

**ALMOST BI-LIPSCHITZ EMBEDDINGS AND PROPER
SUBSPACES OF A BANACH SPACE - AN EXTENSION OF A
THEOREM BY M.I. OSTROVSKII**

F. NETILLARD

ABSTRACT. We show in this paper that, for two infinite dimensional Banach spaces X and Y , if X is finitely crudely representable in the finite codimensional subspaces of Y , then any proper subset of X almost bi-Lipschitz embeds into Y .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we use a notion of metric embeddability that is slightly weaker than bi-Lipschitz embeddability but much stronger than strong embeddability. In fact, we sharpen a result obtained by M.I. Ostrovskii in the case of a bi-Lipschitz embeddability for an almost bi-Lipschitz embeddability (notion introduced in [2]). Here, the goal is, under a condition of crude finite representability of a Banach space X in each finite codimensional subspace of another Banach space Y , to obtain an almost bi-Lipschitz embeddability of the proper subspaces of X into Y . Two existing theorems inspired the central theorem of this article (one from [7] and the other from [2]).

First of all, in the article [7], M.I. Ostrovskii established the following theorem (which we also find in [8]):

Theorem 1.1. *Let A be a locally finite metric space (i.e each ball of finite radius in A has finite cardinality) whose finite subsets admit uniformly bi-Lipschitz embeddings into a Banach space X . Then A admits a bi-Lipschitz embedding into X .*

This leads to the statement of the following Theorem, where the notion of crude finite representability, which will be defined in the second part, appears.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X and Y be two Banach spaces such that X is finitely crudely representable in Y .*

Let $A \subset X$ such that A is locally finite.

Then A admits a bi-Lipschitz embedding into Y .

It is natural to wonder whether a similar general result on embeddings exists for larger class of metric spaces.

Definition 1.3. A metric space is said to be proper if all its closed balls are compact.

From the last theorem, one can reasonably conjecture that a proper subset of a Banach space X almost bi-Lipschitz embeds (see definition below) into an other

Banach space Y when X is finitely crudely representable in Y . Indeed, it is plain that locally finite metrics are proper metrics. This motivated a work (see chapter 4 from [6]) that we develop in this article.

Otherwise, in 2015, F. Baudier et G. Lancien proved in [2], among others, that, for $p \in [1, +\infty]$ and Y a Banach space which contains uniformly the ℓ_p^n spaces (you will find the definition later), with M a proper subset of L_p , M almost bi-Lipschitzly embeds into Y .

It is the last Theorem cited above of F. Baudier and G. Lancien, and more precisely its proof, which made it possible to set up the main lines for the proof of the next Theorem, which is the central theorem of this paper.

Theorem 1.4. *Let X and Y be two Banach spaces.*

Assume that X is finitely crudely representable in the finite codimensional subspaces of Y .

Let M be a proper subspace of X . Then M almost bi-Lipschitz embeds into Y .

2. PRELIMINARY

2.1. Crudely finite representability. Here are the definitions relating to the concept of finite representability, which was studied by R.C. James ([4] and [5]).

Definition 2.1. Let X and Y be two infinite dimensional Banach spaces.

We say that X is *finitely crudely representable* in Y (in short f.c.r) with the constant C if there exists $C > 1$ such that, for any finite dimensional subspace E of X , there is a linear isomorphism from E to $T(E) \subset Y$ verifying $\|T\| \cdot \|T^{-1}\| < C$.

Definition 2.2. We say that X is *finitely representable* in Y if, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, X is f.c.r in Y with the constant $K = 1 + \varepsilon$.

Definition 2.3. Let X and Y be two infinite dimensional Banach spaces.

We say that X is *f.c.r in the finite codimensional subspaces of Y* if there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that, for all $Z \in \text{cof}(Y)$, X is C -f.c.r in Z .

Remark 2.4. By Proposition 4.1 of [3], f.c.r in Y does not imply f.c.r in the finite codimensional subspaces of Y . A true analogue of Theorem 1.2 would have had the hypothesis X f.c.r in Y . The hypothesis of our central theorem is therefore stronger than that which we envisaged.

2.2. About almost bi-Lipschitz embeddings. We recall the notion of almost bi-Lipschitz embedding, defined in [2] and in [1].

For two metric spaces (M, d) and (N, δ) , and f a map from M into N , let

$$\rho_f(t) = \inf\{\delta(f(x), f(y)) : d(x, y) \geq t\} \text{ (compression modulus of } f\text{),}$$

and

$$\omega_f(t) = \sup\{\delta(f(x), f(y)) : d(x, y) \leq t\} \text{ (expansion modulus of } f\text{).}$$

For $\Phi = \{\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1) \text{ continuous such that } \varphi(0) = 0 \text{ and } \varphi(t) > 0 \forall t > 0\}$, we say that M almost bi-Lipschitzly embeds into N if there exists a scaling factor

$r \in (0, \infty)$, a constant D , and a family $(f_\varphi)_{\varphi \in \Phi}$ of maps from M into N such that, for all $t \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\omega_{f_\varphi}(t) \leq Drt$$

and

$$\rho_{f_\varphi}(t) \geq rt\varphi(t).$$

In other words, M is almost bi-Lipschitz embeddable into N if there exist a scaling factor $r \in (0, \infty)$ and a constant $D \in [1, \infty)$ such that for any continuous function $\varphi \in \Phi$, there exists a map $f_\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ such that:

$$\forall x, y \in M, \varphi(d(x, y))rd(x, y) \leq \delta(f_\varphi(x), f_\varphi(y)) \leq Drd(x, y).$$

2.3. Banach spaces containing the ℓ_p^n 's uniformly.

Definition 2.5. Let $\lambda \in [1, \infty)$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$.

We say that a Banach space contains λ -uniformly the ℓ_p^n 's if there exists a sequence of subspaces $(E_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of X such that E_n is linearly isomorphic to ℓ_p^n with $d_{BM}(E_n, \ell_p^n) \leq \lambda$, where d_{BM} is the Banach-Mazur distance between Banach spaces.

Definition 2.6. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$.

We say that X contains the ℓ_p^n 's uniformly if it contains λ -uniformly the ℓ_p^n 's for a value $\lambda \in [1, \infty)$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 3.1 (see [2] for the proof). *For any continuous function $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$, there exists a continuous non-decreasing surjective function $\mu : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, 0)$, so that $\varphi(t) \leq 2^{\mu(t)}$ for all $t > 0$.*

We will need the following lemma, inspired by Lemma 2.6 from [2], to establish Theorem 1.4. You can find the details of the proof of this property in [6].

Lemma 3.2. *Let X and Y be two Banach spaces. We assume that X is f.c.r in the finite codimensional subspaces of Y .*

Let $\gamma > 0$, $(F_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ be a family of finite dimensional subspaces of X .

Then there exists a family $(H_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ of finite dimensional subspaces of Y such that, for all j , $d_{BM}(H_j, F_j) \leq C$ and $(H_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ is an FDD of $Z = \overline{\text{Span}}(\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty H_j) \subseteq Y$ with $\|P_j\| \leq 1 + \gamma$ where P_j is the projection of Z onto $H_1 \oplus \dots \oplus H_j$ whose kernel is $\overline{\text{Span}}(\bigcup_{i=j+1}^\infty H_i)$.

We can now prove our main Theorem.

Proof. We adapt the proof of the Theorem 2.7 of [2].

Let $B_k = \{x \in M, \|x\| \leq 2^{k+1}\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Therefore, since M is a proper subspace of X , B_k is a compact of X .

Let $G_{k,n}$ be a $\varepsilon_{k,n}$ -net of B_k containing 0 (we will specify $\varepsilon_{k,n}$ later), where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\varphi_{k,n}$ be a map from B_k to $G_{k,n}$ such that

$$\forall x \in B_k, \|x - \varphi_{k,n}(x)\| = d(x, G_{k,n}).$$

Thereby:

$$\forall x, y \in B_k, \|x - y\| - \varepsilon_{k,n} \leq \|\varphi_{k,n}(x) - \varphi_{k,n}(y)\| \leq \|x - y\| + \varepsilon_{k,n}.$$

By the previous lemma, we can construct a family of finite dimensional subspaces $(H_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ of Y and linear isomorphisms $R_{k,j} : \text{Vect}(G_{k,j}) \rightarrow H_j$ such that $\|R_{k,j}\| \leq 1$ and $\|R_{k,j}^{-1}\| \leq C$, with $(H_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ FDD of $Z = \overline{\text{Span}(\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty H_j)}$.

Let $\gamma > 0$. We will specify later the choice of γ .

We note P_n the projection of Z on $H_1 \oplus \dots \oplus H_n$.

Using the previous lemma, we can assume that $\|P_n\| \leq 1 + \gamma$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We put, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_{k,n} = R_{k,n} \circ \varphi_{k,n}$.

So:

$$\forall x, y \in B_k, \frac{1}{C}(\|x - y\| - 2\varepsilon_{k,n}) \leq \|f_{k,n}(x) - f_{k,n}(y)\| \leq \|x - y\| + 2\varepsilon_{k,n}.$$

We define:

$$f_k : B_k \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$$

$$x \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} f_{k,n}(x)$$

Let $\mu : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, 0)$ be an increasing surjective continuous function, and $\sigma : (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ the function defined by $\sigma(y) = \inf\{x \in (0, +\infty) : \mu(x) \geq y\}$, with the convention $\inf \emptyset = \infty$.

We put $\varepsilon_{k,n} = \min(2^{-k}, 2^k) \times \min\left(\frac{\sigma(-n)}{\eta}, 1\right)$ where $\eta > 2$ will be determined later.

So:

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} (f_{k,n}(x) - f_{k,n}(y)) \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \right) \|x - y\| + 2 \cdot \min(2^{-k}, 2^k) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[2^{-n} \min\left(\frac{\sigma(-n)}{\eta}, 1\right) \right]$$

$$\leq \|x - y\| + 2 \cdot \min(2^{-k}, 2^k).$$

Thus: $\|f_k(x) - f_k(y)\| \leq \|x - y\| + 2 \cdot \min(2^{-k}, 2^k)$.

We define:

$$f : M \rightarrow Y$$

$$x \mapsto \lambda_x f_k(x) + (1 - \lambda_x) f_{k+1}(x), \text{ if } 2^k \leq \|x\| \leq 2^{k+1} \text{ where } k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

with $\lambda_x = \frac{2^{k+1} - \|x\|}{2^k}$.

The rest of the proof will be divided into two parts.

In the first part, it is proven that f is a Lipschitz map. The proof requires the inequality obtained for f_k , the definition of f in terms of the dyadic slicing and the triangle inequality.

In the second part, an estimate of the compression function of f is given.

Part 1. Upper bound

Let $x, y \in M$. Assume that $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$. Note that $f(0) = 0$.

Case 1. If $\|x\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|y\|$ and $2^k \leq \|y\| < 2^{k+1}$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - f(y)\| &\leq \|f(x) - f(0)\| + \|f(y) - f(0)\| \\ &\leq \|x\| + \|y\| + 4 \cdot 2^{k+1} \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2}\|y\| + 8\|y\| \\ &\leq 19(\|y\| - \|x\|) \\ &\leq 19\|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $\frac{1}{2}\|y\| < \|x\| \leq \|y\|$. We observe that $\|y - x\| \geq \|y\| - \|x\| \geq \frac{1}{2}\|y\|$. We consider two sub-cases.

Case 2.a. $2^k \leq \|x\| \leq \|y\| < 2^{k+1}$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For $\lambda_x = \frac{2^{k+1} - \|x\|}{2^k}$ and $\lambda_y = \frac{2^{k+1} - \|y\|}{2^k}$, we have

$$|\lambda_x - \lambda_y| = \frac{\|y\| - \|x\|}{2^k} \leq \frac{\|x - y\|}{2^k}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - f(y)\| &= \|\lambda_x f_k(x) - \lambda_y f_k(y) + (1 - \lambda_x)f_{k+1}(x) - (1 - \lambda_y)f_{k+1}(y)\| \\ &\leq \lambda_x \|f_k(x) - f_k(y)\| + (1 - \lambda_x) \|f_{k+1}(x) - f_{k+1}(y)\| + 2|\lambda_x - \lambda_y|(\|y\| + 2^{k+2}) \\ &\leq \|x - y\| + 2^{k+2} + (2^{k+2} + 2^{k+3}) \frac{\|x - y\|}{2^k} \\ &\leq 13\|x - y\| + 2^{k+2} \\ &\leq 13\|x - y\| + 4\|y\| \\ &\leq 21\|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2.b. $2^k \leq \|x\| < 2^{k+1} \leq \|y\| < 2^{k+2}$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\lambda_x = \frac{2^{k+1} - \|x\|}{2^k}$ and $\lambda_y = \frac{2^{k+2} - \|y\|}{2^{k+1}}$.

We have $\lambda_x \leq \frac{\|x - y\|}{2^k}$, and so $\lambda_x \|x\| \leq 2\|x - y\|$.

In the same way: $1 - \lambda_y = \frac{\|y\| - 2^{k+1}}{2^{k+1}} \leq \frac{\|x - y\|}{2^{k+1}}$, and $(1 - \lambda_y)\|y\| \leq 2\|x - y\|$.

It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - f(y)\| &= \|\lambda_x f_k(x) + (1 - \lambda_x)f_{k+1}(x) - \lambda_y f_{k+1}(y) - (1 - \lambda_y)f_{k+2}(y)\| \\ &\leq \lambda_x (\|f_k(x)\| + \|f_{k+1}(x)\|) + (1 - \lambda_y) (\|f_{k+1}(y)\| + \|f_{k+2}(y)\|) \\ &\quad + \|f_{k+1}(x) - f_{k+1}(y)\| \\ &\leq \lambda_x (2\|x\| + 2^{k+3}) + (1 - \lambda_y) (2\|y\| + 2^{k+4}) + \|x - y\| + 2^{k+2} \\ &\leq 10\lambda_x \|x\| + 10(1 - \lambda_y)\|y\| + \|x - y\| + 2\|y\| \\ &\leq 20\|x - y\| + 20\|x - y\| + \|x - y\| + 4\|x - y\| = 45\|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Part 2. Lower bound

Let $x, y \in M$.

Assume that $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$.

We put, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $Q_{k,n} = R_{k,n}^{-1} \circ \Pi_n$, where $\Pi_1 = P_1$, and $\Pi_n = P_n - P_{n-1}$ when n is greater than or equal to 2.

For the sequel, we also assume that $2^k \leq \|x\| < 2^{k+1}$ and $2^l \leq \|y\| < 2^{l+1}$, where $(k, l) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.

• Assume first that $\|x - y\| < \sigma(-1)$.

Let then $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such

$$\sigma(-(n+1)) \leq \|x - y\| < \sigma(-n),$$

in other words

$$-(n+1) \leq \mu(\|x - y\|) < -n.$$

Then:

$$Q_{k,n+1}(f(x)) = 2^{-(n+1)} \lambda_x \varphi_{k,n+1}(x),$$

$$Q_{k+1,n+1}(f(x)) = 2^{-(n+1)} (1 - \lambda_x) \varphi_{k+1,n+1}(x),$$

$$Q_{l,n+1}(f(y)) = 2^{-(n+1)} \lambda_y \varphi_{l,n+1}(y),$$

$$Q_{l+1,n+1}(f(y)) = 2^{-(n+1)} (1 - \lambda_y) \varphi_{l+1,n+1}(y),$$

$$\text{and } Q_{r,n+1}(f(x)) = Q_{s,n+1}(f(y)) = 0 \text{ for } r \notin \{k, k+1\}, s \notin \{l, l+1\}.$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} (Q_{r_1,n+1} + \cdots + Q_{r_s,n+1})(f(x) - f(y)) &= 2^{-(n+1)} [\lambda_x \varphi_{k,n+1}(x) + (1 - \lambda_x) \varphi_{k+1,n+1}(x) \\ &\quad - \lambda_y \varphi_{l,n+1}(y) - (1 - \lambda_y) \varphi_{l+1,n+1}(y)] \end{aligned}$$

with $s \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ and $r_1, \dots, r_s \in \{k, k+1, l, l+1\}$.

We will now use the following inequality:

$$\max_{r \in \{k, k+1, l, l+1\}} \|\varphi_{r,n+1}(x) - x\| \leq \frac{\sigma(-(n+1))}{\eta}.$$

This allows us to get:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Q_{r_1,n+1} + \cdots + Q_{r_s,n+1})(f(x) - f(y))\| &\geq 2^{-(n+1)} \left(\|x - y\| - \frac{2\sigma(-(n+1))}{\eta} \right) \\ &\geq 2^{-(n+1)} \frac{\eta - 2}{\eta} \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

As $\|Q_{r_1,n+1} + \cdots + Q_{r_s,n+1}\| \leq 8C(1 + \gamma)$, we deduce

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \geq \frac{2^{-(n+1)}(\eta - 2)\|x - y\|}{8\eta C(1 + \gamma)} \geq \frac{2^{\mu(\|x - y\|)}(\eta - 2)\|x - y\|}{16\eta C(1 + \gamma)}.$$

• Assume now that $\|x - y\| \geq \sigma(-1)$ or equivalently that $-1 \leq \mu(\|x - y\|)$.

So, using the maps $Q_{k,1}, Q_{k+1,1}, Q_{l,1}$ and $Q_{l+1,1}$ instead of $Q_{k,n+1}, Q_{k+1,n+1}, Q_{l,n+1},$

and $Q_{l+1, n+1}$, we obtain that

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \geq \frac{(\eta - 2)\|x - y\|}{16\eta C(1 + \gamma)}.$$

Since $\mu \leq 0$, it follows that

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \geq \frac{2^{\mu(\|x-y\|)}(\eta - 2)\|x - y\|}{16\eta C(1 + \gamma)}.$$

Since γ can be chosen as small and η as large as desired, thanks to Lemma 3.1, this concludes our proof. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let X and Y be two Banach spaces.*

If X is f.c.r in the finite codimensional subspaces of Y , then for all $Z \in \text{cof}(Y)$, for all proper subspace M of X , M almost bi-Lipschitz embeds into Z .

Remark 3.4. The Corollary 2.8 from [2] is a special case of this last result. This Corollary says that, for M a proper subset of L_p ($p \in [1, \infty]$), and X a Banach space containing uniformly the ℓ_p^n 's, M almost bi-Lipschitz embeds into X . Indeed, the following assertions are equivalent, for an infinite dimensional Banach space X and $p \in [1, \infty]$:

(i) X contains the ℓ_p^n 's uniformly.

(ii) L_p is finitely representable in X .

(iii) L_p is f.c.r in the finite codimensional subspaces of X .

You can find the proof in [6].

Definition 3.5. A Banach space X is said to be *locally minimal* if there exists a constant $K > 1$ such that X is K -f.c.r in each of its infinite dimensional subspaces.

Examples 3.6. c_0 , ℓ_p for $1 \leq p < \infty$, and the Tsirelson space T^* are such spaces.

With this last definition, an immediate Corollary of the Theorem 1.4 is the following:

Corollary 3.7. *Let X and Y be two Banach spaces such that X is locally minimal, and Y is finitely representable in X .*

Let M be a proper subspace of Y .

Then M almost bi-Lipschitz embeds into X .

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Baudier, Barycentric gluing and geometry of stable metrics, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM*, **no. 1**, (2022), Paper No. 37, 48pp.
- [2] F. Baudier and G. Lancien, Tight embeddability of proper and stable metric spaces, *Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces*, **3**, (2015), 140–156.
- [3] V. Ferenczi and C. Rosendal, Banach spaces without minimal subspaces, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **257** (1), (2009), 149–193.
- [4] R.C. James, Superreflexive Banach spaces, *Canadian J. Math.*, **24**, (1972), 896–904.
- [5] R.C. James, Superreflexive spaces with bases, *Pacific J. Math.*, **41–42**, (1972), 409–419.
- [6] F. Netillard, Plongements grossièrement Lipschitz et presque Lipschitz dans les espaces de Banach. Analyse fonctionnelle [math.FA], *Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté*, (2019). <NNT: 2019UBFCD020>. <tel-02378971>

- [7] M.I. Ostrovskii, Embeddability of locally finite metric spaces into Banach spaces is finitely determined, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **140**, (2012), 2721–2730.
- [8] M.I. Ostrovskii, Metric embeddings: bilipschitz and coarse embeddings into Banach spaces, *De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*, **49**, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, (2013).

UNIVERSITÉ DE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES UMR 6623, 16 ROUTE DE GRAY, 25030 BESANÇON CEDEX, FRANCE.

Email address: francois.netillard2@univ-fcomte.fr