\mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) =$$

$$\frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)} \frac{\text{Vol}_{\text{idèle}}(P \times \{\alpha\})}{\text{Vol}_{\text{idèle}}(C(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times)} \left( (N - N') - \frac{\psi_{\text{Siegel}}(P \times \{\alpha\})}{\beta N(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)^{\beta-1}} (N^\beta - N'^\beta) \right)$$

$$+ O_K(Ne^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}). \tag{37}$$

### 6.2.2 Description as an integral

It will be convenient to note that we can describe the previous quantity as an integral

$$(N - N') - \frac{\psi_{\text{Siegel}}(P \times \{\alpha\})}{\beta N(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)^{\beta-1}} (N^\beta - N'^\beta) = \int_{N'}^N 1 - \frac{\psi_{\text{Siegel}}(P \times \{\alpha\})}{N(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)^{\beta-1}} x^{\beta-1} dx.$$

Recall the basis  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{r_1+r_2}$  and the associated coordinates  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r_1+r_2}$  of  $\mathbf{R}^{r_1+r_2}$  from §2.2.6. The norm map  $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  looks like the composite

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times &\cong \mathbf{R}^{r_1+r_2} \times (\mathbf{S}^1)^{r_2} \times \{\pm 1\}^{r_1} \xrightarrow{\text{projection}} \mathbf{R}^{r_1+r_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \\ &(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r_1+r_2}) \mapsto e^{\xi_{r_1+r_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the reader's eyeballs' comfort, write  $\xi := \xi_{r_1+r_2}$ . By the substitution  $x = e^\xi$  we can compute the previous integral (multiplied by the constant factor  $\text{Vol}_{\text{mult}}(\mathbf{P})$ ) as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}_{\text{mult}}(\mathbf{P}) \int_{\mathbf{N}'}^{\mathbf{N}} 1 - \frac{\psi_{\text{Siegel}}(\mathbf{P} \times \{\alpha\})}{\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{a}_\lambda)^{\beta-1}} x^{\beta-1} dx \\ = \int_{[\log \mathbf{N}', \log \mathbf{N}] \times \mathbf{P}} e^\xi - \frac{\psi_{\text{Siegel}}(-; \alpha)}{\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{a}_\lambda)^{\beta-1}} e^{\beta\xi} |d\xi d\xi_1 \dots \xi_{r_1+r_2-1}| \end{aligned}$$

By (11) noting  $\mathbf{N}(-) = e^\xi$ , this can be written as an integral in  $d\mu_{\text{add}}$  (let us also switch to the additive notation  $[\mathbf{N}', \mathbf{N}] \cdot \mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$  from §2.2.4):

$$= 2^{r_2} \sqrt{r_1 + r_2} \int_{[\mathbf{N}', \mathbf{N}] \cdot \mathbf{P}} 1 - \frac{\psi_{\text{Siegel}}(-; \alpha)}{\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{a}_\lambda)^{\beta-1}} \mathbf{N}(-)^{\beta-1} d\mu_{\text{add}}.$$

Now we can rewrite the main term in (37). By the choice of  $\mu_{\text{idèle}}$  we have  $\text{Vol}_{\text{idèle}}(\mathbf{P} \times \{\alpha\}) = \text{Vol}_{\text{mult}}(\mathbf{P})$ . We also know the value (19) of  $\text{Vol}_{\text{idèle}}(\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times)$ . We conclude that the main terms of (37) are rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{a}_\lambda)} \frac{\text{Vol}_{\text{idèle}}(\mathbf{P})}{\text{Vol}_{\text{idèle}}(\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times)} \left( (\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}') - \frac{\psi_{\text{Siegel}}(\mathbf{P} \times \{\alpha\})}{\beta \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{a}_\lambda)^{\beta-1}} (\mathbf{N}^\beta - \mathbf{N}'^\beta) \right) \quad (38) \\ &= \frac{w_{\mathbf{K}}}{\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{a}_\lambda) \varphi_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathfrak{q}) 2^{r_1} \pi^{r_2} h_{\mathbf{K}} R_{\mathbf{K}}} \int_{[\mathbf{N}', \mathbf{N}] \cdot \mathbf{P}} 1 - \psi_{\text{Siegel}}(-; \alpha) \left( \frac{\mathbf{N}(-)}{\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{a}_\lambda)} \right)^{\beta-1} d\mu_{\text{add}}. \end{aligned}$$

Namely

**Corollary 6.2.3.** *Let  $1 < \mathbf{N}' < \mathbf{N}$  be positive numbers and  $\mathfrak{q}$  be an ideal whose norm obeys the bound:*

$$\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{q}) < e^{\sqrt{\log \mathbf{N}'}/\text{O}_{\mathbf{K}}(1)}.$$

*Then Theorem 5.1.1 is true for subsets of the form  $\mathbf{C} = [\mathbf{N}', \mathbf{N}] \cdot \mathbf{P}$  where  $\mathbf{P} \subset (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$  is an open set which maps injectively into  $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times$  and such that the image is a small convex set of size  $\ll_n \varphi(\mathfrak{q})^{-(n-2)}$  in the sense of Definition 3.1.1.*

See (10) for the definition of the set  $[\mathbf{N}', \mathbf{N}] \cdot \mathbf{P}$ .

## 7 End of proof

Lastly, we will “integrate” the estimate (37) (38) in various small convex subsets  $P \subset (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$  to prove Theorem 5.1.1. Some care must be taken to make sure that the bound of the error will remain uniform in the ideal parameter  $\mathfrak{q}$ .

Let  $Y > 1$  be again an auxiliary parameter which will be set to be an  $e^{\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}$ .

### 7.1 Reduction to the case of annulus sectors

Let the notation be as in Theorem 5.1.1: in particular let  $X > 1$  be a positive number. Write  $N := X^n$  for the upper bound of norm in the region  $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<X}$ . Let  $C \subset (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<X}$  be a convex open set.

Let  $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$  be an ideal whose norm obeys the upper bound (27) and let  $\alpha \in (\mathfrak{a}_\lambda / \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)^\times$  for some chosen ideal class  $\lambda \in \text{Cl}(\mathbf{K})$ .

#### 7.1.1 Elements close to the axes

The number of elements of  $\mathfrak{a}_\lambda$  in the region

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in (\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<X} \mid & \text{some of the coordinates} \\ x = (x_1, \dots, x_{r_1+r_2}) \in & \mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \cong \mathbf{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbf{C}^{r_2} \\ \text{has size } |x_i| < 1\} & \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

is  $O_K(X^{n-1}) = O_K(N/X)$ . Hence the contribution of these points to the sum  $\sum_{\pi} \log N(\pi \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) \leq \sum_{\pi} \log N$  is  $O_K(N \frac{\log X}{X})$ . Also, the contribution of this region to the integral  $\int_C (1 - \psi_{\text{Siegel}}(-; \alpha) N((-)\mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) d\mu$  is  $O_n(N/X)$ .

Therefore the contribution from points in (39) can be ignored. In particular, all the points that count have norm of size  $\geq N/X$ .

**Definition 7.1.2.** An *annulus sector* of size  $X/Y$  is a connected component of a subset of  $\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$  of the following Baumkuchen-like shape, determined by the choice of parameters  $a_1, \dots, a_{r_1+r_2}, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{r_2} \in \mathbf{R}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} B &= B(a_1, \dots, a_{r_1+r_2}; \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{r_2}) \\ &= \{x \in \mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \mid 0 < |x|_{\sigma_i} - a_i < X/Y \text{ for } \forall i, \\ & \quad 0 < \arg(|x|_{\sigma_i}) - \theta_i < 1/Y \pmod{2\pi\mathbf{Z}} \text{ for } r_1 + 1 \leq i \leq r_1 + r_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

We want to approximate the convex body  $C$  by the union of disjoint annulus sectors of size  $\leq X/Y$ . First we cover  $(\mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})_{<X}$  with  $O_n(Y^n)$  many disjoint annulus sectors  $B_j$  of size  $\leq X/Y$ . Then let

$$B_j \quad (j \in J)$$

be those annulus sectors contained in  $C$  and not intersecting with the region (39).

**Lemma 7.1.3.** *We have  $\text{Vol}(C \setminus \bigsqcup_{j \in J} B_j) \ll_n N/Y$ . Consequently, there are  $\ll_K N/Y$  points in  $C \setminus \bigsqcup_{j \in J} B_j$ .*



*Proof.* Let  $B_j$  ( $j \in J'$ ) be those annulus sectors intersecting with  $\partial C$  or the region (39). Since an annulus sector of size  $\leq X/Y$  has diameter  $O_n(X/Y)$ , the volume covered by  $B_j$ 's ( $j \in J'$ ) is  $O_n(\frac{X}{Y} \cdot (\text{Area}(\partial C) + O_n(X^{n-1})))$ . Because  $\text{Area}(\partial C) = O_n(X^{n-1})$  (see e.g. [3, Lemma A.1]), this quantity is an  $O_n(X^n/Y) = O_n(N/Y)$ .  $\square$

#### 7.1.4 Annulus sectors inside $C$

By Lemma 7.1.3, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \cap C, \\ \pi \equiv \alpha \text{ in } \mathfrak{a}_\lambda / \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda}} \log N(\pi \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) = \left( \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \cap B_j, \\ \pi \equiv \alpha \text{ in } \mathfrak{a}_\lambda / \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda}} \log N(\pi \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) \right) + O_n((N \log N)/Y).$$

Assuming that the conclusion of Theorem 5.1.1 holds for  $B_j$ ,  $j \in J$  (with uniform  $O_K(1)$  constants of course), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \cap B_j, \\ \pi \equiv \alpha \text{ in } \mathfrak{a}_\lambda / \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda}} \log N(\pi \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) &= \\ & \frac{w_K}{N(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda) \varphi_K(\mathfrak{q}) 2^{r_1} \pi^{r_2} h_K R_K} \int_{\bigsqcup_{j \in J} B_j} 1 - \psi_{\text{Siegel}}(-; \alpha) N((-) \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1})^{\beta-1} d\mu \\ & + \#M \cdot O_K(N e^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}). \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

We know  $\#M = O_n(Y^n)$  because the total number of annulus sectors obeys this bound. It follows that the last error term in (40) is an  $O_K(N e^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)})$  if the constant in  $Y = e^{\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}$  is taken large enough.

The difference between  $\int_{\bigsqcup_{j \in J} B_j}$  and  $\int_C$  is, again by Lemma 7.1.3, bounded by  $O(1) \cdot \#(C \setminus \bigsqcup_{j \in J} B_j) = O_n(N/Y)$ . This allows us to replace the domain of integration and conclude that Theorem 5.1.1 is also true for the convex body  $C$  under our current assumption.

#### 7.1.5 Reduction—conclusion

Thus we are reduced to proving Theorem 5.1.1 for  $C = B_j$  an annulus sector of size  $\leq X/Y$  disjoint from the region (39).<sup>10</sup>

<sup>10</sup>Technically speaking, this is not part of Theorem 5.1.1 because  $B_j$  is not convex. Of course, as  $B_j$  can be written as the difference of unions of  $O_n(1)$  convex sets, one could argue this is part of Theorem 5.1.1 if they prefer.

## 7.2 Proof for annulus sectors

Now we claim and prove that Theorem 5.1.1 is true for annulus sectors  $B \subset K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}_{<X}$  of size  $< X/10$  say, disjoint from (39).

Let  $Y_2 > 1$  be a new auxiliary integer parameter<sup>11</sup> of the form  $e^{\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}$ .

### 7.2.1 Annulus sectors in the multiplicative coordinates

Via the bijection  $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^{\times} \cong \mathbf{R}^{r_1+r_2} \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^{r_2} \times \{\pm 1\}^{r_1}$ , our annulus sectors look like cubes contained in  $[0, \log X]^{r_1+r_2} \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^{r_2} \times \{\pm 1\}^{r_1}$  whose facets are parallel to coordinate hyperplanes.

### 7.2.2 Cubes in $H \times (S^1)^{r_2} \times \{\pm 1\}^{r_1}$

We consider the image of  $[0, \log X]^{r_1+r_2}$  by the projection  $\mathbf{R}^{r_1+r_2} = \mathbf{R} \times H \rightarrow H$ . We cover it with cubes of size  $1/Y_2$  with respect to a fixed  $\mathbf{R}$ -basis of  $H$ . This requires  $O_n((Y_2 \log X)^{r_1+r_2-1})$  cubes.

We also cover the torus  $(\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^{r_2}$  by  $Y_2^{r_2}$  cubes of size  $1/Y_2$ .

**Definition 7.2.3.** By a *cube* in  $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^{\times}/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times} = H \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^{r_2} \times \{\pm 1\}^{r_1}$  let us mean a subset  $P$  obtained as the product of a cube in  $H$  and a cube in  $(\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^{r_2}$  of sizes  $1/Y_2$  as in §7.2.2, placed in one of the connected components  $\{\pm 1\}^{r_1}$ . There are  $O_n(Y_2^{n-1} \log^{r_1+r_2-1} X)$  of them.

### 7.2.4 Sandwiching by special type of subsets

Let  $P$  be a cube in  $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^{\times}/\mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times}$  in the sense of Definition 7.2.3 and consider the intersection  $B \cap \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times} P$  in  $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^{\times}$ .

For  $1 < a < b$ , recall from §2.2.4 that we write  $[a, b] \cdot P \subset (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^{\times}$  for the set of points where the projection to  $H \times (S^1)^{r_2} \times \{\pm 1\}^{r_1}$  belong to  $P$  and the value of  $N(-)$  is in  $[a, b]$ .

By §7.1.1, up to negligible error there are two real numbers  $\log(N/X) < a < b < \log N$  satisfying

$$[a, b] \times P \subset B \cap (\mathbf{R} \times P) \subset [a - \varepsilon, b + \varepsilon] \times P \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R} \times H \times (S^1)^{r_2} \times \{\pm 1\}^{r_1},$$

where  $\varepsilon = O_n(1/Y_2)$  by §7.2.1. In the additive notation, this means

$$[e^a, e^b] \cdot P \subset B \cap \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times} P \subset [e^a(1 - \varepsilon), e^b(1 + \varepsilon)] \cdot P \quad \text{in } K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbf{C}^{r_2}.$$

---

<sup>11</sup>Here we could have used the letter  $Y$  afresh but we prefer to use a different symbol because in the logical order, the  $Y$  in §7.1 cannot be specified until we specify  $Y_2$ .

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \cap ([e^a, e^b] \cdot P) \\ \pi \equiv \alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{a}_\lambda / \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda}} \log N(\pi \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) &\leq \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \cap (B \cap \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times P) \\ \pi \equiv \alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{a}_\lambda / \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda}} \log N(\pi \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \cap ([e^a(1-\varepsilon), e^b(1+\varepsilon)] \cdot P) \\ \pi \equiv \alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{a}_\lambda / \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda}} \log N(\pi \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}).
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

### 7.2.5 Applying the main theorem for the special case

We want to apply Corollary 6.2.3 to the sets  $[e^a, e^b] \cdot P$  and  $[e^a(1-\varepsilon), e^b(1+\varepsilon)] \cdot P$  to rewrite (41). We have to verify the hypotheses on  $N(\mathfrak{q})$  and the size of  $P$ :

- We know  $e^{\sqrt{\log(e^a(1-\varepsilon))}} > e^{\sqrt{\log(N/X)-\varepsilon}} > e^{\sqrt{\log N}/2} > N(\mathfrak{q})$  by (27);
- By the construction of  $P$ , the image of  $P \times \{\alpha\}$  to  $(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times / \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times$  is a cube of size  $\ll_K 1/Y_2$ . By declaring that we shall take the  $O_K(1)$  in the bound (27) of  $N(\mathfrak{q})$  large enough depending on that in  $Y_2$ , we can ensure that the image of  $P \times \{\alpha\}$  is small enough (and automatically convex) to satisfy  $1/Y_2 \ll_K N(\mathfrak{q})^{-(n-2)} < \varphi_K(\mathfrak{q})^{-(n-2)}$  as required in Proposition 4.2.2.

Under these circumstances we can apply Corollary 6.2.3 and obtain an estimate of our sum from below and above:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{w_K}{N(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)\varphi_K(\mathfrak{q})2^{r_1}\pi^{r_2}h_K R_K} \int_{[e^a, e^b] \cdot P} 1 - \psi_{\text{Siegel}}(-; \alpha) N((-)\mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1})^{\beta-1} d\mu_{\text{add}} \tag{42} \\
&\quad + O_K(Ne^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}) \\
< &\sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \cap (B \cap \mathbf{R}_{>0}^\times P) \\ \pi \equiv \alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{a}_\lambda / \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda}} \log N(\pi \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) \\
< &\frac{w_K}{N(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)\varphi_K(\mathfrak{q})2^{r_1}\pi^{r_2}h_K R_K} \int_{[e^a(1-\varepsilon), e^b(1+\varepsilon)] \cdot P} 1 - \psi_{\text{Siegel}}(-; \alpha) N((-)\mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1})^{\beta-1} d\mu_{\text{add}} \\
&\quad + O_K(Ne^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

### 7.2.6 Difference of upper and lower bounds

The difference of the main terms of the upper and lower bounds in (42) is bounded by

$$\frac{w_K}{N(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)\varphi_K(\mathfrak{q})2^{r_1}\pi^{r_2}h_K R_K} \int_{([e^{\mathfrak{a}}(1-\varepsilon), e^{\mathfrak{b}}(1+\varepsilon)] \cdot \mathbf{P}) \setminus ([e^{\mathfrak{a}}, e^{\mathfrak{b}}] \cdot \mathbf{P})} \left| 1 - \psi_{\text{Siegel}}(-; \alpha) N((-)\mathfrak{a}^{-1})^{\beta-1} \right| d\mu_{\text{add}}$$

and is an  $O_K(N/(Y_2)^n)$  because: the factor in front of the integral is an  $O_K(1)$ , the integrand always has absolute value  $\leq 2$  and the domain of integration has volume  $< 2N\varepsilon \text{Area}(\mathbf{P}) \ll N/(Y_2)^n$ . By (42) and the triangle inequality we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \cap (\mathbf{B} \cap \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times} \mathbf{P}) \\ \pi \equiv \alpha \text{ in } \mathfrak{a}_\lambda / \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda}} \log N(\pi \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) = \\ & \frac{w_K}{N(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)\varphi_K(\mathfrak{q})2^{r_1}\pi^{r_2}h_K R_K} \int_{\mathbf{B} \cap \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\times} \mathbf{P}} 1 - \psi_{\text{Siegel}}(-; \alpha) N((-)\mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1})^{\beta-1} d\mu_{\text{add}} \\ & + O_K(N/(Y_2)^n) + O_K(Ne^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

### 7.2.7 To take the sum over the cubes

Take the sum  $\sum_{\mathbf{P}}$  of (43) over the cubes  $\mathbf{P}$  in the sense of Definition 7.2.3. Since there are  $O_K((Y_2)^{n-1} \log^{r_1+r_2-1} X)$  of them, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \cap \mathbf{B} \\ \pi \equiv \alpha \text{ in } \mathfrak{a}_\lambda / \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_\lambda}} \log N(\pi \mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1}) = \\ & \frac{w_K}{N(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)\varphi_K(\mathfrak{q})2^{r_1}\pi^{r_2}h_K R_K} \int_{\mathbf{B}} 1 - \psi_{\text{Siegel}}(-; \alpha) N((-)\mathfrak{a}_\lambda^{-1})^{\beta-1} d\mu_{\text{add}} \\ & + O_K\left(N \frac{\log^{r_1+r_2-1} X}{Y_2}\right) \\ & + O_K((Y_2)^{n-1} \log^{r_1+r_2-1} X \cdot Ne^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

The first error term in (44) is an  $O_K(Ne^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)})$  with the  $O_K(1)$  in the exponent being more or less the same as that in  $Y_2 = e^{\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}$ .

By taking the  $O_K(1)$  constant in  $Y_2$  large enough compared to the constant in  $e^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}$  in the second error term, we can make the second error term also an  $O_K(Ne^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)})$ .

If follows that if we set the  $O_K(1)$  constant in  $Y_2$  large enough, the two error terms in (44) become a new  $O_K(Ne^{-\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)})$ . This proves Theorem 5.1.1 for annulus sectors  $B$  and accomplishes the goal of §7.2.

Then we run the process of §7.1 to reduce the proof of Theorem 5.1.1 to the case of annulus sectors and fix the constant in  $Y = e^{\sqrt{\log N}/O_K(1)}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1.1.

## A Euclid's algorithm

**Proposition A.1.** *Let  $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{Z}^n$  be a subgroup of finite index  $\#(\mathbf{Z}^n/\Lambda) = D$ . Then there is a basis  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  of  $\Lambda$  whose entries have absolute values  $\leq D$ .*

*Proof.* Take an arbitrary basis of  $\Lambda$  and write it as a set of column vectors.

The assertion is translated to the following assertion on matrices: let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbf{Z})$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with positive determinant  $D$ . Then there is an invertible matrix  $U \in GL_n(\mathbf{Z})$  such that  $AU$  has entries whose absolute values are  $\leq D$ .

When  $n = 1$  (or  $n = 0$ ), the assertion is trivial. We proceed by induction on  $n$ .

Let  $d_1 > 0$  be the greatest common divisor of the entries in the first row of  $A$ . By Euclid's algorithm, which can be realized as the right multiplication by invertible matrices, the matrix  $A$  can be transformed into the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ * & & & A' \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with } A' \in M_{(n-1) \times (n-1)}(\mathbf{Z}). \quad (45)$$

This also shows  $d_1 \mid D$ . Set  $\det(A') = D' = D/d_1$ .

From the induction hypothesis, by the right multiplication of invertible matrices which leaves the first column unchanged, one can transform  $A'$  so that it has entries whose absolute values are  $\leq D'$ .

The entries  $*$  in (45) might *a priori* have absolute values  $> D$ , but one can use the entries of the modified  $A'$  to make them smaller than  $D'$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] J. W. S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, editors. *Algebraic Number Theory*. Academic Press Inc. (London) Ltd, 1967.
- [2] Ben Green and Terence Tao. Quadratic uniformity of the Möbius function. *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, 58(6):1863–1935, 2008.
- [3] Benjamin Green and Terence Tao. Linear equations in primes. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 171(3):1753–1850, 2010.

- [4] Benjamin Green, Terence Tao, and Tamar Ziegler. An inverse theorem for the Gowers  $U^{s+1}[n]$ -norm. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 176:1231–1372, 2012.
- [5] Yonatan Harpaz, Alexei N. Skorobogatov, and Olivier Wittenberg. The Hardy–Littlewood conjecture and rational points. *Comp. Math.*, 150:2095–2111, 2014.
- [6] Henryk Iwaniec and Emmanuel Kowalski. *Analytic Number Theory*, volume 53 of *Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, 2004.
- [7] Wataru Kai, Masato Mimura, Akihiro Munemasa, Shin-ichiro Seki, and Kiyoto Yoshino. Constellations in prime elements of number fields. 2020. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.15669>
- [8] J.C. Lagarias, H.L. Montgomery, and A.M. Odlyzko. A bound for the least prime ideal in the Chebotarev density theorem. *Invent. math.*, 54:271–296, 1979.
- [9] Serge Lang. *Algebraic Number Theory*, volume 110 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, 1994. second edition.
- [10] James Maynard. Primes represented by incomplete norm forms. *Forum Math. Pi*, 8:e3, 128 pages, 2020.
- [11] Takayoshi Mitsui. Generalized prime number theorem. *Jpn. J. Math.*, 26:1–42, 1956.
- [12] Hugh L. Montgomery and Robert C. Vaughan. *Multiplicative Number Theory I. Classical Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [13] Jürgen Neukirch. *Algebraic Number Theory*, volume 322 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. Translated from the German by Norbert Schappacher.
- [14] Terence Tao and Joni Teräväinen. Quantitative bounds for gowers uniformity of the möbius and von mangoldt functions. 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.02158>

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University, Aoba 6-3, Sendai 980-8578, Japan  
 kaiw@tohoku.ac.jp