

ON p -ADIC MEASURES FOR QUATERNIONIC MODULAR FORMS

YUBO JIN

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to study the special values of the standard L -functions for quaternionic modular forms using the doubling method. We obtain an integral representation for the L -function twisted by a character following the work of Böcherer-Schmidt ([4]) on Siegel modular forms. As an application, we construct the p -adic measure interpolating certain special L -values.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Quaternionic Modular Forms and L -functions	3
3. Eisenstein Series and the Doubling Method	11
4. Differential Operators and Classical Reformulations	19
5. The Main Analytic Result	26
6. Special L -values and the p -adic Measure	28
References	33

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the special L -values of quaternionic modular forms and construct the p -adic measure. The main strategy is to study the L -functions utilizing an integral representation involving the Siegel Eisenstein series and the properties of special L -values then follow from the explicit Fourier expansion of Siegel Eisenstein series. For Siegel modular forms there are two main approaches to obtain the integral representation and constructing p -adic measures. One is the Rankin-Selberg method (e.g. [8]), the other is the doubling method (e.g. [4]). We will mainly follow the doubling method in [4] to get the integral representation for twisted L -functions.

Let $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\zeta \oplus \mathbb{Q}\xi \oplus \mathbb{Q}\zeta\xi$ be a quaternion algebra with $\zeta^2 = \alpha, \xi^2 = \beta, \zeta\xi = -\xi\zeta$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. The modular forms studied in this paper are defined on the algebraic group

$$G = \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{B}) : g\phi g^* = \phi\}, \phi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ 1_n & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

with $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{R})$. It is well known that $G(\mathbb{R})/K$ is a Riemannian manifold and is actually Hermitian if $\epsilon = -1, \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{H}$ or $\epsilon = 1, \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong M_2(\mathbb{R})$. Here \mathbb{H} is the Hamilton quaternion. We will restrict ourselves to above two cases in this paper which we refer as Case I and Case II. More precisely the symmetric space defined in Case I is the Type D domain in [14] and the Type C domain in Case II. When $G(\mathbb{R})/K$ is a Hermitian symmetric space the algebraicity of modular forms makes sense (see [16]). Indeed, the algebraicity for quaternionic modular forms of Case I is already studied in our previous paper [7]. But in this paper we only consider the case that the associated symmetric space has even degree, i.e. is a ‘tube’ domain. Instead of defining algebraic modular forms using CM points as in [7, Section 5.2], we have an easier description via Fourier expansions. In particular, we have a well-defined action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ on modular forms in terms of the action on the Fourier coefficients. This will allow us to obtain a more precise algebraic result in proposition 6.2. That is we can not only show that the special L -values are algebraic up to some constants but also describe the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$.

After reviewing the preliminary of quaternionic modular forms and their standard L -functions in section 2, the main computations are carried out in section 3,4,5. Let $f : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a cusp form on degree n upper half plane. We will define a function $\mathfrak{g} : \mathcal{H}_n \times \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ deriving from the pullbacks of certain Siegel Eisenstein series on \mathcal{H}_{2n} along $\mathcal{H}_n \times \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{2n}$. In order to construct the p -adic measure we need to define the function \mathfrak{g} such that its Fourier coefficients have natural p -adic interpolations, i.e. satisfying the Kummer congruence (proposition 6.3). The idea of the construction of \mathfrak{g} is same as the one in [4]. Indeed, it is same as the twisted Eisenstein series there except for the slight difference for local sections at bad places away from p . However, the global computations of the inner product $\langle \mathfrak{g}(z, -\bar{w}), f(z) \rangle$ in [4] do not trivially generalize to our case since the maximal order \mathcal{O} of \mathbb{B} is not a principal ideal domain in general. For example, the coset decomposition in [4, Proposition 2.1] does not work in our case. Therefore we proceed our computations adelically which is also inspired by [15, 23]. The adelic formulas (27),(32) are reformulated in classical language and the differential operators are applied in section 4. The differential operator constructed in this paper is similar to the one used in [4] for Siegel modular forms which is different to the one we used in [7] so the holomorphic projection is avoided. After a final slight modification of \mathfrak{g} , we summarize all the analytic results in section 5. More precisely, with $\mathfrak{g}(z, w)$ defined by (51),(52) whose Fourier expansion is computed explicitly in proposition 5.1, we obtain an inner product formula of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \left\langle \mathfrak{g}(z, -\bar{w}), f \Big|_{\kappa} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{\Gamma^0(N^2p)}^z, f \Big|_{\kappa} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N^2p \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{\Gamma^0(N^2p)}^w \\ & = (\text{certain constants}) \cdot \langle f, f \rangle_{\Gamma^0(Np)} L_{Np}(t, f, \chi) E_p(t, \chi) \end{aligned}$$

for $t = 2n + 1, \dots, \kappa$ where $L_{Np}(s, f, \chi)$ is the partial L -function with Euler factors at places dividing Np removed and $E_p(s, \chi)$ the modified p -Euler factor defined by (53).

As an application, we discuss the properties of special values in the final section. The analytic property (proposition 6.1) and algebraic property (proposition 6.2) can be obtained immediately from the inner product formula (54) and the Fourier expansion in proposition 5.1. For the p -adic interpolation, we follow the strategy in [8] by showing

that the Kummer congruences hold for those special L -values. We state our main result for the p -adic interpolation below.

Theorem 1.1. (Theorem 6.4, 6.5) *Let $f \in S_\kappa(\Gamma_0(N))$ be an eigenform and $0 \neq f_0 \in S_\kappa(\Gamma_0(Np))$ its p -stabilisation for a fixed odd prime p with $p \nmid N$. We assume all primes $l \nmid N$ split in \mathbb{B} and f is p -ordinary. Denote the p -Satake parameter of f_0 as $\beta_{1,p}, \dots, \beta_{2n,p}$ and for any integer $L|p^\infty$ write $\alpha(L)$ for the eigenvalue of f_0 under the action of $U(L)$: $f_0|U(L) = \alpha(L)f_0$. Then there is a unique p -adic measure μ on \mathbb{Z}_p^\times such that:*

(1) (Case I) *For $t = 2n + 1, \dots, \kappa$ and primitive Dirichlet character χ of p -power conductor c_χ we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \chi(x)x^{-t+2n}d\mu = (\text{certain constants}) \cdot \frac{E_p(t, \chi)L_{Np}(f, t, \chi)}{G(\chi)^n \langle f_0, f_0 \rangle}.$$

(2) (Case II) *For $t = 2n + 1, \dots, \kappa$ and primitive Dirichlet character χ of p -power conductor c_χ with $\chi(-1) = (-1)^t$ we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \chi(x)x^{-t+2n}d\mu = (\text{certain constants}) \cdot g(\chi)(1 - \bar{\chi}(p)p^{t-2n-1}) \frac{E_p(t, \chi)L_{Np}(f, t, \chi)}{G(\chi)^n \langle f_0, f_0 \rangle}.$$

Here $g(\chi)$ is the usual Gauss sum for Dirichlet character χ and $G(\chi)$ is the ‘quaternionic Gauss sum’ of χ defined by (57).

We remark that the p -adic aspects of the quaternionic modular forms are not as well understood as those of Siegel modular forms and Hermitian modular forms. For example, one can expect the result in [15] can be generalized to modular forms studied here. That is one may hope to construct a p -adic L -function for ‘ordinary families of quaternionic modular forms’. Though the analytic computations in [15] can be generalized to quaternionic case, the Hida theory as in [11] has not established in our case since the Shimura varieties involved in quaternionic case are not of PEL-type. The p -adic modular forms as well as the Hida theory for quaternionic Shimura varieties will be explored in author’s subsequent research.

2. QUATERNIONIC MODULAR FORMS AND L -FUNCTIONS

In this section we review basics of quaternionic modular forms and their (standard) L -functions. The references for this kind of modular forms includes [6, 13] for Case I and [10, 21] for Case II. For preliminaries on quaternion algebras the reader can refer to [24].

2.1. Quaternion algebra and algebraic groups. We start by fixing some notations for quaternion algebras and our algebraic groups.

A quaternion algebra is a central simple algebra of dimension four over \mathbb{Q} . Picking up a basis, we can write it in the form

$$\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\zeta \oplus \mathbb{Q}\xi \oplus \mathbb{Q}\zeta\xi,$$

where

$$\zeta^2 = \alpha, \xi^2 = \beta, \zeta\xi = -\xi\zeta,$$

with α, β nonzero squarefree integers. The main involution of \mathbb{B} is given by

$$\bar{\cdot} : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} : a + b\zeta + c\xi + d\zeta\xi \mapsto \overline{a + b\zeta + c\xi + d\zeta\xi} = a - b\zeta - c\xi - d\zeta\xi.$$

We remind the reader that we will also use the same notation $\bar{\cdot}$ for usual complex involution if its meaning is clear from the context. The trace and norm are defined by $\text{tr}(x) = x + \bar{x}, N(x) = x\bar{x}$ for $x \in \mathbb{B}$. As usual we denote $M_n(\mathbb{B})$ as a set of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{B} . For $X \in M_n(\mathbb{B})$, we write $X^* = \overline{X^t}, \hat{X} = (X^*)^{-1}$ for the conjugate transpose and its inverse (if makes sense). The determinant \det and trace tr for matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{B})$ will mean the reduced norm and reduced trace. We use the same notations \det, tr for usual determinant and trace of matrices whose entries are complex numbers. Denote

$$\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{B}) = \{g \in M_n(\mathbb{B}) : \det(g) \neq 0\}, \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{B}) = \{g \in M_n(\mathbb{B}) : \det(g) = 1\}.$$

Let \mathbb{A} be the adèle ring of \mathbb{Q} . For a place v we mean either a finite place corresponding to a prime or the archimedean place ∞ . The set of finite places is denoted as \mathbf{h} . We write $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbb{R}$ with finite adeles $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{h}}$ and $x = x_{\mathbf{h}}x_{\infty}$ with $x \in \mathbb{A}, x_{\mathbf{h}} \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{h}}, x_{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}$. Fix embeddings $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_v}$ and set $\mathbb{B}_v = \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_v}$. The previous definition of trace, norm, determinant naturally extends locally and adelicly. Fix a maximal order \mathcal{O} of \mathbb{B} and set $\mathcal{O}_v = \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_v$. For a place v we call v splits if $\mathbb{B}_v \cong M_2(\mathbb{Q}_v)$. If this is the case we fix an identification $\mathfrak{i}_v : \mathbb{B}_v \xrightarrow{\sim} M_2(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ and assume $\mathfrak{i}_v(\mathcal{O}_v) = M_2(\mathbb{Z}_v)$ for finite places. Write $\mathbb{B}_{\infty} = \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ for $v = \infty$. We call \mathbb{B} is definite if $\mathbb{B}_{\infty} = \mathbb{H}$ is non-split and indefinite if otherwise. Here $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathfrak{i} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathfrak{j} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathfrak{ij}$ with $\mathfrak{i}^2 = \mathfrak{j}^2 = -1, \mathfrak{ij} = -\mathfrak{ji}$ is the Hamilton quaternion algebra.

We consider following two algebraic groups.

(Case I) \mathbb{B} is definite.

$$G := G(\mathbb{Q}) := G_n(\mathbb{Q}) := \{g \in \text{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{B}) : gJ_n g^* = J_n\}, J_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1_n \\ 1_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(Case II) \mathbb{B} is indefinite.

$$G := G(\mathbb{Q}) := G_n(\mathbb{Q}) := \{g \in \text{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{B}) : gI_n g^* = I_n\}, I_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1_n \\ 1_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We also define (connected) similitude groups

(Case I)

$$\tilde{G} := \{g \in \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{B}) : gJ_n g^* = \nu(g)J_n, \det(g) = \nu(g)^n, \nu(g) \in \mathbb{Q}^+\}.$$

(Case II)

$$\tilde{G} := \{g \in \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{B}) : gI_n g^* = \nu(g)I_n, \det(g) = \nu(g)^n, \nu(g) \in \mathbb{Q}^+\}.$$

Fix an integral ideal $\mathfrak{n} = (N)$ of \mathcal{O} generated by $N = \prod_v p_v^{n_v} \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define open compact subgroups $K_0(\mathfrak{n})$ and $K^0(\mathfrak{n})$ of $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{h}})$ by

$$K_0(\mathfrak{n}) := \prod_v K_v = \prod_v \left\{ \gamma := \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in G(\mathcal{O}_v) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0_n & * \end{bmatrix} \pmod{p_v^{n_v}} \right\},$$

$$K^0(\mathfrak{n}) := \prod_v K_v := \prod_v \left\{ \gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in G(\mathcal{O}_v) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & 0_n \\ * & * \end{bmatrix} \pmod{p_v^{n_v}} \right\}.$$

Denote $\Gamma_0(N) := G(\mathbb{Q}) \cap K_0(\mathfrak{n})$, $\Gamma^0(N) := G(\mathbb{Q}) \cap K^0(\mathfrak{n})$. We have

$$(1) \quad G(\mathbb{A}) = G(\mathbb{Q})G(\mathbb{R})K_0(\mathfrak{n}) = G(\mathbb{Q})G(\mathbb{R})K^0(\mathfrak{n}).$$

In Case II, this simply follows from the strong approximation. In Case I, the strong approximation is not necessarily hold but for subgroups $K_0(\mathfrak{n}), K^0(\mathfrak{n})$ above relation holds by weak approximation and [6, Lemma 3.2].

2.2. Symmetric spaces. Define $S := S(\mathbb{Q}) := \{X \in M_n(\mathbb{B}) : X^* = -\epsilon X\}$ for the (additive) algebraic group of hermitian matrices (resp. skew-hermitian matrices) with $\epsilon = -1$ (resp. $\epsilon = 1$) in Case I (resp. Case II). We use S^+ (resp. S_+) denote the subgroup of S consisting of positive definite (resp. positive) elements. The symmetric space is defined as

$$\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_n := \{z = x + iy \in S(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} : x \in S(\mathbb{R}), y \in S^+(\mathbb{R})\},$$

with the action of $\tilde{G}(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \times z \mapsto (az + b)(cz + d)^{-1}.$$

Set $\mu(g, z) := cz + d$ and $j(g, z) := \det[\mu(g, z)]$ for the automorphy factor. Put $\delta(z) := \det(y)^{1/2}$ so $\delta(gz) = |j(g, z)|^{-1}\delta(z)$.

For Case I, the symmetric space is same as the quaternionic upper half plane in [6]. Denote $z_0 := i \cdot 1_n$ for the origin of \mathcal{H} .

For Case II, since \mathbb{B} is indefinite we have an isomorphism $\mathbb{B}_{\infty} \cong M_2(\mathbb{R})$. For example, without lossing generality, write $\mathbb{B}_{\infty} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}\zeta \oplus \mathbb{R}\xi \oplus \mathbb{R}\zeta\xi$ with $\zeta^2 = 1, \xi^2 = -1, \zeta\xi = -\xi\zeta$. The isomorphism can be given by

$$\mathfrak{i} : \mathbb{B}_{\infty} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_2(\mathbb{R}), x = a + b\zeta + c\xi + d\zeta\xi \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a + b & c + d \\ -c + d & a - b \end{bmatrix}.$$

Clearly $\mathfrak{i}(x^*) = -J_1 \mathfrak{i}(x) J_1$. Extending this isomorphism entries by entries we obtain

$$\mathfrak{i} : M_n(\mathbb{B}) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{2n}(\mathbb{R}), X = (x_{ij}) \mapsto (\mathfrak{i}(x_{ij})).$$

We have $\mathfrak{i}(X^*) = -J'_n \mathfrak{i}(X) J'_n$ where $J'_n = \text{diag}[J_1, \dots, J_1]$ with n copies. This induces the identification

$$\iota : G(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sp}(2n)(\mathbb{R}) = \{g \in \text{GL}_{4n}(\mathbb{R}) : gJ_{2n} {}^t g = J_{2n}\},$$

given by $g \mapsto \text{diag}[1, -J'_n] \mathfrak{i}(g) \text{diag}[1, J'_n]$. It is well known that $\text{Sp}(2n)(\mathbb{R})$ acts on Siegel upper half plane

$$\mathfrak{H}_{2n} = \{z = x + iy \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} : {}^t z = z, y > 0\},$$

by

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \times z \mapsto (az + b)(cz + d)^{-1}.$$

We fix an identification

$$\iota : \mathcal{H}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{H}_{2n}, z = x + iy \mapsto \mathfrak{i}(x) J'_n + \mathfrak{i}(y) J'_n,$$

satisfying $\iota(gz) = \iota(g)\iota(z)$ for $g \in G(\mathbb{R}), z \in \mathcal{H}_n$. The origin z_0 of \mathcal{H}_n is chosen as $z_0 := i \cdot \xi$ so that $\iota(z_0) = i \cdot 1_{2n}$.

2.3. Definition of modular forms. Fix an integer N and $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$. The group \tilde{G} acts on function $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(f|_{\kappa}\gamma)(z) = \det(\gamma)^{k/2} j(\gamma, z)^{-\kappa} f(\gamma z), \gamma \in \tilde{G}, z \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Definition 2.1. A holomorphic function $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a modular form for the congruence subgroup Γ of weight k if

$$f|_{\kappa}\gamma = f \text{ for all } \gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a_{\gamma} & b_{\gamma} \\ c_{\gamma} & d_{\gamma} \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma.$$

Space of such functions is denoted as $M_{\kappa}(\Gamma)$.

Denote $e(z) := \exp(2\pi iz)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Set $\lambda := \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}$ for Case I and $\lambda := \text{tr}$ for Case II and $\lambda(x + iy) := \lambda(x) + i\lambda(y)$. For $f \in M_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(N))$ and $\gamma \in G$, there is a Fourier expansion of the form

$$(f|_{\kappa}\gamma)(z) = \sum_{\tau \in S_+} c(\tau, \gamma, f) e(\lambda(\tau z)).$$

We say that f is a cusp form if $c(\tau, \gamma, f) = 0$ for any γ unless $\tau \in S^+$. The subspace of cusp forms is denoted as $S_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(N))$. When $\gamma = 1$ we omit γ in the fomula and write

$$f(z) = \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda} c(\tau) e(\lambda(\tau z)),$$

where more precisely, $\Lambda = \{\tau \in S : \lambda(\tau s) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for any } s \in S(\mathcal{O})\}$ is a lattice in S_+ . This condition is equivalent to saying that $\tau_{ii} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $2\tau_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}^{\#}$. Here $\mathcal{O}^{\#}$ is the dual lattice of \mathcal{O} respect to λ .

Let

$$\mathbf{d}z = \begin{cases} \delta(z)^{-2n+1} dz & \text{Case I,} \\ \delta(z)^{-2n-1} dz & \text{Case II.} \end{cases}$$

be the invariant differential of \mathcal{H} . Here $dz = dx dy$ is the usual Euclidean measure. For $f, h \in M_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(N))$ the Petersson inner product is defined as

$$(2) \quad \langle f, g \rangle := \langle f, h \rangle_{\Gamma_0(N)} := \int_{\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathcal{H}} f(z) \overline{h(z)} \delta(z)^{2k} \mathbf{d}z,$$

whenever the integral converges. For example, the integral converges when one of f, h is a cusp form.

We also need the adelic definition of modular forms. Let $\mathfrak{n} = (N)$ be the integral ideal in \mathcal{O} generated by N . An (adelic) modular form is defined as a holomorphic function $\mathbf{f} : G(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$\mathbf{f}(\alpha g k k_{\infty}) = j(k_{\infty}, z_0) \mathbf{f}(g),$$

for $\alpha \in G, k \in K, k_{\infty} \in K_{\infty}$. Here K is an open compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{h}})$ and K_{∞} is the maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{R})$ fixing the origin z_0 . Denote $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(K)$ for the space of such functions and $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa}(K)$ be the subspace of cusp forms. We mainly consider the group $\Gamma_0(N), \Gamma^0(N), K_0(\mathfrak{n}), K^0(\mathfrak{n})$ in this paper. By (1), two definitions of modular forms are related by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(K_0(\mathfrak{n})) \cong M_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(N)), \mathcal{S}_{\kappa}(K_0(\mathfrak{n})) \cong S_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(N)), \mathbf{f} \leftrightarrow f$$

with $\mathbf{f}(g) = j(g_\infty, z_0)^{-k} f(g_\infty z_0)$. Same relations hold for changing $\Gamma_0(N)$ to $\Gamma^0(N)$ and $K_0(\mathfrak{n})$ to $K^0(\mathfrak{n})$. For $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa(K_0(\mathfrak{n}))$ we can also define the Petersson inner product as an integral (if converges)

$$(3) \quad \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h} \rangle := \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h} \rangle := \int_{G(\mathbb{A})} \mathbf{f}(g) \overline{\mathbf{h}(g)} \mathbf{d}g.$$

Here $\mathbf{d}g$ is the Haar measure of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that the volume of $K_0(\mathfrak{n})$ is 1 and $dg_\infty = \mathbf{d}(g_\infty z_0)$. If f, h are the classical modular form correspond to \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h} then (2),(3) are related by

$$(4) \quad \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h} \rangle = \langle f, h \rangle.$$

The similar relation also holds for $K^0(\mathfrak{n})$.

2.4. Hecke operators and L -functions. Fix $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ as above and assume that all primes $l \nmid N$ splits in \mathbb{B} . Let

$$\mathfrak{M} = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{h}}) \cap M_n(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{h}}), \mathfrak{Q} = \{\mathrm{diag}[\hat{w}, w], w \in M\}, \mathfrak{X} = K_0(\mathfrak{n})\mathfrak{Q}K_0(\mathfrak{n}).$$

The Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}(K_0(\mathfrak{n}), \mathfrak{X})$ is defined as the \mathbb{Q} -algebra generated by double cosets $[K_0(\mathfrak{n})\xi K_0(\mathfrak{n})]$ with $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$. Given $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa(K_0(\mathfrak{n}))$ the Hecke operator $[K_0(\mathfrak{n})\xi K_0(\mathfrak{n})]$ acts on \mathbf{f} by

$$(5) \quad (\mathbf{f}|[K_0(\mathfrak{n})\xi K_0(\mathfrak{n})])(g) = \sum_{y \in Y} \mathbf{f}(gy^{-1}),$$

where Y is a finite subset of $G_{\mathfrak{h}}$ such that

$$K_0(\mathfrak{n})\xi K_0(\mathfrak{n}) = \bigcup_{y \in Y} K_0(\mathfrak{n})y, y = \begin{bmatrix} a_y & b_y \\ c_y & d_y \end{bmatrix}.$$

This coset decomposition can be given explicitly in the following lemma whose proof is same as [22, Lemma 19.2, 19.4] and [6, Lemma 6.1].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\xi = \mathrm{diag}[\hat{w}, w] \in \mathfrak{Q}_v$.*

(1) *If $v \mid \mathfrak{n}$, then*

$$K_v \xi K_v = \bigcup_{d, b} K_v \begin{bmatrix} \hat{d} & \hat{d}b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix},$$

*with $d \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_v) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_v)w\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_v)$, $b \in S(\mathcal{O}_v)/d^*S(\mathcal{O}_v)d$.*

(2) *If $v \nmid \mathfrak{n}$, then*

$$K_v \xi K_v = \bigcup_{a, s} K_v \begin{bmatrix} a & s\hat{a} \\ 0 & \hat{a} \end{bmatrix},$$

with $a \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_v) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_v)$, $s \in S(\mathbb{Q}_v)/S(\mathcal{O}_v)$.

Let $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa(K_0(\mathfrak{n}))$ be an eigenform such that $\mathbf{f}|[K_0(\mathfrak{n})\xi K_0(\mathfrak{n})] = \lambda_{\mathbf{f}}(\xi)\mathbf{f}$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$. Let $\chi : \mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a Hecke character with $\chi^* : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ its associate Dirichlet character. The (standard) L -function is defined as

$$(6) \quad L(s, \mathbf{f}, \chi) = \Lambda_N^{2n}(s, \chi) \sum_{\substack{\xi \in K_0(\mathfrak{n}) \backslash \mathfrak{X}/K_0(\mathfrak{n}) \\ \xi = \mathrm{diag}[\hat{w}, w]}} \lambda_{\mathbf{f}}(\xi) \chi^*(\det(w)) \det(w)^{-s}$$

with
(Case I)

$$(7) \quad \Lambda_N^{2n}(s, \chi) = \prod_{i=0}^{2n-1} L_N(2s - 2i, \chi^2),$$

(Case II)

$$(8) \quad \Lambda_N^{2n}(s, \chi) = L_N(s, \chi) \prod_{i=1}^{2n} L_N(2s - 2i, \chi^2).$$

Here the subscript N in L -function means the Euler products at $l|N$ are removed. For $\chi = 1$, we simply denote $L(s, \mathbf{f}) := L(s, \mathbf{f}, 1)$, $\Lambda_N^{2n}(s) := \Lambda_N^{2n}(s, 1)$.

Proposition 2.3. *The L -function has an Euler product expression*

$$L(s, \mathbf{f}, \chi) = \prod_l L^{(l)}(s, \mathbf{f}, \chi),$$

with $L^{(l)}(s, \mathbf{f}, \chi)$ given by
(Case I)

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - l^{4n-2} \chi^*(l)^2)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} \left((1 - \alpha_{i,l} \chi^*(l) l^{2n-1-s}) (1 - \alpha_{i,l}^{-1} \chi^*(l) l^{2n-1-s}) \right)^{-1} & \quad l \nmid N, \\ \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (1 - \beta_{i,l} \chi^*(l) l^{2n-2-s})^{-1} & \quad l|N, l \text{ split}, \\ \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \delta_{i,l} \chi^*(l) l^{2n-1-s})^{-1} & \quad l|N, l \text{ nonsplit}, \end{aligned}$$

(Case II)

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - l^{2n-s} \chi^*(l))^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} \left((1 - \alpha_{i,l} \chi^*(l) l^{2n-s}) (1 - \alpha_{i,l}^{-1} \chi^*(l) l^{2n-s}) \right)^{-1} & \quad l \nmid N, \\ \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (1 - \beta_{i,l} \chi^*(l) l^{2n-s})^{-1} & \quad l|N, l \text{ split}, \\ \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \delta_{i,l} \chi^*(l) l^{2n-3-s})^{-1} & \quad l|N, l \text{ nonsplit}, \end{aligned}$$

for those Satake parameters $\alpha_{1,l}^\pm, \dots, \alpha_{2n,l}^\pm; \beta_{1,l}, \dots, \beta_{2n,l}; \delta_{1,l}, \dots, \delta_{n,l}$ of \mathbf{f} .

Proof. If p splits in \mathbb{B} we identify the group $G_n(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ with orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}(n, n)(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ in Case I and symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ in Case II. We also identify the local Hecke algebra \mathbb{T}_v with the local Hecke algebra of orthogonal and symplectic group which can be defined in a same way as above. The Satake map is chosen as in [20, Section 16] for $l \nmid N$, [6, Proposition 6.3] for l nonsplit and l split in Case I, [18, Theorem 2.9] for l in Case II. Then the computation is same as [22, Theorem 19.8]. For Case I, this is also

calculated in [6, Proposition 6.3]. However, there is a typo in that computation. Let l split and keep the notation and ω_0 there, we need to calculate

$$\sum_{d \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_l) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{Q}_l) \cap M_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_l)} \omega_0(\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_l)d)[S'(\mathbb{Z}_l) : d^*S'(\mathbb{Z}_l)d] |\det(d)|_l^s.$$

Here

$$S' = \{h \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{Q}_p) : h^* = -h\},$$

so the index $[S'(\mathbb{Z}_l) : d^*S'(\mathbb{Z}_l)d] = |\det(d)|_l^{-2n+1}$ as calculated in [20, lemma 13.2]. The sum then equals $\prod_{i=1}^{2n} (1 - t_i l^{2n-2-s})^{-1}$ by of [20, lemma 16.3]. Similarly, for p nonsplit the term $|\det(d)|_v^{-(2n-1)}$ in that proof should be $|\det(d)|_v^{-(2n+1)}$. \square

By strong approximation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{B})$, the representatives of $K_0(\mathfrak{n}) \backslash \mathfrak{X} / K_0(\mathfrak{n})$ can be taken in $G(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathfrak{X}$. For such $\xi \in G(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathfrak{X}$ we have $K_0(\mathfrak{n}) \xi K_0(\mathfrak{n}) \cap G(\mathbb{Q}) = \Gamma_0(N) \xi \Gamma_0(N)$. Its action on $f \in M_\kappa(\Gamma_0(N))$ is given by

$$(9) \quad f|[\Gamma_0(N) \xi \Gamma_0(N)] = \sum_{y \in Y} f|_\kappa y,$$

if

$$\Gamma_0(N) \xi \Gamma_0(N) = \bigcup_{y \in Y} \Gamma_0(N) y, y = \begin{bmatrix} a_y & b_y \\ c_y & d_y \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $f \in S_\kappa(\Gamma_0(N))$ be an eigenform and χ^* a Dirichlet character corresponds to the Hecke character χ one similarly define the L -function $L(s, f, \chi^*)$ such that $L(s, f, \chi^*) = L(s, \mathbf{f}, \chi)$.

We can also extend the definition of Hecke operators to similitude groups. For example, for an integer M , we consider another Hecke operator $U(M)$ given by double coset

$$\Gamma_0(N) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix} \Gamma_0(N).$$

It can be decomposed as

$$\Gamma_0(N) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix} \Gamma_0(N) = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{T} \in S(\mathcal{O})/MS(\mathcal{O})} \Gamma_0(N) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathfrak{T} \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix}.$$

The action of $U(M)$ on $M_\kappa(\Gamma_0(N))$ is defined as

$$(10) \quad (f|U(M))(z) = M^{-\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \sum_{\mathfrak{T} \in S(\mathcal{O})/MS(\mathcal{O})} f(M^{-1}(z + \mathfrak{T})).$$

Write the Fourier expansion of f as

$$f(z) = \sum_{\tau} c(\tau, y) e(\lambda(\tau x)),$$

with $z = x + iy$. Then

$$(11) \quad (f|U(M))(z) = \sum_{\tau} c(M\tau, M^{-1}y) e(\lambda(\tau x)).$$

2.5. p -stabilisation. Let $f \in S_\kappa(\Gamma_0(N))$ be an eigenform. Fix a prime $p \nmid N$, we are going to construct an eigenform $f_0 \in S_\kappa(\Gamma_0(Np))$ such that $f_0|U(p) = \alpha_0(p)f$ for some α_0 and f_0 has same eigenvalues with f for Hecke operators away from p . The following construction is taken from [8] for Siegel modular forms. Let v be the place corresponding to p . We can extend the definition of Hecke algebra to similitude groups. For simplicity we write \tilde{G}_v for such local group after identified with orthogonal or symplectic group and write $K_v = \tilde{G}_v \cap \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_v)$. Let \mathbb{H}_p be the local Hecke algebra generated by double coset $[K_v \xi K_v]$ for $\xi \in \tilde{G}_v$. The action of \mathbb{H}_p on $\mathcal{M}_\kappa(K_0(\mathfrak{n}))$ is defined similarly as before. There is a well known Satake isomorphism

$$\omega : \mathbb{H}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}[t_0^\pm, \dots, t_{2n}^\pm]^{W_{2n}}.$$

Here W_{2n} is the Weyl group generated by permutation group S_{2n} and mappings:

$$t_0 \mapsto t_0 t_j, t_j \mapsto t_j^{-1}, t_i \mapsto t_i, (1 \leq i \leq 2n, i \neq j).$$

For example, this can be constructed as in [8, 2.1.7] so that the restriction to \mathbb{T}_p is same as the one in proposition 2.3. Consider the polynomial $\tilde{Q}(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[t_0, \dots, t_{2n}][X]$:

$$\tilde{Q}(X) = (1 - t_0 z) \prod_{r=1}^{2n} \prod_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_r \leq 2n} (1 - t_0 t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_r} X).$$

Then there exists a polynomial $Q(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{2n}} (-1)^i T_i X^i$ with $T_i \in \mathbb{H}_p$ such that $\omega(Q(X)) = \tilde{Q}(X)$. This can be decomposed as

$$Q(X) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^{2n}-1} V_i(p) X^i \right) (1 - U(p)X), V_i(p) = \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^j T_j U(p)^{i-j}.$$

Take $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa(K_0(\mathfrak{n}))$ be an eigenform corresponding to $f \in S_\kappa(\Gamma_0(N))$ with Satake parameters $\alpha_{0,p}, \dots, \alpha_{2n,p}$. Put

$$\mathbf{f}'_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{2n}-1} \alpha_{0,p}^{-i} \mathbf{f} | V_i(p),$$

then $\mathbf{f}'_0 \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa(K_0(\mathfrak{n}p^{2^{2n}-1}))$ is an eigenform satisfying $\mathbf{f}'_0|U(p) = \alpha_{0,p} \mathbf{f}'_0$ and has same eigenvalues as \mathbf{f} for Hecke operators away from p . This determines an eigenform $f'_0 \in S(\Gamma_0(Np^{2^{2n}-1}))$ with same properties. Define

$$f_0 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0(Np^{2^{2n}-1}) \backslash \Gamma_0(Np)} f'_0 \Big|_\kappa \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ Np^{2^{2n}-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \gamma \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ Np & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $f_0 \in S_\kappa(\Gamma_0(Np))$ and $f_0|U(p) = \alpha_{0,p} f_0$. We call such f_0 the p -stabilisation of f . The eigenform f is called p -ordinary if $|\alpha_{0,p}|_p = 1$.

Remark 2.4. The construction of f_0 above is not necessarily nonzero as pointed out in [8]. In the sequel we shall always apply the assumption that $f_0 \neq 0$. There is another construction of p -stabilisation in [4]. We do not use the method there because we do not have the ‘Andrianov-identity’ ([4, (9.6)]) in our setting.

3. EISENSTEIN SERIES AND THE DOUBLING METHOD

In this section, we use the doubling method to study L -functions. We define an Siegel Eisenstein series on G_{2n} and consider its pull-back along $G_n \times G_n \rightarrow G_{2n}$. Its Petersson inner product against our eigenform gives an integral representation for the L -functions twist by a Hecke character of p -power conductor. The Eisenstein series is defined such that its Fourier expansion has a natural p -adic interpolation. In particular, we use a different construction when we twist the L -function by a trivial character. This will result in the p -modification when constructing p -adic measures.

3.1. Siegel Eisenstein series and its Fourier expansion. We start by reviewing the Eisenstein series defined in [6, 21]. Denote $P := P_n \subset G_n$ be the Siegel parabolic subgroup consisting elements of the form $\begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0_n & * \end{bmatrix}$. Fix $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an odd prime p splits in \mathbb{B} . Let χ be a Hecke character of conductor p^c and assume $\chi_\infty(-1) = (-1)^\kappa$ in Case II. In Case I we do not need this assumption since $\det(g) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{H})$. Let $\mathfrak{m} = (M)$ be an integral ideal of \mathcal{O} such that $v_p(M) = 2c$. Let $\mu(g, s) := \prod_v \mu_v(g, s) : G(\mathbb{A}) \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function such that:

- (1) $\mu_\infty(g, s) = j(g_\infty, z_0)^{-\kappa} |j(g_\infty, z_0)|_\infty^{\kappa-s}$,
- (2) $\mu_v(g, s) = \chi_v(\det d_p)^{-1} |\det d_p|_v^{-s}$ if $v \nmid \mathfrak{m}$,
- (3) For $v \mid \mathfrak{m}$, set $\mu_v(g, s) = \chi_v(\det d_p)^{-1} \chi_v(\det d_k) |\det d_p|_v^{-s}$ if $g = qJk$ with $q \in P(\mathbb{Q}_v), k \in K_0(\mathfrak{m})$ and $\mu_v(g, s) = 0$ if g is not of this form.

We define a Siegel Eisenstein series by

$$(12) \quad \mathbf{E}(g, s) := \mathbf{E}_\kappa(g, s; \chi, \mathfrak{m}) = \sum_{\gamma \in P \backslash G} \mu(\gamma g, s).$$

Clearly if we set $E(z, s) = j(g_z, z_0)^\kappa \mathbf{E}(g_z, s)$ for $z = g_z \cdot z_0$ then

$$(13) \quad E(z, s) = E_\kappa(z, s; \chi, \mathfrak{m}) = \sum_{\gamma \in P \cap J\Gamma_0(M)J^{-1} \backslash J\Gamma_0(M)} \chi_\mathfrak{m}(\det c_\gamma)^{-1} \delta(z)^{s-\kappa} |_\kappa \gamma.$$

It converges absolutely when $\kappa > 2n - 1$ in Case I and $\kappa > 2n + 1$ in Case II. The Fourier expansions of $E(z, s)$ are discussed in [6, Section 3], [21, Section 5] and the special values of those confluent hypergeometric functions are calculated in [17]. We need to assume that all $l \nmid M$ splits in \mathbb{B} so that the Eisenstein series has a nice Fourier expansion. We are interested in the special values at $s = \kappa$, write

$$(14) \quad \Lambda_M^n(\kappa, \chi) E_\kappa(z, \kappa, \chi) = \sum_{h \in \Lambda_n} a(h, y, \kappa, \chi) e(\lambda(hz)),$$

with $\Lambda_n = \{\tau \in S_n : \lambda(\tau s) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for any } s \in S(\mathcal{O})\}$. When $h > 0$ we have (Case I)

$$(15) \quad a(h, y, \kappa, \chi) = A_\kappa(n) \det(h)^{\kappa - \frac{2n-1}{2}} \prod_{l \nmid M} P_l(\chi^*(l) l^{-\kappa}),$$

$$A_\kappa(n) = 2^{2-2n} (2\pi i)^{2n\kappa} (4D_{\mathbb{B}})^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \Gamma_n(2\kappa)^{-1},$$

$$\Lambda_M^n(\kappa, \chi) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} L_M(2\kappa - 2i, \chi^2), \Gamma_n(s) = \pi^{n(n-1)} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \Gamma(s - 2i).$$

(Case II)

$$\begin{aligned}
a(h, y, \kappa, \chi) &= A_\kappa(n) \det(h)^{\kappa - \frac{2n+1}{2}} L_M(\kappa - n, \chi \rho_h) \prod_{l \in M} P_l(\chi^*(l) l^{-\kappa}), \\
(16) \quad A_\kappa(n) &= 2^{1 - \frac{2n+1}{2}} (2\pi i)^{2n\kappa} (D_{\mathbb{B}})^{-\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \Gamma_{2n}(\kappa)^{-1}, \\
\Lambda_M^n(\kappa, \chi) &= L_M(\kappa, \chi) \prod_{i=1}^n L_M(2\kappa - 2i, \chi^2), \Gamma_n(s) = \pi^{\frac{n(n-1)}{4}} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \Gamma(s - i/2).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $D_{\mathbb{B}}$ is the discriminant of \mathbb{B} , i.e. the product of non-split primes; $P_l \in \mathcal{O}[X]$ are polynomials such that for all primes l , $P_l = 1$ if $\det(2h) \in \mathbb{Z}_l^\times$; ρ_h is the character corresponding to $\mathbb{Q}(c^{1/2})/\mathbb{Q}$ with $c = (-1)^n \det(h)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Keep the notation as above and $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$. Assume $h > 0$ then*

(1) (Case I)

$$(17) \quad \left(\frac{a(h, y, \kappa, \chi)}{A_\kappa(n)} \right)^\sigma = \frac{a(h, y, \kappa, \chi^\sigma)}{A_\kappa(n)}.$$

(2) (Case II)

$$(18) \quad \left(\frac{a(h, y, \kappa, \chi)}{A_\kappa(n) (\pi i)^{\kappa-n} g(\chi \rho_h)} \right)^\sigma = \frac{a(h, y, \kappa, \chi^\sigma)}{A_\kappa(n) (\pi i)^{\kappa-n} g(\chi^\sigma \rho_h^\sigma)}.$$

Here $g(\chi)$ is the Gauss sum for χ .

Proof. The proposition follows from the explicit formulas for $a(h, y, \kappa, \chi)$. For Case II we are using the fact that

$$\left(\frac{L(k, \chi)}{(\pi i)^k g(\chi)} \right)^\sigma = \frac{L(k, \chi^\sigma)}{(\pi i)^k g(\chi^\sigma)}.$$

□

3.2. The integral representation: nontrivial twist. We consider the following embedding

$$\begin{aligned}
G_n \times G_n &\rightarrow G_{2n}, \\
\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} &\mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 & b_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 & b_2 \\ c_1 & 0 & d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 & 0 & d_2 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

We then view $G_n \times G_n$ as a subgroup of G_{2n} and denote $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$ as the image of (γ_1, γ_2) in G_{2n} under the embedding. We can also define an embedding for symmetric spaces

$$\mathcal{H}_n \times \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{2n}, z \times w \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & w \end{bmatrix}.$$

This embedding is compatible with the embedding of groups in the sense that $(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2)(z \times w) = \gamma_1 z \times \gamma_2 w$. To ease the notation, we keep the subscript $2n$ and omit the subscript n if it is clear from the context.

Let $\mathbf{f} \in S_\kappa(K_0(\mathfrak{n}p))$ be an eigenform with $(\mathfrak{n}, p) = 1$ and χ is a nontrivial Hecke character with conductor $p^c > 1$. Take $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{n}^2 p^{2c}$ in last subsection and define a function on $G(\mathbb{A}) \times G(\mathbb{A})$ (for $s \in \mathbb{C}$) by

$$(19) \quad \mathcal{E}(g, h, s) := \mathcal{E}_\kappa(g, h, s; \chi, \mathfrak{n}^2 p^{2c}) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_{2n} \backslash G_{2n}} \tilde{\mu}(\gamma(g \times h), s),$$

where:

- (1) $\tilde{\mu}_v(g, s) = \mu_v(g, s)$ for $v \nmid \mathfrak{n}p$,
- (2) For $v | \mathfrak{n}$, set

$$(20) \quad \tilde{\mu}_v(g, s) = \mu_v \left(g \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & 0 & 0 & |\mathfrak{n}|_v \\ 0 & 1_n & -\epsilon |\mathfrak{n}|_v & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_n \end{bmatrix}, s \right),$$

- (3) For $v = p$, set

$$(21) \quad \tilde{\mu}_p(g, s) = \sum_{x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_p)/p^c \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_p)} \chi_p(\det x)^{-1} \mu_p \left(g \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & 0 & 0 & \frac{x}{p^c} \\ 0 & 1_n & \frac{-\epsilon x^*}{p^c} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_n \end{bmatrix}, s \right).$$

Then $\mathcal{E}(g, h, s) \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa(K_0(\mathfrak{n}^2 p^{2c})) \otimes \mathcal{M}_\kappa(K_0(\mathfrak{n}^2 p^{2c}))$ (holomorphic at $s = \kappa$). That is

$$\mathcal{E}(gk_1, hk_2, s) = \mathcal{E}(g, h, s) \text{ for } k_1, k_2 \in K_0(\mathfrak{n}^2 p^{2c}).$$

Remark 3.2. In next section, we will define the classical counterpart $\mathfrak{E}(z, w, s)$ of $\mathcal{E}_\kappa(g, h, s)$. One see that the function \mathcal{E}_κ constructed here is same as the twisted Eisenstein series constructed in [4, Section 2] except the sections at $v | \mathfrak{n}$. At such places, both in [4] and here the local sections $\tilde{\mu}_v$ are chosen such that it only contribute constants in inner product formula, i.e. all Euler factors at $v | \mathfrak{n}$ are removed (see lemma 3.5). Hence the inner product fomular obtained here in proposition 3.6,3.8 is same as the one in [4] up to constants.

Denote $h^c = \begin{bmatrix} -1_n & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{bmatrix} h \begin{bmatrix} -1_n & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{bmatrix}$ and consider the integral

$$(22) \quad \int_{G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} \mathcal{E}(g, h^c, s) \overline{\mathbf{f}(g)} \mathbf{d}g.$$

We compute this integral using the well known ‘doubling method’ strategy (see for example [19, 22]). That is the function \mathcal{E} can be decomposed as a sum according to the coset decomposition of $P_{2n}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G_{2n}(\mathbb{Q}) / G(\mathbb{Q}) \times G(\mathbb{Q})$. By the cuspidality of \mathbf{f} , only the coset given by

$$\tau = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -\epsilon & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

with $\epsilon = -1$ in Case I and $\epsilon = 1$ in Case II can contribute to above integral (22). In this case we obtain

$$(22) = \int_{G(\mathbb{A})} \tilde{\mu} \left(\tau \left(g \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right), s \right) \overline{\mathbf{f}(hg)} \mathbf{d}g.$$

We discuss the above integral case by case in following lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 4n + 1 - \kappa$ then*

$$(23) \quad \int_{G(\mathbb{R})} \tilde{\mu}_\infty \left(\tau \left(g \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right), s \right) \overline{\mathbf{f}(hg)} \mathbf{d}g = c_\kappa(s) \overline{\mathbf{f}(h)}$$

with
(Case I)

$$c_\kappa(s) = \pi^{n(2n-1)} c'_\kappa(s),$$

(Case II)

$$c_\kappa(s) = \frac{2^{2n} \pi^{n(2n+1)}}{(\kappa + s - 2n + 1)(\kappa + s - 2n + 3) \dots (\kappa + s + 2n - 1)} c'_\kappa(s),$$

$$c'_\kappa(s) = \frac{\Gamma(\kappa + s - 2n + 2) \Gamma(\kappa + s - 2n + 4) \dots \Gamma(\kappa + s - 2)}{\Gamma(\kappa + s + 1) \Gamma(\kappa + s + 2) \dots \Gamma(\kappa + s + 2n - 1)}.$$

Proof. Denote $z = g \cdot z_0$ and $f(z) = j(g, z_0)^\kappa \mathbf{f}(g)$. The integral in (23) can be rewritten as

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}} \det(z + z_0)^{-\kappa} |\det(z + z_0)|_\infty^{\kappa-s} \delta(z)^{\kappa+s} \overline{j(h, z)^{-\kappa} f(hz)} \mathbf{d}z.$$

This kind of integral is calculated by the same method as [20, Propostion A.2.9]. We remind the reader that our function δ here is actually $\delta^{1/2}$ there. There exists a constant $c_\kappa(s)$ independent of f such that above integral equals

$$c_\kappa(s) \overline{j(h, z_0)^{-\kappa} f(hz_0)} = c_\kappa(s) \overline{\mathbf{f}(h)}.$$

The constant $c_\kappa(s)$ is simply determined by taking $z = 0, f = 1$. That is

$$c_\kappa(s) = \int_{\mathcal{H}} \delta(z)^{\kappa+s} \mathbf{d}z = \int_{\mathcal{H}} \delta(z)^{\kappa+s-2n-\epsilon} dz.$$

By changing our symmetric space to bounded symmetric space by Cayley transform, this constant $c_\kappa(s)$ is calculated in [12, Theorem 2.4.1] for Case I and [12, Theorem 2.3.1] for Case II. \square

To ease the notation, we only discuss Case I in following two lemmas and omit the same proof for Case II.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $v \nmid \mathfrak{m}$ corresponds to a prime l , then*

$$(24) \quad \int_{G(\mathbb{Q}_l)} \mu_l(\tau(g \times J), s) \overline{\mathbf{f}(hg)} \mathbf{d}g = \Lambda_l^{2n}(s, \chi)^{-1} L^{(l)}(s, \mathbf{f}, \chi) \overline{\mathbf{f}(h)}.$$

Proof. Recall the Cartan decomposition

$$G(\mathbb{Q}_l) = \coprod_{\substack{e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 \leq e_1 \leq \dots \leq e_n}} G(\mathcal{O}_l) \operatorname{diag}[k_{e_1}^{-1}, \dots, k_{e_n}] G(\mathcal{O}_l), k_{e_1, \dots, e_n} = \operatorname{diag}[l^{e_1}, \dots, l^{e_n}].$$

Denote the Hecke operator corresponds to $\text{diag}[k_{e_1, \dots, e_n}^{-1}, k_{e_1, \dots, e_n}]$ by T_{e_1, \dots, e_n} . The integral on the left hand side of (24) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 \leq e_1 \leq \dots \leq e_n}} \mu_l(\tau(\text{diag}[k_{e_1, \dots, e_n}^{-1}, k_{e_1, \dots, e_n}] \times J)) \sum_{k \in G(\mathcal{O}_v) \text{diag}[k_{e_1, \dots, e_n}^{-1}, k_{e_1, \dots, e_n}]G(\mathcal{O}_v)/G(\mathcal{O}_v)} \overline{\mathbf{f}(hk)} \\ = & \sum_{\substack{e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 \leq e_1 \leq \dots \leq e_n}} \chi_l(\det k_{e_1, \dots, e_n}) \det(k_{e_1, \dots, e_n})^{-s} \overline{T_{e_1, \dots, e_n} \mathbf{f}(h)} \\ = & \Lambda_v^{2n}(s, \chi)^{-1} L^{(l)}(s, \mathbf{f}, \chi) \overline{\mathbf{f}(h)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.5.

(1) Denote $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{n}} = \prod_{v|\mathfrak{n}} \mathbb{Q}_v$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{n}} = \prod_{v|\mathfrak{n}} \mathcal{O}_v$ then

$$(25) \quad \int_{G(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{n}})} \tilde{\mu}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\tau(g \times J), s) \overline{\mathbf{f}(hg)} \mathbf{d}g = \chi_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathfrak{n})^{-2} \mathfrak{n}^{2ns} \text{vol}(K_{\mathfrak{n}}^1) \overline{\mathbf{f}(h \text{diag}[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^{-1}]J)}.$$

Here, for any integer \mathfrak{n} we denote

$$K_{\mathfrak{n}}^1 = \left\{ \gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in G(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{n}}) : a, d \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{n}}, c \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{n}^2} \right\}.$$

(2)

$$(26) \quad \int_{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \tilde{\mu}_p(\tau(g \times J), s) \overline{\mathbf{f}(hg)} \mathbf{d}g \\ = p^{2cns} \text{vol}(\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_p)/p^c \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_p)) \text{vol}(K_{p^c}^1) \overline{\mathbf{f}(h \text{diag}[p^{-c}, p^c]J)}.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\tau(g \times J), s) = \mu_{\mathfrak{n}}(\tau(gJ^{-1} \text{diag}[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^{-1}] \times 1) \tau^{-1} d_{\mathfrak{n}}^{-1} J, s)$$

with $d_{\mathfrak{n}} = \text{diag}[\mathfrak{n} \cdot 1_{\mathfrak{n}}, 1_{\mathfrak{n}}, \mathfrak{n}^{-1} \cdot 1_{\mathfrak{n}}, 1_{\mathfrak{n}}]$. Changing variables of the integral in (25) and consider

$$\int_{G(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{n}})} \mu(\tau(g \times 1) \tau^{-1} d_{\mathfrak{n}}^{-1} J, s) \overline{\mathbf{f}(hg \text{diag}[\mathfrak{n}^{-1}, \mathfrak{n}]J)}.$$

Let $g = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$, then

$$\tau(g \times 1) \tau^{-1} d_{\mathfrak{n}}^{-1} J = \begin{bmatrix} b\mathfrak{n} & 0 & -a\mathfrak{n}^{-1} & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ d\mathfrak{n} & 0 & -c\mathfrak{n}^{-1} & d-1 \\ b\mathfrak{n} & 1 & -(a-1)\mathfrak{n}^{-1} & b \end{bmatrix}.$$

By the definition of μ , the integrand is nonzero unless d is invertible and

$$\begin{bmatrix} d\mathfrak{n} & 0 \\ b\mathfrak{n} & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -c\mathfrak{n}^{-1} & d-1 \\ (-a+1)\mathfrak{n}^{-1} & b \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2n}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{n}}),$$

which implies $g \in K_{\mathfrak{n}}^1$. Hence the integral equals

$$\int_{K_{\mathfrak{n}}^1} \chi_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathfrak{n})^{-2} \mathfrak{n}^{2ns} \overline{\mathbf{f}(hg \text{diag}[\mathfrak{n}^{-1}, \mathfrak{n}]J)} = \chi_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathfrak{n})^{-2} \mathfrak{n}^{2ns} \text{vol}(K_{\mathfrak{n}}^1) \overline{\mathbf{f}(h \text{diag}[\mathfrak{n}^{-1}, \mathfrak{n}]J)}.$$

For (2) note that

$$\tilde{\mu}_p(\tau(g \times 1), s) = \sum_{x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_p)/p^c \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_p)} \chi(\det x)^{-1} \mu_p(\tau(g J^{-1} \mathrm{diag}[p^c, p^{-c}] \times 1) \tau^{-1} d_p^{-1} J, s),$$

with $d_p = \mathrm{diag}[p^c x^{-1}, 1_n, p^{-c} x, 1_n]$. Changing variables of the integral in (26) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_p)/p^c \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_p)} \chi(\det x)^{-1} \int_{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \mu_p(\tau(g \times 1) \tau^{-1} d_n^{-1} J, s) \overline{\mathbf{f}(hg \mathrm{diag}[p^{-c}, p^c] J)} \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_p)/p^c \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_p)} \chi(\det x)^{-1} \int_{K_{p^c}^1} p^{cns} \mathrm{vol}(K_{p^c}^1) \chi(\det x) \overline{\mathbf{f}(h \mathrm{diag}[p^{-c}, p^c] J)} \\ &= p^{2cns} \mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_p)/p^c \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_p)) \mathrm{vol}(K_{p^c}^1) \overline{\mathbf{f}(h \mathrm{diag}[p^{-c}, p^c] J)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Denote

$$\Omega_\kappa^c(s) = \mathbf{n}^{2ns} p^{2cns} \chi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{n})^{-2} \mathrm{vol}(K_{\mathbf{np}^c}^1) \mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_p)/p^c \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_p)) c_\kappa(s)$$

for the product of all constants in above lemmas. We summarize above discussions in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\mathbf{f} \in S_\kappa(K_0(\mathbf{np}))$ be an eigenform with $(\mathbf{n}, p) = 1$ and χ is a nontrivial Hecke character of conductor $p^c > 1$. Then*

$$(27) \quad \int_{G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} \mathcal{E}(g, h^c, s) \overline{\mathbf{f}\left(g \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \mathbf{n}^{-1} p^{-c} \\ \mathbf{np}^c & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \mathbf{d}g} = \frac{\Omega_\kappa^c(s)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{np}}^{2n}(s, \chi)} L_{\mathbf{np}}(s, \mathbf{f}, \chi) \overline{\mathbf{f}(h)}.$$

3.3. The modification at p . Recall that p is a fixed odd prime split in \mathbb{B} , say $p\mathcal{O} = \mathbf{pp}'$. Let $\mathbf{f} \in S_\kappa(K_0(\mathbf{np}))$ be an eigenform with $(\mathbf{n}, p) = 1$ and $\chi = 1$ is the trivial character.

For $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $r = 0$ or 1 denote $T(p_{i,r})$ for the Hecke operator given by double coset

$$[K_0(p) \xi K_0(p)], \xi = \mathrm{diag}[\hat{w}, w], w = \begin{bmatrix} 1_{n-i-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{p}^r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p \cdot 1_i \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose there is a double coset decomposition

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_p) w \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_p) = \coprod_j \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_p) \delta_{rij}.$$

Define

$$(28) \quad \mathcal{E}(g, h, s, i, r) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_{2n} \backslash G_{2n}} \mu^{i,r}(\gamma(g \times h), s)$$

with $\mu_v^{i,r} = \tilde{\mu}_v$ as in last subsection for $v \neq p$ and

$$(29) \quad \mu_p^{i,r}(g, s) = \sum_j \sum_{x \in pM_n(\mathcal{O}_p) \hat{\delta}_{rij} / pM_n(\mathcal{O}_p)} \mu_p \left(g \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & 0 & 0 & \frac{x}{p} \\ 0 & 1_n & -\frac{\epsilon x^*}{p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_n \end{bmatrix}, s \right).$$

Lemma 3.7. *Let λ_{2i+r} be the Hecke eigenvalues of \mathbf{f} under $T(p_{i,r})$, i.e. $T(p_{i,r})\mathbf{f} = \lambda_{2i+r}\mathbf{f}$. Then*

$$(30) \quad \int_{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \mu_p^{i,r} \left(\tau \left(g \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right), s \right) \overline{\mathbf{f} \left(hg \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon p^{-1} \\ p & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)} \mathbf{d}g \\ = p^{s(2i+r)} L^{(p)}(s, \mathbf{f}) \lambda_{2n-2i-r} \overline{\mathbf{f}(h)}.$$

Proof. Again we only prove for Case I and omit the same proof for Case II. Note that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{x}{p} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{x^*}{p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = J^{-1} d_p \tau_x^{-1} d_p^{-1} J,$$

with

$$\tau_x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x & 1 & 0 \\ x^* & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, d_p = \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We need to compute

$$(31) \quad \int_{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \sum_{j,x} \mu_p(\tau(g \times 1) \tau_x^{-1} d_x^{-1} J) \overline{\mathbf{f}(hg)} \mathbf{d}g.$$

Let $g = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$, then

$$\tau(g \times 1) \tau_x^{-1} d_x^{-1} J = \begin{bmatrix} pb & 0 & -p^{-1}a & bx \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ pd & 0 & -p^{-1}c & dx - 1 \\ pb & 1 & -p^{-1}(a - x^*) & bx \end{bmatrix}.$$

We need d to be invertible and

$$\begin{bmatrix} pd & 0 \\ pb & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -p^{-1}c & dx^* - 1 \\ -p^{-1}(a - x) & bx^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -p^{-2}d^{-1}c & p^{-1}x - p^{-1}d^{-1} \\ p^{-1}x^* - p^{-1}\hat{d} & bd^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2n}(\mathcal{O}_p).$$

That is

$$bd^{-1} \in M_n(\mathcal{O}_p), d^{-1}c \in p^2 M_n(\mathcal{O}_p), d^{-1} \in x + pM_n(\mathcal{O}_p).$$

There is a permutation $j \mapsto j'$ such that d^{-1} runs through $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{B}_p) \cap p\delta_{rj'}^{-1} M_n(\mathcal{O}_p)$ for fixed j . Hence, taking the sum over j, x , (31) can be written as

$$\sum_g |\det(pd)|_p^{-s} \overline{\mathbf{f}(hg)} \mathbf{d}g.$$

with the sum taking over

$$g \in K_0(p^2) \mathrm{diag}[\hat{d}, d] K_0(p^2) \text{ with } d^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{B}_p) \cap pw^{-1} M_n(\mathcal{O}_p).$$

Equivalently, we take the sum of g over

$$K_0(p^2) \mathrm{diag}[\hat{d}, d] K_0(p^2) \cdot K_0(p^2) \mathrm{diag}[p\hat{w}, p^{-1}w] K_0(p^2) \text{ with } d^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{B}_p) \cap M_n(\mathcal{O}_p).$$

Let T_d be the Hecke operator given by the double coset $K_0(p^2)\text{diag}[d^*, d^{-1}]K_0(p^2)$ and T_w given by the double coset $K_0(p^2)\text{diag}[p^{-1}w^*, pw^{-1}]K_0(p^2)$. Then (31) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\xi \in K_0(p^2) \backslash \mathfrak{X} / K_0(p^2) \\ \xi = \text{diag}[d^*, d^{-1}]} } |\det(d)|_p^{-s} |\det(w)|_p^{-s} \overline{\mathbf{f} | T_d | T_w (h)} \\ & = L^{(p)}(s, \mathbf{f}) |\det(w)|_p^{-s} \lambda_{2n-2i-r} \overline{\mathbf{f}(h)} \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Combining the computations for integrals outside p in last subsection we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $\mathbf{f} \in S_\kappa(K_0(\mathfrak{np}))$ be an eigenform with $(\mathfrak{n}, p) = 1$. Write $\beta_{1,p}, \dots, \beta_{2n,p}$ be its Satake parameters at p . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (32) \quad & \int_{G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n \\ r=0,1}} (-1)^r p^{\frac{(2i+r)(2i+r-1)}{2} - 2n(2i+r)} \mathcal{E}(g, h^c, s, i, r) \mathbf{f} \left(g \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \mathfrak{n}^{-1} p^{-1} \\ \mathfrak{np} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) dg \\ & = \frac{p^{-2n^2 - 2n + 2ns} \Omega_\kappa^0(s)}{\Lambda_{\mathfrak{np}}^{2n}(s)} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (1 - \beta_{i,p} p^{-s+2n+\epsilon}) L_n(s, \mathbf{f}) \overline{\mathbf{f}(h)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The integral can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Omega_\kappa(s)}{\Lambda_{\mathfrak{np}}^{2n}(s)} \left(\sum_{i,r} (-1)^r p^{\frac{(2i+r)(2i+r-1)}{2} - 2n(2i+r)} \lambda_{2n-2i-r} (p^{-s})^{2n-2i-r} \right) L_n(s, \mathbf{f}) \overline{\mathbf{f}(h)} \\ & = \frac{p^{-2n^2 - 2n + 2ns} \Omega_\kappa^0(s)}{\Lambda_{\mathfrak{np}}^{2n}(s)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i p^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}} \lambda_i (p^{-s+1})^i \right) L_n(s, \mathbf{f}) \overline{\mathbf{f}(h)}. \end{aligned}$$

The proposition then follows by following two ‘Tamagawa-identities’ relating the eigenvalues and Satake parameters.

(Case I)

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i p^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}} \lambda_i X^i = \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (1 - \beta_{i,p} p^{2n-2} X),$$

(Case II)

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i p^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}} \lambda_i X^i = \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (1 - \beta_{i,p} p^{2n} X).$$

Note that if we identify $G_n(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ with orthogonal group $\text{SO}(2n, 2n)(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ in Case I and symplectic group $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{Q}_v)$ in Case II then the Hecke operator $T(p_{i,r})$ can be identified with the one for $\text{SO}(2n, 2n)(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ or $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{Q}_v)$ given by double coset

$$[K_0(p)\xi K_0(p)], \xi = \text{diag}[\hat{w}, w], w = \begin{bmatrix} 1_{2n-2i-r} & 0 \\ 0 & p \cdot 1_{2i+r} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here we are abusing the notation $K_0(p)$ for subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(2n, 2n)(\mathbb{Z}_v)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{Z}_v)$ consisting elements of the form $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ with $c \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then above two identities can be proved by using [22, Lemma 19.13] and explicit description of Satake map as chosen in [6, Proposition 6.3] for Case I and [21, Theorem 2.9] for Case II. \square

4. DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS AND CLASSICAL REFORMULATIONS

4.1. Differential Operators. We first recall some results in [2, 4]. Let \mathfrak{H}_n be the Siegel upper half plane with the action of $\mathrm{Sp}(n)(\mathbb{R})$. There are compatible doubling maps

$$\mathrm{Sp}(n)(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(n)(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2n)(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{H}_n \times \mathfrak{H}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_{2n}.$$

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ there is a differential operator $\mathcal{D}_{n,\alpha}$ such that for any integer $\kappa > n$ and any holomorphic function f and $\gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}(n)(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{n,\kappa}(f|V) &= (\mathcal{D}_{n,\kappa}f)|V, \\ \mathcal{D}_{n,\kappa}(f|_{\kappa}1 \times \gamma) &= (\mathcal{D}_{\kappa}f)|_{\kappa+1}1 \times \gamma, \\ \mathcal{D}_{n,\kappa}(f|_{\kappa}\gamma \times 1) &= (\mathcal{D}_{\kappa}f)|_{\kappa+1}\gamma \times 1. \end{aligned}$$

Here V is the operator such that

$$(f|V) \left(\begin{bmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ z_3 & z_4 \end{bmatrix} \right) = f \left(\begin{bmatrix} z_4 & z_3 \\ z_2 & z_1 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Now let

$$G(\mathbb{R}) \times G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G_{2n}(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{2n},$$

be compatible doubling maps as in last section. We want to construct differential operators $\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha} := \mathfrak{D}_{n,\alpha}$ on \mathcal{H}_{2n} having similar transformation properties as (33). In Case II we have $\mathcal{H}_{2n} \cong \mathfrak{H}_{4n}$ so the pullback of $\mathcal{D}_{2n,\alpha}$ along $\iota : \mathcal{H}_{2n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{H}_{4n}$ has desired properties. We then focused on the Case I. We keep the notations in [2]. For more details on matrix calculus the reader can refer to [9, III.6]. Let A be a $n \times n$ matrix, for $0 \leq h \leq n$ and two subsets $a = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_h\}$ and $b = \{b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_h\}$ of $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$, denote $|A|_b^a$ be the determinant of the $h \times h$ matrix whose rows and columns are given by a and b in their natural order. Let $A^{[h]}$ be a $\binom{n}{h} \times \binom{n}{h}$

matrix whose entries are h -minors of A and $\mathrm{Ad}^{[p]}A$ its adjoint. We also use the notation \square defined in [2, Section 2.1] whose properties are recorded as follow.

- (1) $A^{[h]} = A \square \dots \square A$ (h -copies),
- (2) $(A + B)^{[h]} = \sum_{p+q=h} \binom{h}{q} A^{[p]} \square B^{[q]}$,
- (3) $A^{[p+q]}(C \square D) = (A^{[p]}C) \square (A^{[q]}D)$,
- (4) $(C \square D)A^{[p+q]} = (CA^{[p]}) \square (DA^{[q]})$,
- (5) $(\mathrm{Ad}^{[p]}A)A^{[p]} = \det(A)1$.

We view $M_n(\mathbb{H})$ as subspace of \mathbb{R}^{4n^2} with usual Euclidean measure. Over \mathcal{H}_n we have basic differential operators $\partial_{ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{ij}}$ where $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{ij}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ij}} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{ij}}$ with $z_{ij} = x_{ij} + iy_{ij}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Put these differential operators into a matrix $\partial = (\partial_{ij})$. For $z = x + iy \in$

$M_n(\mathbb{H}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ we formally set $z^* = x^* + iy^*$ so $z^* = z$ if $z \in \mathcal{H}_n$. For $\mathfrak{Z} \in \mathcal{H}_{2n}$ and ∂ on \mathcal{H}_{2n} we write them as

$$\mathfrak{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ z_3 & z_4 \end{bmatrix}, \partial = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_1 & \partial_2 \\ \partial_3 & \partial_4 \end{bmatrix}, z_3 = z_2^*, \partial_3 = \partial_2^*.$$

Let

$$f_{\mathfrak{Z}}(z) = e^{\lambda(\mathfrak{Z})} = e^{\lambda(\tau_1 z_1 + \tau_3 z_2 + \tau_2 z_3 + \tau_4 z_4)}, \mathfrak{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 & \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 & \tau_4 \end{bmatrix} = \mathfrak{Z}^*.$$

Our computation will based on following basic identities

$$\partial_1^{[a]} f_{\mathfrak{Z}}(z) = t_{\tau_1}^{[a]}, \partial_4^{[a]} f_{\mathfrak{Z}}(z) = t_{\tau_4}^{[a]}, \partial_2^{[a]} f_{\mathfrak{Z}}(z) = t_{\tau_3}^{[a]}, \partial_3^{[a]} f_{\mathfrak{Z}}(z) = t_{\tau_2}^{[a]}.$$

We now start the construction. The construction is not completely same to the one for symplectic case in [2] so we repeat all the details here. The main difference comes from the involution of quaternion algebras. Consider f of the form

$$f(\mathfrak{Z}) = g(z_2, z_3, z_4) e^{\lambda(\tau z_1)}, \tau = \tau^*, \det(\tau) \neq 0.$$

For nonnegative integers a, b, p with $a + b + p = n$, put

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta(p, a, b) &= t_{z_3}^{[a]} \partial_4^{[a]} \cap ((1_n^{[p]} \cap t_{z_3}^{[b]} \partial_3^{[b]})(\text{Ad}^{[b+p]} \partial_1) \partial_2^{[b+p]}) \\ &\quad + z_2^{[a]} t_{\partial_4}^{[a]} \cap ((1_n^{[p]} \cap z_2^{[b]} t_{\partial_2}^{[b]})(\text{Ad}^{[b+p]} t_{\partial_1}) t_{\partial_3}^{[b+p]}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(p, a, b) f &= \left(t_{\tau}^{[a+b]} t_{z_3}^{[a+b]} (\partial_4^{[a]} \cap \partial_3^{[b]} t_{\tau}^{-[b]} \partial_2^{[b]}) \cap \partial_2^{[p]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \tau^{[a+b]} z_2^{[a+b]} t_{\partial_4}^{[a]} \cap \partial_3^{[b]} t_{\tau}^{-[b]} \partial_2^{[b]} \right) \cap t_{\partial_3}^{[p]} f. \end{aligned}$$

For nonnegative integer p, q such that $p + q = n$ put

$$\Delta(p, q) = \sum_{a+b=q} (-1)^b \binom{q}{b} \delta(p, a, b).$$

By straightforward computation

$$\Delta(p, q) f = \left(\partial_2^{[p]} \cap t_{\tau}^{[q]} t_{z_3}^{[q]} (\partial_4 - \partial_3 t_{\tau}^{-1} \partial_2)^{[q]} + t_{\partial_3}^{[p]} \cap \tau^{[q]} z_2^{[q]} (\partial_4 - \partial_3 t_{\tau}^{-1} \partial_2)^{[q]} \right) f.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let V be the operator given by*

$$(f|V) \left(\begin{bmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ z_3 & z_4 \end{bmatrix} \right) = f \left(\begin{bmatrix} z_4 & z_3 \\ z_2 & z_1 \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

then

$$(35) \quad \Delta(p, q)(f|V) = (\Delta(p, q)f)|V.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove for certain ‘test functions’

$$f_{\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathfrak{Z}) = e^{\lambda(\mathfrak{Z})} = e^{\lambda(\tau_1 z_1 + \tau_2 z_3 + \tau_3 z_2 + \tau_4 z_4)}, \mathfrak{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 & \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 & \tau_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By straightforward computation

$$(\Delta(p, q)f)|V = \left(t_{\tau_3}^{[p]} \cap t_{\tau_1}^{[q]} t_{z_2}^{[q]} (t_{\tau_4} - t_{\tau_2} t_{\tau_1}^{-1} t_{\tau_3})^{[q]} + \tau_2^{[p]} \cap \tau_1^{[q]} z_3^{[q]} (\tau_4 - \tau_3 \tau_1^{-1} \tau_2)^{[q]} \right) f.$$

Obviously, $(f_{\mathfrak{Z}}|V)(\mathfrak{Z}) = e^{\lambda(\tau_1 z_4 + \tau_2 z_2 + \tau_3 z_3 + \tau_4 z_4)}$, so

$$\Delta(p, q)(f|V) = \left({}^t\tau_2^{[p]} \sqcap {}^t\tau_4^{[q]} {}^t z_3^{[q]} ({}^t\tau_1 - {}^t\tau_3 {}^t\tau_4^{-1} {}^t\tau_2)^{[q]} + \tau_3^{[p]} \sqcap \tau_4^{[q]} z_2^{[q]} (\tau_1 - \tau_2 \tau_4^{-1} \tau_3)^{[q]} \right) f.$$

The lemma then follows from properties of \sqcap and the fact $A^{[p]} \sqcap B^{[q]} = {}^tA^{[p]} \sqcap {}^tB^{[q]}$ if $p + q = n$. \square

The following lemma can be proved exactly the same as [3, Section 2] for unitary case.

Lemma 4.2. (1) Let $\tau = \tau^*$ then

$$(36) \quad \partial_i^{[q]} \det(\tau)^s = C_q(s) \det(\tau)^s \cdot {}^t\tau^{[-q]}.$$

with $C_q(s) = s(s+2)\dots(s+2q-2) = \frac{\Gamma_q(s+2q-2)}{\Gamma_q(s+2q-3)}$.

(2) For a holomorphic function h on \mathcal{H}_{2n} , we have

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_4^{[q]}(h(-z_4^{-1}) \det(z_4)^s) &= \det(z_4)^s \sum_{a+b=q} (-1)^a \binom{q}{a} C_a(s-2q+2) {}^t z_4^{[-q]} \\ &\times ({}^t z_4^{[-a]} \sqcap (\partial_4^{[b]} h)(-z_4^{-1})) {}^t z_4^{[-q]}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.3. Let $f = f_{\mathfrak{Z}}$ be the test function with $\det(\tau_1) \neq 0$ then

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta(p, q)(f|_{k1} \times J_n) &= (-1)^n \sum_{a+b=q} \binom{q}{a} C_a(k-2n-2q+2) \\ &\times \left(\overline{{}^t\tau_1 z_2 - \tau_2}^{[p]} \sqcap {}^t\tau_1^{[q]} {}^t z_3^{[q]} (1^{[a]} \sqcap {}^t z_4^{-[b]} ({}^t\tau_2^* {}^t\tau_1^{-1} \tau_2 - \tau_4)^{[b]}) \right. \\ &\left. + (\tau_1 z_2 - \tau_2)^{[p]} \sqcap \tau_1^{[q]} z_2^{[q]} (1^{[a]} \sqcap z_4^{-[b]} (\tau_2^* \tau_1^{-1} \tau_2 - \tau_4)^{[b]}) \right) f|_{k+1} 1 \times J_n. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Obviously

$$(1 \times J_n)\mathfrak{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 - z_2 z_4^{-1} z_3 & z_2 z_4^{-1} \\ z_4^{-1} z_3 & -z_4^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(f|_{k1} \times J_n)(\mathfrak{Z}) = \det(z_4)^{-k} e^{\lambda(\tau_1(z_1 - z_2 z_4^{-1} z_3) + \tau_2 z_4^{-1} z_3 + \tau_3 z_2 z_4^{-1} - \tau_4 z_4^{-1})}.$$

First note that for $\tau = \tau^*$ with $\det(\tau) \neq 0$ we have

$$(\partial_4 + \partial_3 {}^t\tau^{-1} \partial_2)^{[q]} \det(z_4)^{-n} e^{\lambda(\tau z_2 z_4^{-1} z_2^*)} = 0.$$

Indeed, write $\mathfrak{Z} = \mathfrak{X} + i\mathfrak{Y}$ with $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y} \in S(\mathbb{R})$. Without lose of generality, we replace \mathfrak{Z} by

$$\mathfrak{Y} = \mathfrak{Y}^* = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 & y_2 \\ y_3 & y_4 \end{bmatrix} > 0, y_3^* = y_2.$$

Note that

$$\pi^{-2n^2} \int_{M_n(\mathbb{H})} e^{\lambda(x^* y_4 x)} dx = \det(y_4)^{-n}.$$

Changing variables $x \mapsto x + y_4^{-1} y_2 \tau^{1/2}$ we have

$$\det(y)^{-n} e^{\lambda(\tau(y_2 y_4^{-1} y_2^*))} = \pi^{-2n^2} \int_{M_n(\mathbb{H})} e^{-\lambda(x^* y_4 x + \tau^{1/2} y_2 x + x^* y_2^* \tau^{1/2})} dx.$$

Applying $(\partial_4 + \partial_3 t \tau^{-1} \partial_2)^{[q]}$ we obtain

$$\pi^{-2n^2} \int_{M_n(\mathbb{H})} \sum_{a+b=q} \binom{q}{a} (-\bar{x}^t x)^{[a]} \square (\bar{x}^t x)^{[b]} e^{-\lambda(x^* y_4 x + \tau^{1/2} y_2 x + x^* y_2^* \tau^{1/2})} dx = 0.$$

Decompose $f|_k 1 \times J_n = g(z_2, z_3, z_4) h(z_4) e^{\lambda(t_1 z_1)}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} g(z_2, z_3, z_4) &= \det(z_4)^{-n} e^{\lambda(-\tau_1(z_2 - \tau_1^{-1} \tau_2) z_4^{-1} (z_2 - \tau_1^{-1} \tau_2)^*)}, \\ h(z_4) &= \det(z_4)^{n-k} e^{\lambda((\tau_2^* \tau_1^{-1} \tau_2 - \tau_4) z_4^{-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(\partial_4 - \partial_3 t \tau_1^{-1} \partial_2)^{[q]}(gh) = \sum_{a+b=q} \binom{q}{a} ((\partial_4 - \partial_3 t \tau_1^{-1} \partial_2)^{[a]} g) \square (\partial_4^{[b]} h).$$

The sum vanishes unless $a = 0, b = q$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_4 - \partial_3 t \tau_1^{-1} \partial_2)^{[q]} f|_k 1 \times J_n &= (-1)^q \det(z_4)^{n-k} \sum_{a+b=q} \binom{q}{a} C_a(k - 2n - 2q + 2) t_{z_4}^{-[q]} \\ &\quad \times (t_{z_4}^{-[a]} \square t(\tau_2^* \tau_1^{-1} \tau_2 - \tau_4)^{[b]} t_{z_4}^{-[q]}) e^{\lambda(\tau(1 \times J_n) \mathfrak{I})}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_2^{[p]} g &= (-1)^p \cdot t(\tau_1 z_2 - \tau_2)^* [p] t_{z_4}^{-[p]} g, \\ t \partial_3^{[p]} g &= (-1)^p (\tau_1 z_2 - \tau_2)^{[p]} z_4^{-[p]} g. \end{aligned}$$

The proposition then follows from properties of \square . □

Setting

$$\mathfrak{D}_\kappa = \sum_{p+q=n} \lambda_\kappa(q) \Delta(p, q),$$

we will determine the coefficient $\lambda_\kappa(q)$ such that

$$\mathfrak{D}_\kappa(f|_\kappa 1 \times J_n) = (\mathfrak{D}_\kappa f)|_{\kappa+1} 1 \times J_n.$$

Let $f = f_\tau$ be the test function with $\det(\tau_1) \neq 0$ as above. By above proposition, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_\kappa(f|_\kappa 1 \times J_n) &= \sum_{p+q=n} \sum_{c+d=p} \sum_{a+b=q} (-1)^{a+b+d+n} \lambda_\kappa(q) \binom{p}{c} \binom{q}{a} C_a(-k + 2n + 2b) \\ &\quad \times \left(t(\tau_1 z_3)^{[c+q]} (1^{[a+c]} \square t_{z_4}^{-[b]} t(\tau_4 - \tau_2^* \tau_1^{-1} \tau_2)^{[b]}) \square t \tau_2^{[d]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\tau_1 z_2)^{[c+q]} (1^{[a+c]} \square z_4^{-[b]} (\tau_4 - \tau_2^* \tau_1^{-1} \tau_2)^{[b]}) \square \tau_2^{[d]} \right) f|_{\kappa+1} 1 \times J_n. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $t = a + c$ and put

$$a_\kappa(t, b, d) = \sum_{a+c=t} (-1)^{a+b+d+n} \lambda_\kappa(a+b) \binom{a+b}{a} \binom{c+d}{c} C_a(-\kappa + 2n + b).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_\kappa(f|_\kappa 1 \times J_n) &= \sum_{t+b+d=n} a_\kappa(t, b, d) \left(({}^t\tau_1 {}^t z_3)^{[t+b]} (1^{[t]} \cap {}^t z_4^{-[b]} t (\tau_4 - \tau_2^* \tau_1^{-1} \tau_2)^{[b]}) \cap {}^t \tau_3^{[d]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\tau_1 z_2)^{[t+b]} (1^{[t]} \cap z_4^{-[b]} (\tau_4 - \tau_2^* \tau_1^{-1} \tau_2)^{[b]}) \cap \tau_2^{[d]} \right) f|_{\kappa+1} 1 \times J_n. \end{aligned}$$

One computes that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{D}_\kappa f)|_{\kappa+1} 1 \times J_n &= \sum_{p+q=n} \lambda_\kappa(q) \left(({}^t\tau_1 {}^t z_3 {}^t z_4^{-1})^{[q]} t (\tau_4 - \tau_3 \tau_1^{-1} \tau_2)^{[q]} \cap {}^t \tau_3^{[p]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\tau_1 z_2 z_4^{-1})^{[q]} (\tau_4 - \tau_3 \tau_1^{-1} \tau_2)^{[q]} \cap \tau_2^{[p]} \right) f|_{\kappa+1} 1 \times J_n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in order to have the desired property, we must have $a_\kappa(0, q, p) = \lambda_\kappa(q)$ and $a_\kappa(t, b, d) = 0$ otherwise. By straightforward computation,

$$\lambda_\kappa(q) = \binom{n}{q} \tilde{C}_q(-\kappa + 2n), \quad \tilde{C}_q(s) = \prod_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq n \\ p \neq q}} C_p(s),$$

has the desired property. We then define

$$(39) \quad \mathfrak{D}_\kappa = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p+q=n} \binom{n}{q} \tilde{C}_q(-\kappa + 2n) \Delta(p, q),$$

and summarize our result in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4. *In both Case I and Case II, there is a differential operator \mathfrak{D}_α on \mathcal{H}_{2n} with $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for any integer $\kappa > 2n$ and any holomorphic function f and $\gamma \in G(\mathbb{R})$ we have*

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_\kappa(f|V) &= (\mathfrak{D}_\kappa f)|V, \\ \mathfrak{D}_\kappa(f|_\kappa 1 \times \gamma) &= (\mathfrak{D}_\kappa f)|_{\kappa+1} 1 \times \gamma, \\ \mathfrak{D}_\kappa(f|_\kappa \gamma \times 1) &= (\mathfrak{D}_\kappa f)|_{\kappa+1} \gamma \times 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove these formulas for test function as above and generators of $G(\mathbb{R})$. The first and second formula follows easily from our previous discussion and the third formula follows from the first and second. \square

Remark 4.5. The above construction also works for unitary case if we replace $C_q(-\kappa + 2n)$ by $C_q(-\kappa + n)$ and $C_a(s) = s(s+1)\dots(s+a-1)$.

For $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and an integer $v \geq 1$ we define

$$\mathfrak{D}_s^v = \mathfrak{D}_{s+v-1} \circ \dots \circ \mathfrak{D}_s, \quad \mathring{\mathfrak{D}}_s^v = (\mathfrak{D}_s^v)|_{z_2=0}.$$

For $\mathfrak{I} = -\epsilon \mathfrak{I}^*$ with $\epsilon = -1$ in Case I and $\epsilon = 1$ in Case II, we define a polynomial \mathfrak{P}_s^v in the entries \mathfrak{I}_{ij} of \mathfrak{I} by

$$\mathring{\mathfrak{D}}_s^v(e^{\lambda(\mathfrak{I}3)}) = \mathfrak{P}_s^v(\mathfrak{I}) e^{\lambda(\tau_1 z_1 + \tau_4 z_4)}, \quad \mathfrak{I} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 & \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 & \tau_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$\mathring{\mathfrak{D}}_s^v$ is a homogeneous polynomial in ∂_{i_j} with at most one term free of ∂_1 and ∂_4 , namely $c_s^v(\partial_2^{[n]} + t\partial_3^{[n]})^v$ with certain constant c_s^v . To determine the constant, we observe that (Case I)

$$\mathfrak{D}_s(\det(z_2 + z_3)^v) = C_n(v)\tilde{C}_n(-s + 2n) \det(z_2 + z_3)^{v-1},$$

(Case II)

$$\mathfrak{D}_s(\det(z_2 + z_3)^v) = C_{2n}(v)\tilde{C}_{2n}(-s + n) \det(z_2 + z_3)^{v-1}.$$

Here $C_a(s) = s(s + \frac{1}{2})\dots(s + \frac{n-1}{2})$ in Case II. We remind the reader that we are keep using the notation in [2] which is slightly different to the one in [4]. We then have (Case I)

$$\mathring{\mathfrak{D}}_s^v(\det(z_2 + z_3)^v) = \prod_{i=0}^{v-1} C_n(v-i)\tilde{C}_n(-s+i+2n).$$

(Case II)

$$\mathring{\mathfrak{D}}_s^v(\det(z_2 + z_3)^v) = \prod_{i=0}^{v-1} C_{2n}(v-i)\tilde{C}_{2n}(-s+i+n).$$

Therefore, $c_s^v = \prod_{i=0}^{v-1} \tilde{C}_n(-s+i+2n)$ in Case I and $c_s^v = \prod_{i=0}^{v-1} \tilde{C}_{2n}(-s+i+n)$ in Case II. Let p be an odd prime. Then for $\mathfrak{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 & \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 & \tau_4 \end{bmatrix} \in \Lambda$ such that $2L^{-1}\tau_1, 2L^{-1}\tau_4 \in M_n(\mathcal{O}^\#)$ with $L = p^n$ a power of p , there is a congruence

$$(41) \quad \mathfrak{P}_s^v(\mathfrak{T}) \equiv c_s^v \det(\tau_2)^v \pmod{L}.$$

4.2. Classical reformulations. Let $\mathbf{f} \in S_\kappa(K_0(\mathfrak{np}))$ be an eigenform as in last section. Denote $f \in S_\kappa(\Gamma_0(Np))$ be its corresponding classical modular forms. Let $\chi \neq 1$ be a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor p^c . Define

$$(42) \quad \mathfrak{E}_\kappa(z, w, s) := j(g_z, z_0)^\kappa j(h_z, z_0)^\kappa \mathcal{E}_\kappa(g_z, h_z, s),$$

for $z = g_z z_0, w = h_z z_0$ be a function on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$. Here \mathcal{E}_κ is defined using the Hecke character corresponds to χ . Clearly $\mathfrak{E}_\kappa(z, w, \kappa) \in M_\kappa(\Gamma_0(N^2 p^{2c})) \otimes M_\kappa(\Gamma_0(N^2 p^{2c}))$. Similarly, for $\chi = 1$ define

$$(43) \quad \mathfrak{E}_\kappa(z, w, s, i, r) := j(g_z, z_0)^\kappa j(h_z, z_0)^\kappa \mathcal{E}_\kappa(g_z, h_z, s, i, r).$$

Then $\mathfrak{E}_\kappa(z, w, \kappa, i, r) \in M_\kappa(\Gamma_0(N^2 p^2)) \otimes M_\kappa(\Gamma_0(N^2 p^2))$. The inner product formula in proposition 3.6 and proposition 3.8 can be reformulated as

$$(44) \quad \left\langle \mathfrak{E}_\kappa(z, -\bar{w}, s), f \left|_\kappa \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ N^2 p^{2c} & 0 \end{bmatrix} (z) \right\rangle_{\Gamma_0(N^2 p^{2c})}^z = \frac{\Omega_\kappa^c(s)}{\Lambda_{Np}^{2n}(s, \chi)} L_{Np}(s, f, \chi) \overline{f(w)}$$

for $\chi \neq 1$ and

$$(45) \quad \left\langle \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n \\ r=0,1}} (-1)^r p^{\frac{(2i+r)(2i+r-1)}{2} - 2n(2i+r)} \mathfrak{E}_\kappa(z, -\bar{w}, s, i, r), f \left|_\kappa \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ N^2 p^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (z) \right\rangle_{\Gamma_0(Np)}^z \right. \\ \left. = \frac{p^{-2n^2 - 2n + 2ns} \Omega_\kappa^0(s)}{\Lambda_{Np}^{2n}(s)} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (1 - \beta_{i,p} p^{-s+2n+\epsilon}) L_N(s, f) \overline{f(w)}.$$

Let $v \geq 0$. For $\chi \neq 1$, define

$$(46) \quad \mathfrak{E}_\kappa^v(z, w, s) := \delta(z)^{s-\kappa+v} \delta(w)^{s-\kappa+v} \mathfrak{D}_s^v \left(\delta(z)^{-s+\kappa-v} \delta(w)^{-s+\kappa-v} \mathfrak{E}_{\kappa-v}(z, w, s) \right).$$

For $\chi = 1$ define

$$(47) \quad \mathfrak{E}_\kappa^v(z, w, s, i, r) := \delta(z)^{s-\kappa+v} \delta(w)^{s-\kappa+v} \mathfrak{D}_s^v \left(\delta(z)^{-s+\kappa-v} \delta(w)^{-s+\kappa-v} \mathfrak{E}_{\kappa-v}(z, w, s, i, r) \right).$$

Proposition 4.6. *Assume $\kappa > 2n$ and $f \in S_\kappa(\Gamma_0(Np))$ is an eigenform. Denote (Case I)*

$$\Omega_{\kappa,v}^\epsilon(s) = \prod_{i=0}^{v-1} \tilde{C}_n(-s+i+2n) C_n(-s-i) \Omega_\kappa^\epsilon(s),$$

(Case II)

$$\Omega_{\kappa,v}^\epsilon(s) = \prod_{i=0}^{v-1} \tilde{C}_{2n}(-s+i+n) C_{2n}(-s-i) \Omega_\kappa^\epsilon(s).$$

(1) Let χ be a nontrivial Hecke character of conductor p^ϵ , then

$$(48) \quad \left\langle \mathfrak{E}_\kappa^v(z, -\bar{w}, s), f \Big|_\kappa \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ N^2 p^{2\epsilon} & 0 \end{bmatrix} (z) \right\rangle_{\Gamma_0(N^2 p^{2\epsilon})}^z = \frac{\Omega_{\kappa,v}^\epsilon(s)}{\Lambda_{Np}^{2n}(s, \chi)} L_{Np}(s, f, \chi) \overline{f(w)}.$$

(2) Let $\chi = 1$, then

$$(49) \quad \left\langle \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n \\ r=0,1}} (-1)^r p^{\frac{(2i+r)(2i+r-1)}{2} - 2n(2i+r)} \mathfrak{E}_\kappa^v(z, -\bar{w}, s, i, r), f \Big|_\kappa \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ N^2 p^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (z) \right\rangle_{\Gamma_0(Np)}^z \\ = \frac{p^{-2n^2 - 2n + 2ns} \Omega_{\kappa,v}^0(s)}{\Lambda_{Np}^{2n}(s)} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (1 - \beta_{i,p} p^{-s+2n+\epsilon}) L_N(s, f) \overline{f(w)}.$$

Proof. Similar to the computations in [2, Section 4] we need to apply the differential operators to

$$f_s(\mathfrak{Z}) = \det(z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + z_4)^{-s}, \quad \mathfrak{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ z_3 & z_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is

(Case I)

$$\mathfrak{D}_s^v f_s = \prod_{i=0}^{v-1} \tilde{C}_n(-s+i+2n) C_n(-s-i) f_{s+v},$$

(Case II)

$$\mathfrak{D}_s^v f_s = \prod_{i=0}^{v-1} \tilde{C}_{2n}(-s+i+n) C_{2n}(-s-i) f_{s+v}.$$

Hence, up to those constants

$$\mathfrak{D}_s^v (j(g, z)^{-\kappa+v} |j(g, z)|^{\kappa-v-s}) = j(g, z)^{-\kappa} |j(g, z)|^{\kappa-s}$$

and the proposition can be easily obtained from (44),(45). \square

5. THE MAIN ANALYTIC RESULT

Let $p \nmid N$ be an odd prime split in \mathbb{B} as in previous sections. Let $f \in S_\kappa(\Gamma_0(Np))$ be an eigenform for all Hecke operators and also an eigenform of $U(L)$ for any $L|p^\infty$, i.e $f|U(L) = \alpha(L)f$. Suppose $\chi \neq 1$ is a nontrivial primitive Dirichlet character of conductor p^ϵ . We rewrite the inner product formula in (48) as

$$(50) \quad \left\langle \mathfrak{E}_\kappa^v(z, -\bar{w}, s) \Big|_z \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N^2 p^{2\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \Big|_w \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N^2 p^{2\epsilon} \end{bmatrix}, f \Big|_\kappa \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (z) \right\rangle_{\Gamma^0(N^2 p^{2\epsilon})}^z \\ = \frac{\Omega_{\kappa, v}^c(s)}{\Lambda_{Np}^{2n}(s, \chi)} L_{Np}(s, f, \chi) \overline{f(w)}.$$

This is a Petersson inner product of level $N^2 p^{2\epsilon}$. We are going to express it as a Petersson inner product of level $N^2 p$. For $f, g \in M_\kappa(\Gamma^0(N^2 p^{2\epsilon}))$, there is a trace operator

$$\mathrm{Tr} f := \sum_\gamma f|_\kappa \gamma, \quad \mathrm{Tr} g := \sum_\gamma g|_\kappa \gamma,$$

where γ runs over $\Gamma^0(N^2 p^{2\epsilon}) \setminus \Gamma^0(N^2 p)$ such that $\mathrm{Tr} f, \mathrm{Tr} g \in M_\kappa(\Gamma^0(N^2 p))$. Moreover, we have

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\Gamma^0(N^2 p^{2\epsilon})} = \langle \mathrm{Tr} f, \mathrm{Tr} g \rangle_{\Gamma^0(N^2 p)}.$$

In particular, the representatives can be chosen as

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & N^2 p T \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : T = \epsilon T^* \in M_n(\mathcal{O}) \bmod p^{2\epsilon-1} \right\}.$$

By the fact

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N^2 p^{2\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & N^2 p T \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & T \\ 0 & p^{2\epsilon-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & Np \end{bmatrix},$$

we have

$$\mathrm{Tr} \left(f \Big|_\kappa \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N^2 p^{2\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \right) = f|U(p^{2\epsilon-1}) \Big|_\kappa \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N^2 p \end{bmatrix}.$$

For any p -power integer L with $p^\epsilon | L$, define

$$(51) \quad \mathfrak{g}(z, w) := \mathfrak{g}(z, w, \kappa, v, \chi, L) \\ = \Lambda_{Np}^{2n}(\kappa - v, \chi) \mathfrak{E}_\kappa^v(z, w, \kappa - v) |^z U(L^2) |^w U(L^2) \Big|_z \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N^2 p \end{bmatrix} \Big|_w \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N^2 p \end{bmatrix},$$

and similarly for $\chi = 1$ define

$$(52) \quad \mathfrak{g}(z, w) := \mathfrak{g}(z, w, \kappa, v, 1, L) \\ = \sum_{i, r} (-1)^r p^{\frac{(2i+r)(2i+r-1)}{2} - 2n(2i+r)} \Lambda_{Np}^{2n}(\kappa - v) \\ \times \mathfrak{E}_\kappa^v(z, w, \kappa - v, i, r) |^z U(L^2) |^w U(L^2) \Big|_z \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N^2 p \end{bmatrix} \Big|_w \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N^2 p \end{bmatrix}.$$

Denote

$$(53) \quad E_p(s, \chi) = \prod_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{1 - \beta_{i,p}^{-1} \chi(p) p^{s-2n-\epsilon}}{1 - \beta_{i,p} \chi(p) p^{2n-s+\epsilon-1}},$$

so $E_p(s, \chi) = 1$ unless $\chi = 1$. Then by above discussions and straightforward computations we have

$$(54) \quad \left\langle \left\langle \mathfrak{g}(z, -\bar{w}), f \Big|_{\kappa} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{\Gamma^0(N^2p)}^z, f \Big|_{\kappa} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N^2p \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{\Gamma^0(N^2p)}^w \\ = \Omega_{\kappa, v}^{\epsilon}(\kappa - v) \frac{\alpha(pL^4)}{\alpha(p^{2\epsilon})} \langle f, f \rangle_{\Gamma^0(Np)} L_{Np}(\kappa - v, f, \chi) E_p(\kappa - v, \chi).$$

We end up this section by recording the Fourier expansion of $\mathfrak{g}(z, w)$ in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\kappa, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\kappa - v > 2n$ and χ a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor p^{ϵ} . Denote $\mathfrak{g}(z, w)$ for the function defined by (51) or (52). Then $\mathfrak{g}(z, w)$ has Fourier expansion*

(Case I)

$$(55) \quad \mathfrak{g}(z, w) = p^{\epsilon n(n-1)} G(\chi)^n (N^2p)^{-2\kappa n} \sum_{\mathfrak{T}} \mathfrak{P}_{\kappa-v}^v(\mathfrak{T}) \chi^{-1}(\det(2\tau_2)) e(2N^{-1}\lambda(\tau_2)) \\ \times A_{\kappa-v}(2n) \det(\mathfrak{T})^{\kappa-v-\frac{4n-1}{2}} \prod_{l \in Np} P(\chi(l)l^{-\kappa+v}) e(\lambda((N^2p)^{-1}(\tau_1 z + \tau_4 w))),$$

(Case II)

$$(56) \quad \mathfrak{g}(z, w) = p^{\epsilon n(n-1)} G(\chi)^n (N^2p)^{-2\kappa n} \sum_{\mathfrak{T}} \mathfrak{P}_{\kappa-v}^v(\mathfrak{T}) \chi^{-1}(\det(2\tau_2)) e(2N^{-1}\lambda(\tau_2)) \\ \times A_{\kappa-v}(2n) \det(\mathfrak{T})^{\kappa-v-\frac{4n+1}{2}} \prod_{l \in Np} P(\chi(l)l^{-\kappa+v}) \\ \times L_{Np}(\kappa - v - 2n, \chi \rho_{\mathfrak{T}}) e(\lambda((N^2p)^{-1}(\tau_1 z + \tau_4 w))).$$

Here $\mathfrak{T} = \begin{bmatrix} L^2\tau_1 & \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 & L^2\tau_4 \end{bmatrix} \in \Lambda_{2n}^+$ with $\tau_1, \tau_4 \in \Lambda_n, 2\tau_2 \in M_n(\mathcal{O}^{\#}), p \nmid \det(\mathfrak{T})$ and

$$(57) \quad G(\chi) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}_p/p^{\epsilon}\mathcal{O}_p} \chi(N(x)) e(p^{-\epsilon}\lambda(x)).$$

Proof. Assume $\chi \neq 1$. For $\tau \in \Lambda_{2n}$, we write it as $\tau = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 & \tau_2 \\ -\epsilon\tau_2^* & \tau_4 \end{bmatrix}$ with $\tau_1, \tau_4 \in \Lambda_n, \tau_2 \in M_n(\mathcal{O})$. By our definition of $\mathfrak{E}_{\kappa}(z, w, \kappa)$, it has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$\Lambda_{Np}^{2n}(\kappa, \chi) \mathfrak{E}_{\kappa}(z, w, \kappa) = \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda_{2n}} a(\tau, \text{diag}[y, v], \kappa, \chi) e(\lambda(hz)) \\ \times \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathcal{O}_p)/p^{\epsilon}M_n(\mathcal{O}_p)} \chi(\det x)^{-1} e\left(\frac{2\lambda(\tau_2 x)}{p^{\epsilon}}\right) e\left(\frac{2\lambda(\tau_2)}{N}\right),$$

where $z = x + iy, w = u + iv$ and $a(\tau, \text{diag}[y, v], \kappa, \chi)$ are the Fourier coefficients of $E_{\kappa}(z \times w, \kappa, \chi)$ as in (14). The second line comes from the contributions of $\tilde{\mu}_v$ with $v|Np$. It is nonzero unless $\det \tau_2$ is coprime to p . Hence, after applying the operator

$U(L^2)$ we see that only those $\mathfrak{T} = \begin{bmatrix} L^2\tau_1 & \tau_2 \\ -\epsilon\tau_2^* & \tau_4 \end{bmatrix}$ with $\det \tau_2$ coprime to p can contribute to the Fourier expansions. In particular $\mathfrak{T} > 0$ with $\det \mathfrak{T}$ coprime to p . Therefore, by the explicit formulas for $a(\tau, \text{diag}[y, v], \kappa, \chi)$ in (15),(16) we obtain the first part of the proposition.

For $\chi = 1$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Lambda_{Np}^{2n}(\kappa, \chi) \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n \\ r=0,1}} (-1)^r p^{\frac{(2i+r)(2i+r-1)}{2} - 2n(2i+r)} \mathfrak{E}_\kappa(z, w, \kappa, i, r) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda_{2n}} a(\tau, \text{diag}[y, v], \kappa, \chi) e(\lambda(hz)) e(2N^{-1}\lambda(\tau_2)) \\ & \times \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n \\ r=0,1}} (-1)^r p^{\frac{(2i+r)(2i+r-1)}{2} - 2n(2i+r)} \sum_j \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathcal{O})\hat{\delta}_{rij}/M_n(\mathcal{O}_p)} e(2\lambda(\tau_2 x)). \end{aligned}$$

The second line comes from the contributions of $\mu_p^{i,r}$ and equals 1 if $\det \tau_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and 0 if otherwise. Hence, only those $\mathfrak{T} \in \Lambda_{2n}^+$ with $\det \mathfrak{T}$ coprime to p contributes to the Fourier expansion of $\mathfrak{g}(z, w)$ and the proposition follows from the explicit formulas (15),(16). \square

6. SPECIAL L -VALUES AND THE p -ADIC MEASURE

Let $f \in S_\kappa(\Gamma_0(N))$ be an eigenform with $\kappa > 2n$. We make following two assumptions:

- (1) All primes $l \nmid N$ splits in \mathbb{B} and p is a fixed odd prime with $p \nmid N$ (thus also splits in \mathbb{B}),
- (2) f is p -ordinary with nonzero p -stabilisation $f_0 \in S_\kappa(\Gamma_0(Np))$ and $f_0|U(L) = \alpha(L)f_0$ for any integer L with $L|p^\infty$.

In previous sections, we interpolate the special L -values of f by inner product formula (54) relate to Eisenstein series. The properties of these special values then follows from the explicit formulas of the Fourier expansion obtained in proposition 5.1. In this section, we summarize these properties and construct the p -adic measure interpolating these special values.

Denote the p -Satake parameters of f_0 as $\beta_{1,p}, \dots, \beta_{2n,p}$. Let $2n+1 \leq t \leq \kappa$ be an integer and $v = \kappa - t$. Since the Fourier coefficients of $\mathfrak{g}(z, w)$ is holomorphic we have the following analytic property.

Proposition 6.1. *Let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor p^f . Then*

$$E_p(t, \chi)L_{Np}(t, f, \chi)$$

has meromorphic continuation to whole complex plane and is holomorphic at $t = 2n + 1, \dots, \kappa$.

The algebraicity of special L -values for Case I is already studied in our previous paper [7]. In this paper we are restrict ourselves to the case that our algebraic group is given by a totally isotropic hermitian form so the associated symmetric space will be a ‘tube’ domain. Under this restriction the algebraicity of modular forms can be described in terms of Fourier expansion while for the general case discussed in [7, Section 5.2] we

are using the description of CM points or Fourier-Jacobi expansions. The advantage of using the description via Fourier expansion is that we can now describe the explicit action of $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ as in the following proposition.

Proposition 6.2. *For any $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ we have*
(Case I)

$$(58) \quad \left(\frac{\Omega_{\kappa,v}^c(t)}{G(\chi)^n A_t(2m)} \frac{E_p(t, \chi) L_{Np}(f, t, \chi)}{\langle f_0, f_0 \rangle} \right)^\sigma = \frac{\Omega_{\kappa,v}^c(t)}{G(\chi^\sigma)^n A_t(2n)} \frac{E_p(t, \chi) L_{Np}(f^\sigma, t, \chi^\sigma)}{\langle f_0^\sigma, f_0^{c\sigma c} \rangle},$$

(Case II)

$$(59) \quad \left(\frac{\Omega_{\kappa,v}^c(t)}{G(\chi)^n A_t(2m)} \frac{E_p(t, \chi) L_{Np}(f, t, \chi)}{g(\chi) \langle f_0, f_0 \rangle} \right)^\sigma = \frac{\Omega_{\kappa,v}^c(t)}{G(\chi^\sigma)^n A_t(2n)} \frac{E_p(t, \chi) L_{Np}(f^\sigma, t, \chi^\sigma)}{g(\chi^\sigma) \langle f_0^\sigma, f_0^{c\sigma c} \rangle}.$$

for $t = 2n + 1, \dots, \kappa$. Here f^c is the complex conjugation of f .

This can be proved by the same method as in the appendix of [4] using the Fourier expansion of $\mathfrak{g}(z, w)$ in proposition 5.1 and algebraic properties of Fourier coefficients in proposition 3.1. As remarked in [4], since only the Fourier coefficients of maximal rank involved in the Fourier expansion of $\mathfrak{g}(z, w)$ we actually obtain a better result that allowing us to discuss the special values below the absolute convergence bound of Eisenstein series. More precisely, here we are assuming $\kappa > 2n$ while in [7, Theorem 6.3] we need $\kappa > 4n - 1$ (note that n there is $2n$ here).

We now start the construction of the p -adic measure. Let $\mathbb{C}_p = \widehat{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}_p$ be the completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, and fix an embedding $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$. The p -adic absolute value $|\cdot|_p$ naturally extends to \mathbb{C}_p , denote

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p} = \{x \in \mathbb{C}_p : |x|_p \leq 1\}.$$

A p -adic distribution on \mathbb{Z}_p^\times is a continuous linear functional $\mu : C^\infty(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times, \mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$. To construct a p -adic distribution it is enough to define μ on all sets of form $a + LZ_p$ satisfying

$$\mu(a + LZ_p) = \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \mu(a + bL + pLZ_p).$$

For such μ and a locally constant function f , we denote $\mu(f) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} f d\mu$. A p -adic distribution is called a p -adic measure if it is bounded.

We will follow the strategy of constructing p -adic measures in [8]. That is we first define a p -adic distribution interpolate the special L -values. Then check the distribution satisfying the Kummer congruences so the p -adic measure exist. Finally, since the p -adic measure is uniquely determined by its value at all primitive Dirichlet character of p -power conductor, we conclude that the p -adic distribution we constructed is the desired p -adic measure. We recall the criterion of Kummer congruences as follow.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\{f_i\} \subset C(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p})$ be a dense system of continuous functions, $\{a_i\} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ a system of elements. Then the existence of p -adic measure $\mu : C(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} f_i d\mu = a_i$$

is equivalent to the following Kummer congruences: for an arbitrary choice of elements $b_i \in \mathbb{C}_p$ almost all of which vanish

$$\sum_i b_i f_i(y) \in p^m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p} \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \implies \sum_i b_i a_i \in p^m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}.$$

Recall that $\epsilon = -1$ in Case I and $\epsilon = 1$ in Case II. For a function $\mathbf{g}(z, w)$ on $M_k(\Gamma^0(N^2p), \psi) \otimes M_k(\Gamma^0(N^2p))$ let

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{g}) = \frac{\left\langle \left\langle \mathbf{g}(z, -\bar{w}), f_0 \right|_k \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{\Gamma^0(N^2p)}^w, f_0 \left|_k \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N^2p \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{\Gamma^0(N^2p)}^z}{\langle f_0, f_0 \rangle_{\Gamma^0(N^2p)}^2}.$$

In particular, taking \mathbf{g} as in (51),(52) we obtain the desired special L -values by (54).

We first discuss Case I. Define

$$\mathcal{H}_{a,L}^t(z, w) = p^{2\kappa n} A_t(2n)^{-1} \sum_{\chi} \chi(a) c_{\chi}^{-n(n-1)} G(\chi)^{-n} \cdot \mathbf{g}(z, w, \kappa, v, \chi, L),$$

where χ runs over all characters of conductor $c_{\chi}|L$. It is clear that $\alpha(L)^{-4} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_{a,L}^t)$ is independent of L . Thus if we set

$$\mu_t(a + LZ_p) := \frac{p}{(p-1)L} \alpha(L)^{-4} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_{a,L}^t),$$

then

$$\sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \mu_t(a + bp^n + pLZ_p) = p \cdot \frac{p}{(p-1)pL} \alpha(pL)^{-4} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_{a+bp^n, pL}^t) = \mu_t(a + LZ_p).$$

Therefore, μ_t is a p -adic distribution on \mathbb{Z}_p^\times such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \chi d\mu_t &= \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/L\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi(a) \mu_t(a + LZ_p) \\ &= p^{2\kappa n} A_t(2n)^{-1} c_{\chi}^{-n(n-1)} G(\chi)^{-n} \alpha(L)^{-4} \cdot \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{g}(z, w, \kappa, v, \chi)) \\ &= p^{2\kappa n} c_{\chi}^{-n(n-1)} G(\chi)^{-n} \alpha(p) \alpha(c_{\chi})^{-2} \frac{\Omega_{k,v}^c(t)}{A_t(2n)} \frac{E_p(t, \chi) L_{Np}(f_0, t, \chi)}{\langle f_0, f_0 \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

These distribution indeed take algebraic values and we view them as elements in \mathbb{C}_p . By the Fourier expansion of $\mathbf{g}(z, w)$ in proposition 5.1, we have

$$(60) \quad \mathcal{H}_{a,L}^t(z, w) = \sum_{\tau_1, \tau_4 \in \Lambda_n^+} \alpha_{a,L}(\tau_1, \tau_4) e(2N^{-1} \lambda(\tau_2)) e(\lambda((N^2p)^{-1}(\tau_1 z + \tau_4 w))),$$

with

$$(61) \quad \alpha_{a,L}(\tau_1, \tau_4) = N^{-4\kappa n} \sum_{\tau_2} \mathfrak{P}_t^v(\mathfrak{T}) \sum_{\chi} \chi(a \det(2\tau_2)^{-1}) \prod_{l|Np} P(\chi(l)l^{-t}).$$

Here $\mathfrak{T} = \begin{bmatrix} L^2\tau_1 & \tau_2 \\ \tau_2^* & L^2\tau_4 \end{bmatrix}$ and $p \nmid \det(\mathfrak{T})$ so these Fourier coefficients are actually p -integral. Put $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{a,L}^t(z, w) = a^{t-2n} \kappa_t \mathcal{H}_{a,L}^t(z, w)$ with $\kappa_t = \frac{c_{2n+1}^{\kappa-2n-1}}{c_t^v}$ and $\tilde{\mu}_t(a + LZ_p) =$

$\frac{p}{(p-1)L}\alpha(L)^{-4}\mathcal{F}(\tilde{H}_{a,L}^t)$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{a,L}^t(z, w) \equiv a^{t-2n-1} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{a,L}^{2n+1}(z, w) \pmod{L}$ and $d\tilde{\mu}_t(x) = x^{2n+1-t}d\tilde{\mu}_{2n+1}(x)$ by congruence (41). Set

$$\mu(a + LZ_p) = \frac{p}{(p-1)L}\alpha(L)^{-4}\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{a,L}^{2n+1}).$$

Theorem 6.4. *There is a unique p -adic measure μ on \mathbb{Z}_p^\times such that for primitive Dirichlet character χ of p -power conductor c_χ we have*

$$(62) \quad \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \chi(x)x^{-t+2n}d\mu = \frac{\alpha(p)}{\alpha(c_\chi^2)} \frac{p^{2\kappa n} \kappa_t}{c_\chi^{n(n-1)} G(\chi)^n} \frac{\Omega_{\kappa,v}^c(t)}{A_t(2n)} \frac{E_p(t, \chi)L_{Np}(f, t, \chi)}{\langle f_0, f_0 \rangle}.$$

for $t = 2n + 1, \dots, \kappa$.

Proof. The interpolation properties are satisfied by our construction. Since the Fourier coefficients of $\tilde{H}_{a,L}^{2n+1}$ is p -integral, by the same argument as [4, Lemma 9.7], $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{H}_{a,L}^{2n+1})$ takes values in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ up to a constant C . That is $C^{-1} \cdot \mu$ defines a measure $C(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$. It suffices to check the Kummer congruences for $C^{-1} \cdot \mu$ or equivalently for μ_t . Let $\{b_i\} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ be a system of elements with almost of all vanish and assume

$$\sum_i b_i \chi_i(x) \in p^m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times.$$

We need to show that

$$\sum_i b_i \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \chi_i(x) d\mu_t \in p^m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}.$$

This equals

$$\mathcal{F} \left(p^{2\kappa n} A_t(2n)^{-1} \alpha(L)^{-4} \sum_i b_i c_{\chi_i}^{-n(n-1)} G(\chi_i)^{-n} \cdot \mathfrak{g}(z, w) \right).$$

Taking the Fourier expansion of function under the bracket as (60), its Fourier coefficients equals

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{a,L}(\tau_1, \tau_4) &= N^{-4\kappa n} \alpha(L)^{-4} \sum_{\tau_2} \mathfrak{F}_t^v(\mathfrak{T}) \det(\mathfrak{T})^{t - \frac{4n-1}{2}} \prod_{l \mid Np} P(\chi_i(l)l^{-t}) \\ &\times \sum_i b_i \chi_i(a \det(2\tau_2)^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows since the first line in above formula is p -integral as $\alpha(L)$ is a p -adic unit by the ordinarity assumption. \square

We now extend above discussion to Case II. As in symplectic case we need assumption on the parity of characters. In Case I the parity assumption is not necessary due to the fact that $\det(g) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{H})$ (see [6, Remark 3.1]).

Theorem 6.5. *There is a unique p -adic measure μ on \mathbb{Z}_p^\times such that for primitive Dirichlet character χ of p -power conductor c_χ and $\chi(-1) = (-1)^t$ we have*

$$(63) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \chi(x)x^{-t+2n}d\mu &= 2(2\pi i)^{t-2n} (t-2n-1)! \frac{\alpha(p)}{\alpha(c_\chi^2)} \frac{p^{2\kappa n} \kappa_t}{c_\chi^{n(n+1)-t} G(\chi)^n} \\ &\times g(\chi) (1 - \overline{\chi(p)} p^{t-2n-1}) \frac{\Omega_{\kappa,v}^c(t)}{A_t(2n)} \frac{E_p(t, \chi)L_{Np}(f_0, t, \chi)}{\langle f_0, f_0 \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $g(\chi)$ is the Gauss sum for Dirichlet character χ .

Proof. The proof is similar to Case I but note that the Fourier coefficient of $\mathbf{g}(z, w)$ has a term of Dirichlet L -functions. For $2n + 1 \leq t \leq \kappa$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{a,L}^t(z, w) &= p^{2\kappa n} A_t(2n)^{-1} \sum_{\chi} \chi(a) c_{\chi}^{-n(n-1)} G(\chi)^{-n} \\ &\quad \times 2(2\pi i)^{t-2n} (t-2n-1)! c_{\chi}^{t-2n} g(\chi) (1 - \overline{\chi(p)} p^{t-2n-1}) \cdot \mathbf{g}(z, w), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mu_t(a + L\mathbb{Z}_p) = \frac{p}{(p-1)L} \alpha(L)^{-4} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_{a,L}^t).$$

Then μ_t is a p -adic distribution on \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}} \chi d\mu_t &= 2(2\pi i)^{n+t} (n+t-1)! \alpha(p) \alpha(c_{\chi})^{-2} p^{2\kappa n} c_{\chi}^{-n(n-1)} G(\chi)^{-n} \\ &\quad \times c_{\psi\chi^{\circ}\chi}^{t-2n} g(\psi\chi^{\circ}\chi) (1 - \overline{\chi(p)} p^{t-2n-1}) \frac{\Omega_{\kappa,v}(t)}{A_t(2n)} \frac{E_p(t, \chi) L_{Np}(f_0, t, \chi)}{\langle f_0, f_0 \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Take the Fourier expansion of $\mathcal{H}_{a,L}^t(z, w)$ as in Case I. Its Fourier coefficient can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{a,L}(\tau_1, \tau_4) &= N^{-4\kappa n} \sum_{\tau_2} \det(\mathfrak{T})^{t-\frac{4n+1}{2}} \mathfrak{P}_t^v(\mathfrak{T}) \sum_{\chi} \chi(a \det(2\tau_2))^{-1} \prod_{l \nmid Np} P(\chi(l) l^{-t}) \\ &\quad \times 2(2\pi i)^{t-2n} (t-2n-1)! c_{\chi}^{t-2n} g(\chi) (1 - \overline{\chi(p)} p^{t-2n-1}) L(t-2n, \chi \rho_{\overline{\chi}}). \end{aligned}$$

We now check that the distribution μ_l satisfies Kummer congruences. Let $\{b_i\} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ be a system of elements with almost of all vanish and assume

$$\sum_i b_i \chi_i(x) \in p^m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}.$$

We need to show that

$$\sum_i b_i \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}} \chi_i(x) d\mu_l \in p^m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}.$$

This equals

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} \left(2(2\pi i)^{t-2n} (t-2n-1)! p^{2\kappa n} A_t(2n)^{-1} \alpha(L)^{-4} \sum_i b_i \chi_i(a) c_{\chi_i}^{-n} G(\chi_i)^{-n} \right. \\ \left. c_{\chi_i}^{t-2n} g(\chi_i) (1 - \overline{\chi_i(p)} p^{t-2n-1}) \cdot \mathbf{g}(z, w) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the Fourier expansion of function under the bracket, its Fourier coefficients equals

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{a,L}(\tau_1, \tau_4) &= N^{-4\kappa n} \alpha(L)^{-4} \sum_{\tau_2} \mathfrak{P}_t^v(\mathfrak{T}) \det(\mathfrak{T})^{t-\frac{4n+1}{2}} \prod_{l \nmid Np} P(\chi_i(l) l^{-t}) \\ &\quad \times \sum_i b_i (1 - \overline{\chi_i(p)} p^{t-2n-1}) L(1-t+2n, \overline{\chi_i \rho_{\overline{\chi_i}}}) \chi_i(a \det(4\tau_2))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we are using the functional equation of Dirichlet L -functions. The second line is in $p^m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ by the Kummer congruences for Dirichlet L -functions (see [4, Section 8] for

p -adic measure attached to Dirichlet L -function). Gluing all these μ_t together as in Case I by using the congruence properties of $\mathfrak{P}_t^y(\mathfrak{T})$, we then obtain the desired p -adic measure μ . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Böcherer, Über die Fourier-Jacobi-Entwicklung Siegelscher Eisensteinreihen. *Math. Z.* 183 (1983), no. 1, 21–46.
- [2] S. Böcherer, Über die Fourier-Jacobi-Entwicklung Siegelscher Eisensteinreihen. II. *Math. Z.* 189 (1985), no. 1, 81–110.
- [3] S. Böcherer, S. Das, On holomorphic differential operators equivariant for the inclusion of $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ in $\mathrm{U}(n, n)$. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2013, no. 11, 2534–2567.
- [4] S. Böcherer, C.-G. Schmidt, p -adic measures attached to Siegel modular forms. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 50 (2000), no. 5, 1375–1443.
- [5] S. Böcherer, A. Panchishkin, Higher twists and higher Gauss sums. *Vietnam J. Math.* 39 (2011), no. 3, 309–326.
- [6] T. Bouganis, On the standard L -function attached to quaternionic modular forms. *J. Number Theory* 222 (2021), 293–345.
- [7] T. Bouganis, Y. Jin, Algebraicity of L -values attached to Quaternionic Modular Forms. [arXiv:2204.01367](https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.01367).
- [8] M. Courtieu, A. Panchishkin, Non-Archimedean L -functions and arithmetical Siegel modular forms. Second edition. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1471. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. viii+196 pp. ISBN: 3-540-40729-4.
- [9] E. Freitag, Siegelsche Modulfunktionen. *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften* 254. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983. x+341 pp. ISBN: 3-540-11661-3.
- [10] P. Garrett, Arithmetic automorphic forms for quaternion unitary groups, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Princeton University. 1977. 61 pp.
- [11] Hida, Haruzo, p -adic automorphic forms on Shimura varieties. *Springer Monographs in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004. xii+390 pp. ISBN: 0-387-20711-2.
- [12] L.K. Hua, Harmonic analysis of functions of several complex variables in the classical domains, *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, vol.6, Amer. Math. Soc. 1963.
- [13] A. Krieg, Modular forms on half-spaces of quaternions, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1143. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985. xiii+203 pp. ISBN: 3-540-15679-8.
- [14] K-W. Lan, An example-based introduction to Shimura varieties, to appear in the proceedings of the ETHZ Summer School on Motives and Complex Multiplication.
- [15] Z. Liu, p -adic L -functions for ordinary families on symplectic groups. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* 19 (2020), no. 4, 1287–1347.
- [16] J.S. Milne, Canonical models of mixed Shimura varieties and automorphic vector bundles, *Automorphic Forms, Shimura Varieties and L -functions*, Vol. I (Ann Arbor, MI, 1988), 283-414, *Perspect. Math.*, 10, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [17] G. Shimura, Confluent hypergeometric functions on tube domains. *Math. Ann.* 260 (1982), no. 3, 269–302.
- [18] G. Shimura, Euler products and Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms on symplectic groups. *Invent. Math.* 116 (1994), no. 1-3, 531–576.
- [19] G. Shimura, Eisenstein series and zeta functions on symplectic groups, *Invent. Math.* 119 (1995), no. 3, 539–584.
- [20] G. Shimura, Euler Products and Eisenstein Series, *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, 93. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. xx+259 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-0574-6.
- [21] G. Shimura, Some exact formulas on quaternion unitary groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 509 (1999), 67–102.
- [22] G. Shimura, Arithmeticity in the Theory of Automorphic Forms, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, 82. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. x+302 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-2671-9.
- [23] C. Skinner, E. Urban, The Iwasawa main conjectures for GL_2 . *Invent. Math.* 195 (2014), no. 1, 1–277.

- [24] J. Voight, Quaternion algebras. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 288. Springer, Cham, [2021], ©2021. xxiii+885 pp. ISBN: 978-3-030-56692-0; 978-3-030-56694-4.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DURHAM UNIVERSITY, SOUTH. RD., DURHAM, DH1 3LE, U.K..

Email address: `yubo.jin@durham.ac.uk`