

ITERATED INTEGRALS ASSOCIATED WITH COLORED ROOTED TREES

HANAMICHI KAWAMURA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce iterated integrals associated with colored rooted trees and give proofs for the shuffle relations for \mathbf{p} -adic finite and t -adic symmetric polylogarithms. This method generalizes the theory of the finite multiple zeta values associated with 2-colored rooted trees introduced by Ono.

1. INTRODUCTION

A 2-colored rooted tree is introduced by Ono [O1] as a tree (in the graph-theoretic meaning) equipped with certain data about coloring and distinguishing vertices. The purpose of introducing them in [O1] is to prove the shuffle relation for finite multiple zeta values. Recently, by Ono–Seki–Yamamoto [OSY], this theory is found applicable for the shuffle relation of (t -adic) symmetric multiple zeta values. Moreover, Ono [O2] also proved some purely algebraic identities by using the theory of 2-colored rooted trees. Besides, the shuffle relations for finite and symmetric multiple zeta values can be also proved by their expressions as iterated integrals ([H] for the symmetric case). From this perspective, we generalize the theory of finite and symmetric multiple zeta values associated with 2-colored colored rooted trees to general iterated integrals. The following are the main result of this paper, which generalizes the \mathbf{p} -adic shuffle relation [S1, Theorem 6.4], [J, Proposition 2.3.3 (ii)] and t -adic shuffle relation [OSY, (1.3)], [J, Proposition 2.3.1] to multiple polylogarithms. See §4 for precise definitions of the symbols appearing below.

Theorem 1.1 (= Theorem 4.9 for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A}$, Theorem 4.18 for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{S}$). *Let $N, k_1, \dots, k_r, l_1, \dots, l_s$ be positive integers, $x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s$ indeterminates, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ and \mathcal{F} either \mathcal{A} or \mathcal{S} . Put*

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} := \begin{cases} \mathbf{p} & \text{if } \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A}, \\ t & \text{if } \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{S}, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{\mathcal{F}} := \begin{cases} \mathbf{p} & \text{if } \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A}, \\ \alpha & \text{if } \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{S}. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \alpha} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1, \dots, x_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix} \text{III} \begin{pmatrix} y_1, \dots, y_s \\ l_1, \dots, l_s \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{l_1 + \dots + l_s} y_1^{d_{\mathcal{F}}} \sum_{f_1, \dots, f_s \geq 0} \left(\prod_{i=1}^s \binom{l_i + f_i - 1}{f_i} \right) \mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \alpha} \left(\begin{matrix} x_1/y_1, \dots, x_r/y_1, 1/y_1, y_s/y_1, \dots, y_2/y_1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_r, l_s + f_s, \dots, l_1 + f_1 \end{matrix} \right) \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{f_1 + \dots + f_s}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the power y_1^α means y_1^n by using the unique element $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}$ in the equivalent class α .

This paper is organized as follows: §2 is devoted to recall basic preliminaries about 2-colored rooted trees and the associated zeta values. In §3, we introduce D -colored rooted trees and the associated iterated integrals. Each property appearing in §2 is generalized to the iterated integrals in §3 and following §4. The last section also provides some applications for the \mathbf{p} -adic and t -adic multiple polylogarithms and L -values.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Prof. Masataka Ono, Prof. Shin-ichiro Seki, Yoshiyuki Miyamori, Yuta Tanaka, Taiga Ozaki, Junichi Yokoyama and Honami Sakamoto for their careful reading of the manuscript. He is also thankful to Taizen Suzuki and Takumi Maesaka for their helpful comments.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11M32, 05C05.

Key words and phrases. iterated integrals, 2-colored rooted trees, finite multiple polylogarithms, symmetric multiple polylogarithms.

2. DEFINITIONS AND KNOWN RESULTS

In this paper, a *tree* means a finite connected acyclic graph. In a tree (V, E) , if an edge $e \in E$ connects vertices v and w then we write $e = \{v, w\}$. For a vertices v and w , denote the path between them by $P(v, w)$. The *degree* $\deg(v)$ of a vertex v in a tree is a number of edges connected to v . A *terminal* (resp. *branched vertex*) is a vertex whose degree is 1 (resp. greater than 2). For a vertex v , we put $N_v := \{w \in V \mid \{v, w\} \in E\}$ and say that its element is *adjacent* to v . Here and in what follows, when more than one trees appear simultaneously, we assume that they are mutually disjoint unless noted otherwise.

Definition 2.1 ([O1, Definition 1.2], [OSY, Definition 3.14]).

(1) A *2-colored rooted tree* $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, V_\bullet)$ consists of below data:

- a tree (V, E) .
- a vertex $\text{rt} \in V$, called the *root* of X .
- a subset $V_\bullet \subseteq V$ including all terminals of (V, E) .

A vertex or edge of $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, V_\bullet)$ means them of the “underlying” tree (V, E) of X . We write $V_\circ := V \setminus V_\bullet$ for such X . For a given vertex $v \in V$, the *parent* p_v of v is the adjacent vertex of v which is nearest to the root.

(2) An *index* on a 2-colored rooted tree $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, V_\bullet)$ is a map $\mathbf{k}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We usually denote $\mathbf{k}(\{v, p_v\})$ by \mathbf{k}_v for each vertex v (we put $\mathbf{k}_{\text{rt}} := 1$ for later convenience). We say such an index is *essentially positive* if $\sum_{e \in P(v, w)} \mathbf{k}(e)$ is positive for all $v, w \in V_\bullet$ ($v \neq w$).

(3) A 2-colored rooted tree $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, V_\bullet)$ is called a *linear 2-colored rooted tree* (or simply *linear tree*) if it satisfies all of

- the root is a terminal of V .
- the degree of a vertex is always 1 or 2.
- $V_\bullet = V$.

(4) We say that a pair $(X; \mathbf{k})$ consisting of a 2-colored rooted tree $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, V_\bullet)$ and an index \mathbf{k} on X is *harvestable* if all of the following conditions are satisfied:

- the root is a terminal (thus $\text{rt} \in V_\bullet$).
- $\deg(v) \leq 2$ (resp. ≥ 3) for any $v \in V_\bullet$ (resp. $v \in V_\circ$).
- For $v \in V$, if $p_v \in V_\circ$ then $\mathbf{k}_v > 0$.
- For $v \in V_\bullet$, if $p_v \in V_\bullet$ then $\mathbf{k}_v > 0$.

It is clear that a harvestable pair $(X; \mathbf{k}) = (V, E, \text{rt}, V_\bullet)$ with a linear tree X is uniquely represented as $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_{r+1}\}$, $E = \{\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \mid i = 1, \dots, r\}$, $\text{rt} = v_{r+1}$, $V_\bullet = V$ and $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ with the positive integers $k_i := \mathbf{k}_{v_i}$.

Remark 2.2. Note that the requirements in (4) are same as that of [OSY, Definition 3.14], not that of [O1, Definition 2.6] (i.e., the index part of a harvestable pair should be always essentially positive. See [OSY, Remark 3.15]).

When we indicate a 2-colored rooted tree as a diagram, we use the symbol \bullet (resp. \circ) for an element of V_\bullet (resp. V_\circ). The square symbol (\blacksquare or \square) stands for the root. For instance, the following diagram indicates a linear tree:



Definition 2.3. Let $\{X_i\}_i = \{(V_i, E_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ be a family of mutually disjoint trees. Choose vertices v_1, \dots, v_n from each tree X_1, \dots, X_n . Then we say that the graph

$$(V, E) := \left(\{v\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n (V_i \setminus \{v_i\}), \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{\{v, w\} \mid w \in N_{v_i}\} \cup (E_i \setminus \{\{v_i, w\} \mid w \in N_{v_i}\}) \right)$$

is obtained by *grafting* X_1, \dots, X_n along v_1, \dots, v_n and write $G_{v_1, \dots, v_n}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. Here v is a new vertex where v_1, \dots, v_n are gathered and we call v the *cluster vertex*. When $n = 1$, we put $G_v(X) = X$ for any vertex v .


For given rooted trees X_1, \dots, X_n , there is a canonical grafting by applying the above procedures along their roots. Then we omit subscripts as $G(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. For a family $\{X_i\}_i$ of 2-colored rooted trees, we can also graft them by choosing a new root from $G(\{X_i\}_i)$ (this X_i stands for the underlying tree of X_i by abuse of notation) and deciding whether to let the cluster vertex be in V_\bullet or V_\circ (the choice of cluster vertex and colors of other vertices are already given).

The following lemma is easy but gives a useful characterization of the harvestability. We prove it in Lemma 3.13 in a more general setting.

Lemma 2.4 ([O1, Remark 2.8]). *Let $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, V_\bullet)$ be a 2-colored rooted tree and \mathbf{k} an index on X such that the pair $(X; \mathbf{k})$ is harvestable. Then there uniquely exists a finite non-empty set of pairs $\{(X_i; \mathbf{k}^{(i)})\}_i = \{(V_i, E_i, \text{rt}_i, V_{i,\bullet}; \mathbf{k}^{(i)})\}_{i=0, \dots, r}$ such that all of the following conditions are satisfied:*

- $(X_1; \mathbf{k}^{(1)}), \dots, (X_r; \mathbf{k}^{(r)})$ are harvestable.
- X_0 is linear.
- $\mathbf{k}^{(0)}(e) > 0$ for $e \in E_0 \setminus \{\{\text{rt}_0, u_0\}\}$ ($u_0 \in V_0 \setminus \{\text{rt}_0\}$ is nearest to rt_0).
- rt is the farthest vertex from rt_0 in X_0 .
- $(V, E) = G(X_0, \dots, X_r)$ and the cluster vertex of this grafting is in V_\circ .

The last condition is indicated as follows.



Define \mathfrak{H} as the non-commutative polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}\langle e_0, e_1 \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{H}^1 := \mathbb{Q} \oplus e_1 \mathfrak{H}$. Endow the \mathbb{Q} -bilinear *shuffle product* \mathfrak{H} on \mathfrak{H} recursively as

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \mathfrak{H} w &= w \mathfrak{H} 1 = w, \\ w e_i \mathfrak{H} w' e_j &= (w e_i \mathfrak{H} w') e_j + (w \mathfrak{H} w' e_j) e_i \end{aligned}$$

for $w, w' \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$. This product gives \mathfrak{H}^1 a commutative \mathbb{Q} -algebra structure [R].

Definition 2.5 ([OSY, Definition 3.16]). We assign an element $O_{(X; \mathbf{k})} \in \mathfrak{H}^1$ for each harvestable pair $(X; \mathbf{k}) = (V, E, \text{rt}, V_\bullet; \mathbf{k})$ by the following inductive rules.

- (1) When X is a linear tree, we can take the vertex u_1 farthest from rt . Then let u_{i+1} be the parent of u_i , r the positive integer determined by $u_{r+1} = \text{rt}$ and

$$O_{(X; \mathbf{k})} := \prod_{i=1}^r e_1 e_0^{\mathbf{k}(\{u_i, u_{i+1}\})-1}.$$

- (2) Assume that $(X; \mathbf{k})$ is a general harvestable pair which is not linear and use the same symbols as in Lemma 2.4. Then we define

$$O_{(X; \mathbf{k})} := (O_{(X_1; \mathbf{k}^{(1)})} \mathfrak{H} \cdots \mathfrak{H} O_{(X_r; \mathbf{k}^{(r)})}) e_0^{\mathbf{k}^{(0)}(\{\text{rt}_0, u_0\})} O_{(V_0 \setminus \{\text{rt}_0\}, E_0 \setminus \{\text{rt}_0, u_0\}, \text{rt}, V_0 \setminus \{\text{rt}_0\}; \mathbf{k}^{(0)}|_{E_0 \setminus \{\text{rt}_0, u_0\}})}.$$

Definition 2.6 ([O1, Definition 1.3]). Let $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, V_\bullet)$ be a 2-colored rooted tree and \mathbf{k} an index on X . Then we put

$$\zeta_{<M}(X; \mathbf{k}) := \sum_{\substack{(m_v)_v \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^{V_\bullet} \\ \sum_{v \in V_\bullet} m_v = M}} \prod_{e \in E} \left(\sum_{e \in P(\text{rt}, v)} m_v \right)^{-\mathbf{k}(e)},$$

for a positive integer M , and define the *finite multiple zeta value* (FMZV for short) *associated with* X as

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(X; \mathbf{k}) := (\zeta_{<p}(X; \mathbf{k}) \bmod p)_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Here \mathcal{A} denotes the *ring of integers modulo infinitely large primes*

$$\mathcal{A} := \left(\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \right) / \left(\bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \right),$$

where \mathcal{P} is the set of all prime numbers. In particular, when X is linear and $(X; \mathbf{k})$ is a harvestable pair, there exist positive integers k_1, \dots, k_r (see the last assertion in Definition 2.1) such that the associated value is written as

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(X; \mathbf{k}) = \left(\sum_{0 < n_1 < \dots < n_r < p} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} \dots n_r^{k_r}} \pmod{p} \right)_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

The right-hand side is usually denoted as $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ and called the *finite multiple zeta value* in the usual sense [KZ].

Definition 2.7 ([OSY, Definitions 3.2, 3.19]). Let $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, V_{\bullet})$ be a 2-colored rooted tree and \mathbf{k} an essentially positive index on X . Then we put

$$\zeta_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, < M}(X; \mathbf{k}) := \sum_{u \in V_{\bullet}} \sum_{\substack{(m_v)_{v \in \mathbb{Z}V_{\bullet}} \\ m_v > 0 (v \neq u) \\ -M < m_u < 0 \\ \sum_{v \in V_{\bullet}} m_v = 0}} \prod_{e \in E} \left(\sum_{e \in P(\text{rt}, v)} (m_v + \delta_{u, v} t) \right)^{-\mathbf{k}(e)} \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]],$$

for a positive integer M , and define the *t-adic symmetric multiple zeta value* (*t-adic SMZV* for short) *associated with X* as

$$\zeta_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}}(X; \mathbf{k}) := \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, < M}(X; \mathbf{k}).$$

Here the limit is taken coefficientwise in $\mathbb{R}[[t]]$. In particular, when X is linear and $(X; \mathbf{k})$ is a harvestable pair, there exist positive integers k_1, \dots, k_r (see the last assertion in Definition 2.1) such that the associated value is written as

$$\zeta_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}}(X; \mathbf{k}) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{\substack{0 < n_1 < \dots < n_i \\ n_{i+1} < \dots < n_r < 0 \\ n_i - n_{i+1} < M}} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} \dots n_i^{k_i} (n_{i+1} + t)^{k_{i+1}} \dots (n_r + t)^{k_r}}.$$

Ono–Seki–Yamamoto [OSY] proved that the right-hand side converges to the value denoted by $\zeta_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}}^{\text{m}}(k_1, \dots, k_r)$, defined in [HMO]. Note that the well-definedness of $\zeta_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}}(X; \mathbf{k})$ follows from this convergence, Propositions 2.11 and 2.12.

The finite and *t*-adic symmetric multiple zeta values associated with 2-colored rooted trees have the following properties. The shuffle relations for the usual finite and *t*-adic symmetric multiple zeta values are corollaries of them. In the rest of this section, let \mathcal{F} be either \mathcal{A} or $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$.

Proposition 2.8 ([O1, Proposition 2.2], [OSY, Proposition 3.4]). *Let $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, V_{\bullet})$ be a 2-colored rooted tree and \mathbf{k} an index on X . Assume that there exists an edge $e = \{v, w\}$ such that $v \in V_{\circ} \setminus \{\text{rt}\}$ and $\mathbf{k}(e) = 0$. Extend \mathbf{k} as $\mathbf{k}(\{w, w'\}) := \mathbf{k}(\{v, w'\})$ for an adjacent vertex v of w' ($\neq w$) and*

$$X' := (V \setminus \{v\}, (E \setminus \{\{v, w'\} \mid w' \in N_v\}) \cup \{\{w, w'\} \mid w' \in N_v \setminus \{w\}\}, \text{rt}, V_{\bullet}).$$

This situation is represented as

$$(X; \mathbf{k}) = \textcircled{T_1} \overset{0}{\text{---}} \textcircled{\times T_2} \quad (X'; \mathbf{k}) = \textcircled{T_1} \times \textcircled{T_2}$$

Here \times stands for either \bullet or \circ . Then we have

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(X; \mathbf{k}) = \zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(X'; \mathbf{k}).$$

Proposition 2.9 ([O1, Proposition 2.3], [OSY, Proposition 3.5]). *Let $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, V_{\bullet})$ be a 2-colored rooted tree and \mathbf{k} an index on X . Assume that there exists edges $e_i = \{v, w_i\}$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that $v \in V_{\circ} \setminus \{\text{rt}\}$ and $\deg(v) = 2$. Moreover, let us write $e := \{w_1, w_2\}$,*

$$X' := (V \setminus \{v\}, (E \setminus \{e_1, e_2\}) \cup \{e\}, \text{rt}, V_{\bullet})$$

and extend the domain of \mathbf{k} to $E \cup \{e\}$ by putting $\mathbf{k}(e) := \mathbf{k}(e_1) + \mathbf{k}(e_2)$ as follows:

$$(X; \mathbf{k}) = \left(T_1 \right) \times \xrightarrow{\mathbf{k}(e_1)} \circ \xrightarrow{\mathbf{k}(e_2)} \left(T_2 \right) \quad (X'; \mathbf{k}) = \left(T_1 \right) \times \xrightarrow{\mathbf{k}(e_1) + \mathbf{k}(e_2)} \left(T_2 \right)$$

Then we have

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(X; \mathbf{k}) = \zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(X'; \mathbf{k}).$$

Proposition 2.10 ([O1, Proposition 2.4], [OSY, Proposition 3.6]). *Let $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, V_\bullet)$ be a 2-colored rooted tree and \mathbf{k} an index on X . Choose an arbitrary vertex $\text{rt}' \in V$ and write $X' := (V, E, \text{rt}', V_\bullet)$. Then we have*

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(X; \mathbf{k}) = (-1)^{\sum_{e \in P(\text{rt}, \text{rt}')} \mathbf{k}(e)} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(X'; \mathbf{k})$$

and

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{S}}(X; \mathbf{k}) = (-1)^{\sum_{e \in P(\text{rt}, \text{rt}')} \mathbf{k}(e)} \sum_{\mathbf{f}: P(\text{rt}, \text{rt}') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \left(\sum_{e \in P(\text{rt}, \text{rt}')} \binom{\mathbf{k}(e) + \mathbf{f}(e) - 1}{\mathbf{f}(e)} \right) \zeta_{\mathcal{S}}(X'; \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{f}) t^{\sum_{e \in P(\text{rt}, \text{rt}')} \mathbf{f}(e)}.$$

Here $\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{f}$ denotes the index on X determined by $e \mapsto \mathbf{k}(e) + \mathbf{f}(e)$.

Proposition 2.11 ([O1, Proposition 3.2], [OSY, Proposition 3.17]). *For a harvestable pair $(X; \mathbf{k})$, we have $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(X; \mathbf{k}) = Z_{\mathcal{F}}(O_{(X; \mathbf{k})})$. Here $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the unique \mathbb{Q} -linear map sending $e_1 e_0^{k_1 - 1} \cdots e_r e_0^{k_r - 1}$ to $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ for $k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.*

Proposition 2.12 ([O1, Proposition 2.9], [OSY, Proposition 3.18]). *Let $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, V_\bullet)$ be a 2-colored rooted tree and \mathbf{k} an essentially positive index on X . Assume $\text{rt} \in V_\bullet$. Then there exists a harvestable pair $(X_{\mathbf{h}}; \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{h}})$ such that*

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(X; \mathbf{k}) = \zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(X_{\mathbf{h}}; \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{h}}).$$

3. ITERATED INTEGRALS

A *tangential base point* in \mathbb{C} is a pair $\tilde{p} := (p, v)$ consisting of $p \in \mathbb{C}$ and its non-zero tangent vector v . The first entry is usually identified with the non-zero complex number. For a subset $M \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and tangential base points $\tilde{p} = (p, v)$ and $\tilde{q} = (q, w)$, a *path* from \tilde{p} to \tilde{q} on M is a piecewise smooth map $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\gamma(0) = p, \quad \gamma(1) = q, \quad \lim_{t \searrow 0} \frac{\gamma(t) - p}{t} = v, \quad \lim_{t \nearrow 1} \frac{\gamma(t) - q}{t - 1} = -w$$

and $\gamma((0, 1)) \subseteq M$. Homotopy classes of such paths admit the composition and the inverse [GF, §3.7]. Note that, even if we omit the informations of endpoints (and their tangential vectors) as “a path γ on M ,” $\gamma(0)$ or $\gamma(1)$ can be in M .

Definition 3.1. For a set D , we write $\mathfrak{H}_D := \mathbb{Q}\langle e_z \mid z \in D \rangle$. Define the *shuffle product* \mathfrak{m} on \mathfrak{H}_D inductively as

$$1 \mathfrak{m} w = w \mathfrak{m} 1 = w,$$

$$w e_z \mathfrak{m} w' e_{z'} = (w e_z \mathfrak{m} w') e_{z'} + (w \mathfrak{m} w' e_{z'}) e_z$$

for $w, w' \in \mathfrak{H}_D$ and $z, z' \in D$. The restriction of this product provides a \mathbb{Q} -algebra structure on $\mathfrak{H}_{D'}$ for any $\emptyset \neq D' \subseteq D$.

Here and in what follows, x_1, \dots, x_r stand for indeterminates unless noted otherwise. Moreover, we denote by \mathbf{x} a tuple of indeterminates (x_1, \dots, x_r) and by \mathbf{x}^{-1} the tuple $(x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_r^{-1})$ of their inverse.

Definition 3.2. Let a_1, \dots, a_k be elements of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]$, \tilde{p} and \tilde{q} be tangential base points of \mathbb{C} and γ a path from \tilde{p} to \tilde{q} on $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$. Then, similarly to [GF, Lemma 3.238], for $0 < z < 1$ and integers m_1, \dots, m_r one has the unique asymptotic expansion of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\text{the coefficient of } \int_{z < t_1 < \dots < t_k < 1 - z} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{d\gamma(t_i)}{\gamma(t_i) - a_i} \text{ at } x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_r^{m_r} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{i,j}(m_1, \dots, m_r) (\log z)^i z^j \quad (z \rightarrow +0). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Thus we can define the *regularized iterated integral* as the \mathbb{Q} -linear map $I_\gamma(\tilde{p}; -, \tilde{q}): \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]$ determined by $1 \mapsto 1$ and

$$I_\gamma(\tilde{p}; e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}; \tilde{q}) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{0,0}(m_1, \dots, m_r) x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_r^{m_r} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}].$$

Note that when the limit $z \rightarrow +0$ of the right-hand side of (3.1) converges (i.e., $n = 0$), the value of I_γ coincides with the sum of their limits over $m_1, \dots, m_r \geq 0$ and is independent of the choice of tangential vectors.

Proposition 3.3 ([GF, Theorem 3.242]). *Let $w = e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}$ ($a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]$) and w' be elements of $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]}$, \tilde{p} , \tilde{q} and \tilde{r} tangential base points and γ (resp. $\tilde{\gamma}$) a path from \tilde{p} to \tilde{q} (resp. from \tilde{q} to \tilde{r}). Then we have*

- (1) $I_\gamma(\tilde{p}; w \# w'; \tilde{q}) = I_\gamma(\tilde{p}; w; \tilde{q}) I_\gamma(\tilde{p}; w'; \tilde{q})$.
- (2) $I_\gamma(\tilde{p}; w; \tilde{q}) = (-1)^k I_{\gamma^{-1}}(\tilde{q}; \overleftarrow{w}; \tilde{p})$. Here \overleftarrow{w} stands for $e_{a_k} \cdots e_{a_1}$.
- (3) $I_{\tilde{\gamma} \circ \gamma}(\tilde{p}; w; \tilde{r}) = \sum_{i=0}^k I_\gamma(\tilde{p}; e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_i}; \tilde{q}) I_{\tilde{\gamma}}(\tilde{q}; e_{a_{i+1}} \cdots e_{a_k}; \tilde{r})$.

Denote by μ_N the set of N -th roots of unity in \mathbb{C} .

Definition 3.4 ([AK], [T, Definition 3.1], [J, (A.1.1)]). Let $\tilde{0}$ and $\tilde{1}$ be the tangential base points $(0, 1)$ and $(1, -1)$ respectively. Fix a positive integers N , a tuple of roots of unity $\boldsymbol{\eta} = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r) \in \mu_N^r$ and a tuple of positive integers $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^r$.

- (1) We define the (*shuffle-regularized*) *multiple L -value* by

$$L^\# \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\eta} \\ \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix} := (-1)^r I_{\text{dch}}(\tilde{0}; e_{1/\eta_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_{1/\eta_r} e_0^{k_r-1}; \tilde{1}),$$

where dch is the path $t \mapsto t$ from $\tilde{0}$ to $\tilde{1}$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. We remark that, if $(k_r, \eta_r) \neq (1, 1)$ this value has a series expression

$$L^\# \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{0 < n_1 < \dots < n_r} \frac{\eta_1^{n_1} \eta_2^{n_2 - n_1} \cdots \eta_r^{n_r - n_{r-1}}}{n_1^{k_1} \cdots n_r^{k_r}}. \quad (3.2)$$

The \mathbb{Q} -vector space spanned by all multiple L -values and 1 is denoted by \mathcal{Z}_N (it is also spanned by the values of the form as the right-hand side of (3.2)). In particular, $6L^\# \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = \pi^2$ is an element of \mathcal{Z}_N .

- (2) For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, we define the *symmetric multiple L -value* $L_\alpha^S \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\eta} \\ \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix}$ as the projection on $\mathcal{Z}_N/\pi^2 \mathcal{Z}_N$ of the value

$$L_\alpha^S \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\eta} \\ \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix} := \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{k_{i+1} + \dots + k_r} \eta_{i+1}^\alpha L^\# \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1/\eta_{i+1}, \dots, \eta_i/\eta_{i+1} \\ k_1, \dots, k_i \end{pmatrix} L^\# \begin{pmatrix} 1/\eta_{i+1}, \eta_r/\eta_{i+1}, \dots, \eta_{i+2}/\eta_{i+1} \\ k_r, \dots, k_{i+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 3.5 ([H, Corollary 6]). *Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ be a tuple of positive integers, c the path from $\tilde{1}$ to itself which circles counterclockwise around 1 and $\beta := \text{dch}^{-1} \circ c \circ \text{dch}$. We put*

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{RS}}(\mathbf{k}) := \frac{(-1)^r}{2\pi i} I_\beta(\tilde{0}; e_1 e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_1 e_0^{k_r-1} e_1; \tilde{0}).$$

Then $\zeta_{\mathcal{RS}}(\mathbf{k}) \in \mathcal{Z}_1[2\pi i]$ and it coincides with $\zeta_S(\mathbf{k}) := L_0^S \begin{pmatrix} 1, \dots, 1 \\ \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix}$ modulo $2\pi i$.

Generalizing these constructions (that is, considering as the above objects are the “linear” case), we attach iterated integrals to general colored rooted trees.

Definition 3.6. Fix a positive integer r and a finite subset D of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]$.

- (1) A *D -colored rooted tree* X is a tuple (V, E, rt, C) such that
 - a tree (V, E) .
 - a vertex $\text{rt} \in V$, called the *root*.
 - a map $C: V \rightarrow D$.
- (2) An *index \mathbf{k} on D -colored rooted tree* $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, C)$ is a map $E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. It is said *essentially positive* if $\sum_{e \in P(v, w)} \mathbf{k}(e) > 0$ for distinct vertices v and w satisfying $C(v), C(w) \neq 0$. We simply call a pair of D -colored rooted tree and an index on X a *D -colored pair*.

- (3) For a map $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we say that a D -colored rooted tree $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, C)$ is γ -admissible if the degree of every element of $C^{-1}(\{\gamma(0)\})$ is greater than 1 and $C(\text{rt}) \notin \{\gamma(0), \gamma(1)\}$.
- (4) A D -colored rooted tree $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, C)$ is linear if $\deg(V) \subseteq \{1, 2\}$, $\deg(\text{rt}) = 1$ and $C^{-1}(\{0\}) = \emptyset$.

A 2-colored rooted tree in the sense of Definition 2.1 is nothing but a γ -admissible $\{0, 1\}$ -colored rooted tree in this definition if $\gamma(0) = 0$ and $\gamma(1) \notin \{0, 1\}$ (consider as $V_\bullet = C^{-1}(\{1\})$). From this analogy, we use the same symbols $\mathbf{k}_v := \mathbf{k}(\{v, p_v\})$ and $\mathbf{k}_{\text{rt}} := 1$ for a D -colored rooted tree (V, E, rt, C) and an index \mathbf{k} on X as Definition 2.1 (2).

Remark 3.7. For finite subsets D and D' of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]$, every D -colored rooted tree is also a $D \cup D'$ -colored rooted tree.

Definition 3.8. Let D be a finite subset of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]$, a_1, \dots, a_k elements of D , \tilde{p} and \tilde{q} tangential base points in \mathbb{C} , γ a path from \tilde{p} to \tilde{q} on $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$, $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, C)$ a D -colored rooted tree and \mathbf{k} an index on X . Assume that X is γ -admissible for the convergence. Then we put

$$\Delta(X; \mathbf{k}) := \left\{ (t_v)_{v \in V} \in [0, 1]^{|V|} \mid \begin{array}{ll} t_v < t_{p_v} & \text{if } \mathbf{k}_v > 0, \\ t_v = t_{p_v} & \text{if } \mathbf{k}_v = 0. \end{array} \right\}$$

and define the (convergent) iterated integral associated with X by

$$I_\gamma(X; \mathbf{k}) := \int_{(t_v)_{v \in V} \in \Delta(X; \mathbf{k})} \prod_{v \in V} F_{v, \mathbf{k}_v}(\gamma(\mathbf{t})) \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}],$$

where $\gamma(\mathbf{t})$ stands for $(\gamma(t_v))_{v \in V}$ and we put

$$F_{v, k}(\mathbf{t}) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} (\log t_{p_v} - \log t_v)^{k-1} \frac{dt_v}{t_v - C(v)} & \text{if } k > 0, \\ \frac{t_v}{t_v - C(v)} & \text{if } k = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that the integration domain $\Delta(X; \mathbf{k})$ is a subset of $[0, 1]^{|V|}$, but the actual number of variables for integration is $|\{v \in V \mid \mathbf{k}_v > 0\}|$. Throughout the following two lemmas, we assume that D is a finite subset of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]$, γ is a path on $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$, $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, C)$ is a γ -admissible D -colored rooted tree and \mathbf{k} is an index on X .

Proposition 3.9. Assume that there is an edge $e = \{v, w\}$ such that $C(v) = 0$, $v \neq \text{rt}$ and $w \in N_v$. Define $\mathbf{k}(\{w, w'\}) := \mathbf{k}(\{v, w'\})$ for a vertex $w' \in N_v \setminus \{w\}$ and

$$X' := (V \setminus \{v\}, (E \setminus \{\{v, w'\} \mid w' \in N_v\}) \cup \{\{w, w'\} \mid w' \in N_v \setminus \{w\}\}, \text{rt}, C|_{V \setminus \{v\}}).$$

Then we have

$$I_\gamma(X; \mathbf{k}) = I_\gamma(X'; \mathbf{k}).$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_u)_{u \in V} \in \Delta(X; \mathbf{k})$, $\mathbf{t} = (t_u)_{u \in V} := (\gamma(s_u))_{u \in V}$ and write \mathbf{k}_u^X (resp. $\mathbf{k}_u^{X'}$ or $p_u^{X'}$) instead of \mathbf{k}_u in X (resp. \mathbf{k}_u or p_u in X') to distinguish them. We can get an element of $\Delta(X'; \mathbf{k})$ from \mathbf{s} by removing s_v or s_w because $s_v = s_w$ by the assumption. Thus the actual integration domain of $I_\gamma(X'; \mathbf{k})$ agrees with that of $I_\gamma(X; \mathbf{k})$. Moreover, for $u \in V$ which is not v, w nor having v as its parent, we have

$$F_{u, \mathbf{k}_u^X}(\mathbf{t}) = F_{u, \mathbf{k}_u^{X'}}(\mathbf{t}) \tag{3.3}$$

because there is no change about u from X to X' . Even $p_u = v$, the equality (3.3) holds by the extended definition of \mathbf{k} . Therefore it suffices to prove

$$F_{v, \mathbf{k}_v^X}(\mathbf{t}) F_{w, \mathbf{k}_w^X}(\mathbf{t}) = F_{w, \mathbf{k}_w^{X'}}(\mathbf{t}).$$

In the case where $w = p_v$, the assertion follows from $F_{v, \mathbf{k}_v}(\mathbf{t}) = 1$ and that $\{w, p_w\}$ is the same edge in both X and X' . If $v = p_w$ and $\mathbf{k}_v^X > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{w, \mathbf{k}_w^X}(\mathbf{t}) F_{v, \mathbf{k}_v^X}(\mathbf{t}) &= \frac{t_w}{t_w - C(w)} \frac{1}{(\mathbf{k}_v^X - 1)!} (\log t_{p_v^X} - \log t_v)^{\mathbf{k}_v^X - 1} \frac{dt_v}{t_v} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\mathbf{k}_w^{X'} - 1)!} (\log t_{p_w^{X'}} - \log t_w)^{\mathbf{k}_w^{X'} - 1} \frac{dt_w}{t_w - C(w)} \\ &= F_{w, \mathbf{k}_w^{X'}}(\mathbf{t}). \end{aligned}$$

If $v = p_w$ and $\mathbf{k}_v^X = 0$, then $\mathbf{k}_w^{X'} = \mathbf{k}_v^X = 0$ and thus

$$F_{w, \mathbf{k}_w^X}(\mathbf{t}) F_{v, \mathbf{k}_v^X}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{t_w}{t_w - C(w)} = F_{w, \mathbf{k}_w^{X'}}(\mathbf{t}).$$

□

Proposition 3.10. *Assume that there are vertices w_1, w_2 and v such that $C(v) = 0$, $v \neq \text{rt}$, $\deg(v) = 2$ and $e_i := \{w_i, v\} \in E$ ($i = 1, 2$). Put $e := \{w_1, w_2\}$ and $\mathbf{k}(e) := \mathbf{k}(e_1) + \mathbf{k}(e_2)$. Then we have*

$$I_\gamma(X; \mathbf{k}) = I_\gamma(V \setminus \{v\}, (E \setminus \{e_1, e_2\}) \cup \{e\}, \text{rt}, C; \mathbf{k}|_{(E \setminus \{e_1, e_2\}) \cup \{e\}}).$$

Proof. We prove only in case $\tilde{p} = \tilde{0}$, $\tilde{q} = \tilde{1}$ and $\gamma = \text{dch}$ (other cases are similar). If one of $\mathbf{k}(e_1)$ and $\mathbf{k}(e_2)$ is zero, the assertion follows from Proposition 3.9. Hence we assume that $\mathbf{k}(e_i)$ is positive for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Let $\mathbf{t} \in \Delta(X; \mathbf{k})$ and the convention about the symbols $\mathbf{k}_u^{X'}$, $p_u^{X'}$, etc. the same as Proposition 3.9. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $p_v^X = w_1$. If a vertex u is neither v nor w_2 , the equality (3.3) is true. Then it is sufficient to prove the equality between 1-forms

$$\int_{t_{w_2} < t_v < t_{w_1}} F_{v, \mathbf{k}_v^X}(\mathbf{t}) F_{w_2, \mathbf{k}_{w_2}^X}(\mathbf{t}) = F_{w_2, \mathbf{k}_{w_2}^{X'}}(\mathbf{t})$$

because the range where t_v moves is $[t_{w_2}, t_{w_1}]$ for $\mathbf{t} = (t_u)_{u \in V} \in \Delta(X; \mathbf{k})$. This equality follows from

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_{w_2} < t_v < t_{w_1}} F_{w_2, \mathbf{k}_{w_2}^X}(\mathbf{t}) F_{v, \mathbf{k}_v^X}(\mathbf{t}) \\ &= \int_{t_{w_2}}^{t_{w_1}} \frac{1}{(\mathbf{k}(e_2) - 1)!} (\log t_v - \log t_{w_2})^{\mathbf{k}(e_2) - 1} \frac{dt_{w_2}}{t_{w_2} - C(w_2)} \frac{1}{(\mathbf{k}(e_1) - 1)!} (\log t_{w_1} - \log t_v)^{\mathbf{k}(e_1) - 1} \frac{dt_v}{t_v} \\ &= \int_{t_{w_2} < x_1 < \dots < x_{\mathbf{k}(e_1) - 1} < t_v < y_1 < \dots < y_{\mathbf{k}(e_2) - 1} < t_{w_1}} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \dots \frac{dx_{\mathbf{k}(e_1) - 1}}{x_{\mathbf{k}(e_1) - 1}} \frac{dt_v}{t_v} \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \dots \frac{dy_{\mathbf{k}(e_2) - 1}}{y_{\mathbf{k}(e_2) - 1}} \cdot \frac{dt_{w_2}}{t_{w_2} - C(w_2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\mathbf{k}(e_1) + \mathbf{k}(e_2) - 1)!} (\log t_{w_1} - \log t_{w_2})^{\mathbf{k}(e_1) + \mathbf{k}(e_2) - 1} \frac{dt_{w_2}}{t_{w_2} - C(w_2)} \\ &= F_{w_2, \mathbf{k}_{w_2}^{X'}}(\mathbf{t}). \end{aligned}$$

We used the extended definition $\mathbf{k}_{w_2}^{X'} = \mathbf{k}(e_1) + \mathbf{k}(e_2)$ in the last equality. □

Definition 3.11. Let D be a finite subset of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]$, γ a path on $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$, $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, C)$ a D -colored rooted tree and \mathbf{k} an index on X . We say that the pair $(X; \mathbf{k})$ is γ -harvestable if all of the following conditions are satisfied (replace D by $D \cup \{0\}$ if necessary):

- X is γ -admissible.
- $\deg(\text{rt}) = 1$ (and thus $C(\text{rt}) \neq \gamma(0)$).
- $\deg(v) \leq 2$ (resp. $\neq 2$) for any $v \in V$ such that $C(v) \neq 0$ (resp. $C(v) = 0$).
- For $v \in V$, if $\mathbf{k}_v = 0$ then $C(v) = 0$ and $C(p_v) \neq 0$ (and thus \mathbf{k} is essentially positive).

When $D = \{0, 1\}$, $\gamma(0) = 0$ and $\gamma(1) \notin \{0, 1\}$, the above definition amounts to Definition 2.1 (4).

Example 3.12. Let us consider the iterated integrals associated with a γ -harvestable D -colored rooted tree $(X; \mathbf{k})$ in the case where X is linear. Then according to the last assertion of Definition 2.1, the pair $(X; \mathbf{k}) = (V, E, \text{rt}, C; \mathbf{k})$ is uniquely written as

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \{v_1, \dots, v_{r+1}\}, \\ E &= \{\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \mid i = 1, \dots, r\}, \\ \text{rt} &= v_{r+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathbf{k}(\{v_i, v_{i+1}\}) = k_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) by $k_1, \dots, k_r > 0$. Moreover, when $D = \{0, 1\}$, the image of C is $\{1\}$. Then we can write down the associated iterated integral (along the path γ between tangential base points \tilde{p} and \tilde{q}) as

$$\begin{aligned} I_\gamma(X; \mathbf{k}) &= \int_{0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{r+1} < 1} \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} F_{v_i, \mathbf{k}(\{v_i, v_{i+1}\})}(\gamma(t_1), \dots, \gamma(t_{r+1})) \\ &= \int_{0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{r+1} < 1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{(k_i - 1)!} (\log \gamma(t_{i+1}) - \log \gamma(t_i))^{k_i - 1} \frac{d\gamma(t_i)}{\gamma(t_i) - 1} \right) \cdot \frac{d\gamma(t_{r+1})}{\gamma(t_{r+1}) - 1} \end{aligned}$$

$$= I_\gamma(\tilde{p}; e_1 e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_1 e_0^{k_r-1} e_1; \tilde{q}),$$

by the usual iterated integral symbol. According to Theorem 3.5, putting $\gamma = \beta$ we have

$$I_\beta(X; \mathbf{k}) = (-1)^r 2\pi i \zeta_{\mathcal{RS}}(k_1, \dots, k_r)$$

and thus our integrals include symmetric multiple zeta values. Moreover, when γ is the path $\text{dch}_{0,z}$ defined by $t \mapsto zt$ from $\tilde{0}$ to the tangential base point $(z, -z)$ for $0 < z < 1$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dz} I_{\text{dch}_{0,z}}(X; \mathbf{k}) = (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{0 < n_1 < \cdots < n_r \leq M} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} \cdots n_r^{k_r}} \right) z^M$$

by expanding power series and thus our integral also include finite multiple zeta values.

Lemma 3.13. *Let D be a finite subset of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]$, γ a path on $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$, $(X; \mathbf{k}) = (V, E, \text{rt}, C; \mathbf{k})$ a γ -harvestable D -colored pair. Then there exists a finite subset D' of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]$ and a finite non-empty set of D' -colored pairs $\{(X_i; \mathbf{k}^{(i)})\}_i = \{(V_i, E_i, \text{rt}_i, C_i; \mathbf{k}^{(i)})\}_{i=0, \dots, r}$ such that all of the following conditions are satisfied:*

- $(X_1, \mathbf{k}^{(1)}), \dots, (X_r, \mathbf{k}^{(r)})$ are γ -harvestable.
- $C_i(V_i \setminus \{\text{rt}_i\}) \subseteq D$.
- X_0 is linear.
- $\mathbf{k}^{(0)}(e) > 0$ for $e \in E_0 \setminus \{\{\text{rt}_0, u_0\}\}$ ($u_0 \in V_0 \setminus \{\text{rt}_0\}$ is nearest to rt_0).
- rt is the farthest vertex from rt_0 of X_0 .
- $(V, E) = G(X_0, \dots, X_r)$ and the image of the cluster vertex under C is 0.

Moreover, the set $\{(X_i; \mathbf{k}^{(i)})\}_i$ is unique up to the choice of $C(\text{rt}_0), \dots, C(\text{rt}_r)$.

Proof. When $C^{-1}(\{0\}) = \emptyset$, the lemma is true with $r = 0$ because X is linear by the γ -harvestability. Let $(X; \mathbf{k}) = (V, E, \text{rt}, C; \mathbf{k})$ be a γ -harvestable D -colored pair and v' the branched vertex nearest to rt . Then we define $V_0 := \{u \in V \mid P(u, \text{rt}) \subseteq P(v', \text{rt})\}$, let (V_0, E_0) be the subgraph of X induced by V_0 , put $\text{rt}_0 = v$ and

$$C_0(u) := \begin{cases} C(u) & \text{if } u \in V_0 \setminus \{v'\}, \\ \text{an element of } D \setminus \{0\} & \text{if } u = v'. \end{cases}$$

These data gives X_0 appearing in the assertion. We can also give $\mathbf{k}^{(0)} := \mathbf{k}|_{E_0}$. Next, put $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\} := \{u \in V \mid \{u, v'\} \in P(u, \text{rt})\}$, prepare a new vertex w_i for each $1 \leq i \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned} V_i &:= \{u \in V \mid P(v_i, \text{rt}) \subseteq P(u, \text{rt})\} \cup \{w_i\}, \\ E_i &:= \{e \in E \mid \text{both endpoints} \in V_i\} \cup \{\{v_i, w_i\}\}, \\ \text{rt}_i &:= w_i, \end{aligned}$$

$$C_i(u) := \begin{cases} C(u) & \text{if } u \in V_i \setminus \{w_i\}, \\ \text{an element of } \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}] \setminus \{\gamma(0), \gamma(1)\} & \text{if } u = w_i. \end{cases}$$

and $\mathbf{k}^{(i)} := \mathbf{k}|_{E_i}$. By putting $D' := D \cup \{C(\text{rt}_1), \dots, C(\text{rt}_r)\}$, these satisfy the required conditions. \square

Definition 3.14. Let D be a finite subset of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]$, γ a path on $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$ and $(X; \mathbf{k})$ a γ -harvestable pair with a D -colored rooted tree X . We assign an element $w_{(X; \mathbf{k})}$ of \mathfrak{H}_D to the pair $(X; \mathbf{k})$ by the following recursive rules: let B_P denote the set of vertices whose degree is greater than 2 in D -colored pair P . If $B_{(X; \mathbf{k})} = \emptyset$, the pair $(X; \mathbf{k})$ is uniquely written as

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \{v_1, \dots, v_{r+1}\}, \\ E &= \{\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \mid i = 1, \dots, r\}, \\ \text{rt} &= v_{r+1}, \\ C(v_i) &= z_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, r+1), \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathbf{k}(\{v_i, v_{i+1}\}) = k_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) by using elements z_1, \dots, z_{r+1} of D and positive integers k_1, \dots, k_r . Then we define

$$w_{(X; \mathbf{k})} := e_{z_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_{z_r} e_0^{k_r-1} e_{z_{r+1}}.$$

Next, assume that there exists a positive integer k such that w_P is already defined for any γ -harvestable D -colored pair P satisfying $B_P < k$. When $(X; \mathbf{k}) = (V, E, \text{rt}, C; \mathbf{k})$ is a γ -harvestable D -colored pair such that X is not linear and $B_{(X; \mathbf{k})} = k$, we put

$$w_{(X; \mathbf{k})} := (\tilde{w}_{(X_1; \mathbf{k}^{(1)})} \amalg \cdots \amalg \tilde{w}_{(X_r; \mathbf{k}^{(r)})}) e_0^{\mathbf{k}^{(0)}(\{\text{rt}_0, v'\})} w_{(V_0 \setminus \{\text{rt}_0\}, E_0 \setminus \{\{\text{rt}_0, u_0\}\}, \text{rt}, C_0|_{V_0 \setminus \{\text{rt}_0\}}; \mathbf{k}^{(0)}|_{E_0 \setminus \{\{\text{rt}_0, v'\}\})},$$

with the same symbols as Lemma 3.13. Here \tilde{w} denotes the image of w under the \mathbb{Q} -linear map on $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]}$ determined by $1 \mapsto 0$ and $w'e_z \mapsto w'$ ($w' \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]$). We remark that this definition is independent of the choice of $C(\text{rt}_0), \dots, C(\text{rt}_r)$, which is the non-unique part of Lemma 3.13.

Remark 3.15. Even if $p = 0$ and $D = \{0, 1\}$, the element $w_{(X; \mathbf{k})}$ in Definition 3.14 is not equal to $O_{(X'; \mathbf{k})}$ in the sense of Definition 2.5 associated with the 2-colored rooted tree X' corresponding to X . The latter $O_{(X'; \mathbf{k})}$ always coincides with the rest $\tilde{w}_{(X; \mathbf{k})}$ after removing the last letter of $w_{(X; \mathbf{k})}$.

Theorem 3.16. *Let D be a finite subset of \mathbb{C} , \tilde{p} and \tilde{q} tangential base points in \mathbb{C} , γ a path from \tilde{p} to \tilde{q} in $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$, $(X; \mathbf{k}) = (V, E, \text{rt}, C; \mathbf{k})$ be a γ -harvestable D -colored rooted tree and \mathbf{k} an index on $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, C)$. Then we have*

$$I_\gamma(X; \mathbf{k}) = I_\gamma(\tilde{p}; w_{(X; \mathbf{k})}; \tilde{q}).$$

Proof. We prove this proposition by induction on $\ell(X; \mathbf{k}) := \sum_{e \in E \setminus E_0} \mathbf{k}(e)$ (where E_0 means the set of edges of X contained in $P(v', \text{rt})$ and v' means the branched vertex nearest to the root). Example 3.12 includes the case where $\ell(X; \mathbf{k}) = 1$. Assume the assertion for any γ -harvestable D -colored pair P satisfying $\ell(P) = \ell - 1$ for some $\ell > 0$. Take a γ -harvestable D -colored pair $(X; \mathbf{k})$ with $\ell(X; \mathbf{k}) = \ell$ and $\{(X_i; \mathbf{k}^{(i)})\}_i = \{(V_i, E_i, \text{rt}_i, C_i; \mathbf{k}^{(i)})\}_{i=0, \dots, r}$ as in Lemma 3.13 (then their grafting is $(X; \mathbf{k})$ and the cluster vertex is v'). Denote by v'_i the vertex such that $\{v'_i, \text{rt}_i\} \in E_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, r$. This is unique by the harvestability ($i = 1, \dots, r$) or linearity ($i = 0$). Then $k'_i := \mathbf{k}(\{v', v'_i\})$ is positive when $i \neq 0$ and thus we can define a new index \mathbf{k}'_j on X for each $j = 1, \dots, r$ as

$$\mathbf{k}'_j(e) := \begin{cases} k'_j - 1 & \text{if } e = \{v', v'_j\}, \\ \mathbf{k}(e) + 1 & \text{if } e = \{v', p_{v'}^X\}, \\ \mathbf{k}(e) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$I_\gamma(X; \mathbf{k}) = \sum_{j=1}^r I_\gamma(X; \mathbf{k}'_j) \tag{3.4}$$

as [OSY, Lemma 3.7] by shuffling the domain of integration. Indeed, we can calculate the left-hand side as

$$\begin{aligned} & I_\gamma(X; \mathbf{k}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{t}=(t_v)_{v \in V} \in \Delta(X; \mathbf{k})} \prod_{v \in V} F_{v, \mathbf{k}_v}(\gamma(\mathbf{t})) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{t}} \left(\prod_{v \in V \setminus \{v'_1, \dots, v'_r\}} F_{v, \mathbf{k}_v}(\gamma(\mathbf{t})) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{d\gamma(t_{v'_i})}{\gamma(t_{v'_i}) - C(v'_i)} \int_{t_{v'_i} < u_1 < \dots < u_{k'_i-1} < t_{v'}} \frac{d\gamma(u_1)}{\gamma(u_1)} \dots \frac{d\gamma(u_{k'_i-1})}{\gamma(u_{k'_i-1})} \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{t}} \left(\prod_{v \in V \setminus \{v'_1, \dots, v'_r\}} F_{v, \mathbf{k}_v}(\gamma(\mathbf{t})) \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{d\gamma(t_{v'_i})}{\gamma(t_{v'_i}) - C(v'_i)} \int_{t_{v'_i} < u_1 < \dots < u_{k'_i-1-\delta_{i,j}} < u_{k'_j-1} < t_{v'}} \frac{d\gamma(u_1)}{\gamma(u_1)} \dots \frac{d\gamma(u_{k'_i-1-\delta_{i,j}})}{\gamma(u_{k'_i-1-\delta_{i,j}})} \frac{d\gamma(u_{k'_j-1})}{\gamma(u_{k'_j-1})} \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{t}} \left(\prod_{v \in V \setminus \{v', v'_1, \dots, v'_r\}} F_{v, \mathbf{k}_v}(\gamma(\mathbf{t})) \right) \cdot F_{v', \mathbf{k}_{v'}+1}(\gamma(\mathbf{t})) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^r F_{v'_i, k'_i-\delta_{i,j}}(\mathbf{t}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r I_\gamma(X; \mathbf{k}'_j). \end{aligned}$$

Here we considered $u_{k'_j-1} < u_{k'_j-1}$ for $i \neq j$ in the third equality and interchanged names of $u_{k'_i-1}$ and $t_{v'}$ in the fourth equality. This calculation remains true even $k'_j = 1$ by considering $u_{k'_i-1} < t_{v'_j}$ instead of $u_{k'_i-1} < u_{k'_j-1}$ in the third equality. When $k'_j > 1$, since the pair $(X; \mathbf{k}'_j)$ is γ -harvestable and satisfies $\ell(X; \mathbf{k}'_j) = \ell - 1$, we have

$$w_{(X; \mathbf{k}'_j)} = (w_{(X_1; \mathbf{k}^{(1)})} \text{III} \cdots \text{III} R_0^{-1}(\tilde{w}_{(X_j; \mathbf{k}^{(j)})}) \text{III} \cdots \text{III} w_{(X_r; \mathbf{k}^{(r)})}) e_0^{\mathbf{k}^{(0)}(\{\text{rt}_0, v'\})+1} \cdot w_{(V_0 \setminus \{\text{rt}_0\}, E_0 \setminus \{\{\text{rt}_0, u_0\}\}, \text{rt}, C_0|_{V_0 \setminus \{\text{rt}_0\}}; \mathbf{k}^{(0)}|_{E_0 \setminus \{\{\text{rt}_0, v'\}\}})} \quad (3.5)$$

by the induction hypothesis ($R_z^{-1}: \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]} e_z \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]}$ is the \mathbb{Q} -linear map determined by $1 \mapsto 0$ and $w e_z \mapsto w$ for $w \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]}$). When $k'_j = 1$, using Proposition 3.9 twice, we see that $I_\gamma(X; \mathbf{k}'_j)$ is equal to $I_\gamma(X'; \mathbf{k}''_j)$ with $X' = (V, E'_j, \text{rt}, C)$,

$$E'_j := (E \setminus (\{v', u_0\} \cup \{\{v'_j, w\} \mid w \in A_{v'_j} \setminus \{v'\}\})) \cup \{\{v', w\} \mid w \in A_{v'_j} \setminus \{v'\}\} \cup \{\{v'_j, u_0\}\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{k}''_j(e) := \begin{cases} \mathbf{k}(\{v'_j, w'\}) & \text{if } e = \{v', w\}, w \in N_{v'_j} \setminus \{v'\}, \\ \mathbf{k}(\{v', u_0\}) & \text{if } e = \{v'_j, u_0\}, \\ \mathbf{k}(e) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then it follows that

$$w_{(X'; \mathbf{k}''_j)} = (w_{(X_1; \mathbf{k}^{(1)})} \text{III} \cdots \text{III} R_{C'_j(v'_j)}^{-1}(\tilde{w}_{(X_j; \mathbf{k}^{(j)})}) \text{III} \cdots \text{III} w_{(X_r; \mathbf{k}^{(r)})}) e_{C(v'_j)} e_0^{\mathbf{k}^{(0)}(\{\text{rt}_0, v'\})} \cdot w_{(V_0 \setminus \{\text{rt}_0\}, E_0 \setminus \{\{\text{rt}_0, u_0\}\}, \text{rt}, C_0|_{V_0 \setminus \{\text{rt}_0\}}; \mathbf{k}^{(0)}|_{E_0 \setminus \{\{\text{rt}_0, v'\}\}})} \quad (3.6)$$

Combining (3.5), (3.6) and Definition 3.1 shows that

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ k'_j \geq 2}} w_{(X; \mathbf{k}'_j)} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ k'_j = 1}} w_{(X'; \mathbf{k}''_j)} = w_{(X; \mathbf{k})}. \quad (3.7)$$

Furthermore, since if $k'_j \geq 2$ (resp. $k'_j = 1$) then $\ell(X; \mathbf{k}'_j) = \ell - 1$ (resp. $\ell(X'; \mathbf{k}''_j) = \ell - 1$), using (3.4), (3.7) and the induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_\gamma(X; \mathbf{k}) &= \sum_{j=1}^r I_\gamma(X; \mathbf{k}'_j) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ k'_j \geq 2}} I_\gamma(X; \mathbf{k}'_j) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ k'_j = 1}} I_\gamma(X'; \mathbf{k}''_j) \\ &= I_\gamma \left(\tilde{p}; \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ k'_j \geq 2}} w_{(X; \mathbf{k}'_j)} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ k'_j = 1}} w_{(X'; \mathbf{k}''_j)}; \tilde{q} \right) \\ &= I_\gamma(\tilde{p}; w_{(X; \mathbf{k})}; \tilde{q}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.17. *Let D be a finite subset of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]$, γ a path on $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$, $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, C)$ be a γ -admissible D -colored rooted tree with $C(\text{rt}) \notin \gamma(0)$ and \mathbf{k} an essentially positive index on X . Then there exists a γ -harvestable D -colored pair $(X_{\text{har}}, \mathbf{k}_{\text{har}})$ such that*

$$I_\gamma(X; \mathbf{k}) = I_\gamma(X_{\text{har}}; \mathbf{k}_{\text{har}}).$$

Proof. The assertion can be proved in the same way as [OSY, Proposition 3.18]. Indeed, $(X_{\text{har}}, \mathbf{k}_{\text{har}})$ is obtained in the following processes:

- (1) If $(X; \mathbf{k})$ has an edge $e = \{v, w\}$ such that $C(v) = 0$ and $\mathbf{k}(e) = 0$, use Proposition 3.9 repeatedly to delete such e .
- (2) If $(X; \mathbf{k})$ has a vertex v such that $C(v) = 0$ and $\deg(v) = 2$, use Proposition 3.10 repeatedly to delete such v .
- (3) If $(X; \mathbf{k})$ has a vertex v such that $C(v) \neq 0$ and $\deg(v) \geq 3$, use Proposition 3.9 repeatedly to delete such v .
- (4) If $\deg(\text{rt}) \geq 2$, use Proposition 3.9 to make the root a terminal.

The γ -harvestability of $(X_{\text{har}}, \mathbf{k}_{\text{har}})$ is also proved similarly to [OSY, Proposition 3.18]. □

4. REGULARIZATION AND APPLICATIONS FOR FINITE AND SYMMETRIC MULTIPLE POLYLOGARITHMS

In this section, we extend Definition 3.8 to general D -colored rooted trees (with essentially positive indices) by the method called *regularization* and apply it to prove the p -adic and (resp. t -adic) shuffle relations for finite (resp. symmetric) multiple polylogarithms.

4.1. Regularization and changing roots.

Definition 4.1. Let D be a finite subset of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]$, γ a path on $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$, $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, C)$ a D -colored rooted tree and \mathbf{k} an essentially positive index on X . For $0 < z < 1$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$, define $\gamma_z(t) := \gamma(z + (1 - 2z)t)$. Then there exists $z' \in (0, 1/2)$ such that $\gamma(t) \notin D$ and $\gamma(u) \neq C(\text{rt})$ for every $t \in (0, z')$ and $u \in (0, z') \cup (1 - z', 1)$. Thus X is γ_z -admissible when $z \in (0, z')$ and we obtain the γ_z -harvestable D -colored pair $(X_{\text{har}}, \mathbf{k}_{\text{har}})$ from Proposition 3.17. By Theorem 3.16 and (3.1), we get the asymptotic expression

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\gamma_z}(X; \mathbf{k}) &= I_{\gamma_z}(X_{\text{har}}; \mathbf{k}_{\text{har}}) \\ &= I_{\gamma_z}((\gamma(z), (1 - 2z)\gamma'(z)); w_{(X_{\text{har}}, \mathbf{k}_{\text{har}})}; (\gamma(1 - z), (2z - 1)\gamma'(1 - z))) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{i,j} (\log z)^i z^j \quad (z \rightarrow +0), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]$ and we define the *regularized iterated integral associated with $(X; \mathbf{k})$* as

$$I_{\gamma}(X; \mathbf{k}) := c_{0,0}.$$

When $(X; \mathbf{k})$ is γ -admissible, the limit $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} I_{\gamma_z}(X; \mathbf{k})$ converges coefficientwise as the multivariable Laurent series of x_1, \dots, x_r and this definition agrees with Definition 3.8.

Proposition 4.2. Let D be a finite subset of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]$, γ a path on $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$, $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, C)$ a D -colored rooted tree and \mathbf{k} an essentially positive index on X . Choose a vertex $\text{rt}' \in V$ and write $X' := (V, E, \text{rt}', C)$. Then there exist two families $\{(Y_j; \mathbf{l}^{(j)})\}_{j=1, \dots, N}$ and $\{(Z_j; \mathbf{h}^{(j)})\}_{j=1, \dots, N}$ of D -colored pairs and a sequence $\{a_j\}_{j=1, \dots, N}$ of integers such that

$$I_{\gamma}(X; \mathbf{k}) = (-1)^{\sum_{e \in P(\text{rt}, \text{rt}')} \mathbf{k}(e)} I_{\gamma}(X'; \mathbf{k}) + \sum_{j=1}^N (-1)^{a_j} I_{\gamma}(Y_j; \mathbf{l}^{(j)}) I_{\gamma}(Z_j; \mathbf{h}^{(j)}). \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. First, we consider the case where rt is adjacent to rt' . Take a sufficiently small $z > 0$. If $\mathbf{k}(\{\text{rt}, \text{rt}'\}) = 0$, it is obvious that $I_{\gamma_z}(X; \mathbf{k}) = I_{\gamma_z}(X'; \mathbf{k})$ by definition and thus the sum on the right-hand side of (4.1) is 0. Assume $\mathbf{k}(\{\text{rt}, \text{rt}'\}) = 1$. Then the difference between $\Delta(X; \mathbf{k})$ and $\Delta(X'; \mathbf{k})$ is just the order of variables corresponding to rt and rt' . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\gamma_z}(X; \mathbf{k}) &= \int_{\mathbf{t} \in \Delta(X; \mathbf{k})} \prod_{v \in V} F_{v, \mathbf{k}_v^X}(\gamma_z(\mathbf{t})) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{t} \in (\Delta(Y; \mathbf{l}) \times \Delta(Z; \mathbf{h})) \setminus \Delta(X'; \mathbf{k})} \prod_{v \in V} F_{v, \mathbf{k}_v^X}(\gamma_z(\mathbf{t})) \\ &= I_{\gamma_z}(Y; \mathbf{l}) I_{\gamma_z}(Z; \mathbf{h}) - I_{\gamma_z}(X'; \mathbf{k}), \end{aligned}$$

where Y (resp. Z) is the connected component containing rt (resp. rt') when the edge $\{\text{rt}, \text{rt}'\}$ is removed from X and \mathbf{l} (resp. \mathbf{h}) is the restriction of \mathbf{k} to the set of edges of Y (resp. Z). Retake $z > 0$ to let all trees appearing γ_z -admissible if necessary. Taking the constant terms of the asymptotic expansions around $z = 0$ shows the assertion. When $\mathbf{k}(\{\text{rt}, \text{rt}'\}) \geq 2$, what we should prove is a consequence of the case where $\mathbf{k} = (\{\text{rt}, \text{rt}'\})$ by using Proposition 3.10. We obtain the general assertion by repeating the adjacent case. \square

4.2. p -adic finite multiple polylogarithms.

Definition 4.3 (Adelic ring; [S2, Definition 2.2]). Let R be a commutative ring, Σ a subset of R and n a positive integer. We put

$$\mathcal{A}_{n,R}^{\Sigma} := \left(\prod_{a \in \Sigma} R/a^n R \right) / \left(\bigoplus_{a \in \Sigma} R/a^n R \right).$$

Then $\{\mathcal{A}_{n,R}^\Sigma\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ equipped with natural projections becomes a projective system and thus we define

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_R^\Sigma := \varprojlim_n \mathcal{A}_{n,R}^\Sigma.$$

This ring is equipped with the projective limit topology, where each $\mathcal{A}_{n,R}$ is given the discrete topology. Then one has the (not necessarily continuous) natural projection

$$\pi_R^\Sigma: \prod_{a \in \Sigma} \left(\varprojlim_n R/a^n R \right) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_R^\Sigma,$$

according to [S2, Lemma 2.3].

Remark 4.4. In the original definitions of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{n,R}^\Sigma$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_R^\Sigma$ of [S2], the superscript Σ means an arbitrary family of ideals of R . However we slightly changed the definition because only the case where every element of Σ is a principal ideal appears in this paper.

In the rest of this section, fix a positive integer N and an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ and put

$$\mathcal{P}(N; \alpha) := \{p \in \mathcal{P} \mid p \equiv \alpha \pmod{N}\}.$$

We call the element $\mathbf{p} := \pi_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}]}^{\mathcal{P}(N;\alpha)}((p)_{p \in \mathcal{P}(N;\alpha)})$ the *infinitely large prime* (see [S1] for the case where $r = 0$ and $N = 1$). We see that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}]}^{\mathcal{P}(N;\alpha)}$ is complete with respect to the \mathbf{p} -adic topology via [S2, Lemma 2.5].

Definition 4.5. Let $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, C)$ be a $\{0, 1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_r^{-1}\}$ -colored rooted tree and \mathbf{k} an index on X . For a positive integer M , we define a polynomial $\mathfrak{L}_{<M}(X; \mathbf{k}) \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}]$ by

$$(-1)^{|V|-|C^{-1}(\{0\})|} \frac{d}{dz} I_{\text{dch}_{0,z}}(X; \mathbf{k}) = \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{L}_{<M}(X; \mathbf{k}) z^{M-1}.$$

Then we define the *\mathbf{p} -adic finite multiple polylogarithm (\mathbf{p} -adic FMPL) associated with X* by

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha}(X; \mathbf{k}) := \pi_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}]}^{\mathcal{P}(N;\alpha)}((\mathfrak{L}_{<p}(X; \mathbf{k}))_{p \in \mathcal{P}(N;\alpha)}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}]}^{\mathcal{P}(N;\alpha)}.$$

Example 4.6. Assume that X is linear in Definition 4.5 and take the same symbols as Example 3.12. When $C(\text{rt}) = 1$, we can write

$$\mathfrak{L}_{<M}(X; \mathbf{k}) = \mathfrak{L}_{<M} \left(\begin{matrix} C(v_1), \dots, C(v_r) \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{matrix} \right) := \sum_{0 < n_1 < \dots < n_r < M} \frac{C(v_1)^{n_1} C(v_2)^{n_2 - n_1} \dots C(v_r)^{n_r - n_{r-1}}}{n_1^{k_1} \dots n_r^{k_r}}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha}(X; \mathbf{k}) = \mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha} \left(\begin{matrix} C(v_1), \dots, C(v_r) \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{matrix} \right) := \pi_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}]}^{\mathcal{P}(N;\alpha)} \left(\left(\mathfrak{L}_{<p} \left(\begin{matrix} C(v_1), \dots, C(v_r) \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{matrix} \right) \right)_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \right) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}]}^{\mathcal{P}(N;\alpha)}.$$

We call $\mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha} \left(\begin{matrix} x_1, \dots, x_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{matrix} \right)$ the *\mathbf{p} -adic finite multiple polylogarithm*. For later convenience, we extend \mathbb{Q} -linearly these maps $\mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha}$ and $\mathfrak{L}_{<M}$ for a positive integer M . We also remark that, even the condition $C(\text{rt}) = 1$ is not satisfied, the associated polylogarithm is written as

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}(X; \mathbf{k}) = C(\text{rt})^{\mathbf{p}} \pi_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}]}^{\mathcal{P}(N;\alpha)} \left(\left(\mathfrak{L}_{<p} \left(\begin{matrix} C(v_1)/C(\text{rt}), \dots, C(v_r)/C(\text{rt}) \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{matrix} \right) \right)_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \right) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}, C(\text{rt}), C(\text{rt})^{-1}]}^{\mathcal{P}(N;\alpha)},$$

as long as $C(\text{rt}) \neq 0$. Here $a^{\mathbf{p}}$ denotes the natural projection of $(a^p)_{p \in \mathcal{P}(N;\alpha)}$.

Remark 4.7. When $N = 1$, the definition of $\mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha} \left(\begin{matrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{k} \end{matrix} \right)$ is due to Seki [S2, Definition 2.7] (though the order of arguments is different), who call it the *finite shuffle multiple polylogarithm*.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $0 < z < 1$ be a real number, $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, C)$ a $\text{dch}_{0,z}$ -admissible $\{0, 1\}$ -colored rooted tree and \mathbf{k} an essentially positive index on X . Assume that $N = 1$. Then the element $\mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha}(X; \mathbf{k})$ coincides with $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(X'; \mathbf{k})$ modulo \mathbf{p} . Here X' stands for the 2-colored rooted tree corresponding to X .*

Proof. It is sufficient to show

$$(-1)^{|V_\bullet|} \frac{d}{dz} I_{\text{dch}_{0,z}}(X; \mathbf{k}) = \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \zeta_{<M}(X'; \mathbf{k}) z^{M-1}, \quad (4.2)$$

where $V_\bullet := C^{-1}(\{1\})$. First we prove (4.2) in case $(X; \mathbf{k})$ is $\text{dch}_{0,z}$ -harvestable. For a positive integer M , let $Z_{<M}: \mathfrak{H}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be the \mathbb{Q} -linear map determined by $1 \mapsto 1$ and

$$e_1^{k_1-1} \cdots e_1^{k_r-1} \mapsto \zeta_{<M}(k_1, \dots, k_r) \quad (k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}).$$

The proof of [O1, Proposition 3.2] showed

$$\zeta_{<p}(X'; \mathbf{k}) = Z_{<p}(O_{(X'; \mathbf{k})})$$

for each prime number p , however it remains available to prove

$$\zeta_{<M}(X'; \mathbf{k}) = Z_{<M}(O_{(X'; \mathbf{k})})$$

for a general positive integer M . Thus, taking the generating function, we have

$$\sum_{M=1}^{\infty} (\zeta_{<M}(X'; \mathbf{k}) z^{M-1}) = \frac{(-1)^{|V_\bullet|} - 1}{1-z} I_{\text{dch}_{0,z}}(\tilde{0}; O_{(X'; \mathbf{k})}; (z, -z)) = (-1)^{|V_\bullet|} \frac{d}{dz} I_{\text{dch}_{0,z}}(\tilde{0}; w_{(X; \mathbf{k})}; (z, -z)).$$

It follows from Theorem 3.16 that the right-hand side agrees with the left-hand side of (4.2). Next we deal with the general case. Since the construction of $(X_{\text{har}}; \mathbf{k}_{\text{har}})$ is same as that of the harvestable form $(X'_h; \mathbf{k}_h)$ of $(X'; \mathbf{k})$ in [O1, Definition 2.10] when no change of roots appears, $(X_{\text{har}}; \mathbf{k}_{\text{har}})$ agrees with the $\text{dch}_{0,z}$ -admissible $\{0, 1\}$ -colored pair $(\widetilde{X}'_h; \mathbf{k}_h)$ corresponding to the harvestable form $(X'_h; \mathbf{k}_h)$ of $(X'; \mathbf{k})$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{|V_\bullet|} \frac{d}{dz} I_{\text{dch}_{0,z}}(X; \mathbf{k}) &= (-1)^{|V_\bullet|} \frac{d}{dz} I_{\text{dch}_{0,z}}(X_{\text{har}}; \mathbf{k}_{\text{har}}) \\ &= (-1)^{|V_\bullet|} \frac{d}{dz} I_{\text{dch}_{0,z}}(\widetilde{X}'_h; \mathbf{k}_h) \\ &= \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \zeta_{<M}(X'_h; \mathbf{k}_h) z^{M-1} \\ &= \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \zeta_{<M}(X'; \mathbf{k}) z^{M-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used (4.2) in the third equality and [O1, Proposition 2.9] in the fourth equality. Note that the original proof of [O1, Proposition 2.9] is only written in case M is a prime number, however it holds for an arbitrary positive integer M since the root is not changed in our case. \square

Denote by \mathcal{R} the \mathbb{Q} -linear space spanned by tuples of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix}$, where k_1, \dots, k_r are positive integers and $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \{1, x_1, \dots, x_r\}$. Then there exists a \mathbb{Q} -linear bijection determined by

$$W: \mathcal{R} \ni \begin{pmatrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^r e_{a_i} e_0^{k_i-1} \in \mathfrak{H}_{\{0,1,x_1,\dots,x_r\}}^1 := \mathbb{Q} \oplus e_1 \mathfrak{H}_{\{0,1,x_1,\dots,x_r\}} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^r e_{x_i} \mathfrak{H}_{\{0,1,x_1,\dots,x_r\}}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{H}_{\{0,1,x_1,\dots,x_r\}}^1$ is a commutative \mathbb{Q} -algebra via the shuffle product, we can equip a commutative \mathbb{Q} -algebra structure on \mathcal{R} by introducing \mathfrak{m} through this identification. Namely, we define $a \mathfrak{m} b := W^{-1}(W(a) \mathfrak{m} W(b))$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{R}$.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $k_1, \dots, k_r, l_1, \dots, l_s$ be positive integers. Then the equality*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1, \dots, x_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{m} \begin{pmatrix} y_1, \dots, y_s \\ l_1, \dots, l_s \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ = (-1)^{l_1 + \dots + l_s} y_1^{\mathbf{p}} \sum_{f_1, \dots, f_s \geq 0} \left(\prod_{i=1}^s \binom{l_i + f_i - 1}{f_i} \right) \mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1/y_1, \dots, x_r/y_1, 1/y_1, y_s/y_1, \dots, y_2/y_1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_r, l_s + f_s, \dots, l_1 + f_1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \mathbf{p}^{f_1 + \dots + f_s} \end{aligned}$$

holds in $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbb{Z}[x, y_1, y_1^{-1}, y_2, \dots, y_s]}^{\mathcal{P}(N; \alpha)}$.

Proof. Consider the $\{0, 1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_r^{-1}, y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_s^{-1}\}$ -colored rooted tree X and the index \mathbf{k} on X consisting of the following data:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= (V, E, \text{rt}, C), \\ V &= \{v_1, \dots, v_r, w_1, \dots, w_s, \text{rt}\}, \\ E &= \{e_i = \{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \mid i = 1, \dots, r \ (v_{r+1} := \text{rt})\} \cup \{d_i = \{w_i, w_{i+1}\} \mid i = 1, \dots, s \ (w_{s+1} := \text{rt})\}, \\ C(v) &= \begin{cases} x_i^{-1} & \text{if } v = v_i, \ i = 1, \dots, r, \\ y_i^{-1} & \text{if } v = w_i, \ i = 1, \dots, s, \\ 1 & \text{if } v = \text{rt}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{k}(e) = \begin{cases} k_i & \text{if } e = e_i, \\ l_i & \text{if } e = d_i. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.16 and expanding the power series show

$$I_{\text{dch}_{0,z}}(X; \mathbf{k}) = (-1)^{r+s+1} \int_0^z \left(\sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{L}_{<M} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1, \dots, x_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix} \text{III} \begin{pmatrix} y_1, \dots, y_s \\ l_1, \dots, l_s \end{pmatrix} \right) z^{M-1} \right) dz. \quad (4.3)$$

Write $X' = (V, E, w_1, C)$. Running the algorithm showed in the proof of Proposition 4.2 explicitly and use Proposition 3.10, we obtain

$$I_{\text{dch}_{0,z}}(X; \mathbf{k}) = (-1)^{l_1 + \dots + l_s} I_{\text{dch}_{0,z}}(X'; \mathbf{k}) + \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{l_i-1} (-1)^{l_{i+1} + \dots + l_s + j} I_{\text{dch}_{0,z}}(Y_{i,j}; \mathbf{l}_{i,j}) I_{\text{dch}_{0,z}}(Z_{i,j}; \mathbf{h}_{i,j})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{i,j} &= (V_{i,j}, E_{i,j}, w', C_{i,j}), \\ V_{i,j} &= \begin{cases} \{v_1, \dots, v_r, w_{i+1}, \dots, w_s, w', \text{rt}\} & \text{if } j > 0, \\ \{v_1, \dots, v_r, w' = w_{i+1}, w_{i+2}, \dots, w_s, \text{rt}\} & \text{if } j = 0, \end{cases} \\ E_{i,j} &= \begin{cases} \{e_1, \dots, e_r, d_{i+1}, \dots, d_s, d' := \{w', w_{i+1}\}\} & \text{if } j > 0, \\ \{e_1, \dots, e_r, d_{i+1}, \dots, d_s\} & \text{if } j = 0, \end{cases} \\ C_{i,j}(v) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j > 0, \ v = w', \\ C(v) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{l}_{i,j}(e) &= \begin{cases} j & \text{if } j > 0, \ e = d', \\ \mathbf{k}(e) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{i,j} &= (V'_{i,j}, E'_{i,j}, w'', C'_{i,j}), \\ V'_{i,j} &= \begin{cases} \{w_1, \dots, w_i, w''\} & \text{if } j < l_i - 1, \\ \{w_1, \dots, w_{i-1}, w'' = w_i\} & \text{if } j = l_i - 1, \end{cases} \\ E'_{i,j} &= \begin{cases} \{d_1, \dots, d_{i-1}, d'' := \{w_i, w''\}\} & \text{if } j < l_i - 1, \\ \{d_1, \dots, d_{i-1}\} & \text{if } j = l_i - 1, \end{cases} \\ C'_{i,j}(v) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j < l_i - 1, \ v = w'', \\ C(v) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{h}_{i,j}(e) &= \begin{cases} l_i - 1 - j & \text{if } j < l_i - 1, \ e = d'', \\ \mathbf{k}(e) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

From these data, computing the associated integrals similarly to Example 3.12, we have

$$I_{\text{dch}_{0,z}}(Y_{i,j}; \mathbf{l}_{i,j}) = (-1)^{r+s+1-i} \sum_{0 < m_1 < \dots < m_r < n_s < \dots < n_i} \frac{x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2 - m_1} \dots x_r^{m_r - m_{r-1}} x_{r+1}^{n_s - m_r} y_s^{n_s - 1 - n_s} \dots y_{i+1}^{n_i - n_{i+1}}}{m_1^{k_1} \dots m_r^{k_r} n_s^{l_s} \dots n_{i+1}^{l_i} n_i^{j+1}} z^{n_i},$$

$$I_{\text{dch}_{0,z}}(Z_{i,j}; \mathbf{h}_{i,j}) = (-1)^i \sum_{0 < n_1 < \dots < n_i} \frac{y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2 - n_1} \dots y_i^{n_i - n_{i-1}}}{n_1^{l_1} \dots n_{i-1}^{l_{i-1}} n_i^{l_i - j}} z^{n_i}$$

and

$$I_{\text{dch}_{0,z}}(X'; \mathbf{k}) = (-1)^{r+s+1} \int_0^z \left(\sum_{M=1}^{\infty} y_1^M \mathfrak{L}_{<M} \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} x_1/y_1, \dots, x_r/y_1, 1/y_1, y_s/y_1, \dots, y_2/y_1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_r, l_s, \dots, l_1 \end{array} \right) \right) z^{M-1} \right) dz.$$

Then combining them and (4.3) shows

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{L}_{<p} \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} x_1, \dots, x_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{array} \right) \text{III} \left(\begin{array}{c} y_1, \dots, y_s \\ l_1, \dots, l_s \end{array} \right) \right) \\ &= (-1)^{l_1 + \dots + l_s} y_1^p \mathfrak{L}_{<p} \left(\begin{array}{c} x_1/y_1, \dots, x_r/y_1, 1/y_1, y_s/y_1, \dots, y_2/y_1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_r, l_s, \dots, l_1 \end{array} \right) \\ & \quad + p \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{l_i-1} (-1)^{l_{i+1} + \dots + l_s + j} \sum_{N=1}^{p-1} \mathfrak{L}_{=N} \left(\begin{array}{c} x_1, \dots, x_r, 1, y_s, \dots, y_{i+1} \\ k_1, \dots, k_r, l_s, \dots, l_{i+1}, j+1 \end{array} \right) \mathfrak{L}_{=p-N} \left(\begin{array}{c} y_1, \dots, y_i \\ l_1, \dots, l_{i-1}, l_i - j \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for a prime number p , where we put $\mathfrak{L}_{=M} := \mathfrak{L}_{<M+1} - \mathfrak{L}_{<M}$. Then using $y_1 = 1$ and the p -adic expansion

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{L}_{=p-N} \left(\begin{array}{c} y_1, \dots, y_i \\ l_1, \dots, l_{i-1}, l_i - j \end{array} \right) \\ &= \sum_{0 < n_1 < \dots < n_{i-1} < p-N} \frac{y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2 - n_1} \dots y_i^{p-N-n_{i-1}}}{n_1^{l_1} \dots n_{i-1}^{l_{i-1}} (p-N)^{l_i - j}} \\ &= \sum_{f_1, \dots, f_i \geq 0} \sum_{N < n_1 < \dots < n_{i-1} < p} (-1)^{l_1 + \dots + l_{i-1} + l_i - j} \\ & \quad \cdot \binom{l_1 + f_1 - 1}{f_1} \dots \binom{l_{i-1} + f_{i-1} - 1}{f_{i-1}} \binom{l_i - j + f_i - 1}{f_i} \frac{y_1^{n_1 - N} y_2^{n_2 - n_1} \dots y_{i-1}^{n_{i-1} - n_{i-2}} y_i^{p-n_{i-1}}}{N^{l_i - j + f_i} n_1^{l_{i-1} + f_{i-1}} \dots n_{i-1}^{l_1 + f_1}} p^{f_1 + \dots + f_i}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{L}_{<p} \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} x_1, \dots, x_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{array} \right) \text{III} \left(\begin{array}{c} y_1, \dots, y_s \\ l_1, \dots, l_s \end{array} \right) \right) \\ &= (-1)^{l_1 + \dots + l_s} y_1^p \mathfrak{L}_{<p} \left(\begin{array}{c} x_1/y_1, \dots, x_r/y_1, 1/y_1, y_s/y_1, \dots, y_2/y_1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_r, l_s, \dots, l_1 \end{array} \right) \\ & \quad + (-1)^{l_1 + \dots + l_s} \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{l_i-1} \sum_{f_1, \dots, f_i \geq 0} \binom{l_1 + f_1 - 1}{f_1} \dots \binom{l_{i-1} + f_{i-1} - 1}{f_{i-1}} \binom{l_i - j + f_i - 1}{f_i} \\ & \quad \cdot y_1^p \mathfrak{L}_{<p} \left(\begin{array}{c} x_1/y_1, \dots, x_r/y_1, 1/y_1, y_s/y_1, \dots, y_2/y_1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_r, l_s, \dots, l_{i+1}, l_i + f_i + 1, l_{i-1} + f_{i-1}, \dots, l_1 + f_1 \end{array} \right) p^{f_1 + \dots + f_i + 1} \\ &= (-1)^{l_1 + \dots + l_s} y_1^p \mathfrak{L}_{<p} \left(\begin{array}{c} x_1/y_1, \dots, x_r/y_1, 1/y_1, y_s, \dots, y_2 \\ k_1, \dots, k_r, l_s, \dots, l_1 \end{array} \right) \\ & \quad + (-1)^{l_1 + \dots + l_s} \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{f_1, \dots, f_i \geq 0} \binom{l_1 + f_1 - 1}{f_1} \dots \binom{l_{i-1} + f_{i-1} - 1}{f_{i-1}} \binom{l_i + f_i}{f_i + 1} \\ & \quad \cdot \mathfrak{L}_{<p} \left(\begin{array}{c} x_1/y_1, \dots, x_r/y_1, 1/y_1, y_s/y_1, \dots, y_2/y_1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_r, l_s, \dots, l_{i+1}, l_i + f_i + 1, l_{i-1} + f_{i-1}, \dots, l_1 + f_1 \end{array} \right) p^{f_1 + \dots + f_i + 1} \\ &= (-1)^{l_1 + \dots + l_s} y_1^p \mathfrak{L}_{<p} \left(\begin{array}{c} x_1/y_1, \dots, x_r/y_1, 1/y_1, y_s/y_1, \dots, y_2/y_1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_r, l_s, \dots, l_1 \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (-1)^{l_1 + \dots + l_s} \sum_{\substack{f_1, \dots, f_s \geq 0 \\ f_1 + \dots + f_s \geq 1}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^s \binom{l_i + f_i - 1}{f_i} \right) y_1^p \mathfrak{L}_{< p} \left(\begin{matrix} x_1/y_1, \dots, x_r/y_1, 1/y_1, y_s/y_1, \dots, y_2/y_1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_r, l_s + f_s, \dots, l_1 + f_1 \end{matrix} \right) \mathbf{p}^{f_1 + \dots + f_s} \\
& = (-1)^{l_1 + \dots + l_s} y_1^p \sum_{f_1, \dots, f_s \geq 0} \left(\prod_{i=1}^s \binom{l_i + f_i - 1}{f_i} \right) \mathfrak{L}_{< p} \left(\begin{matrix} x_1/y_1, \dots, x_r/y_1, 1/y_1, y_s/y_1, \dots, y_2/y_1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_r, l_s + f_s, \dots, l_1 + f_1 \end{matrix} \right) \mathbf{p}^{f_1 + \dots + f_s}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(N; \alpha)$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_n(N; \alpha)$) the ring $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_R^\Sigma$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_{n,R}^\Sigma$) in case $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^N - 1)$ and $\Sigma = \mathcal{P}(N; \alpha)$. We write the natural projection as $\pi_N := \pi_{\mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^N - 1)}^{\mathcal{P}(N; \alpha)}$ and the element $\pi_N((p)_{p \in \mathcal{P}(N; \alpha)})$ as \mathbf{p} by abuse of notation. The ring $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(N; \alpha)$ is \mathbf{p} -adically complete.

Remark 4.10. We also easily see that, when once we determine a map $f: \{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \rightarrow \mu_N$, the continuous ring homomorphism $f: \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}]}^{\mathcal{P}(N; \alpha)} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}}(N; \alpha)$ is induced by the definition of the projective limit.

Definition 4.11. For $\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\eta} \\ \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix} \in \mu_N^r \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^r$, we put

$$L_{< M} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\eta} \\ \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix} := \sum_{0 < n_1 < \dots < n_r < M} \frac{\eta_1^{n_1} \eta_2^{n_2 - n_1} \dots \eta_r^{n_r - n_{r-1}}}{n_1^{k_1} \dots n_r^{k_r}}$$

and define the \mathbf{p} -adic finite multiple L -value as

$$L_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\eta} \\ \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix} := \pi_N \left(\left(L_{< p} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\eta} \\ \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix} \right)_{p \in \mathcal{P}(N; \alpha)} \right) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}(N; \alpha).$$

Remark 4.12. The image of $L_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\eta} \\ \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix}$ under the natural projection $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(N; \alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1(N; \alpha) \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{A}}(N; \alpha)/\mathbf{p}\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(N; \alpha)$ is essentially Tasaka's finite colored multiple zeta value $L_\alpha^{\mathcal{A}} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\eta} \\ \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix}$ ([T, Definition 4.1]) with an appropriate adjusting of arguments.

For a positive integer N , we define

$$\mathcal{R}_N := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\bigcup_{r=0}^{\infty} (\mu_N^r \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^r) \right).$$

Corollary 4.13. Let $k_1, \dots, k_r, l_1, \dots, l_s$ be positive integers and $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_s$ elements of μ_N . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& L_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix} \text{III} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1, \dots, \xi_s \\ l_1, \dots, l_s \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
& = (-1)^{l_1 + \dots + l_s} \zeta_1^{\mathbf{p}} \sum_{f_1, \dots, f_s \geq 0} \left(\prod_{i=1}^s \binom{l_i + f_i - 1}{f_i} \right) L_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha} \left(\begin{matrix} \eta_1/\xi_1, \dots, \eta_r/\xi_1, 1/\xi_1, \xi_s/\xi_1, \dots, \xi_2/\xi_1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_r, l_s + f_s, \dots, l_1 + f_1 \end{matrix} \right) \mathbf{p}^{f_1 + \dots + f_s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we extend $L_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha}$ to \mathcal{R}_N .

Proof. Determine $f: \{x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s\} \rightarrow \mu_N$ by $f(x_i) = \eta_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $f(y_j) = \xi_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, s$. Then we get this corollary by applying the induced map f in the sense of Remark 4.10 to Theorem 4.9. □

The following corollary is immediate by putting $N = 1$ and $r = 0$ in Theorem 4.9.

Corollary 4.14 ([S2, Theorem 3.1]). Let k_1, \dots, k_r be positive integers. Then the equality

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, 0} \begin{pmatrix} x_1, \dots, x_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{k_1 + \dots + k_r} x_1^{\mathbf{p}} \sum_{f_1, \dots, f_r \geq 0} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \binom{k_i + f_i - 1}{f_i} \right) \mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, 0} \begin{pmatrix} 1/x_1, x_r/x_1, \dots, x_2/x_1 \\ k_r + f_r, \dots, k_1 + f_1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{p}^{f_1 + \dots + f_r}$$

holds in $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]}^{\mathcal{P}(1; 0)}$.

4.3. t -adic symmetric multiple polylogarithms.

Definition 4.15. For $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^r$, we define the t -adic symmetric multiple polylogarithm (t -adic SMPL) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \alpha}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{k}) &:= \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{k_{i+1} + \dots + k_r} x_{i+1}^\alpha \operatorname{Li}^{\mathfrak{m}} \left(\begin{matrix} x_1/x_{i+1}, \dots, x_i/x_{i+1} \\ k_1, \dots, k_i \end{matrix} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{f_{i+1}, \dots, f_r \geq 0} \left(\prod_{j=i+1}^r \binom{k_j + f_j - 1}{f_j} \right) \operatorname{Li}^{\mathfrak{m}} \left(\begin{matrix} 1/x_{i+1}, x_r/x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+2}/x_{i+1} \\ k_r + f_r, \dots, k_{i+1} + f_{i+1} \end{matrix} \right) t^{f_{i+1} + \dots + f_r} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}][[t]], \end{aligned}$$

where we write

$$\operatorname{Li}^{\mathfrak{m}} \left(\begin{matrix} x_1, \dots, x_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{matrix} \right) := \sum_{0 < n_1 < \dots < n_r} \frac{x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2 - n_1} \dots x_r^{n_r - n_{r-1}}}{n_1^{k_1} \dots n_r^{k_r}} = (-1)^r I_{\text{dch}}(\tilde{0}; e_{1/x_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \dots e_{1/x_r} e_0^{k_r-1}; \tilde{1}).$$

This definition gives the \mathbb{Q} -linear map $\mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \alpha}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}][[t]]$.

Definition 4.16. Let $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, C)$ be a $\{0, 1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_r^{-1}\}$ -colored rooted tree and \mathbf{k} an essentially positive index on X . For $u \in \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ and a non-negative integer n , using a new vertex w , we define a $\{0, x_1, \dots, x_r\} \cup \{x_i x_j^{-1} \mid i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}\}$ -colored pair $(X'_u; \mathbf{k}_n)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} C'_u(v) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v = w, \\ C(v)u & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ X'_u &= (V \cup \{w\}, E \cup \{\{\text{rt}, w\}\}, w, C'_u), \\ \mathbf{k}_n(e) &= \begin{cases} n & \text{if } e = \{\text{rt}, w\}, \\ \mathbf{k}(e) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then we define the t -adic refined symmetric multiple polylogarithm associated with X as

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{RS}}, \alpha}(X; \mathbf{k}) := \frac{(-1)^{|V| - |C^{-1}(\{0\})| - 1}}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{u \in \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}} u^\alpha I_\beta(X'_u; \mathbf{k}_n) t^n \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}][[t]].$$

Proposition 4.17. Let $X = (V, E, \text{rt}, C)$ be a β -admissible $\{0, 1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_r^{-1}\}$ -colored rooted tree and \mathbf{k} an essentially positive index on X . Then we have the followings.

- (1) The element $\mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{RS}}, \alpha}(X; \mathbf{k})$ is in $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}][2\pi i][[t]]$.
- (2) When $r = 0$, the value $\mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{RS}}, \alpha}(X; \mathbf{k})$ coincides with $\zeta_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}}(X''; \mathbf{k})$ modulo $2\pi i$. Here X'' stands for the 2-colored rooted tree corresponding to X .
- (3) When X is linear as in Example 3.12, the congruence

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{RS}}, \alpha}(X; \mathbf{k}) \equiv C(\text{rt})^{-\alpha} \mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \alpha} \left(\begin{matrix} C(\text{rt})/C(v_1), \dots, C(\text{rt})/C(v_r) \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{matrix} \right) \pmod{2\pi i}$$

holds.

- (4) When X is linear as in Example 3.12 and $r = 0$, the value $\mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{RS}}, \alpha}(X; \mathbf{k})$ coincides with $\zeta_{\mathcal{RS}}(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ modulo t .

Proof. The claim (4) is proved by a similar computation to Example 3.12. We prove (1) and (3). Take the same symbols about $(X; \mathbf{k})$ as Example 3.12 and determines elements $a_1, \dots, a_l \in \{0, x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}\} \cup \{x_i x_j^{-1} \mid i, j \in \{1, \dots, r+1\}\}$ by

$$e_{a_1} \dots e_{a_l} := e_{u/x_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \dots e_{u/x_r} e_0^{k_r-1} e_{u/x_{r+1}} e_0^n,$$

for $u \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}\}$. By the path composition formula and the reversal formula (Proposition 3.3 (3) and (2)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &I_\beta(\tilde{0}; e_{u/x_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \dots e_{u/x_r} e_0^{k_r-1} e_{u/x_{r+1}} e_0^n; \tilde{0}) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq s \leq l} I_{\text{dch}}(\tilde{0}; e_{a_1} \dots e_{a_j}; \tilde{1}) I_c(\tilde{1}; e_{a_{j+1}} \dots e_{a_s}; \tilde{1}) I_{\text{dch}^{-1}}(\tilde{1}; e_{a_{s+1}} \dots e_{a_l}; \tilde{0}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq s \leq l} (-1)^{l-s} I_{\text{dch}}(\tilde{0}; e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_j}; \tilde{1}) I_c(\tilde{1}; e_{a_{j+1}} \cdots e_{a_s}; \tilde{1}) I_{\text{dch}}(\tilde{0}; e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_{s+1}}; \tilde{1}).$$

Since

$$I_c(\tilde{1}; e_{a_{j+1}} \cdots e_{a_s}; \tilde{1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{(2\pi i)^{s-j}}{(s-j)!} & \text{if } a_{j+1} = \cdots = a_s = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{u \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}\}} u^\alpha I_\beta(\tilde{0}; e_{u/x_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_{u/x_r} e_0^{k_r-1} e_{u/x_{r+1}} e_0^n; \tilde{0}) \\ &= \sum_{u \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}\}} u^\alpha \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq s \leq l \\ a_{j+1} = \cdots = a_s = 1}} (-1)^{l-s} \frac{(2\pi i)^{s-j}}{(s-j)!} I_{\text{dch}}(\tilde{0}; e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_j}; \tilde{1}) I_{\text{dch}}(\tilde{0}; e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_{s+1}}; \tilde{1}) \\ &= \sum_{u \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}\}} u^\alpha \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq s \leq r \\ k_{j+1} = \cdots = k_s = 1 \\ x_{j+1} = \cdots = x_{s+1} = u}} (-1)^{k_{j+1} + \cdots + k_r + n + r - s + j} \frac{(2\pi i)^{s-j+1}}{(s-j+1)!} I_{\text{dch}}(\tilde{0}; e_{u/x_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_{u/x_j} e_0^{k_j-1}; \tilde{1}) \\ & \quad \cdot I_{\text{dch}}(\tilde{0}; e_0^n e_{u/x_{r+1}} e_0^{k_r-1} e_{u/x_r} e_0^{k_r-1} \cdots e_{u/x_{s+2}} e_0^{k_{s+1}-1}; \tilde{1}) \\ &= (-1)^r 2\pi i \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq s \leq r \\ k_{j+1} = \cdots = k_s = 1 \\ x_{j+1} = \cdots = x_{s+1}}} (-1)^{k_{s+1} + \cdots + k_r} x_{j+1}^\alpha \frac{(-2\pi i)^{s-j}}{(s-j+1)!} \text{Li}^{\text{III}} \left(\begin{matrix} x_1/x_{j+1}, \dots, x_j/x_{j+1} \\ k_1, \dots, k_j \end{matrix} \right) \\ & \quad \cdot \sum_{\substack{f_{s+1}, \dots, f_r \geq 0 \\ f_{s+1} + \cdots + f_r = n}} \left(\prod_{a=s+1}^r \binom{k_a + f_a - 1}{f_a} \right) \text{Li}^{\text{III}} \left(\begin{matrix} x_{r+1}/x_{s+1}, x_r/x_{s+1}, \dots, x_{s+2}/x_{s+1} \\ k_r + f_r, \dots, k_{s+1} + f_{s+1} \end{matrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the term where $j = s$ in the first equality and the terms where $s > r$ in the second equality vanish by the path composition formula. This expression shows (1). Moreover, (3) also follows as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{RS}}, \alpha}(X; \mathbf{k}) &= \frac{(-1)^r}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{u \in \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}} u^\alpha I_\beta(\tilde{0}; e_{u/x_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_{u/x_r} e_0^{k_r-1} e_{u/x_{r+1}} e_0^n; \tilde{0}) (-t)^n \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq s \leq r \\ k_{j+1} = \cdots = k_s = 1 \\ x_{j+1} = \cdots = x_{s+1}}} (-1)^{k_{s+1} + \cdots + k_r} x_{j+1}^\alpha \frac{(-2\pi i)^{s-j}}{(s-j+1)!} \text{Li}^{\text{III}} \left(\begin{matrix} x_1/x_{j+1}, \dots, x_j/x_{j+1} \\ k_1, \dots, k_j \end{matrix} \right) \\ & \quad \cdot \sum_{f_{k+1}, \dots, f_s \geq 0} \left(\prod_{a=k+1}^s \binom{l_a + f_a - 1}{f_a} \right) \text{Li}^{\text{III}} \left(\begin{matrix} x_{r+1}/x_{s+1}, x_r/x_{s+1}, \dots, x_{s+2}/x_{s+1} \\ l_s + f_s, \dots, l_{k+1} + f_{k+1} \end{matrix} \right) t^{f_{k+1} + \cdots + f_s} \\ &\equiv \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^{k_{j+1} + \cdots + k_r} x_{j+1}^\alpha \text{Li}^{\text{III}} \left(\begin{matrix} x_1/x_{j+1}, \dots, x_j/x_{j+1} \\ k_1, \dots, k_j \end{matrix} \right) \\ & \quad \cdot \sum_{f_{j+1}, \dots, f_r \geq 0} \left(\prod_{a=j+1}^r \binom{k_a + f_a - 1}{f_a} \right) \text{Li}^{\text{III}} \left(\begin{matrix} x_{r+1}/x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{j+2}/x_{j+1} \\ k_r + f_r, \dots, k_{j+1} + f_{j+1} \end{matrix} \right) t^{f_{j+1} + \cdots + f_r} \pmod{2\pi i} \\ &= x_{r+1}^\alpha \mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \alpha} \left(\begin{matrix} x_1/x_{r+1}, \dots, x_r/x_{r+1} \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{matrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next we prove (2). Take the same symbols as Definition 4.16 and $0 < z' < 1/2$ such that $\gamma(t) \notin D$ and $\gamma(u) \notin C(\text{rt})$ for $t \in (0, z')$ and $u \in (1 - z', 1)$. Then X' is β_z -admissible for $0 < z < z'$. Following the algorithm in the proof of Proposition 3.17, we see that

$$w(X'_{1, \text{har}}; (\mathbf{k}_n)_{\text{har}}) = w(X_{\text{har}}; \mathbf{k}_{\text{har}}) e_0^n$$

for $n \geq 0$. Similarly as the proof of Proposition 4.8, it is also equal to $O_{(X''_h; \mathbf{k}_h)} e_1 e_0^n$. Since $O_{(X''_h; \mathbf{k}_h)}$ is an element of \mathfrak{H}^1 and [OSY, Theorem 3.12] ($\text{rt} \in V_\bullet$ by the β -admissibility), it suffices to show

$$\frac{(-1)^s}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_\beta(\tilde{0}; e_1 e_0^{l_1-1} \cdots e_1 e_0^{l_s-1} e_1 e_0^n; \tilde{0}) t^n \equiv \zeta_{\mathcal{S}}(l_1, \dots, l_s) \pmod{2\pi i}$$

for $l_1, \dots, l_s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. This claim follows from (3). \square

Theorem 4.18. *Let $k_1, \dots, k_r, l_1, \dots, l_s$ be positive integers. Then the equality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \alpha} \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} x_1, \dots, x_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{array} \right) \text{III} \left(\begin{array}{c} y_1, \dots, y_s \\ l_1, \dots, l_s \end{array} \right) \right) \\ &= (-1)^{l_1 + \dots + l_s} y_1^\alpha \sum_{f_1, \dots, f_s \geq 0} \left(\prod_{i=1}^s \binom{l_i + f_i - 1}{f_i} \right) \mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \alpha} \left(\begin{array}{c} x_1/y_1, \dots, x_r/y_1, 1/y_1, y_s/y_1, \dots, y_2/y_1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_r, l_s + f_s, \dots, l_1 + f_1 \end{array} \right) t^{f_1 + \dots + f_s} \end{aligned}$$

holds in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}, y_1, \dots, y_s, y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_s^{-1}][[t]]$.

Proof. First we put

$$D := \{1, x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s\}$$

and

$$D' := \{0\} \cup \{a/b \mid a, b \in D\}.$$

Consider the $\{0\} \cup \{a \mid a^{-1} \in D\}$ -colored rooted tree X and the index \mathbf{k} on X consisting of the following data:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= (V, E, \text{rt}, C), \\ V &= \{v_1, \dots, v_r, w_1, \dots, w_s, \text{rt}\}, \\ E &= \{e_i = \{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \mid i = 1, \dots, r \text{ (} v_{r+1} := \text{rt})\} \cup \{d_i = \{w_i, w_{i+1}\} \mid i = 1, \dots, s \text{ (} w_{s+1} := \text{rt})\}, \\ C(v) &= \begin{cases} x_i^{-1} & \text{if } v = v_i, \ i = 1, \dots, r, \\ y_i^{-1} & \text{(} v = w_i, \ i = 1, \dots, s), \\ 1 & \text{if } v = \text{rt}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{k}(e) = \begin{cases} k_i & \text{if } e = e_i, \\ l_i & \text{if } e = d_i. \end{cases}$$

Take the same symbols as Definition 4.16. Proposition 3.17 and Theorem 3.16 show

$$I_\beta(X'_u; \mathbf{k}_n) = I_\beta(\tilde{0}; (e_{u/x_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_{u/x_r} e_0^{k_r-1} \text{III} e_{u/y_1} e_0^{l_1-1} \cdots e_{u/y_s} e_0^{l_s-1}) e_u e_0^n; \tilde{0}), \quad (4.4)$$

for $u \in D$. Write $X''_u := (V \cup \{w\}, E \cup \{\{\text{rt}, w\}\}, w_1, C'_u)$. By using Propositions 3.10 and 4.2, there exist two families $\{(Y_j; \mathbf{l}^{(j)})\}_{j=1, \dots, M}$ and $\{(Z_j; \mathbf{h}^{(j)})\}_{j=1, \dots, M}$ of D' -colored pairs and a sequence $\{a_j\}_{j=1, \dots, M}$ of integers such that

$$I_\beta(X'_u; \mathbf{k}_n) = (-1)^{l_1 + \dots + l_s} I_\beta(X''_u; \mathbf{k}_n) + \sum_{j=1}^M (-1)^{a_j} I_\beta(Y_j; \mathbf{l}^{(j)}) I_\beta(Z_j; \mathbf{h}^{(j)}).$$

Since $I_\beta(Y; \mathbf{l})/2\pi i$ is an element of $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}][2\pi i]$ for every $\{0, 1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_r^{-1}\}$ -colored rooted tree Y and an essentially positive index \mathbf{l} on Y (by using Theorem 3.16 and the path composition formula as the proof of Proposition 4.17 (1)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{S}}, \alpha}(X; \mathbf{k}) &= \frac{(-1)^{r+s}}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{u \in D} u^\alpha I_\beta(X'_u; \mathbf{k}_n) t^n \\ &\equiv \frac{(-1)^{r+s+l_1+\dots+l_s}}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{u \in D} I_\beta(X''_u; \mathbf{k}_n) (-t)^n \pmod{2\pi i}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Take $0 < z < 1/2$ such that $(X''; \mathbf{k}_n)$ is β_z -admissible. By the algorithm of Proposition 3.17 and Theorem 3.16, for $u \in D$ we compute

$$I_{\beta_z}(X''_u; \mathbf{k}_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= I_{\beta_z} \left((\beta(z), (1-2z)\beta'(z)); (e_0^n \text{III } e_{u/x_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_{u/x_r} e_0^{k_r-1}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot e_u e_0^{l_s-1} e_{u/y_s} \cdots e_0^{l_1-1} e_{u/x_1}; (\beta(1-z), (2z-1)\beta'(1-z)) \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{\substack{f_1, \dots, f_r \geq 0 \\ f_1 + \dots + f_r = n-j}} \left(\prod_{a=1}^r \binom{k_a + f_a - 1}{f_a} \right) I_{\beta_z} \left((\beta(z), (1-2z)\beta'(z)); e_0^j e_{u/x_1} e_0^{k_1+f_1-1} \cdots e_{u/x_r} e_0^{k_r+f_r-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot e_u e_0^{l_s-1} e_{u/y_s} \cdots e_0^{l_1-1} e_{u/y_1}; (\beta(1-z), (2z-1)\beta'(1-z)) \right) \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq l \leq j \leq n} \sum_{\substack{f_1, \dots, f_r \geq 0 \\ f_1 + \dots + f_r = n-j}} \sum_{\substack{g_1, \dots, g_{r+s} \geq 0 \\ g_1 + \dots + g_{r+s} = l}} \left(\prod_{a=1}^r \binom{k_a + f_a - 1}{f_a} \binom{k_a + f_a + g_a - 1}{g_a} \right) \left(\prod_{a=1}^s \binom{l_a + g_{r+a} - 1}{g_{r+a}} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{(-1)^l}{(j-l)!} I_{\beta_z} \left((\beta(z), (1-2z)\beta'(z)); e_0^{j-l} \text{III } e_{u/x_1} e_0^{k_1+f_1+g_1-1} \cdots e_{u/x_r} e_0^{k_r+f_r+g_r-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot e_u e_0^{l_s+g_{r+s}-1} e_{u/y_s} \cdots e_0^{l_1+g_{r+1}-1} e_{u/y_1}; (\beta(1-z), (2z-1)\beta'(1-z)) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the shuffle product formula (Proposition 3.3 (1)) and the fact

$$\begin{aligned}
&I_{\beta_z} \left((\beta(z), (1-2z)\beta'(z)); e_0^{j-l}; (\beta(1-z), (2z-1)\beta'(1-z)) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(j-l)!} (\log \beta(1-z) - \log \beta(z))^{j-l} \xrightarrow{z \rightarrow 0} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = l, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq l, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&I_{\beta_z}(X''_u; \mathbf{k}_n) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{f_1, \dots, f_{2r+s} \geq 0 \\ f_1 + \dots + f_{2r+s} = n}} (-1)^{f_{r+1} + \dots + f_{2r+s}} \left(\prod_{a=1}^r \binom{k_a + f_a - 1}{f_a} \binom{k_a + f_a + f_{r+a} - 1}{f_{r+a}} \right) \left(\prod_{a=1}^s \binom{l_a + f_{2r+a} - 1}{f_{2r+a}} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot I_{\beta_z} \left((\beta(z), (1-2z)\beta'(z)); e_{u/x_1} e_0^{k_1+f_1+f_{r+1}-1} \cdots e_{u/x_r} e_0^{k_r+f_r+f_{2r}-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot e_u e_0^{l_s+f_{2r+s}-1} e_{u/y_s} \cdots e_0^{l_1+f_{2r+1}-1} e_{u/y_1}; (\beta(1-z), (2z-1)\beta'(1-z)) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{f_{2r+1}, \dots, f_{2r+s} \geq 0 \\ f_{2r+1} + \dots + f_{2r+s} = n}} (-1)^{f_{2r+1} + \dots + f_{2r+s}} \left(\prod_{a=1}^s \binom{l_a + f_{2r+a} - 1}{f_{2r+a}} \right) I_{\beta_z} \left((\beta(z), (1-2z)\beta'(z)); e_{u/x_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_{u/x_r} e_0^{k_r-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot e_u e_0^{l_s+f_{2r+s}-1} e_{u/y_s} \cdots e_0^{l_1+f_{2r+1}-1} e_{u/y_1}; (\beta(1-z), (2z-1)\beta'(1-z)) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the last integral converges when $z \rightarrow 0$. Combining this result, (4.4) and (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \alpha} \left(\left((x_1, \dots, x_r) \text{III } (y_1, \dots, y_s) \right) \right) \\
&\equiv \mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{RS}}, \alpha}(X; \mathbf{k}) \pmod{2\pi i} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{r+s}}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{u \in D} u^\alpha I_{\beta}(X'_u; \mathbf{k}_n) t^n \\
&\equiv \frac{(-1)^{r+s+l_1+\dots+l_s}}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{u \in D} u^\alpha I_{\beta}(X''_u; \mathbf{k}_n) (-t)^n \pmod{2\pi i} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{r+s+l_1+\dots+l_s}}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{u \in D} u^\alpha \sum_{\substack{f_{2r+1}, \dots, f_{2r+s} \geq 0 \\ f_{2r+1} + \dots + f_{2r+s} = n}} (-1)^{f_{2r+1} + \dots + f_{2r+s}} \left(\prod_{a=1}^s \binom{l_a + f_{2r+a} - 1}{f_{2r+a}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot I_{\beta}(\tilde{0}; e_{u/x_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_{u/x_r} e_0^{k_r-1} e_u e_0^{l_s+f_{2r+s}-1} e_{u/y_s} \cdots e_0^{l_1+f_{2r+1}-1} e_{u/y_1}; \tilde{0}) t^n \\
& \equiv (-1)^{l_1+\cdots+l_s} y_1^\alpha \sum_{f_1, \dots, f_s \geq 0} \left(\prod_{j=1}^s \binom{l_j + f_j - 1}{f_j} \right) \\
& \cdot \mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \alpha} \left(\begin{matrix} x_1/y_1, \dots, x_r/y_1, 1/y_1, y_s/y_1, \dots, y_2/y_1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_r, l_s + f_s, \dots, l_1 + f_1 \end{matrix} \right) t^{f_1+\cdots+f_s} \pmod{2\pi i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used Proposition 4.17 (3) in the second and last congruence. \square

Definition 4.19. For $\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\eta} \\ \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix} \in \mu_N^r \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^r$, we define the t -adic symmetric multiple L -value $L_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\eta} \\ \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix}$ as the projection on $(\mathcal{Z}_N/\pi^2 \mathcal{Z}_N)[[t]] \simeq \mathcal{Z}_N[[t]]/\pi^2 \mathcal{Z}_N[[t]]$ of

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \alpha}^{\text{III}} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\eta} \\ \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix} & := \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{k_{i+1}+\cdots+k_r} \eta_{i+1}^\alpha L^{\text{III}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1/\eta_{i+1}, \dots, \eta_i/\eta_{i+1} \\ k_1, \dots, k_i \end{pmatrix} \\
& \cdot \sum_{f_{i+1}, \dots, f_r \geq 0} \left(\prod_{j=i+1}^r \binom{k_j + f_j - 1}{f_j} \right) L^{\text{III}} \begin{pmatrix} 1/\eta_{i+1}, \eta_r/\eta_{i+1}, \dots, \eta_{i+2}/\eta_{i+1} \\ k_r + f_r, \dots, k_{i+1} + f_{i+1} \end{pmatrix} t^{f_{i+1}+\cdots+f_r}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also \mathbb{Q} -linearly extend the map $L_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \alpha}$ to \mathcal{R}_N .

Remark 4.20. Let us define

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \alpha}^* \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix} & := \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{k_{i+1}+\cdots+k_r} (\eta_{i+1} \cdots \eta_r)^\alpha L^* \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_i \\ k_1, \dots, k_i \end{pmatrix} \\
& \cdot \sum_{f_{i+1}, \dots, f_r \geq 0} \left(\prod_{j=i+1}^r \binom{k_j + f_j - 1}{f_j} \right) L^* \begin{pmatrix} 1/\eta_r, \dots, 1/\eta_{i+1} \\ k_r + f_r, \dots, k_{i+1} + f_{i+1} \end{pmatrix} t^{f_{i+1}+\cdots+f_r},
\end{aligned}$$

for positive integers k_1, \dots, k_r and $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \in \mu_N$ by using the harmonic regularization $L^* \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\eta} \\ \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix}$ (we omit its definition). Then a similar computation to [OSY, Proposition 2.1] establishes that the value $L_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix}$ also coincides with the projection on $(\mathcal{Z}_N/\pi^2 \mathcal{Z}_N)[[t]]$ of $L_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \alpha}^* \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1/\eta_2, \dots, \eta_{r-1}/\eta_r, \eta_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix}$ (see also [T, §3], [SZ, Theorem 4.6]).

The following lemma is proved by the similar argument to [OSY, Proposition 2.4].

Lemma 4.21. For any $\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\eta} \\ \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix} \in \mu_N^r \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^r$, the value $\mathfrak{L}_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\eta} \\ \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix}$ converges, coefficientwise as the power series of t , and coincides with $L_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\eta} \\ \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix}$.

Corollary 4.22. Let $k_1, \dots, k_r, l_1, \dots, l_s$ be positive integers and $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_s$ elements of μ_N . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \alpha} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix} \text{III} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1, \dots, \xi_s \\ l_1, \dots, l_s \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
= (-1)^{l_1+\cdots+l_s} \xi_1^\alpha \sum_{f_1, \dots, f_s \geq 0} \left(\prod_{i=1}^s \binom{l_i + f_i - 1}{f_i} \right) L_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}, \alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1/\xi_1, \dots, \eta_r/\xi_1, 1/\xi_1, \xi_s/\xi_1, \dots, \xi_2/\xi_1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_r, l_s + f_s, \dots, l_1 + f_1 \end{pmatrix} t^{f_1+\cdots+f_s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Immediately follows from Theorem 4.18 and Lemma 4.21. \square

REFERENCES

- [AK] T. Arakawa and M. Kaneko, *On multiple L -values*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **56** (2004), 967–991.
[GF] J. I. B. Gil and J. Fresán, *Multiple Zeta Values: From Numbers to Motives*, to appear in Clay Math. Proc.
[H] M. Hirose, *Double shuffle relations for refined symmetric multiple zeta values*, Doc. Math. **25** (2020), 365–380.

- [HMO] M. Hirose, H. Murahara and M. Ono, *On variants of symmetric multiple zeta-star values and the cyclic sum formula*, Ramanujan J. **56** (2021), 467–489.
- [J] D. Jarossay, *Adjoint cyclotomic multiple zeta values and cyclotomic multiple harmonic values*, preprint, [arXiv:1412.5099v4](https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.5099v4).
- [KZ] M. Kaneko and D. Zagier, *Finite multiple zeta values*, in preparation.
- [O1] M. Ono, *Finite multiple zeta values associated with 2-colored rooted trees*, J. Number Theory **181** (2017), 99–116.
- [O2] M. Ono, *t -adic symmetrization map on the harmonic algebra*, J. Algebra **606** (2022), 654–669.
- [OSY] M. Ono, S. Seki and S. Yamamoto, *Truncated t -adic symmetric multiple zeta values and double shuffle relations*, Res. Number Theory **7** (2021), art. 15 (28 pp).
- [R] C. Reutenauer, *Free Lie Algebras*, Oxford Science Publications, Oxford, 1993.
- [S1] S. Seki, *Finite multiple polylogarithms*, Doctoral dissertation, Osaka university, 2017.
- [S2] S. Seki, *The p -adic duality for the finite star-multiple polylogarithms*, Tohoku Math. J. **71** (2019), 111–122.
- [SZ] J. Singer and J. Zhao, *Finite and symmetrized colored multiple zeta values*, Finite Fields Appl. **65** (2020), art. 101676.
- [T] K. Tasaka, *Finite and symmetric colored multiple zeta values and multiple harmonic q -series at roots of unity*, Selecta Math. (N. S.) **27** (2021), art. 21.

(Hanamichi Kawamura) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE DIVISION I, TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE, 1-3 KAGURAZAKA, SHINJUKU-KU, TOKYO, 162-8601, JAPAN
Email address: 1121026@ed.tus.ac.jp