

WALLIS'S FORMULA AND THE PROBABILITY INTEGRAL

James R. Schatz

1. Introduction

In 1655 John Wallis proved the following remarkable assertion,

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n-1} \cdot \frac{2n}{2n+1} = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Wallis's formula is used in a number of methods of computing the improper integral

$$(2) \quad \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}.$$

This integral is often called the probability integral because of the important role it plays in the theory of the normal distribution. The goal of this expository note is to consider some of the elementary methods of proving these famous formulas and to explore the relationships between them. As a first step in understanding the close connection between these two fascinating assertions, we will show that any proof of either (1) or (2) automatically proves both formulas. After establishing this important result, we will review some elementary methods of proving Wallis's formula and the probability integral formula.

There are a number of simple variations of Wallis's formula that are equivalent to the basic form (1). Two of these alternative statements are useful in the arguments that follow. As an easy exercise, the reader might like to prove that each of the following two assertions is equivalent to Wallis's formula as given in (1):

$$(3) \quad \sqrt{\pi} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n-1} \right)$$

$$(4) \quad \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n+1} \right).$$

These and other variations of Wallis's formula are collected and proved in the final section of this note.

2. Connecting Two Famous Formulas

The following theorem about a sequence of integrals closely connected to the moments of the normal distribution is the key to understanding the close connection between Wallis's formula and the probability integral.

Theorem 2.1. For each integer $n \geq 0$ define

$$E_n = \int_0^{\infty} x^n e^{-x^2} dx.$$

(a) For all $n \geq 0$ the improper integral E_n converges.

(b) For all $n \geq 0$,

$$E_{n+2} = \frac{n+1}{2} E_n.$$

(c) For all $n \geq 1$,

$$E_{2n} = \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdots (2n-1)}{2^n} E_0.$$

(d) For all $n \geq 0$,

$$E_{2n+1} = \frac{n!}{2}.$$

(e) For all $n \geq 1$, $E_n^2 \leq E_{n+1} E_{n-1}$.

Proof. First notice that for any real number $b > 0$

$$\int_0^b x e^{-x^2} dx = \left. \frac{e^{-x^2}}{-2} \right]_0^b = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{e^{-b^2}}{2}.$$

Therefore, the improper integral E_1 converges to $1/2$. For all $x \geq 1$ we clearly have $0 \leq e^{-x^2} \leq x e^{-x^2}$. So, by the Comparison Test for improper integrals, the probability integral E_0 also converges. As an aside, notice that we cannot conclude that the value of the probability integral is bounded above by $1/2$ because the inequality $e^{-x^2} \leq x e^{-x^2}$ is only true for $x \geq 1$.

Now, recall the general formula for integration by parts:

$$\int_a^b f(x)g'(x) dx = f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a) - \int_a^b f'(x)g(x) dx.$$

For $n \geq 0$, apply the integration by parts formula with

$$f(x) = e^{-x^2}, \quad f'(x) = (-2x)e^{-x^2}, \quad g(x) = \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad g'(x) = x^n$$

to see that for all real numbers $b > 0$,

$$\int_0^b x^n e^{-x^2} dx = \left. \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} e^{-x^2} \right]_0^b - \int_0^b (-2x) \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} e^{-x^2} dx.$$

Rearranging this equation gives us

$$\int_0^b x^{n+2} e^{-x^2} dx = \frac{n+1}{2} \int_0^b x^n e^{-x^2} dx - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) b^{n+1} e^{-b^2}.$$

Since we know that E_0 and E_1 converge, the formula above shows that E_n converges for all $n \geq 0$ and that we have the reduction formula (b). The evaluations of E_{2n} and E_{2n+1} given in formulas (c) and (d) are easily obtained from the reduction formula by induction.

Now for the tricky step! For any real number λ and all integers $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n+1} + 2\lambda E_n + \lambda^2 E_{n-1} &= \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} (x^{n+1} + 2\lambda x^n + \lambda^2 x^{n-1}) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} x^{n-1} (x + \lambda)^2 dx \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the quadratic polynomial $E_{n+1} + 2\lambda E_n + \lambda^2 E_{n-1}$ in λ has no real roots and its discriminant must be negative. That is,

$$4 E_n^2 - 4 E_{n+1} E_{n-1} < 0.$$

Thus, for all integers $n \geq 1$ we have $E_n^2 < E_{n+1} E_{n-1}$ and (e) is proved. This proof of (e) is due to Stieltjes, 1890. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Any proof of Wallis's formula or the probability integral formula proves both assertions.*

Proof. Define E_n as in the previous theorem. For $n \geq 1$ we have $E_{2n}^2 < E_{2n+1} E_{2n-1}$. Using the formulas for E_{2n-1} , E_{2n} and E_{2n+1} we see that

$$\frac{(1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2n-1))^2}{2^{2n}} E_0^2 \leq \frac{n!(n-1)!}{4}.$$

Thus,

$$4E_0^2 \leq \frac{2^{2n} n! n!}{n (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2n-1))^2},$$

or

$$2E_0 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n-1} \right).$$

For $n \geq 0$ we have $E_{2n+1}^2 < E_{2n+2} E_{2n}$. Therefore,

$$\frac{n! n!}{4} \leq \left(\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2n+1)}{2^{n+1}} \right) \left(\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2n-1)}{2^n} \right) E_0^2,$$

or

$$\frac{2^{n+1} 2^n n! n!}{(1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2n-1))^2 (2n+1)} \leq 4 E_0^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{2n+1}} \left(\frac{n! 2^n}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2n-1)} \right) \leq 2 E_0,$$

or

$$\sqrt{\frac{2n}{2n+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n-1} \right) \leq 2 E_0.$$

This last inequality can also be rewritten as:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n-1} \right) \leq 2 E_0 \sqrt{\frac{2n+1}{2n}}.$$

Thus, we have now shown that

$$\sqrt{\frac{2n}{2n+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n-1} \right) \leq 2 E_0 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n-1} \right)$$

and that

$$2E_0 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n-1} \right) \leq 2 E_0 \sqrt{\frac{2n+1}{2n}}.$$

By two applications of the squeeze theorem for limits we see that assertion (3) is true if and only if the probability integral E_0 has the value $\sqrt{\pi}/2$. \square

3. Wallis Integrals

The integrals discussed in this section are remarkable for their simplicity and their power. The properties of these integrals and the relationships between them are the basis of proofs for Wallis's formula and the probability integral formula.

Theorem 3.1. *For each integer $n \geq 0$ define*

$$I_n = \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^n x \, dx.$$

(a) *For all $n \geq 2$,*

$$I_n = \frac{n-1}{n} I_{n-2}.$$

(b) *For all $n \geq 0$,*

$$n I_n I_{n-1} = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

(c) *For all $n \geq 1$,*

$$I_{2n} = \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdots \frac{2n-1}{2n}.$$

(d) *For all $n \geq 1$,*

$$I_{2n+1} = 1 \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdot \frac{8}{9} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n+1}.$$

(e) For all $n \geq 1$,

$$I_{n+1} \leq I_n.$$

(f)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} I_n = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}.$$

Proof. Assume that $n \geq 2$ and apply the integration by parts formula with

$$f(x) = \cos^{n-1} x, \quad f'(x) = -(n-1)(\cos^{n-2} x)(\sin x),$$

$$g(x) = \sin x, \quad \text{and} \quad g'(x) = \cos x$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^n x \, dx &= (n-1) \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos^{n-2} x)(\sin^2 x) \, dx \\ &= (n-1) \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos^{n-2} x)(1 - \cos^2 x) \, dx \\ &= (n-1) \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^{n-2} x \, dx - (n-1) \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^n x \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $nI_n = (n-1)I_{n-2}$ and the reduction formula (a) now follows. Since $I_0 = \pi/2$ and $I_1 = 1$, property (b) and the evaluations of I_{2n} and I_{2n+1} given in (c) and (d) follow immediately from the reduction formula by induction.

Notice that for all x such that $0 \leq x \leq \pi/2$ we have $0 \leq \cos x \leq 1$. Therefore, for all x such that $0 \leq x \leq \pi/2$ and all $n \geq 1$, we have $0 \leq \cos^{n+1} x \leq \cos^n x$. The inequality $I_{n+1} \leq I_n$ given in (e) now follows.

For $n \geq 1$, we have $I_n \leq I_{n-1}$. Multiply through by nI_n to obtain

$$n I_n^2 \leq n I_n I_{n-1} = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

For $n \geq 0$ we have $I_{n+1} \leq I_n$. Multiply through by nI_n to obtain

$$n I_{n+1} I_n \leq n I_n^2.$$

Now, using property (b) we have

$$n I_{n+1} I_n = \left(\frac{n}{n+1} \right) (n+1) I_{n+1} I_n = \left(\frac{n}{n+1} \right) \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{n}{n+1} \right) \frac{\pi}{2} \leq n I_n^2 \leq \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Taking square roots and applying the squeezing theorem for limits proves (f). \square

The integrals in the preceding theorem are called Wallis integrals since they lead to the following easy proof of Wallis's formula.

Theorem 3.2. (*Wallis's Formula*)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n-1} \cdot \frac{2n}{2n+1} = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Proof. Let I_n be the integral defined in the previous theorem. Then, for all $n \geq 1$, the inequality $I_{2n+1} \leq I_{2n}$ and the formulas for I_{2n} and I_{2n+1} imply that

$$\left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdot \frac{8}{9} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n+1} \right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{7}{8} \cdots \frac{2n-1}{2n} \right).$$

Equivalently,

$$\left(\frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n-1} \cdot \frac{2n}{2n+1} \right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

For all integers $n \geq 1$ we also have $I_{2n} \leq I_{2n-1}$ and so

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{7}{8} \cdots \frac{2n-1}{2n} \right) \leq \left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdot \frac{8}{9} \cdots \frac{2n-2}{2n-1} \right).$$

Equivalently,

$$\left(\frac{2n}{2n+1} \right) \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \left(\frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n-1} \cdot \frac{2n}{2n+1} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{2n}{2n+1} \right) \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \left(\frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n-1} \cdot \frac{2n}{2n+1} \right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

By the squeezing theorem for limits the proof is complete. \square

Now that we have a proof of Wallis's formula, the evaluation of the probability integral (2) follows from Theorem 2.2. The proof of Wallis's formula given above is a well-known. The Wallis integrals can also be used to prove Wallis's formula as expressed in version (4).

Theorem 3.3. (*Wallis's Formula*)

$$\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n+1} \right).$$

Proof. From property (f) of Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{2n+1} I_{2n+1} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}.$$

The theorem now follows easily from the observation that

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdot \frac{8}{9} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n+1} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2n}{2n+1}} \sqrt{2n+1} I_{2n+1}.$$

\square

Wallis integrals sometimes appear in a disguised form. The next theorem reveals two of the disguises.

Theorem 3.4. (a) For all integers $n \geq 1$,

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^n} dx = I_{2n-2}.$$

(b) For all integers $n \geq 1$,

$$\int_0^1 (1-x^2)^n dx = I_{2n+1}.$$

Proof. First, recall the general formula for substitution. Let $\phi: [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$ be a continuously differentiable function with $\phi(a) = c$ and $\phi(b) = d$ and let f be a continuous function on $[c, d]$. Then

$$\int_a^b f(\phi(x))\phi'(x) dx = \int_c^d f(u) du.$$

Let r be any real number such that $0 < r < \pi/2$. Define $\phi: [0, r] \rightarrow [0, \tan r]$, $\phi(x) = \tan x$. Then $\phi'(x) = \sec^2 x$. Let $f(u) = 1/(1+u^2)^n$. Then

$$\int_0^r \frac{1}{(1+\tan^2 x)^n} \sec^2 x dx = \int_0^{\tan r} \frac{1}{(1+u^2)^n} du.$$

Now recall that $1 + \tan^2 x = \sec^2 x$ and, of course, $\sec x = 1/\cos x$. Therefore, the equation above can be rewritten as

$$\int_0^{\tan r} \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^n} dx = \int_0^r \cos^{2n-2} x dx.$$

Taking the limit as r approaches $\pi/2$ from the left proves that the improper integral in statement (a) of the theorem exists and equals I_{2n-2} .

To prove (b), define $\phi: [0, \pi/2] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\phi(x) = \sin x$. Then $\phi'(x) = \cos x$. For $f(u) = (1-u^2)^n$ the substitution formula yields

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} (1-\sin^2 x)^n \cos x dx = \int_0^1 (1-u^2)^n du.$$

This equation can be rewritten as

$$\int_0^1 (1-x^2)^n dx = \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^{2n+1} x dx = I_{2n+1}.$$

□

As an important special case of the preceding theorem we have:

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Moreover, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.4 show that for each integer $n \geq 2$,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^n} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdots \frac{2n-3}{2n-2},$$

and, for each integer $n \geq 1$,

$$\int_0^1 (1-x^2)^n dx = \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n+1}.$$

There is a proof of the probability integral formula that appears as a series of exercises in the book *Calculus* by Michael Spivak. A variation of this approach is given in the next two theorems.

Theorem 3.5. *For all integers $n \geq 1$*

$$\int_0^1 (1-x^2)^n dx \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^{\sqrt{n}} e^{-x^2} dx \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^n} dx.$$

Proof. For all $x \geq 0$, $1+x \leq e^x$. Hence, $1+x^2 \leq e^{x^2}$ and

$$e^{-x^2} \leq \frac{1}{1+x^2}.$$

Therefore, for any integer $n \geq 1$,

$$\int_0^1 e^{-nx^2} dx \leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^n} dx \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^n} dx.$$

Applying the substitution $u = x\sqrt{n}$ we have

$$\int_0^1 e^{-nx^2} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^{\sqrt{n}} e^{-u^2} du.$$

This proves the right hand inequality of the theorem.

For $x \geq 0$ we know that $e^{-x} \leq e^0 = 1$, so we have the inequality

$$\int_0^x e^{-t} dt \leq \int_0^x 1 dt.$$

That is, $1 - e^{-x} \leq x$. Therefore, for all $x \geq 0$, we have $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$. Thus, for any x such that $0 \leq x \leq 1$ we have $0 \leq 1 - x^2 \leq e^{-x^2}$. Now, for any integer $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\int_0^1 (1-x^2)^n dx \leq \int_0^1 e^{-nx^2} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^{\sqrt{n}} e^{-u^2} du.$$

This proves the left hand inequality of the theorem. □

Theorem 3.6. (*Probability Integral*)

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}.$$

Proof. For $n \geq 1$ the inequalities of the previous theorem can be expressed in terms of Wallis integrals as:

$$\sqrt{n} I_{2n+1} \leq \int_0^{\sqrt{n}} e^{-x^2} dx \leq \sqrt{n} I_{2n-2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sqrt{\frac{2n}{2n+1}} \sqrt{2n+1} I_{2n+1} \leq \sqrt{2} \int_0^{\sqrt{n}} e^{-x^2} dx \leq \sqrt{\frac{2n}{2n-2}} \sqrt{2n-2} I_{2n-2}.$$

The theorem now follows from property (f) in Theorem 3.1. \square

4. Another Approach to the Probability Integral

There is a very nice approach to computing the probability integral that requires only the most basic theorem on differentiation under the integral sign. This version is due to Borwein and Borwein and appears as an exercise in the book *Irresistible Integrals* by Boros and Moll. First we recall the theorem on differentiation under the integral sign.

Let $f(t, x)$ be a real-valued function defined and continuous on $[a, b] \times [c, d]$ where $a < b$ and $c < d$. Assume that the partial derivative $D_1 f(t, x)$ exists and is continuous on $[a, b] \times [c, d]$. For each t in $[a, b]$ let

$$F(t) = \int_c^d f(t, x) dx.$$

Then $F(t)$ is differentiable on $[a, b]$, with one-sided derivatives at a and b , and for each t in $[a, b]$,

$$F'(t) = \int_c^d D_1 f(t, x) dx.$$

For our purposes define

$$F(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-t^2(1+x^2)}}{1+x^2} dx.$$

It is easy to see that this integral satisfies the conditions of the theorem for all (t, x) in any rectangle $[0, r] \times [0, 1]$, where r is any positive real number. Therefore,

$$F'(t) = \int_0^1 (-2t) e^{-t^2(1+x^2)} dx = -2e^{-t^2} \int_0^1 t e^{-(tx)^2} dx.$$

Now carry out the substitution $\phi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, t]$, $\phi(x) = tx$, $\phi'(x) = t$, with $f(u) = e^{-u^2}$ to obtain

$$F'(t) = -2e^{-t^2} \int_0^t e^{-u^2} du = -2e^{-t^2} \int_0^t e^{-x^2} dx.$$

Since the real number r is fixed but arbitrary this formula for $F'(t)$ is valid for all $t \geq 0$. There is one additional fact about $F(t)$ that we need. For any real numbers t and x , $e^{-t^2(1+x^2)} \leq e^{-t^2}$. Therefore

$$0 \leq F(t) \leq e^{-t^2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx = e^{-t^2} \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

Thus, $0 \leq F(t) \leq \pi/4$ for all $t \geq 0$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F(t) = 0.$$

Now define

$$G(t) = \left(\int_0^t e^{-x^2} dx \right)^2.$$

By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus,

$$G'(t) = 2e^{-t^2} \int_0^t e^{-x^2} dx.$$

Therefore, for all $t \geq 0$, $F'(t) + G'(t) = 0$ and so $F(t) + G(t)$ is a constant. Since

$$F(0) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

and $G(0) = 0$ it must be the case that $F(t) + G(t) = \pi/4$ for all $t \geq 0$. Thus, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^t e^{-x^2} dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4} - F(t)}.$$

Taking the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ we see that the probability integral converges to $\sqrt{\pi}/2$. Notice that by Theorem 2.2 this proof of the probability integral formula provides another proof of Wallis's formula.

5. Variations on Wallis's Formula

There are a number of variations on Wallis' Formula that are very useful in applications. Let $\{a_n\}$ denote the sequence in Wallis' Formula,

$$(5) \quad a_n = \frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n-1} \cdot \frac{2n}{2n+1}.$$

The terms of this sequence can be rewritten in two ways:

$$(6) \quad a_n = \left(\frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n-1} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2n+1}$$

and

$$(7) \quad a_n = \left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n+1} \right)^2 \cdot (2n+1).$$

These alternative formulas for a_n will be useful in the variations that follow.

Variation 1: Wallis's Formula is equivalent to the assertion:

$$\sqrt{\pi} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n-1} \right).$$

Proof. Starting with the expression (6) and taking square roots we have

$$\sqrt{a_n} = \left(\frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n-1} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n+1}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n-1} \right) = \sqrt{a_n} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2n+1}{2n}} \cdot \sqrt{2}.$$

Hence, Wallis's formula as expressed in (1) implies Variation 1. The proof of the converse is similar. \square

Variation 2: Wallis's Formula is equivalent to the assertion:

$$\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n+1} \right).$$

Proof. Starting with the expression (7) and taking square roots we have

$$\sqrt{a_n} = \left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n+1} \right) \cdot \sqrt{2n+1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n+1} \right) = \sqrt{a_n} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2n}{2n+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Hence, Wallis's formula as expressed in (1) implies Variation 2. The proof of the converse is similar. \square

Variation 3: Wallis's Formula is equivalent to the assertion:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdots \frac{2n-1}{2n} \right).$$

Proof. This result is an immediate consequence of Variation 1. \square

Variation 4: Wallis's Formula is equivalent to the assertion:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{4^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{6^2}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{(2n)^2}\right) \right] = \frac{2}{\pi}.$$

Proof. The term a_n in formula (5) can be written as

$$a_n = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{2k}{2k-1} \cdot \frac{2k}{2k+1}.$$

Now, simply invert this formula and notice that

$$\frac{2k-1}{2k} \cdot \frac{2k+1}{2k} = \frac{(2k)^2 - 1}{(2k)^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{(2k)^2}.$$

The equivalence of Wallis's formula as given in (1) and Variation 4 is now clear. \square

Variation 5: Wallis's Formula is equivalent to the assertion:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(2n)! \sqrt{\pi n}}{(n!)^2 2^{2n}} = 1.$$

Proof. This limit is simply another way of writing Variation 3. \square

Variation 5 can be rewritten as an asymptotic formula for the central probability in the binomial distribution for an even number of trials,

$$\binom{2n}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}},$$

or as an asymptotic formula for the central binomial coefficient,

$$\binom{2n}{n} \sim \frac{2^{2n}}{\sqrt{\pi n}}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Michael Spivak, *Calculus*, Fourth Edition, Publish or Perish, Inc.
- [2] George Boros and Victor Moll, *Irresistible Integrals*, Cambridge University Press, 2004
- [3] J.V. Uspensky, *Introduction to Mathematical Probability*, First Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1937

September 2019

email: schatzjames.math@gmail.com

RESEARCH AND EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY APPLIED PHYSICS LABORATORY