

## ON A GENERALIZED AUSLANDER-REITEN CONJECTURE

SOUVIK DEY, SHINYA KUMASHIRO, AND PARANGAMA SARKAR

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the symmetric Auslander condition (SAC) which is equivalent to the generalized Auslander-Reiten condition (GARC). First, we affirmatively answer a question posed by Celikbas and Takahashi that if  $R$  satisfies (SAC) then so does  $R/(x)$ , where  $x$  is an  $R$ -regular element. Secondly, we explore (SAC) among ring homomorphisms  $R \rightarrow S$  of local rings. We prove that if  $S$  satisfies (SAC) (resp. Auslander-Reiten conjecture), then  $R$  also satisfies (SAC) (resp. Auslander-Reiten conjecture) if the flat dimension of  $S$  over  $R$  is finite. We also prove that  $R$  satisfies (SAC) implies that  $S$  satisfies (SAC) if  $R$  is Gorenstein,  $S = R/Q^\ell$ , where  $Q$  is generated by a regular sequence of  $R$  and the length of the sequence is at least  $\ell$ . This is a consequence of more general results about Ulrich ideals proved in this paper. Applying these results to determinantal rings and numerical semigroup rings, we provide new classes of rings satisfying (SAC).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module. In 1975, Auslander and Reiten conjectured that the following condition holds for all commutative rings  $R$  ([1]).

(ARC) The vanishing  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, M \oplus R) = 0$  implies that  $M$  is projective for all finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $M$ .

This long-standing conjecture is known as the *Auslander-Reiten conjecture*. Although various special cases of this conjecture is known to hold true, the conjecture remains open in its full generality. For the progress on the Auslander-Reiten conjecture and related conjectures, see, for example, [8, Appendix]. The Auslander-Reiten conjecture can be restated as follows.

(ARC)  $\sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(M, M \oplus R) \neq 0\} = 0$  implies  $\text{pd}_R M = 0$  for all finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $M$ .

Inspired by this observation, one can naturally ask the following question.

**Question 1.1.** Does the equality

$$\text{pd}_R M = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(M, M \oplus R) \neq 0\}$$

hold for all finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $M$ ?

An affirmative answer for  $R$  of Question 1.1 is equivalent to proving that the *generalized Auslander-Reiten conjecture* holds for  $R$ . That is,  $R$  satisfies the following condition.

(GARC) For an integer  $n_M \geq 0$ , the vanishing  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq n_M}(M, M \oplus R) = 0$  implies that  $\text{pd}_R M \leq n_M$  for all finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $M$ .

We also say that  $R$  satisfies *symmetric Auslander-Reiten condition* (SAC) if the vanishing  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, R) = \text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, M) = 0$  imply  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, M) = 0$  for every finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ . The notion (SAC) was introduced by O. Celikbas and R. Takahashi in [6]. They showed that for each ring, (SAC) implies (ARC). It is also proved that  $R$  satisfies (GARC) if and only if  $R$  satisfies (SAC) if and only if the vanishing  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, M \oplus R) = 0$  implies that  $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$  for

---

*Key words and phrases.* Generalized Auslander-Reiten condition, flat dimension, derived category, derived functor, Ulrich ideal, extension degree.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D07, 13D09, 13D05, 13H10, 13C13.

The second author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP21K13766.

The third author was supported by SERB POWER Grant with Grant No. SPG/2021/002423.

all finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $M$  ([6, Theorem 4.8]). By identifying these equivalent conditions, Question 1.1 and the generalized Auslander-Reiten conjecture have been studied by several authors ([6, 9, 10, 14, 20, 21]). We will use the equivalence many times throughout this paper.

The objective of this paper is to investigate the symmetric Auslander-Reiten condition (SAC) extensively. We mainly study the symmetric Auslander-Reiten condition (SAC) for change of base rings. We focus on the following three cases.

(1) Suppose that  $R$  is a local ring with depth  $R > 0$  and  $x$  is a non-zerodivisor on  $R$ . We first prove that  $R$  satisfies (SAC) if and only if  $R/xR$  satisfies (SAC) (Theorem 2.4). In order to prove this result, we affirmatively answer a question raised by Celikbas and Takahashi in [6, Question 4.9] which asserts that  $R$  satisfies the symmetric Auslander-Reiten condition (SAC) if and only if  $R$  satisfies the symmetric Auslander condition for modules with constant rank (SACC)(Corollary 2.6). A local ring  $R$  satisfies *symmetric Auslander condition for modules with constant rank* (SACC) if the vanishing  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, R) = \text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, M) = 0$  imply  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, M) = 0$  for every finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  of constant rank and this notion was introduced in the same paper [6].

(2) The results in (1) lead us to a more general and natural question. We explore (SAC) between ring homomorphisms  $R \rightarrow S$  of local rings. As a next step of Theorem 2.4, we focus our attention on the case where the flat dimension of  $S$  over  $R$  is finite.

**Theorem 1.2.** (Theorem 3.7) *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n})$  be a homomorphism of local rings such that the flat dimension of  $S$  over  $R$  is finite. If  $S$  satisfies (SAC) then  $R$  satisfies (SAC).*

As consequences of Theorems 2.4 and 1.2, we deduce that for a local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ , if one of the following rings satisfies (SAC), then they all do (Corollary 2.7, Corollary 3.11, Corollary 3.9)

$$R, \widehat{R}, R[[X]], R[X]_{(\mathfrak{m}, X)}.$$

Moreover, we deduce that any local complete intersection ring satisfies (SAC) (Corollary 3.10). We also prove similar results for rings satisfying (ARC) (Theorem 3.16 and Remark 3.18).

(3) Apart from the above mentioned cases on a ring homomorphism of local rings  $R \rightarrow S$ , we further study certain cases where  $S$  is cyclic as an  $R$ -module. Note that the flat dimension of  $S$  over  $R$  is the same as the projective dimension of  $S$  over  $R$  if  $S$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module. The next questions are the following.

**Question 1.3.** Let  $R \rightarrow S$  be a surjective ring homomorphism of local rings.

- (1) Does the assertion that (SAC) for  $S$  implies (SAC) for  $R$  still hold even if the projective dimension of  $S$  over  $R$  is infinite?
- (2) Does (SAC) for  $R$  imply (SAC) for  $S$  if the projective dimension of  $S$  over  $R$  is finite?

Note that Question 1.3(2) is rather hard. Indeed, if one can prove that Question 1.3(2) has a positive answer, then (SAC) holds for all Noetherian rings, see Remark 4.1. The main results regarding the above questions are in Theorem 1.5. To state our results, let us recall the definition of Ulrich ideals.

**Definition 1.4.** ([12, Definition 2.1.]) Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and  $I$  an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is an *Ulrich ideal* of  $R$  if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1)  $I^2 = QI$  for some parameter ideal  $Q \subseteq I$ .
- (2)  $I/I^2$  is a free  $R/I$ -module.

**Theorem 1.5.** (1) (Theorem 4.6(2)) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and  $I$  an Ulrich ideal of  $R$  such that  $R/I$  is a Gorenstein ring. If  $R/I^\ell$  satisfies (SAC) for some  $\ell > 0$  and  $\mu_R(I) - \dim R > 1$ , where  $\mu_R(I)$  denotes the number of minimal generators of  $I$ , then  $R$  satisfies (SAC).*

(2) (Theorem 4.9) *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Gorenstein local ring and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be a regular sequence on  $R$ . Set  $Q = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Then  $R$  satisfies (SAC) if and only if  $R/Q^\ell$  satisfies (SAC) for some integer  $\ell > 0$  if and only if  $R/Q^\ell$  satisfies (SAC) for all integers  $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ .*

- (3) (Corollary 4.11) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Suppose that there exists an Ulrich ideal  $I$  such that  $R/I$  is a complete intersection. Then  $R$  satisfies (SAC).*

Note that the inequality  $\mu_R(I) - \dim R > 1$  in Theorem 1.5(1) is equivalent to saying that  $R$  is not Gorenstein (Remark 4.7). Thus Theorem 1.5(3) does not follow from (1) immediately. Theorem 1.5(1) and (3) provide certain examples such that Question 1.3(1) has a positive answer even if the projective dimension of  $S = R/I$  over  $R$  is infinite. Theorem 1.5(2) provides a partial answer to Question 1.3(2). We also apply Theorem 1.5(2) to certain determinantal rings and Hankel determinantal rings (Propositions 4.15 and 4.16).

In the last section, motivated by the “finitistic extension degree” defined in [10, Definition 2.2], we define new invariants (Definition 5.4). We conclude the section by showing the equivalence of the finiteness of these new invariants and (SAC) (Theorem 5.7).

**Convention.** Throughout this paper, all rings are commutative Noetherian rings with identity. When we say  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local ring, we mean  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $R$ . In the sections 2, 4 and 5 all modules are finitely generated. For each finitely generated module  $M$  over a local ring  $R$ ,  $\mu_R(M)$  (resp.  $\ell_R(M)$ ,  $\Omega_R^n M$ ) denotes the number of elements in a minimal system of generators of  $M$  (resp. the length of  $M$ , the  $n$ th syzygy of  $M$  in a minimal  $R$ -free resolution of  $M$ ). If  $M$  is a Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -module,  $r_R(M)$  denotes the Cohen-Macaulay type of  $M$ . Let  $v(R)$  denote the embedding dimension of  $R$ .

For convenience,  $\text{Ext}_R^{>n}(M, N) = 0$  (resp.  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, N) = 0$ ) stands for the vanishing of  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$  for all  $i > n$  (resp. the vanishing of  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$  for all large enough  $i > 0$ ). For an  $R$ -module  $M$ , following [10, Definition 2.2], we put  $\text{ext. deg}_R(M) := \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Ext}_R^n(M, M) \neq 0\}$ . When the ring in the question is clear, we drop the suffix  $R$  and just write  $\text{ext. deg}(M)$ .

**Remark 1.6.** The conditions (SAC) and (GARC) introduced after Question 1.1 make sense over any ring  $R$  not necessarily local. Even for a non-local ring, [6, Theorem 4.8] goes through to show all these conditions are equivalent. Indeed, the only place the local assumption is used in the proof of [6, Theorem 4.8] is to invoke [17, Lemma 1(iii), page 154] which says that for a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ , if  $\text{pd}_R M = n < \infty$  then  $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, R) \neq 0$ . This however goes through in the non-local case as well since if  $\text{pd}_R M = n < \infty$  then  $\text{pd}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}} M_{\mathfrak{m}} = n$  for some maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , by [18, 8.52], and hence  $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, R)_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong \text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}^n(M_{\mathfrak{m}}, R_{\mathfrak{m}}) \neq 0$ , so  $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, R) \neq 0$ . We use this observation without referencing further.

## 2. (SAC) AND GOING MODULO A REGULAR ELEMENT

The aim of this section is to prove the equivalence of (SAC) or (GARC) for  $R$  and  $R/xR$ , where  $x$  is a regular element in a local ring  $R$ . We show this by proving the equivalence of (SAC) and (SACC) conditions for local rings of positive depth. From the definitions of (SAC) and (SACC), it is clear that a local ring  $R$  satisfies (SAC) implies  $R$  satisfies (SACC). We prove that if  $R$  has positive depth then the converse of the above statement is also true and it affirmatively answers the question posed by Celikbas and Takahashi in [6, Question 4.9] (see Corollary 2.6). We start with the following observation.

**Lemma 2.1.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\text{pd}_R M = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(M, M \oplus R) \neq 0\}$  holds for each finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ .
- (2) For each finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ , if  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, M \oplus R) = 0$  then  $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$ .
- (3) For each finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ , if  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, R) = \text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, M) = 0$  then  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, M) = 0$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): This is clear.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module. If the projective dimension of  $M$  is infinite, then so is  $\sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(M, M \oplus R) \neq 0\}$  by hypothesis. Hence the equality in the assertion (1) holds. Assume that the projective dimension of  $M$  is finite and set  $n = \text{pd}_R M$ . Thus



### 3. DESCENT OF (SAC) AND (ARC) ALONG LOCAL HOMOMORPHISM OF FINITE FLAT DIMENSION

In this section we show that if  $(R, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n})$  is a local homomorphism of finite flat dimension and  $S$  satisfies (SAC)/(ARC) then  $R$  also satisfies (SAC)/(ARC) (Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.16 respectively).

Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring. An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to have finite flat dimension if there exists an integer  $n \geq 0$  and an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow T_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  where each  $T_i$  is a flat  $R$ -module. We recall from [7, (5.3.1) Definition, (5.3.6) Theorem] (and [7, (A.3.12) Remark]) that for any  $R$ -module  $M$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup\{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \text{there exists a module } T \text{ of finite flat dimension such that } \text{Tor}_m^R(M, T) \neq 0\} \\ &= \sup\{\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Following [2, (1.0.1)], we call the above common quantity the *restricted flat dimension* and denote by  $\text{Rfd}_R M$ . If  $M \neq 0$ , then  $\text{Rfd}_R M \geq 0$ . When  $\dim R < \infty$ , it is clear that  $\text{Rfd}_R M \leq \dim R < \infty$ . In general, if  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, then  $\text{Rfd}_R M < \infty$  by [2, Theorem 1.1]. By convention, we put  $\text{Rfd}_R 0 = -\infty$ . Note that  $\text{Rfd}_R M \leq 0$  if and only if  $\text{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, T) = 0$  for every module  $T$  of finite flat dimension. In the following, we record some basic observations about  $\text{Rfd}_R(-)$  which will be crucial for proving the main results of this section. Throughout this section, when  $R$  is not local, given a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $\Omega_R^n M$  will denote the  $n$ -th syzygy in a resolution of  $M$  by finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Then the following hold:*

- (1) *Let  $n \leq \text{Rfd}_R M$  be an integer. Then  $\text{Tor}_i^R(\Omega_R^n M, T) = 0$  for every  $i > \text{Rfd}_R M - n$  and every module  $T$  of finite flat dimension.*
- (2) *Let  $n \geq \text{Rfd}_R M$  be an integer. Then  $\text{Rfd}_R \Omega_R^n M \leq 0$ , i.e.  $\text{Tor}_i^R(\Omega_R^n M, T) = 0$  for every  $i > 0$  and every module  $T$  of finite flat dimension.*
- (3) *Let  $M, X$  be finitely generated  $R$ -modules such that  $\text{Rfd}_R M \leq 0$  and  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(X, M) = 0$ . Then  $\text{Rfd}_R \text{Hom}_R(X, M) \leq 0$ .*

*Proof.* (1): For any module  $T$  of finite flat dimension and  $i > \text{Rfd}_R M - n \geq 0$  (hence  $i + n > \text{Rfd}_R M$ ), we have  $\text{Tor}_i^R(\Omega_R^n M, T) \cong \text{Tor}_{i+n}^R(M, T) = 0$ .

(2): For all  $i > 0$ ,  $n \geq \text{Rfd}_R M$  (hence  $i + n > n \geq \text{Rfd}_R M$ ) and any module  $T$  of finite flat dimension, we have  $\text{Tor}_i^R(\Omega_R^n M, T) \cong \text{Tor}_{i+n}^R(M, T) = 0$ .

(3): Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . Let  $n = \text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $\text{Rfd}_R M \leq 0$ , we have  $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $R^{\oplus b_n} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R^{\oplus b_0} \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$  be part of an  $R$ -free resolution of the finitely generated  $R$ -module  $X$ . Since  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(X, M) = 0$ , applying  $\text{Hom}_R(-, M)$  to the above long exact sequence, we get an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X, M) \rightarrow M^{\oplus b_0} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M^{\oplus b_n} \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$  for some  $R$ -module  $T$ .

By depth lemma, we have

$$\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \text{Hom}_R(X, M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \inf\{\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}, n + \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} T_{\mathfrak{p}}\} \geq \text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

As  $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \text{Hom}_R(X, M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  holds for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , we get  $\text{Rfd}_R \text{Hom}_R(X, M) = \sup\{\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \text{Hom}_R(X, M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)\} \leq 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** If  $M$  is a non-zero maximal Cohen-Macaulay module over a Cohen-Macaulay ring  $R$ , then it follows immediately that  $\text{Rfd}_R M = 0$ . More generally, see [7, (5.3.10) Theorem].

To prove descent of (SAC) and (ARC), we need to prove the following results related to vanishing of  $\text{Ext}$  and  $\text{Tor}$  and base change along finite flat dimension using techniques involving chain complexes and derived  $\text{Hom}$  and tensor product (see [7, A.4]).

The derived category of the category of  $R$ -modules, denoted by  $\mathcal{D}(R)$ , is the category of  $R$ -complexes localized at the class of all quasi-isomorphisms ([7, A.1.13]). The full subcategory  $\mathcal{D}^b(R)$  consists of complexes  $X$  such that  $H_i(X) = 0$  for all  $|i| \gg 0$ . Every  $R$ -module is identified as a complex concentrated in degree zero.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $S$  be an  $R$ -algebra and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then the following hold.*

- (1) *Assume  $\mathrm{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, S) = 0$  (for example,  $\mathrm{fd}_R S < \infty$  and  $\mathrm{Rfd}_R M \leq 0$ ). Then for all  $S$ -modules  $N$  and for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^n(M, N) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_S^n(M \otimes_R S, N)$  and  $\mathrm{Tor}_n^R(M, N) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_n^S(M \otimes_R S, N)$ .*
- (2) *Let  $Y$  be an  $R$ -module such that  $\mathrm{fd}_R Y < \infty$ . Assume  $\mathrm{Rfd}_R M \leq 0$ . Let  $X$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module. If  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(X, M) = 0$ , then  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(X, M \otimes_R Y) = 0$ .*
- (3) *Assume  $\mathrm{fd}_R S < \infty$  and  $\mathrm{Rfd}_R M \leq 0$ . Let  $X$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module such that  $\mathrm{Rfd}_R X \leq 0$ . If  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(X, M) = 0$ , then  $\mathrm{Ext}_S^{\gg 0}(X \otimes_R S, M \otimes_R S) = 0$ .*
- (4) *Let  $Y$  be an  $R$ -module such that  $\mathrm{fd}_R Y < \infty$ . Assume  $\mathrm{Rfd}_R M \leq 0$ . Let  $X$  be an  $R$ -module. If  $\mathrm{Tor}_{\gg 0}^R(X, M) = 0$ , then  $\mathrm{Tor}_{\gg 0}^R(X, M \otimes_R Y) = 0$ .*
- (5) *Assume  $\mathrm{fd}_R S < \infty$  and  $\mathrm{Rfd}_R M \leq 0$ . Let  $X$  be an  $R$ -module such that  $\mathrm{Rfd}_R X \leq 0$ . If  $\mathrm{Tor}_{\gg 0}^R(X, M) = 0$ , then  $\mathrm{Tor}_{\gg 0}^S(X \otimes_R S, M \otimes_R S) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* (1): The proof is the same as that of [17, Lemma 2(ii) and (iii), page 140] by noticing that an  $R$ -free resolution  $L_\bullet \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  induces an  $S$ -free resolution  $L_\bullet \otimes_R S \rightarrow M \otimes_R S \rightarrow 0$  as  $\mathrm{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, S) = 0$ . The required isomorphism for  $\mathrm{Ext}$  follows by computing cohomology after applying  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, N)$  and using  $\mathrm{Hom}$ -tensor adjointness (i.e.  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(L, N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(L, \mathrm{Hom}_S(S, N)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_S(L \otimes_R S, N)$  holds for any  $R$ -module  $L$ , see [17, Formula 9 of Appendix A]). The required isomorphism for  $\mathrm{Tor}$  follows similarly by associativity of tensor product.

(2): Since  $\mathrm{fd}_R Y < \infty$  and  $X$  is finitely generated, we have  $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, M) \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} Y \cong \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, M \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} Y)$  by [7, (A.4.23)]. Since  $\mathrm{Rfd}_R M \leq 0$ , so  $\mathrm{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, Y) = 0$ . It follows that  $M \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} Y \cong M \otimes_R Y$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, M) \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} Y \cong \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, M \otimes_R Y)$ . Since  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(X, M) = 0$ , so  $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, M) \in \mathcal{D}_b(R)$ , hence by [7, (A.5.6)(ii)],  $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, M) \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} Y \in \mathcal{D}_b(R)$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, M \otimes_R Y) \in \mathcal{D}_b(R)$  i.e.  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(X, M \otimes_R Y) = 0$ .

(3): By (2),  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(X, M) = 0$  implies  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(X, M \otimes_R S) = 0$ . Since  $M \otimes_R S$  is an  $S$ -module and  $\mathrm{Rfd}_R X \leq 0$ , using (1) we have  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^n(X, M \otimes_R S) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_S^n(X \otimes_R S, M \otimes_R S)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Thus  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(X, M \otimes_R S) = 0$  implies  $\mathrm{Ext}_S^{\gg 0}(X \otimes_R S, M \otimes_R S) = 0$ .

(4): By [7, (A.4.20)] we have  $(X \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} M) \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} Y \cong X \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} (M \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} Y)$ . Since  $\mathrm{Tor}_{\gg 0}^R(X, M) = 0$ , we have  $X \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} M \in \mathcal{D}_b(R)$  and hence  $(X \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} M) \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} Y \in \mathcal{D}_b(R)$  by [7, (A.5.6)(ii)] (as  $\mathrm{fd}_R Y < \infty$ ). Therefore  $X \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} (M \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} Y) \in \mathcal{D}_b(R)$ . Now  $\mathrm{Rfd}_R M \leq 0$  implies  $\mathrm{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, Y) = 0$ . Thus  $M \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} Y \cong M \otimes_R Y$  and hence  $X \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} (M \otimes_R Y) \in \mathcal{D}_b(R)$  i.e.  $\mathrm{Tor}_{\gg 0}^R(X, M \otimes_R Y) = 0$ .

(5): This is similar to (3); this follows by combining (4) and (1).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n})$  be a homomorphism of local rings (i.e.  $\mathfrak{m}S \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$ ). Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module such that  $\mathrm{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, S) = 0$  (for example,  $\mathrm{fd}_R S < \infty$  and  $\mathrm{Rfd}_R M \leq 0$ ). If  $(F_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$  is a minimal  $R$ -free resolution of  $M$ , then  $(F_\bullet \otimes_R S, \partial_\bullet \otimes S)$  is a minimal  $S$ -free resolution of  $M \otimes_R S$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $F_\bullet \otimes_R S \rightarrow M \otimes_R S \rightarrow 0$  is exact as  $\mathrm{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, S) = 0$ . Hence this is an  $S$ -free resolution of  $M \otimes_R S$ . By minimality of  $(F_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$ , all the entries of each  $\partial_n$  is in  $\mathfrak{m}$  and hence all the entries of each  $\partial_n \otimes S$  is in  $\mathfrak{m}S \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$ . Thus  $F_\bullet \otimes_R S$  is a minimal  $S$ -free resolution of  $M \otimes_R S$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n})$  be a homomorphism of local rings. Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module such that  $\mathrm{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, S) = 0$ . Then  $\mathrm{pd}_R M < \infty$  if and only if  $\mathrm{pd}_S(M \otimes_R S) < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathrm{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, S) = 0$ ,  $\mathrm{pd}_R M < \infty$  implies the existence of a finite free  $R$ -resolution  $(F_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$  of  $M$  which induces the finite free  $S$ -resolution  $(F_\bullet \otimes_R S, \partial_\bullet \otimes S)$  of  $M \otimes_R S$ . Hence  $\mathrm{pd}_S(M \otimes_R S) < \infty$ .

Now conversely, suppose  $\mathrm{pd}_S(M \otimes_R S) < \infty$ . Let  $(R^{\oplus b_n}, \partial_n)$  be a minimal  $R$ -free resolution of  $M$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $(S^{\oplus b_n}, \partial_n \otimes S)$  is a minimal  $S$ -free resolution of  $M \otimes_R S$ . Since  $\mathrm{pd}_S(M \otimes_R S) < \infty$ , minimality of the above resolution implies  $S^{\oplus b_n} = 0$  i.e.  $b_n = 0$  for some  $n$ . Hence  $\mathrm{pd}_R M < \infty$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M, N$  finitely generated  $R$ -modules. If  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(M, N \oplus R) = 0$ , then  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(\Omega_R^s M, R \oplus \Omega_R^n N) = 0$  for all  $s, n \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to prove  $\text{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(M, \Omega_R^n N) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . By induction on  $n$ , it is enough to prove the case  $n = 1$ . Now we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Omega_R N \rightarrow F \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  for some finitely generated projective  $R$ -module  $F$ . Now  $F$  is a direct summand of a finitely generated free  $R$ -module, therefore  $\text{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(M, R) = 0$  implies  $\text{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(M, F) = 0$ . Consequently,  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(M, \Omega_R N)$  for all  $i \gg 0$ . Thus the claim follows.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove that (SAC) descends along local ring homomorphism of finite flat dimension.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n})$  be a homomorphism of local rings such that  $\text{fd}_R S < \infty$ . If  $S$  satisfies (SAC) then  $R$  satisfies (SAC).*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module such that  $\text{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(M, M) = \text{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(M, R) = 0$ . By Lemma 2.1, it is enough to show that  $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$ .

Let  $n = \text{Rfd}_R M$ . Then by Lemma 3.1(2), we know  $\text{Rfd}_R \Omega_R^n M \leq 0$ . Since  $\text{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(M, R \oplus M) = 0$ , by Lemma 3.6, we have  $\text{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(\Omega_R^n M, \Omega_R^n M) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(\Omega_R^n M, R)$ . As  $\text{Rfd}_R R = 0$ , by Proposition 3.3(3) we get  $\text{Ext}_S^{\gg 0}(\Omega_R^n M \otimes_R S, \Omega_R^n M \otimes_R S) = 0$  and  $\text{Ext}_S^{\gg 0}(\Omega_R^n M \otimes_R S, S) \cong \text{Ext}_S^{\gg 0}(\Omega_R^n M \otimes_R S, R \otimes_R S) = 0$ . Since  $S$  satisfies (SAC) and  $\Omega_R^n M \otimes_R S$  is a finitely generated  $S$ -module, by Lemma 2.1, we get  $\text{pd}_S(\Omega_R^n M \otimes_R S) < \infty$ . Therefore by Lemma 3.5, we have  $\text{pd}_R \Omega_R^n M < \infty$ , i.e.  $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$ .  $\square$

The following corollary significantly generalizes the (SAC) part of [6, Theorem 4.5(1)].

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring and  $I$  be an ideal of finite projective dimension. If  $R/I$  satisfies (SAC), then  $R$  satisfies (SAC).*

*Proof.* Since  $R \rightarrow R/I$  is a ring homomorphism of local rings and  $\text{fd}_R R/I \leq \text{pd}_R R/I < \infty$ , we get the result by Theorem 3.7.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring. Let  $\widehat{(-)}$  denote the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  satisfies (SAC);
- (2)  $\widehat{R}$  satisfies (SAC).

*Proof.* (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): This follows from Theorem 3.7 as  $R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$  is a flat extension.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): If  $R$  is Artinian, then  $R$  is complete and we are done. Now assume  $R$  is not Artinian. Let  $\mathfrak{m} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)R$ . Then  $\widehat{R} \cong R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/(X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n)$  by [17, Theorem 8.12]. Now  $X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n$  is an  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ -regular sequence by [19, Lemma 5.7]. Hence the claim follows by Corollary 2.5.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.10.** *All complete intersections satisfy (SAC).*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a local complete intersection. We have  $\widehat{R} \cong Q/(f_1, \dots, f_c)$  for some regular local ring  $Q$  of positive dimension and regular sequence  $f_1, \dots, f_c$ . Since  $Q$  satisfies (SAC), we have  $Q/(f_1, \dots, f_c)$  satisfies (SAC) by Corollary 2.5, and hence  $\widehat{R}$  satisfies (SAC). Now by Corollary 3.9,  $R$  satisfies (SAC).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  satisfies (SAC);
- (2)  $R[X]_{(\mathfrak{m}, X)}$  satisfies (SAC).

*Proof.* Since  $R \rightarrow R[X]_{(\mathfrak{m}, X)}$  is a flat map of local rings, (2)  $\implies$  (1) follows by Theorem 3.7.

For (1)  $\implies$  (2): If  $R$  satisfies (SAC) then  $\widehat{R}$  satisfies (SAC) by Corollary 3.9 and hence  $\widehat{R}[[X]]$  satisfies (SAC) by Corollary 2.7. Therefore  $R[\widehat{X}]_{(\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}, X)} \cong \widehat{R}[[X]]$  satisfies (SAC). Hence  $R[X]_{(\mathfrak{m}, X)}$  satisfies (SAC) by Corollary 3.9.  $\square$

Next, we record an application to numerical semigroup rings. For this, we first record a general Lemma.

**Lemma 3.12.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local ring. Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer and  $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Set  $R := A[X]/(X^n - a)$ , where  $A[X]$  denotes the polynomial ring over  $A$ . We denote by  $x$  the image of  $X$  in  $R$ . Then we have the following.*

- (1)  *$R$  is a local ring with the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}R + xR$  and a free  $A$ -module of rank  $n$ .*
- (2) *Suppose that  $A$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring and  $I$  is an Ulrich ideal of  $A$ . Then  $IR$  is also an Ulrich ideal of  $R$ .*
- (3) *If  $A$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is an Ulrich ideal of  $A$  (e.g. if  $A$  has minimal multiplicity and  $k$  is infinite), then  $\mathfrak{m}R$  is an Ulrich ideal of  $R$  such that  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  is a hypersurface.*

*Proof.* (1) and (2) are possibly well known, but we include a proof for the sake of completeness.

(1): We claim that  $\{1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}\}$  is a free basis of  $R$  as an  $A$ -module. It is clear that  $R = A + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Ax^i$ . Assume that  $b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i x^i = 0$  in  $R$  for some  $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \in A$ . Then,  $b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i X^i \in (X^n - a)A[X]$  in  $A[X]$ . It follows that  $b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i X^i = g(X)(X^n - a)$  for some  $g(X) \in A[X]$ . If at least one  $b_i$  is non-zero, then the left hand side is a non-zero polynomial in  $A[X]$  of degree  $\leq n - 1$ . Hence  $g(X) \neq 0$ . But this leads a contradiction that the degree of the polynomial of the left hand side is  $\deg(g(X)(X^n - a)) \geq n$ . Therefore we must have  $b_i = 0$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . Thus  $\{1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}\}$  is a free basis of  $R$  over  $A$ .

Now let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$ . Since  $A \hookrightarrow R$  is integral,  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p} \cap A$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{m}R \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . On the other hand, we have  $x^n = a \in \mathfrak{p}$ , thus  $x \in \mathfrak{p}$  in  $R$ . It follows that  $xR + \mathfrak{m}R \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $xR + \mathfrak{m}R$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ , we have  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}R + xR$  and hence  $R$  is local.

(2): This is straightforward and follows by an argument similar to [13, Lemma 4.1]. Indeed, let  $I$  be an Ulrich ideal of  $A$  with a reduction  $Q$ . Then  $I^2R = QIR$ , and  $IR/I^2R$  is a free  $R/IR$ -module.

(3): By (2),  $\mathfrak{m}R$  is an Ulrich ideal of  $R$ . On the other hand, since  $\dim R = \dim A$ ,  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  is an Artinian ring with the maximal ideal  $(\mathfrak{m}R + xR)/\mathfrak{m}R$ . It follows that  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  is a hypersurface.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.13.** *Let  $H = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell \rangle$  be a numerical semigroup generated by positive integers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell$ . Choose integers  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$  and  $m \in H$  but  $m \neq a_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ . Set  $S := nH + \langle m \rangle$ . Let  $A := k[[H]] = k[[t^h \mid h \in H]]$  and  $R := k[[S]] = k[[t^s \mid s \in S]]$  denote the numerical semigroup rings of  $H$  and  $S$  over a field  $k$  respectively, where  $k[[t]]$  denotes the formal power series ring over  $k$ . Then we have the isomorphism*

$$R \cong A[X]/(X^n - t^m)$$

of rings, where  $A[X]$  denotes the polynomial ring over  $A$ . Hence  $R$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $n$ . Consequently, if  $A$  satisfies (SAC), then  $R$  satisfies (SAC).

*Proof.* The ring homomorphism  $k[[t]] \rightarrow k[[t]]$ ;  $t \mapsto t^n$  induces a ring homomorphism  $A \rightarrow R$ ;  $t^h \mapsto t^{nh}$ . This also induces the surjective ring homomorphism  $\varphi : A[X] \rightarrow R$  by corresponding  $X \rightarrow t^m$ . Checking that  $(X^n - t^m) \subseteq \text{Ker } \varphi$ , we obtain the surjection  $\bar{\varphi} : A[X]/(X^n - t^m) \rightarrow R$ . Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Z := \text{Ker } \bar{\varphi} & \longrightarrow & T := A[X]/(X^n - t^m) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & R \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cdot x & & \downarrow \cdot x & & \downarrow \cdot t^m \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & T & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & R \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

of  $T$ -modules, where  $x$  is the image of  $X$  in  $T$  and  $\cdot x$  denotes the multiplication by  $x$ . By Snake lemma, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z/XZ \rightarrow A/t^m A \rightarrow R/t^m R \rightarrow 0$$

of  $T$ -modules. Note that  $\ell_A(A/t^m A) = \ell_A(k[[t]]/t^m k[[t]]) = m$  and  $\ell_A(R/t^m R) = \ell_A(k[[t]]/t^m k[[t]]) = m$  by the fact that  $A$ ,  $R$ , and  $k[[t]]$  are finitely generated  $A$ -modules of rank one and [17, Theorem



14.8]. Hence, we have  $\ell_T(Z/XZ) = 0$ . By Nakayama's lemma, we obtain that  $Z = 0$ . Therefore,  $\overline{\varphi}: A[X]/(X^n - t^m) \rightarrow R$  is an isomorphism.

Now let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal of  $A$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{m}, x)R$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $R$  (see Corollary 3.12). Thus,  $R \cong R_{(\mathfrak{m}, x)} \cong \frac{A[X]_{(\mathfrak{m}, X)}}{(X^n - t^m)A[X]_{(\mathfrak{m}, X)}}$ . If  $A$  satisfies (SAC), then  $A[X]_{(\mathfrak{m}, X)}$  satisfies (SAC) by Corollary 3.11. Since  $A[X]_{(\mathfrak{m}, X)}$  is an integral domain, we have  $R \cong \frac{A[X]_{(\mathfrak{m}, X)}}{(X^n - t^m)A[X]_{(\mathfrak{m}, X)}}$  satisfies (SAC) by Corollary 2.5.  $\square$

Next we record two preliminary results for applications to (ARC). The first one is a variation of Proposition 3.3(2) and (3).

**Proposition 3.14.** *Let  $S$  be an  $R$ -algebra and  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Then the following hold:*

- (1) *Let  $Y$  be an  $R$ -module such that  $\text{fd}_R Y < \infty$ . Suppose  $\text{Rfd}_R M \leq 0$ . Let  $X$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module. If  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(X, M) = 0$  then  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(X, M \otimes_R Y) = 0$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(X, M) \otimes_R Y \cong \text{Hom}_R(X, M \otimes_R Y)$ .*
- (2) *Suppose  $\text{fd}_R S < \infty$  and  $\text{Rfd}_R M \leq 0$ . Let  $X$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module such that  $\text{Rfd}_R X \leq 0$ . If  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(X, M) = 0$  then  $\text{Ext}_S^{\geq 0}(X \otimes_R S, M \otimes_R S) = 0$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(X, M) \otimes_R S \cong \text{Hom}_S(X \otimes_R S, M \otimes_R S)$ .*

*Proof.* (1): By Lemma 3.1(3), we have  $\text{Rfd}_R \text{Hom}_R(X, M) \leq 0$ . Thus  $\text{Hom}_R(X, M) \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} Y \cong \text{Hom}_R(X, M) \otimes_R Y$ . Since  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(X, M) = 0$ , we have  $\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_R(X, M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(X, M)$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_R(X, M) \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} Y \cong \text{Hom}_R(X, M) \otimes_R Y$ . By [7, (A.4.23)], we get

$$\text{Hom}_R(X, M) \otimes_R Y \cong \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_R(X, M) \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} Y \cong \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_R(X, M \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} Y).$$

Now  $\text{fd}_R Y < \infty$  and  $\text{Rfd}_R M \leq 0$  imply  $\text{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, Y) = 0$  and hence  $M \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} Y \cong M \otimes_R Y$ . Thus  $\text{Hom}_R(X, M) \otimes_R Y \cong \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_R(X, M \otimes_R Y)$ . Now comparing homologies of both sides, we get  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(X, M \otimes_R Y) = 0$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(X, M) \otimes_R Y \cong \text{Hom}_R(X, M \otimes_R Y)$ .

(2): This follows by combining (1) and the isomorphism of Ext of Proposition 3.3(1):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(X, M) = 0 &\implies \text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(X, M \otimes_R S) = 0 \\ &\text{by (1)} \\ \implies \text{Ext}_S^n(X \otimes_R S, M \otimes_R S) &\cong \text{Ext}_R^n(X, M \otimes_R S) = 0 \text{ for all } n > 0. \\ \text{Proposition 3.3(1)} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $\text{Hom}_R(X, M) \otimes_R S \cong \text{Hom}_R(X, M \otimes_R S) \cong \text{Hom}_S(X \otimes_R S, M \otimes_R S)$  follows by part (1) and Proposition 3.3(1).  $\square$

The next lemma is a variation of Lemma 3.6.

**Lemma 3.15.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be finitely generated  $R$ -modules over a ring  $R$ . If  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, N \oplus R) = 0$ , then  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(\Omega_R^n M, R \oplus \Omega_R^n N) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* By induction on  $n$ , it is enough to prove that  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(\Omega_R M, R \oplus \Omega_R N) = 0$ . We need to prove  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(\Omega_R M, \Omega_R N) = 0$ . We have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Omega_R N \rightarrow F \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  for some finitely generated projective  $R$ -module  $F$ . Now  $F$  is a direct summand of a finitely generated free  $R$ -module, therefore  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, R) = 0$  implies  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, F) = 0$ . Consequently,  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(M, \Omega_R N)$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . Thus  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(\Omega_R M, \Omega_R N)$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .  $\square$

The following shows that (ARC) descends along local ring homomorphism of finite flat dimension.

**Theorem 3.16.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n})$  be a homomorphism of local rings such that  $\text{fd}_R S < \infty$ . If  $S$  satisfies (ARC) then  $R$  satisfies (ARC).*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module such that  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, R \oplus M) = 0$  and  $n = \text{Rfd}_R M$ . By Lemma 3.15, we have  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(\Omega_R^n M, \Omega_R^n M) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^{>0}(\Omega_R^n M, R)$ . By Lemma 3.1(2), we know  $\text{Rfd}_R \Omega_R^n M \leq 0$ . Since  $\text{Rfd}_R R = 0$ , by Proposition 3.14(2), we get  $\text{Ext}_S^{>0}(\Omega_R^n M \otimes_R S, \Omega_R^n M \otimes_R S) = 0$  and  $\text{Ext}_S^{>0}(\Omega_R^n M \otimes_R S, S) \cong \text{Ext}_S^{>0}(\Omega_R^n M \otimes_R S, R \otimes_R S) = 0$ . Now  $S$  satisfies (ARC) and  $\Omega_R^n M \otimes_R S$  is a finitely generated  $S$ -module. Therefore  $\text{pd}_S(\Omega_R^n M \otimes_R S) < \infty$ . By Lemma 3.5, we then get  $\text{pd}_R \Omega_R^n M < \infty$ , i.e.  $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$ . Since  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, R) = 0$ , this implies  $M$  is  $R$ -free.  $\square$

The following significantly generalizes [16, Theorem 2.3(3)  $\implies$  (1)] and the (ARC) part of [6, Theorem 4.5.(1)].

**Corollary 3.17.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring and  $I$  be an ideal of finite projective dimension. If  $R/I$  satisfies (ARC), then  $R$  satisfies (ARC).*

*Proof.* Since  $R \rightarrow R/I$  is a homomorphism of local rings and  $\text{fd}_R R/I \leq \text{pd}_R R/I < \infty$ , we are done by Theorem 3.16.  $\square$

**Remark 3.18.** Applying [16, Proposition 2.1] and Theorem 3.16 in place of Corollary 2.5 and Theorem 3.7 respectively, and using similar arguments as in Corollaries 2.7, 3.11, and 3.9, we have that if one of the following rings satisfies (ARC), then they all do

$$R, \widehat{R}, R[[X]], R[X]_{(\mathfrak{m}, X)}.$$

#### 4. TRANSFER OF (SAC) ALONG $R \rightarrow R/I^\ell$

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n})$  be a local homomorphism of local rings. In the previous section we prove that (SAC) for  $S$  implies (SAC) for  $R$  if the flat dimension of  $S$  over  $R$  is finite. In the current section we explore Question 1.3 in connection with the study. In this section we explore several cases as stated in Theorem 1.5.

**Remark 4.1.** If one can prove that Question 1.3(2) has a positive answer, then (SAC) holds for all Noetherian rings. Indeed, let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring. We may assume that  $R$  is local and complete by Corollary 3.9. Then recalling that every complete local ring is a homomorphic image of a regular local ring, Question 1.3(2) has a positive answer implies that  $R$  satisfies (SAC).

We need the following lemma to prove Lemma 4.3 which plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 4.6 covers the non-trivial part of Theorem 4.9.

**Lemma 4.2.** ([16, Lemma 2.6]) *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring and  $I$  an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . Then we have the following.*

- (1) *Suppose that  $R/I$  is a Gorenstein ring. If  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, R/I) = 0$ , then  $\text{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, R/I) = 0$ .*
- (2) *Suppose that  $N$  is a finitely generated  $R/I$ -module. If  $\text{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, R/I) = 0$ , then  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_{R/I}^i(M/IM, N)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring. Let  $Q_n \subseteq Q_{n-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Q_1$  be a sequence of  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals, where  $n \geq 2$ , such that  $R/Q_1$  is Gorenstein and satisfies (SAC). Suppose for each  $n \geq i \geq 2$ ,  $Q_{i-1}/Q_i$  is a free  $R/Q_1$ -module of rank  $a_i$  and  $\frac{(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell-1} a_i)}{a_\ell} < 1$  for all  $2 \leq \ell \leq n$ . Then  $R/Q_\ell$  satisfies (SAC) for all  $2 \leq \ell \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* The idea of the proof is similar to [16, Theorem 2.3 (1)  $\implies$  (4)]. We include the proof since some details are different. Set  $R_i = R/Q_i$  for  $i > 0$ . We then have the exact sequences

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow Q_{i-1}/Q_i \rightarrow R_i \rightarrow R_{i-1} \rightarrow 0$$

of  $R$ -modules for all  $2 \leq i \leq \ell$ . By assumption,  $Q_{i-1}/Q_i \cong R_1^{\oplus a_i}$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq n$ .

Let  $2 \leq \ell \leq n$ . Suppose that  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R_\ell$ -module such that  $\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^{>0}(M, R_\ell) = \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^{\gg 0}(M, M) = 0$ . We aim to show that  $\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^{>0}(M, M) = 0$ . By applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_{R_\ell}(M, -)$

to (4.1), we get long exact sequences and isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.2) \quad & \cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(M, R_1)^{\oplus a_i} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(M, R_i) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(M, R_{i-1}) \\
& \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^{j+1}(M, R_1)^{\oplus a_i} \rightarrow \cdots \quad \text{and} \\
& \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(M, R_{\ell-1}) \cong \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^{j+1}(M, R_1)^{\oplus a_\ell}
\end{aligned}$$

of  $R_\ell$ -modules for all  $2 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$  and  $j > 0$ . Set  $E_j = \ell_{R_\ell}(\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(M, R_1))$  for  $j > 0$ . Then by (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{j+1} \cdot a_\ell &= \ell_{R_\ell}(\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(M, R_{\ell-1})) \leq E_j \cdot a_{\ell-1} + \ell_{R_\ell}(\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(M, R_{\ell-2})) \\
&\leq E_j \cdot (a_{\ell-1} + a_{\ell-2}) + \ell_{R_\ell}(\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(M, R_{\ell-3})) \leq \cdots \\
&\leq E_j \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell-1} a_i\right),
\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$E_{j+1} \leq \frac{(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell-1} a_i)}{a_\ell} \cdot E_j$$

for all  $j > 0$ . It follows that  $E_{m+1} \leq \left(\frac{(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell-1} a_i)}{a_\ell}\right)^m E_1$  for  $m \gg 0$ . Since  $\frac{(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell-1} a_i)}{a_\ell} < 1$ , we obtain that  $E_{m+1} = 0$ , that is,  $\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^{m+1}(M, R_1) = 0$  for all  $m \gg 0$ .

On the other hand, assume that  $\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^{j+1}(M, R_1) = 0$  for some  $j > 0$ . Then by the isomorphism of (4.2), we get  $\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(M, R_{\ell-1}) = 0$ . It follows that  $\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(M, R_{\ell-2}) = 0$  by the long exact sequence of (4.2) where  $i = \ell - 1$ . By looking at the long exact sequence of (4.2) recursively, we finally obtain  $\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(M, R_1) = 0$ . Thus  $\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^{j+1}(M, R_1) = 0$  implies that  $\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(M, R_1) = 0$  for all  $j > 0$ . Hence  $\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^{m+1}(M, R_1) = 0$  for all  $m \gg 0$  concludes that  $\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^{\geq 0}(M, R_1) = 0$ . We have  $\text{Tor}_{>0}^{R_\ell}(M, R_1) = 0$  by Lemma 4.2 (1). It follows that

$$(4.3) \quad \text{Tor}_{>0}^{R_\ell}(M, R_i) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$$

by applying the functor  $M \otimes_{R_\ell} -$  to the exact sequence (4.1). We furthermore have

$$(4.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow (M/Q_1M)^{\oplus a_i} \rightarrow M/Q_iM \rightarrow M/Q_{i-1}M \rightarrow 0$$

of  $R_\ell$ -modules for all  $2 \leq i \leq \ell$  by (4.1) and (4.3). Therefore, by applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_{R_\ell}(M, -)$  to (4.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.5) \quad & \cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(M, M/Q_1M)^{\oplus a_i} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(M, M/Q_iM) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(M, M/Q_{i-1}M) \\
& \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^{j+1}(M, M/Q_1M)^{\oplus a_i} \rightarrow \cdots \quad \text{and} \\
& \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(M, M/Q_{\ell-1}M) \cong \text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^{j+1}(M, M/Q_1M)^{a_\ell}
\end{aligned}$$

of  $R_\ell$ -modules for all  $2 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$  and  $j \gg 0$ . Set  $E'_j = \ell_{R_\ell}(\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^j(M, M/Q_1M))$  for  $j > 0$ . Then by the same calculation as above, we obtain that  $\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^{\geq 0}(M, M/Q_1M) = 0$ . It follows that  $\text{Ext}_{R_1}^{\geq 0}(M/Q_1M, M/Q_1M) = 0$  by (4.3) and Lemma 4.2 (2) (here our ring is  $R_\ell = R/Q_\ell$  and the ideal is  $Q_1/Q_\ell$ ). Since  $R_1$  is a Artinian Gorenstein ring, we have  $\text{Ext}_{R_1}^{\geq 0}(M/Q_1M, R_1) = 0$ . Now  $R_1$  satisfies (SAC) implies  $\text{Ext}_{R_1}^{\geq 0}(M/Q_1M, M/Q_1M) = 0$ . By Lemma 4.2 (2), It follows that  $\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^{\geq 0}(M, M/Q_1M) = 0$ . Now applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_{R_\ell}(M, -)$  to (4.4) for each  $2 \leq i \leq \ell$ , we get that  $\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^{\geq 0}(M, M/Q_iM) = 0$  for each  $2 \leq i \leq \ell$ . Since  $M/Q_\ell M = M$  (as  $M$  is  $R/Q_\ell$ -module), we get  $\text{Ext}_{R_\ell}^{\geq 0}(M, M) = 0$ .  $\square$

We apply Lemma 4.3 to Ulrich ideals.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $I$  be an Ulrich ideal of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  of dimension  $n$ . If  $\mu(I) = c$ , then  $I^i/I^{i+1} \cong (R/I)^{\oplus \binom{i+n-1}{n-1} + (c-n)\binom{i-1+n-1}{n-1}}$  for every  $i \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* We first prove that  $I/Q \cong (R/I)^{\oplus(c-n)}$ . Indeed, by noting that  $I^2 = QI$ , we have the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow Q/QI = Q/I^2 \rightarrow I/I^2 \rightarrow I/Q \rightarrow 0$ . We also observe that  $Q/QI \cong (Q/Q^2) \otimes_R R/I \cong (R/I)^{\oplus n}$  and  $I/I^2 \cong (R/I)^{\oplus c}$ . By Auslander-Buchsbaum formula ([4, Theorem 1.3.3]), it follows that  $I/Q$  is an  $R/I$  free module of rank  $c - n$ .

We second prove that  $I^i/Q^i \cong (R/I)^{\oplus(c-n)} \binom{i-1+n-1}{n-1}$  for all  $i > 0$ . Observing the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I^i/Q^i = Q^{i-1}I/Q^i \rightarrow Q^{i-1}/Q^i \rightarrow Q^{i-1}/Q^{i-1}I \rightarrow 0,$$

we obtain that  $I^i/Q^i \cong (I/Q)^{\oplus \binom{i-1+n-1}{n-1}}$  because

$$Q^{i-1}/Q^i \cong (R/Q)^{\oplus \binom{i-1+n-1}{n-1}} \text{ and } Q^{i-1}/Q^{i-1}I \cong Q^{i-1}/Q^i \otimes_R R/I \cong (R/I)^{\oplus \binom{i-1+n-1}{n-1}}.$$

Since  $I/Q \cong (R/I)^{\oplus(c-n)}$  by the first step, it follows that  $I^i/Q^i \cong (R/I)^{\oplus(c-n)} \binom{i-1+n-1}{n-1}$  for all  $i > 0$ .

Now we prove the assertion. Let

$$0 \rightarrow Q^i/Q^i I = Q^i/I^{i+1} \rightarrow I^i/I^{i+1} \rightarrow I^i/Q^i \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence. Note that  $Q^i/Q^i I = Q^i/I^{i+1} \cong (R/I)^{\oplus \binom{i+n-1}{n-1}}$  and  $I^i/Q^i \cong (R/I)^{\oplus(c-n)} \binom{i-1+n-1}{n-1}$ . Therefore, by Auslander-Buchsbaum formula, we obtain that  $I^i/I^{i+1}$  is an  $R/I$ -free module of rank  $\binom{i+n-1}{n-1} + (c-n) \binom{i-1+n-1}{n-1}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $M, N$  be  $R$ -modules. Let  $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$  be a sequence of  $R$ -algebras such that for each  $2 \leq i \leq \ell$  and  $i', i'' < i$ , there is an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow R_{i'}^{\oplus a_i} \rightarrow R_i \rightarrow R_{i''}^{\oplus b_i} \rightarrow 0$ . Suppose  $\text{Tor}_{>0}^R(M \oplus N, R_1) = 0$ . Then the following hold.*

- (1) For all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ,  $\text{Tor}_{>0}^R(M \oplus N, R_i) = 0$ .
- (2) If  $\text{Ext}_R^>0(M, N \otimes_R R_1) = 0$ , then  $\text{Ext}_{R_i}^>0(M \otimes_R R_i, N \otimes_R R_i) = 0$  holds for each  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ .
- (3) If  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, N \otimes_R R_1) = 0$ , then  $\text{Ext}_{R_i}^{\geq 0}(M \otimes_R R_i, N \otimes_R R_i) = 0$  holds for each  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ .

*Proof.* (1): The  $i = 1$  case is by hypothesis. For  $i = 2$  and  $i' = i'' = 1$ , applying  $(M \oplus N) \otimes -$  to  $0 \rightarrow R_1^{\oplus a_2} \rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow R_1^{\oplus b_2} \rightarrow 0$ , we get  $\text{Tor}_{>0}^R(M \oplus N, R_2) = 0$ . Suppose the claim for Tor vanishing has been proved for all  $j < i$  then again applying  $(M \oplus N) \otimes -$  to  $0 \rightarrow R_{i'}^{\oplus a_i} \rightarrow R_i \rightarrow R_{i''}^{\oplus b_i} \rightarrow 0$  and since  $i', i'' < i$ , we get  $\text{Tor}_{>0}^R(M \oplus N, R_i) = 0$ .

(2): We first note that isomorphism  $\text{Ext}_{R_i}^n(M \otimes_R R_i, N \otimes_R R_i) \cong \text{Ext}_R^n(M, N \otimes_R R_i)$  holds for all  $n$  and for all  $0 \leq i \leq \ell$  by Proposition 3.3(1) (since we have  $\text{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, R_i) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$  by part (1)).

It is enough to prove  $\text{Ext}_R^>0(M, N \otimes_R R_i) = 0$  holds for each  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ . Again, the  $i = 1$  case is by hypothesis. Since  $\text{Tor}_{>0}^R(N, R_i) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ , for each  $i$ , we get an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow (N \otimes_R R_{i'})^{\oplus a_i} \rightarrow N \otimes_R R_i \rightarrow (N \otimes_R R_{i''})^{\oplus b_i} \rightarrow 0$ . For  $i = 2$ ,  $i', i'' = 1$ , the claim follows by applying  $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$ . Similarly, if the claim  $\text{Ext}_R^>0(M, N \otimes_R R_j) = 0$  has been proved for all  $j < i$  where  $i \leq \ell$ , then again applying  $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$  to  $0 \rightarrow (N \otimes_R R_{i'})^{\oplus a_i} \rightarrow N \otimes_R R_i \rightarrow (N \otimes_R R_{i''})^{\oplus b_i} \rightarrow 0$ , and remembering  $i', i'' < i$ , we get  $\text{Ext}_R^>0(M, N \otimes_R R_i) = 0$ .

(3): The proof is similar to (2).  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension  $n$ . Let  $I$  be an Ulrich ideal such that  $R/I$  is Gorenstein. Then the following hold true.*

- (1) If  $R/I$  satisfies (SAC) and  $n \geq 2$ , then  $R/I^\ell$  satisfy (SAC) for all  $2 \leq \ell \leq n$ .
- (2) If  $R/I^\ell$  satisfies (SAC) for some  $\ell > 0$  and  $\mu(I) - \dim R > 1$ , then  $R$  satisfies (SAC).

*Proof.* (1): We use Lemma 4.3 with  $Q_i := I^i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $\mu(I) = c$ . By Lemma 4.4 we have  $a_i = \binom{i-1+n-1}{n-1} + (c-n) \binom{i-2+n-1}{n-1}$  in the notation of Lemma 4.3. If  $\ell = 2$ , then  $\frac{(1+\sum_{i=2}^{\ell-1} a_i)}{a_\ell} =$

$\frac{1}{a_2} = \frac{1}{\binom{2^{-1+n-1}}{n-1} + (c-n)\binom{2^{-2+n-1}}{n-1}} = \frac{1}{n+(c-n)} = \frac{1}{c} < 1$  as  $c \geq \dim R = n > 1$ . If  $\ell \geq 3$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell-1} a_i &= 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell-1} \binom{i-1+n-1}{n-1} + (c-n) \binom{i-2+n-1}{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-2} \binom{i+n-1}{n-1} + (c-n) \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-3} \binom{i+n-1}{n-1} \\ &= \binom{\ell-2+n}{n} + (c-n) \binom{\ell-3+n}{n} \\ &< \binom{\ell-2+n}{n-1} + (c-n) \binom{\ell+n-3}{n-1} = a_\ell \end{aligned}$$

(as  $\ell \leq n$  implies  $\binom{\ell-2+n}{n} < \binom{\ell-2+n}{n-1}$  and  $\binom{\ell-3+n}{n} \leq \binom{\ell-3+n}{n-1}$ ). Thus we get  $\frac{(1+\sum_{i=2}^{\ell-1} a_i)}{a_\ell} < 1$  for all  $2 \leq \ell \leq n$ . Therefore the result follows from Lemma 4.3.

(2): Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module such that  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, M) = \text{Ext}_R^>0(M, R) = 0$ . We claim that  $M$  is free. By Lemma 3.6, we have  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(\Omega_R^n M, \Omega_R^n M) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^>0(M, R)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore passing to high enough syzygy, we may assume  $M$  is MCM.

Let  $Q$  be a parameter ideal such that  $I^2 = QI$ . Note that  $\text{Ext}_R^>0(M, R) = 0$  implies  $\text{Ext}_R^>0(M, R/Q) = 0$ . Let  $a := \mu(I) - \dim R$ . By the proof of Lemma 4.4 we have  $I/Q \cong (R/I)^{\oplus a}$ . The idea of the proof is similar to [16, Theorem 2.3 (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1)]. We include the proof since some details are different. Applying  $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$  to the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow (R/I)^{\oplus a} \cong I/Q \rightarrow R/Q \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$ , we get  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R/I) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(M, R/I)^{\oplus a}$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . Let  $n_i = \ell_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R/I))$ . Then,  $n_i = a n_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq 1$  and  $n_1 = a^i n_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . Since  $a > 1$ , we have  $n_{i+1} = \frac{n_1}{a^i} < 1$  for all  $i \gg 0$ . Since  $n_i$ 's are integers for all  $i \gg 0$ , we get  $n_i = 0$ . Hence  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R/I) = 0$  for all  $i \gg 0$ . Now  $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, R/I) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{j+1}(M, R/I)^{\oplus a}$  for all  $j \geq 1$  imply that  $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, R/I) = 0$  for all  $j \geq 1$ . By Lemma 4.2(1), we have  $\text{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, R/I) = 0$ . Since  $M$  is MCM and  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, M) = 0$ , we have  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, M/QM) = 0$ . Applying  $- \otimes_R M$  to  $0 \rightarrow (R/I)^{\oplus a} \rightarrow R/Q \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$ , we get an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow (M/IM)^{\oplus a} \rightarrow M/QM \rightarrow M/IM \rightarrow 0$ . Applying  $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$  to this we get  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, M/IM) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(M, M/IM)^{\oplus a}$  for all  $i \gg 0$ . Again considering length of each  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, M/IM)$ , similar to the above argument, we get  $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, M/IM) = 0$  for all  $j \gg 0$ .

Now we have  $\text{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, R/I) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, M \otimes_R R/I) = \text{Ext}_R^>0(M, R/I)$  and exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow (R/I)^{\oplus a_i} \cong I^{i-1}/I^i \rightarrow R/I^i \rightarrow R/I^{i-1} \rightarrow 0$  for all  $i \geq 2$ . Applying Proposition 4.5 with  $M = N$  and  $N = R$  respectively and  $R_i := R/I^i$  for all  $i \geq 1$ , we get  $\text{Ext}_{R/I^\ell}^{\geq 0}(M \otimes_R R/I^\ell, M \otimes_R R/I^\ell) = 0 = \text{Ext}_{R/I^\ell}^>0(M \otimes_R R/I^\ell, R/I^\ell)$ . Now  $R/I^\ell$  satisfies (SAC) implies  $\text{pd}_{R/I^\ell}(M \otimes_R R/I^\ell)$  is finite. Since  $\text{Tor}_{>0}^R(M, R/I^\ell) = 0$ , by Lemma 3.5, we get  $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$ . Now [17, Lemma 1 (iii), page 154] and  $\text{Ext}_R^>0(M, R) = 0$  imply  $M$  is free.  $\square$

**Remark 4.7.** (1) If  $R$  is Gorenstein and  $I$  is an Ulrich ideal, then  $R/I$  is Gorenstein by [12, Corollary 2.6(b)].

(2) If  $I$  is an Ulrich ideal of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring  $R$  then  $R$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $R/I$  is Gorenstein and  $\mu_R(I) = \dim R + 1$  again by [12, Corollary 2.6(b)]. But if  $R$  is non-Gorenstein almost Gorenstein rings and type of  $R$  is a prime number (for example of such rings, see [12, Theorem 3.4]) then  $R/I$  is Gorenstein and  $\mu_R(I) - \dim R > 1$  by [13, Corollary 2.11]. For all the examples of Ulrich ideal  $I$  as in [12, Theorem 3.4] it holds that  $R/I$  is an artinian hypersurface ring, hence satisfy (SAC) by Corollary 3.10, and also  $\mu(I) - \dim R = 4 - 2 = 2$ . Hence by Theorem 4.6 it follows that  $R$  and  $R/I^2$  satisfy (SAC).

The following is an example of a ring satisfying the assumption of Theorem 4.6(2).

**Example 4.8.** Let  $R = k[[t^8, t^{11}, t^{12}, t^{14}, t^{18}]]$  be a numerical semigroup ring over a field  $k$ , where  $k[[t]]$  is the formal power series ring over  $k$ . Let  $I = (t^8, t^{12}, t^{14}, t^{18})$  be an ideal of  $R$  and  $Q = (t^8)$

a reduction of  $I$ . Then  $I$  is an Ulrich ideal such that  $R/I$  is a complete intersection. Indeed, one can check that  $I^2 = QI$ ,  $\ell_R(R/I) = 2$ , and  $\ell_R(I/I^2) = 8 = \mu_R(I)\ell_R(R/I)$ . It follows that  $I/I^2 \cong (R/I)^{\oplus 4}$ . Noting that  $R/I$  satisfies (SAC) since  $R/I$  is a hypersurface,  $R$  satisfies (SAC).

**Theorem 4.9.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Gorenstein local ring and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be a regular sequence on  $R$ . Set  $Q = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  satisfies (SAC);
- (2)  $R/Q$  satisfies (SAC);
- (3)  $R/Q^\ell$  satisfies (SAC) for some integer  $\ell > 0$ ;
- (4)  $R/Q^\ell$  satisfies (SAC) for all integers  $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) follows from Corollary 2.5, (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) follows from Corollary 3.8, and (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is clear. Hence it is enough to show that (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). In both proofs, we may assume that  $n = \dim R$ , that is,  $Q$  is a parameter ideal of  $R$ . Indeed, if  $n < \dim R$ , we can choose  $x_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{m}$  so that  $x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}$  is a regular sequence of  $R$ . Then  $x_{n+1}$  is a regular element of  $R/Q^\ell$  for all  $\ell > 0$  since  $R/Q^\ell$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension  $\dim R - n$ . Hence, for all  $\ell > 0$ ,  $R/Q^\ell$  satisfies (SAC) if and only if  $R/[Q^\ell + (x_{n+1})]$  satisfies (SAC) by Corollary 2.5.

Suppose that  $n = \dim R$ . By Corollary 2.5 again, we may assume that  $n \geq 2$  and  $\ell \geq 2$ . So now the claim follows from Theorem 4.6(1) as every parameter ideal is an Ulrich ideal.  $\square$

For a moment, let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be an Artinian local ring. Then there is a regular local ring  $(S, \mathfrak{n})$  and a surjective local ring homomorphism  $\varphi : S \rightarrow R$ . We can choose  $S$  so that the dimension of  $S$  is equal to the embedding dimension  $v(R)$  of  $R$ . Set  $v = v(R) = \dim S$  and  $r = r(R)$ . With these assumptions and notation, we have the following.

**Fact 4.10.** ([16, Proposition 3.2]) *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be an Artinian local ring and  $S$  be as above. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $r \leq v$  and there exists a regular sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_v \in \mathfrak{n}$  on  $S$  such that

$$R \cong S / [(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r)^2 + (X_{r+1}, X_{r+2}, \dots, X_v)]$$

as rings;

- (2) There exists a nonzero ideal  $I$  of  $R$  such that
  - (i)  $I^2 = 0$  and  $I$  is  $R/I$ -free,
  - (ii)  $R/I$  is a complete intersection.

We note an application of the above. Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Suppose that  $R$  has an Ulrich ideal  $I$  such that  $R/I$  is a complete intersection. Let  $Q$  be a parameter ideal that is a reduction of  $I$ . Then the ideal  $I/Q$  of  $R/Q$  satisfies the assertion of Fact 4.10 (2)(i) and (ii). This observation provides the following that is a stronger result than [16, Corollary 3.8].

**Corollary 4.11.** *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Suppose that there exists an Ulrich ideal  $I$  such that  $R/I$  is a complete intersection. Then  $R$  satisfies (SAC).*

*Proof.* Let  $Q$  be a parameter ideal that is a reduction of the Ulrich ideal  $I$ . Then  $I/Q$  is  $R/I$ -free ([12, Lemma 2.3.]). Thus the ideal  $I/Q$  of  $R/Q$  satisfies the condition (2) of Fact 4.10. Hence  $R/Q \cong S/(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r)^2$  for some complete intersection  $S$  of dimension  $r = r(R)$  and a regular sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r$  on  $S$ . Therefore,  $R$  satisfies (SAC) by Corollary 3.10 and Theorem 4.9.  $\square$

The following are examples of rings that have an Ulrich ideal whose residue ring is a complete intersection.

**Example 4.12.** Let  $(R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)$  be a local complete intersection of dimension  $d$ , and let  $S = R_0[[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n]]$  denote the formal power series ring over  $R_0$ . Set

$$R = S/I_2 \left( \begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & X_2 & \dots & X_n \\ Y_1 & Y_2 & \dots & Y_n \end{array} \right).$$

$x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$  denotes the image of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  in  $R$  respectively. Suppose that  $z_1, \dots, z_d \in \mathfrak{m}_0$  is a regular sequence of  $R_0$ . Then

$$I = (z_1, \dots, z_d, x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

is an Ulrich ideal of  $R$  and  $R/I$  is a complete intersection.

*Proof.* Note that  $R$  is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension  $d + n + 1$ . Indeed, this follows from the fact that  $I_2 \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_n \\ Y_1 & Y_2 & \cdots & Y_n \end{pmatrix}$  is perfect in the sense of [4, Definition 1.4.15] by [11]. Since  $R/I \cong R_0/(z_1, \dots, z_d)$ ,  $R/I$  is a complete intersection. Set

$$Q = (z_1, \dots, z_d, x_1, y_n, x_2 - y_1, x_3 - y_2, \dots, x_n - y_{n-1}).$$

We then show that  $I^2 = QI$  and  $I/I^2$  is a free  $R/I$ -module. Note that  $x_i y_j = x_j y_i$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  since we may assume that  $i \neq j$  and then  $X_i Y_j - X_j Y_i = \begin{vmatrix} X_i & X_j \\ Y_i & Y_j \end{vmatrix} \in I_2 \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_n \\ Y_1 & Y_2 & \cdots & Y_n \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $I = Q + (x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , we have  $I^2 = QI + (x_2, \dots, x_n)^2$ . For all  $2 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ , we get

$$x_i x_j = (x_i - y_{i-1})x_j + x_{i-1}y_j = (x_i - y_{i-1})x_j - x_{i-1}(x_{j+1} - y_j) + x_{i-1}x_{j+1}.$$

It follows that  $x_i x_j \equiv x_{i-1} x_{j+1} \pmod{QI}$ . By using the equivalence, to prove  $(x_2, \dots, x_n)^2 \subseteq QI$ , it is enough to show that  $x_1 x_i \in QI$  and  $x_i x_n \in QI$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The former assertion is clear since  $x_1 \in Q$ . For the latter assertion, we may assume that  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . Then we obtain

$$x_i x_n = (x_i - y_{i-1})x_n + y_{i-1}x_n = (x_i - y_{i-1})x_n + x_{i-1}y_n.$$

Hence  $x_i x_n \in QI$  since  $x_i - y_{i-1}, y_n \in Q$ . Thus  $I^2 = QI$ .

We next prove that  $I/I^2$  is a free  $R/I$ -module. By noting that  $Q/QI \cong (R/I) \otimes_R Q/Q^2 = (R/I)^{\oplus(d+n+1)}$ , it is enough to show that  $\ell_R(I/Q) = \ell_R(R/I)(n-1)$  since there exists a surjection  $(R/I)^{\oplus(d+2n)} \rightarrow I/I^2$  and  $\ell_R(I/I^2) = \ell_R(I/Q) + \ell_R(Q/QI) = \ell_R(I/Q) + \ell_R(R/I)(d+n+1)$ . We calculate the length of  $I/Q$ . Since  $R = S/I_2 \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_n \\ Y_1 & Y_2 & \cdots & Y_n \end{pmatrix}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I/Q &\cong [(X_2, \dots, X_n) + (z_1, \dots, z_d)] / [(X_2, \dots, X_n)^2 + (z_1, \dots, z_d)] \\ &\subseteq R_0[[X_2, \dots, X_n]] / [(X_2, \dots, X_n)^2 + (z_1, \dots, z_d)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get  $\ell_R(I/Q) = \ell_R(R/I)(n-1)$  as desired.  $\square$

We here note some consequences of Theorem 4.9.

**Corollary 4.13.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Gorenstein local ring and  $F$  a finitely generated free  $R$ -module.  $R \times F$  denotes the idealization of  $F$  over  $R$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  satisfies (SAC);
- (2)  $R \times F$  satisfies (SAC).

*Proof.* Let  $F \cong R^{\oplus \ell}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} R \text{ satisfies (SAC)} &\Leftrightarrow R[[X_1, \dots, X_\ell]] \text{ satisfies (SAC)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow R[[X_1, \dots, X_\ell]] / (X_1, \dots, X_\ell)^2 \text{ satisfies (SAC)} \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 4.9. Noting that  $R \times F \cong R[[X_1, \dots, X_\ell]] / (X_1, \dots, X_\ell)^2$ , we get the assertion.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.14.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Gorenstein local ring and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be a regular sequence in  $R$ . Then  $R$  satisfies (SAC) if and only if  $R/I_2 \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{n-1} & x_n \\ x_2 & x_3 & \cdots & x_n & x_1 \end{pmatrix}$  satisfies (SAC), where  $I_2(\mathbb{M})$  denotes the ideal of  $S$  generated by  $2 \times 2$  minors of the matrix  $\mathbb{M}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S = R/x_1 R$ . Note that  $I_2 \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{n-1} & x_n \\ x_2 & x_3 & \cdots & x_n & x_1 \end{pmatrix} S = (x_2, \dots, x_n)^2 S$ .

Now by Lemma 2.5,  $R$  satisfies (SAC) if and only if  $S$  satisfies (SAC). Since  $S$  is Gorenstein, by Theorem 4.9,  $S$  satisfies (SAC) if and only if  $S/(x_2, \dots, x_n)^2 S$  satisfies (SAC).  $\square$

We next investigate determinantal rings as another application of Theorem 4.9. Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring. Let

$$R[\mathbf{Y}] = R[Y_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq n]$$

be the polynomial ring over  $R$  and  $I(\mathbf{Y})$  be the ideal generated by all maximal minors of the matrix  $(Y_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq n}$ . Then  $R[\mathbf{Y}]/I(\mathbf{Y})$  is called the *determinantal ring* over  $R$ . By noting that the proof of [16, Theorem 2.11] only uses the result of the Auslander-Reiten conjecture version of Theorem 4.9 ([16, Theorem 2.3]), we also have the following.

**Proposition 4.15.** (cf. [16, Theorem 2.11]) *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Gorenstein local ring and  $1 \leq t \leq n$  be integers such that  $2t \leq n+1$ . If  $R$  is a complete intersection in codimension one, then  $R[\mathbf{Y}]/I(\mathbf{Y})$  satisfies (SAC).*

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring. Let  $1 \leq t \leq n$  be integers and set

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{n-1} & x_n \\ x_2 & x_3 & \cdots & x_n & x_{n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_t & x_{t+1} & \cdots & x_{n+t-2} & x_{n+t-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+t-1}$  are indeterminants over  $R$ . Then  $R(t, n) = R[x_1, \dots, x_{n+t-1}]/I_t(H)$  is called a *Hankel determinantal ring* over  $R$ . Let

$$R[\mathbf{Y}] = R[Y_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq n]$$

a polynomial ring over  $R$  and  $I(\mathbf{Y})$  be the ideal generated by all maximal minors of the matrix  $(Y_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq n}$ . Consider the generic determinantal ring  $R[\mathbf{Y}]/I(\mathbf{Y})$  and specializing  $Y_{i,j+1} - Y_{i+1,j} = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t-1, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , we get the Hankel determinantal ring  $R(t, n)$ .

**Proposition 4.16.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension  $d \geq 1$  and  $1 \leq t \leq n$  be integers such that  $2t \leq n+1$ . Let  $R(t, n)$ ,  $R[\mathbf{Y}]$  be as above and  $\eta$  be the maximal homogeneous ideal of  $R[\mathbf{Y}]$ . Then the following hold.*

- (1) *If  $R$  satisfies (SAC) then  $R(t, n)_{\eta R(t, n)}$  and  $(R[\mathbf{Y}]/I(\mathbf{Y}))_{\eta}$  both satisfy (SAC).*
- (2) *If  $R$  is a complete intersection in codimension one then  $R(t, n)$  and  $R[\mathbf{Y}]/I(\mathbf{Y})$  both satisfy (SAC).*

*Proof.* (1): From the above discussion it is clear that if  $R(t, n)_{\eta R(t, n)}$  satisfies (SAC) then  $(R[\mathbf{Y}]/I(\mathbf{Y}))_{\eta}$  satisfies (SAC). Let  $a_1, \dots, a_d$  be a system of parameters of  $R$  and  $I = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ . Then  $a_1, \dots, a_d, x_1, \dots, x_{t-1}, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+t-1}$  is a system of parameters of  $R(t, n)$ . Since  $R[\mathbf{Y}]/I(\mathbf{Y})$  is Cohen-Macaulay and  $\{Y_{i,j+1} - Y_{i+1,j} : 1 \leq i \leq t-1, 1 \leq j \leq n-1\}$  is a part of system of parameters of  $R[\mathbf{Y}]/I(\mathbf{Y})$ ,  $R(t, n)$  is also Cohen-Macaulay. Now

$$(R(t, n)/I + (x_1, \dots, x_{t-1}, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+t-1}))_{\eta R(t, n)} \simeq (S/(x_t, \dots, x_n)^t S)_{\eta'}$$

where  $S = (R/I)[x_t, \dots, x_n]$  and  $\eta' = \eta S/(x_t, \dots, x_n)^t S$ . Now by Lemma 2.5,  $R/I$  satisfies (SAC). Note that  $S_{\eta'} \simeq (R/I)[[x_t, \dots, x_n]]$  and  $R/I$  is Gorenstein. Hence  $S_{\eta'}$  is Gorenstein and satisfies (SAC). Then by Theorem 4.9,  $R(t, n)_{\eta R(t, n)}$  satisfies (SAC).

(2): This follows from the above discussion and [16, Theorem 2.11].  $\square$

## 5. (SAC) AND OTHER NOTIONS

In this section, we discuss the connection of (SAC) with some related vanishing conditions of Ext. Most of this section is devoted to modify an invariant of Diveris ([10, Definition 2.2]). We show that for a ring, the finiteness of the invariant is equivalent to the assertion that the ring satisfies (SAC).

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring and  $M, N$  be finitely generated  $R$ -modules. We say that

- (1)  $R$  satisfies *trivial Ext-vanishing* if  $\text{Ext}^i(M, N) = 0$  for  $i \gg 0$  implies either  $M$  has finite projective dimension or  $N$  has finite injective dimension as an  $R$ -module.



- (2)  $R$  is Ext-persistent if  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, M) = 0$  for  $i \gg 0$  implies either  $M$  has finite projective dimension or  $M$  has finite injective dimension as an  $R$ -module.

**Remark 5.1.** (1) It is clear from the above definitions that if  $R$  satisfies *trivial Ext-vanishing* then  $R$  is *trivial Ext-persistent*. By the same lines of proof of [3, Theorem 7.2], if  $R$  is Ext-persistent then  $R$  satisfies (SAC).

- (2) If  $R$  satisfies (SAC) then  $R$  may not satisfy *trivial Ext-vanishing* in general. In [15, Corollary 3.3 (2)], Jorgensen and Sega constructed an example of a local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  with  $\mathfrak{m}^3 = 0$ , finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $L$  and  $T_q$  for any integer  $q > 0$  such that  $\text{Ext}_R^i(L, T_q) \neq 0$  if and only if  $i = 0, q - 1, q$ . Thus  $R$  does not satisfy trivial Ext-vanishing. By [14, Theorem 4.1(1)],  $R$  satisfies (SAC). Even if  $R$  is a Gorenstein local ring, the assertion that  $R$  satisfies (SAC) does not imply that  $R$  satisfies trivial Ext-vanishing in general. For example,  $R = k[[x, y]]/(x^2, y^3)$  then  $R$  satisfies (SAC) by Corollary 2.5 but  $R$  does not satisfy trivial Ext-vanishing.
- (3) If  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a Gorenstein local ring of dimension  $d \geq 1$ , then  $R$  satisfies (SAC) if and only if  $R$  is Ext-persistent. If  $L$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module with  $\text{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(L, L) = 0$ , since  $R$  is Gorenstein, we have  $\text{Ext}_R^{>d}(L, R) = 0$  and hence for  $i \gg 0$ , we have  $\text{Ext}_R^i(\Omega_R^d L, \Omega_R^d L) = 0$ . Now  $R$  satisfies (SAC) implies  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(\Omega_R^d L, \Omega_R^d L) = 0$ . Now  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(\Omega_R^d L, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{>d}(L, R) = 0$ . Now by [6, Corollary 4.6],  $R$  satisfies (ARC) and hence we have  $\Omega_R^d L$  is free  $R$ -module. Thus  $\text{pd}_R L < \infty$ .

For any given integer  $n \geq 0$ , we consider the following condition on  $R$

(SACC<sub>n</sub>) for every finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  of constant rank  $n$ ,  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, R) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(M, M)$  implies  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, M) = 0$

In the following, for a finitely generated module  $M$  over a not necessarily local ring  $R$ , by  $\Omega_R^n M$  we will denote the  $n$ -th syzygy in some free resolution of  $M$  by finitely generated free  $R$ -modules.

**Proposition 5.2.**  $R$  satisfies (SACC) if and only if there exists an integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $R$  satisfies (SACC<sub>n</sub>).

*Proof.* Enough to show that if  $R$  satisfy (SACC<sub>n</sub>) for some  $n \geq 0$  then  $R$  satisfy (SACC). Let  $M$  be a nonzero module of constant rank such that  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, R) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(M, M)$ . Suppose  $M$  does not have finite projective dimension. Then  $\Omega_R^j M \neq 0$  for all  $j \geq 0$ . For all  $j \geq 1$ , set  $e_j = \text{rank } \Omega_R^j M$ . Since each  $\Omega_R^j M$  is torsion-free and non-zero, so  $e_j \geq 1$ . Also,

$$\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(\Omega_R^j M, R) = 0, \text{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(\Omega_R^j M, \Omega_R^j M) = 0.$$

Now let  $X := (\Omega_R^1 M)^{\oplus n} \oplus \Omega_R^2 M$ . Then  $X$  has rank  $e = ne_1 + e_2 \geq n + 1$  and  $X$  has a free submodule of rank  $e$  ([4, Proposition 1.4.3]). Thus we can consider a short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow R^{\oplus(e-n)} \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $L$  has rank  $n$ . Since  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(X, R) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(X, X)$ , applying  $\text{Hom}_R(X, -)$  to (5.1), we get  $\text{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(X, L) = 0$ . Again applying  $\text{Hom}_R(-, L)$  to (5.1), we get  $\text{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(L, L) = 0$ . Now  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(X, R) = 0$  implies  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(L, R) = 0$ . Since  $R$  satisfies (SACC<sub>n</sub>), it follows that  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(L, L) = 0$ . Applying  $\text{Hom}_R(-, L)$  to (5.1), we get  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(X, L) = 0$ . Finally applying  $\text{Hom}_R(X, -)$  to (5.1), we get  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(X, X) = 0$  and hence  $0 = \text{Ext}_R^1(\Omega_R^1 M, \Omega_R^2 M)$ . Therefore  $\Omega_R^1 M$  is projective  $R$ -module and hence  $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$ . Now  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, R) = 0$  implies  $M$  is a projective  $R$ -module and thus  $R$  satisfies (SACC).  $\square$

From Corollary 2.6 and Proposition 5.2 we get the following immediate consequence.

**Corollary 5.3.** Let  $R$  be a local ring of positive depth. Then  $R$  satisfies (SAC) if and only if  $R$  satisfies (SACC<sub>n</sub>) for some integer  $n \geq 0$ .

In [10], Diveris defines an invariant called “finitistic extension degree”, the finiteness of which is equivalent to  $R$  satisfying (SAC) when  $R$  is Gorenstein (see [10, Corollary 3.2]). Motivated by the definition [10, Definition 2.2], for a ring  $R$  and a finitely generated module  $M$ , we define “restricted finitistic extension degree” as follows

**Definition 5.4.**  $\text{rfed}(R) := \sup\{\text{ext. deg}(M \oplus R) \mid \text{ext. deg}(M \oplus R) < \infty\}$ . For an integer  $n \geq 0$ , define  $\text{rfed}_n(R) := \sup\{\text{ext. deg}(M \oplus R) \mid \text{ext. deg}(M \oplus R) < \infty, M \text{ has constant rank } n\}$ .

We aim to show, under mild conditions (e.g.  $R$  has finite Krull-dimension), the finiteness  $\text{rfed}(R)$  (resp.  $\text{rfed}_n(R)$  or some  $n \geq 0$ ) is equivalent to  $R$  satisfying (SAC) (resp. (SACC)).

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $R$  have finite Krull-dimension (for example,  $R$  is local). Then  $R$  satisfies (SAC) if and only if  $\text{rfed}(R) < \infty$  if and only if  $\text{rfed}(R) \leq \dim(R)$ .*

*Proof.* First assume  $\text{rfed}(R) < \infty$ , say  $\text{rfed}(R) = r$ . Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module such that  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, R) = \text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, M) = 0$ . We prove that  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, M) = 0$ . The hypothesis  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, R) = \text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, M) = 0$  implies that for every integer  $s > 0$ , we have  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(\Omega_R^s M, \Omega_R^s M) = \text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, \Omega_R^s M) = 0$  and  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(\Omega_R^s M, R) = 0$ . Thus  $\text{ext. deg}(R \oplus \Omega_R M \oplus \Omega_R^{r+2} M) < \infty$ . Now  $\text{rfed}(R) = r < \infty$  implies  $\text{Ext}_R^{r+1}(R \oplus \Omega_R M \oplus \Omega_R^{r+2} M, R \oplus \Omega_R M \oplus \Omega_R^{r+2} M) = 0$ . Hence  $\text{Ext}_R^{r+1}(\Omega_R M, \Omega_R^{r+2} M) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^1(\Omega_R^{r+1} M, \Omega_R^1 \Omega_R^{r+1} M) = 0$ . Therefore  $\Omega_R^{r+1} M$  is projective and hence  $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$ . Now  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, R) = 0$  implies  $M$  is projective by Remark 1.6.

Next we assume  $R$  satisfies (SAC). If  $\text{ext. deg}(M \oplus R) < \infty$  then  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, R \oplus M) = 0$ . Now by [6, Theorem 4.8], we get  $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$ , hence  $\text{pd}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} < \infty$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . Consequently,  $\text{pd}_R M = \sup\{\text{pd}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)\} \leq \sup\{\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)\} \leq \dim R$ . Therefore  $\text{Ext}_R^{>\dim R}(M \oplus R, M \oplus R) = 0$  and thus  $\text{rfed}(R) \leq \dim R < \infty$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $R$  have finite Krull-dimension (for example,  $R$  is local). Then  $R$  satisfies (SACC) if and only if  $\text{rfed}_n(R) < \infty$  for some integer  $n \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* First assume that  $R$  satisfies (SACC), and we prove that for every module  $M$  of constant rank, if  $\text{ext. deg}(M \oplus R) < \infty$ , then  $\text{ext. deg}(M \oplus R) \leq \dim R$ . Let  $M$  has constant rank and  $\text{ext. deg}(M \oplus R) < \infty$ . Then  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq j+1}(M, M \oplus R) = 0$  for some  $j > 0$ . Thus  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(\Omega_R^j M, R) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^{>0}(\Omega_R^j M, M)$  and hence  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(\Omega_R^j M, \Omega_R^{j+1} M) = 0$  follows from Lemma 3.6. Since  $\Omega_R^j M$  has constant rank and  $R$  satisfies (SACC), we get  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\Omega_R^j M, \Omega_R^{j+1} M) = 0$ . Thus  $\Omega_R^j M$  is projective and hence  $M$  has finite projective dimension. Thus  $\text{ext. deg}(M \oplus R) \leq \text{pd}_R M \leq \dim R$ .

Conversely assume that there exists an integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $\text{rfed}_n(R) < \infty$  and we prove that  $R$  satisfies (SACC). Let  $r = \text{rfed}_n(R)$  and  $M$  be a module of constant rank such that  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, R) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(M, M)$ . If possible suppose  $M$  does not have finite projective dimension. Then  $\Omega_R^j M \neq 0$  for all  $j > 0$  and  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(\Omega_R^j M, R) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(\Omega_R^j M, \Omega_R^j M)$  (see Lemma 3.6). For all  $j \geq 0$ , set  $e_j = \text{rank } \Omega_R^j M$ . Now for each  $j > 0$ ,  $\Omega_R^j M$  is torsion-free and non-zero. Thus  $e_j \geq 1$ . Let  $X := (\Omega_R^1 M)^{\oplus n} \oplus \Omega_R^{r+3} M$ . Then  $X$  has rank  $e = ne_1 + e_{r+3} \geq n + 1$  and  $X$  has a free submodule of rank  $e$ . Consider a short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules

$$(5.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow R^{\oplus(e-n)} \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $L$  has rank  $n$ . First applying  $\text{Hom}_R(X, -)$  to (5.2), we get  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(X, L) = 0$ . Again applying  $\text{Hom}_R(-, L)$  to (5.2), we get  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(L, L) = 0$ . Since  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(X, R) = 0$  implies  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 0}(L, R) = 0$  and by given hypothesis that  $r < \infty$ , we get  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq r+1}(L, L) = 0$ . Applying  $\text{Hom}_R(-, L)$  to (5.2), we have  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq r+1}(X, L) = 0$ . Finally applying  $\text{Hom}_R(X, -)$  to (5.2), we get  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq r+2}(X, X) = 0$ . Therefore

$$0 = \text{Ext}_R^{r+2}(\Omega_R^1 M, \Omega_R^{r+3} M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(\Omega_R^{r+2} M, \Omega_R^{r+3} M)$$

implies  $\Omega_R^{r+2} M$  is a projective  $R$ -module and  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, R) = 0$  implies  $M$  is a projective  $R$ -module (Remark 1.6).  $\square$

From Corollary 2.6 and Propositions 5.5 and 5.6, we immediately get the following.

**Theorem 5.7.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring of positive depth. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $R$  satisfies (SAC).
- (2)  $\text{rfed}(R) < \infty$ .
- (3) There is an integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $\text{rfed}_n(R) < \infty$ .

**Acknowledgments.** The authors are grateful to Olgur Celikbas. He introduced the paper [6] to the authors and gave helpful comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. AUSLANDER AND I. REITEN, On a generalized version of the Nakayama conjecture, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **52** (1975), 69–74. [1](#)
- [2] L. L. AVRAMOV, S. B. IYENGAR, AND J. LIPMAN, Reflexivity and rigidity for complexes. I. Commutative rings., *Algebra Number Theory*, **4** (2010), no. 1, 47–86. [5](#)
- [3] L. L. AVRAMOV, S. B. IYENGAR, S. NASSEH, AND S. SATHER-WAGSTAFF, Persistence of homology over commutative Noetherian rings, arXiv: 2005.10808. [17](#)
- [4] W. BRUNS AND J. HERZOG, Cohen-Macaulay Rings, Cambridge University Press, 1993. [12](#), [15](#), [17](#)
- [5] O. CELIKBAS AND H. DAO, Asymptotic behavior of Ext functors for modules of finite complete intersection dimension. *Math. Z.*, **269** (2011), no. 3-4, 1005–1020. [4](#)
- [6] O. CELIKBAS AND R. TAKAHASHI, Auslander-Reiten conjecture and Auslander-Reiten duality, *J. Algebra*, **382** (2013), 100–114. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [7](#), [10](#), [17](#), [18](#), [19](#)
- [7] L.W. CHRISTENSEN, Gorenstein dimensions, Lecture Notes in Math. 1747, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. [5](#), [6](#), [9](#)
- [8] L.W. CHRISTENSEN AND H. HOLM, Algebras that satisfy Auslander’s condition on the vanishing of cohomology, *Math. Z.*, **265** (2010), no. 1, 21–40. [1](#)
- [9] L.W. CHRISTENSEN, H. HOLM, Vanishing of cohomology over Cohen-Macaulay rings, *Manuscripta Math.*, **139** (1), (2012), 535–544. [2](#)
- [10] K. DIVERIS, Finitistic extension degree, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, **17** (2014), no. 2, 495–506. [2](#), [3](#), [16](#), [18](#)
- [11] J. A. EAGON AND D. G. NORTHCOTT, Ideals defined by matrices and a certain complex associated with them, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A*, **269** (1962), 188–204. [15](#)
- [12] S. GOTO, K. OZEKI, R. TAKAHASHI, K.-I. WATANABE, AND K.-I. YOSHIDA, Ulrich ideals and modules, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **156** (2014), no. 1, 137–166. [2](#), [13](#), [14](#)
- [13] S. GOTO, RYO TAKAHASHI, AND NAOKI TANIGUCHI, Ulrich ideals and almost Gorenstein rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **144** (2016), no. 7, 2811–2823. [8](#), [13](#)
- [14] C. HUNEKE, L.M. ŞEĞA, A.N. VRACIU, Vanishing of Ext and Tor over some Cohen-Macaulay local rings, *Illinois J. Math.* **48** (1) (2004), 295–317. [2](#), [17](#)
- [15] D.A. JORGENSEN, L.M. ŞEĞA, Nonvanishing cohomology and classes of Gorenstein rings, *Adv. Math.* **188** (2) (2004), 470–490. [17](#)
- [16] S. KUMASHIRO, Auslander-Reiten conjecture for non-Gorenstein Cohen-Macaulay rings, arXiv:1906.02669. [10](#), [13](#), [14](#), [16](#)
- [17] H. MATSUMURA, Commutative Ring Theory, second ed., *Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math.*, vol. **8** (1989), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. [3](#), [4](#), [6](#), [7](#), [9](#), [13](#)
- [18] J. J. ROTMAN, An introduction to homological algebra. Second edition. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2009. [3](#), [4](#)
- [19] R. TAKAHASHI, Dominant Local Rings and Subcategory Classification, International Mathematics Research Notices, rna053, <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnac053>. [7](#)
- [20] J. WEI, Generalized Auslander-Reiten conjecture and tilting equivalences, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **138** (2010), no. 5, 1581–1585. [2](#)
- [21] J. WEI, Auslander bounds and Homological conjectures, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam*, **27** (2011), no. 3, 871–884. [2](#)

SOUVIK DEY: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS, 405 SNOW HALL, 1460 JAYHAWK BLVD., LAWRENCE, KS 66045, U.S.A.

*Email address:* [souvik@ku.edu](mailto:souvik@ku.edu)

SHINYA KUMASHIRO: NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, OYAMA COLLEGE, 771 NAKAKUKI, OYAMA, TOCHIGI, 323-0806, JAPAN

*Email address:* [skumashiro@oyama-ct.ac.jp](mailto:skumashiro@oyama-ct.ac.jp)

PARANGAMA SARKAR: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PALAKKAD, INDIA

*Email address:* [parangama@iitpkd.ac.in](mailto:parangama@iitpkd.ac.in)