

# On large sieve inequalities involving $p$ th powers of trigonometric polynomials

S. NORVIDAS

Vilnius University Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Akademijos 4, Vilnius 08663, Lithuania

e-mail: norvidas@gmail.com

**Abstract.** In this paper, we extend the large sieve type estimates to sums involving  $p$ th powers of trigonometric polynomials. An approach to such estimates that does not rely on the usual  $L^2$ -technique is given. Our method is based on comparing the norm and the spectral radius of convolution operators on a normed space of trigonometric polynomials.

## 1 Introduction

We denote by  $T_N$  the set of trigonometric polynomials of degree at most  $N$

$$s(x) = \sum_{k=-N}^N c_k e^{ikx}$$

with a positive integer  $N$  and  $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $k = -N, \dots, N$ . Suppose that  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_r$ ,  $r > 1$ , is any sequence in  $\mathbb{T} = (-\pi, \pi]$  such that

$$(1) \quad \min \left\{ x_{j+1} - x_j, j = 1, \dots, r-1; 2\pi - (x_r - x_1) \right\} = \delta > 0.$$

The usual large sieve inequality states that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^r |s(x_j)|^2 \leq \left( \frac{N}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \int_{\mathbb{T}} |s(x)|^2 dx.$$

See Selberg [8, p. 221], but note the different notation. Gallagher [4] has given a simple derivation of the large sieve inequalities. It turned out that the method of [4] can be applied to  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ -norm. More precisely, in [3, p. 96] was proved that if  $s \in T_N$  and  $2 \leq p < \infty$ , then

$$(3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^r |s(x_j)|^p \leq \Delta_p p^{1/2} \max \left( N, \frac{4\pi}{\delta} \right) \left( \sum_{k=-N}^N |c_k|^q \right)^{p/q},$$

where  $\Delta_p$  is an absolute constant and  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Next, in [6, p. 533] the inequality (3) was extended for all  $0 < p < \infty$  as follows. Let  $\Psi$  be a convex, nonnegative, and nondecreasing

function in  $[0, \infty)$ . Then for any  $s \in T_N$ ,

$$(4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^r \Psi(|s(x_j)|^p) \leq \left(\frac{N}{\pi} + \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Psi\left(|s(x)|^p(p+1)e/2\right) dx,$$

whenever  $-\pi < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_r \leq \pi$  and  $\delta$  is defined by (1). If  $\Psi(t) = t$ ,  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , then (4) implies

$$(5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^r |s(x_j)|^p \leq \left(\frac{N}{\pi} + \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \frac{(p+1)e}{2} \|s\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}^p.$$

In [5, p. 164] the estimate (4) was extended to the case of generalized trigonometric polynomials. In particular, for usual trigonometric polynomials, the inequality (5) was improved as follows:

$$(6) \quad \sum_{j=1}^r |s(x_j)|^p \leq \left(\frac{N+1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \frac{(p+1)e}{2} \|s\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}^p.$$

Note that inequalities (2)-(6) are also called forward Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities (see, e.g., [2]).

In this paper, we will develop an approach to inequalities of the type (3) and (5)-(6) that not use the usual  $L^2$ -technique. Our approach is based on the spectral theory of convolution operators on  $T_N$ .

The main result is given in the following theorem. Note that in the sequel,  $[x]$  denotes the integer part of a positive number  $x$ . Also we use the notation  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  for the standard gamma function.

**THEOREM 1** . Let  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^r$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{T}$  that satisfies (1). If  $s \in T_N$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then

$$(7) \quad \sum_{j=1}^r |s(x_j)|^p \leq \frac{pN\sigma(\delta; N)}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(p/2)}{\Gamma(p/2 + 1/2)} \|s\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}^p$$

with

$$(8) \quad \sigma(\delta; N) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{N\delta}, & \text{if } \frac{\pi}{N\delta} \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 1 + \left\lceil \frac{\pi}{N\delta} \right\rceil, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $p$  is a positive integer, then the quantity

$$\frac{\Gamma(p/2)}{\Gamma(p/2 + 1/2)}$$

can be calculated directly by using the relations between  $\Gamma(p/2)$  and  $\Gamma(p/2 + 1/2)$ .

**COROLLARY 2** . Let  $l$  be a positive integer. Then under the conditions of Theorem 1 it follows that:

(i) if  $p = 2l$ , then

$$(9) \quad \sum_{j=1}^r |s(x_j)|^p \leq \frac{pN\sigma(\delta;N)}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(p/2)}{\Gamma(p/2+1/2)} \|s\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}^p = \frac{pN\sigma(\delta;N) \cdot 2^{l-1}(l-1)!}{\pi(1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2l-1))} \|s\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}^p;$$

(ii) if  $p = 2l + 1$ , then

$$(10) \quad \sum_{j=1}^r |s(x_j)|^p \leq \frac{pN\sigma(\delta;N)}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(p/2)}{\Gamma(p/2+1/2)} \|s\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}^p = \frac{pN\sigma(\delta;N) \cdot (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2l-1))}{2^{l+1} \cdot l!} \|s\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}^p.$$

**COROLLARY 3** . Assume that  $s \in T_N$ ,  $\|s\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} = 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , and  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^r$  satisfies (1).

(i) If  $\frac{\pi}{N\delta} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$(11) \quad \sum_{j=1}^r |s(x_j)|^p < \frac{p+1}{\delta}.$$

(ii) If  $\frac{\pi}{N\delta} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$(12) \quad \sum_{j=1}^r |s(x_j)|^p < (p+1) \left( \frac{N}{\pi} + \frac{1}{\delta} \right).$$

## 2 Proofs

Let  $M(\mathbb{T})$  be the Banach algebra of finite complex-valued regular Borel measures on  $\mathbb{T}$ . The norm in  $M(\mathbb{T})$  is given by the total variation  $\|\mu\|$  of  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{T})$ . Therefore, the usual Banach space  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$  can be identified with the closed ideal in  $M(\mathbb{T})$  of all measures which are absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure  $dt$  on  $\mathbb{T}$ .

Given  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{T})$  and  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , we define the Fourier transform of  $\mu$  and  $f$  by

$$\widehat{\mu}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-ixt} d\mu(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{f}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-ixt} f(t) dt,$$

respectively. For each  $u \in L^r(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ , on  $T_N$  is well defined the convolution operator

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} A_u(s)(x) &= s * u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} s(x-t)u(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left( \sum_{k=-N}^N c_k e^{ik(x-t)} \right) u(t) dt \\ &= \sum_{k=-N}^N c_k \widehat{u}(k) e^{ikx}, \end{aligned}$$

for each  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ , where  $s \in T_N$ . Note that in this definition and also below we assume that  $s$  is a periodic function on the real line with the period equal to  $2\pi$ . Below, the notation  $T_N^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , means that  $T_N$  is equipped with the usual  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$  norm.

Suppose that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are two measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{T}$ ,  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are two non-negative finite measures on  $\mathbb{T}$ , and  $F : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a measurable function. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , Minkowski's integral inequality [9, p. 37] states that

$$(14) \quad \left[ \int_{S_2} \left| \int_{S_1} F(x, y) d\mu_1(x) \right|^p d\mu_2(y) \right]^{1/p} \leq \int_{S_1} \left( \int_{S_2} |F(x, y)|^p d\mu_2(y) \right)^{1/p} d\mu_1(x).$$

**PROPOSITION 4 .** *Let  $u \in L^q(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ ,  $\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T})} \neq 0$ . Assume that  $u$  is continuous, non-negative and even on  $\mathbb{T}$ . If*

$$(15) \quad \text{supp } u \subset \left[ -\frac{\pi}{2N}, \frac{\pi}{2N} \right],$$

*then there exists a trigonometric sum*

$$(16) \quad p_u(x) = \sum_{m=-N+1}^N \tau_m e^{-i\pi m x / N}$$

*such that*

$$(17) \quad (-1)^m \tau_m > 0,$$

*$m = -N + 1, \dots, N$ , and*

$$(18) \quad p_u(n) = \frac{1}{\widehat{u}(n)}$$

*for all  $n = -N, \dots, N$ .*

**PROOF.** We start by examining in more details the Fourier transform of  $u$ . Under the assumptions on  $u$ , we see that

$$(19) \quad \widehat{u}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} u(t) e^{-ixt} dt = 2 \int_0^{\pi/2N} u(t) \cos xt dt > 0$$

for all  $x \in [-N, N]$ . From this it follows that

$$(20) \quad (\widehat{u})'(x) = -2 \int_0^{\pi/2N} t u(t) \sin xt dt < 0$$

and

$$(21) \quad (\widehat{u})''(x) = -2 \int_0^{\pi/2N} t^2 u(t) \cos xt dt < 0$$

for all  $x \in [0, N]$ .

Let  $v = 1/\widehat{u}$ . By (19), the function  $v$  is well defined and positive on  $[-N, N]$ . Moreover, we conclude from (19)-(21) that  $v$  is an even function and

$$(22) \quad v'(x) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v''(x) > 0$$

for all  $x \in [0, N]$ . Therefore,  $v$  is increasing and convex on  $[0, N]$ , in particular  $v$  is of bounded variation on  $[0, N]$ . In particular, this means that  $v$  is a function of bounded variation on  $\mathbb{T}$ . The following is well known: If  $f$  is an  $2\pi$ -periodic continuously differentiable even function on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that  $f$  is of bounded variation on  $\mathbb{T}$ , then the Fourier series of  $f$  converges absolutely (see, eg. [10, p. 241]). Thus,

$$(23) \quad v(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k e^{ikx\pi/N} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{N}kx\right)$$

with

$$(24) \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_k| < \infty,$$

where

$$(25) \quad a_k = \int_{-N}^N v(t) e^{-ikt\pi/N} dt = 2 \int_0^N v(t) \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{N}kt\right) dt.$$

We claim that

$$(26) \quad (-1)^k a_k > 0$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Combining (19) with (25), we see that  $a_0 > 0$ . Let  $k \geq 1$ . Then using integration by parts, we conclude from (15) that

$$(27) \quad a_k = -\frac{2N}{\pi k} \int_0^N v'(t) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{N}kt\right) dt = -\frac{2N}{\pi k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} I_j,$$

where

$$(28) \quad I_j = \int_{E_j} v'(t) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{N}kt\right) dt$$

and  $E_j = [Nj/k, N(j+1)/k]$ . Note that the length of  $E_j$  is exactly half length of period for  $\sin\left(\pi kx/N\right)$ . Combining this with (22), we see that

$$(29) \quad (-1)^j I_j > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |I_j| < |I_{j+1}|$$

for all  $j = 0, \dots, k-1$ . From this, it is easily seen that

$$(-1)^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} I_j > 0.$$

In light of (27) this proving the claim (26).

For  $m = -N+1, \dots, N$ , let  $\tau_m$  be defined by

$$(30) \quad \tau_m = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{m+2jN}.$$

From (24), we see that the series in (30) converges absolutely. Next, from (26) it follows that, for each  $m$ , all terms of the sequence  $\{a_{m+2jN}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  have the same sign. In particular, (26) shows that  $(-1)^m a_{m+2jN} > 0$ . Therefore, the trigonometric sum (16) is well defined and satisfies (17). Finally, for any  $n \in \{-N, \dots, N\}$ , combining (23) with (30), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\widehat{u}(n)} &= v(n) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k e^{ik\pi n/N} = \sum_{m=-N+1}^N \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{m+2jN} e^{i(m+2jN)\pi n/N} \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=-N+1}^N \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{m+2jN} e^{im\pi n/N} \right) = \sum_{m=-N+1}^N \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{m+2jN} \right) e^{im\pi n/N} \\ &= \sum_{m=-N+1}^N \tau_m e^{imn\pi/N} = p_u(-n). \end{aligned}$$

As  $u$  and  $\widehat{u}$  are even functions we have  $p_u(-n) = p(n)$  for all  $n$ . Proposition 4 is proved.

We will denote by  $\delta_a$  the usual Dirac measure supported on  $a \in \mathbb{T}$ .

**PROPOSITION 5** . *Let positive numbers  $p$  and  $q$  satisfy  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Under the conditions of Proposition 4 on  $u \in L^q(\mathbb{T})$  it follows that the operator (13) possesses on  $T_N^p$  a bounded inverse of the type*

$$(31) \quad A_u^{-1}(s)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} s(x-t) d\mu(t),$$

where

$$(32) \quad \mu = \sum_{m=-N+1}^N \tau_m \delta_{\pi m/N}$$

and  $\{\tau_m\}_{-N+1}^N$  are defined by (30), using (23) for  $v = 1/\widehat{u}$ . Furthermore,

$$(33) \quad \|A_u^{-1}\|_{T_N^p} = \|\mu\|_{M(\mathbb{T})} = \sum_{m=-N+1}^N |\tau_m| = \frac{1}{\widehat{u}(N)}.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $s(x) = \sum_{k=-N}^N c_k e^{ikx} \in T_N$ . From (16) it follows that

$$(34) \quad s * \mu(x) = \sum_{k=-N}^N c_k \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{ik(x-t)} d\mu(t) \right) = \sum_{k=-N}^N c_k \widehat{\mu}(k) e^{ikx},$$

where

$$\widehat{\mu}(k) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-ikt} d\mu(t) = \sum_{m=-N+1}^N \tau_m e^{-i\pi km/N} = p_u(k),$$

for all  $k = -N, \dots, N$ . Now, taking into account (18), we conclude from from (13) that (31) defines the inverse of the operator  $A_u$ .

Now, according to (34), we see that the set  $\{\widehat{\mu}(k) = p_u(k) : k = -N, \dots, N\}$  coincides with the spectrum of  $A_u^{-1}$ . Therefore, if  $|A_u^{-1}|_{T_N^p}$  denotes the spectral radius of  $A_u^{-1}$ , then

$$|A_u^{-1}|_{T_N^p} = \max\{|\widehat{\mu}(k)| = |p_u(k)| : k = -N, \dots, N\}.$$

Let us recall that  $|H|_X \leq \|H\|_X$ , i.e. the spectral radius is not greater than the operator norm, for any bounded linear operator  $H$  on a normed space  $X$ . Combining this with (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A_u^{-1}\|_{T_N^p} &\geq \max_{-N \leq k \leq N} |\widehat{\mu}(k)| = \max_{-N \leq k \leq N} |p_u(k)| = \max_{-N \leq k \leq N} \left\{ \frac{1}{|\widehat{u}(k)|} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{\min_{-N \leq k \leq N} |\widehat{u}(k)|} \geq \frac{1}{\widehat{u}(N)} = p_u(N) = \sum_{M=-N+1}^N \tau_m e^{i\pi m N/N} = \\
(35) \quad &\sum_{M=-N+1}^N (-1)^m \tau_m = \sum_{M=-N+1}^N |\tau_m| = \|\mu\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Other hands, from Minkowski's inequality (14) it is easily to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A_u^{-1}(s)\|_{T_N^p} &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} s(x-t) d\mu(t) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} |s(x-t)| d|\mu|(t) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\
(36) \quad &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} |s(x-t)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} d|\mu|(t) = \|s\|_{T_N^p} \|\mu\|,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $|\mu|$  denote the variation of  $\mu$ . Thus, (35) with (36) show (33), and the Proposition 5 is proved.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** Assume that  $u \in L^q(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T})} = 1$  and  $u$  satisfies the conditions of Proposition 4. If  $s \in T_N$ , then by Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|s(x)|^p &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} A_u^{-1}(s)(x-t)u(t) dt \right|^p = \left| \int_{-\pi/2N}^{\pi/2N} A_u^{-1}(s)(x-t)u(t) dt \right|^p \\
&\leq \left( \int_{-\pi/2N}^{\pi/2N} \left| A_u^{-1}(s)(x-t) \right|^p dt \right) \left( \int_{-\pi/2N}^{\pi/2N} |u(t)|^q dt \right)^{p/q} \\
&= \int_{-\pi/2N}^{\pi/2N} \left| A_u^{-1}(s)(x-t) \right|^p dt
\end{aligned}$$

for each  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^r |s(x_j)|^p &\leq \sum_{j=1}^r \int_{-\pi/2N}^{\pi/2N} \left| A_u^{-1}(s)(x_j-t) \right|^p dt \\
(37) \quad &= \sum_{j=1}^r \int_{x_j-\pi/2N}^{x_j+\pi/2N} \left| A_u^{-1}(s)(y) \right|^p dy.
\end{aligned}$$

We will denote by  $E_j$  the set

$$E_j = \left( x_j - \frac{\pi}{2N}, x_j + \frac{\pi}{2N} \right],$$

$j = 1, \dots, r$ . Using the fact that  $A_u^{-1}(s)$  is a trigonometric polynomial, i.e., a continuous and periodical function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we conclude that

$$(38) \quad \int_{x_j-\pi/2N}^{x_j+\pi/2N} \left| A_u^{-1}(s)(y) \right|^p dy = \int_{E_j} \left| A_u^{-1}(s)(y) \right|^p dy.$$

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and assume that  $x \in E_j$ , for  $j = i, i+1, \dots, i+k$  with some non-negative integer  $k$ . Then we claim that:

(i) if  $\pi/N\delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$(39) \quad k+1 \leq \frac{\pi}{N\delta};$$

(ii) if  $\pi/N\delta \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$(40) \quad k \leq \left[ \frac{\pi}{N\delta} \right],$$

where  $[\cdot]$  is the usual integer part of a real number.

Indeed, since  $x \in E_i \cap E_{i+k}$ , it follows that  $x_i + \pi/2N > x_{i+k} - \pi/2N$ . Then

$$(41) \quad x_{i+k} - x_i < \frac{\pi}{N}.$$

Other hands, by (1) we get

$$(42) \quad x_{i+k} - x_i \geq k\delta.$$

Combining (42) with (41), we see that

$$(43) \quad k < \frac{\pi}{N\delta}.$$

Now note that  $k$  is an integer. Hence if  $\pi/N\delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then (43) implies (39). For  $\pi/N\delta \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , we get (40), which yields our claim.

Thus, each  $x \in \mathbb{T}$  can belong to at most  $\sigma_p$  intervals  $E_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, p$ , where  $\sigma_p$  was defined by (8).

Now combining (35), (36) with (37), (38), (31) and (8), we get

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^r |s(x_j)|^p &\leq \sum_{j=1}^r \int_{x_j - \pi/2N}^{x_j + \pi/2N} \left| A_u^{-1}(s)(y) \right|^p dy \leq \sigma(\delta; N) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| A_u^{-1}(s)(y) \right|^p dy = \\ &= \sigma(\delta; N) \|A_u^{-1}(s)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}^p \leq \sigma(\delta; N) \|A_u^{-1}\|_{T_N^p}^p \|s\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}^p = \frac{\sigma_p}{(\hat{u}(N))^p} \|s\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Next, the estimate (44) can be improved to

$$(45) \quad \sum_{j=1}^r |s(x_j)|^p \leq \frac{\sigma(\delta; N)}{\sup_u (\hat{u}(N))^p} \|s\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}^p,$$

where the supremum extends over all admissible  $u$  as described in the statement of Proposition 4. We claim that for such an  $u$  we have

$$(46) \quad \sup_u (\hat{u}(N))^p = \|\cos Nx\|_{L^p[-\pi/2N, \pi/2N]}^p = \frac{\|\cos t\|_{L^p[-\pi/2, \pi/2]}^p}{N}.$$



Indeed, Hölder's inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\widehat{u}(N)|^p &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} u(x) \cos Nx \, dx \right|^p = \left| \int_{-\pi/2N}^{\pi/2N} u(x) \cos Nx \, dx \right|^p \\
&\leq \int_{-\pi/2N}^{\pi/2N} |\cos Nx|^p \, dx \cdot \|u\|_{L^q[-\pi/2N, \pi/2N]}^p = \int_{-\pi/2N}^{\pi/2N} |\cos Nx|^p \, dx \\
(47) \qquad &= \frac{1}{N} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} |\cos t|^p \, dt = \frac{\|\cos t\|_{L^p[-\pi/2, \pi/2]}^p}{N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since we used Hölder's inequality, it follows that the estimate (47) is exact and the equality is attained if

$$u(x) = \theta \cos^{p-1} Nx \cdot \chi_{[-\pi/2N, \pi/2N]}(x),$$

where  $\chi_{[-\pi/2N, \pi/2N]}$  is the indicator function of the interval  $[-\pi/2N, \pi/2N]$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$  is such that  $\|u\|_{L^q[-\pi/2N, \pi/2N]} = 1$ . Therefore, our claim (46) is proved.

Combining (45) with (46), we get

$$(48) \qquad \sum_{j=1}^r |s(x_j)|^p \leq \frac{\sigma(\delta; N) \cdot N}{\|\cos t\|_{L^p[-\pi/2, \pi/2]}^p} \|s\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}^p.$$

Next,

$$(49) \qquad \|\cos t\|_{L^p[-\pi/2, \pi/2]}^p = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^p t \, dt = B\left(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{p+1}{2}\right),$$

(see, e.g., [7, p. 142]), where  $B$  is Euler's beta function defined by

$$B(a; b) = 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{2a-1} \theta \cos^{2b-1} \theta \, d\theta$$

for  $\Re a, \Re b > 0$ . Applying the following connection between the beta and the usual gamma function ([7, p. 142])

$$B(a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)},$$

we conclude from (49) that

$$(50) \qquad \|\cos t\|_{L^p[-\pi/2, \pi/2]}^p = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2} + 1\right)}$$

Since  $\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2} + 1\right) = \Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{p}{2}$  and  $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi}$  (see, e.g., [7, p.p. 137-138]), it follows from (49) and (50) that

$$\|\cos t\|_{L^p[-\pi/2, \pi/2]}^p = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)}.$$

Substituting this into (48), we obtain (7). Theorem 1 is proved.

POOF OF COROLLARY 2. It is known that for a nonnegative integer  $n$ ,

$$\Gamma(n+1) = n! \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi} \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2n-1)}{2^n}$$

(see [7, p. 139]). Using this, the representations (9) and (10) can be verified by straightforward calculation.

## References

- [1] L.C. Andrews, *Special functions of mathematics for engineers*, Second edition. McGraw-Hill, Inc. ( New York, 1992).
- [2] C.K. Chui and L. Zhong, On Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities and  $A_p$ -weights for L-shape arcs, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **31** (2021), 9276-9294.
- [3] H. Davenport and H. Halberstam, The values of a trigonometrical polynomial at well spaced points, *Matematika*, **13** (1966), 91-95.
- [4] P.X. Gallagher, The large sieve, *Matematika*, **14** (1967), 14-20.
- [5] H. Joungh, Large sieve for generalized trigonometric polynomials, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, **36** (1) (1999), 161-169.
- [6] D.S. Lubinsky, A. Máté and A.P. Nevai, Quadrature sums involving  $p$ th powers of polynomials, *Siam. J. Math. Anal.*, **18** (1987), 531-544.
- [7] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark, *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Cambridge University Press (Cambridge, UK, 2010).
- [8] A. Selberg, *Collected works*, vol. II, Springer ( Heidelberg, 2014).
- [9] E.M. Stein and R. Shakarchi, *Functional analysis. Introduction to further topics in analysis*, Princeton University Press ( Princeton, New York, 2011).
- [10] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, vol. I, Cambridge University Press ( Cambridge, 2002).