

Bott Integrability and Higher Bott Integrability; Higher Cheeger-Simons and Godbillon-Vey Invariants

Oliver Attie and Sylvain Cappell

September 27, 2022

Abstract

This paper studies the interaction of $\pi_1(M)$ for a C^∞ manifold M with Bott's original obstruction to integrability, and with differential geometric invariants such as Godbillon-Vey and Cheeger-Simons invariants of a foliation. We prove that the ring of higher Pontrjagin and higher Chern classes of an integrable subbundle E of the tangent bundle of a manifold vanishes above dimension $2k$ where $k = \dim(TM/E)$, and where the higher Pontrjagin and Chern rings are rings generated by $i^*y \cup p_j(TM/E)$ and by $i^*y \cup c_j(TM/E)$ respectively, with p_j the j -th Pontrjagin class, c_j the j -th Chern class, $i : M \rightarrow B\pi$ and $\pi = \pi_1(BG)$, where BG is the classifying space of the holonomy groupoid corresponding to E and $y \in H^*(B\pi)$, provided that the fundamental group of BG satisfies the Novikov conjecture. In addition, we show the vanishing of higher Pontrjagin and Chern rings generated by $i^*x \cup p_j(TM/E)$, and by $i^*x \cup c_j(TM/E)$ as before but with $i : M \rightarrow BG$, BG as above and $x \in H^*(BG)$ provided (M, \mathcal{F}) satisfied the foliated Novikov conjecture, where \mathcal{F} is the foliation whose tangent bundle is E . We give examples of this obstruction and of higher Godbillon-Vey and Cheeger-Simons invariants.

1 Introduction

If M is a C^∞ manifold, a subbundle $E \subset TM$ is said to be integrable if its space of sections is closed under Lie bracket, i.e., $X, Y \in \Gamma(E)$, implies $[X, Y] \in \Gamma(E)$.

Theorem 1.1 (Frobenius). *[18] If E is a subbundle of the tangent bundle, then E is integrable if and only if $E = T(\mathcal{F})$ for a foliation \mathcal{F} of M .*

In 1966 S.S.Chern [22] asked whether every subbundle of the tangent bundle of a compact manifold is deformable to an integrable one. Raoul Bott answered this question in 1968, showing that there indeed was an obstruction involving the vanishing in a certain range of dimensions of characteristic cohomology classes [12]. Bott's integrability condition was proven by him in two ways. First,

by using differential geometry [12], constructing a connection (called a basic connection) which is flat along the leaves of the foliation. Herbert Shulman, in his 1972 U.C.Berkeley Ph.D. thesis, rephrased Bott integrability as a statement about the terms in the spectral sequence associated to a semi-simplicial model for the foliated manifold. Then [17] in 1976, Bott used algebraic topology, the cohomology of the Haefliger space, to prove his integrability condition. A similar proof was obtained for foliated bundles by Kamber and Tondeur in 1975 [40]. One consequence of the integrability theorem is the existence of characteristic classes for foliations [13, 20, 40].

In this paper, we begin by presenting a new formulation of the proof of Bott's Integrability Theorem:

Theorem 3.2 (Bott). *Let $Pont^*(TM/E)$ be the Pontrjagin ring of TM/E . Then if E is integrable, for $q > 2k$, $Pont^q(TM/E) = 0$.*

Our proof uses, as did Bott's, a result by Shulman on characteristic differential forms but in place of the basic connection theory we use an assembly map in surgery theory. This approach is then used to generalize the theorem to obtain higher obstructions to integrability in some cases of nonsimply connected manifolds and to give examples of these new obstructions, a first improvement on Bott's integrability theorem since 1968. The higher characteristic classes that appear in the new obstructions, are certain products of characteristic classes with natural cohomology classes, e.g., those coming from the fundamental group or the classifying space of the holonomy groupoid. Such classes play a role in the classical Novikov conjecture about such characteristic classes being oriented homotopy invariants. This conjecture has been verified for many large and important classes of fundamental groups.

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a compact manifold of dimension n , suppose $E \subset TM$ is a subbundle of the tangent bundle of M . Let E be integrable, BG the classifying space of the foliation groupoid of the corresponding foliation and $\pi = \pi_1(BG)$. Suppose the Novikov conjecture is true for π . Then the higher Pontrjagin ring $Pont^q(\pi)(TM/E)$ vanishes above dimension $2k$, where k is the dimension of TM/E .*

This theorem also holds for classes in the cohomology of the classifying space of the holonomy groupoid provided that the Novikov Conjecture for foliations holds for that holonomy groupoid [6], as we shall see in section 6.

We can apply to the hypothesis of Theorem 4.1 the following result for $(k - 1) = 1$.

Theorem 1.2 (Haefliger [34]). *Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on a manifold X so that the holonomy coverings of the leaves are $(k - 1)$ -connected. Then the holonomy groupoid G of \mathcal{F} considered as a G -principal bundle with base X by the end projection is k -universal. Thus the space X itself is k -classifying and the map i of X to BG is k -connected.*

That then yields:

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose the leaves of the foliation \mathcal{F} on M above are all simply connected. Then $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(BG) = \pi$. Suppose the Novikov conjecture is true for π . Then the higher Pontrjagin ring of TM/E , $\text{Pont}^q(\pi)(TM/E)$ vanishes for $q > 2k$ where $k = \dim(TM/E)$.*

We also have results that do not depend on the Novikov conjecture. One such result is:

Corollary 4.4. *Let M be a closed, oriented, smooth manifold with an integrable subbundle E of the tangent bundle TM of M , and a homomorphism $f : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ so that the corresponding rational cohomology class $f^*(j)$, j the generator of $H^1(\mathbf{Z})$, pulls back to 0 on each leaf of the foliation. More generally, suppose that $\gamma \in H^*(M; \mathbf{Q})$ belongs to the cohomology algebra generated by $H^1(M; \mathbf{Q})$ and pulls back to 0 on each leaf of the foliation. Then the higher signature class $f^*(j) \cup L_q(TM/E)$ or $\gamma \cup L_q(TM/E)$ vanishes for $q + 1$ resp. $q + \dim(\gamma)$ larger than $2\dim(TM/E)$.*

The leaves of a foliation are smooth manifolds of bounded geometry. We can therefore use the algebraic topology [3, 28, 39, 49, 52] of manifolds of bounded geometry to study foliations, and derive the Bott integrability theorem. We use the assembly map in surgery theory for manifolds of bounded geometry to show that since Bott's integrability condition holds for the normal bundles of the leaves, considered as vector bundles of bounded geometry and having a Pontrjagin ring for the L^∞ Pontrjagin classes of the normal bundle, it holds for the normal bundle to the foliation, to which they assemble. (In a related paper, Baum and Connes [8] state a Novikov Conjecture for foliations using the K-theory of operator algebras and Connes' foliation algebra). We carry out the assembly by using a cosheaf of L-homology groups to detect the Pontrjagin classes.

We use this integrability theorem to construct new characteristic classes in Section 7: a higher Godbillon-Vey class, a higher Cheeger-Simons class, and a higher Maslov index in Example 7.4. Classes beyond Godbillon-Vey and its higher codimension versions have been defined by S.Hurder and D.McDuff [35, 42]. Connes [24] has proven the leafwise homotopy invariance of the L-class evaluated on secondary classes, and that secondary classes are obstructions to positive scalar curvature of the tangent bundle to the foliation.

Bott's obstruction is analogous to the obstruction to fibering over a manifold [45], where the leaves of the foliation replace the compact manifold fiber. As a result of this approach we get a new set of obstructions, the ring of higher Pontrjagin classes, which must also vanish for a subbundle to be integrable. These classes yield higher obstructions only when the manifold M has infinite fundamental group. Many of the constructions go through easily in the smooth case. We will further discuss the analogy with the blocked surgery of [45] in [6], where we will construct an analogous blocked surgery exact sequence for foliations, which is independent of this paper.

The authors wish to acknowledge our dear late friend Andrew Ranicki for the definition of uniformly finite L-homology. We also wish to acknowledge Steve Hurder and Shmuel Weinberger for helpful comments on this paper.

2 Definitions

The present melding of foliation theory with surgery-theoretic ideas applied to manifolds of bounded geometry arising as leaves of foliations and also some aspects of operator theory which we develop here (and further in [6]) involves a list of definitions or adaptations as follows.

Definition 2.1. *A simplicial complex has bounded geometry if there is a uniform bound on the number of simplices in the link of each vertex [3].*

Definition 2.2. *A complete paracompact Riemannian manifold M is said to have bounded geometry if its injectivity radius $inj_M > c > 0$ for some constant c and its sectional curvature is bounded in absolute value.*

Remark 2.1. *The leaves of a foliation of a compact manifold are manifolds of bounded geometry, and conversely [2] every manifold of bounded geometry is the leaf of a compact foliated space. But it is not true that every manifold of bounded geometry is the leaf of a C^1 foliation of a compact manifold [7].*

Theorem 2.1. *Every smooth manifold M of bounded geometry has a triangulation as a simplicial complex of bounded geometry. Conversely, if M is a simplicial complex of bounded geometry which is a triangulation of a smooth manifold, then this smooth manifold admits a metric of bounded geometry with respect to which it is quasi-isometric to M [3].*

Definition 2.3. *Let X be a simplicial complex of bounded geometry. The uniformly finite homology groups of X with coefficients in an abelian normed group $(G, |\cdot|)$ denoted $H_*^{u.f.}(X; G, |\cdot|)$ are defined to be the homology groups of the complex of infinite simplicial chains whose coefficients are in l^∞ with respect to the norm $|\cdot|$ on G [3].*

Definition 2.4. *Denote by $\Omega_\beta^p(M)$ the Banach space of p -forms on a complete, oriented Riemannian manifold M which are bounded in the norm*

$$\|\alpha\| = \sup\{|\alpha(x)| + |d\alpha(x)| : x \in M\}.$$

This gives rise to a complex $d_i : \Omega_\beta^i(M) \rightarrow \Omega_\beta^{i+1}(M)$. The bounded de Rham groups are defined by

$$H_\beta^p(M) = [Ker d_p] / [Im d_{p-1}],$$

where we are not taking the closure of $Im d$ in this definition [3].

Definition 2.5. *The L^∞ Pontrjagin classes are defined (by Januszkiewicz) to be characteristic classes in $H_\beta^{4*}(M)$, the L^∞ de Rham cohomology of M , where M is a manifold of bounded geometry. These are the result of a Chern-Weil homomorphism defined by Januszkiewicz [39].*

Definition 2.6. *The L^∞ Chern classes are defined (by Januszkiewicz) to be characteristic classes in $H_\beta^{2*}(M)$, the L^∞ de Rham cohomology of M , where M is a manifold of bounded geometry. These are the result of the Chern-Weil homomorphism defined by Januszkiewicz [39].*

Definition 2.7. Let M be a manifold of bounded geometry. If $E \rightarrow M$ is a vector bundle, ∇ a connection on E , then (E, ∇) has bounded geometry if the curvature tensor of E and all its covariant derivatives are bounded [49]. The direct sum of such (E, ∇) and (E', ∇') is the vector bundle of bounded geometry $(E \oplus E', \nabla \oplus \nabla')$.

Definition 2.8. The L^∞ Hirzebruch L -classes are defined in terms of the L^∞ Pontrjagin classes as the polynomials associated to the genus \mathcal{L} given by $S(\mathcal{L}) = \sqrt{x}/\tanh\sqrt{x}$. They are denoted $L_0^{(\infty)}(\xi), L_1^{(\infty)}(\xi), \dots, L_k^{(\infty)}(\xi)$, for a given vector bundle ξ of bounded geometry.

Theorem 2.2 (Roe). Let M be a manifold of bounded geometry, $E \rightarrow M$ a vector bundle. Then the following are equivalent:

- i. $E \rightarrow M$ is a vector bundle whose curvature tensor and all its derivatives are uniformly bounded.
- ii. The Christoffel symbols of E are bounded, as are all their derivatives, these bounds being independent of $x \in M$.
- iii. The transition functions of E along with all their derivatives are bounded, bounds being the same for all transition functions [49, 51].

Definition 2.9. Let X be a manifold of bounded geometry. $K_{uf}^*(X)$ is the Grothendieck group of complex vector bundles of bounded geometry with their connections under direct sum. $KO_{uf}^*(X)$ is the Grothendieck group of real vector bundles of bounded geometry with their connections under direct sum [28], which we call the uniformly finite KO -theory of X .

Definition 2.10. [28] A graded Hilbert space is a Hilbert space H with a decomposition $H = H^+ \oplus H^-$ into closed orthogonal spaces. This is the same as prescribing a grading operator ϵ whose ± 1 -eigenspaces are H^\pm and such that ϵ is selfadjoint and unitary. If H is a graded space, then its opposite is the graded space H^{op} whose underlying vector space is H , but with reversed grading, i.e., $(H^{op})^+ = H^-$ and $(H^{op})^- = H^+$. An operator on a graded space H is called even if it maps H^\pm to H^\pm and it is called odd if it maps H^\pm to H^\mp . Equivalently an operator is even if it commutes with the grading operator ϵ of H , and it is odd if it anti-commutes with it.

Definition 2.11. [28] Let p be a non-negative integer. A p -multigraded Hilbert space is a graded Hilbert space which is equipped with p operators, which are odd and unitary $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_p$ so that $\epsilon_i \epsilon_j + \epsilon_j \epsilon_i = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $\epsilon_j^2 = -1$ for all j . If H is a p -multigraded Hilbert space, then an operator H is called multigraded if it commutes with the multigraded operators $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_p$ of H .

Definition 2.12. [28] Let p be an integer greater than -1 . A triple (H, ρ, T) consisting of

- i. A separable p -multigraded Hilbert space H .
- ii. A representation $\rho : C_0(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ by even, multigraded operators

iii. An odd multigraded operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ so that the operators $T^2 - 1$ and $T - T^*$ are locally compact and the operator T itself is pseudocal.

is called a p -multigraded Fredholm module over X .

Definition 2.13. [28] A collection of operators $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{K}(L^2(E))$ is said to be uniformly approximable, if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N > 0$ so that for every $T \in \mathcal{A}$ there is a rank- N operator k with $\|T - k\| < \epsilon$.

Definition 2.14. [28]

$L\text{-Lip}_R(X) = \{f \in C_c(X) \mid f \text{ is } L\text{-Lipschitz, } \text{diam}(\text{supp}(f)) \leq R \text{ and } \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\}$

Definition 2.15. [28] Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be an operator on a Hilbert space H and $\rho : C_0(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ a representation. We say that T is uniformly locally compact, if for every $R, L > 0$ the collection

$$\{\rho(f)T, T\rho(f) \mid f \in L\text{-Lip}_R(X)\}$$

is uniformly approximable. We say that T is uniformly pseudocal, if for every $R, L > 0$ the collection

$$\{[T, \rho(f)] \mid f \in L\text{-Lip}_R(X)\}$$

is uniformly approximable.

Definition 2.16. [28] A Fredholm module (H, ρ, T) is uniform, if T is uniformly pseudocal and the operators $T^2 - 1$ and $T - T^*$ are uniformly locally compact.

Definition 2.17. [28] We define the analytic uniformly finite K -homology $K_p^{\text{anal}, \text{uf}}(X)$ of a locally compact and separable metric space X to be the abelian group generated by unitary equivalence classes of p -multigraded uniform Fredholm modules with the relations

i. If x and y are operator homotopic, then $[x] = [y]$.

ii. $[x] + [y] = [x \oplus y]$

where x and y are p -multigraded uniform Fredholm modules.

Theorem 2.3 (Engel). The uniformly finite K -theory of X is isomorphic to the operator K -theory of $C_u(X)$, the C^* -algebra of all bounded, uniformly continuous functions on X :

$$K_{\text{uf}}^p(X) = K_p(C_u(X))$$

Definition 2.18. [28] Engel defines a cap product:

$$\cap : K_{\text{uf}}^p(X) \otimes K_q^{\text{anal}, \text{uf}}(X) \rightarrow K_{q-p}^{\text{anal}, \text{uf}}(X)$$

as follows:

Let P be a projection in $\text{Mat}_{n \times n}(C_u(X))$, where $C_u(X)$ is the C^* -algebra of all bounded, uniformly continuous functions on X , and let (H, ρ, T) be a uniform Fredholm module. We set $H_n = H \otimes \mathbf{C}^n$, $\rho_n(-) = \rho(-) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}^n}$, $T_n = T \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}^n}$ and by π we denote the matrix $\pi_{ij} = \rho(P_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathcal{B}(H)) = \mathcal{B}(H_n)$. Then $(\pi H_n, \pi \rho_n \pi, \pi T_n \pi)$ is a uniform Fredholm module, with an induced (multi-)grading if (H, ρ, T) was (multi-)graded. This construction is compatible with relations defining K -theory and uniform K -homology and is bilinear.

Theorem 2.4 (Engel). [28] Let M be an m -dimensional KU -oriented manifold of bounded geometry without boundary. Then the cap product

$$\cap[M] : K_{uf}^*(M) \rightarrow K_{m-*}^{anal,uf}(M)$$

with its uniform fundamental class $[M] \in K_m^{anal,uf}(M)$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem 2.5. [28] Let M be an m -dimensional KO -oriented manifold of bounded geometry without boundary. Then the cap product

$$\cap[M] : KO_{uf}^*(M) \rightarrow KO_{m-*}^{anal,uf}(M)$$

with its uniform fundamental class $[M] \in KO_m^{anal,uf}(M)$ is an isomorphism.

Definition 2.19. [10] Let X be simplicial complex of bounded geometry, Y a closed subcomplex of X . A K -cycle for the pair (X, Y) is a triple (M, E, ϕ) consisting of

- i. A smooth manifold M of bounded geometry equipped with a Spin^c structure.
- ii. A smooth Hermitian bg vector bundle (E, ∇) on M .
- iii. A continuous map $\phi : M \rightarrow X$ such that $\phi[\partial M] \subseteq Y$.

Two uniformly finite K -cycles are isomorphic if there are compatible isomorphisms of all of the above three components in the definition.

Definition 2.20. [10] If (M, E, ϕ) and (M', E', ϕ') are two uniformly finite K -cycles for (X, Y) then their disjoint union is the K -cycle $(M \cup M', E \cup E', \phi \cup \phi')$.

Definition 2.21. [10] If (M, E, ϕ) is a K -cycle for (X, Y) , then its opposite is the K -cycle $(-M, E, \phi)$, where $-M$ denotes the manifold M equipped with the opposite Spin^c -structure.

Definition 2.22. [10] A bordism of K -cycles for the pair (X, Y) consists of the following data:

- i. A smooth manifold of bounded geometry L equipped with a Spin^c -structure.
- ii. A smooth, Hermitian bg vector bundle (F, ∇) over L .
- iii. A continuous map $\Phi : L \rightarrow X$.

iv. A smooth map $f : \partial L \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ for which ± 1 are regular values, and for which $\Phi[f^{-1}[-1, 1]] \subseteq Y$.

Definition 2.23. [10] Let (M, E, ϕ) be a K -cycle for (X, Y) and let W be a Spin^c -vector bundle over M with even dimensional fibers. Let Z be the sphere bundle of $W \oplus \mathbf{1}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is trivial rank-one real vector bundle. The vertical tangent bundle of Z has a natural Spin^c -structure. Denote by S_V the corresponding reduced spinor bundle and let $F = S_{V,+}^*$. In other words, define F to be the dual of the even-graded part of the $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -graded bundle S_V . The modification (M, E, ϕ) associated to W is the K -cycle $(Z, F \otimes \pi^* E, \phi \circ \pi)$

Definition 2.24. [10] Denote by $K^{uf}(X, Y)$ the set of equivalence classes of uniformly finite K -cycles over (X, Y) for the equivalence relations:

i. If (M, E_1, ϕ) and (M, E_2, ϕ) are two uniformly finite K -cycles with the same Spin^c -manifold M and map $\phi : M \rightarrow X$, then

$$(M \cup M, E_1 \cup E_2, \phi \cup \phi) \sim (M, E_1 \oplus E_2, \phi)$$

ii. If (M_1, E_1, ϕ_1) and (M_2, E_2, ϕ_2) are bordant K -cycles then

$$(M_1, E_1, \phi_1) \sim (M_2, E_2, \phi_2)$$

iii. If (M, E, ϕ) is a uniformly finite K -cycle, and if W is an even-dimensional Spin^c -vector bundle over M , then

$$(M, E, \phi) \sim (Z, F \otimes \pi^* E, \phi \circ \pi)$$

where $(Z, F \otimes \pi^* E, \phi \circ \pi)$ is the previous modification of (M, E, ϕ) .

The set $K^{uf}(X, Y)$ is an abelian group. The addition operation is given by disjoint union and the additive inverse of a cycle is given by reversing the Spin^c -structure.

We can by considering real Spin -structures and real bounded geometry vector bundles in the above definitions obtain $KO^{uf}(X, Y)$. The subgroups of $K^{uf}(X, Y)$ consisting of cycles (M, E, ϕ) for which M is even dimensional is $K_0^{uf}(X, Y)$, the subgroups of $K^{uf}(X, Y)$ consisting of cycles (M, E, ϕ) for which M is odd dimensional is $K_1^{uf}(X, Y)$. Similarly for $KO^{uf}(X, Y)$ the subgroup of cycles (M, E, ϕ) and M is of dimension $i \bmod 8$ is $KO_i^{uf}(X, Y)$. For more on this see [48].

Definition 2.25. [10] We associate to each uniformly finite K -cycle (M, E, ϕ) for (X, Y) a class $\langle M, E, \phi \rangle$ in Kasparov K -homology. Denote by M° the interior of M , which is a big Spin^c -manifold. The Spin^c -structure on M determines a spinor bundle S on M° by restriction, and of course the complex vector bundle E also restricts to M° . The tensor product $S \otimes E$ is a Dirac bundle over M° and if D_E is an associated Dirac operator, we can form the class

$$[D_E] \in K_n^{anal, uf}(M^\circ).$$

The map $\phi : M \rightarrow X$ restricts to a proper map from M° to $X \setminus Y$ and we can therefore form the class

$$\phi_*[D_E] \in K_n^{anal,uf}(X, Y)$$

Theorem 2.6. (The analogue for ordinary K -homology is proven in [10]). The correspondance $(M, E, \phi) \mapsto \phi_*[D_E]$ determines a functorial isomorphism

$$\mu : K_*^{uf}(X, Y) \rightarrow K_*^{anal,uf}(X, Y)$$

Definition 2.26. A Lipschitz homotopy equivalence $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with a Lipschitz map $g : Y \rightarrow X$ so that $f \circ g$ is Lipschitz homotopic to the identity and $g \circ f$ is Lipschitz homotopic to the identity.

Definition 2.27. A spectrum with a metric space structure E is a spectrum $E = \{E_n\}$, with a metric space structure on E_n and Lipschitz homotopy equivalences $f_n : E_n \rightarrow \Omega E_{n+1}$ with Lipschitz constant of the composition $f_n \circ \dots \circ f_{n+k} : E_n \rightarrow \Omega^k E_{n+k}$ is uniformly bounded for all k , and so that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_n \circ \dots \circ f_{n+k}$ is a Lipschitz homotopy equivalence.

Remark 2.2. This allows us to define QX for $X = E_0$ the 0-space of the spectrum E where $QX = \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty X$.

Definition 2.28. The uniformly finite cohomology $E_{uf}^*(X)$, where E is a CW-spectrum equipped with a fixed structure as a metric space and X is a simplicial complex is defined as

$$E_{uf}^*(X) = [X : \Omega^*(E)]^{Lip}$$

where $[X : Y]^{Lip}$ is Lipschitz homotopy classes of Lipschitz maps with uniform Lipschitz constant and $\Omega^*(E)$ is given the metric space structure induced from E , by considering $\Omega(X)$ to be a function space with the L^∞ metric. This is not independent of the topological type of E or its metric. For example let $E_0 = \varinjlim (\text{Symm}^k(S^n))$ where Symm^k is the k -th symmetric power. Then the Dold-Thom theorem gives $E_0 = K(\mathbf{Z}, n)$. On the other hand X could be a CW complex with finite skeleta the skeleta of $K(\mathbf{Z}, n)$. The latter will be assumed to represent uniformly finite cohomology in this paper.

Definition 2.29. Let M be a manifold of bounded geometry, α a vector bundle of bounded geometry over M . The Thom space M^α is defined to be $D(\alpha)/S(\alpha)$ where $D(\alpha), S(\alpha)$ are the unit disk and sphere bundles of α , considered as a simplicial complex of bounded geometry. Then if M is a manifold of bounded geometry quasi-isometrically embedded into Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^s with normal bundle ν , we define the S -dual of $M \cup pt$ as M^ν .

Definition 2.30. [1, 3] Let X be a space controlled over a metric space Z by a control map p . Denote by \mathcal{P} the category of metric balls in Z with morphisms given by inclusions. Define $\mathcal{P}G_1(X)$ to be the category whose objects are pairs (x, K) , where $K \in |\mathcal{P}|$ is an object of \mathcal{P} and a morphism $(x, K) \rightarrow (y, L)$ is a pair (ω, i) where $i \in \mathcal{P}(K, L)$ is a morphism in \mathcal{P} from K to L and ω is a homotopy class of paths in $p^{-1}(L)$ from y to $p^{-1}(i(x))$.

Definition 2.31. [1, 3] The controlled homotopy groups $\pi_n^c(X, p)$ are defined to be the functor

$$\pi_n^c(X) : \mathcal{P}G_1(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

where \mathcal{C} is the category of pointed sets, groups or abelian groups defined by setting

$$\pi_n^c(X, p)(x, K) = \pi_n(p^{-1}(K), x)$$

and $\pi_n^c(\omega, i)$ is the composite of the change of basepoint isomorphism ω_* induced from ω and the homomorphism induced from the inclusion i .

Definition 2.32. [1, 3] The controlled homology $H_n^c(X, p)$ of a space controlled via $p : X \rightarrow Z$ is defined to be the pro-system $H_n(p^{-1}(B(r, z)))$ via the maps $B(r, z) \rightarrow B(r+1, z)$. The controlled cohomology $H_c^n(X, p)$ of a space controlled via $p : X \rightarrow Z$ is defined as a pro-system $H^n(p^{-1}(B(r, z)))$ via the maps $B(r, z) \rightarrow B(r+1, z)$.

Definition 2.33. [1, 3] If (X, p) and (Y, q) are spaces controlled over Z by control maps p and q respectively, then X is coextensive with Y if there exists an integer $m \geq 0$ so that if $p^{-1}(B_r(z)) \neq \emptyset$ then $q^{-1}(B_{r+m}(z)) \neq \emptyset$ and the same with the roles of p and q reversed.

Theorem 2.7 (Whitehead Theorem). [3] If $f : (X, p) \rightarrow (Y, q)$ is a map of bounded simplicial complexes of bounded geometry, controlled by maps to Z of bounded geometry, then f is a big homotopy equivalence if (Y, q) is coextensive with (X, p) and for all $n \geq 0$, $f_* : \pi_n^c(X, p) \rightarrow \pi_n^c(Y, q)$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem 2.8 (Hurewicz Theorem). [1, 3] If X is a simplicial complex of bounded geometry, then

(i) $\pi_1^c(X)^{ab} = H_1^c(X)$

(ii) If $\pi_i^c(X) = 0$ for $i \leq n-1$, $n \geq 2$ then, $H_i^c(X) = 0$ for $i \leq n-1$, and $H_n^c(X) = \pi_n^c(X)$.

Proposition 2.1. We have an isomorphism of controlled homology and cohomology groups

$$\tilde{H}_c^{p+k}(M^\nu) \xrightarrow{D} H_{n-p}^c(M)$$

where the normal bundle ν and tangent bundle τ satisfy $\nu \oplus \tau = \epsilon^{n+k}$ where n is the dimension of M . Here, M^ν is controlled over M by the projection, and M is controlled over itself by the identity.

Definition 2.34. Let E be a spectrum, M a manifold of bounded geometry. The uniformly finite homology of M with coefficients in E is defined as

$$E_*^f(M) = [M^\nu : \Omega^{-*}E]^{Lip}$$

the Lipschitz homotopy classes of Lipschitz maps from M^ν to $\Omega^{-*}E$ with uniform Lipschitz constant where M^ν is the S -dual of M defined in the previous definition and $\Omega^{-1}X$ has the metric induced on the bar construction of X by the product of the metrics on X . Again the same lack of independence of the homology on the choice of topological type of the spectrum holds as for Definition 2.28.

Definition 2.35. *The surgery space [45] is defined as follows. Let X be a topological n -ad, $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $w : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$ be a homomorphism. Define $\mathbf{L}_m^k(X)$ or $\mathbf{L}_m^s(X)$ as Δ -set with k -simplices the set of topological surgery maps of $(k+n+3)$ -ads of dimension $m+k$, $f : M \rightarrow K$ a (simple) homotopy equivalence on the $(k+3)$ rd face and with a reference map $h : K \rightarrow X$ with the orientation homomorphism $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$ factoring through w and $h(\partial_{k+4+j}K) \subseteq \partial_j X$. The first $k+2$ boundaries of this object are its boundaries as a k -simplex of the set. The $(k+3)$ rd face, where it is a homotopy equivalence, is the connection with surgery, and the rest of the faces are there to map into the faces of X .*

Definition 2.36. *Define the complexity norm on the surgery space $\mathbf{L}_m^s(X)$ to be a norm assigning to each simplex the sum of the complexities of the surgery maps, being the number of simplices in the inverse image of each map, plus the number of simplices in a fixed triangulation of each space.*

Definition 2.37. [46] *The quadratic Poincaré n -ads over $\mathbf{Z}[\pi]$ are the simplexes of $-(k+1)$ -connected Kan complexes $\mathbf{L}(\pi)$ $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\Omega \mathbf{L}_k = \mathbf{L}_{k+1}$. Let $\sigma_* : G/TOP \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_0$ the map associating to each singular simplex $\Delta \rightarrow G/TOP$ the quadratic Poincaré n -ad $\sigma_*(f, b)$ over \mathbf{Z} of the normal map of manifold n -ads $(f, b) \rightarrow \Delta$ that it classifies. This map induces the surgery obstruction isomorphism*

$$\sigma_* : \pi_*(G/TOP) \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathbf{L}_0)$$

We have $\mathbf{L}[1/2] = BO[1/2]$ from Sullivan's characterization [54] of stable topological bundles as $KO[1/2]$ -oriented spherical fibrations.

Definition 2.38. *Define $H_{u,f}^*(X; \mathbf{L})$ to be the group:*

$$[X : \mathbf{L}(e)]^{\text{bound.compl.}}$$

where bound.compl. means homotopy classes of maps uniformly bounded in complexity norm with homotopies of bounded complexity norm.

Proposition 2.2. $H_{u,f}^*(X; \mathbf{L}) \simeq [X : \mathbf{L}(e)]^{\text{Lip}}$

Proof Take each surgery problem to its surgery obstruction. Then Lipschitz homotopy classes of Lipschitz maps can be seen to be the same as bounded complexity via the signature operator.

Theorem 2.9 (Narasimhan-Ramanan). [44] *Let G be a compact Lie group and n a positive integer. There exists a principal G -bundle B and a connection form γ_1 on B so that for every principal G -bundle P with base of dimension $\leq n$ and any connection form γ on P , one can find a bundle homomorphism f of P to B so that the inverse image of γ_1 by f is γ .*

Lemma 2.1 (Gromov). [51] *Let X be a manifold of bounded geometry. There exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ so that if $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ then there exists a countable covering of X by balls of the radius ϵ : $X = \cup B(x_i, \epsilon)$ so that the covering of X by the balls $B(x_i, 2\epsilon)$ with the double radius and the same centers has a finite maximal number of balls with nonempty intersection in this covering.*

Lemma 2.2. [28] *Let a covering $\{U_\alpha\}$ of a manifold M of bounded geometry be given having finite multiplicity. Then there exists a coloring of the subsets of U_α with finitely many colors such that no two intersecting subsets have the same color.*

Theorem 2.10. *Let X be a manifold of bounded geometry, and (E, ∇) a vector bundle of bounded geometry over X . Then there is a Lipschitz map $f : X \rightarrow BO(n)$ classifying E so that if γ is the universal connection, $f^*(\gamma) = \nabla$.*

Proof: Since X and E have bounded geometry, we can find a uniformly locally finite cover of X by balls of a fixed radius together with a subordinate partition of unity whose derivatives are all uniformly bounded and such that over each coordinate ball E is trivalized by a synchronous framing.

Now we use the lemma to color the coordinate balls with finitely many colors so that no two balls with the same color intersect. This gives a partition of the coordinate balls into N families U_1, \dots, U_N so that any U_i is a collection of disjoint balls, and we get a corresponding subordinate partition of unity $1 = \phi_1 + \dots + \phi_N$ with uniformly bounded derivatives. Since E is trivial over each U_i , we can apply the Narasimhan-Ramanan theorem to $\nabla|_{U_i}$ to get a classifying map $f_i : U_i \rightarrow BO$ so that $f_i^*(\gamma) = \nabla|_{U_i}$ and piece the maps together with the partition of unity.

Theorem 2.11. *Let X be a manifold of bounded geometry. Let $KO_{uf}(X)$ be the uniformly finite KO -theory of X . Then*

$$KO_{uf}(X) = [X : BO]^{Lip}$$

where $[X : Y]^{Lip}$ is the group of Lipschitz homotopy classes of Lipschitz maps with uniform Lipschitz constant, and BO is equipped with the universal connection. Here $E = BO$ is the spectrum with $E_0 = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} BO(n)$ so that it is a CW complex with finite n -skeleta.

Remark 2.3. *There is another metric on BO , associated to $O(n)$ where n gets larger and one uses the operator norm. In this metric, all elements of $KO(S^n)$ have bounded norm. In the metric of Theorem 2.11, only finitely many do.*

Proof: Let (ξ, θ) denote an element of $KO_{uf}(X)$. There is a classifying map $g : X \rightarrow BO$, which maps to a finite-dimensional skeleton of BO classifying ξ and by the Narasimhan-Ramanan theorem there is a map g' homotopic to g with $g'^*(\gamma_1) = \theta$, where γ_1 is the universal connection on BO . If we bound the derivatives of g' , we bound the Christoffel symbols of θ . Then clearly a Lipschitz map pulls back the universal connection to θ , so that the connection form is in L^∞ . Addition is direct sum, and inverses exist, since if we take the ordinary inverse of ξ in $KO_{uf}(X)$ the direct sum with ξ^{-1} has a globally flat connection when it is embedded in a high dimensional Euclidean space [20].

Definition 2.39. [43]. *Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated manifold. The holonomy groupoid $H = Hol(M, \mathcal{F})$ is a smooth groupoid with $H_0 = M$ as the space of objects. If $x, y \in M$ are two points on different leaves there are no arrows*

between x and y in H . If x and y lie on the same leaf L , an arrow $h : x \rightarrow y$ in H is an equivalence class $h = [\alpha]$ of smooth paths $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow L$ with $\alpha(0) = x$ and $\alpha(1) = y$. To explain the equivalence relation, let T_x and T_y be small q -disks through x and y transverse to the leaves of the foliation. If $x' \in T_x$ is a point sufficiently close to x on a leaf L' , then α can be “copied” inside L' to give a path α' near α with endpoint $y' \in T_y$. In this way one obtains the germ of a diffeomorphism from T_x to T_y sending x to y and x' to y' . This germ is called the holonomy of α and denoted $\text{hol}(\alpha)$. Two paths α and β from x to y in L are equivalent, i.e. define the same arrow $x \rightarrow y$, if and only if $\text{hol}(\alpha) = \text{hol}(\beta)$. We obtain a well defined smooth groupoid $H = \text{Hol}(M, \mathcal{F})$. This groupoid is a foliation groupoid, and the (discrete) isotropy group H_x at x is called the holonomy group of the leaf through x .

Alternatively [23], let (V, F) be a foliated manifold of codimension q . Every point $x \in V$ has a neighborhood U and a system of local coordinates $(x^j)_{j=1, \dots, \dim(V)}$, which is called a foliation chart, so that the partition of U into connected components of leaves, called plaques, corresponds to the partition of $\mathbf{R}^{\dim(V)} = \mathbf{R}^{\dim(F)} \times \mathbf{R}^{\text{codim}(F)}$ into the parallel affine subspaces $\mathbf{R}^{\dim(F)} \times \text{pt}$. Given any $x \in V$ and a small enough open set $W \subset V$ containing x , the restriction of the foliation F to W has, as its leaf space, an open set of \mathbf{R}^q , which we shall call for short a transverse neighborhood of x . In other words this open set W/F is the set of plaques around x . Now, given a leaf L of (V, F) and two points $x, y \in L$ of this leaf, any simple path γ from x to y on the leaf L uniquely determines a germ $h(\gamma)$ of a diffeomorphism from a transverse neighborhood of x to a transverse neighborhood of y . One can obtain $h(\gamma)$, for instance, by restricting the foliation F to a neighborhood N of γ in V sufficiently small to be a transverse neighborhood of both x and y as well as of any $\gamma(t)$. The germ of diffeomorphism $h(\gamma)$ thus obtained only depends upon the homotopy class γ only depends upon the homotopy class of γ in the fundamental groupoid of the leaf L , and is called the holonomy of the path γ . The holonomy groupoid of a leaf L is the quotient of its fundamental groupoid by the equivalence relation which identifies two paths γ and γ' from x to y in L if and only if $h(\gamma) = h(\gamma')$. The holonomy covering \tilde{L} of a leaf is the covering of L associated to the normal subgroup of its fundamental group $\pi_1(L)$ given by paths with trivial holonomy. The holonomy groupoid of the foliation is the union G of the holonomy groupoids of its leaves. Given an element γ of G , we denote by $x = s(\gamma)$ the origin of the path γ , by $y = r(\gamma)$ its end point, and r and s are the range and source maps.

Definition 2.40. [43] For a smooth groupoid G , the nerve of G is the simplicial set whose n -simplices are strings of composable arrows in G . This set is denoted G_n . The space G_n is the fibered product $G_1 \times_{G_0} \dots \times_{G_0} G_1$, hence has the natural structure of a smooth simplicial manifold, that is a simplicial set which has the structure of a smooth manifold. Thus G is a simplicial manifold whose geometric realization is denoted BG and is called the classifying space of G .

The following alternative definition of BG is from [23]. The space BG is only defined up to homotopy as the quotient space of a free and proper action

of G on contractible spaces. More precisely, a right action of G on topological spaces is given by a topological space Y , a continuous map $s_Y : Y \rightarrow G^{(0)} = M$ (to the zero dimensional simplices) and a continuous map $Y \times_s G \rightarrow^\circ Y$ where $Y \times_s G = \{(y, \gamma) \in Y \times G; s_Y(y) = r(\gamma)\}$ such that $(y \circ \gamma_1) \circ \gamma_2 = y \circ (\gamma_1 \gamma_2)$ for any $y \in Y$, $\gamma_1 \in G$, $\gamma_2 \in G$ with $r(\gamma_1) = s_Y(y)$, $s(\gamma_1) = r(\gamma_2)$.

In other words the map which to each $t \in G^{(0)}$ assigns the topological space $Y_t = s_Y^{-1}\{t\}$ and to each $\gamma \in G$, $\gamma : t \rightarrow t'$, assigns the diffeomorphism $y \rightarrow y \circ \gamma$ from $Y_{t'}$ to Y_t , is a contravariant functor from the small category G to the category of topological spaces. We shall say that such an action of G on Y is free iff for any $y \in Y$ the map $\gamma \in G^{s_Y(y)} \rightarrow y \circ \gamma \in Y$ is injective, and that it is proper iff the map $(y, \gamma) \rightarrow (y, y \circ \gamma)$ is proper. A free and proper action of G on Y is the same thing as a principal G -bundle on the quotient space $Z = Y/G$, the quotient of Y by the equivalence relation $y_1 \sim y_2$ iff there exists $\gamma \in G$ so that $y_1 \circ \gamma = y_2$. We say that the action of G on Y has contractible fibers iff the fibers of $s_Y : Y \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ are contractible. Then as for groups, the classifying space BG is the quotient EG/G of a principal G -bundle $Y = EG$ with contractible fibers.

Definition 2.41. [9] *Let X be a simplicial complex. A cosheaf of abelian groups \mathcal{A} on X consists of the following: For each simplex on X an abelian group A_σ . For each inclusion of simplices $\eta \subseteq \sigma$ a homomorphism of abelian groups $\phi_\eta^\sigma : A_\sigma \rightarrow A_\eta$ with $\phi_\tau^\sigma = \phi_\tau^\eta \phi_\eta^\sigma$ whenever $\tau \subseteq \eta \subseteq \sigma$, and with $\phi_\sigma^\sigma = id$ for each σ .*

Definition 2.42. [9] *If η and σ are oriented simplices and if η is a codimension 1 face of σ define an incidence number*

$$[\eta : \sigma] = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if the orientation on } \eta \text{ is inherited from the one from } \sigma \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.43. [9] *Let X be a simplicial complex, \mathcal{A} a cosheaf on X . Denote by $C_n(X; \mathcal{A})$ the abelian group whose elements are all formal sums*

$$\sum_{\dim \sigma = n} a_\sigma [\sigma]$$

where σ ranges over all simplices of dimension n and $a_\sigma \in A_\sigma$. Define the boundary

$$\partial : C_{n+1}(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow C_n(X; \mathcal{A})$$

by orienting X and using the formula

$$\partial(a_\sigma[\sigma]) = \sum_{\dim(\eta) = \dim(\sigma) - 1} [\eta : \sigma] \phi_\eta^\sigma(a_\sigma)[\eta]$$

The homology groups of this complex are the homology groups of X with coefficients in the cosheaf \mathcal{A} .

Remark 2.4. *Because we are assuming that the foliation \mathcal{F} is a foliation of a compact manifold M , we do not need norms on the groups of the cosheaf in the above definition.*

3 Integrability

Given $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ of a vector bundle of dimension n , the paracompactness of X makes it possible to find a countable open cover $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of X and a subordinate partition of unity $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and a continuous $\phi_i : E|U_i \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ mapping each fiber isomorphically onto \mathbf{R}^n . Express a separable real Hilbert space \mathcal{H} as a countable orthogonal direct sum of copies of \mathbf{R}^n and let $\psi_i : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be the inclusion of i^{th} summand. Then $\phi : E \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by

$$\phi(e) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(\pi(e)) \cdot \psi_i \circ \phi_i(e)$$

is continuous and sends each fiber $\pi^{-1}(x)$ linearly and one-one onto an n -dimensional subspace of \mathcal{H} . Thus $g_E(x) = \phi(\pi^{-1}(x))$ defines a continuous map $g_E : X \rightarrow BGL_n(\mathbf{R})$ [13].

Definition 3.1. [13] *Let E be an n -dimensional vector bundle over X and let $g_E : X \rightarrow BGL_n(\mathbf{R})$ be the map classifying E . Then the graded subring*

$$Pont^*(E) = g_E^*(H^*(BGL_n(\mathbf{R}); \mathbf{R})) \subset H^*(X; \mathbf{R})$$

is called the Pontrjagin ring of E .

Definition 3.2. *Let (E, ∇) be an n -dimensional vector bundle of bounded geometry over a smooth manifold M of bounded geometry and let*

$$g_{(E, \nabla)}^{(\infty)} : M \rightarrow BO_n$$

be the classifying map for (E, ∇) . Then the L^∞ Pontrjagin ring of E is the graded ring

$$Pont^{(\infty),*}(E) = g_{(E, \nabla)}^{(\infty)*}(H^*(BO_n; \mathbf{R})) \subset H_\beta^*(M; \mathbf{R}) \simeq H_{u.f.}^*(M; \mathbf{R})$$

generated by the L^∞ Pontrjagin classes of E , where the isomorphism between bounded de Rham theory and uniformly finite cohomology is given in [4].

Definition 3.3. [47] *Let C be a chain complex, the suspension of C , SC is defined by*

$$d_{SC} : SC_r = C_{r-1} \rightarrow SC_{r-1} = C_{r-2}$$

The n -fold suspension $S^n C$ is defined to be

$$(S^n C)_r = C_{r-n}$$

Definition 3.4. [47] *Let \mathcal{A} be an additive category. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ the additive category of finite chain complexes in \mathcal{A} and chain maps.*

Definition 3.5. [47] (i) Let K be a simplicial complex. An object M in an additive category \mathcal{A} is K -based if it is expressed as the direct sum

$$M = \sum_{\sigma \in K} M(\sigma)$$

of objects $M(\sigma)$ in \mathcal{A} , corresponding to simplices σ of K so that $\{\sigma \in K \mid M(\sigma) \neq 0\}$ is finite. A morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ of K -based objects is a collection of morphisms in \mathcal{A}

$$f = \{f(\tau, \sigma) : M(\sigma) \rightarrow N(\tau) \mid \sigma, \tau \in K\}$$

(ii) Let $\mathcal{A}_*(K)$ be the additive category of K -based objects M in \mathcal{A} with morphisms $f : M \rightarrow N$ such that $f(\tau, \sigma) : M(\sigma) \rightarrow N(\tau)$ is 0 unless $\tau \geq \sigma$ so that

$$f(M(\sigma)) \subseteq \sum_{\tau \geq \sigma} N(\tau)$$

(iii) Let $\mathcal{A}^*(K)$ be the additive category of K -based objects M in \mathcal{A} with morphisms $f : M \rightarrow N$ such that $f(\tau, \sigma) : M(\sigma) \rightarrow N(\tau)$ is 0 unless $\tau \leq \sigma$ so that

$$f(M(\sigma)) \subseteq \sum_{\tau \geq \sigma} N(\tau)$$

(iv) Forgetting the K -based structure defines the covariant assembly functor:

$$\mathcal{A}_*(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}; M \rightarrow M_*(K) = \sum_{\sigma \in K} M(\sigma)$$

Definition 3.6. [47](i) Let $\mathcal{A}_*[K]$ be the additive category with objects the covariant additive functors

$$M : K \rightarrow \mathcal{A}; \sigma \rightarrow M(\sigma)$$

such that $\{\sigma \in K \mid M(\sigma) \neq 0\}$ is finite. The morphisms are the natural transformations of such functors.

(ii) Let $\mathcal{A}^*[K]$ be the additive category with objects the contravariant additive functors

$$M : K \rightarrow \mathcal{A}; \sigma \rightarrow M[\sigma]$$

such that $\{\sigma \in K \mid M[\sigma] \neq 0\}$ is finite. The morphisms are the natural transformations of such functors.

Definition 3.7. [47] Define a contravariant functor $T : \mathcal{A}^*[K] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^*(K))$ by sending an object M to the chain complex TM with

$$(TM)_r(\sigma) = T(M[\sigma])_{r-|\sigma|}$$

$$dT_M(\tau, \sigma) = (-1)^i T(M[\tau] \rightarrow M[\sigma]) : (TM)_r(\sigma) \rightarrow (TM)_{r-1}(\tau)$$

if $\sigma \geq \tau$, $|\sigma| = \tau + 1$, $\tau = \partial_i \sigma$.

Definition 3.8. [47] Define the covariant assembly functor for a simplicial complex K

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})_*[K] = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_*[K]) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})^*(K) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^*(K)); C \rightarrow C_*[K]$$

by sending a finite chain complex C in $\mathcal{A}_*[K]$ to the finite chain complex $C_*[K]$ in $\mathcal{A}_*(K)$ with

$$C_*[K]_r = \sum_{\sigma \in K} C[\sigma]_{r-|\sigma|}, C_*[K](\sigma) = S^{-|\sigma|}C[\sigma]$$

$\sigma \in K$. The assembly is the total complex of the double complex in \mathcal{A} defined by

$$C_*[K]_{p,q} = \sum_{\sigma \in K, |\sigma|=-p} C[\sigma]_q$$

$$d' : C_*[K]_{p,q} \rightarrow C_*[K]_{p-1,q}; c[\sigma] \rightarrow \sum_i (-1)^i \partial_i c[\sigma]$$

$$d'' : C_*[K]_{p,q} \rightarrow C_*[K]_{p,q-1}; c[\sigma] \rightarrow d_{C[\sigma]}(c[\sigma])$$

the sum of d' being taken over all the elements $\partial_i \sigma \in K_*(\sigma)$ with $\partial_i : C[\sigma] \rightarrow C[\partial_i \sigma]$ the chain map induced by the inclusion $\partial_i \sigma \rightarrow \sigma$.

Definition 3.9. [47] A chain duality (T, e) on an additive category \mathcal{A} is a contravariant additive functor $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ together with a natural transformation

$$e : T^2 \rightarrow 1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$$

such that for each object A in \mathcal{A}

1. $e(T(A)) \cdot T(e(A)) = 1 : T(A) \rightarrow T^3(A) \rightarrow T(A)$.
2. $e(A) : T^2(A) \rightarrow A$ is a chain equivalence.

Definition 3.10. [47] Use the standard free $\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{Z}_2]$ -module resolution of \mathbf{Z} to define for any finite chain complex C in \mathcal{A} the \mathbf{Z} -module chain complex

$$W_{\%}C = W \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{Z}_2]} (C \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} C)$$

Definition 3.11. [47] An n -dimensional quadratic Poincaré complex in \mathcal{A} (C, ψ) is a finite chain complex C in \mathcal{A} together with an n -cycle $\psi \in (W_{\%})_n$ such that the chain map

$$(1 + T)\psi_0 : C^{n-*} \rightarrow C$$

is a chain equivalence in \mathcal{A} .

Definition 3.12. [47] Let $f : C \rightarrow D$ be a chain map. The algebraic mapping cone of f , $C(f)$ is defined by

$$d_{C(f)} = \begin{pmatrix} d_D & (-1)^{r-1} f \\ 0 & d_C \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C(f)_r = D_r \oplus C_{r-1} \rightarrow C(f)_{r-1}$$

Definition 3.13. [47] A chain map $f : C \rightarrow D$ of finite chain complexes in \mathcal{A} induces $\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{Z}_2]$ -module chain map

$$f \otimes f : C \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} C \rightarrow D \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} D$$

and hence a \mathbf{Z} -module chain map

$$f_{\%} : W_{\%}C \rightarrow W_{\%}D$$

Definition 3.14. [47] An $n + 1$ -dimensional quadratic Poincaré pair in \mathcal{A}

$$(f : C \rightarrow D, (\delta\psi, \psi))$$

is a chain map $f : C \rightarrow D$ of finite chain complexes together with an $n + 1$ -cycle $(\delta\psi, \psi) \in C(f_{\%})_{n+1}$ such that the chain map

$$(1 + T)(\delta\psi_0, \psi_0) : D^{n+1-*} \rightarrow C(f)$$

is a chain equivalence.

Definition 3.15. [47] A cobordism of n -dimensional quadratic Poincaré complexes (C, ψ) , (C', ψ') is an $n + 1$ -dimensional Poincaré pair

$$(f, f') : C \oplus C' \rightarrow D, (\delta\psi, \psi \oplus -\psi')$$

Definition 3.16. [47] A subcategory $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ is closed if it is a full additive category so that the algebraic mapping cone $C(f)$ of any chain map $f : C \rightarrow D$ in \mathcal{C} is an object of \mathcal{C} . A chain complex C in \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{C} -contractible if it belongs to \mathcal{C} . An n -dimensional quadratic complex (C, ψ) in \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{C} -contractible if C and C^{n-*} are \mathcal{C} -contractible. An n -dimensional quadratic complex (C, ψ) in \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{C} -Poincaré if the chain complex

$$\partial C = S^{-1}C((1 + T)\psi_0 : C^{n-*} \rightarrow C)$$

is \mathcal{C} -contractible.

Definition 3.17. [47] Let $\Lambda = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ be a triple of additive categories, where \mathcal{A} has chain duality $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ and a pair $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B})$ of closed subcategories of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ so that for any object B of \mathcal{B}

1. The algebraic mapping cone $C(1 : B \rightarrow B)$ is an object of \mathcal{C} .
2. The chain equivalence $e(B) : T^2(B) \rightarrow B$ is a \mathcal{C} -equivalence.

Then Λ is said to be an algebraic bordism category.

Definition 3.18. [47] For any additive category with chain duality \mathcal{A} there is defined an algebraic bordism category

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{A}) = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))$$

with $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ the category of finite chain complexes in \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ the subcategory of contractible chain complexes.

Definition 3.19. [47] Let $\Lambda = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ be an algebraic bordism category. An n -dimensional quadratic complex (C, ψ) in \mathcal{A} which is \mathcal{B} -contractible and \mathcal{C} -Poincaré. The quadratic L -group $L_n(\Lambda)$ is the cobordism group of n -dimensional quadratic complexes in Λ .

Definition 3.20. Let K be a simplicial complex of bounded geometry. Let $\mathcal{A}^{uf}(K)$ be the additive category of K -based objects in \mathcal{A} which fall into a finite number of isomorphism types in every ball of fixed radius R , depending on the radius, in K .

Definition 3.21. Let $\mathcal{A}(R)_*^{uf}(K)$ be the additive category of K -based objects in (R) which fall into a finite number of types in a ball of fixed radius with morphisms $f : M \rightarrow N$ so that $f(\tau, \sigma) = 0 : M(\sigma) \rightarrow N(\tau)$ unless $\tau \geq \sigma$ so that $f(M(\sigma)) \subseteq \sum_{\tau \geq \sigma} N(\tau)$.

Definition 3.22. Define the algebraic bordism category of local, uniformly finite, finitely generated free (R, K) -modules, where R is a ring and K a simplicial complex of bounded geometry as

$$\Lambda(R)_*^{uf}(K) = (\mathcal{A}^{uf}(R, K), \mathcal{B}^{uf}(R, K), \mathcal{C}(R)_*^{uf}(K))$$

where $\mathcal{B}^{uf}(R, K)$ is the category of finite chain complexes of f.g. free uniformly finite (R, K) -modules. An object $\mathcal{C}(R)_*^{uf}(K)$ is a finite f.g. free uniformly finite (R, K) -module chain complex C such that each $[C][\sigma]$, $\sigma \in K$, is a contractible f.g. free R -module chain complex.

Definition 3.23. Let M be a manifold of bounded geometry. We define an object which we will refer to as the algebraic uniformly finite homology of M with coefficients in the L -spectrum

$$H_n^{uf}(M; \mathbf{L}) = L_n(\Lambda(\mathbf{Z})_*^{uf}(M))$$

Definition 3.24. Define the complex algebraic uniformly finite homology of M with coefficients in the L -spectrum as

$$H_n^{uf}(M; \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{C})) = L_n(\Lambda(\mathcal{C})_*^{uf}(M))$$

Proposition 3.1 (Ranicki). [47] An algebraic bordism category $\Lambda = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ and a locally finite ordered simplicial complex K determine an algebraic bordism category

$$\Lambda^*(K) = (\mathcal{A}^*(K), \mathcal{B}^*(K), \mathcal{C}^*(K))$$

$$\Lambda_*(K) = (\mathcal{A}_*(K), \mathcal{B}_*(K), \mathcal{C}_*(K))$$

Definition 3.25. [47] Let K and L be Δ -sets. Then the geometric product of K and L , $K \otimes L$ is the Δ -set with one p -simplex for each equivalence class of triples

$$(m\text{-simplex } \sigma \in K, n\text{-simplex } \tau \in L, p\text{-simplex } \rho \in \Delta^m \otimes \Delta^n)$$

subject to the equivalence relation generated by

$$(\sigma, \tau, \rho) \sim (\sigma', \tau', \rho') \text{ if there exist } \Delta\text{-maps } f : \Delta^m \rightarrow \Delta^{m'}$$

$$g : \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta^{n'} \text{ such that } \sigma = f^* \sigma', \tau = g^* \tau', (f \otimes g)_*(\rho) = (\rho')$$

Definition 3.26. Let K be a Δ -set, L be a Δ -set with a complexity function assigned to its simplices, let the Δ -set $L^{K, \text{bound.compl.}}$ be the Δ -set with $(L^{K, \text{bound.compl.}})^{(n)}$ the set of Δ -maps of bounded complexity $K \otimes \Delta^n \rightarrow L$, with ∂_i induced from $\partial_i : \Delta^{n-1} \rightarrow \Delta^n$.

Proposition 3.2. Let M be a manifold of bounded geometry. Then

$$L_n(\Lambda(\mathbf{Z})_*^{uf}(M)) = [M^\nu : \Omega^{-n} \mathbf{L}(e)]^{Lip}$$

Proof; In section 13 of [47] we have for any additive category \mathcal{A} with duality with algebraic bordism category

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{A}) = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))$$

Let $\mathbf{L}_n(\Lambda)$ be the Δ -set with m -simplices the n -dimensional quadratic complexes in $\Lambda^*(\Delta^m)$, with the zero complex as m -simplex \emptyset . The face maps are induced from the standard embeddings $\partial_i : \Delta^{m-1} \rightarrow \Delta^m$ via the functors

$$(\partial_i)^* : \Lambda^*(\Delta^m) \rightarrow \Lambda^*(\Delta^{m-1})$$

We then imitate the proof of 13.7 in [47]:

$$\mathbf{L}_n(\Lambda_{uf}^*(M))^{(p)} = \{\text{n-dimensional quadratic complexes in } \Lambda_{uf}^*(M)^*(\Delta^p)\}$$

$$(\mathbf{L}(\Lambda)^{M_+, \text{bound.compl.}})^{(p)} = \{\text{n-dimensional quadratic complexes in } \Lambda_{uf}^*(M \otimes \Delta^p)\}$$

$$= \{\text{Bounded complexity maps } (M \otimes \Delta^p)_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_n(\Lambda)\}$$

by definition. We get a representation in cohomology

$$\mathbf{L}_n(\Lambda_{uf}^*(M)) = M_+^{\mathbf{L}_n(\Lambda), \text{bound.compl.}} = \mathbf{H}_{uf}^n(M; \mathbf{L}(\Lambda))$$

We then take the Spanier-Whitehead dual

$$\mathbf{L}(\Lambda_*^{uf}(M))^{(p)} = \{\text{n-dimensional quadratic complexes in } \Lambda_*^{uf}(M)^*(\Delta^p)\}$$

We obtain

$$\mathbf{H}_n^{uf}(M; \mathbf{L}(\Lambda))^{(p)} = \{(n-m)\text{-dimensional quadratic complexes in } \Lambda_{uf}^*(M^\nu \otimes \Delta^p)\}$$

$$= \mathbf{H}_{uf}^{n-m}(M^\nu; \mathbf{L}(\Lambda))^{(p)} = \mathbf{L}_{n-m}(\Lambda_{uf}^*(M^\nu))^{(p)}$$

$$= \{\text{Bounded complexity maps } M^\nu \otimes \Delta^p \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{n-m}(\Lambda)\}$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a bg manifold. Then*

$$H_*^{uf}(X; \mathbf{L})_{(p)} = KO_*^{uf}(X)_{(p)}$$

where p is an odd prime.

Proof Consider $H_{uf}^*(X; \mathbf{L}) = [X : \mathbf{L}(e)]^{\text{bound.compl.}}$. Now $KO_{uf}^*(X) = [X : BO]^{Lip}$, and we have $\mathbf{L}(e)_{(p)} = BO_{(p)}$. The bounded complexity norm and the universal connection on BO are compatible, so we have

$$H_{uf}^*(X; \mathbf{L})_{(p)} \simeq KO_{uf}^*(X)_{(p)}$$

Now we have Poincaré duality for $KO_{uf}^*(X)$ and $H_{uf}^*(X; \mathbf{L})$ and we have the result.

One of the uses of the above Theorem is to relate L-theory to KO-theory, although there are other ways to achieve this [47], Chapter 16.

Corollary 3.1.

$$H_n^{uf}(M; \mathbf{L}) \otimes \mathbf{Q} = KO_*^{uf}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$$

Proof This follows directly from the theorem, and the fact that in the proof of that theorem, the surgery spectrum is KO-theory away from the prime 2.

Corollary 3.2.

$$H_n^{uf}(M; \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{C})) = K_*^{uf}(X) \otimes \mathbf{C}$$

Conjecture 3.1 (Novikov Higher Signature Conjecture). *The assembly map*

$$H_*(B\pi; \mathbf{L}) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow L_*(\pi) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$$

is injective. This is the same as the following: If M is a manifold of dimension n and π is a group so that there is a map $i : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi$ and hence a map $F : M \rightarrow B\pi$ then if $\gamma \in H^{n-4i}(B\pi; \mathbf{Q})$ then

$$\langle f^*(\gamma) \cup L_i(M), [M] \rangle \in \mathbf{Q}$$

is homotopy invariant.

Definition 3.27. [27] *A metric space X is uniformly contractible if there exists a function $S(r) > 0$ such that every ball $B_r(x)$ of radius r around any point x is contractible to a point in $B_{S(r)}(x)$, the ball of radius $S(r)$ around x .*

Although L-theory and KO-theory are the same tensored with $\mathbf{Z}[1/2]$ we will need to tensor with \mathbf{Q} to use the Pontrjagin character. In addition, Bott and Heitsch [16] have shown that the Integrability Theorem fails for integral Pontrjagin classes and also for p -torsion where p is odd, so it cannot be true for characteristic classes with coefficients in $\mathbf{Z}[1/2]$.

Let E be a subbundle of the tangent bundle of a C^∞ compact manifold M . Then we will show that if E is integrable the Pontrjagin ring $\text{Pont}^*(TM/E)$ vanishes above dimension $2k$ where k is the dimension of TM/E [12]. Suppose

E is integrable. Let \mathcal{F} be the foliation on a manifold M corresponding to E . Then the tangent bundle of \mathcal{F} is E and the normal bundle $\nu(\mathcal{F})$ is the set of vectors perpendicular to $E = T(\mathcal{F})$, or TM/E . Consider the smooth groupoid G of \mathcal{F} and over each point in the groupoid $x = (x_1, y_1)$ take the corresponding leaf S_x through (x_1, y_1) .

Theorem 3.2 (Bott Integrability). [12] *Let $\text{Pont}^*(TM/E)$ be the Pontrjagin ring of TM/E . Then if E is integrable, for $q > 2k$, $\text{Pont}^q(TM/E) = 0$.*

Proof: Let BG be the classifying space of the foliation groupoid G of \mathcal{F} and consider the homology of BG with coefficients in the cosheaf $\mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)$ of L-homology groups of the leaves of \mathcal{F} : $H_*(BG; \mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x))$. This group is the set of the normal invariants of the “blocked surgery” of [6] and our assembly map is the blocked surgery leafwise assembly map. The cosheaf $\mathcal{L}_*^{uf}(S_x)$ assigns to each simplex σ of BG , the group $H_*^{uf}(S_\sigma; \mathbf{L})$, which is the uniformly finite L-homology of the union of the leaves of \mathcal{F} corresponding to σ in BG . Taking the sum of the simplices of BG with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)$ as in [47] chapter 4, we get the normal invariants on the manifold M . We let \mathcal{A} be the additive category of free modules over the cosheaf \mathcal{L}^{uf} and the simplicial complex K is the classifying space BG .

In this case $\mathcal{A}_*[K]$ is the category of functors that assigns to a simplex $\sigma \in BG$ the group $\mathcal{L}_*^{uf}(S_\sigma)$, the L-homology of the union of the leaves corresponding to σ . We then have the category $\mathcal{A}_*(K)$ as the category of direct sums

$$\sum_{\sigma \in BG} \mathcal{L}_*^{uf}(S_\sigma)$$

We then have the covariant assembly functor [47]

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_*[K]) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}((\mathcal{A})^*(K))$$

the reason for the upper $*$ being that the chain complex $\Delta(K)$ of K is taken to $\Delta_*[K](\sigma) = S^{|\sigma|}\Delta(\sigma)$ and therefore ends up in homology. The assembly map takes a chain complex $C_*(BG; \mathcal{L}_{uf}) = C_*^{uf}(BG; \mathcal{L}_{uf})$ (since BG is compact) to the complex

$$C_*[BG]_r = \sum_{\sigma \in BG} C_{r-|\sigma|}(\sigma; \mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_\sigma)),$$

where the dimension shift $r - |\sigma|$ is the chain duality T of Definition 3.9 (see Example 4.13 of [47] and Definition 3.9 above). We then use the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of a cosheaf [47], [45]

$$H_*\left(\sum_{\sigma \in BG} C_{r-|\sigma|}(\sigma; \mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_\sigma))\right) \Rightarrow H_*(M; \mathbf{L})$$

which converges to $H_*^{uf}(M; \mathbf{L}) = H_*(M; \mathbf{L})$ as M is compact and clearly yields the assembly map to $H_*(M; \mathbf{L})$.

This gives us an assembly map

$$H_*(BG; \mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)) \rightarrow H_*(M; \mathbf{L})$$

to the L-homology of M . Let $\Delta^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x)) \in KO_*^{uf}(S_x) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$, be the uniformly finite $KO[1/2]$ -orientation of the normal bundle of $\nu(S_x)$ (See [47], Chapter 16). We further have maps

$$ph : KO^*(M) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbf{Q})$$

given by the ordinary Pontrjagin character, $ph(\xi) = ch(\xi \otimes \mathbf{C})$, where ch is the Chern character. We also have the L^∞ Pontrjagin character

$$ph^{(\infty)} : KO_{uf}^*(S_x) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow H_{uf}^*(S_x; \mathbf{Q})$$

given by the $ph^{(\infty)}(\xi) = ch^{(\infty)}(\xi \otimes \mathbf{C})$, where $ch^{(\infty)}$ is the L^∞ Chern character defined as follows. Let (E, ∇) be a vector bundle of bounded geometry over S_x . Suppose it is split into line bundles

$$(E, \nabla) = (L_1, \nabla_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (L_n, \nabla_n)$$

Then we have

$$ch^{(\infty)}(E, \nabla) = e^{c_1^{(\infty)}(L_1, \nabla_1)} + \dots + e^{c_1^{(\infty)}(L_n, \nabla_n)}$$

where $c_1^{(\infty)}$ is the L^∞ first Chern class. In analogy with the Atiyah-Singer index theorem for families, we have a map $x \mapsto [\Delta^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x))]$ assigning to each leaf in BG its $KO[1/2]$ -orientation as a K-theory class in $KO_*^{uf}(S_x) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. The assembly map takes the homology class $\sum [\Delta^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x))]x$ to the $KO[1/2]$ -orientation $[\Delta(\nu(\mathcal{F}))]$ in $KO(M) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. The image of this under the assembly map is therefore $[\Delta(\nu(\mathcal{F}))] = \Delta([TM/E])$. Computing the images on both sides of ph and $ph^{(\infty)}$, we obtain the result that the Hirzebruch L-classes $L_0^{(\infty)}, L_1^{(\infty)}, \dots, L_k^{(\infty)}$ of $\nu(S_x)$ assemble to the L-classes L_0, L_1, \dots, L_k of $\nu(\mathcal{F})$. We then have that the forms $L_0^{(\infty)}, L_1^{(\infty)}, \dots, L_k^{(\infty)}$ generate the Pontrjagin ring $Pont^{(\infty), q}(\nu(S_x))$ and that $L_q^{(\infty)} = 0$ if $q > k$ because of the dimension of the normal bundle of the leaf which is k where k is the codimension of the foliation. From this, we have that the forms L_i satisfy $L_q = 0$ if $q > k$ since the $L_i^{(\infty)}$ assemble to them. We then have the classes p_0, p_1, \dots, p_k , with $p_q = 0$ if $q > k$, which generate $Pont^*(TM/E)$ and the result follows by Shulman's theorem below[17].

Theorem 3.3 (Shulman [17]). *Let G be a Lie group. A real characteristic class Φ associated to an invariant polynomial of degree q on the Lie algebra of G , has a representative in the de Rham complex that involves only forms of degree $\geq q$. Thus:*

$$\Phi = \Phi_{q-1} + \Phi_{q-2} + \dots + \Phi_0$$

where $\Phi_i \in \Omega^{q+i} N_{q-i} G$, and Ω is the de Rham functor and ΩNG is the de Rham complex of NG . Here NG is the Eilenberg-MacLane bar construction

$$NG : pt \leftarrow G \rightrightarrows G \times G \overset{\leftarrow}{\rightrightarrows} G \times G \times G \overset{\leftarrow}{\rightrightarrows} \dots$$

Here $\Omega^q(M)$ denotes $\Gamma \wedge^q T^*(M)$, where $\wedge^i T^*$ denotes the i -th exterior power of the cotangent bundle of M and Γ is the C^∞ -sections of a vector bundle [15].

The double complex ΩNG has two differentials: a de Rham differential $d : \Omega^i NG \rightarrow \Omega^{i+1} NG$ and a differential operator

$$\delta : \Omega^q N_p(G) \rightarrow \Omega^q N_{p+1}(G)$$

which commutes with d . There is a total operator $D = d \pm \delta$ [14].

If one filters by $\leq p$, the resulting spectral sequence obviously has the E_1 -term:

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q(N_p G)$$

and d_1 , induced by δ yields the cobar construction on $H^*(G)$. It follows that the E_2 term of this sequence is the symmetric algebra generated by the primitive elements $\mathcal{P}(G)$ of $H^*(G)$, considered in $H^{1,*}(G)$ and all further differential operators vanish. This leads to the evaluation of HNG [14]:

$$HN(G) \simeq SP(G)$$

Sketch of Proof: [14] Let \mathcal{G} be the Lie algebra of G . The Chern-Weil construction defines a homomorphism from the invariants $Inv_G(S\mathcal{G}^*)$ of $S\mathcal{G}^*$ to $H^*(BG)$. We have a map

$$\phi : Inv_G(S\mathcal{G}^*) \rightarrow H^*(BG)$$

The cohomology of the double complex is:

$$H_\delta^p(\Omega^q NG) \simeq H_{cont}^{p-q}(G; S^q \mathcal{G}^*)$$

This is proven in [14]. We have

$$H_{cont}^0(G; W) = Inv_G W$$

and

$$H_{cont}^i(G; W) = 0 \text{ for } i > 0$$

if G is compact. Thus

$$H_\delta^p \Omega^p G = Inv_G S^p \mathcal{G}^*$$

whence, as there is no cohomology above the diagonal, the ‘‘edge-homomorphism’’ induces a natural map

$$\Phi : Inv_G(S\mathcal{G}^*) \simeq H^*(BG)$$

which is the Chern-Weil homomorphism. Thus we have a cocycle Φ_0 in ΩNG , which can be completed by adding correction terms Φ_i , $i > 0$ because the δ -cohomology vanishes.

This proves Bott integrability in the case where there is no fundamental group.

Remark 3.1. *The proof above works exactly for a topological microbundle E and a topological foliation as for a smooth vector bundle and a smooth foliation, except for Shulman’s theorem which depends on the Pontrjagin classes being expressed in terms of a curvature form. Tsuboi [58, 36] has shown the Haefliger space to be homotopy equivalent to BO_q in the case of C^1 foliations, showing that a topological version of Bott Integrability is false.*

We also have the corresponding theorem for Chern classes.

Definition 3.28. *Let E be a vector bundle over a complex manifold M . The Chern ring of E , $\text{Chern}^*(E)$ is the ring in $H^*(M; \mathbf{C})$ generated by the Chern classes of E .*

A parallel result for Chern classes of complex manifolds was obtained by Bott.

Theorem 3.4. [12] *Let M be a complex manifold, E a holomorphic subbundle of TM which is integrable. Let $\text{Chern}^*(TM/E)$ be the Chern ring of TM/E . Then $\text{Chern}^q(TM/E) = 0$ for $q > 2k$.*

The proof is as before except with $H_n^{uf}(M; \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{C}))$ in place $H_n^{uf}(M; \mathbf{L})$, and $K(M)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{uf}(S_x)$ in place of $KO(M)$ and $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{O}_{uf}(S_x)$, along with the Chern character in place of the Pontrjagin character.

There is an alternative approach by Crainic and Moerdijk [25].

Definition 3.29. [25] *Let M be a manifold of dimension n equipped with a foliation \mathcal{F} of codimension q . A transversal section of \mathcal{F} is an embedded q -dimensional submanifold $U \subset M$ which is everywhere transverse to the leaves. Recall that if α is a path between two x and y on the same leaf, and if U and V are transversal sections through x and y , then α defines a transport along the leaves from a neighborhood of x in U to a neighborhood of y in V . If transport “along α ” is defined in all of U and embeds U into V , it will be called a holonomy embedding.*

Definition 3.30. [25] *Transversal sections U through x as above should be thought of as neighborhoods of the leaf through x in the leaf space. This motivates the definition of a transversal basis for (M, \mathcal{F}) as a family \mathcal{U} of transversal sections $U \subset M$ with the property that, if V is any transversal section through a given point $y \in M$ there exists a holonomy embedding $h : U \rightarrow V$ with $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $y \in h(U)$.*

Definition 3.31. [25] *Typically, a transversal section is a q -disk given by a chart for the foliation. Accordingly, we can construct a transversal basis \mathcal{U} out of a basis $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ of M by domains of foliation charts $\phi_U : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-q} \times U$, $\tilde{U} \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ with $U = \mathbf{R}^q$. Note that each inclusion $\tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ between opens of $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ induces a holonomy embedding $h_{U,V} : U \rightarrow V$ defined by the condition that the plaque in \tilde{U} through x contained in the plaque in \tilde{V} through $h_{U,V}(x)$.*

Definition 3.32. [25] Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated manifold and let \mathcal{U} be a transversal basis. Consider the double complex which in bidegree k, l is the vector space

$$C^{k,l} = \check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \Omega^l) = \prod_{U_0 \xrightarrow{h_1} \dots \xrightarrow{h_k} U_k} \Omega^l(U_0)$$

Here the product ranges over all k -tuples of holonomy embeddings between transversal sections from the given basis \mathcal{U} , and $\Omega^k(U_0)$ is the space of differential k -forms on U_0 . For elements $\omega \in C^{k,l}$, we denote its components by $\omega(h_1, \dots, h_k) \in \Omega^k(U_0)$. The vertical differential $C^{k,l} \rightarrow C^{k,l+1}$ is $(-1)^k d$ where d is the usual De Rham differential. The horizontal differential $C^{k,l} \rightarrow C^{k+1,l}$ is $\delta = \sum (-1)^i \delta_i$ where

$$\delta_i(h_1, \dots, h_{k+1}) = \begin{cases} h_1^* \omega(h_2, \dots, h_{k+1}) & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \omega(h_1, \dots, h_{i+1} h_i, \dots, h_{k+1}) & \text{if } 0 < i < k + 1 \\ \omega(h_1, \dots, h_k) & \text{if } i = k + 1 \end{cases}$$

This double complex is actually a bigraded differential algebra, with the usual product

$$(\omega \cdot \eta)(h_1, \dots, h_{k+k'}) = (-1)^{kk'} \omega(h_1, \dots, h_k) h_1^* \dots h_k^* \eta(h_{k+1}, \dots, h_{k+k'})$$

for $\omega \in C^{k,l}$ and $\eta \in C^{k',l'}$. We will also write $\check{C}(\mathcal{U}, \Omega)$ for the associated total complex, and refer to it as the Čech-DeRham complex of the foliation. The associated cohomology is denoted $\check{H}_{\mathcal{U}}^*(M/\mathcal{F})$ and referred to as the Čech-DeRham cohomology of the leaf space M/\mathcal{F} with respect to the cover \mathcal{U} .

Definition 3.33. [25] In general, choosing a transversal basis \mathcal{U} and a basis $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ of M there is an obvious map of double complexes $C^{k,l}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow C^{k,l}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})$ into the Čech-DeRham complex of the manifold M . Hence a canonical map

$$\pi^* : \check{H}_{\mathcal{U}}^*(M/\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbf{R})$$

which should be thought of as the pullback along the “quotient map” $\pi : M \rightarrow M/\mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 3.5 (Crainic-Moerdijk). [25] There is a natural isomorphism

$$\check{H}_{\mathcal{U}}^*(M/\mathcal{F}) \simeq H^*(BG; \mathbf{R})$$

between the Čech-DeRham cohomology and the cohomology of the classifying space of the holonomy groupoid.

Alternate Proof of Bott Integrability: Now let us note that $H_*(BG; \mathbf{L}) \otimes \mathbf{R} = H_*(BG; \mathbf{R})$, where $\mathbf{L} = G/TOP$ is the simply-connected surgery spectrum. Similarly $H_*(M; \mathbf{L}) \otimes \mathbf{R} = H_*(M; \mathbf{R})$. We can then use the map

$$\pi^* : H^*(BG; \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbf{R})$$

to pull back the L -classes from BG to M . In addition to this the L -classes of the tangent bundle of BG vanish above dimension q if q is the codimension of the foliation from the corresponding fact for the normal bundles to the leaves L_σ corresponding to the simplex $\sigma \in BG$ and from the spectral sequence of a cosheaf above:

$$H_*\left(\sum_{\sigma \in BG} C_{r-|\sigma|}(\sigma; \mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_\sigma))\right) \Rightarrow H_*(M; \mathbf{L})$$

we get a map to $H_*(M; \mathbf{L})$. By Shulman's theorem, the Pontrjagin ring vanishes above dimension $2q$. Hence if we pull back the Pontrjagin ring to $H^*(M; \mathbf{R})$ we get that the Pontrjagin ring of TM/E vanishes above dimension $2q$.

4 Higher Integrability

Proposition 4.1. *The Novikov conjecture holds for a group Γ if and only if the assembly map in symmetric L -theory*

$$A_\Gamma : H_*(B\Gamma; \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{Z})) \rightarrow L^*(\mathbf{Z}[\Gamma])$$

is a rational split injection. Note that $L^*(\mathbf{Z}[\Gamma]) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[1/2] = L_*(\mathbf{Z}[\Gamma]) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[1/2]$, where L^* is the symmetric L -theory and L_* is ordinary L -theory [29].

Definition 4.1. *The Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for uniformly finite homology is the spectral sequence*

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p^{uf}(X; \pi_q(\mathbf{E})) \Rightarrow E_{p,q}^\infty = H_{p+q}^{uf}(X; \mathbf{E})$$

whose E_2 term is the uniformly finite homology with coefficients in the homotopy groups of the spectrum \mathbf{E} with norm given by the metric on \mathbf{E} and E_∞ term given by the generalized uniformly finite homology with coefficients in the spectrum \mathbf{E} .

Definition 4.2. *Suppose now we have a fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ for M , and that M admits a foliation \mathcal{F} with classifying space for the holonomy groupoid BG . Let π be a group, and let $j : \pi_1(BG) \rightarrow \pi$ be a homomorphism. We can consider the corresponding map $i : M \rightarrow B\pi$ and let $y \in H^i(B\pi; \mathbf{Z})$, with $i^*(y) = \gamma$ then we have higher Pontrjagin classes $\gamma \cup p_i(TM/E)$ where $E \subset TM$ is a subbundle of the tangent bundle of M . Consider the bundle E as above, and suppose it is integrable with foliation \mathcal{F} . We have the higher Pontrjagin ring $\text{Pont}^*(\pi)(TM/E)$ consisting of all products with higher Pontrjagin classes $\gamma \cup p_i(E)$ for $\gamma = i^*(y)$, $y \in H^*(B\pi; \mathbf{Z})$.*

Definition 4.3. *We have a dual formulation in terms of L -classes in homology. Let M be a compact manifold of dimension n . Suppose $E \subset TM$ is integrable, \mathcal{F} the corresponding foliation and let BG be the classifying space of the foliation groupoid, and $j : \pi_1(BG) \rightarrow \pi$ be a homomorphism. Then the higher Pontrjagin ring in $H_*(M)$ is defined as follows. Let $I^* : H^*(B\pi) \rightarrow H^*(M)$ be the homomorphism induced from the map $M \rightarrow B\pi$. Let $L_i(TM/E)$ be the i -th*

L -class in the homology of M of TM/E , the Poincaré dual of the L -classes in cohomology. We then have classes of the form $I^*(\gamma) \cap L_i(TM/E)$ which generate a ring in homology under the intersection product, which is the Poincaré dual of the cup product.

Lemma 4.1 (Higher Shulman's Theorem). *Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated manifold and let BG be the leafspace of \mathcal{F} . Let π be the fundamental group of BG . If the higher Pontrjagin classes of (M, \mathcal{F}) all vanish above the codimension k of \mathcal{F} , then the higher Pontrjagin ring $\text{Pont}(\pi)^q(\nu(\mathcal{F}))$ vanishes above dimension $2k$, where $\nu(\mathcal{F}) = TM/E$, E being the tangent bundle of \mathcal{F} .*

Proof: Note that we have a map $BG \rightarrow B\pi$. We consider the cohomology of BG with coefficients in the cosheaf of uniformly finite \mathbf{L} -cohomology groups of the transversals, where \mathbf{L} is the surgery spectrum:

$$H^*(BG; \mathcal{L}_{uf}^*(T_x))$$

where T_x is the transversal through S_x at $x \in BG$ the leaf through $x \in BG$ and \mathcal{L}_{uf}^* is the \mathbf{L} -cohomology cosheaf. From the standard Leray-Serre spectral sequence we get an assembly map

$$H^*(BG; \mathcal{L}_{uf}^*(T_x)) \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbf{L})$$

We then tensor with the reals:

$$H^*(BG; \mathcal{L}_{uf}^*(T_x)) \otimes \mathbf{R} \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbf{L}) \otimes \mathbf{R}$$

We then use the fact that $\mathbf{L} \otimes \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{KO} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ and we have

$$H^*(BG; \mathcal{K}\mathcal{O}_{uf}^*(T_x)) \otimes \mathbf{R} \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbf{KO}) \otimes \mathbf{R}$$

where $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{O}_{uf}^*(T_x)$ is the cosheaf of uniformly finite \mathbf{KO} -cohomology groups of the transversals. We then apply the Pontrjagin character to get

$$H^*(BG; \mathcal{H}_\beta^*(T_x)) \otimes \mathbf{R} \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbf{R})$$

where $\mathcal{H}_\beta^*(T_x)$ is the cosheaf of bounded de Rham cohomology of the transversals. We observe that the de Rham forms representing elements of the L^∞ Pontrjagin ring of T_x have a decomposition via Shulman's theorem:

$$\Phi = \Phi_{q-1} + \Phi_{q-2} + \dots + \Phi_0$$

where $\Phi_i \in \Omega^{q+1}N_{q-i}SO(n)$ and Ω is the de Rham functor and $\Omega NSO(n)$ is the de Rham complex of $NSO(n)$. We then use the map $BG \rightarrow B\pi$ to get the assembly map

$$H^*(B\pi; \mathcal{H}_\beta^*(T_x)) \otimes \mathbf{R} \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbf{R})$$

Elements of the higher Pontrjagin ring of T_x can be represented by elements to be represented as a de Rham form $\gamma \otimes \Phi$, where γ is a de Rham form representing an element of $H^*(B\pi)$ and Φ is a representative of the Pontrjagin ring of T_x . But after assembling to M we see that this yields a de Rham form representing an element of the higher Pontrjagin ring of TM/E and that this is zero above dimension $2k$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a compact closed manifold of dimension n , suppose $E \subset TM$ is a subbundle of the tangent bundle of M . Let E be integrable, BG the classifying space of the foliation groupoid of the corresponding foliation \mathcal{F} and $j : \pi_1(BG) \rightarrow \pi$ a homomorphism. Suppose the Novikov conjecture is true for π . Then the higher Pontrjagin ring $\text{Pont}^q(\pi)(TM/E)$ vanishes above dimension $2k$, where k is the dimension of TM/E .*

Proof: Let $k = \dim(TM/E)$, $n = \dim(TM)$. We start with an assembly map as before. This assembly map is analogous to the one in blocked surgery [45, 19]. Let BG be the classifying space of the foliation groupoid. We take the homology of BG with coefficients in the cosheaf $\mathcal{L}_*^{uf}(S_x)$ (for homology with coefficients in a cosheaf of spectra, see the stratified surgery exact sequence in [59]), where $\mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)$ is the uniformly finite $\mathbf{L}(\pi)$ -homology of S_x , the leaf through $x \in BG$, where the classifying space of the holonomy groupoid BG is considered as the leaf space of \mathcal{F} (to identify BG with the leaf space of \mathcal{F} , see [43]), and $\mathbf{L}(\pi)$ is the surgery spectrum of π . We get an assembly map

$$H_*(BG; \mathcal{L}_*^{uf}(S_x)) \rightarrow H_*(M; \mathbf{L}(\pi))$$

where $\mathbf{L}(\pi)$ is the surgery spectrum of π . We next define the higher signatures of the leaves. Let $i : M \rightarrow B\pi$, and $j : S_x \rightarrow M$ be the embedding of the leaf S_x through $x \in BG$ so that for $y \in H^*(B\pi)$, $\gamma = j^*i^*(y) \in H_{uf}^*(S_x)$. Let $\Delta^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x)) \in KO_*^{uf}(S_x) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ be the $KO[1/2]$ orientation of the normal bundle $\nu(S_x)$ of the leaf S_x through $x \in BG$. Define $\beta = ph^{(\infty)-1}(\gamma)$. We can then define the higher signature class $\Delta_\gamma^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x)) = \beta \cup \Delta^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x)) \in H_*^{uf}(S_x; \mathbf{KO}(\pi)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ be the higher signature of the normal bundle $\nu(S_x)$ to the leaf S_x through $x, x \in BG$. This higher signature class is detected by the surgery space $\mathbf{L}(\pi)$. This class lies in $H_*^{uf}(S_x; H_*(B\pi; \mathbf{L}))$ and by the Novikov conjecture this group injects into $H_*^{uf}(S_x; \mathbf{L}_*(\pi))$. This is because the symmetric assembly map is a split injection on ordinary homology, and hence we can use the Zeeman Comparison Theorem [60], which states that if the E_2 terms of two spectral sequences are isomorphic, then so are the E_∞ terms, on the summand to obtain an injection.

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_*(BG; \mathcal{H}^{uf}(\pi)_*(S_x) \otimes \mathbf{Q}) & \xleftarrow{ph^{(\infty)}} & H_*(BG; \mathcal{KO}^{uf}(\pi)(S_x)) \otimes \mathbf{Q} & \xrightarrow{A_{Surgery}^{(\infty)}} & H_*(BG; \mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \\ \downarrow A_{Leaves}^{\mathcal{H}} & & \downarrow A_{Leaves}^{\mathcal{KO}} & & \downarrow A_{Leaves}^{\mathcal{L}} \\ H_*(M; H_*(B\pi; \mathbf{Q})) & \xleftarrow{ph} & H_*(M; \mathbf{KO}_*(\pi)) \otimes \mathbf{Q} & \xrightarrow{A_{Surgery}} & H_*(M; \mathbf{L}(\pi)) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \end{array}$$

Here ph is an isomorphism, and $A_{Surgery} : H_*(M; \mathbf{KO}_*(\pi)) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow H_*(M; \mathbf{L}(\pi)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is injective as $KO_*(\pi) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow L(\pi) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is by the Novikov conjecture for π .

In addition we have the cosheaf $\mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)$ as above, and the other cosheaves $\mathcal{H}^{uf}(\pi)(S_x)$ which associates to x the uniformly finite $B\pi$ -homology of the leaf through x and $\mathcal{KO}^{uf}(\pi)_*(S_x)$ is the cosheaf which associates to x the uniformly

finite $KO(\pi)$ -homology of the leaf through x . The map

$$A_{Surgery}^{(\infty)} : H_*(BG; \mathcal{KO}^{uf}(\pi)(S_x)) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow H_*(BG; \mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$$

is the assembly map coming from the injective map $KO(\pi) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow L(\pi) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ and is itself injective. The maps $A_{Leaves}^{\mathcal{H}}, A_{Leaves}^{\mathcal{KO}}, A_{Leaves}^{\mathcal{L}}$ are assembly maps of the cosheaves.

We have $\sum_x \Delta_\gamma^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x))[x]$ as a cycle in generalized homology [47], Chap. 12. These each assemble to a higher signature class $\Delta_\gamma(\nu(\mathcal{F}))$, with $\gamma \in H^*(B\pi)$. We then have classes in $H_*(M; L_*(\pi))$ coming from the leaves. We take the L^∞ Pontrjagin character of $\Delta_\gamma^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x))$, and obtain the higher total L-class $\gamma \cup \mathcal{L}_{Tot}^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x)) = \gamma \cup (1 + L_1^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x)) + L_2^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x)) + \dots)$, which yields $\gamma \cup L_0^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x)) = \gamma, \gamma \cup L_1^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x)), \dots, \gamma \cup L_k^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x))$. Note that the Pontrjagin character is a rational isomorphism. For dimensional reasons, these higher L -classes vanish above the dimension of $\nu(S_x)$ which is k .

Because of the Novikov conjecture, if the class of the surgery obstruction $\Delta_\gamma^{(\infty),1}(\nu(S_x)) \in H_*^{uf}(S_x; \mathbf{L}(\pi))$ coming from the higher signature $ph^{(\infty)}(\Delta_\gamma^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x))) \in H_*^{uf}(S_x; KO(\pi))$ is zero then the higher signature is zero. So the vanishing of the higher Pontrjagin classes from $KO(\pi)$ for dimensional reasons guarantees by the Novikov conjecture that the higher Pontrjagin classes from the surgery group vanish in the same range. These classes assemble via $A_{Leaves}^{\mathcal{L}}$ to $\Delta_\gamma^1(\nu(\mathcal{F}))$ in $H_*(M; \mathbf{L}(\pi))$. Again we have a higher signature class $\Delta_\gamma(\nu(\mathcal{F}))$ in $H_*(M; KO(\pi))$, which injects into $H_*(M; \mathbf{L}(\pi))$ via $A_{Surgery}$ because of the Novikov conjecture for π .

The Pontrjagin character of $\Delta_\gamma(\nu(\mathcal{F}))$ is of the form $ph(\beta \cap \Delta(\nu(\mathcal{F})))$ where $\Delta(\nu(\mathcal{F}))$ is the $KO[1/2]$ -orientation of $\nu(\mathcal{F})$, and $\beta \in KO(M)$ is such $ph(\beta) = \gamma$, representing $\Delta_\gamma(\nu(\mathcal{F}))$ in $H_*(M; KO(\pi))$ and hence comes from an invariant polynomial in the curvature form of $\Delta_\gamma(\nu(\mathcal{F}))$. This comes from the definition of the Chern character:

$$ch(\xi) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} k_j tr(F_\nabla \wedge \dots \wedge F_\nabla)$$

as a de Rham form, with ξ a vector bundle, where ∇ is a connection on ξ , $k_j = \frac{1}{j!} (\frac{1}{2\pi i})^j$ and F_∇ is the curvature of this connection. The Pontrjagin character is then defined as $ph(\xi) = ch(\xi \otimes \mathbf{C})$.

Because of the vanishing over dimension k of the L^∞ higher Pontrjagin classes of the leaves we have vanishing of the higher Pontrjagin classes of $\nu(\mathcal{F})$ above dimension k , from the assembly map. We have vanishing over dimension k of the higher Pontrjagin classes and hence vanishing over dimension $2k$ of the higher Pontrjagin ring after applying Lemma 4.1 (Higher Shulman's theorem). *Alternate Proof:* We have an alternative approach to this theorem using Theorem 3.5 above, the definitions which precede it and [25]. Let us consider $H^*(BG; \mathbf{L}(\pi))$ where there is a homomorphism $j : \pi_1(BG) \rightarrow \pi$. Using the Novikov conjecture for π we get an injection $KO(\pi) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(\pi) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. We thus have an injection $H^*(BG; KO(\pi) \otimes \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow H^*(BG; \mathbf{L}(\pi) \otimes \mathbf{R})$. As before this is

a split injection by Proposition 4.1, and so the higher signatures, and therefore the L-classes are detected by $\mathbf{L}(\pi)$. Now apply the Pontrjagin character

$$ph \otimes \mathbf{R} : H^*(BG; KO(\pi) \otimes \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow H^*(BG; H^*(B\pi; \mathbf{R}))$$

So if we consider the map $\rho^* : H^*(BG; H^*(B\pi; \mathbf{R})) \rightarrow H^*(M; H^*(B\pi; \mathbf{R}))$ from Theorem 3.5, we can pull back the higher Pontrjagin classes of BG to M . Since the higher Pontrjagin classes vanish above the dimension k of the tangent bundle of the leafspace BG , k being the codimension of the foliation, from the corresponding fact for the normal bundles of the leaves, the Pontrjagin classes of TM/E vanish above k from being in the image of the map ρ^* . We now apply Lemma 4.1 (Higher Shulman's Theorem) to finish the proof.

Corollary 4.1. *Suppose M is a $K(\pi, 1)$ with π satisfying the Novikov conjecture and that E is a subbundle of the tangent bundle with $p_i(TM/E) \neq 0$ for some $i \geq 0$ and p_i a real Pontrjagin class. Suppose in addition that $p_i(TM/E) \cup c \neq 0$ for some class $c \in H^*(M; \mathbf{R})$ and $\dim(p_i \cup c) > 2\dim(TM/E)$. Then E cannot be the tangent bundle to a foliation with simply connected leaves.*

Remark 4.1. *The reason for the hypothesis that the leaves of the foliation be simply connected is that $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(BG)$ in this case. This follows from Corollary 3.2.4 of Haefliger [34]:*

Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on a manifold X so that the holonomy coverings of the leaves are $(k-1)$ -connected. Then the holonomy groupoid G of \mathcal{F} considered as a G -principal bundle with base X by the end projection is k -universal. Thus the space X itself is k -classifying and the map i of X to BG is k -connected.

Corollary 3.1.5 of Haefliger [34] states the following:

Suppose the target projection of the holonomy groupoid G of the foliation \mathcal{F} on a manifold X is a locally trivial fibration, whose fiber is the common holonomy covering L of all the leaves. Then the map $i : X \rightarrow BG$ is homotopy equivalent to a locally trivial fibration with base BG and fiber L .

The hypothesis of Corollary 3.1.5 of Haefliger are satisfied in the following cases [34]:

- i. X is compact and \mathcal{F} possesses a transverse Riemannian metric.*
- ii. The leaves of \mathcal{F} are transverse to the fibers of a compact fibration and the foliation is analytic.*
- iii. The leaves of \mathcal{F} are the trajectories of a flow without closed orbits or the holonomy group of each closed orbit is infinite.*

We can use these results to do the following. Consider a leaf $i : L \rightarrow X$. We can take the covering corresponding to $i_(\pi_1(L))$ of \tilde{X} of X and the induced foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of \tilde{X} . If L is the common holonomy covering of all the leaves, then Haefliger's result means that $\pi_1(X) = \pi_1(BG)/i_*(\pi_1(L))$.*

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose the leaves of \mathcal{F} are all simply connected. Then $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(BG) = \pi$. Suppose the Novikov conjecture is true for π . Then the higher Pontrjagin ring of TM/E , $\text{Pont}^q(\pi)(TM/E)$ vanishes for $q > 2k$ where $k = \dim(TM/E)$.*

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose M is the hyperbolization [26] of a manifold N . Then the results of the previous corollary hold.*

Corollary 4.4. *Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation with contractible hyperbolic leaves. Then $\text{Pont}^k(\pi)(TM/E) = 0$ if $k > 2$, provided the Novikov Conjecture is true for $\pi = \pi_1(M)$.*

Proof: The uniformly finite cohomology of any leaf $H_{u_f}^k(L; \mathbf{Q}) = 0$ for $k > 1$ [3] and [32], Example 0.1.B. So following through the proof of Theorem 4.1 we have that $\text{Pont}^k(\pi)(TM/E) = 0$ if $k > 2$, by Shulman's theorem with $q = 1$. Since the homotopy type of M is that of BG , by Haefliger's Corollary 3.2.4, the result follows.

Corollary 4.5. *Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation with all leaves a contractible symmetric space of rank m . Then $\text{Pont}^k(\pi)(TM/E) = 0$ if $k > 2m$, provided the Novikov Conjecture is true for $\pi = \pi_1(M)$.*

Proof: The uniformly finite cohomology of any leaf $H_{u_f}^k(L; \mathbf{Q}) = 0$ for $k > m$ [3] and [32], Example 0.1.C. So following through the proof of Theorem 4.1 we have that $\text{Pont}^k(\pi)(TM/E) = 0$ if $k > 2m$, by Shulman's theorem with $q = m$. Since the homotopy type of M is that of BG by Haefliger's Corollary 3.2.4, the result follows.

Corollary 4.6. *Let M be a closed, oriented, smooth manifold with an integrable subbundle E of the tangent bundle TM of M , finitely generated fundamental group and a homomorphism $f : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ so that the corresponding rational cohomology class $f^*(j)$, j the generator of $H^1(\mathbf{Z})$, pulls back to 0 on each leaf of the foliation. More generally, suppose that $\gamma \in H^*(M; \mathbf{Q})$ belongs to the cohomology algebra generated by $H^1(M; \mathbf{Q})$ and pulls back to 0 on each leaf of the foliation. Then the higher signature class $f^*(j) \cup L_q(TM/E)$ or $\gamma \cup L_q(TM/E)$ vanishes for $q + 1$ resp. $q + \dim(\gamma)$ larger than $2\dim(TM/E)$.*

Proof: Let $i : L \rightarrow M$ be a leaf of the foliation. We have a differential form ω representing $f^*(j)$, which can be integrated to yield a map $f : M \rightarrow S^1$, sending the leaves of the foliation to points. We have

$$L \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{f} S^1 \xrightarrow{j} S^1$$

The composition $j \circ f \circ i$ is null-homotopic. Since j is the generator, it is the identity map. So $f \circ i$ is null-homotopic. We now foliate S^1 by points, and we have a map of foliations $(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (S^1, \mathcal{C})$, i.e. a map which takes leaves to leaves and is continuous along the leaves, where \mathcal{C} is the foliation by points of S^1 . Passing to the leaf-spaces we get a map $g : BG \rightarrow S^1$ induced from f . Let $k : M \rightarrow BG$ and $k' : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be the maps to the leaf spaces. We consider the assembly map

$$A : H^*(BG; \mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)) \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbf{L})$$

where $\mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_\sigma)$ is the cosheaf over BG which assigns the uniformly finite \mathbf{L} -cohomology of the leaves through the simplex σ to the simplex σ , where \mathbf{L} is

the simply-connected surgery spectrum. and the analogous map for S^1 :

$$A' : H^*(S^1; \mathbf{L}) \rightarrow H^*(S^1; \mathbf{L})$$

We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(BG; \mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)) & \xleftarrow{g^*} & H^*(S^1, \mathbf{L}) \\ A \downarrow & & A' \downarrow \\ H^*(M; \mathbf{L}) & \xleftarrow{f^*} & H^*(S^1; \mathbf{L}) \end{array}$$

For every leaf L , $f \circ i$ is null-homotopic. So passing to KO-theory:

$$\Delta_{f^*(j)}(TM/E) = A \left(\sum_x \Delta_{g^*(j)}^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x))x \right)$$

if we take the Pontrjagin character:

$$f^*(j) \cup L_i(TM/E) = A_* \left(\sum_x g^*(j) \cup L_i^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x))x \right)$$

where $i = 0, \dots, q$, A_* is A composed with the Pontrjagin character and $H^*(BG; \mathcal{L})$ being where the right hand side now lies, where \mathcal{L} is the cosheaf assigning $H_{u_{ff}}^*(L_x; \mathbf{Q})$ to the leaf L_x through $x \in BG$. Now the hypothesis on the leaves of the foliation implies that $g^*(j) \cup L_i^{(\infty)}$ is zero on the coefficients above dimension 0. So $f^*(j) = g^*(j) \in H^*(BG; \mathbf{Q})$.

The Novikov Conjecture holds for \mathbf{Z} so that the first result follows. To see the second result, note that $H^1(M; \mathbf{Z}) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), \mathbf{Z})$, then note that Haefliger's result applies to products and use the first result.

Corollary 4.7. *Let M be a closed $K(\pi, 1)$ (Eilenberg-MacLane) manifold. Suppose that π satisfies the Novikov conjecture. If there is a foliation \mathcal{F} of codimension k with $2k < n$ on M , n the dimension of M , so that the fundamental group of the leaf space $\pi_1(BG)$ satisfies the Novikov conjecture, then $\pi_1(L) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$ is nontrivial for one of the the holonomy coverings of the leaves L of \mathcal{F} , and $H^n(B\pi_1(BG); \mathbf{Q}) = 0$.*

Remark 4.2. (See [30]). Haefliger [33] generalized foliations to what are now known as Haefliger structures. Haefliger constructed a classifying space $B\Gamma_k^r$ for codimension k C^r foliations and showed that homotopy classes of such structures on a space X are in 1-1 correspondance with $[X : B\Gamma_k^r]$, homotopy classes of maps of X into $B\Gamma_k^r$. Codimension k foliations \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{F}_1 are concordant if there exists a codimension k foliation on $M \times I$ which restricts to \mathcal{F}_0 on $M \times 0$ and \mathcal{F}_1 on $M \times 1$. Using the Haefliger's theory, Thurston proved [56] that concordance classes of foliations on a manifold M are in 1-1 correspondance with homotopy classes of Haefliger structures \mathcal{H} together with concordance classes of maps $i : v_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow T(M)$. In addition he proved [56] that if K is a smooth p -plane field of codimension q , then K is homotopic completely integrable p -plane field if and only if the map of M to BGL_q classifying the normal bundle to K can be lifted to a map into $B\Gamma_q^r$ where $D : B\Gamma_q^r \rightarrow BGL_q$ is induced by the differential.

The present result for strengthening Bott's result on Pontrjagin classes applies as well to Chern classes. Let M be a complex manifold E a holomorphic vector bundle which is integrable, and let BG be the classifying space of the corresponding foliation groupoid with a homomorphism $j : \pi_1(BG) \rightarrow \pi$, π a group. We may consider the corresponding map $i : M \rightarrow B\pi$ and take i^*y for $y \in H^*(B\pi)$, and consider all products with Chern classes $i^*(y) \cup c_i(E)$ in $H^*(M; \mathbf{C})$, for all $y \in H^*(B\pi)$ which we will call the higher Chern ring, and denote $Chern^*(\pi)(E)$

Theorem 4.2. *Let M^n be a complex manifold, E an integrable holomorphic subbundle of TM , π a group with a map $\pi_1(BG) \rightarrow \pi$, where BG is the classifying space of the holonomy groupoid. If π satisfies the Novikov conjecture, then the higher Chern ring $Chern^q(\pi)(TM/E)$ vanishes for $q > 2k$.*

The proof is the same as above, except with $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{C})$, K -theory and the Chern character are substituted for KO -theory and the Pontrjagin character.

Example 4.1. *Let M be a complex manifold of complex dimension n with a nonzero holomorphic vector field with fundamental group π , where π satisfies the Novikov conjecture. Then all the higher Chern numbers of M vanish.*

Proof: Let E be the subbundle of TM generated by the vector field. Since E is trivial, $Chern^{2n}(\pi)(TM/E) = Chern^{2n}(\pi)(TM) = 0$.

Example 4.2. *Let X be a manifold with the homotopy type of $S^4 \times S^4 \times S^4 \times S^4$ with Pontrjagin classes p_1, p_2 and p_3 nonzero. Consider the manifold $M = X \# T^{16}$. Let E be a subbundle of TM of codimension $4 + i$. Consider the product of $x \cup p_1(TM/E)$ and $y \cup p_1^2(TM/E)$ in $Pont^*(\pi)(TM/E)$, where x and y are cohomology classes. This product is nonzero, when $x, y \in H^i(T^{16})$ have nonzero product hence the bundle is not integrable, that is has no foliation with simply connected leaves.*

Example 4.3. *Consider a simply connected manifold M for which Bott's obstruction prohibits integrability of a subbundle E of TM (e.g., in the complex case all subbundles of TM for CP^n [12]) and take the product $M \times T^k$ with a k -torus. Then higher vanishing means that the corresponding subbundle of the tangent bundle of $M \times T^k$ is not integrable, i.e. has no foliation whose leaves are simply connected. We similarly have this result for $M \times K(\pi, 1)$ where $K(\pi, 1)$ is an aspherical manifold of fundamental group π .*

Example 4.4. *Consider the higher Chern classes for $M \times T^{2k}$, where E is a subbundle of TM for which the Bott obstruction in terms of Chern classes is nonzero, where M is a complex manifold. These will obstruct integrability. The corresponding subbundle of the tangent bundle of $M \times T^{2k}$ is not integrable, that is has no foliation whose leaves are simply connected.*

Proposition 4.2. *Let M^n be a closed $K(\pi, 1)$ (Eilenberg-MacLane) manifold. Then if a subbundle $E \subset TM$ is integrable, the ring composed of the Pontrjagin classes of TM/E cup product with an element of the cohomology of M is zero*

above dimension $2k$ where k is the dimension of TM/E and the leaves of the foliation are simply connected.

Proof: The cohomology of M is the same as the cohomology of the fundamental group. The result follows from Higher Integrability.

Proposition 4.3. *Let M^n be a manifold with a map $M \rightarrow K(\pi, 1)$ which induces an isomorphism on $\pi_i(M)$ for $i < m$. Then if a subbundle $E \subset TM$ is integrable, the ring composed of the Pontrjagin classes of TM/E cup product with the cohomology of M up to dimension m is zero above dimension $2k$ where k is the dimension of TM/E .*

Proof: Same as above pulling the classes back from $K(\pi, 1)$.

Example 4.5. *Let A be a $K(\pi, 1)$ manifold of dimension m and B a $K(\pi, 1)$ manifold of dimension n , $m < n$. Consider the foliation of $M = A \times B$ with leaf A . Then higher integrability holds trivially.*

5 Foliated Surgery

In this section we introduce surgery groups for foliated manifolds. The groups defined in this section will lead to a general theory of foliated surgery which is developed further in [6].

Definition 5.1. *Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a compact manifold M with foliation \mathcal{F} . Let \mathcal{A} be an additive category, π a group. Then the category $C_M^{\mathcal{F}, bg}(\mathcal{A}[\pi])$ is defined to be the one whose objects are formal direct sums*

$$M = \sum_{x \in BG} \sum_{y \in L} M(y)$$

of objects $M(y)$ in $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$, where L is the leaf corresponding to the point x in the classifying space of the holonomy groupoid BG which fall into a fixed finite number of types inside a ball of fixed radius in L and in a ball of fixed radius in a transversal to L . Here $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ is the category with the one object $M[\pi]$ for each object M in \mathcal{A} , and with morphisms linear combinations of morphisms $f_g : M \rightarrow N$ in \mathcal{A} finite. In the case $\pi = 0$ we call $C_M^{\mathcal{F}, bg}(\mathcal{A}[\pi])$ the category $C^{\mathcal{F}, bg}$.

Definition 5.2. *Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a compact manifold M with a foliation \mathcal{F} . Let \mathcal{A} be an additive category, π a group. Then the category $C_M^{\mathcal{F}, bdd}(\mathcal{A}[\pi])$ is defined to be the one whose objects are formal sums*

$$M = \sum_{x \in BG} \sum_{y \in L} M(y)$$

of object $M(y)$ in $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$, where L is the leaf corresponding to the point x in the classifying space of the holonomy groupoid BG . Here $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ is the category with one object M in \mathcal{A} , and with morphisms $f_g : M \rightarrow N$ in \mathcal{A} finite. If $\pi = 0$ we have $C_M^{\mathcal{F}, bdd}(\mathcal{A}[\pi]) = C_M^{\mathcal{F}, bdd}$.

Definition 5.3. [1] Let X_1 and X_2 be spaces equipped with continuous maps p_1, p_2 to a metric space Z . Then a map $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is boundedly controlled if there exists an integer $m \geq 0$ so that for all $z \in Z$, $r \geq 0$, $p_1^{-1}(B_r(z)) \subseteq f(p_2^{-1}(B_{r+m}(z)))$, where $B_r(z)$ denotes the metric ball in Z of radius r about z . Or equivalently, there is a constant $m \geq 0$ so that

$$\text{dist}_Z(p_2 \circ f(x), p_1(x)) < m$$

for all $x \in X_1$.

Definition 5.4. (See [1]). Let (X_1, \mathcal{F}_1) and (X_2, \mathcal{F}_2) be foliated spaces, and let (Z, \mathcal{G}) be foliated space such that there are foliated maps $p_1 : (X_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow (Z, \mathcal{G})$ and $p_2 : (X_2, \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow (Z, \mathcal{G})$. The foliated map $f : (X_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow (X_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ is boundedly controlled over the space (Z, \mathcal{G}) such that for any leaf L and for any transversal T in X_1 , there is a constant $m \geq 0$ so that

$$\text{dist}_Z(p_2 \circ f(x), p_1(x)) < m$$

for $x \in L$ or $x \in T$.

Definition 5.5. (See [1]). Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated space controlled over a foliated space (Z, \mathcal{G}) by a map p . Denote by \mathcal{P} the category of metric balls in Z with morphisms given by inclusions. Define $\mathcal{P}\text{Hol}(X, \mathcal{F})$ to be the category whose objects are pairs (x, K) where $x \in X$ and $K \in |\mathcal{P}|$ is an object of \mathcal{P} and if x and y are on the same leaf, a morphism $(x, K) \rightarrow (y, L)$ is a pair (ω, i) where $i \in \mathcal{P}(K, L)$ is a morphism in \mathcal{P} from K to L and ω is a holonomy on $p^{-1}(L)$ from y to $p^{-1}(i(x))$.

Definition 5.6. (See [1]). The category of controlled free $\mathcal{Z}\text{PHol}(X, \mathcal{F})$ -modules is defined to be the category of controlled modules over the leaves of \mathcal{F} , with morphisms equal to the controlled morphisms. We denote this category by $\mathcal{Z}\text{PHol}(X, \mathcal{F})^{\text{bdd}}$.

Definition 5.7. The category of controlled free $\text{bg } \mathcal{Z}\text{PHol}(X, \mathcal{F})$ -modules is defined to be the category of controlled modules so that in any ball of fixed radius of a leaf or transversal the modules fall into a finite number of types. Morphisms are defined to be morphisms of modules so that if the control space is partitioned into neighborhoods of fixed radius, the restrictions fall into a finite number of equivalence classes. We denote this category by $\mathcal{Z}\text{PHol}(X, \mathcal{F})^{\text{bg}}$.

Definition 5.8. Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a compact manifold with a foliation \mathcal{F} . The algebraic surgery group $L_*^{\mathcal{F}, \text{bg}}$ is defined as

$$L_*^{\mathcal{F}, \text{bg}}(M) = L_*(\mathcal{Z}\text{PHol}(M)^{\text{bg}}).$$

Definition 5.9. Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a compact manifold with a foliation \mathcal{F} . The algebraic surgery group $L_*^{\mathcal{F}, \text{bdd}}$ is defined as

$$L_*^{\mathcal{F}, \text{bdd}}(M) = L_*(\mathcal{Z}\text{PHol}(M)^{\text{bdd}}).$$

Definition 5.10. Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a foliation on a compact manifold X and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{F}, \text{bg}}(K)$ be the additive category of K -based objects in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{F}, \text{bg}}$ with morphisms $f : M \rightarrow N$ such that $f(\tau, \sigma) = 0 : M(\sigma) \rightarrow N(\tau)$ unless $\tau \geq \sigma$ so that $f(M(\sigma)) \subseteq \sum_{\tau \geq \sigma} N(\tau)$. Similarly for $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{F}, \text{bdd}}$.

Definition 5.11. Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliation on a compact manifold M . The quadratic foliated structure groups of (R, K) , where R is a ring, are the cobordism groups for either *bg* or *bdd*:

$$\mathcal{S}_n^{\mathcal{F}}(R, K) = L_{n-1}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{F}}(R, K), \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{F}}(R, K), \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{F}}(R)_*(K))$$

Definition 5.12. Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliation on a compact manifold M . Define the local, finitely generated free (R, K) -modules for *bg* or *bdd*:

$$\Lambda(R)_*^{\mathcal{F}}(K) = (\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{F}}(R, K), \mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{F}}(R, K), \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{F}}(R)_*(K))$$

where $\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{F}}(R, K)$ is the category of finite chain complexes of f.g. free foliated (R, K) -module chain complexes C such that $[C][\sigma](\sigma \in K)$ is a contractible f.g. free R -module chain complex.

Definition 5.13. Let M be a compact manifold with foliation \mathcal{F} . Define the *bg* foliated normal invariants for (M, \mathcal{F}) to be:

$$H_n(BG; \mathcal{L}^{uf}) = L_n(\Lambda(\mathbf{Z})_*^{\mathcal{F}, \text{bg}}(M))$$

where BG is the classifying space of the holonomy groupoid of M , and \mathcal{L}^{uf} is the cosheaf assigning to each point x of BG the uniformly finite L -homology of the leaf S_x through x .

Definition 5.14. Let M be a compact manifold with foliation \mathcal{F} . Define the *bdd* foliated normal invariants for (M, \mathcal{F}) to be:

$$H_n(BG; \mathcal{L}^{lf}) = L_n(\Lambda(\mathbf{Z})_*^{\mathcal{F}, \text{bdd}}(M))$$

where BG is the classifying space of the holonomy groupoid of M and \mathcal{L}^{lf} is the cosheaf assigning to each point x of BG the locally finite L -homology of the leaf S_x through x .

Conjecture 5.1 (Bounded Novikov Conjecture for Foliations). Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliation on a compact manifold. The map

$$H_*(BG; \mathcal{L}^{lf}) \rightarrow L_*^{\mathcal{F}, \text{bdd}}(M)$$

where \mathcal{L}^{lf} is the cosheaf assigning the locally finite L -homology of the leaf through $x \in BG$ to the point $x \in BG$, is injective, provided the leaves of (M, \mathcal{F}) are uniformly contractible.

Conjecture 5.2 (Bounded Borel Conjecture for Foliations). Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliation on a compact manifold. The map

$$H_*(BG; \mathcal{L}^{lf}) \rightarrow L_*^{\mathcal{F}, \text{bdd}}(M)$$

is an isomorphism, where \mathcal{L}^{lf} is the cosheaf assigning the locally finite L -homology of the leaf through $x \in BG$ to the point $x \in BG$, provided the leaves of (M, \mathcal{F}) are aspherical.

Conjecture 5.3 (Bounded Geometry Novikov Conjecture for Foliations). *Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliation on a compact manifold. If the leaves of (M, \mathcal{F}) are aspherical, then*

$$H_*(BG; \mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)) \rightarrow L_*^{\mathcal{F}, bg}(M)$$

is injective, where S_x is the leaf of \mathcal{F} through $x \in BG$ and \mathcal{L}^{uf} is the uniformly finite L -homology cosheaf of the leaves over BG .

Conjecture 5.4 (Bounded Geometry Borel Conjecture for Foliations). *Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliation on a compact manifold. If the leaves of (M, \mathcal{F}) are aspherical, then*

$$H_*(BG; \mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)) \rightarrow L_*^{\mathcal{F}, bg}(M)$$

is an isomorphism, where S_x is the leaf of \mathcal{F} through $x \in BG$ and \mathcal{L}^{uf} is the uniformly finite L -homology cosheaf of the leaves over BG .

Definition 5.15. *Suppose (M, \mathcal{F}) is a foliation on a compact manifold with all leaves aspherical. Then $L_*^{\mathcal{F}, bdd}(M)$ will be denoted $L_*(BG)$, where BG is the classifying space of the holonomy groupoid of the foliation. The symmetric group $L^*(BG)$ is the symmetric L -group $L^{*, \mathcal{F}, bdd}(M)$, and the quadratic L -group $L_*(BG)$ is $L_*^{\mathcal{F}, bdd}(M)$.*

Definition 5.16. *Let $\mathbf{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ be the surgery space coming from the algebraic bordism category*

$$\Lambda(R)^{\mathcal{F}, bdd} = (\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{F}, bdd}(\mathbf{Z}), \mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{F}, bdd}(\mathbf{Z}), \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{F}, bdd}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

where $\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{F}, bdd}(\mathbf{Z})$ is the category of finite chain complexes of f.g. free foliated \mathbf{Z} -modules, and such that $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{F}, bdd}$ is the category of contractible finite chain complexes of f.g. free foliated \mathbf{Z} -modules. The space $\mathbf{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ will be called $\mathbf{L}(BG)$ if the leaves of (M, \mathcal{F}) are aspherical, where BG is the classifying space of the holonomy groupoid of (M, \mathcal{F}) .

6 Groupoid Integrability

Proposition 6.1. *The foliated Novikov conjecture holds for a classifying space for a holonomy groupoid BG if and only if the assembly map in symmetric L -theory*

$$A_{BG} : H_*(BG; \mathbf{L}) \rightarrow L^*(BG)$$

is a rational split injection. Note that $L^(BG) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[1/2] = L_*(BG) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[1/2]$ where L^* is the symmetric L -theory and L_* is ordinary L -theory.*

Definition 6.1. *Suppose M is a manifold and \mathcal{F} a foliation on M with the classifying space for the holonomy groupoid BG . Let $y \in H^i(BG; \mathbf{Z})$. Then we have higher Pontrjagin classes $y \cup p_i(TM/E)$ where $E \subset TM$ is a subbundle of the tangent bundle of M . We have the higher Pontrjagin ring $\text{Pont}^*(BG)(TM/E)$ consisting of all products with higher Pontrjagin classes $y \cup p_i(E)$ for $y \in H^*(BG; \mathbf{Z})$.*

Lemma 6.1 (Higher Shulman's Theorem). *Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated manifold and let BG be the leafspace of \mathcal{F} . If the higher Pontrjagin classes of (M, \mathcal{F}) vanish above the codimension k of \mathcal{F} , then the higher Pontrjagin ring $\text{Pont}(BG)^q(\nu(\mathcal{F}))$ vanishes above dimension $2k$, where $\nu(\mathcal{F}) = TM/E$, E being the tangent bundle of \mathcal{F} .*

Proof: We consider the cohomology of BG with coefficients in the cosheaf of uniformly finite \mathbf{L} -cohomology groups of the transversals, where \mathbf{L} is the surgery spectrum:

$$H^*(BG; \mathcal{L}_{uf}^*(T_x))$$

where T_x is the transversal through S_x at $x \in BG$ the leaf through $x \in BG$ and \mathcal{L}_{uf}^* is the \mathbf{L} -cohomology cosheaf. From the standard Leray-Serre spectral sequence we get an assembly map

$$H^*(BG; \mathcal{L}_{uf}^*(T_x)) \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbf{L})$$

We then tensor with the reals:

$$H^*(BG; \mathcal{L}_{uf}^*(T_x)) \otimes \mathbf{R} \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbf{L}) \otimes \mathbf{R}$$

We then use the fact that $\mathbf{L} \otimes \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{KO} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ and we have

$$H^*(BG; \mathcal{K}\mathcal{O}_{uf}^*(T_x)) \otimes \mathbf{R} \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbf{KO}) \otimes \mathbf{R}$$

where $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{O}_{uf}^*(T_x)$ is the cosheaf of uniformly finite \mathbf{KO} -cohomology groups of the transversals. We then apply the Pontrjagin character to get

$$H^*(BG; \mathcal{H}_\beta^*(T_x)) \otimes \mathbf{R} \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbf{R})$$

where $\mathcal{H}_\beta^*(T_x)$ is the cosheaf of the bounded de Rham cohomology of the transversals. We observe that the de Rham forms representing elements of the L^∞ Pontrjagin ring of T_x have a decomposition via Shulman's theorem:

$$\Phi = \Phi_{q-1} + \Phi_{q-2} + \dots + \Phi_0$$

where $\Phi_i \in \Omega^{q+1} N_{q-i} SO(n)$ and Ω is the de Rham functor and $\Omega NSO(n)$ is the de Rham complex of $NSO(n)$. Elements of the higher Pontrjagin ring of T_x can be represented by elements to be represented as a de Rham form $\gamma \otimes \Phi$, where γ is a de Rham form representing an element of $H^*(BG)$ and Φ is a representative of the Pontrjagin ring of T_x . But after assembling to M we see that this yields a de Rham form representing an element of the higher Pontrjagin ring of TM/E and that this is zero above dimension $2k$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let M be a compact closed manifold of dimension n , suppose $E \subset TM$ is a subbundle of the tangent bundle of M . Let E be integrable, BG the classifying space of the foliation groupoid of the corresponding foliation \mathcal{F} . Suppose the foliated Novikov conjecture is true for \mathcal{F} . Then the higher Pontrjagin ring $\text{Pont}^q(BG)(TM/E)$ vanishes above dimension $2k$, where k is the dimension of TM/E .*

Remark 6.1. Let $y_1, \dots, y_n \in H^*(BG)$ be classes for which the foliated Novikov Conjecture is known. Then if we let K be the subset of $H^*(BG)$ consisting of these classes, we have that $\text{Pont}^q(K)(TM/E)$ vanishes above dimension $2k$, where k is the dimension of TM/E , and where $\text{Pont}^q(K)(TM/E)$ is the higher Pontrjagin ring consisting of all products of higher Pontrjagin classes $y \cup p_i(TM/E)$ for $y \in K$.

Proof: Let $k = \dim(TM/E)$, $n = \dim(TM)$. Let BG be the classifying space of the foliation groupoid G of \mathcal{F} and consider the homology of BG with coefficients in the cosheaf $\mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)$ of the $\mathbf{L}(BG)$ -homology groups of the leaves of \mathcal{F} : $H_*(BG; \mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x))$. The cosheaf $\mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)$ assigns to each simplex σ of BG , the group $H_*^{uf}(S_\sigma; \mathbf{L}(BG))$, which is the uniformly finite $\mathbf{L}(BG)$ -homology of the union of the leaves of \mathcal{F} corresponding to σ in BG . Taking the sum of the simplices of BG with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)$, we get elements in $H_*(M; \mathbf{L}(BG))$. We let \mathcal{A} be the additive category of free additive category of free modules over the cosheaf \mathcal{L}^{uf} and the simplicial complex K is the classifying space BG .

In this case $\mathcal{A}_*[K]$ is the functor that assigns to a simplex $\sigma \in BG$ the group $\mathcal{L}_*^{uf}(S_\sigma)$, the $\mathbf{L}(BG)$ -homology of the union of the leaves corresponding to σ . We then have the category $\mathcal{A}_*(K)$ as the category of direct sums

$$\sum_{\sigma \in BG} \mathcal{L}_*^{uf}(S_\sigma)$$

We then have the covariant assembly functor

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_*[K]) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}((\mathcal{A})^*(K))$$

taken to $\Delta_*[K](\sigma) = S^{|\sigma|}\Delta(\sigma)$ and therefore ends up in homology. The assembly map takes a chain complex $C_*(BG; \mathcal{L}_{uf}) = C_*^{uf}(BG; \mathcal{L}_{uf})$ (since BG is compact) to the complex

$$C_*[BG]_r = \sum_{\sigma \in BG} C_{r-|\sigma|}(\sigma; \mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_\sigma))$$

where the dimension shift $r - |\sigma|$ is the chain duality T . We then use the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of a cosheaf,

$$H_*(BG; \mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)) \Rightarrow H_*(M; \mathbf{L}(BG))$$

which converges to $H_*^{uf}(M; \mathbf{L}(BG)) = H_*(M; \mathbf{L}(BG))$ as M is compact and clearly yields the assembly map to $H_*(M; \mathbf{L}(BG))$.

We get an assembly map

$$H_*(BG; \mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)) \rightarrow H_*(M; \mathbf{L}(BG))$$

where $\mathbf{L}(BG)$ is the surgery spectrum of BG . We next define the higher signatures of the leaves. Let $i : M \rightarrow BG$ and $j : S_x \rightarrow M$ be the embedding of the leaf S_x through $x \in BG$ so that for $y \in H^*(BG)$, $\gamma = j^*i^*(y) \in H_{uf}^*(S_x)$. Let

$\Delta^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x)) \in KO_*^{uf}(S_x) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ be the $KO[1/2]$ orientation of the normal bundle $\nu(S_x)$ of the leaf S_x through $x, x \in BG$. This higher signature class is detected by the surgery space $\mathbf{L}(BG)$. This class lies in $H_*^{uf}(S_x; H_*(BG; \mathbf{L}))$ and by the foliated Novikov conjecture this group injects into $H_*^{uf}(S_x; \mathbf{L}_*(BG))$. This is because the symmetric assembly map is a split injection on ordinary homology, and hence we can use the Zeeman Comparison Theorem, which states that if the E_2 terms on the summand to obtain an injection.

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
H_*(BG; \mathcal{H}^{uf}(BG)_*(S_x) \otimes \mathbf{Q}) & \xleftarrow{ph^{(\infty)}} & H_*(BG; \mathcal{KO}^{uf}(BG)(S_x)) \otimes \mathbf{Q} & \xrightarrow{A_{Surgery}^{(\infty)}} & H_*(BG; \mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \\
A_{Leaves}^{\mathcal{H}} \downarrow & & A_{Leaves}^{\mathcal{KO}} \downarrow & & A_{Leaves}^{\mathcal{L}} \downarrow \\
H_*(M; H_*(BG; \mathbf{Q})) & \xleftarrow{ph} & H_*(M; \mathbf{KO}_*(BG)) \otimes \mathbf{Q} & \xrightarrow{A_{Surgery}} & H_*(M; \mathbf{L}(BG)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}
\end{array}$$

Here ph is an isomorphism, and $A_{Surgery} : H_*(M; \mathbf{KO}(BG)) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow H_*(M; \mathbf{L}(BG)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is injective as $KO_*(BG) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow L(BG) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is by the foliated Novikov conjecture for BG .

In addition we have the cosheaf $\mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)$ as above, and the other cosheaves \mathcal{H}^{uf} which associates to x the uniformly finite homology of the leaf through x and $\mathcal{KO}^{uf}(BG)_*(S_x)$ is the cosheaf which associates to x the uniformly finite $KO(BG)$ -homology of the leaf through x . The map

$$A_{Surgery}^{(\infty)} : H_*(BG; \mathcal{KO}^{uf}(BG)(S_x)) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow H_*(BG; \mathcal{L}^{uf}(S_x)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$$

is the assembly map coming from the injective map $KO(BG) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow L(BG) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ and is itself injective. The maps $A_{Leaves}^{\mathcal{H}}, A_{Leaves}^{\mathcal{KO}}, A_{Leaves}^{\mathcal{L}}$ are assembly maps of the cosheaves.

We have $\sum_x \Delta_\gamma^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x))[x]$ as a cycle in generalized homology. These each assemble to a higher signature class $\Delta_\gamma(\nu(\mathcal{F}))$, with $\gamma \in H^*(BG)$. We then have classes in $H_*(M; L(BG))$ coming from the leaves. We take the L^∞ Pontrjagin character $\Delta_\gamma^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x))$, and obtain the higher total L-class $\gamma \cup \mathcal{L}_{Tot}^{(\infty)} = \gamma \cup (1 + L_1^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x)) + L_2^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x)) + \dots)$, which yields $\gamma \cup L_0^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x)) = \gamma, \gamma \cup L_1^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x)), \dots, \gamma \cup L_k^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x))$. Note that the Pontrjagin character is a rational isomorphism. For dimensional reasons, these higher L -classes vanish above the dimension of $\nu(S_x)$ which is k .

Because of the Novikov conjecture, if the class of the surgery obstruction $\Delta_\gamma^{(\infty),1}(\nu(S_x)) \in H_*^{uf}(S_x; \mathbf{L}(BG))$ coming from the higher signature $ph^{(\infty)}(\Delta_\gamma^{(\infty)}(\nu(S_x))) \in H_*^{uf}(S_x; KO(BG))$ is zero then the higher signature is zero. So the vanishing of the higher Pontrjagin classes from $KO(BG)$ for dimensional reasons guarantees by the Novikov conjecture for foliations that the higher Pontrjagin classes from the surgery group vanish in the same range. These classes assemble via $A_{Leaves}^{\mathcal{L}}$ to $\Delta_\gamma^1(\nu(\mathcal{F}))$ in $H_*(M; \mathbf{L}(BG))$. Again we have a higher signature class $\Delta_\gamma(\nu(\mathcal{F}))$ in $H_*(M; KO(BG))$, which injects into $H_*(M; \mathbf{L}(BG))$ via $A_{Surgery}$ because of the Novikov conjecture for BG .

The Pontrjagin character of $\Delta_\gamma(\nu(\mathcal{F}))$ is of the form $ph(\beta \cap \Delta(\nu(\mathcal{F})))$ where $\Delta(\nu(\mathcal{F}))$ is the $KO[1/2]$ -orientation of $\nu(\mathcal{F})$, and $\beta \in KO(M)$ is such $ph(\beta) = \gamma$, representing $\Delta_\gamma(\nu(\mathcal{F}))$ in $H_*(M; KO(BG))$ and hence comes from an invariant polynomial in the curvature form of $\nu(\mathcal{F})$.

Because of the vanishing over dimension k of the L^∞ higher Pontrjagin classes of the leaves we have vanishing of the higher Pontrjagin classes of $\nu(\mathcal{F})$ above dimension k , from the assembly map. We have vanishing over dimension $2k$ of the higher Pontrjagin ring after applying the Higher Shulman's Theorem (Lemma 6.1).

Corollary 6.1. *Let M be a smooth manifold and let E be an integrable subbundle so that the corresponding foliation \mathcal{F} is a foliation where all the leaves of \mathcal{F} are contractible. Then if $Pont^*(M)(TM/E)$ is the higher Pontrjagin ring generated by the higher Pontrjagin classes $y \cup p_i(TM/E)$, $y \in H^j(M)$ then*

$$Pont^q(M)(TM/E) = 0$$

for $q > 2k$, where $k = \dim(TM/E)$, provided the classifying space of the holonomy groupoid BG satisfies the foliated Novikov conjecture.

Conjecture 6.1. *Let M be a smooth manifold and let E be an integrable subbundle so that the corresponding foliation \mathcal{F} is a foliation where all the leaves of \mathcal{F} are rationally contractible, i.e. if L is a leaf of \mathcal{F} then $\pi_i(L) \otimes \mathbf{Q} = 0$ for all i . Then if $Pont^*(M)(TM/E)$ is the higher Pontrjagin ring generated by the higher Pontrjagin classes $y \cup p_i(TM/E)$, $y \in H^j(M)$ then*

$$Pont^q(M)(TM/E) = 0$$

for $q > 2k$, where $k = \dim(TM/E)$, provided the classifying space of the holonomy groupoid BG satisfies the Novikov conjecture.

The present result for strengthening Bott's result on Pontrjagin classes applies as well to Chern classes. Let M be a complex manifold, E a holomorphic vector bundle which is integrable, and let BG be the classifying space of the corresponding foliation groupoid. We may consider the corresponding map $i : M \rightarrow BG$ and take i^*y for $y \in H^*(BG)$, and consider all products with Chern classes $i^*(y) \cap c_i(E)$ in $H^*(M; \mathbf{C})$ for all $y \in H^*(BG)$ which we will call the higher Chern ring, and denote $Chern^*(BG)(E)$.

Theorem 6.2. *Let M^n be a complex manifold, E an integrable holomorphic subbundle of TM , BG the classifying space of the holonomy groupoid of the corresponding foliation. If BG satisfies the foliated Novikov conjecture, then the higher Chern ring $Chern^q(BG)(TM/E)$ vanishes for $q > 2k$*

The proof is the same as above, except with $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{C})$, K -theory and the Chern character are substituted for KO -theory and the Pontrjagin character.

Theorem 6.3. *Let M be a manifold of complex dimension n with a nonzero holomorphic vector field with a holomorphic foliation with holonomy groupoid BG , where BG satisfies the foliated Novikov Conjecture. Then all the higher Chern numbers of M vanish.*

Proof: Let E be the subbundle of TM generated by the vector field. Since E is trivial, $Chern^{2n}(BG)(TM/E) = Chern^{2n}(BG)(TM) = 0$.

Remark 6.2. *We do not need M to have infinite fundamental group to have nonzero integrability obstruction. Consider the Reeb foliation on S^3 . This foliation has leaf space $BG = S^3$, and hence there is a class $x \in H^3(BG)$ which can be used to yield an integrability obstruction for $E \subset TM$.*

Example 6.1. *Let X be a manifold which is homotopy equivalent to $S^4 \times S^4 \times S^4 \times S^4$ with Pontrjagin classes p_1, p_2 and p_3 nonzero. Suppose $E \subset TM$ be a subbundle of the tangent bundle of $M = X$ of codimension 4. Then if $BG = S^4 \times S^4 \times S^4$ is the leafspace, and we choose $x, y \in H^4(BG)$, with nonzero product, so we have that the product of $x \cup p_1(TM/E)$ and $y \cup p_1(TM/E)$ is nonzero and of dimension greater than 8, so E is not integrable with leafspace BG .*

7 Higher Godbillon-Vey Invariant

This section utilizes [20] in describing the Cheeger-Simons and Godbillon-Vey invariants. For a review of the Godbillon-Vey invariant, see [31, 50, 37]. Let G be a Lie group with finitely many components, BG its classifying space and $I^*(G)$ the ring of invariant polynomials on G . The Weil homomorphism constructs a homomorphism $w : I^k(G) \rightarrow H^{2k}(BG; \mathbf{R})$. Let $G = GL(n, \mathbf{R})$ and set $I_0(G) = \ker(w)$. Then $I_0 = \sum I_0^k$ is the ideal generated by the polynomials $tr A^{2k-1}$. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{R}$ be a proper subring of the reals. Let M be a C^∞ manifold and let Λ^* denote the ring of differential forms on M . Let $C_k \supset Z_k \supset B_k$ denote the rings of smooth singular chains, cycles and boundaries, and $\partial : C_k \rightarrow C_{k-1}$ and $\delta : C^k \rightarrow C^{k+1}$ be usual boundary and coboundary operators. Let Λ_0^k be the closed k -forms with periods lying in Λ .

Definition 7.1.

$$\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbf{R}/\Lambda) = \{f \in Hom(Z_k, \mathbf{R}/\Lambda) \mid f \circ \partial \in \Lambda^{k+1}\}$$

Definition 7.2.

$$R^k(M; \Lambda) = \{(\omega, u) \in \Lambda_0^k \times H^k(M; \Lambda) \mid r(u) = [\omega]\}$$

where r is the natural map $r : H^k(M; \Lambda) \rightarrow H^k(M; \mathbf{R})$ and $[\omega]$ is the de Rham class of ω .

Definition 7.3. *Let $f \in \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbf{R}/\Lambda)$. Since \mathbf{R} is divisible, there is a real cochain T with $T \mid Z_k = f$. Since $\delta \tilde{T} = \delta T = f \circ \partial$, by assumption there exists $\omega \in \Lambda^{k+1}$ and $c \in C^{k+1}(M; \Lambda)$ such that $\delta T = \omega - c$. Then $0 = \delta^2 T = \delta \omega - \delta c = d\omega - \delta c$. Since a nonvanishing differential form never takes values lying only in a proper subgroup $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{R}$, we conclude that $d\omega = \delta c = 0$. Since $\delta T = \omega - c$ it follows that $\omega \in \Lambda_0^{k+1}$, $[c] = u \in H^{k+1}(M; \Lambda)$ and $[\omega] = r(u)$. Set $\delta_1(f) = \omega$ and $\delta_2(f) = u$.*

Let $\alpha = \{E, M, \theta\}$ be a principal G -bundle total space E , base space M and connection θ . Let $\epsilon(G)$ be the category of these objects with morphisms being connection preserving bundle maps. $W : I^k(G) \rightarrow \Lambda^{2k}(M)$ is the natural transformation associated with the Weil homomorphism. If $P \in I^k(G)$, $u \in H^*(BG; \Lambda)$ and Ω the curvature form of $\alpha \in \epsilon(G)$, then $W(P) = P(\Omega, \dots, \Omega)$ and $C_\Lambda(u) = u(\alpha)$ the characteristic class. Let $r : H^*(M; \Lambda) \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbf{R})$. Set

$$K^{2k}(G, \Lambda) = \{(P, u) \in I^k(G) \times H^{2k}(BG, \Lambda) \mid w(P) = r(u)\}$$

Theorem 7.1 (Cheeger-Simons). [20] *Let $(P, u) \in K^{2k}(G, \Lambda)$. For each $\alpha \in \epsilon(G)$ there exists a unique $S_{P,u} \in \hat{H}^{2k-1}(M; \mathbf{R}/\Lambda)$ satisfying*

- 1) $\delta_1(S_{P,u}) = P(\Omega)$
- 2) $\delta_2(S_{P,u}) = u(\alpha)$
- 3) *If $\beta \in \epsilon(G)$ and $\phi : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is a morphism, then $\phi^*(S_{P,u}(\beta)) = S_{P,u}(\alpha)$.*

Taking $\Lambda = \{0\}$ we see that $Q \rightarrow (Q, 0)$ is an isomorphism between I_0^k and $K^{2k}(G, \{0\})$. Let $\pi_1(M) \neq 0$ be the fundamental group of M and let $i : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi$ be a homomorphism to a group π inducing a map $j : M \rightarrow B\pi$. Let $\gamma \in H^*(B\pi)$ or $\gamma \in H^*(B\mathcal{G})$, where $B\mathcal{G}$ is the leafspace of the foliation on M be an element. If $\alpha \in \epsilon(GL(n, \mathbf{R}))$ and $Q \in I_0^k$ set let $j^*(\gamma) \cup Q$ be the function $\Omega \mapsto j^*(\gamma) \cup Q(\Omega)$, where Ω is a curvature form. Then we have

$$\hat{Q}_\gamma(\alpha) = S_{j^*(\gamma) \cup Q, 0}(\alpha) \in \hat{H}^{2k-1}(M; \mathbf{R})$$

Let $V = \{V, M, \nabla\}$ be a real vector bundle with connection. In $BGL(n, \mathbf{R})$ we have the Pontrjagin class p and the polynomial $P_k \in I^{2k}(GL(n, \mathbf{R}))$ with $w(P_k) = p_k$. Letting $E(V) \in \epsilon(GL(n, \mathbf{R}))$ be the basis bundle of V we define as before $j^*(\gamma) \cup P_k$ to be the function $\Omega \mapsto j^*(\gamma) \cup P_k(\Omega)$ so that we have

$$\hat{p}_{k\gamma}(V) = S_{j^*(\gamma) \cup P_k, p_k}(E(V))$$

Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation of codimension n in M , and let $\nu(\mathcal{F})$ be its normal bundle. Let $N(\mathcal{F}) = \{\nu(\mathcal{F}), M, \nabla\}$, where ∇ is a Bott connection [12] and set $\hat{Q}_\gamma(\mathcal{F}) = \hat{Q}_\gamma(N(\mathcal{F}))$, and $\hat{p}_{i\gamma}(\mathcal{F}) = \hat{p}_{i\gamma}(N(\mathcal{F}))$.

$$\hat{Q}_\gamma(\mathcal{F}) \in H^{2k-1}(M; \mathbf{R}), Q \in I_0^k, k > n$$

$$\hat{p}_{i\gamma}(\mathcal{F}) \in H^{4i-1}(M, \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}), 2i > n$$

Theorem 7.2. *These classes are defined independently of the choice of Bott connection and are invariants of \mathcal{F} .*

Proof: This follows directly from the higher integrability theorem.

For example for $Q = tr A^2$, $\hat{Q}_\gamma(\mathcal{F}) \in H^3(M; \mathbf{R})$ is our higher Godbillon-Vey invariant.

Example 7.1. *We take the following discussion from [16]. Let X be a manifold and $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle over X with discrete structural group G . Locally E is a product and each product neighborhood has a natural horizontal foliation.*

Since G is discrete, the change of coordinates maps are locally constant and so preserve these local foliations. This gives a foliation of E called the horizontal foliation. The quotient bundle η to the horizontal foliation of E is isomorphic $\pi^*(E)$. Since π^* is an isomorphism in cohomology, the Pontrjagin ring of $\pi^*(E)$ is the same as that of E .

Let $X = S^{2n-1}/\mathbf{Z}_p$ where p is an odd prime $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ and \mathbf{Z}_p acts through $U_1 \times \dots \times U_1$. Then L be the basic complex line bundle over X . L has structural group \mathbf{Z}_p . The first Chern of L , $c_1(L)$ generates $H^2(X; \mathbf{Z}_p)$ and an easy argument using the Gysin sequence shows $(c_1(L))^{n-1} \neq 0$ and $H^*(X; \mathbf{Z}_p) \supset \mathbf{Z}_p[c_1]/((c_1)^n)$. The Pontrjagin class of L is $1 - (c_1)^2$ and the ring generated by it has nonzero elements in dimension $2[n/2]$. Now take the manifold $M(\pi)$ or $M(B\mathcal{G})$ of dimension $2n - 1$ and fundamental group π satisfying the Novikov conjecture or $B\mathcal{G}$ with foliation \mathcal{F} satisfying the foliated Novikov conjecture and multiply it by X and consider $p_i(N) \cup f^*(\gamma) \in H^*(X \times M(\pi))$, where N is the normal bundle to the horizontal foliation and $f : M(\pi) \rightarrow B\pi$ is a map and $\gamma \in H^*(B\pi)$ or else $p_i(N) \cup f^*(\gamma) \in H^*(X \times M(B\mathcal{G}))$, where N is the normal bundle to the horizontal foliation and $f : M(B\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow B\mathcal{G}$ is a map and $\gamma \in H^*(B\mathcal{G})$. This is zero in real cohomology (but not in integral cohomology), and so we let B be the Bockstein, and $B(\hat{p}_{i,\gamma}) = -\psi^*(p_i \cup \gamma) \neq 0$ for this manifold, where $\psi : B\Gamma_n \rightarrow BGL(n, \mathbf{R})$ is the natural map.

Example 7.2. To find a nonzero higher Godbillon-Vey invariant, take a foliation on S^3 that has nonzero Godbillon-Vey invariant [55], and take the product foliation on $S^3 \times M(\pi)$ or $S^3 \times M(B\mathcal{G})$, where $M(\pi)$ and $M(B\mathcal{G})$ are the manifolds in Example 7.1. Then $\hat{Q}_\gamma \neq 0$ as above.

Example 7.3 (Higher Maslov Index). Recall the construction of the Maslov index from [41]. We generalize this to a higher Maslov index. Let G be a Lie group, $H \subset G$ a closed subgroup with finitely many connected components and $\Gamma \subset G$ a discrete subgroup, operating properly discontinuously and without fixed points on G/H , so that $M = \Gamma \backslash G/H$ is a manifold. The foliation of M consists of one single leaf equal to M . The bundle

$$P = \Gamma \backslash G \times_H G \simeq G/H \times_\Gamma G = M = \Gamma \backslash G/H$$

is flat. Let $G = U(m)$ and $H = O(m)$. Take $N(\pi)$ to be a manifold with fundamental group π . Suppose π satisfies the Novikov Conjecture. We have for $\gamma \in H^i(B\pi)$ a higher Maslov class transgressing to $\gamma \cup c_1$ the higher odd Chern class, yielding $\mu_\gamma \in H^{i+1}(M \times N(\pi))$. This can also be generalized using the holonomy groupoid.

Remark 7.1. One can define a higher Chern-Simons class following the construction of Chern-Simons classes from [38]. Let M be a closed oriented 4-manifold and consider M as foliated by points, so that this is a Riemannian foliation of codimension 4. Then if $\gamma \in H^1(B\pi_1(M))$ we can use an oriented framing of $M \times \mathbf{R}^4$, to get a transgression of the higher first Pontrjagin class $\gamma \cup p_1$, the higher Chern-Simons class $cs_\gamma \in H^4(M)$.

We remark that the assembly construction of the present paper is the beginning of a surgery theory for foliations, which is in analogy to Connes' index theory [23], that will be discussed in a forthcoming paper [6].

References

- [1] D.Anderson and H.Munkholm, Boundedly controlled topology, Lect. Notes in Math. 1323 (1988), Springer, New York
- [2] J.A.Alvarez Lopez and R.Barral Lijo, Bounded geometry and leaves, Math.Nachr. 290 (2017), 1448-1469
- [3] O.Attie, Quasi-isometry classification of some manifolds of bounded geometry, Math. Zeit. 216 (1994), 501-527
- [4] O.Attie and J.Block, Poincare duality for L^p cohomology and characteristic classes, DIMACS Technical Report (1998)
- [5] O.Attie, J.Block, S.Weinberger, Characteristic classes and distortion of diffeomorphisms, JAMS 5 (1992), 919-921
- [6] O.Attie and S.Cappell, Surgery on foliations (2022), arXiv 2207.07950
- [7] O.Attie and S.Hurder, Manifolds which cannot be leaves of foliations, Topology 35 (1996), 335-353
- [8] P.Baum and A.Connes, Leafwise homotopy equivalence and rational Pontrjagin classes, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. 5 (1985) Foliations, 1-14
- [9] P.Baum, A.Connes, N.Higson, Classifying space for proper actions and K-theory of group C^* -algebras, preprint (1993)
- [10] P.Baum, N.Higson, T.Schick, On the equivalence of geometric and analytic K-homology, Pure and Applied Math. Quart. 3(2007),1-24
- [11] J.Block and S.Weinberger, Aperiodic tilings, positive scalar curvature, and amenability of spaces, JAMS 5 (1992), 907-918
- [12] R.Bott, On a topological obstruction to integrability, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. Vol. 10 (1970), 183-194
- [13] R.Bott (notes by L.Conlon) Lectures on Characteristic Classes and Foliations, in Lectures on Algebraic and Differential Topology, LNM 279, Springer Verlag (1972), 1-94
- [14] R.Bott, On the Chern-Weil homomorphism and the continuous cohomology of Lie groups, Adv. in Math. 11 (1973), 289-303
- [15] R.Bott, Some aspects of invariant theory in differential geometry, Differential Operators on Manifolds, Edizioni Cremonese, (1975), 49-145

- [16] R.Bott and J.Heitsch, A remark on the integral cohomology of $B\Gamma_q$, *Topology* 11 (1972), 141-146
- [17] R.Bott, H.Shulman, J.Stasheff, On the de Rham theory of certain classifying spaces, *Adv. in Math.* 20 (1976), 43-56
- [18] A.Candel and L.Conlon, *Foliations I*, Graduate Studies in Math. 23 (2000), American Mathematical Society
- [19] S.Cappell and S.Weinberger, Replacement of fixed sets and of their normal representations in transformation groups of manifolds, *Prospects in Topology: Proceedings of a Conference in Honor of William Browder, F.Quinn*, Ed. *Ann. of Math. Studies* 138 (1995), 67-109
- [20] J.Cheeger and J.Simons, *Differential characters and geometric invariants*, LNM 1167, Springer Verlag, (1985), 50-80
- [21] X.Chen, Q.Wang, G.Yu, The maximal coarse Baum-Connes conjecture for spaces which admit a fibred coarse embedding into Hilbert space, *Adv. Math.* 249 (2013), 88-130
- [22] S.S.Chern, The geometry of G-structures, *Bull. AMS* 72 (1966), 167-219
- [23] A.Connes, *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press (1994)
- [24] A.Connes, Cyclic cohomology and the transverse fundamental class of a foliation. *Geometric methods in operator algebras (Kyota, 1983)*, 52-144, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., 123, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1986.
- [25] M.Crainic and I.Moerdijk, Čech-DeRham theory for leaf spaces of foliations. *Math. Ann.* 328(2004), 59-85
- [26] M.Davis and T.Januszkiewicz, Hyperbolization of polyhedra, *J.Diff.Geom* 34 (1991),347-388
- [27] A.N. Dranishnikov, On hypersphericity of manifolds with finite asymptotic dimension, *Trans. AMS* 355 (2003), 155-167
- [28] A.Engel, Uniform K-theory and Poincare duality for uniform K-homology, *J. Functional Analysis* 276 (2019), 2103-2155
- [29] S.Ferry, A.Ranicki and J.Rosenberg, A history and survey of the conjecture, in *Novikov conjectures, index theorems and rigidity*, Vol.1, LMS Lecture Notes 226 (1995), 7-66
- [30] D.Gabai, *Commentary on Thurston's work on foliations*, Princeton University preprint (2018)
- [31] É. Ghys, L'invariant de Godbillon-Vey, *Séminaire Bourbaki: volume 1988/89, exposés 700-714*, Astérisque no. 177-178(1989), Talk no. 706, p.155-181

- [32] M.Gromov, Kähler hyperbolicity and L_2 -Hodge theory, *J.Differential Geometry* 33(1991), 263-292
- [33] A.Haefliger, Feuilletages sur les variétés ouvertes, *Topology* 9 (1970), 183-194
- [34] A.Haefliger, Groupoides d'holonomie et classifiants, *Asterisque* 116 (1984), 70-97
- [35] S.Hurder, Global invariants for measured foliations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 280 (1983), 367-391
- [36] S. Hurder, Classifying foliations, *Foliations, Geometry and Topology*, Paul Schweitzer Festschrift, Nicolau Sadanha et. al. Eds., *Contemp. Math. Vol.* 498, AMS (2009), 1-61
- [37] S.Hurder, Dynamics and the Godbillon-Vey class: a History and Survey, *Foliations: Geometry and Dynamics (Warsaw, 2000)*, P.Walczak, L.Conlon, R.Langevin and T.Tsuboi Eds. World Scientific (2002), pp.29-60
- [38] S. Hurder, Characteristic classes for Riemannian foliations, *Differential Geometry, Proc. VIII International Colloquium*, J.Alvarez Lopez, E. Garcia Rio Eds. (2009), 11-35
- [39] T.Januszkiewicz, Characteristic invariants for noncompact Riemannian manifolds, *Topology* 23 (1984), 289-301
- [40] F.Kamber and P.Tondeur, *Foliated bundles and their characteristic classes*, LNM 493 (1975) Springer Verlag
- [41] F.Kamber and P.Tondeur, Nontrivial characteristic invariants of homogeneous foliated bundles, *Ann.Scient. Ecole Norm Sup.* 8 (1975) 433-486
- [42] D.McDuff, Some canonical cohomology classes on groups of volume preserving diffeomorphisms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 275 (1983), 345-356
- [43] I. Moerdijk, Models for the leaf space of a foliation, in C.Casacuberta, R.M. Miro-Roig, J.Verdera, S.Xambo-Descamps, *European Congress of Mathematics*, *Progress in Math.* 201 (2001) 481-489
- [44] H.S.Narasimhan and S.Ramanan, Existence of universal connections, *Am.J.Math.*, 83 (1961), 563-572; 85 (1963), 223-231
- [45] F.Quinn, A geometric formulation of surgery, *Topology of manifolds, Proceedings 1969 Georgia Topology Conference*, Markham Press (1970), 500-511
- [46] A. Ranicki, The total surgery obstruction, *Proceedings 1978 Aarhus Topology Conference*, *Lect. Notes in Math.* 763 (1979), 273-316

- [47] A. Ranicki, Algebraic L-theory and topological manifolds, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics (1992)
- [48] R.M.G.Reis, R.J.Szabo, A.Valentino, KO-homology and type I string theory, Reviews in Math.Phys. 21 (2009), 1091-1143
- [49] J.Roe, An index theorem on open manifolds I, J. Diff. Geom. 27 (1988) 87-113
- [50] C.Roger, Various aspects and generalizations of the Godbillon-Vey invariant, Lie Groups and Lie Algebras, MAIA vol.433 (1998) pp.231-247
- [51] M.A.Shubin, Spectral theory of elliptic operators on noncompact manifolds, Asterisque 207 (1992), 35-108
- [52] J.Špakula, Uniform K-homology theory, J.Funct.Anal. 257 (2009),88-121
- [53] D.P.Sullivan, Triangulating homotopy equivalences and homeomorphisms, Princeton Geometric Topology Seminar Notes (1967) reprinted in: A.Ranicki, The Hauptvermutung Book, Kluwer (1996), 69-104.
- [54] D.P. Sullivan, Geometric topology: Localization, periodicity and Galois symmetry: The 1970 MIT Notes, K-Theory Monoographs in Mathematics vol 8 Ed. A. Ranicki (2005)
- [55] W.P.Thurston, Noncobordant foliations of S^3 , Bull. A.M.S. 78 (1972), 511-514
- [56] W.P.Thurston, The theory of foliations of codimension greater than one, Comment. Math. Helv. 49 (1974), 214-231
- [57] A.M.Torpe, K-theory for the leaf space of foliations by Reeb components, J. Funct. Anal. 61 (1985), 15-71
- [58] T.Tsuboi, On the foliated products of class C^1 , Ann. of Math 130 (1989), 227-271
- [59] S.Weinberger, The topological classification of stratified spaces, University of Chicago Press (1994), 130-131
- [60] E.C.Zeeman, A proof of the comparison theorem for spectral sequences, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 53 (1957), 57-62