

The last proof of extreme value theorem and intermediate value theorem

Claude-Alain Faure

Abstract. *The paper gives a unified and simple proof of both theorems and Cousin's theorem.*

Key-lemma. Let \mathcal{I} be a set of subintervals of a closed interval $[a, b]$. We suppose that the set \mathcal{I} satisfies the following conditions:

- (A) $[r, s] \in \mathcal{I}$ and $[s, t] \in \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow [r, t] \in \mathcal{I}$ (additivity)
 - (L) for every $s \in]a, b]$, there exists $0 < \delta \leq s - a$ such that $r \in [s - \delta, s] \Rightarrow [r, s] \in \mathcal{I}$
 - (R) for every $s \in [a, b[$, there exists $0 < \delta \leq b - s$ such that $t \in]s, s + \delta] \Rightarrow [s, t] \in \mathcal{I}$
- or the weaker condition
- (r) for every $s \in [a, b[$, there exists $t \in]s, b]$ with $[s, t] \in \mathcal{I}$

Then the whole interval $[a, b]$ must be an element of \mathcal{I} .

Proof. We consider the set $E = \{a\} \cup \{x \in]a, b] / [a, x] \in \mathcal{I}\}$ and $s = \sup(E)$.

We first show that $s \in E$. We may assume that $s > a$. Let $0 < \delta \leq s - a$ be as in (L). By definition of the supremum, there exists $r \in]s - \delta, s] \cap E$. If $r = s$, we are done. And if $r < s$, then $[a, r] \in \mathcal{I}$ and $[r, s] \in \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow [a, s] \in \mathcal{I}$ by additivity. So $s \in E$.

We now show that $s = b$, which proves the lemma. Suppose on the contrary that $s < b$. By (r) we have $[s, t] \in \mathcal{I}$ for some $t \in]s, b]$, and hence $[a, t] \in \mathcal{I}$ by additivity. So $t \in E$, in contradiction to the supremacy of s . \square

Extreme value theorem. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then there exist $c, d \in [a, b]$ such that $f(c) \leq f(x) \leq f(d)$ for all $x \in [a, b]$.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that such a number d does not exist. We show that

$$\mathcal{I} = \{I \subset [a, b] / \text{there exists } u \in [a, b] \text{ such that } f(x) < f(u) \text{ for all } x \in I\}$$

satisfies the conditions of the key-lemma.

(A) Let $[r, s] \in \mathcal{I}$ and $[s, t] \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $f(x) < f(u)$ for all $x \in [r, s]$ and $f(x) < f(v)$ for all $x \in [s, t] \Rightarrow f(x) < \max\{f(u), f(v)\}$ for all $x \in [r, t]$. So $[r, t] \in \mathcal{I}$.

(L) Let $s \in]a, b]$. By assumption we have $f(s) < f(u)$ for some $u \in [a, b]$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ with $f(s) + \varepsilon < f(u)$. By continuity, there exists $0 < \delta \leq s - a$ such that $x \in [s - \delta, s] \Rightarrow f(x) \leq f(s) + \varepsilon < f(u)$, and this shows that $[r, s] \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $r \in [s - \delta, s]$.

(R) is proved in the same way.

By the key-lemma we conclude that $[a, b] \in \mathcal{I}$, which is clearly impossible. \square

Intermediate value theorem. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then for every value y between $f(a)$ and $f(b)$, there exists $c \in [a, b]$ with $f(c) = y$.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that such a number c does not exist. We show that

$$\mathcal{I} = \{I \subset [a, b] / f(x) < y \text{ for all } x \in I \text{ or } f(x) > y \text{ for all } x \in I\}$$

satisfies the conditions of the key-lemma.

(A) Let $[r, s] \in \mathcal{I}$ and $[s, t] \in \mathcal{I}$. Since $s \in [r, s] \cap [s, t]$, the inequality must be the same on both intervals, and hence $[r, t] \in \mathcal{I}$.

(L) Let $s \in]a, b]$. We have $f(s) \neq y$, say $f(s) < y$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ with $f(s) + \varepsilon < y$. By continuity, there exists $0 < \delta \leq s - a$ such that $x \in [s - \delta, s] \Rightarrow f(x) \leq f(s) + \varepsilon < y$, and this shows that $[r, s] \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $r \in [s - \delta, s[$.

(R) is proved in the same way.

By the key-lemma we conclude that $[a, b] \in \mathcal{I}$, which is clearly impossible. \square

In his famous paper [3], R. A. Gordon proved these theorems using Cousin's theorem, a proof which is also exposed in [1]. Other elegant proofs of the extreme value theorem can be found in [2], [4]. As another application of the key-lemma, we give a very short proof of Cousin's theorem. We first recall the following definitions:

- a *gauge* on $[a, b]$ is a positive function $\delta : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- a *tagged partition* of $[a, b]$ is a finite sequence $a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{n-1} < a_n = b$ together with numbers $x_i \in [a_{i-1}, a_i]$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$
- given a gauge δ on $[a, b]$, we say that a tagged partition is *δ -fine* if $[a_{i-1}, a_i] \subset [x_i - \delta(x_i), x_i + \delta(x_i)]$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$

δ -fine tagged partitions will be called δ -fine partitions for short.

Cousin's theorem. For every gauge δ on $[a, b]$, there exists a δ -fine partition of $[a, b]$.

Proof. We show that $\mathcal{I} = \{I \subset [a, b] / \text{there exists a } \delta\text{-fine partition of } I\}$ satisfies the conditions of the key-lemma.

(A) It is immediate: just rename the points of the second partition.

(L) Let $s \in]a, b]$. We consider $\eta = \min\{\delta(s), s - a\}$. Then for every $r \in [s - \eta, s[$, the interval $[r, s]$ itself together with the tag s is a δ -fine partition of $[r, s]$.

(R) is proved in the same way.

By the key-lemma we conclude that $[a, b] \in \mathcal{I}$, which proves the theorem. \square

References

- [1] R. G. Bartle and D. R. Sherbert, *Introduction to real analysis 4th edition*, New York, John Wiley & Sons, 2011.

- [2] S. J. Ferguson, *A one-sentence line-of-sight proof of the extreme value theorem*, Amer. Math. Monthly **121** (2014), 331.
- [3] R. A. Gordon, *The use of tagged partitions in elementary real analysis*, Amer. Math. Monthly **105** (1998), 107–117, 886.
- [4] M. H. Protter and C. B. Morrey, *A first course in real analysis*, New York, Springer, 1977.

Gymnase de la Cité, place de la Cathédrale 1, 1014 Lausanne, Switzerland
Email address: `claudealain.faure@eduvaud.ch`