

BOUNDS FOR THE REDUCTION NUMBER OF PRIMARY IDEAL IN DIMENSION THREE

MOUSUMI MANDAL AND KUMARI SALONI

ABSTRACT. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d \geq 3$ and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of R . Let $r_J(I)$ be the reduction number of I with respect to a minimal reduction J of I . Suppose $\text{depth } G(I) \geq d - 3$. We prove that $r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1 + (e_2(I) - 1)e_2(I) - e_3(I)$, where $e_i(I)$ are Hilbert coefficients. Suppose $d = 3$ and $\text{depth } G(I^t) > 0$ for some $t \geq 1$. Then we prove that $r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + t$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. A sequence of ideals $\mathcal{I} = \{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is called an *I -admissible filtration* if (i) $I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n$, (ii) $I_m I_n \subseteq I_{m+n}$ and (iii) $I^n \subseteq I_n \subseteq I^{n-k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A *reduction* of $\mathcal{I} = \{I_n\}$ is an ideal $J \subseteq I_1$ such that $J I_n = I_{n+1}$ for $n \gg 0$ and it is called *minimal reduction* if it is minimal with respect to containment among all reductions. Minimal reduction of \mathcal{I} exist and is generated by d elements if R/\mathfrak{m} is infinite. Minimal reductions are important in the study of Hilbert functions and blow-up algebras. For a minimal reduction J of \mathcal{I} , we define

$$r_J(\mathcal{I}) = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid I_n \not\subseteq J I_{n-1}\} \text{ and } r(\mathcal{I}) = \min\{r_J(\mathcal{I}) \mid J \text{ is a minimal reduction of } \mathcal{I}\},$$

known as *reduction number of \mathcal{I} with respect to J* and *reduction number of \mathcal{I}* respectively. We write $r_J(I)$ and $r(I)$ in place of $r_J(\mathcal{I})$ and $r(\mathcal{I})$ respectively for $\mathcal{I} = \{I^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Reduction number is an important data associated to an ideal which contains information about the depth and structural properties of the associated graded ring $G(\mathcal{I}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n/I_{n+1}$. The number $r_J(I)$ can be seen as a measure of how closely J and I are related. In general, it may be hard to compute $r_J(I)$. We look for bounding $r_J(I)$ in terms of other invariant of the ring or the ideal such as embedding dimension, Hilbert coefficients etc. The Hilbert coefficients of \mathcal{I} are the unique integers $e_i(\mathcal{I})$, $0 \leq i \leq d$, such that the function $H_{\mathcal{I}}(n) := \lambda(R/I_n)$ coincides with the following polynomial for $n \gg 0$:

$$P_{\mathcal{I}}(x) = e_0(\mathcal{I}) \binom{x+d-1}{d} - e_1(\mathcal{I}) \binom{x+d-2}{d-1} + \cdots + (-1)^d e_d(\mathcal{I}).$$

Here $\lambda(*)$ denote the length function. The function $H_{\mathcal{I}}(n)$ and the polynomial $P_{\mathcal{I}}(x)$ are known as the Hilbert-Samuel function and the Hilbert-Samuel polynomial of \mathcal{I} respectively. For $\mathcal{I} = \{I^n\}$, we write $e_i(I)$ instead of $e_i(\mathcal{I})$.

It is well known that if $\text{depth } G(I) \geq d - 1$, then $r_J(I)$ does not depend on the minimal reduction J . Further, if R is a one dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring then $r(I) \leq e_0(I) - 1$. In [18,

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13H10, 13D40, 13A30.

Key words and phrases. Cohen-Macaulay local rings, reduction number, Ratliff-Rush filtration, Hilbert coefficients.

Theorem 2.45], Vasconcelos proved that in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$,

$$(1) \quad r(I) \leq \frac{d \cdot e_0(I)}{o(I)} - 2d + 1$$

where $o(I)$ is the largest positive integer n such that $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$.

A non-Cohen-Macaulay version of the above result can be found in [2, Theorem 3.3]. Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension at most two. In [14, Corollary 1.5], Rossi proved that

$$(2) \quad r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1.$$

for a minimal reduction $J \subseteq I$. Since then many attempts have been made to achieve a bound of similar character in higher dimension. For instance, the bound in (2) holds in all dimensions if $\text{depth } G(I) \geq d - 2$ [17, Theorem 4.3] or if $e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) = 1$ [1, Theorem 3.1]. Another case is when $I \subseteq k[x, y, z]$ is of codimension 3 generated by five quadrics [4, Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.4]. However, no example is known to counter the relation in (2) in higher dimension. In this paper, our objective is to find bounds for $r_J(I)$ in dimension three involving higher Hilbert coefficients. We prove the following generalization of Rossi's result [17, Theorem 4.3] in dimension three:

Theorem 1.1. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension three and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal with $\text{depth } G(I^t) > 0$ for some $t \geq 1$. Let $J \subseteq I$ be a minimal reduction of I . Then*

$$(3) \quad r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + t.$$

Furthermore, if $r_J(I) \equiv k \pmod{t}$, $1 \leq k \leq t - 1$, then

$$r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + k.$$

As a consequence, if $r_J(I)$ is odd and $\text{depth } G(I^2) > 0$ then $r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1$. Furthermore, we prove the following bound in dimension $d \geq 3$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d \geq 3$ and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal with $\text{depth } G(I) \geq d - 3$. Then*

$$(4) \quad r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1 + (e_2(I) - 1)e_2(I) - e_3(I).$$

Though the bound in (4) is quadratic in $e_2(I)$, we illustrate various examples where it is tighter than earlier known bounds. For small values of $e_2(I)$ in dimension three, we obtain linear bounds for $r_J(I)$ in terms of $e_i(I)$, $0 \leq i \leq 3$, in Corollary 4.3.

It is worth asking if we can find similar bounds for $r_J(I)$ in Noetherian local rings. In [3], Ghezzi et. al. proved a nonlinear bound in terms of Hilbert coefficients in two dimensional Buchsbaum local rings of positive depth. We prove the following results:

Theorem 1.3. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Buchsbaum local ring of dimension $d \leq 2$ and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal.*

- (1) *Let $d = 1$. Then $r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_1(J) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 2$.*
- (2) *Let $d = 2$ and $\text{depth } G(I^t) > 0$ for some $t \geq 1$. Then $r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_1(J) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + t + 1$.*

The main difficulty in generalizing the bound in (2) in higher dimension is that $r_J(I)$ does not behave well with respect to superficial elements. This fact is closely related to Ratliff-Rush closure $\{\widetilde{I^n}\}$ of powers of I , see Lemma 3.1. We recall the definition more generally for an I -admissible filtration \mathcal{I} . The Ratliff-Rush filtration of \mathcal{I} is the filtration $\{\widetilde{I_n} = \bigcup_{t \geq 0} (I_{n+t} : I^t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. For a minimal reduction J of \mathcal{I} , we set

$$\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) := \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \widetilde{I_n} \neq J\widetilde{I_{n-1}}\}.$$

We write $\widetilde{r}_J(I)$ if $\mathcal{I} = \{I^n\}$. Note that if $\text{depth } G(I) > 0$, then $\widetilde{r}_J(I) = r_J(I)$. In [15], Rossi and Swanson proved that in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension two $\widetilde{r}_J(I) \leq r_J(I)$. It follows that $\widetilde{r}_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1$ in dimension two. We extend the result of Rossi and Swanson for any I -admissible filtration in Proposition 2.1.

It is natural to ask if $r_J(\mathcal{I}) \leq e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_0(\mathcal{I}) + \lambda(R/I_1) + 1$ for an I -admissible filtration \mathcal{I} . This is largely unknown, even in smaller dimensions. In Theorem 2.2, we prove that $\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) \leq e_2(\mathcal{I}) + 1$ and subsequently discuss the cases when $\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) = e_2(\mathcal{I}) + 1$ and $\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) = e_2(\mathcal{I})$.

This paper is organised in four sections. In Section 2, we prove $\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) \leq r_J(\mathcal{I})$ and discuss bounds on $\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I})$ in two dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local rings. In Section 3, we establish Theorem 1.1 and its consequences. Then we gather various cases when the bound $r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1$ holds in dimension three. We also prove Theorem 1.3 in this section. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2. Examples are given in support of our bounds being better than the earlier known bounds.

2. BOUND FOR $\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I})$ IN DIMENSION TWO

For this section, let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension two. Inspired by Rossi's bound in (2), one can ask whether $r_J(\mathcal{I}) \leq e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_0(\mathcal{I}) + \lambda(R/I_1) + 1$ for an I -admissible filtration \mathcal{I} ? In general, it is not known. For the case $\mathcal{I} = \{\widetilde{I^n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, the above question has affirmative answer which follows from a result of Rossi and Swanson [15, Proposition 4.5]. They proved that $\widetilde{r}_J(I) \leq r_J(I)$. We generalize [15, Proposition 4.5] for an I admissible filtration. Further in this section, we prove certain bounds for $\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I})$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension two, I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal and $\mathcal{I} = \{I_n\}$ an I -admissible filtration. Then, for a minimal reduction J of \mathcal{I} , $\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) \leq r_J(\mathcal{I})$.*

Proof. Let $r = r_J(\mathcal{I})$ and $J = (x, y)$ with x, y a regular sequence in R . We show that for all $n \geq r$, $\widetilde{I_{n+1}} = J\widetilde{I_n}$. For $k \gg 0$, we may write $\widetilde{I_m} = (I_{m+k} : (x^k, y^k))$ for $m = n-1, n, n+1$. Let $a \in \widetilde{I_{n+1}} = (I_{n+1+k} : (x^k, y^k)) = (J^{k+1}I_n : (x^k, y^k))$. Then $ax^k \in J^{k+1}I_n \subseteq x^k x I_n + y I_{n+k}$. Let $ax^k = x^k b + yc$ where $b \in x I_n$ and $c \in I_{n+k}$. This gives $x^k(a - b) = yc$. Since x, y is a regular sequence we have $a - b = dy$ and $c = x^k d$ for some $d \in R$. As $c \in I_{n+k}$, we get $d \in (I_{n+k} : x^k)$ and $a - b = dy \in y(I_{n+k} : x^k)$. Therefore,

$$a \in x I_n + y(I_{n+k} : x^k).$$

By similar arguments, we can show that $a \in y I_n + x(I_{n+k} : y^k)$. Now let $a = xr_1 + ys_1 = yr_2 + xs_2$ where $r_1, r_2 \in I_n$, $s_1 \in (I_{n+k} : x^k)$ and $s_2 \in (I_{n+k} : y^k)$. Then $x(r_1 - s_2) = y(r_2 - s_1)$ which implies $r_2 - s_1 = \alpha x$ and $r_1 - s_2 = \alpha y$ for some $\alpha \in R$. Then $\alpha x^{k+1} = r_2 x^k - s_1 x^k \in I_{n+k}$ and $\alpha y^{k+1} =$

$r_1 y^k - s_2 y^k \in I_{n+k}$. These give $\alpha \in (I_{n+k} : (x^{k+1}, y^{k+1})) = \widetilde{I_{n-1}}$. Therefore $r_1 - s_2 = \alpha y \in J\widetilde{I_{n-1}} \subseteq \widetilde{I_n}$ and $r_2 - s_1 = \alpha x \in J\widetilde{I_{n-1}} \subseteq \widetilde{I_n}$. This gives $s_1, s_2 \in \widetilde{I_n}$ and $a = xr_1 + ys_1 \in JI_n + J\widetilde{I_n} \subseteq J\widetilde{I_n}$. Therefore $\widetilde{I_{n+1}} = J\widetilde{I_n}$ for all $n \geq r$. \square

In Example 2.5, we see a class of examples with $\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) < r_J(\mathcal{I})$. In the next theorem, we give an upper bound for $\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I})$ in dimension two. We may assume from now on that $I \neq J$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a two dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal and $\mathcal{I} = \{I_n\}$ an I -admissible filtration. Then, for a minimal reduction $J \subseteq I$,*

$$\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) \leq e_2(\mathcal{I}) + 1.$$

Furthermore, consider the following statements:

- (i) $\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) = e_2(\mathcal{I}) + 1$;
- (ii) $\widetilde{I_{n+1}} = J\widetilde{I_n}$ for all $n \neq 0, e_2(\mathcal{I})$;
- (iii) $\lambda(\widetilde{I_{n+1}}/J\widetilde{I_n}) = 1$ for $n = e_2(\mathcal{I})$;
- (iv) $e_1(\mathcal{I}) = e_0(\mathcal{I}) - \lambda(R/\widetilde{I_1}) + 1$.

We have (iv) \implies (iii) \implies (i) \iff (ii) and all four are equivalent if $e_2(\mathcal{I}) \neq 0$.

Proof. Since $\text{depth } G(\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}) \geq 1$, we have $e_2(\mathcal{I}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} nv_n(\widetilde{\mathcal{I}})$, where $v_n(\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}) = \lambda(\widetilde{I_{n+1}}/J\widetilde{I_n})$. This gives $nv_n(\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}) = 0$ for all $n \geq e_2(\mathcal{I}) + 1$. Hence $\widetilde{I_{n+1}} = J\widetilde{I_n}$ for all $n \geq e_2(\mathcal{I}) + 1$, i.e., $\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) \leq e_2(\mathcal{I}) + 1$.

Now we show (i) \iff (ii). Suppose $\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) = e_2(\mathcal{I}) + 1$. Then $\widetilde{I_{n+1}} = J\widetilde{I_n}$ for all $n \geq e_2(\mathcal{I}) + 1$ and $\widetilde{I_{n+1}} \neq J\widetilde{I_n}$ for $n = e_2(\mathcal{I})$. This gives (ii). when $e_2(\mathcal{I}) = 0$. When $e_2(\mathcal{I}) \neq 0$, we have $0 < e_2(\mathcal{I}) = \sum_{n=0}^{e_2(\mathcal{I})} nv_n(\widetilde{\mathcal{I}})$ with $v_{e_2(\mathcal{I})}(\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}) \neq 0$ which implies $\lambda(\widetilde{I_{n+1}}/J\widetilde{I_n}) = 1$ for $n = e_2(\mathcal{I})$ and $\widetilde{I_{n+1}} = J\widetilde{I_n}$ for all $1 \leq n \leq e_2(\mathcal{I}) - 1$. This gives (ii). For the converse, suppose the assumption in (ii) holds. When $e_2(\mathcal{I}) = 0$, $\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) \leq 1$. In fact, $\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) = 1$. Otherwise, $\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) = 0$ gives $\widetilde{I_1} = J$, which is not true. Now suppose $e_2(\mathcal{I}) \neq 0$. Then $e_2(\mathcal{I}) = e_2(\mathcal{I})\lambda(\widetilde{I_{e_2(\mathcal{I})+1}}/J\widetilde{I_{e_2(\mathcal{I})}})$ which implies $\lambda(\widetilde{I_{e_2(\mathcal{I})+1}}/J\widetilde{I_{e_2(\mathcal{I})}}) = 1$. Therefore $r_J(\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}) \geq e_2(\mathcal{I}) + 1$. Note that the above arguments also prove (i) \implies (iii) and (ii) \implies (iii) when $e_2(\mathcal{I}) \neq 0$.

Suppose (iii) holds. Then $\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) \geq e_2(\mathcal{I}) + 1$. Since $\widetilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) \leq e_2(\mathcal{I}) + 1$, we get the equality as in (i).

Suppose (iv) holds. Since $e_1(\mathcal{I}) = \sum_{n \geq 0}^{e_2(\mathcal{I})} \lambda(\widetilde{I_{n+1}}/J\widetilde{I_n}) = e_0(\mathcal{I}) - \lambda(R/\widetilde{I_1}) + 1$ if and only if $\sum_{n \geq 0}^{e_2(\mathcal{I})} \lambda(\widetilde{I_{n+1}}/J\widetilde{I_n}) = \lambda(\widetilde{I_1}/J) + 1$. This forces $e_2(\mathcal{I}) \neq 0$ and $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{e_2(\mathcal{I})} \lambda(\widetilde{I_{n+1}}/J\widetilde{I_n}) = 1$ if and only if $\widetilde{I_{n+1}} = J\widetilde{I_n}$ for all $n \geq 1$ except one, say $n = n_0$, $1 \leq n_0 \leq e_2(\mathcal{I})$ and $\lambda(\widetilde{I_{n_0+1}}/J\widetilde{I_{n_0}}) = 1$.

Then $e_2(\mathcal{I}) = \sum_{n=0}^{e_2(\mathcal{I})} n\lambda(\widetilde{I_{n+1}}/J\widetilde{I_n}) = n_0\lambda(\widetilde{I_{n_0+1}}/J\widetilde{I_{n_0}}) = n_0$. This proves (iv) \implies (ii) and (iv) \implies (iii).

Finally when $e_2(\mathcal{I}) \neq 0$ and (ii) holds. Then we get $e_1(\mathcal{I}) = \sum_{n \geq 0}^{e_2(\mathcal{I})} \lambda(\widetilde{I_{n+1}}/J\widetilde{I_n}) = \lambda(\widetilde{I_1}/J) + \lambda(\widetilde{I_{e_2(\mathcal{I})+1}}/J\widetilde{I_{e_2(\mathcal{I})}}) = e_0(\mathcal{I}) - \lambda(R/\widetilde{I_1}) + 1$. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a two dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. If $\widetilde{r}_J(I) = e_2(I) + 1 \neq 1$ then*

$$1 \leq e_2(I) \leq r_J(I) - 1 \leq \lambda(\widetilde{I}/I) + 1.$$

Moreover, if I is Ratliff-Rush closed then the following statements hold:

- (i) $r_J(I) = 2$
- (ii) $e_2(I) = 1$

(iii) $\text{depth } G(I) \geq 1$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} e_2(I) + 1 &= \tilde{r}_J(I) \\ &\leq r_J(I) \quad (\text{by Proposition 2.1}) \\ (5) \quad &\leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1 \quad (\text{by (2)}) \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.2, $e_1(I) = e_0(I) - \lambda(R/\tilde{I}) + 1$. Substituting the value in equation (5), we get $e_2(I) + 1 \leq r_J(I) \leq \lambda(\tilde{I}/I) + 2$ which implies

$$1 \leq e_2(I) \leq r_J(I) - 1 \leq \lambda(\tilde{I}/I) + 1.$$

Moreover if I is Ratliff-Rush closed, then we obtain $e_2(I) = 1$ and $r_J(I) = e_2(I) + 1 = 2$. Then by [17, Theorem 3.3] we have $\text{depth } G(I) \geq 1$. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a two dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal and $\mathcal{I} = \{I_n\}$ an I -admissible filtration. For a minimal reduction $J \subseteq I$, if $\tilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) = e_2(\mathcal{I})$ then the following statements hold:*

- (i) $\widetilde{I_{n+1}} = J\tilde{I}_n$ for $n \neq 0, 1, e_2(\mathcal{I}) - 1$;
- (ii) $\lambda(\widetilde{I_{n+1}}/J\tilde{I}_n) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for } n = 1 \text{ if } e_2(\mathcal{I}) = 2, \\ 1 & \text{for } n = 1, e_2(\mathcal{I}) - 1 \text{ if } e_2(\mathcal{I}) \neq 2, \end{cases}$
- (iii) $e_1(\mathcal{I}) = e_0(\mathcal{I}) - \lambda(R/\tilde{I}_1) + 2$.

Proof. Note that $\tilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) = e_2(\mathcal{I})$ if and only if $\widetilde{I_{n+1}} = J\tilde{I}_n$ for all $n \geq e_2(\mathcal{I})$ and $\widetilde{I_{n+1}} \neq J\tilde{I}_n$ for $n = e_2(\mathcal{I}) - 1$. Since $\text{depth } G(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}) \geq 1$, we have $e_2(\mathcal{I}) = \sum_{n=0}^{e_2(\mathcal{I})-1} nv_n(\tilde{\mathcal{I}})$. Therefore $e_2(\mathcal{I}) \neq 1$. Now suppose $e_2(\mathcal{I}) = 2$. Then $\lambda(\tilde{I}_2/J\tilde{I}_1) = 2$ and $\widetilde{I_{n+1}} = J\tilde{I}_n$ for $n \geq 2$. For the case $e_2(\mathcal{I}) \geq 3$, we get

$$2(e_2(\mathcal{I}) - 1) > e_2(\mathcal{I}) \geq (e_2(\mathcal{I}) - 1)v_{e_2(\mathcal{I})-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}).$$

So, $v_{e_2(\mathcal{I})-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}) = 1$. This gives $1 = e_2(\mathcal{I}) - (e_2(\mathcal{I}) - 1)v_{e_2(\mathcal{I})-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}) = \sum_{n=0}^{e_2(\mathcal{I})-2} nv_n(\tilde{\mathcal{I}})$ which implies $\lambda(\widetilde{I_{n+1}}/J\tilde{I}_n) = 1$ for $n = 1$ and $\widetilde{I_{n+1}} = J\tilde{I}_n$ for all $2 \leq n \leq e_2(\mathcal{I}) - 2$. This proves (i) and (ii). To see (iii), we have $e_1(\mathcal{I}) = \sum_{n=0}^{e_2(\mathcal{I})-1} \lambda(\widetilde{I_{n+1}}/J\tilde{I}_n) = e_0(\mathcal{I}) - \lambda(R/\tilde{I}_1) + 2$. \square

Example 2.5. [10, Theorem 5.2] Let $m \geq 0$, $d \geq 2$ and k be an infinite field. Consider the power series ring $D = k[[\{X_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}, Y, \{V_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq d}, \{Z_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq d}]]$ with $m + 2d + 1$ indeterminates and the ideal $\mathfrak{a} = [(X_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq m) + (Y)], [(X_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq m) + (Y) + (V_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d)] + (V_i V_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq d, i \neq j) + (V_i^3 - Z_i Y \mid 1 \leq i \leq d)$. Define $R = D/\mathfrak{a}$ and x_i, y, v_i, z_i denote the images of X_i, Y, V_i, Z_i in R respectively. Let $\mathfrak{m} = (x_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq m) + (y) + (v_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq d) + (z_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq d)$ be the maximal ideal in R and $Q = (z_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq d)$. Then

- (1) R is Cohen-Macaulay local ring with $\dim R = d$,
- (2) Q is a minimal reduction of \mathfrak{m} with $r_Q(\mathfrak{m}) = 3$,
- (3) $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = m + 2d + 2$; $e_1(\mathfrak{m}) = m + 3d + 2$; $e_2(\mathfrak{m}) = d + 1$ and $e_i(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$ for $3 \leq i \leq d$,
- (4) $G(\mathfrak{m})$ is Buchsbaum ring with $\text{depth } G(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$.

Particularly when $d = 2$, we have $e_1(\mathfrak{m}) = m + 8$ and $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - \lambda(R/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}) + 2 = m + 7$ which implies $e_1(\mathfrak{m}) \neq e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - \lambda(R/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}) + 2$. Therefore by Corollary 2.4, $\tilde{r}_Q(\mathfrak{m}) \neq e_2(\mathfrak{m}) = 3 = r_Q(\mathfrak{m})$. Therefore $\tilde{r}_Q(\mathfrak{m}) < r_Q(\mathfrak{m})$.

We end this section with the following questions.

Question 2.6. *Is $r_J(\mathcal{I}) \leq e_1(\mathcal{I}) - e_0(\mathcal{I}) + \lambda(R/I_1) + 1$ for any I -admissible filtration in two dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring? Since $\tilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) \leq r_J(\mathcal{I})$ by Proposition 2.1, one may investigate whether the same bound holds for $\tilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I})$?*

Question 2.7. *Is $\tilde{r}_J(\mathcal{I}) \leq r_J(\mathcal{I})$ for $d \geq 3$?*

3. ROSSI'S BOUND IN DIMENSION THREE

In general, reduction number does not behave well with respect to a superficial element $x \in I$, i.e., $r_{J/(x)}(I/(x)) = r_J(I)$ may not hold. When $\text{depth } G(I) \geq 1$, then $r_{J/(x)}(I/(x)) = r_J(I)$. However, there are examples when $r_{J/(x)}(I/(x)) = r_J(I)$ and $\text{depth } G(I) = 0$. Note that $\text{depth } G(I) \geq 1$ is equivalent to the condition that $\widetilde{I}^n = I^n$ for all $n \geq 1$. In the lemma below, we state a necessary condition for $r_{J/(x)}(I/(x)) < r_J(I)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ and $\text{depth } R > 0$. Let I be an \mathfrak{m} primary ideal and $J \subseteq I$ a minimal reduction of I . If $r_{J/(x)}(I/(x)) < r_J(I)$ for a superficial element $x \in I$, then $\widetilde{I}^n \neq I^n$ for all $r_{J/(x)}(I/(x)) \leq n < r_J(I)$.*

We define

$$\rho(I) = \min\{i \geq 1 \mid \widetilde{I}^n = I^n \text{ for all } n \geq i\}.$$

As an interesting application of Lemma 3.1, we see that Rossi's bound holds in dimension three for those \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals I for which $\rho(I) \leq r_J(I) - 1$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d = 3$ and I an \mathfrak{m} primary ideal. For a minimal reduction J of I , if $\rho(I) \leq r_J(I) - 1$, then $r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1$.*

Proof. Let $x \in I$ be a superficial element. Suppose $\rho(I) \leq r_J(I) - 1$. Then $I^{r_J(I)-1} = \widetilde{I^{r_J(I)-1}}$ which implies $r_{J/(x)}(I/(x)) = r_J(I)$ by Lemma 3.1. Now, using the bound in (2), we get that $r_J(I) = r_{J/(x)}(I/(x)) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \ell(R/I) + 1$. \square

The following examples show that $r_{J/(x)}(I/(x)) = r_J(I)$ may hold even if $\text{depth } G(I) = 0$.

Example 3.3. [17, Example 3.3] Let $R = Q[[x, y]]$ and $I = (x^4, x^3y, xy^3, y^4)$. Then $x^2y^2 \in I^2 : I \subseteq \widetilde{I}$ but $x^2y^2 \notin I$ which implies $\text{depth } G(I) = 0$. Note that $J = (x^4, y^4)$ is a minimal reduction of I and $p = x^4 + y^4$ is superficial for I as $e_0(I) = 16 = e_0(I/(p))$ and $e_1(I) = 6 = e_1(I/(p))$. Further, $r_J(I) = 2 = r_{J/(p)}(I/(p))$.

Example 3.4. [16, Example 3.8] Let $R = Q[[x, y, z]]$ and $I = (x^2 - y^2, y^2 - z^2, xy, yz, xz)$. Then $\text{depth } G(I) = 0$ as $x^2 \in (I^2 : I) \subseteq \widetilde{I}$ but $x^2 \notin I$. Using Macaulay 2, we find that $J = (\frac{23}{6}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}xy - \frac{5}{2}y^2 + \frac{4}{3}xz + \frac{1}{3}yz - \frac{4}{3}z^2, \frac{23}{63}x^2 + \frac{10}{3}xy - \frac{2}{9}y^2 + xz + 9yz - \frac{1}{7}z^2, 6x^2 + \frac{5}{6}xy - 5y^2 + \frac{5}{4}xz + \frac{7}{6}yz - x^2)$ is a minimal reduction of I and $e_0(I) = 8 = e_0(I/(p))$, $e_1(I) = 4 = e_1(I/(p))$ and $e_2(I) = 0 = e_2(I/(p))$, where $p = \frac{23}{6}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}xy - \frac{5}{2}y^2 + \frac{4}{3}xz + \frac{1}{3}yz - \frac{4}{3}z^2$. This shows that p is a superficial element for I . Further, $r_J(I) = 2 = r_{J/(p)}(I/(p))$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 2$ and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal with $\text{depth } G(I^t) > 0$ for some $t \geq 1$. Let $x \in I$ be a superficial element for I and $J \subseteq I$ be a minimal reduction of I . Then*

$$r_J(I) \leq r_{J/(x)}(I/(x)) + t - 1.$$

$$(6) \quad r_J(I) \leq r_{J/(x)}(I/(x)) + k - 1.$$

We now generalize Rossi's result for $d = 3$ case. Note that when $t = 1$ in the result below, we obtain the I -adic case of [17, Theorem 4.3] in dimension three.

Theorem 3.6. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d = 3$ and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal with $\text{depth } G(I^t) > 0$ for some $t \geq 1$. Let $J \subseteq I$ be a minimal reduction of I . Then*

$$r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + t.$$

Furthermore, if $r_J(I) \equiv k \pmod{t}$, $1 \leq k \leq t-1$, then

$$r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + k.$$

Proof. Let $x \in I$ be a superficial for I and let $\overline{R} = R/(x)$. Then \overline{R} is a two dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. By Lemma 3.5 and the bound in (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_J(I) &\leq r_{J/(x)}(I/(x)) + t - 1 \\ &\leq e_1(I/(x)) - e_0(I/(x)) + \lambda(R/(I + (x))) + t \\ &= e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + t. \end{aligned}$$

When $r_J(I) \equiv k \pmod{t}$, $1 \leq k \leq t-1$, we have $r_J(I) \leq r_{J/(x)}(I/(x)) + k - 1 \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + k$ from (6). \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d = 3$ and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Let $J \subseteq I$ be a minimal reduction of I . Suppose $\text{depth } G(I^2) > 0$ and $r_J(I)$ is odd. Then*

$$r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1.$$

Proof. Since $\text{depth } G(I^2) > 0$ and $r_J(I) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.6. \square

For a graded ring S , let $H_{S_+}^i(S)$ denote the i -th local cohomology module of S with support in the graded ideal S_+ of elements of positive degree and set $a_i(S) = \max\{n \mid H_{S_+}^i(S)_n \neq 0\}$ with the convention $a_i(S) = -\infty$ if $H_{S_+}^i(S) = 0$.

Corollary 3.8. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d = 3$ and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Let $J \subseteq I$ be a minimal reduction of I . Then*

$$r_J(I) \leq \begin{cases} e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1 & \text{if } a_1(G(I)) \leq 0 \\ e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + a_1(G(I)) + 1 & \text{if } a_1(G(I)) > 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $\text{depth } G(I^{\rho(I)}) > 0$, we can put $t = \rho(I)$ in Theorem 3.6 and $\rho(I) \leq \max\{a_1(G(I)) + 1, 1\}$ by [11, Theorem 4.3]. \square

In [7] Itoh proved that $e_2(\mathfrak{m}) \geq e_1(\mathfrak{m}) - e_0(\mathfrak{m}) + 1$. If $e_2(\mathfrak{m}) = e_1(\mathfrak{m}) - e_0(\mathfrak{m}) + 1 \neq 0$, then $\text{type}(R) \geq e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - \mu(\mathfrak{m}) - d - 1$ where $\text{type}(R) = \dim_k \text{Ext}_R^d(k, R)$ and $\mu(\mathfrak{m}) = \lambda(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$, see [13]. In [13], authors also proved that if $e_2(\mathfrak{m}) = e_1(\mathfrak{m}) - e_0(\mathfrak{m}) + 1 \neq 0$ and $\text{type}(R) = e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - \mu(\mathfrak{m}) + d - 1$, then $G(\mathfrak{m})$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Therefore Rossi's bound as given by (2) holds for $r_J(\mathfrak{m})$ in this case. We consider the next boundary case, i.e., $\text{type}(R) = e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - \mu(\mathfrak{m}) + d$. In the corollary below, we obtain a linear bound in this case as well.

Corollary 3.9. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d = 3$ with $e_2(\mathfrak{m}) = e_1(\mathfrak{m}) - e_0(\mathfrak{m}) + 1 \neq 0$ and type $R = e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - \mu(\mathfrak{m}) + d$. Suppose $J \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ is a minimal reduction of \mathfrak{m} . Then $r_J(\mathfrak{m}) \leq e_1(\mathfrak{m}) - e_0(\mathfrak{m}) + \lambda(R/\mathfrak{m}) + 3$.*

Proof. If $\text{depth } G(\mathfrak{m}) \geq 1$, then the conclusion follows from [17, Theorem 4.3]. Suppose $\text{depth } G(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$. By [13, Theorem 4.2], $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}^j = \mathfrak{m}^j$ for $j \geq 3$ which implies $\text{depth } G(\mathfrak{m}^3) > 0$. Then by Theorem 3.6, $r_J(\mathfrak{m}) \leq e_1(\mathfrak{m}) - e_0(\mathfrak{m}) + \lambda(R/\mathfrak{m}) + 3$. \square

We now consider Example 2.5 with $m = 0$ and $d = 3$ to demonstrate that the bound in Theorem 3.6 is better than the one given by Vasconcelos in (1).

Example 3.10. Let $R = k[[x, y, z, u, v, w, t]]/(t^2, tu, tv, tw, uv, uw, vw, u^3 - xt, v^3 - yt, w^3 - zt)$. Then R is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension 3 and $\text{depth } G(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$. We have $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = 8$, $e_1(\mathfrak{m}) = 11$, $e_2(\mathfrak{m}) = 4$ and $e_3(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$. By [13, Theorem 4.2], we have $\mathfrak{m}^2 \neq \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}^2$ and $\mathfrak{m}^j = \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}^j$ for $j \geq 3$. Therefore $\text{depth } G(\mathfrak{m}^3) \geq 1$. Now $J = (x, y, z)$ is a minimal reduction of \mathfrak{m} and $r_J(\mathfrak{m}) = 3 \leq e_1(\mathfrak{m}) - e_0(\mathfrak{m}) + \lambda(R/\mathfrak{m}) + 3 = 7$. Note that the bound $\frac{de_0(\mathfrak{m})}{o(\mathfrak{m})} - 2d + 1 = 3.8 - 6 + 1 = 19$ given by Vasconcelos in [18] is larger than our bound.

In the next proposition, we summarize the cases when Rossi's bound holds in dimension three. Some of these results are already known. Let $v_n(\mathcal{I}) = \lambda(I_{n+1}/JI_n)$ for any I admissible filtration \mathcal{I} and $\mathcal{F} = \{\widetilde{I}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ denote the Ratliff-Rush filtration. By the proof of Rossi's result [14, Theorem 1.3] in a d dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, we have

$$(7) \quad r_J(I) \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} v_n(\mathcal{F}) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1$$

The idea in the next few results is to approximate the term $\sum_{n \geq 0} v_n(\mathcal{F})$.

Proposition 3.11. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a three dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, I an \mathfrak{m} primary ideal and J a minimal reduction of I . Then $r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1$ if one of the following conditions hold:*

- (i) $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$.
- (ii) $e_2(I) = e_3(I) = 0$.
- (iii) $e_2(I) = 0$ and I is asymptotically normal.
- (iv) $e_2(I) = 0$ and $G(I)$ is generalized Cohen-Macaulay.
- (v) $\rho(I) \leq r_J(I) - 1$.
- (vi) $a_1(G(I)) \leq 0$.

Proof. (i) As $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$, $e_1(I) = e_1(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} v_n(\mathcal{F})$ by [6, Proposition 4.6]. Substituting this into (7), we get $r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1$.

- (ii) If $e_2(I) = e_3(I) = 0$, then $G(\mathcal{F})$ is Cohen-Macaulay by [12, Theorem 6.2]) and hence the conclusion follows from part (i).
- (iii) By [16, Theorem 4.1], $e_3(I) \geq 0$ for an asymptotically normal ideal I and by [12, Proposition 6.4], $e_2(I) = 0$ implies $e_3(I) \leq 0$. This gives $e_3(I) = 0$. Now the conclusion follows from part (ii).
- (iv) Suppose $e_2(I) = 0$. Then $e_3(I) = 0$ if and only if $G(I)$ is generalized Cohen-Macaulay by [12, Proposition 6.4]. Now, the conclusion follows from part (ii).
- (v) It follows from Proposition 3.2.

(vi) It follows from Corollary 3.8. □

Remark 3.12. (1) Note that in Example 3.10, $G(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}^n) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}^n / \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+1}$ is Cohen-Macaulay by [13, Theorem 4.2]. Hence by Proposition 3.11(i), we have $3 = r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1 = 5$.

(2) In Example 3.4, we have $e_2(I) = e_3(I) = 0$. Hence by Proposition 3.11(ii), $2 = r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1 = 2$.

Next we give an upper bound for the reduction number of an ideal in Buchsbaum local ring with dimension at most two.

Theorem 3.13. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a one dimensional Buchsbaum local ring and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Let J be a minimal reduction of I then*

$$r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_1(J) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 2.$$

Proof. Let $S = R/H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$. Let $r = r_{JS}(IS)$. Then $I^{r+1} - JI^r \subseteq H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$, which implies that $I^{r+2} - JI^{r+1} \subseteq IH_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R) = 0$. Hence

$$(8) \quad r_J(I) \leq r_{JS}(IS) + 1.$$

Note that S is a 1 dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Therefore, by (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_J(I) &\leq r_{JS}(IS) + 1 \\ &\leq e_1(IS) - e_0(IS) + \lambda(S/IS) + 2 \\ &\leq e_1(I) - e_1(J) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 2 \text{ (by [17, Lemma 2.3, Proposition 2.3])} \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.14. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a two dimensional Buchsbaum local ring and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Let J be a minimal reduction of I and $\text{depth } G(I^t) > 0$ for some $t \geq 1$ then*

$$r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_1(J) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + t + 1$$

Proof. Note that $\text{depth } R > 0$ as $\text{depth } G(I^t) > 0$. Let $x \in I$ be a superficial element for I . Then by Lemma 3.5, we have $r_J(I) \leq r_{J/(x)}(I/(x)) + t - 1$. Since $R/(x)$ is a one dimensional Buchsbaum local ring, by Theorem 3.13 we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_{J/(x)}(I/(x)) &\leq e_1(I/(x)) - e_1(J/(x)) - e_0(R/(x)) + \lambda(R/I) + 2 \\ &= e_1(I) - e_1(J) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_1(J) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + t + 1$. □

4. BOUND FOR $r_J(I)$ IN DIMENSION THREE

In this section we give a different upper bound for reduction number of I in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d \geq 3$ when $\text{depth } G(I) \geq d - 3$. Our bound involves $e_2(I)$ and $e_3(I)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d \geq 3$ and I an \mathfrak{m} primary ideal with $\text{depth } G(I) \geq d - 3$. Then*

$$(9) \quad r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1 + (e_2(I) - 1)e_2(I) - e_3(I).$$

- Example 4.2.** (1) We refer to Example 3.10 to note that our bound $r_J(\mathfrak{m}) \leq e_1(\mathfrak{m}) - e_0(\mathfrak{m}) + \lambda(R/\mathfrak{m}) + 1 + (e_2(\mathfrak{m}) - 1)e_2(\mathfrak{m}) - e_3(\mathfrak{m}) = 16$ is better than Vasconcelos' bound $\frac{de_0(\mathfrak{m})}{o(\mathfrak{m})} - 2d + 1 = 19$.
- (2) Example 2.5 provides a number of three dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local rings with $e_1(\mathfrak{m}) - e_0(\mathfrak{m}) + \lambda(R/\mathfrak{m}) + 1 + (e_2(\mathfrak{m}) - 1)e_2(\mathfrak{m}) - e_3(\mathfrak{m}) = 17$ and $\frac{3e_0(\mathfrak{m})}{o(\mathfrak{m})} - 2.3 + 1 = 3m + 19$, where $m \geq 0$.
- (3) Let $R = Q[[x, y, z]]$ and $I = (x^4, y^4, z^4, x^3y, xy^3, y^3z, yz^3)$. Note that $\text{depth} G(I) = 0$ as $x^2y^2z^2 \in I^2 : I \subseteq \tilde{I}$ but $x^2y^2z^2 \notin I$. By [8, Example 3.7], $e_0(I) = 64$, $e_1(I) = 48$, $e_2(I) = 4$ and $e_3(I) = 0$. Using Macaulay 2, $J = (\frac{3}{4}x^4 + x^3y + \frac{5}{6}xy^3 + \frac{1}{2}y^4 + 5y^3z + 3yz^3 + \frac{1}{5}z^4, \frac{4}{3}x^4 + \frac{5}{3}x^3y + \frac{1}{2}xy^3 + \frac{3}{2}y^4 + \frac{4}{5}y^3z + \frac{5}{3}yz^3 + \frac{10}{7}z^4, \frac{5}{3}x^4 + \frac{4}{5}x^3y + \frac{7}{2}xy^3 + y^4 + \frac{3}{2}y^3z + \frac{8}{9}yz^3 + \frac{3}{4}z^4)$ is a minimal reduction of I and $3 = r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1 + (e_2(I) - 1)e_2(I) - e_3(I) = 32$ where as $\frac{de_0(I)}{o(I)} - 2d + 1 = 43$.

Next we show that in dimension three, for certain values of $e_2(I)$, we get linear upper bound on $r(I)$ in terms of Hilbert coefficients.

Corollary 4.3. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a three dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) *If $e_2(I) = 0$ or 1 then $r(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1 - e_3(I)$.*
- (2) *If $e_2(I) = 1$ and I is asymptotically normal then $r(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 1$*
- (3) *If $e_2(I) = 2$ then $r(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 2 - e_3(I)$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Goto, K. Nishida and K. Ozeki, *The structure of Sally modules of rank one*, Math. Res. Lett. 15 (2008), 881-892.
- [2] L. Ghezzi, S. Goto, J. Hong and W. Vasconcelos, *Variation of Hilbert coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 141 (2013), 3037-3048.
- [3] L. Ghezzi, S. Goto, J. Hong and W. Vasconcelos, *Sally modules and reduction numbers of ideals*, Nagoya Math. J. 226 (2017), 106-126.
- [4] J. Hong, A. Simis and W. Vasconcelos, *Ideals generated by quadrics*, J. Algebra 423 (2015), 177-189.
- [5] S. Huckaba, *A d -dimensional extension of a lemma of Huneke's and formula for the Hilbert coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), no. 5, 1393-1401.
- [6] S. Huckaba and T. Marley, *Hilbert coefficients and the depths of associated graded rings*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 56 (1997), no. 1, 64-76.
- [7] S. Itoh, *Hilbert coefficients of integrally closed ideals*, J. Algebra 176 (1995), 638-652.
- [8] A. Mafi and D. Naderi, *Results on the Hilbert coefficients and reduction numbers*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 129 (2019), no. 4, Paper No. 60, 12 pp.
- [9] T. Marley, *Hilbert functions of ideals in Cohen-Macaulay rings*, PhD Thesis (1989).
- [10] K. Ozeki and M. E. Rossi, *The structure of the Sally module of integrally closed ideals*, Nagoya Math. J. 227 (2017), 49-76.
- [11] Tony J. Puthenpurakal, *Ratliff-Rush filtration, regularity and depth of higher associated graded rings: Part I*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 208 (2007), 159-176.
- [12] Tony J. Puthenpurakal, *Ratliff-Rush filtration, regularity and depth of higher associated graded rings: Part II*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 221 (2017), 611-631.
- [13] T. Puthenpurakal and A. Mishra, *Cohen-Macaulay local rings with $e_2 = e_1 - e_0 + 1$* , J. Algebra, 611 (2022), 94-109.
- [14] M. E. Rossi, *A bound on the reduction number of a primary ideal*, Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc. 128(5) (1999), 1325-1332.

- [15] M. E. Rossi and I. Swanson, *Notes on the behavior of the Ratliff-Rush filtration*, Contemp. Math. 331 (2001), 313-328.
- [16] A. Corso, C. Polini and M. E. Rossi, *Depth of associated graded rings via Hilbert coefficients of ideals*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 201 (2005), 126-141.
- [17] M.E. Rossi and G. Valla *Hilbert functions of filtered modules*, Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana, 9. Springer-Verlag, Berlin; UMI, Bologna, 2010. xviii+100 pp.
- [18] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Integral Closure*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Heidelberg, 2005.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KHARAGPUR, 721302, INDIA

Email address: mousumi@maths.iitkgp.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY PATNA, BIHTA, PATNA 801106, INDIA

Email address: ksaloni@iitp.ac.in