

GREEN FUNCTION FOR AN ASYMPTOTICALLY STABLE RANDOM WALK IN A HALF SPACE

DENIS DENISOV AND VITALI WACHTEL

ABSTRACT. We consider an asymptotically stable multidimensional random walk $S(n) = (S_1(n), \dots, S_d(n))$. Let $\tau_x := \min\{n > 0 : x_1 + S_1(n) \leq 0\}$ be the first time the random walk $S(n)$ leaves the upper half-space. We obtain the asymptotics of $p_n(x, y) := \mathbf{P}(x + S(n) \in y + \Delta, \tau_x > n)$ as n tends to infinity, where Δ is a fixed cube. From that we obtain the local asymptotics for the Green function $G(x, y) := \sum_n p_n(x, y)$, as $|y|$ and/or $|x|$ tend to infinity.

1. INTRODUCTION, MAIN RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1.1. **Notation and assumptions.** Consider a random walk $\{S(n), n \geq 0\}$ on \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$, where

$$S(n) = X(1) + \dots + X(n), \quad n \geq 1$$

and $\{X(n), n \geq 1\}$ is a family of independent copies of a random vector $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$. For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ in the (non-negative) half space, that is for $x_1 \geq 0$, let

$$\tau_x := \min\{n \geq 1 : x + S(n) \notin \mathbb{H}^+\} = \min\{n \geq 1 : x_1 + S_1(n) \leq 0\}$$

be the first time the random walk exits the (positive) half space

$$\mathbb{H}^+ = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) : x_1 > 0\}.$$

When $x = 0$ we will omit the subscript and write

$$\tau := \tau_0 = \min\{n \geq 1 : S(n) \notin \mathbb{H}^+\} = \min\{n \geq 1 : S_1(n) \leq 0\}.$$

In this paper we study the asymptotic, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ behaviour of the probability

$$p_n(x, y) := \mathbf{P}(x + S(n) \in y + \Delta, \tau_x > n), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1)$$

and the Green function

$$G(x, y + \Delta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(x, y).$$

Here and throughout we denote $\Delta = [0, 1)^d$ and for $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d)$,

$$y + \Delta = [y_1, y_1 + 1) \times [y_2, y_2 + 1) \times \dots \times [y_d, y_d + 1).$$

In this paper we will mostly concentrate on the case when the random walk $S(n)$ has infinite second moments. More precisely, we shall assume that $S(n)$ is asymptotically stable of index $\alpha < 2$ when we study large deviations for local probabilities and asymptotics for the Green function. The asymptotics for the

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60G50; Secondary 60G40, 60J45, 60F17.

Key words and phrases. Random walk, exit time, harmonic function, conditioned process.

D. Denisov was supported by a Leverhulme Trust Research Project Grant RPG-2021-105. V. Wachtel was partially supported by DFG .

Green function of walks with finite variances have already been studied in the literature: (a) Uchiyama [20] has considered lattice walks in a half space; (b) Duraj et al [13] have derived asymptotics of Green functions for a wider class of convex cones. It is worth mentioning that the authors of [13] analyse first the case of a half space and use the estimates for the Green function for a half space in the subsequent analysis of convex cones. This fact underlines the importance of the case of half spaces.

We will say that $S(n)$ belongs to the domain of attraction of a multivariate stable law, if

$$\frac{S(n)}{c_n} \xrightarrow{d} \zeta_\alpha, \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ and ζ_α has a multivariate stable law of index α . Note that we assume that $S(n)$ is already centred. This does not restrict generality, when $\alpha \neq 1$, as one can subtract the mean for $\alpha > 1$ and the centering is not needed for $\alpha < 1$. This assumption excludes, however, some walks with $\alpha = 1$ from consideration.

Necessary and sufficient conditions for this convergence are given in [16]. When $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, the convergence will follow if $\mathbf{P}(|X| > t)$ is regularly varying of index $-\alpha$ and there exists a measure σ on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} such that

$$\frac{\mathbf{P}\left(|X| > x, \frac{X}{|X|} \in A\right)}{\mathbf{P}(|X| > x)} \rightarrow \sigma(A), \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

for any measurable A on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . We will write $X \in \mathcal{D}(d, \alpha, \sigma)$ when (2) holds, where σ stands for the above measure on the unit sphere. Let $g_{\alpha, \sigma}$ be the density of ζ_α .

For $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ we will assume that $\sigma(\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \cap \mathbb{H}^+) > 0$ and $\sigma(\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \cap \mathbb{H}^-) > 0$, where $\mathbb{H}^- = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{H}^+$. This assumption implies that the first coordinate X_1 belongs to the one-dimensional domain of attraction. Moreover, let

$$\mathcal{A} := \{0 < \alpha < 1; |\beta| < 1\} \cup \{1 < \alpha < 2; |\beta| \leq 1\} \cup \{\alpha = 1, \beta = 0\} \cup \{\alpha = 2, \beta = 0\}$$

be a subset in \mathbb{R}^2 . For $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{A}$ and a random variable X_1 write $X_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha, \beta)$ if the distribution of X_1 belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable law with characteristic function

$$G_{\alpha, \beta}(t) := \exp\left\{-c|t|^\alpha \left(1 - i\beta \frac{t}{|t|} \tan \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\right\} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{itu} g_{\alpha, \beta}(u) du, \quad c > 0, \quad (3)$$

and, in addition, $\mathbf{E}[X] = 0$ if this moment exists. Then, $X_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha, \beta)$.

We will consider the case when $S_1(n)$ is oscillating, that is when $\mathbf{P}(\tau < \infty) = 1$ and $\mathbf{E}[\tau] = \infty$. Recall that the random walk $S_1(n)$ oscillates if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{P}(S_1(n) > 0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{P}(S_1(n) \leq 0) = \infty.$$

Rogozin [17] investigated properties of τ and demonstrated that the Spitzer condition

$$n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{P}(S_1(k) > 0) \rightarrow \rho \in (0, 1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (4)$$

holds if and only if τ belongs to the domain of attraction of a positive stable law with parameter ρ . In particular, if $X_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha, \beta)$ then (see, for instance, [23])

condition (4) holds with

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &:= \sigma(\mathbb{H}^+ \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \\ &= \int_0^\infty g_{\alpha,\beta}(u) du = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \alpha = 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi\alpha} \arctan\left(\beta \tan \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We will further assume that the Spitzer-Doney condition holds

$$\mathbf{P}(S_1(n) > 0) \rightarrow \rho, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (6)$$

which is known to be equivalent to (4).

The scaling sequence $\{c_n\}$ can be defined as follows, see [16]. Denote $\mathbb{Z} := \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and let $\{c_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers specified by the relation

$$c_n := \inf \{u \geq 0 : \mu(u) \leq n^{-1}\}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\mu(u) := \frac{1}{u^2} \int_{-u}^u x^2 \mathbf{P}(|X| \in dx).$$

It is known (see, for instance, [14, Ch. XVII, §5]) that for every $X \in \mathcal{D}(d, \alpha, \sigma)$ the function $\mu(u)$ is regularly varying with index $(-\alpha)$. This implies that $\{c_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a regularly varying sequence with index α^{-1} , i.e. there exists a function $l_1(n)$, slowly varying at infinity, such that

$$c_n = n^{1/\alpha} l_1(n). \quad (8)$$

Then, convergence (2) holds with this sequence $\{c_n\}$. In addition, the scaled sequence $\frac{S_1(n)}{c_n}$ converges in distribution, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, to the stable law given by (3).

In one-dimensional case the study of asymptotics (1) was initiated in [21], where normal and small deviations of $p_n(0, y)$ were considered. Asymptotics for $p_n(x, y)$ with a general starting point x was studied then in [3] and [10]. Our assumption on X_1 is the same as in these papers and we use a similar approach for small and normal deviations. We used a different approach to study large deviations in the multidimensional case. Large deviations seem to be the most complicated part of the present paper.

As the first coordinate plays a distinctive role, we will adopt the following notation. For $X(n)$ we will write $X(n) = (X_1(n), X_{(2,d)}(n))$, where $X_1(n)$ corresponds to the first coordinate and $X_{(2,d)}(n)$ corresponds to the remaining coordinates. Similarly we write $S(n) = (S_1(n), S_{(2,d)}(n))$, $n = 0, 2, \dots$

The following conditional limit theorem will be crucial for the rest of this article. The weak convergence in this theorem can be proved similarly to [9]. For the existence of the density see Remark 2 in [21].

Theorem 1. *If $X \in \mathcal{D}(d, \alpha, \sigma)$, then there exists a random vector $M_{\alpha,\sigma}$ on \mathbb{H}^+ with density $p_{M_{\alpha,\sigma}}(v)$ such that, for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left(\frac{S(n)}{c_n} \in u + \Delta \mid \tau > n \right) = \mathbf{P}(M_{\alpha,\sigma} \in u + \Delta) = \int_{u+\Delta} p_{M_{\alpha,\sigma}}(v) dv. \quad (9)$$

Our first result is an analogue of the classical local limit theorem, which is an extension of Theorems 3 and 5 in [21] when $x = 0$ and extends [10] and [3] for arbitrary starting point x .

Theorem 2. *Suppose $X \in \mathcal{D}(d, \alpha, \sigma)$. If the distribution of X is non-lattice then, for every $r > 0$, uniformly in x with $x_1 \leq \delta_n c_n$, $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{H}^+} \left| c_n^d \mathbf{P}(x + S(n) \in y + r\Delta \mid \tau_x > n) - r^d p_{M_{\alpha, \sigma}} \left(\frac{y - x}{c_n} \right) \right| \rightarrow 0. \quad (10)$$

If the distribution of X is lattice and if \mathbb{Z}^d is the minimal lattice for X then, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{H}^+ \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $x_1 \leq \delta_n c_n$, $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$,

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{H}^+ \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \left| c_n^d \mathbf{P}(x + S(n) = y \mid \tau_x > n) - p_{M_{\alpha, \sigma}} \left(\frac{y - x}{c_n} \right) \right| \rightarrow 0. \quad (11)$$

If the ratio y/c_n varies with n in such a way that $y_1/c_n \in (b_1, b_2)$ for some $0 < b_1 < b_2 < \infty$ and $|y_{(2,d)}| = O(c_n)$, we can rewrite (10) as

$$c_n^d \mathbf{P}(S(n) \in y + r\Delta \mid \tau > n) \sim r^d p_{M_{\alpha, \sigma}}(y/c_n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

However, if $y_1/c_n \rightarrow 0$, then, in view of

$$\lim_{z_1 \downarrow 0} p_{M_{\alpha, \sigma}}(z) = 0,$$

relation (10) gives only

$$c_n^d \mathbf{P}(S(n) \in y + \Delta \mid \tau > n) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (12)$$

Our next theorem refines (12) in the mentioned domain of small deviations, i.e. when $y_1/c_n \rightarrow 0$. Let

$$\tau^+ := \min\{k \geq 1: S(k) \in \mathbb{H}^+\} = \min\{k \geq 1: S_1(k) > 0\}.$$

Let $\chi^+ := S_1(\tau^+)$ ($\chi^- := -S_1(\tau)$) be the first ascending(descending) ladder height and let $(\chi_n^+)_{n=1}^\infty$ ($(\chi_n^-)_{n=1}^\infty$) be a sequence of i.i.d. copies of χ^+ (χ^-). Let

$$H(u) := \mathbf{I}\{u > 0\} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(\chi_1^+ + \dots + \chi_k^+ < u) \quad (13)$$

$$V(u) := \mathbf{I}\{u \geq 0\} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(\chi_1^- + \dots + \chi_k^- \leq u) \quad (14)$$

be the renewal function of the ascending (descending) ladder height process. Clearly, H is a left-continuous function.

We will consider lattice and non-lattice case separately. In the lattice we assume that the random lives on \mathbb{Z}^d and is aperiodic.

Theorem 3. *Suppose $X \in \mathcal{D}(d, \alpha, \sigma)$. If the distribution of X is lattice and if \mathbb{Z}^d is the minimal lattice, then*

$$\mathbf{P}(x + S(n) = y; \tau_x > n) \sim V(x_1) H(y_1) \frac{g\left(0, \frac{y_{2,d} - x_{2,d}}{c_n}\right)}{nc_n^d}$$

uniformly in $x, y \in \mathbb{H}^+ \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $x_1, y_1 \in (0, \delta_n c_n]$ such that $|x - y| \leq Ac_n$, where $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and A is a fixed constant.

Theorem 4. *Let $x, y \in \mathbb{H}^+$. Suppose $X \in \mathcal{D}(d, \alpha, \sigma)$ and the distribution of X is non-lattice. Then*

$$\mathbf{P}(x + S(n) \in y + \Delta; \tau_x > n) \sim V(x_1) \int_{y_1}^{y_1+1} H(u) du \frac{g\left(0, \frac{y_{2,d} - x_{2,d}}{c_n}\right)}{nc_n^d}$$

uniformly in $x_1, y_1 \in (0, \delta_n c_n]$ such that $|x - y| \leq A c_n$, where $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and A is a fixed constant

To obtain the asymptotics for the Green function of $S(n)$ killed at leaving \mathbb{H}^+ one has to estimate probabilities of large deviations for $S(n)$. To this end we assume that there exists a regularly varying ϕ of index $-\alpha$ such that

$$\mathbf{P}(|X| > x) \leq \phi(|x|). \quad (15)$$

Clearly, (15) holds for every $X \in \mathcal{D}(d, \alpha, \sigma)$. Since we are interested in estimating local probabilities, we need to impose local assumptions in order to have sufficiently accurate estimates. Namely, we will assume that there exists regularly varying of index $-\alpha$ function ϕ such that

$$\mathbf{P}(X \in x + \Delta) \leq \frac{\phi(|x|)}{|x|^d} =: g(|x|). \quad (16)$$

and

$$a_1 \phi(t) \leq \mathbf{P}(|X| > t) \leq a_2 \phi(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (17)$$

for some positive constants a_1, a_2 . The fact that global assumptions might in general give different asymptotics if the tails are too heavy is known in the multidimensional case since Williamson [22] who constructed a counterexample for the Green functions on the whole space, which has a different asymptotic behaviour without any local assumptions.

We now ready to formulate our bound for local large deviations.

Theorem 5. *If (16) and (17) hold with some $\alpha < 2$ then*

$$p_n(x, y) \leq C_0 g(|x - y|) H(y_1 + 1) V(x_1 + 1). \quad (18)$$

We can now combine Theorem 3 and Theorem 5 to obtain the asymptotics of the Green function near the boundary.

Theorem 6. *Assume $X \in \mathcal{D}(d, \alpha, \sigma)$ with some $\alpha < 2$. If X is lattice and if \mathbb{Z}^d is minimal lattice for X . Suppose there exists a regularly varying ϕ such that (16) and (17) hold. Then,*

$$G(x, y) \sim C \frac{H(y_1) V(x_1)}{|x - y|^d} \int_0^\infty g_{\alpha, \sigma} \left(0, \frac{y_{2,d} - x_{2,d}}{|x - y|} t \right) t^{d-1} dt$$

for $x_1, y_1 = o(|x - y|)$. In particular, in the isotopic case, that is when the limiting density σ is uniform on the unit sphere,

$$G(x, y) \sim C \frac{H(y_1) V(x_1)}{|x - y|^d}, \quad |x - y| \rightarrow \infty,$$

for $x_1, y_1 = o(|x - y|)$.

In addition there exists a constant C such that for any x, y ,

$$G(x, y) \leq C \frac{H(y_1) V(x_1)}{|x - y|^d}. \quad (19)$$

A similar result holds in the non-lattice case.

Theorem 7. *Assume $X \in \mathcal{D}(d, \alpha, \sigma)$ with some $\alpha < 2$ and assume that its distribution is non-lattice. Suppose there exists a regularly varying ϕ such that (16) and (17) hold. Then,*

$$G(x, y) \sim C \frac{\int_{y_1}^{y_1+1} H(u) du V(x_1)}{|x-y|^d} \int_0^\infty g_{\alpha, \sigma} \left(0, \frac{y_{2,d} - x_{2,d}}{|x-y|} t \right) t^{d-1} dt$$

for $x_1, y_1 = o(|x-y|)$. In particular, in the isotopic case, that is when the limiting density σ is uniform on the unit sphere,

$$G(x, y) \sim C \frac{\int_{y_1}^{y_1+1} H(u) du V(x_1)}{|x-y|^d}, \quad |x-y| \rightarrow \infty,$$

for $x_1, y_1 = o(|x-y|)$. In addition there exists a constant C such that for any x, y the bound (19) holds.

Remark 8. For stable Lévy processes an exact formula (which can be analysed asymptotically) for the Green function $g(x, y)$ was obtained in [19]. We are not aware of the similar results for asymptotically stable random walks when $\alpha < 2$.

Remark 9. As we have already mentioned, walks with finite variances, which are a particular case of asymptotically stable walks with $\alpha = 2$, were considered in [13, Theorem 2] and [20] in the lattice case. In [13] estimates of the behaviour of the Green function relied on [5, 12] and were obtained in a more general situation of convex cones. Using our methods we have obtained asymptotics for the Green function in a half space for all asymptotically stable walks with $\alpha = 2$. Specialising this result to the case of finite variances, we can obtain asymptotics under weaker moment conditions than in [13] and stronger than in [20]. The method we use is different from [20] who approached the problem using the potential kernel.

The only difference between $\alpha < 2$ and $\alpha = 2$ are estimates for local large deviations. In the case $\alpha = 2$ one has to take care of the Gaussian component, what leads to very long calculations. For that reason we have decided not to include walks with $\alpha = 2$ in the present paper and to consider this case in a separate paper.

Remark 10. It seems to be possible to extend the estimates for the Green function for asymptotically isotropic random walk in cones. This will be considered elsewhere and should also allow one to extend the results of [4, 5, 7, 8, 12, 13] to the stable case.

2. UPPER BOUNDS FOR THE TAIL OF τ_x .

In this section we find bounds for $\mathbf{P}(\tau_x > n)$. Since τ_x is actually a stopping time for the one-dimensional walk $S_1(k)$, we may apply Lemma 3 from [6], which gives us the following estimate.

Lemma 11. *Assume that (6) holds. Then there exists C_0 such that for every $x \in \mathbb{H}^+$ one has*

$$\frac{\mathbf{P}(\tau_x > n)}{\mathbf{P}(\tau_0 > n)} \leq C_0 V(x_1), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (20)$$

Next lemma is an extension of [21, Lemma 20] to the case of half spaces. We will give a proof following a different approach, which relies on Lemma 11. This proof works in one-dimensional case as well, thus simplifying the corresponding arguments of [21].

For $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d), z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we will write $y \leq z$ if $y_1 \leq z_1, \dots, y_d \leq z_d$.

Lemma 12. *Assume that $X \in \mathcal{D}(d, \alpha, \sigma)$. Then, there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{H}^+$ and all $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$p_n(x, y) \leq \frac{CV(x_1)H(y_1)}{nc_n^d}. \quad (21)$$

Proof. Put $n_1 = [n/4], n_2 = [3n/4] - n_1, n_3 = n - [3n/4]$. We split the probability of interest into 3 parts,

$$p_n(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{H}^+} \mathbf{P}(\tau_x > n_1, x + S(n_1) \in du) \int_{\mathbb{H}^+} \mathbf{P}(\tau_u > n_2, u + S(n_2) \in dz) \\ \times \mathbf{P}(\tau_z > n_3, z + S(n_3) \in y + \Delta).$$

Now we will make use of the time inversion. Let

$$\tilde{X}(n) = -X(1), \tilde{X}(n-1) = -X(2), \dots, \tilde{X}(1) = -X(n)$$

and

$$\tilde{S}(k) = \tilde{X}(1) + \dots + \tilde{X}(k) \\ = -X(n) - \dots - X(n-k+1) = S(n-k) - S(n), \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let $1_d = (1, \dots, 1)$. Then,

$$\mathbf{P}(z + S(n_3) \in y + \Delta; \tau_z > n_3) \\ = \mathbf{P}(z + S(n_3) \in y + \Delta; z_1 + \min(S_1(1), \dots, S_1(n_3)) > 0) \\ = \mathbf{P}(y + 1_d + \tilde{S}(n_3) \in z + (0, 1]^d; z_1 + \min(\tilde{S}_1(n_3-1), \dots, \tilde{S}_1(1)) > \tilde{S}_1(n_3)) \\ \leq \mathbf{P}(y + 1_d + \tilde{S}(n_3) \in z + (0, 1]^d; \tilde{\tau}_{y+1_d} > n_3).$$

Then, using the concentration function inequalities, we can continue as follows,

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^+} \mathbf{P}(\tau_u > n_2, u + S(n_2) \in dz) \mathbf{P}(\tau_z > n_3, z + S(n_3) \in y + \Delta) \\ \leq \int_{\mathbb{H}^+} \mathbf{P}(\tau_u > n_2, u + S(n_2) \in dz) \mathbf{P}(y + 1_d + \tilde{S}(n_3) \in z + (0, 1]^d, \tilde{\tau}_{y+1_d} > n_3) \\ \leq \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j_d=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(\tau_u > n_2, u + S(n_2) \in [j_1, j_1+1) \times \dots \times [j_d, j_d+1)) \\ \times \mathbf{P}(y + 1_d + \tilde{S}(n_3) \in (j_1, \dots, j_d) + 2\Delta, \tilde{\tau}_{y+1_d} > n_3) \\ \leq \frac{C}{c_n^d} \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j_d=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(y + 1_d + \tilde{S}(n_3) \in (j_1, \dots, j_d) + 2\Delta, \tilde{\tau}_{y+1_d} > n_3) \\ \leq \frac{C2^d}{c_n^d} \mathbf{P}(\tilde{\tau}_{y+1_d} > n_3).$$

As a result we obtain the bound

$$p_n(x, y) \leq \frac{C}{c_n^d} \mathbf{P}(\tau_x > n_1) \mathbf{P}(\tilde{\tau}_{y+1_d} > n_3).$$

Applying Lemma 11 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(x, y) &\leq \frac{CH(y_1)}{c_n^d} \mathbf{P}(\tau_x > n_1) \mathbf{P}(\tilde{\tau}_0 > n_3) \\ &\leq \frac{CH(y_1)V(x_1)}{c_n^d} \mathbf{P}(\tau_0 > n_1) \mathbf{P}(\tau_0^+ > n_3). \end{aligned}$$

Here recall that one can deduce by Rogozin's result that (4) holds if and only if there exists a function $l(n)$, slowly varying at infinity, such that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbf{P}(\tau > n) \sim \frac{l(n)}{n^{1-\rho}}, \quad \mathbf{P}(\tau^+ > n) \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)\Gamma(1-\rho)n^\rho l(n)}. \quad (22)$$

Then we obtain that $\mathbf{P}(\tau_0 > n)\mathbf{P}(\tau_0^+ > n) \sim \frac{C}{n}$ and arrive at the conclusion. \square

Lemma 13. *Assume that the random walk $S(n)$ is asymptotically stable. Then, there exists $C > 0$ such that for $x, y \in \mathbb{H}^+$ and all $n \geq 1$*

$$p_n(x, y) \leq \frac{CV(x_1)}{c_n^d} \frac{l(n)}{n^{1-\rho}}. \quad (23)$$

Proof. For $n \geq 2$,

$$p_n(x, y) \leq \mathbf{P}(\tau_x > n/2) \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{P}(S_{[n/2]} \in z + \Delta).$$

Applying now a concentration function inequality we obtain

$$p_n(x, y) \leq \mathbf{P}(\tau_x > n/2) \frac{C_1}{c_n^d} \leq \frac{CV(x_1)}{c_n^d} \frac{l(n)}{n^{1-\rho}},$$

since

$$\mathbf{P}(\tau_x > n) \leq CV(x_1) \mathbf{P}(\tau > n) \leq CV(x_1) \frac{l(n)}{n^{1-\rho}}. \quad \square$$

Corollary 14. *Let $X \in \mathcal{D}(d, \alpha, \sigma)$. There exists a constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that, for all $n \geq 1$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{H}^+$,*

$$p_n(x, y) \leq CV(x_1) \frac{H(\min(c_n, y_1))}{nc_n^d}. \quad (24)$$

Proof. The desired estimates follow from (23) and Lemmata 12 and 13. \square

3. BAXTER-SPITZER IDENTITY

We will need the following multidimensional extension of one-dimensional Baxter-Spitzer identity, see [18, Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 15. *For $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $|s| < 1$ the following identity*

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s^n \mathbf{E}[e^{it \cdot S(n)}; \tau_0 > n] = \exp \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s^n}{n} \mathbf{E} \left[e^{it \cdot S(n)}; S_1(n) > 0 \right] \right\}.$$

We will now follow closely [21]. Put

$$B_n(y) := \mathbf{P}(S(n) < y; \tau_x > n).$$

and $b_n(y) := p_n(0, y)$. Lemma 15 of [21] extends as follows

Lemma 16. *The sequence of functions $\{B_n(y), n \geq 1\}$ satisfies the recurrence equations*

$$nB_n(y) = \mathbf{P}(S(n) < y, S_1(n) > 0) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{P}(S(k) < y - z, S_1(k) > 0) dB_{n-k}(z) \quad (25)$$

and

$$nB_n(y) = \mathbf{P}(S(n) < y, S_1(n) > 0) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} B_{n-k}(y - z) \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in dz, S_1(k) > 0). \quad (26)$$

The proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 15 of [21].

Before proving the next lemma recall the following result, see [21, Lemma 13].

Lemma 17. *Suppose $X_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then, as $u \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$H(u) \sim \frac{x^{\alpha\rho} l_2(u)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha\rho)\Gamma(1 + \alpha\rho)} \quad (27)$$

if $\alpha\rho < 1$, and

$$H(u) \sim ul_3(u) \quad (28)$$

if $\alpha\rho = 1$, where

$$l_3(u) := \left(\int_0^u \mathbf{P}(\chi^+ > y) dy \right)^{-1}, \quad u > 0.$$

In addition, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, in both cases,

$$H(c_n) \sim Cn\mathbf{P}(\tau > n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (29)$$

Lemma 18. *There exists a constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that, for all $z \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$,*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-d} \mathbf{P}(M_{\alpha, \sigma} \in z + \varepsilon\Delta) \leq C \min\{1, (z_1)^{\alpha\rho}\}.$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{z \downarrow 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-d} \mathbf{P}(M_{\alpha, \sigma} \in [z, z + \varepsilon)) = 0.$$

Proof. For all $z \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, and all $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\mathbf{P}(M_{\alpha, \sigma} \in z + \varepsilon\Delta) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(S(n) \in c_n z + \varepsilon c_n \Delta \mid \tau > n).$$

Applying (24) gives

$$\mathbf{P}(S(n) \in c_n z + \varepsilon c_n \Delta \mid \tau > n) \leq C \frac{H(\min(c_n, (z_1 + \varepsilon)c_n))}{nc_n^d \mathbf{P}(\tau > n)} (\varepsilon c_n)^d.$$

Recalling that $H(x)$ is regularly varying with index $\alpha\rho$ by Lemma 17 and taking into account (29), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(S(n) \in c_n z + \varepsilon c_n \Delta \mid \tau > n) &\leq C \varepsilon^d \min\{1, (z_1 + \varepsilon)^{\alpha\rho}\} \frac{H(c_n)}{n \mathbf{P}(\tau > n)} \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^d \min\{1, (z_1 + \varepsilon)^{\alpha\rho}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbf{P}(M_{\alpha, \sigma} \in z + \varepsilon\Delta) \leq C \varepsilon^d \min\{1, (z_1 + \varepsilon)^{\alpha\rho}\}. \quad (30)$$

This inequality shows that there exists a constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-d} \mathbf{P}(M_{\alpha, \sigma} \in z + \varepsilon \Delta) \leq C \min\{1, (z_1)^{\alpha\rho}\}, \text{ for all } z \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1},$$

as desired. \square

4. PROBABILITIES OF NORMAL DEVIATIONS: PROOF OF THEOREM 2 FOR $x = 0$.

Let $H_{y_1}^+ = \{(z_1, z^{(2,d)}) : 0 < z_1 < y_1\}$. It follows from (25) that

$$\begin{aligned} nb_n(y) &= \mathbf{P}(S(n) \in y + \Delta) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in y - z + \Delta, S_1(k) > 0) dB_{n-k}(z) \\ &:= R_\varepsilon^{(0)}(y) + R_\varepsilon^{(1)}(y) + R_\varepsilon^{(2)}(y) + R_\varepsilon^{(3)}(y), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where, for any fix $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and with a slight abuse of notation,

$$\begin{aligned} R_\varepsilon^{(1)}(y) &:= \sum_{k=1}^{\varepsilon n} \int_{H_{y_1}^+} \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in y - z + \Delta, S_1(k) > 0) dB_{n-k}(z), \\ R_\varepsilon^{(2)}(y) &:= \sum_{k=\varepsilon n}^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \int_{H_{y_1}^+} \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in y - z + \Delta, S_1(k) > 0) dB_{n-k}(z), \\ R_\varepsilon^{(3)}(y) &:= \mathbf{P}(S_n \in y + \Delta) + \sum_{k=[(1-\varepsilon)n]+1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in y - z + \Delta, S_1(k) > 0) dB_{n-k}(z) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$R_\varepsilon^{(0)}(y) := \sum_{k=1}^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \int_{[y_1, y_1+1) \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in y - z + \Delta, S_1(k) > 0) dB_{n-k}(z).$$

Fix some $t \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. If $z \in (t + \Delta)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \{S(k) \in y - z + \Delta\} &= \{S_j(k) \in [y_j - z_j, y_j - z_j + 1) \text{ for all } j\} \\ &\subseteq \{S_j(k) \in [y_j - t_j - 1, y_j - t_j + 1) \text{ for all } j\} \\ &= \{S(k) \in y - t - 1 + 2\Delta\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbf{P}(S(k) \in y - z + \Delta, S_1(k) > 0) \leq \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in y - t - 1 + 2\Delta, S_1(k) > 0)$$

for all $z \in t + \Delta$. Applying this estimate, we conclude that, for every $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_A \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in y - z + \Delta, S_1(k) > 0) dB_{n-k}(z) \\ &\leq \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}^d: (t+\Delta) \cap A \neq \emptyset} \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in y - t - 1 + 2\Delta, S_1(k) > 0) b_{n-k}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this estimate with Corollary 14 with $x = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_A \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in y - z + \Delta, S_1(k) > 0) dB_{n-k}(z) \\ & \leq \frac{C}{(n-k)c_{n-k}^d} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}^d: (t+\Delta) \cap A \neq \emptyset} H(t_1 \wedge c_{n-k}) \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in y - t - 1 + 2\Delta, S_1(k) > 0). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

In order to bound $R_\varepsilon^{(0)}(y)$ we apply (32) with $A = [y_1, y_1 + 1) \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & R_\varepsilon^{(0)}(y) \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \frac{C}{(n-k)c_{n-k}^d} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}^d: t_1 \in [y_1-1, y_1+1)} H(t_1 \wedge c_{n-k}) \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in y - t - 1 + 2\Delta, S_1(k) > 0) \\ & \leq C_\varepsilon \frac{H(y_1 \wedge c_n)}{nc_n^d} \sum_{k=1}^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \mathbf{P}(S_1(k) \in (0, 2)). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\mathbf{P}(S_1(k) \in (0, 2)) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\frac{c_n^d}{H(c_n)} R_\varepsilon^{(0)}(y) \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking into account (29), we conclude that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_n^d}{n\mathbf{P}(\tau > n)} R_\varepsilon^{(0)}(y) = 0. \quad (33)$$

Using the Stone theorem we obtain

$$R_\varepsilon^{(3)}(y) \leq \frac{C}{c_n^d} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\varepsilon n} \mathbf{P}(\tau > k) \right).$$

Further, by (22),

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\varepsilon n} \mathbf{P}(\tau > k) \sim \rho^{-1} \varepsilon^\rho n \mathbf{P}(\tau > n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

As a result, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_n^d}{n\mathbf{P}(\tau > n)} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} R_\varepsilon^{(3)}(y) \leq C\varepsilon^\rho. \quad (34)$$

Applying (32) with $A = [0, y_1) \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, we get

$$R_\varepsilon^{(1)}(y) \leq \frac{CH(c_n)}{nc_n^d} \sum_{k=1}^{\varepsilon n} \mathbf{P}(S_1(k) \in (0, y_1 + 2)) \leq \varepsilon \frac{CH(c_n)}{c_n^d}$$

From this estimate and (29) we deduce

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_n^d}{n\mathbf{P}(\tau > n)} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{H}^+} R_\varepsilon^{(1)}(y) \leq C\varepsilon. \quad (35)$$

Thus, in the non-lattice case we combine the Stone local limit theorem with the first equality in (9) and obtain, uniformly in $y \in \mathbb{H}^+$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_\varepsilon^{(2)}(y) &= \sum_{k=[\varepsilon n]+1}^{[(1-\varepsilon)n]} \frac{1}{c_{n-k}^d} \int_0^{y_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} g_{\alpha,\sigma} \left(\frac{y-z}{c_{n-k}} \right) dB_k(z) + o \left(\frac{1}{c_{n\varepsilon}^d} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{P}(S_1(k) < y_1, \tau > k) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=[\varepsilon n]+1}^{[(1-\varepsilon)n]} \frac{\mathbf{P}(\tau^- > k)}{c_{n-k}^d} \int_0^{y_1/c_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} g_{\alpha,\sigma} \left(\frac{y-c_k u}{c_{n-k}} \right) \mathbf{P}(M_{\alpha,\sigma} \in du) \\ &\quad + o \left(\frac{1}{c_{n\varepsilon}^d} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{P}(S_1(k) < y_1, \tau > k) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\mathbf{P}(\tau > k)}{c_{n\varepsilon}^d} \right). \end{aligned}$$

According to (22),

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{P}(S^{(1)} < y_1, \tau > k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{P}(\tau > k) \leq Cn\mathbf{P}(\tau > n).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} R_\varepsilon^{(2)}(y) &= \sum_{k=[\varepsilon n]+1}^{[(1-\varepsilon)n]} \frac{\mathbf{P}(\tau^- > k)}{c_{n-k}^d} \int_0^{x_1/c_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} g_{\alpha,\sigma} \left(\frac{x-c_k u}{c_{n-k}} \right) \mathbf{P}(M_{\alpha,\sigma} \in du) \\ &\quad + o \left(\frac{n\mathbf{P}(\tau > n)}{c_{n\varepsilon}^d} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since c_k and $\mathbf{P}(\tau > k)$ are regularly varying and $g_{\alpha,\sigma}(x)$ is uniformly continuous in \mathbb{R}^d , we let, for brevity, $v = x/c_n$ and continue the previous estimates for $R_\varepsilon^{(2)}(y)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\mathbf{P}(\tau > n)}{c_n^d} \sum_{k=[\varepsilon n]+1}^{[(1-\varepsilon)n]} \frac{(k/n)^{\rho-1}}{(1-k/n)^{1/\alpha}} \int_0^{v^{(1)/(k/n)^{1/\alpha}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} g_{\alpha,\sigma} \left(\frac{v-(k/n)^{1/\alpha} u}{(1-k/n)^{1/\alpha}} \right) \mathbf{P}(M \in du) \\ &\quad + o \left(\frac{n\mathbf{P}(\tau > n)}{c_{n\varepsilon}^d} \right) \\ &= \frac{n\mathbf{P}(\tau > n)}{c_n^d} f(\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon; v) + o \left(\frac{n\mathbf{P}(\tau > n)}{c_{n\varepsilon}^d} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where, for $0 \leq w_1 \leq w_2 \leq 1$,

$$f(w_1, w_2; v) := \int_{w_1}^{w_2} \frac{t^{\rho-1} dt}{(1-t)^{1/\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_{\alpha,\sigma} \left(\frac{v-t^{1/\alpha} u}{(1-t)^{1/\alpha}} \right) \mathbf{P}(M_{\alpha,\sigma} \in du). \quad (36)$$

Observe that, by boundedness of $g_{\alpha,\sigma}(y)$,

$$f(0, \varepsilon; v) \leq C \int_0^\varepsilon t^{\rho-1} dt \leq C\varepsilon^\rho.$$

Further, it follows from (30) that $\int \phi(u) \mathbf{P}(M_{\alpha, \sigma} \in du) \leq C \int \phi(u) du$ for every non-negative integrable function ϕ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & f(1 - \varepsilon, 1; v) \\ & \leq C \int_{1-\varepsilon}^1 \frac{t^{\rho-1} dt}{(1-t)^{1/\alpha}} \int_0^{v^{(1)/t^{1/\alpha}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} g_{\alpha, \sigma} \left(\frac{v - t^{1/\alpha} u}{(1-t)^{1/\alpha}} \right) du = \left(z = \frac{v - t^{1/\alpha} u}{(1-t)^{1/\alpha}} \right) \\ & = C \int_{1-\varepsilon}^1 t^{\rho-1-1/\alpha} dt \int_0^{v^{(1)/(1-t)^{1/\alpha}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} g_{\alpha, \sigma}(z) dz \leq C\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

As a result we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{H}^+} \left| \frac{c_n^d}{n \mathbf{P}(\tau > n)} R_\varepsilon^{(2)}(y) - f(0, 1; y/c_n) \right| \leq C\varepsilon^\rho. \quad (37)$$

Combining (33) – (37) with representation (31) leads to

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{H}^+} \left| \frac{c_n^d}{\mathbf{P}(\tau > n)} b_n(y) - f(0, 1; y/c_n) \right| \leq C\varepsilon^\rho. \quad (38)$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{c_n^d}{\mathbf{P}(\tau > n)} b_n(y) - f(0, 1; y/c_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (39)$$

uniformly in $y \in \mathbb{H}^+$. Recalling (9), we deduce by integration of (39) and evident transformations that

$$\int_{u+r\Delta} f(0, 1; z) dz = \mathbf{P}(M_{\alpha, \sigma} \in u + r\Delta) \quad (40)$$

for all $u \in \mathbb{H}^+, r > 0$. This means, in particular, that the distribution of $M_{\alpha, \sigma}$ is absolutely continuous. Furthermore, it is not difficult to see that $z \mapsto f(0, 1; z)$ is a continuous mapping. Hence, in view of (40), we may consider $f(0, 1; z)$ as a continuous version of the density of the distribution of $M_{\alpha, \sigma}$ and let $p_{M_{\alpha, \sigma}}(z) := f(0, 1; z)$. This and (39) imply the statement of Theorem 2 for $\Delta = [0, 1]^d$. To establish the desired result for arbitrary $r\Delta, r > 0$ it suffices to consider the random walk $S(n)/r$ and to observe that

$$c_n^r := \inf \left\{ u \geq 0 : \frac{1}{u^2} \int_{-u}^u x^2 \mathbf{P} \left(\frac{|X|}{r} \in dx \right) \right\} = c_n/r^d.$$

5. PROBABILITIES OF SMALL DEVIATIONS WHEN RANDOM WALK STARTS AT THE ORIGIN

Proposition 19. *Suppose $X \in \mathcal{D}(d, \alpha, \sigma)$ and the distribution of X is non-lattice. Then*

$$c_n^d \mathbf{P}(S(n) \in y + \Delta \mid \tau > n) \sim g_{\alpha, \sigma} \left(0, \frac{y(2, d)}{c_n} \right) \frac{\int_{y_1}^{y_1 + \Delta} H(u) du}{n \mathbf{P}(\tau^- > n)}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (41)$$

uniformly in $y_1 \in (0, \delta_n c_n]$, where $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. First, using once again (32), we get

$$R_\varepsilon^{(0)}(y) + R_\varepsilon^{(1)}(y) + R_\varepsilon^{(2)}(y) \leq \frac{CH(y_1)}{nc_n^d} \sum_{k=1}^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \mathbf{P}(S_1(k) \in (0, y_1 + 2)).$$

When $\alpha \in (1, 2]$, using the Stone theorem, we proceed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \mathbf{P}(S_1(k) \in (0, y_1 + 2)) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \frac{C(y_1 + 3)}{c_k} \\ &\leq C(y_1 + 3) \frac{n}{c_n} \leq C\delta_n n. \end{aligned}$$

Now we will consider the case $\alpha \leq 1$. Fix $\beta > 0$ and notice that $1/\alpha - 1 + \beta > 0$ for any $\alpha \leq 1$. Since c_n is regularly varying of index $1/\alpha$, by Potter's bounds, there exists $C > 0$, such that for $k \leq n$,

$$\frac{c_n}{c_k} \leq C \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{1/\alpha + \beta}.$$

Then, for the sequence $\gamma_n = \delta_n^{\frac{1}{2(1/\alpha - 1 + \beta)}} \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \mathbf{P}(S_1(k) \in (0, y_1 + 2)) \\ &\leq \gamma_n n + \sum_{k=\gamma_n n}^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \mathbf{P}(S_1(k) \in (0, y_1 + 2)) \\ &\leq \gamma_n n + C \sum_{k=\gamma_n n}^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \frac{y_1 + 2}{c_k} \leq \gamma_n n + C \frac{y_1 + 2}{c_n} \sum_{k=\gamma_n n}^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{1/\alpha + \beta} \\ &\leq \gamma_n n + C \frac{y_1 + 2}{c_n} n \left(\frac{n}{\gamma_n n}\right)^{1/\alpha + \beta - 1} \leq \gamma_n n + C n \delta_n \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_n}\right)^{1/\alpha + \beta - 1} \\ &\leq C n (\gamma_n + \delta_n^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$R_\varepsilon^{(0)}(y) + R_\varepsilon^{(1)}(y) + R_\varepsilon^{(2)}(y) \leq C(\gamma_n + \delta_n^{1/2}) \frac{H(y_1)}{c_n^d},$$

and, as a result, for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d: 0 < y_1 \leq \delta_n c_n} \frac{c_n^d}{H(y_1)} \left(R_\varepsilon^{(0)}(y) + R_\varepsilon^{(1)}(y) + R_\varepsilon^{(2)}(y) \right) = 0. \quad (42)$$

Since (42) holds for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$, such that (42) is still true. Moreover, it will be true for any $\varepsilon'_n \downarrow 0$ such that $\varepsilon'_n \geq \varepsilon_n$. We will assume now that $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n$. It is clear and will be used in the subsequent proof that we can increase ε_n and (42) will hold as long as $\varepsilon_n < 1/2$.

Now we represent

$$R_\varepsilon^{(3)}(y) = R_\varepsilon^{(4)}(y) + R_\varepsilon^{(5)}(y) + R_\varepsilon^{(6)}(y),$$

where

$$R_\varepsilon^{(4)}(y) = \mathbf{P}(S(n) \in y + \Delta) + \sum_{k=1}^{\varepsilon n} \int_{z_1 \in (0, y_1), |z^{(2,d)}| \leq \varepsilon^{1/3} c_n} \mathbf{P}(S(n-k) \in y - z + \Delta) dB_k(z)$$

$$R_\varepsilon^{(5)}(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\varepsilon n} \int_{z_1 \in (y_1, y_1+1), |z^{(2,d)}| \leq \varepsilon^{1/3} c_n} \mathbf{P}(S(n-k) \in y - z + \Delta) dB_k(z)$$

$$R_\varepsilon^{(6)}(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\varepsilon n} \int_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d, |z^{(2,d)}| > \varepsilon^{1/3} c_n} \mathbf{P}(S(n-k) \in y - z + \Delta, S(n-k)_1 > 0) dB_k(z).$$

Let ε_n an arbitrary converging to zero sequence of positive numbers. First, by the Stone local limit theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{0 < z_1 < y_1, |z^{(2,d)}| \leq \varepsilon_n^{1/3} c_n} \mathbf{P}(S(n-k) \in y - z + \Delta) dB_k(z) \\ &= \frac{g_{\alpha, \sigma} \left(0, \frac{y^{(2,d)}}{c_{n-k}} \right) + \Delta_1(n-k, y)}{c_{n-k}^d} \int_{0 < z_1 < y_1, |z^{(2,d)}| \leq \varepsilon_n^{1/3} c_n} dB_k(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_1(n-k, y) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in z such that $z_1 \in (0, \delta_n c_n)$ and $k \in [1, \varepsilon_n n]$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\varepsilon_n}^{(4)}(y) &= \left(g_{\alpha, \sigma} \left(0, \frac{y^{(2,d)}}{c_n} \right) + \Delta_1(n, y) \right) \left(\frac{1}{c_n^d} + \sum_{k=1}^{[\varepsilon_n n]} \frac{1}{c_{n-k}^d} \int_{0 < z_1 < y_1, |z^{(2,d)}| \leq \varepsilon_n^{1/3} c_n} dB_k(z) \right) \\ &= \frac{g_{\alpha, \sigma} \left(0, \frac{y^{(2,d)}}{c_n} \right) + \Delta_1(n, y)}{c_n^d} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{[\varepsilon_n n]} \int_{0 < z_1 < y_1, |z^{(2,d)}| \leq \varepsilon_n^{1/3} c_n} dB_k(z) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where $\Delta_1(n, y) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in y with $y_1 \in (0, \delta_n c_n)$ and $k \in [1, \varepsilon_n n]$. Now we represent

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\varepsilon_n n} \int_{0 < z_1 < y_1, |z^{(2,d)}| \leq \varepsilon_n^{1/3} c_n} dB_k(z) &= H(x_1) - \sum_{k=\varepsilon_n n}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(S_1(k), \tau > k) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{\varepsilon_n n} \int_{0 < z_1 < y_1, |z^{(2,d)}| > \varepsilon_n^{1/3} c_n} dB_k(z). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, by Corollary 22 of [21],

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=\varepsilon n}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(S_1(k) < x_1, \tau > k) &\leq C y_1 H(y_1) \sum_{k > \varepsilon n} \frac{1}{k c_k} \\ &\leq C \frac{y_1 H(y_1)}{c_{\varepsilon n}} = o(H(y_1)) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in y with $y_1 \leq \delta_n c_n$. Hence, this bound holds for some sequence $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$. Increasing the original sequence ε_n if needed we obtain

$$\sum_{k=\varepsilon_n n}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(S_1(k) < y_1, \tau > k) = o(H(y_1)) \quad (45)$$

uniformly in y with $y_1 \leq \delta_n c_n$.

Fix a large positive number A and let

$$c^\leftarrow(y) := \inf\{k \geq 1 : c_k > y\}.$$

Note that $c_{c^\leftarrow(y)} > y$ and $c_{c^\leftarrow(y)-1} \leq y$. Also note that since $\mu(y)$ is regularly varying, $c^\leftarrow(y) \sim 1/\mu(y)$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$. Then,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\varepsilon_n n} \int_{0 < z_1 < y_1, |z^{(2,d)}| > \varepsilon_n^{1/3} c_n} dB_k(z) \leq R_{\varepsilon_n}^{(4,1)}(y) + R_{\varepsilon_n}^{(4,2)}(y),$$

where

$$R_{\varepsilon}^{(4,1)}(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{c^\leftarrow(Ay_1)-1} \mathbf{P}(S_1(k) < y_1, |S_{2,d}(k)| > \varepsilon_n^{1/3} c_n, \tau > k)$$

and

$$R_{\varepsilon}^{(4,2)}(y) = \sum_{k=c^\leftarrow(Ay_1)}^{\varepsilon_n n} \mathbf{P}(S_1(k) < y_1, |S_{2,d}(k)| > \varepsilon_n^{1/3} c_n, \tau > k).$$

We can estimate $R_{\varepsilon}^{(4,2)}(x)$ similarly to the above

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\varepsilon}^{(4,2)}(y) &\leq \sum_{k=c^\leftarrow(Ay_1)}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(S_1(k) < y_1, \tau > k) \\ &\leq C(y_1 + 1)H(y_1) \sum_{k=c^\leftarrow(Ay_1)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kc_k} \leq C \frac{(y_1 + 1)H(y_1)}{A(y_1 + 1)} = \frac{C}{A}H(y_1). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, taking A sufficiently large we can make this term much smaller than $H(y_1)$. By Theorem 1,

$\mathbf{P}(S_1(k) < y_1, |S_{2,d}(k)| > \varepsilon_n^{1/3} c_n \mid \tau > k) \leq \mathbf{P}(|S_{2,d}(k)| > \varepsilon_n^{1/3} c_n \mid \tau > k) \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in $k \leq \varepsilon_n n$. Combining this with (29), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\varepsilon_n}^{(4,1)}(y) &= o\left(\sum_{k=1}^{c^\leftarrow(Ay_1)-1} \mathbf{P}(\tau > k)\right) \\ &= o(1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{c^\leftarrow(Ay_1)-1} \frac{H(c_k)}{k}\right) = o(H(y_1)) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in y with $y_1 \leq \delta_n c_n$. Hence,

$$\int_{0 < z_1 < y_1, |z^{(2,d)}| > \varepsilon_n^{1/3} c_n} dB_k(z) = o(H(y_1)) \quad (46)$$

uniformly in y with $y_1 \leq \delta_n c_n$. Combining (43),(44),(45) and (46) we obtain that

$$R_{\varepsilon_n}^{(4)}(y) = \frac{g_{\alpha,\sigma}\left(0, \frac{y^{(2,d)}}{c_n}\right) + \Delta_2(n, y)}{c_n^d} H(y_1), \quad (47)$$

where $\Delta_2(n, y) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in y such that $y_1 \in (0, \delta_n c_n)$. Using (46) and the Stone local limit theorem, one can easily conclude that

$$R_{\varepsilon_n}^{(6)}(y) \leq \frac{C}{c_n^d} \sum_{k=1}^{\varepsilon_n n} \int_{0 < z_1 < y_1, |z^{(2,d)}| > \varepsilon_n^{1/3} c_n} dB_k(z) = o\left(\frac{H(y_1)}{c_n^d}\right). \quad (48)$$

uniformly in y such that $y_1 \in (0, \delta_n c_n)$.

Analysis of $R_\varepsilon^{(5)}(y)$ is very similar to that of $R_\varepsilon^{(4)}(y)$. First we make use of the Stone theorem,

$$R_\varepsilon^{(5)}(y) = \frac{g_\alpha\left(0, \frac{y^{(2,d)}}{c_n}\right) + \Delta_3(n, y)}{c_n^d} \sum_{k=1}^{\varepsilon_n n} \int_{z_1 \in (y_1, y_1+1), |z^{(2,d)}| \leq \varepsilon_n^{1/3} c_n} (z_1 - y_1) dB_k(z),$$

where $\Delta_3(n, y) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in y with $y_1 \in (0, \delta_n c_n)$. Then, using the same arguments as above, we obtain

$$R_\varepsilon^{(5)}(y) = \frac{g_{\alpha, \sigma}\left(0, \frac{y^{(2,d)}}{c_n}\right) + \Delta_4(n, y)}{c_n^d} \sum_{k=1}^{\varepsilon_n n} \int_{z_1 \in (y_1, y_1+1)} (1 + z_1 - y_1) dB_k(z),$$

where $\Delta_4(n, y) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in y with $y_1 \in (0, \delta_n c_n)$. Integrating by parts we can complete the proof now. \square

6. PROBABILITIES OF LARGE DEVIATIONS WHEN RANDOM WALK STARTS AT THE ORIGIN

We will need the following large deviations estimates.

Proposition 20. *Let $X \sim \mathcal{D}(d, \alpha, \sigma)$ with some $\alpha < 2$. Suppose that there exists a regularly varying φ such that (15) holds. Then, there exists constant C_H such that for $|x| \geq c_n$ we have*

$$\mathbf{P}(S(n) \in x + \Delta) \leq C_H \frac{1}{c_n^d} n \phi(|x|). \quad (49)$$

If, in addition, (16) holds, then

$$\mathbf{P}(S(n) \in x + \Delta) \leq C_H n \frac{\phi(|x|)}{|x|^d} \quad (50)$$

This result is proved in [1, Theorem 2.6] in the lattice case. We omit the proof of non-lattice case, as it can be done very similarly to [1, Theorem 2.6].

Using the definition (7) of c_n we obtain from Corollary 14 the following upper bound. (Recall that $g(r) = \frac{\phi(r)}{r^d}$.)

Lemma 21. *For any $A > 1$ there exists c_A such that*

$$b_n(x) \leq c_A H(x_1) g(|x|), \quad (51)$$

for x with $c_n \leq |x| \leq A c_n$.

The main goal of this section is to obtain an upper bound for $b_n(x)$ in the case $|x| > A c_n$. We now obtain a bound, which will be valid also for $|x| > A c_n$.

Lemma 22. *Suppose that X is asymptotically stable with $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. If (16) holds then there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that for all y with $|y| > c_n$ we have*

$$b_n(y) \leq \gamma H(y_1 + 1) g(|y|). \quad (52)$$

Proof. We will first introduce some constants and sequences that will be used throughout the proof. Set

$$\rho_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{P}(S_1(k) > 0).$$

Fix $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$e^\delta \sup_{n \geq 1} \rho_n + \delta e^\delta < 1 \quad (53)$$

and let \tilde{A} be such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|z| > \tilde{A}c_k, |y-z| > |y|/2} g(|y-z|)g(|z|)dz \\ & \quad + \int_{|y-z| > \tilde{A}c_k, |z| > |y|/2} g(|y-z|)g(|z|)dz \leq \frac{\delta}{C_H k} g(|y|) \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

for y with $|y| > 1$ and $k \geq 1$. Let A be such that

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \sup_{y, z: |y| > Ac_n, |z| \leq \tilde{A}c_{n+1}} \frac{g(|y-z|)}{g(|y|)} \leq e^\delta. \quad (55)$$

By Lemma 21 there exist $c_A > 1$ such that (52) holds for y with $c_n \leq |y| \leq Ac_n$.

The proof will be done by induction. We will inductively construct an increasing sequence γ_n such that

$$b_n(y) \leq \gamma_n H(y_1) g(|y|) \quad (56)$$

for y with $|y| > c_n$ and $n \geq 1$. Then we will show that $\sup_n \gamma_n < \infty$. We put $\gamma_1 = c_A$ and then the base of induction $n = 1$ is immediate. Since γ_n will be increasing, it follows from the definition of A that (56) holds for y such that $|c_n| < y \leq Ac_n$. Hence, we will consider only y with $|y| > c_n$.

Assume now that we have already constructed the elements γ_k for $k \leq n-1$. We shall construct the next value γ_n . It follows from (25) that

$$\begin{aligned} nb_n(y + \Delta) &= \mathbf{P}(S(n) \in y + \Delta) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in y - z + \Delta, S_1(k) > 0) dB_{n-k}(z) \\ &=: R^{(1)}(y) + R^{(2)}(y) + R^{(3)}(y), \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

where

$$R^{(1)}(y) := \mathbf{P}(S(n) \in y + \Delta) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{|z| \leq |y|/2} \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in y - z + \Delta, S_1(k) > 0) dB_{n-k}(z),$$

$$R^{(2)}(y) := \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{|z| > |y|/2, |y-z| \leq \tilde{A}c_k} \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in y - z + \Delta, S_1(k) > 0) dB_{n-k}(z),$$

$$R^{(3)}(y) := \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{|z| > |y|/2, |y-z| > \tilde{A}c_k} \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in y - z + \Delta, S_1(k) > 0) dB_{n-k}(z).$$

Using first the local large deviations bound (49) and then the regular variation of g , we get

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(1)}(y) &\leq C_H n g(|y|) + C_H \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{|z| \leq |y|/2, 0 \leq z_1 \leq y_1+1} k g(|y-z|) dB_{n-k}(z) \\ &\leq C_H n g(|y|) + C_g C_H g(|y|) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \int_{|z| \leq |y|/2, 0 \leq z_1 \leq y_1+1} dB_{n-k}(z) \\ &\leq (C_g + 1) C_H n g(|y|) H(y_1 + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Second, integrating by parts and using then the definition (55) of A and the induction assumption we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
R^{(2)}(y) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{|y|>|z|/2, |y-z|\leq \tilde{A}c_k, 0\leq z_1\leq y_1+1} \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in y-z+\Delta, S_1(k) > 0) dB_{n-k}(z) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{|y-z|>|z|/2-1, |z|\leq \tilde{A}c_k+1, 0\leq z_1\leq y_1+1} b_{n-k}(y-z) \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in dz, S_1(k) > 0) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{|y-z|>|z|/2-1, |z|\leq \tilde{A}c_k+1, 0\leq z_1\leq y_1+1} \gamma_{n-1}g(|y-z|)H(y_1-z_1+1) \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in dz, S_1(k) > 0) \\
&\leq e^\delta \gamma_{n-1}g(|y|)H(y_1+1) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{P}(S_1(k) > 0) \\
&= e^\delta (n-1)\gamma_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}g(|y|)H(y_1+1). \tag{59}
\end{aligned}$$

Third, using the induction assumption and (50),

$$\begin{aligned}
R^{(3)}(y) &\leq C_H \gamma_{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \int_{|z|>|y|/2, |x-y|>\tilde{A}c_k, 0\leq z_1\leq y_1+1} g(|y-z|)g(|z|)H(z_1)dz \\
&\leq C_H \gamma_{n-1}H(y_1+1) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \int_{|z|>|y|/2, |y-z|>\tilde{A}c_k, 0\leq z_1\leq y_1+1} g(|y-z|)g(|z|)dz.
\end{aligned}$$

We can estimate the integral as follows,

$$\int_{|y-z|>\tilde{A}c_k, |z|>|y|/2} g(|y-z|)g(|z|)dz \leq \frac{\delta}{C_H k} g(|y|),$$

using the definition (54) of \tilde{A} . Hence,

$$R^{(3)}(y) \leq C_H \gamma_{n-1}H(y_1+1) \frac{\delta}{C_H} g(|y|)(n-1) \leq \delta \gamma_{n-1} e^\delta H(y_1+1)g(|y|)(n-1). \tag{60}$$

Combining (58), (59) and (60) we obtain that

$$b_n(y) \leq ((C_g + 1)C_H + \gamma_{n-1}(e^\delta \rho_{n-1} + \delta e^\delta))g(|y|)H(y_1+1).$$

Then, for

$$\gamma_n := \max(\gamma_{n-1}, (C_g + 1)C_H + \gamma_{n-1}(e^\delta \rho_{n-1} + \delta e^\delta))$$

the inequality (56) holds. Then, using the definition (53) of δ it is not difficult to show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n < \infty.$$

Hence, the statement of the lemma holds with

$$\gamma := \sup_n \gamma_n < \infty.$$

□

7. PROBABILITIES OF LARGE DEVIATIONS WHEN RANDOM WALKS START AT AN ARBITRARY STARTING POINT

We will first prove the following extension of (17) in [10].

Lemma 23. *For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ we have*

$$p_n(x, dy) = \sum_{k=0}^n \int_{(0, x_1 \wedge y_1] \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}} p_k^+(0, dz - x) p_{n-k}(0, dy - z) \quad (61)$$

and

$$p_n(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^n \int_{(0, x_1 \wedge (y_1 + 1)] \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}} p_k^+(0, dz - x) b_{n-k}(y - z), \quad (62)$$

where

$$p_n^+(x, dy) := \mathbf{P}(x + S(n) \in dy, \tau^+ > n).$$

Proof. Decomposing the trajectory of the walk at the minimum of the first coordinate and using the duality lemma for random walks, we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(x, dy) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \int_{(0, x_1 \wedge y_1] \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \mathbf{P}(x + S(k) \in dz, S_1(k) \leq \min_{j \leq k} S_1(j)) \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{P}(z + S(n-k) \in dy, \tau_0 > n-k) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \int_{(0, x_1 \wedge y_1] \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \mathbf{P}(x + S(k) \in dz, \tau^+ > k) \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{P}(z + S(n-k) \in dy, \tau_0 > n-k) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \int_{(0, x_1 \wedge y_1] \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}} p_k^+(0, dz - x) p_{n-k}(0, dy - z). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (61), we obtain the second equality (62). \square

Proof of Theorem 5. Let

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, y) &= \left\{ z : |y - z| \geq \frac{1}{2}|y - x|, z_1 \in (0, x_1 \wedge (y_1 + 1)] \right\} \\ C(x, y) &= \left\{ z : |x - z| \geq \frac{1}{2}|y - x|, z_1 \in (0, x_1 \wedge (y_1 + 1)] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $|y - z| \geq \frac{1}{2}|y - x|$ then, by Lemma 22,

$$b_{n-k}(y - z) \leq \gamma_0 H(y_1 - z_1 + 1) g(|y - z|) \leq \gamma_0 H(y_1 - z_1 + 1) g\left(\frac{|x - y|}{2}\right).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^n \int_{A(x,y)} p_k^+(0, dz - x) b_{n-k}(y - z) \\
& \leq \gamma_0 H(y_1 + 1) g \left(\frac{|x - y|}{2} \right) \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbf{P}(x + S(k) \in A(x, y), \tau^+ > k) \\
& \leq \gamma_0 H(y_1 + 1) g \left(\frac{|x - y|}{2} \right) \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbf{P}(x_1 + S_1(k) \in (0, x_1 \wedge (y_1 + 1)], \tau^+ > k) \\
& \leq \gamma_0 H(y_1 + 1) g \left(\frac{|x - y|}{2} \right) V(x_1).
\end{aligned}$$

By the same argument,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^n \int_{C(x,y)} p_k^+(0, dz - x) b_{n-k}(y - z) \\
& \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^d: (v+\Delta) \cap C(x,y) \neq \emptyset} \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in v - x + \Delta, \tau^+ > k) \max_{z \in v+\Delta} b_{n-k}(y - z) \\
& \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^d: (v+\Delta) \cap C(x,y) \neq \emptyset} \mathbf{P}(S(k) \in v - x + \Delta, \tau^+ > k) \sum_{e_j \in \{0,1\}^d} b_{n-k}(y - v - e_j) \\
& \leq c 2^d V(x_1 + 1) g \left(\frac{|x - y|}{2} - 1 \right) H(y_1 + 1).
\end{aligned}$$

These estimates give the desired bound. \square

8. PROBABILITIES OF SMALL DEVIATIONS WHEN RANDOM WALKS START AT AN ARBITRARY STARTING POINT

Proof of Theorem 3. For the lattice distribution we have from (61)

$$p_n(x, y) = \mathbf{P}(x + S(n) = y, \tau_x > n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{z_1=1}^{x_1 \wedge y_1} \sum_{z_2, \dots, z_d} p_k^+(0, z - x) b_{n-k}(y - z).$$

Let $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$ be a sequence such that $\varepsilon_n n$ is an integer for each n . We will specify further condition on this sequence later. We split the sum as follows,

$$p_n(x, y) = P_1(x, y) + P_2(x, y) + P_3(x, y),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1(x, y) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\varepsilon_n n} \sum_{z_1=1}^{x_1 \wedge y_1} \sum_{z_2, \dots, z_d} p_k^+(0, z - x) b_{n-k}(y - z), \\
P_2(x, y) &:= \sum_{k=\varepsilon_n n+1}^{n-\varepsilon_n n-1} \sum_{z_1=1}^{x_1 \wedge y_1} \sum_{z_2, \dots, z_d} p_k^+(0, z - x) b_{n-k}(y - z), \\
P_3(x, y) &:= \sum_{k=n-\varepsilon_n n}^n \sum_{z_1=1}^{x_1 \wedge y_1} \sum_{z_2, \dots, z_d} p_k^+(0, z - x) b_{n-k}(y - z)
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate $P_2(x, y)$ we shall proceed as in the analysis of large deviations. Let

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, y) &:= \{z : |y - z| > c_n, |x - z| \leq c_n, z_1 \in (0, x_1 \wedge y_1]\} \\ C(x, y) &:= \{z : |x - z| > c_n, |y - z| \leq c_n, z_1 \in (0, x_1 \wedge y_1]\} \\ D(x, y) &:= \{z : |x - z| \leq c_n, |y - z| \leq c_n, z_1 \in (0, x_1 \wedge y_1]\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $|y - z| > c_n$ then, by Lemma 22,

$$b_{n-k}(y - z) \leq \gamma_0 H(y_1 - z_1 + 1)g(|y - z|) \leq \gamma_0 H(y_1 - z_1 + 1)g(c_n).$$

First, combining the previous bound with Lemma 12, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=\varepsilon_n n+1}^{n-\varepsilon_n n-1} \sum_{z \in A(x, y)} p_k^+(0, z - x) b_{n-k}(y - z) \\ & \leq \gamma_0 H(y_1 + 1)g(c_n) \sum_{k=\varepsilon_n n+1}^{n-\varepsilon_n n-1} \mathbf{P}(|S(k)| \leq c_n, S_1(k) > -x_1, \tau^+ > k) \\ & \leq CH(y_1 + 1)g(c_n) \sum_{k=\varepsilon_n n+1}^{n-\varepsilon_n n-1} x_1 c_n^{d-1} \frac{V(x_1)}{k c_k^d} \\ & \leq CH(y_1 + 1)V(x_1)g(c_n) \frac{x_1 c_n^{d-1}}{c_{\varepsilon_n n}^d} = o\left(\frac{H(y_1)V(x_1)}{n c_n^d}\right), \end{aligned}$$

since $x_1 \leq \delta_n c_n$ and ε_n can be chosen to decrease sufficiently slowly.

Second applying now first Lemma 12 to the walk $-S(n)$ and then Lemma 22, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=\varepsilon_n n+1}^{n-\varepsilon_n n-1} \sum_{z \in C(x, y)} p_k^+(0, z - x) b_{n-k}(y - z) \\ & \leq C \frac{H(y_1)}{n \varepsilon_n c_n^d} \sum_{k=\varepsilon_n n+1}^{n-\varepsilon_n n-1} \mathbf{P}(|S(k)| > c_n, S_1(k) > -x_1 \tau^+ > k) \\ & \leq C \gamma_0 \frac{H(y_1)}{n \varepsilon_n c_n^d} \sum_{k=\varepsilon_n n+1}^{n-\varepsilon_n n-1} x_1 c_k^{d-1} g(|c_k|) V(x_1) \\ & \leq C \gamma_0 \frac{H(y_1)V(x_1)}{\varepsilon_n c_n^d} x_1 g(\varepsilon_n c_n) c_{\varepsilon_n n}^{d-1} = o\left(\frac{H(y_1)V(x_1)}{n c_n^d}\right), \end{aligned}$$

since $x_1 \leq \delta_n c_n$ and ε_n can be chosen to decrease sufficiently slowly. Third, by the same arguments,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=\varepsilon_n n+1}^{n-\varepsilon_n n-1} \sum_{z \in D(x,y)} p_k^+(0, z-x) b_{n-k}(y-z) \\
& \leq C \frac{H(y_1)}{n \varepsilon_n c_n^d} \sum_{k=\varepsilon_n n+1}^{n-\varepsilon_n n-1} \mathbf{P}(|S(k)| \leq c_n, S_1(k) > -x_1, \tau^+ > k) \\
& \leq C \frac{H(y_1)}{n \varepsilon_n c_n^d} \sum_{k=\varepsilon_n n+1}^{n-\varepsilon_n n-1} x_1 c_n^{d-1} \frac{V(x_1)}{k c_k^d} \\
& = o\left(\frac{H(y_1)V(x_1)}{n c_n^d}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

since $x_1 \leq \delta_n c_n$ and ε_n can be chosen to decrease sufficiently slowly. Combining these three inequalities we obtain that

$$P_2(x, y) = o\left(\frac{H(y_1)V(x_1)}{n c_n^d}\right). \quad (63)$$

Now we will consider the first term $P_1(x, y)$. Let $\gamma_n \downarrow 0$ be another sequence, slowly converging to 0. We will need the following sets

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1(x, y) &= \{z : |x-z| \leq \gamma_n c_n, z_1 \in (0, x_1 \wedge y_1]\} \\
C_1(x, y) &= \{z : |x-z| > \gamma_n c_n, z_1 \in (0, x_1 \wedge y_1]\}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{\varepsilon_n n} \sum_{z \in A_1(x,y)} p_k^+(0, z-x) b_{n-k}(y-z) \\
& \sim \frac{g_{\alpha, \sigma}\left(0, \frac{y_{2,d}-x_{2,d}}{c_n}\right)}{n c_n^d} \sum_{k=0}^{\varepsilon_n n} \sum_{z \in A_1(x,y)} p_k^+(0, dz-x) H(y_1 - z_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Next we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{\varepsilon_n n} \sum_{z \in C_1(x,y)} p_k^+(0, z-x) H(y_1 - z_1) \\
& \leq H(y_1) \sum_{k=0}^{\varepsilon_n n} \mathbf{P}(|S(k)| \geq \delta c_n, S_1(k) > -x_1, \tau^+ > k) \\
& \leq C H(y_1) \sum_{k=0}^{\varepsilon_n n} x_1 V(x_1) g(\gamma_n c_n) (\gamma_n c_n)^{d-1} \\
& = o(H(y_1)),
\end{aligned}$$

provided that γ_n and ε_n converges to 0 sufficiently slowly. As a result,

$$P_1(x, y) \sim \frac{g_{\alpha, \sigma}\left(0, \frac{y_{2,d}-x_{2,d}}{c_n}\right)}{n c_n^d} \sum_{z_1=0}^{x_1 \wedge y_1} V(x_1 - z_1) H(y_1 - z_1), \quad (64)$$

where we also replaced $\sum_0^{\varepsilon_n n}$ by \sum_0^∞ using the arguments in the proof of Proposition 11 in [10]. Analogous arguments give us a similar expression for $P_3(x, y)$, see

equation (37) in [10]. Then, the arguments at the end of the proof of Proposition 11 in [10] give

$$P_1(x, y) + P_3(x, y) \sim V(x_1)H(y_1) \frac{g\left(0, \frac{y_{2,d} - x_{2,d}}{c_n}\right)}{nc_n^d}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 4. The proof is very similar to the proof in the lattice case.

For the non-lattice distribution we will make use of (62). Let $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$ be a sequence such that $\varepsilon_n n$ is an integer for each n . We will specify further condition on this sequence later. We split the sum as follows,

$$p_n(x, y) = P_1(x, y) + P_2(x, y) + P_3(x, y),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(x, y) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\varepsilon_n n} \int_{(0, x_1 \wedge (y_1+1)] \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}} p_k^+(0, dz - x) b_{n-k}(y - z), \\ P_2(x, y) &:= \sum_{k=\varepsilon_n n+1}^{n-\varepsilon_n n-1} \int_{(0, x_1 \wedge (y_1+1)] \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}} p_k^+(0, dz - x) b_{n-k}(y - z), \\ P_3(x, y) &:= \sum_{k=n-\varepsilon_n n}^n \int_{(0, x_1 \wedge (y_1+1)] \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}} p_k^+(0, dz - x) b_{n-k}(y - z). \end{aligned}$$

There is virtually no difference in estimates for $P_2(x, y)$. So repeating the same arguments we obtain

$$P_2(x, y) = o(1) \frac{H(y_1)V(x_1)}{nc_n^d}. \quad (65)$$

Now we will consider the first term $P_1(x, y)$. Let $\gamma_n \downarrow 0$ be another sequence, slowly converging to 0. We will need the following sets

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(x, y) &= \{z : |x - z| \leq \gamma_n c_n, z_1 \in (0, x_1 \wedge y_1)\} \\ C_1(x, y) &= \{z : |x - z| > \gamma_n c_n, z_1 \in (0, x_1 \wedge y_1)\} \end{aligned}$$

Now we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^{\varepsilon_n n} \int_{A_1(x, y)} p_k^+(0, dz - x) b_{n-k}(y - z) \\ &\sim \frac{g_{\alpha, \sigma}\left(0, \frac{y_{2,d} - x_{2,d}}{nc_n^d}\right)}{nc_n^d} \sum_{k=0}^{\varepsilon_n n} \int_{A_1(x, y)} p_k^+(0, dz - x) \int_{y_1 - z_1}^{y_2 - z_1 + 1} H(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

Now note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\varepsilon_n n} \int_{C_1(x, y)} p_k^+(0, dz - x) H(y_1 - z_1) &\leq H(y_1) \sum_{k=0}^{\varepsilon_n n} \mathbf{P}(|S(k)| \geq \delta c_n, S_1(k) > -x_1, \tau^+ > k) \\ &\leq CH(y_1) \sum_{k=0}^{\varepsilon_n n} x_1 V(x_1) g(\gamma_n c_n) (\gamma_n c_n)^{d-1} \\ &= o(H(y_1)), \end{aligned}$$

provided that γ_n and ε_n converges to 0 sufficiently slowly. As a result,

$$P_1(x, y) \sim \frac{g_{\alpha, \sigma} \left(0, \frac{y_{2,d} - x_{2,d}}{c_n} \right)}{nc_n^d} \int_{(0, x_1 \wedge (y_1+1))} V(x_1 - dz_1) \int_{y_1 - z_1}^{y_1 - z_1 + 1} H(u) du, \quad (66)$$

where we also replaced $\sum_0^{\varepsilon_n n}$ by \sum_0^∞ using similar arguments. Analogous arguments give us

$$P_3(x, y) \sim \frac{g_{\alpha, \sigma} \left(0, \frac{y_{2,d} - x_{2,d}}{c_n} \right)}{nc_n^d} \int_{(0, x_1 \wedge (y_1+1))} V(x_1 - z_1) H(y_1 - z_1 + \Delta) dz_1. \quad (67)$$

Now note that integration by parts of the first integral gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(0, x_1 \wedge (y_1+1))} V(x_1 - dz_1) \int_{y_1 - z_1}^{y_1 - z_1 + 1} H(u) du + \int_{(0, x_1 \wedge (y_1+1))} V(x_1 - z_1) H(y_1 - z_1 + \Delta) dz_1 \\ = V(x_1) \int_{y_1}^{y_1+1} H(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

As a result

$$P_1(x, y) + P_3(x, y) \sim V(x_1) \int_{y_1}^{y_1+1} H(u) du \frac{g \left(0, \frac{y_{2,d} - x_{2,d}}{c_n} \right)}{nc_n^d}.$$

□

9. PROBABILITIES OF NORMAL DEVIATIONS WHEN RANDOM WALKS START AT AN ARBITRARY STARTING POINT

Proof of Theorem 2. This theorem can be proved similarly to Theorem 4. We can start with the expansion given in Lemma 23 and then plug in asymptotics for small and normal deviations starting at 0.

Alternatively, we can use an approach similar to that of in [5], see Theorems 5 and 6 there. This approach allows one to avoid considering first the special case $x = 0$, as it was done in Section 4.

□

10. ASYMPTOTICS FOR THE GREEN FUNCTION NEAR THE BOUNDARY

Proof of Theorem 6. Fix $A > 0$. Then

$$G(x, y) = G_1(x, y) + G_2(x, y) := \sum_{n: Ac_n < |x-y|} p_n(x, y) + \sum_{n: Ac_n \geq |x-y|} p_n(x, y).$$

Using Theorem 5 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(x, y) &\leq CH(y_1)V(x_1) \sum_{n: Ac_n < |x-y|} g(|x-y|) \\ &\leq CH(y_1)V(x_1) \frac{g(|x-y|)}{\mu(|x-y|/A)} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{A^\alpha} \frac{H(y_1)V(x_1)}{|x-y|^d}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term make use of Theorem 3

$$G_2(x, y) \sim H(y_1)V(x_1) \sum_{n:Ac_n \geq |x-y|} \frac{g_{\alpha,\sigma}(0, \frac{y_{2,d}-x_{2,d}}{c_n})}{nc_n^d}$$

In the isotropic case the density depends only on $\left| \frac{y_{2,d}-x_{2,d}}{c_n} \right| \sim \left| \frac{x-y}{c_n} \right|$. Then,

$$G_2(x, y) \sim C \frac{H(y_1)V(x_1)}{|x-y|^d} \int_0^{A^\alpha} g_{\alpha,\sigma}(0, \dots, 0, t) t^{d-1} dt.$$

In the general case

$$G_2(x, y) \sim C \frac{H(y_1)V(x_1)}{|x-y|^d} \int_0^{A^\alpha} g_{\alpha,\sigma} \left(0, \frac{y_{2,d}-x_{2,d}}{|x-y|} t \right) t^{d-1} dt.$$

Letting $A \rightarrow \infty$ we arrive at the conclusion.

To obtain the upper bound (19) in the analysis of the second term we make use of Lemma 12 instead of of Theorem 3.

□

Proof of Theorem 7 is similar and hence omitted.

REFERENCES

- [1] Berger, Q. Strong renewal theorems and local large deviations for multivariate random walks and renewals. *Electron. J. Probab.* **24**: paper 46, 47pp, 2019.
- [2] Bingham N.H., Goldie C.M., Teugels J.L. *Regular variation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1987, 494 pp.
- [3] Caravenna, F. and Chaumont, L. An invariance principle for random walk bridges conditioned to stay positive. *Electron. J. Probab.* **18**: paper 60, 32 pp., 2013.
- [4] Denisov, D. and V. Wachtel, V. Conditional limit theorems for ordered random walks. *Elec. J. Probab.*, **15**: 292-322, 2010.
- [5] Denisov, D. and Wachtel, V. Random walks in cones. *Ann. Probab.*, **43**: 992-1044, 2015.
- [6] Denisov, D. and Wachtel, V. Exact asymptotics for the moment of crossing a curved boundary by an asymptotically stable random walk *Theory of Prob. Appl.* **60**(3): 481-500, 2016.
- [7] Denisov, D. and Wachtel, V. Alternative constructions of a harmonic function for a random walk in a cone. *Elec. J. Probab.*, **24**: paper no. 92, 26pp, 2019.
- [8] Denisov, D. and Wachtel, V. Random walks in cones revisited. *arXiv:2112.10244*, 2021.
- [9] Doney, R. A. Conditional limit theorems for asymptotically stable random walks. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **70**: 351-360, 1985.
- [10] Doney, R. A. Local behaviour of first passage probabilities. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **152**: 559-88, 2012.
- [11] Doney, R. and Jones, E. Large deviation results for random walks conditioned to stay positive. *Electron. Commun. Probab.* **17**: paper no. 38, 1-11, 2012.
- [12] Duraj, J. and Wachtel, V. Invariance principles for random walks in cones. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **130**, 3920-3942, 2020.
- [13] Duraj J., Raschel K., Tarrago, P. and Wachtel V. Martin boundary of random walks in convex cones *Ann. H. Lebesgue* **5**,559-609, 2022.
- [14] Feller W. *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications*. V.2, Wiley, New York-London-Sydney-Toronto, 1971.
- [15] Nagaev, S. V. Large Deviations of Sums of Independent Random Variables. *Ann. Probab.* **7**: 745-789, 1979.
- [16] Rvacheva, E.L. On domains of attraction of multi-dimensional distributions. *Select. Transl. Math. Statist. and Probability*, Vol. 2, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI , pp. 183-205, 1962.
- [17] Rogozin B.A. On the distribution of the first ladder moment and height and fluctuations of a random walk. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, **16**: 575-595, 1971.

- [18] Tamura, Y. and Tanaka, H. On a Fluctuation Identity for Multidimensional Lévy Processes. *Tokyo J. Math.* **25**: 363–380, 2002.
- [19] Tamura, Y. and Tanaka, H. On a formula on the potential operators of absorbing Lévy processes in the half space. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **118**(2): 199–212, 2008.
- [20] Uchiyama, K. Green's functions of random walks on the upper half plane. *Tohoku Math. J.* **66**(2): 289–307, 2014.
- [21] Vatutin, V. A. and Wachtel, V. Local probabilities for random walks conditioned to stay positive. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **143**: 177–217, 2009.
- [22] Williamson, J. A. Random walks and Riesz kernels. *Pacific J. Math.* **25**(2): 393–415, 1968.
- [23] Zolotarev V.M. Mellin-Stieltjes transform in probability theory. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 2: 433–460, 1957.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER, OXFORD ROAD, MANCHESTER
M13 9PL, UK

Email address: `denis.denisov@manchester.ac.uk`

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, BIELEFELD UNIVERSITY, GERMANY

Email address: `wachtel@math.uni-bielefeld.de`