

UDK 512.815.1+512.815.6

MSC 17B10+17B20+17B30+17B40

Styrt O. G.*

Elements of a Lie algebra acting nilpotently in all its representations

An equivalent condition for an element of a Lie algebra acting nilpotently in all its representations is obtained. Namely, it should belong to the derived algebra and go via factoring over the radical to a nilpotent element of the corresponding (semisimple) quotient algebra.

Key words: Lie algebra, radical of a Lie algebra, semisimple Lie algebra, nilpotent element of a semisimple Lie algebra, nilpotent operator.

§ 1. Introduction

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. In the case of a semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}

- its element a is called *nilpotent* if the adjoint operator $\text{ad } a$ is nilpotent;
- denote the set of all its nilpotent elements by $N_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

In the general case, denote by \mathfrak{h} the semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}/(\text{rad } \mathfrak{g})$ and by π the factoring homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$. Here is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1. *For any element $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) *in each representation of \mathfrak{g} , the element a acts nilpotently;*
- 2) *$a \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ and $\pi(a) \in N_{\mathfrak{h}}$.*

§ 2. Notations and auxiliary facts

In this section, a number of auxiliary notations and statements is given.

*Russia, MIPT, oleg_styrt@mail.ru

First of all, for brevity, the following notations will be used:

- k is the main field;
- E_V is the identity operator in a space V (if the space is clear from the context, then the index can be omitted);
- $\text{Spec } X$ is the set of all eigenvalues of an operator X ;
- $V_\lambda(X)$ (resp. $V^\lambda(X)$) is the eigenspace (resp. the root subspace) of an operator X in a space V with an eigenvalue λ ;
- $\mathfrak{z}(a)$ is the centralizer of an element a of a Lie algebra.

Let A be an arbitrary algebra (without any requirements to the bilinear operation of multiplication). It is known (and can be proved by elementary check) that

- $\text{Der } A$ is a Lie subalgebra in $\mathfrak{gl}(A)$;
- for all $d \in \text{Der } A$ and $\lambda, \mu \in k$, we have $(A_\lambda(d)) \cdot (A_\mu(d)) \subset A_{\lambda+\mu}(d)$.

Lemma 1. *If $n := \dim A$, $k = \bar{k}$ and $\text{char } k \notin (0; n]$, then, for each $d \in \text{Der } A$, $\lambda \in k^*$ and $a \in A^\lambda(d)$, the operators of left and right shifts by a are nilpotent.*

□ By condition, $|\text{Spec } d| \leq n$. Further, let X be any of the operators l_a and r_a . Once $\mu \in k$, then $X(A^\mu(d)) \subset A^{\lambda+\mu}(d)$ and the subset $\{m\lambda + \mu : m = 0, \dots, n\} \subset k$ of order $n+1$ is thus not contained in $\text{Spec } d$ that implies $X^n(A^\mu(d)) = 0$. Hence, $X^n = 0$. ■

Assume that $k = \bar{k}$ and $\text{char } k = 0$.

Let \mathfrak{h} be a semisimple Lie algebra. Fix the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear Killing form (\cdot, \cdot) on \mathfrak{h} . For any $a \in \mathfrak{h}$, the operator $d := \text{ad } a$ is skew-symmetric and, consequently, $(\text{Ker } d)^\perp = \text{Im } d$, i. e. $(\mathfrak{z}(a))^\perp = [a, \mathfrak{h}]$.

Lemma 2. *For each $e \in N_{\mathfrak{h}}$, we have $e \perp \mathfrak{z}(e)$.*

□ If $a \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $[a, e] = 0$, then $[\text{ad } a, \text{ad } e] = 0$ implying (see Lemma in [1, sec. II.8.2, p. 36]) $(a, e) = \text{tr}((\text{ad } a)(\text{ad } e)) = 0$. ■

Theorem 2. *Let $e \in \mathfrak{h}$ be an arbitrary element. Then $(e \in N_{\mathfrak{h}}) \Leftrightarrow (e \in [e, \mathfrak{h}])$.*

□ If $e \in N_{\mathfrak{h}}$, then, according to Lemma 2, $e \in (\mathfrak{z}(e))^\perp = [e, \mathfrak{h}]$.

Conversely, if $a \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $e = [a, e]$, then $d := \text{ad } a \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{h}$ and $e \in \mathfrak{h}_1(d)$ that implies (by Lemma 1) nilpotence of the operator $\text{ad } e$. ■

Let V be an arbitrary subspace. It is well-known that $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ is a simple Lie algebra and the set of all nilpotent operators $V \rightarrow V$ coincides with its subset $N_{\mathfrak{sl}(V)}$. For each operator $X : V \rightarrow V$, we have $[X, \mathfrak{gl}(V)] = [X, \mathfrak{sl}(V)] \subset \mathfrak{sl}(V)$ and, by Theorem 2, nilpotence of X is equivalent to the inclusion $X \in [X, \mathfrak{gl}(V)]$.

Take any Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and its representation ρ in a space V .

Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be an arbitrary subspace. Define *the weight subspaces*

$$V_\xi(\mathfrak{a}) := \left\{ v \in V : av = (\xi(a)) \cdot v \ \forall a \in \mathfrak{a} \right\} \subset V \quad (\xi \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$$

and, besides, the set of \mathfrak{a} -weights $P_{\mathfrak{a}} := \{\xi \in \mathfrak{a}^* : V_{\xi}(\mathfrak{a}) \neq 0\} \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$.

Theorem 3.

- 1) If the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is solvable, then $P_{\mathfrak{g}} \neq \emptyset$.
- 2) For any ideal $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ and linear function $\xi \in P_{\mathfrak{a}}$, we have $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}] \subset \text{Ker } \xi \subset \mathfrak{a}$.

□ Both statements are proved in [2, sec. I. V.5] (formulated on p.36 as «Theorem 1'» and «The Main Lemma» respectively). ■

Corollary 1. For any ideal $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ and function $\xi \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, we have $\mathfrak{g}(V_{\xi}(\mathfrak{a})) \subset V_{\xi}(\mathfrak{a})$.

□ Assume that $\xi \in P_{\mathfrak{a}}$ (otherwise, it is nothing to prove). Let $b \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $v \in V_{\xi}(\mathfrak{a})$ be arbitrary elements. According to Theorem 3, for each $a \in \mathfrak{a}$, the element $a_0 := [b, a] \in \mathfrak{a}$ belongs to $\text{Ker } \xi$ implying $a_0 v = (\xi(a_0)) \cdot v = 0$, $abv = bav = b((\xi(a)) \cdot v) = (\xi(a)) \cdot (bv)$. So, we obtained that $bv \in V_{\xi}(\mathfrak{a})$. ■

Corollary 2. If the representation ρ is irreducible, then $\rho(\text{rad } \mathfrak{g}) \subset kE_V$.

□ The ideal $\mathfrak{a} := \text{rad } \mathfrak{g} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ is solvable. Hence (see Theorem 3), for some function $\xi \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, the subspace $V_{\xi}(\mathfrak{a}) \subset V$ is nonzero. By Corollary 1, it is \mathfrak{g} -invariant and, thus, equals V . ■

Corollary 3. If the representation ρ is irreducible, then $\rho((\text{rad } \mathfrak{g}) \cap [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]) = 0$.

§ 3. Proofs of the results

In this section, Theorem 1 is proved.

Return to notations and agreements of § 1. Recall that the main field k is assumed to be algebraically closed and to have characteristic zero.

1) \Rightarrow 2).

Suppose that the element $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ acts nilpotently in any representation of \mathfrak{g} .

Consider an arbitrary ideal $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$, the factoring homomorphism $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$ and, besides, the element $a_{\mathfrak{a}} := \pi_{\mathfrak{a}}(a) \in \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$. Each representation $\rho: \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ naturally induces the representation $(\rho \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{a}}): \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ and, therefore, the operator $\rho(a_{\mathfrak{a}}) = (\rho \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{a}})(a) \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is nilpotent. Research two special particular cases.

- (i) $\mathfrak{a} := [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, $V \neq 0$. In this case, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$ is commutative. For any function $\xi \in (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})^*$, we have a Lie algebra homomorphism $\rho: \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, $x \rightarrow (\xi(x)) \cdot E$ and, hence, the operator $(\xi(a_{\mathfrak{a}})) \cdot E = \rho(a_{\mathfrak{a}}) \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is nilpotent implying $\xi(a_{\mathfrak{a}}) = 0$. So, the element $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}(a) = a_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$ is trivial; in other words, $a \in \mathfrak{a} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$.
- (ii) $\mathfrak{a} := \text{rad } \mathfrak{g}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$ is the semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} , furthermore, $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}} = \pi$ and $a_{\mathfrak{a}} = \pi(a)$. So, $\text{ad}(a_{\mathfrak{a}}) \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{h})$ is a nilpotent operator, i. e. $\pi(a) = a_{\mathfrak{a}} \in N_{\mathfrak{h}}$.

2) \Rightarrow 1).

Now, suppose that $a \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ and $\pi(a) \in N_{\mathfrak{h}}$.

By Theorem 2, $\pi(a) \in [\pi(a), \mathfrak{h}] = [\pi(a), \pi(\mathfrak{g})] = \pi([a, \mathfrak{g}])$,

$$a \in ([a, \mathfrak{g}] + (\text{rad } \mathfrak{g})) \cap [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = [a, \mathfrak{g}] + ((\text{rad } \mathfrak{g}) \cap [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]).$$

If $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is an arbitrary irreducible representation, then, according to Corollary 3, $\rho(a) \in \rho([a, \mathfrak{g}]) = [\rho(a), \rho(\mathfrak{g})] \subset [\rho(a), \mathfrak{gl}(V)]$ and, hence, the operator $\rho(a): V \rightarrow V$ is nilpotent. Thus, in each irreducible representation of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , its element a acts nilpotently. This statement still holds without requiring irreducibility: for any representation $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, there exists an increasing chain of subrepresentations $0 = V_0 \subset \dots \subset V_n = V$ such that all quotient representations V_m/V_{m-1} ($m = 1, \dots, n$) are irreducible.

So, Theorem 1 is completely proved.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Prof. E. B. Vinberg for exciting an interest to fundamental algebraic science.

The author dedicates the article to E. N. Troshina.

References

- [1] Humphreys J. E. Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory. Grad. Texts Math., Vol. 9. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin. 1972. 169 p.
- [2] Serre J.-P. Lie Algebras and Lie Groups. Lect. given at Harvard Univ. 1964. // Lect. Notes in Math. Vol. 1500. 168 p.