

# EXISTENCE AND REGULARITY OF STEADY-STATE SOLUTIONS OF THE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS ARISING FROM IRREGULAR DATA

GAEL YOMGNE DIEBOU

ABSTRACT. We analyze the forced incompressible stationary Navier-Stokes flow in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,  $n > 2$ . Existence of a unique solution satisfying a global integrability property measured in a scale of tent spaces is established for small data in homogenous Sobolev space with  $s = -\frac{1}{2}$  degree of smoothness. Moreover, the velocity field is shown to be locally Hölder continuous while the pressure belongs to  $L_{loc}^p$  for any  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . Our approach is based on the analysis of the inhomogeneous Stokes system for which we derive a new solvability result involving Dirichlet data in Triebel-Lizorkin classes with negative amount of smoothness and is of independent interest.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The steady state (forced) incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  is the following system

$$(NS) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + \nabla \pi + u \cdot \nabla u = F & \text{in } \Omega \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

where  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is the unknown velocity field,  $\pi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the unknown scalar pressure and  $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a given external force. This system is supplemented by the boundary condition

$$(1.1) \quad u = f \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

where  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is a prescribed vector field satisfying (in the case  $\Omega$  smooth bounded) the compatibility condition  $\int_{\partial\Omega} f \cdot \mathbf{N} d\sigma = 0$  with  $\mathbf{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_n)$  being the outer unit normal vector at the boundary.

Probably, the first striking result regarding the solvability of the Dirichlet problem for the Navier-Stokes equations was obtained by Leray [20]. In a smooth bounded three dimensional domain, he showed the existence of a weak solution  $(u, \pi) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$  provided  $f \in W^{1/2,2}(\partial\Omega)$  and  $F \in W^{-1,2}(\Omega)$ . Existence of generalized weak solutions of (NS)-(1.1) in  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , those are  $(u, \pi) \in W^{1-1/q,q}(\Omega) \times L^q(\Omega)$ ,  $q \in (2, \infty)$  is a consequence of the important work by Cattabriga [5]. Without some extra condition on the data, the regularity of weak solutions to (NS)-(1.1) is still far from being fully understood. Available contributions are mostly dimension dependent. Indeed, in the physically most relevant dimensions  $n = 2, 3$ ; any weak solution is smooth (see e.g. [26] in the case of nonhomogeneous smooth data). In four dimensions, the  $L^p$ -regularity theory of weak solutions with

---

*Date:* Tuesday 4<sup>th</sup> October, 2022.

*Key words and phrases.* Stationary Navier-Stokes, solvability, regularity, homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, tent spaces.

G.Y.D. was supported by the DAAD through the program ‘‘Graduate School Scholarship Programme, 2018’’ (Number 57395813) and by the Hausdorff Center for Mathematics at Bonn.

zero Dirichlet data was established in [13]. When  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^5$  or the torus  $\mathbb{T}^5$ , it is known due to Struwe [29] that (NS) admits a solution  $(u, \pi) \in W_{loc}^{2,p} \times W_{loc}^{1,p}$  for any  $p < \infty$  whenever the external force is smooth and compactly supported. This result was partially extended to the case  $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^n$  and very recently to  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \in [5, 15]$ , in [10] and [31], respectively. As for uniqueness of weak solutions, it seems that a smallness assumption on given data is necessary and a recent result by Luo [21] predicts that this condition cannot be dropped.

There have been growing interest in recent years in the analysis of the Navier-Stokes equations subject to low regularity data. By this, we mean that  $f$  satisfies a weaker regularity than that needed in order for generalized weak solutions to exist. In such a context, does problem (NS)-(1.1) admit a solution? In the affirmative case, what are the qualitative properties of such solutions? Prescribing boundary value with low regularity forces one to consider a notion of solution weaker than weak solution. A good candidate, roughly speaking is obtained by testing (NS) against a suitable divergence-free smooth vector field and performing two successive integration by parts taking (1.1) into account. This idea to the best of the author's knowledge first appeared in [2] and the resulting formulation gives rise to the so-called very weak solution. When  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n = 2, 3$  is a  $C^2$  regular bounded domain, the author in [22] constructed such a solution in  $L^{2n/(n-1)}(\Omega)$  provided  $f \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$  (with arbitrarily large norm) and  $F \in W^{-1, 2n/(n-1)}(\Omega)$ . Existence, uniqueness and regularity of very weak solutions  $(u, \pi)$  in the class  $L^q(\Omega) \times W^{-1,q}(\Omega)$  have been obtained in [7, 12] under certain smallness conditions on  $f \in W^{-1/q, q}(\partial\Omega)$  and  $F \in W^{-1,r}(\Omega)$  with  $1 < r \leq q < \infty$ ,  $1/r \leq 1/n + 1/q$ . These results were generalized in [19] wherein the author gave a complete solvability theory for very weak solutions of (NS)-(1.1). In particular, refining the definition of very weak solutions and using some ideas from the preceding references, the author showed the existence of a very weak solution  $(u, \pi)$  in  $L^n(\Omega) \times W^{-1,n}(\Omega)$  for arbitrary large data  $f$  and forcing term  $F$  for  $n = 3, 4$ . In two dimensions, he proved the existence of a solution  $(u, \pi) \in L^{q_0}(\Omega) \times W^{-1, 1/q_0}(\Omega)$ ,  $2 < q_0 < 3$ . Moreover, he investigated the regularity of these solutions and also derived uniqueness results under suitable smallness assumptions. The existence theory for very weak solutions in unbounded domains (the half-space, exterior domains, ect.) seems to be more subtle. In general, similar methods as those employed for instance in [19] which rely on duality arguments and functional analytic tools cannot be carried out. We refer the reader to [9] for an interesting discussion pertaining to generalized (weak) solutions – we point out however, some recent existence results for the linear Stokes problem in half-space domain [8] and in exterior domains [18].

This paper aims at establishing the solvability theory for (NS)-(1.1) in the case  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^n$  (with possible adaptation of the ideas to the case of bounded smooth domains) by means of novel ideas. The techniques employed here are new and complement those introduced in [33] for the analysis of elliptic "critical" problems subject to low regularity data. In addition, they can be invoked to study similar questions for other semilinear elliptic problems. Assuming  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , we seek for a velocity field of the form  $u = v + w$  where  $v$  solves the linear Stokes equation with Dirichlet data  $f$  while  $w$  solves the inhomogeneous Stokes problem with zero boundary data and source term  $F + u \cdot \nabla u$ . Odqvist [25] proved that  $v$  assumes an integral representation, it is the Stokes extension of  $f$  to  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  (see Section 2). We then look for the data  $f$  in a large class of distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  for which  $v$  is well-defined and has  $f$  as trace in a suitable sense. On one hand, (NS) is scaling (and translation) invariant with respect to the maps

$$u_\lambda(x) = \lambda u(\lambda x), \quad \pi_\lambda(x) = \lambda^2 \pi(\lambda x), \quad \lambda > 0$$

for appropriately rescaled external force and boundary data. On the other hand, we want to have  $u$  in the local Lebesgue space  $L_{loc}^2$  in order to make sense of the equation. From

these observations, we are led to the consideration of  $v$  in  $T^{2(n-1),2}$ , a scale of tent spaces introduced by Coifmann, Meyer and Stein in [6]. Thanks to the work by Triebel [30], we know that  $v$  must have a distributional trace  $f$  in the homogeneous negative Sobolev space  $\dot{H}^{-1/2,2(n-1)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ . By the same token, the pressure  $\pi$  is sought for in the weighted tent space  $T_{-1/(n-1)}^{2(n-1),2}$  (see below for the definition of weighted tent spaces). Tent spaces naturally arise in the analysis of linear elliptic equations and systems, see e.g. [4, 16] and references therein. We quote the recent work [33] where these spaces are used in the context of nonlinear systems.

Our main result states that there exists a unique solution of (NS)-(1.1) in a suitable framework under a smallness condition on  $f \in \dot{H}^{-1/2,2(n-1)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ . A more general statement involving Dirichlet data in homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces is obtained. In both cases, the constructed solution satisfies a global integrability property, expressed in terms of a tent space norm and it is further shown that this solution enjoys a higher regularity locally. More precisely, the velocity field  $u$  belongs to  $C_{loc}^{0,\alpha}$  and the pressure  $\pi$  is an element of  $L_{loc}^p$  for any  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . These features are derived from the pointwise decay rate of the velocity field near the boundary. In order to derive all these results, we study the inhomogeneous Stokes problem in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  (which plays a fundamental role in the analysis of (NS)-(1.1) when the flow takes place in an exterior region, a channel or a pipe) and derived key estimates of the solution for prescribed data in the homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin class with negative amount of smoothness.

**1.1. Tent spaces and functional settings.** Throughout, a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  will typically be denoted by  $(x', x_n)$ ,  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and  $x_n > 0$ . For  $R > 0$ ,  $B_R(x')$  is the closed ball with radius  $R > 0$  and center at  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . Given  $\alpha > 0$ , define the cone (nontangential region) with vertex at  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  by

$$\Gamma_\alpha(x') := \{(y', y_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : |x' - y'| < \alpha y_n\}.$$

When  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\Gamma_1(x')$  will instead be denoted as  $\Gamma(x')$ . Given a ball  $B = B_R(x')$ , we denote by  $T(B) = B_R(x') \times (0, 2R)$  the Carleson box over  $B_R(x')$ . For  $q \in [1, \infty)$ , consider the functionals  $\mathcal{A}_q, \mathcal{C}_q$  defined for  $F$  measurable in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  by

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{A}_q F(x') = \left( \iint_{\Gamma(x')} |F(y', y_n)|^q y_n^{-(n-1)} dy' dy_n \right)^{1/q}, \quad \mathcal{A}_\infty F(x') = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(y', y_n) \in \Gamma(x')} |F(y', y_n)|$$

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{C}_q F(x') = \sup_{x' \in B} \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \iint_{T(B)} |F(y', y_n)|^q dy_n dy' \right)^{1/q}$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls containing  $x'$ . The membership of each of these functionals in Lebesgue spaces gives rise to a scale of functions space first introduced by Coifman, Meyer and Stein [6]. We point out here the use of a different normalization in (1.2) and (1.3). The tent space  $T^{p,q}$  with  $p, q \in [1, \infty)$  collects all functions  $F \in L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  for which  $\mathcal{A}_q F \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ . We equip this space with the norm

$$(1.4) \quad \|F\|_{T^{p,q}} := \|\mathcal{A}_q F\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}.$$

When  $p = \infty$ , the space  $T^{\infty,q}$  is defined using the Carleson functional  $\mathcal{C}_q$  by

$$T^{\infty,q} = \{F \in L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n) : \mathcal{C}_q \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})\}.$$

The space  $T^{\infty,q}$  is intrinsically linked to Carleson measures. In fact, it can alternatively be interpreted as the space of functions  $F \in L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  for which  $d\mu(y', y_n) = |F|^q dy' dy_n$  is a Carleson measure in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Note that at the endpoint case  $p = q = \infty$ ,  $T^{p,q}$  may be identified to  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ . For any  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $q \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $T^{p,q}$  is a Banach space having the space

of functions in  $L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  with compact support as a dense subspace. This property, together with the completeness of  $T^{p,q}$  for any  $q \in [1, \infty)$  follows from Lemma 1.1 below.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $K$  be a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  and assume that  $F \in T^{p,q}$  for  $p, q \in [1, \infty)$ . Then*

$$(1.5) \quad C_1 \|\mathbf{1}_K F\|_{T^{p,q}} \leq \|F\|_{L^q(K)} \leq C_2 \|F\|_{T^{p,q}}$$

where the constant  $C_1, C_2$  only depend on  $p, q, n$  and  $K$ .

Out of convenience, we defer the proof of Lemma 1.1 to the Appendix.

*Remark 1.1.* The change of aperture of the cone does not modify the tent norm in the sense that if one defines the  $\alpha$ -conical functional  $\mathcal{A}_q^\alpha$  by

$$\mathcal{A}_q^\alpha F(x') := \left( \iint_{\Gamma_\alpha(x')} |F(y', y_n)|^q y_n^{-(n-1)} dy' dy_n \right)^{1/q}, \quad \alpha > 0$$

then

$$(1.6) \quad \|\mathcal{A}_q^\alpha F\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \approx \|\mathcal{A}_q^\beta F\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}$$

where the implicit constant depends on  $p, q$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, \infty)$ . See [6, Proposition 4, p. 309] which remains valid for  $q \neq 2$ .

For  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we say that  $F : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  belongs to the weighted tent space [1, 16], which we denote by  $T_s^{p,q}$  if

$$(y', y_n) \mapsto y_n^{-(n-1)s} F(y', y_n) \in T^{p,q}.$$

It can easily be verified that  $\|F\|_{T_s^{p,q}} := \|y_n^{(1-n)s} F\|_{T^{p,q}}$  defines a norm on  $T_s^{p,q}$ . Moreover, for  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $s_2 < s_1$  and  $1 \leq p_1 < p_2 \leq \infty$ ,  $q \in (0, \infty)$  the following continuous embedding holds (see [1, Lemma 2.19])

$$(1.7) \quad T_{s_1}^{p_1, q} \subset T_{s_2}^{p_2, q}$$

provided  $s_2 - s_1 = \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{p_1}$ . Recall Hölder's inequality in weighted tent spaces.

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $p_i, q_i, r_i \in [1, \infty]$  and  $s_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^2 1/p_i = 1/p_0$  and*

*$\sum_{i=1}^2 1/q_i = 1/q_0$  with the convention  $1/\infty = 0$ . If  $f \in T_{s_1}^{p_1, q_1}$  and  $g \in T_{s_2}^{p_2, q_2}$ , then  $fg \in T_{s_0}^{p_0, q_0}$  and it holds that*

$$(1.8) \quad \|fg\|_{T_{s_0}^{p_0, q_0}} \leq C \|f\|_{T_{s_1}^{p_1, q_1}} \|g\|_{T_{s_2}^{p_2, q_2}}$$

provided  $s_0 = s_1 + s_2$ .

This lemma can be proved via factorization of tent spaces [15, Theorem 3.4]. It is long-established that there is an intrinsic connection between weighted tent spaces and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces which we now recall their definitions.

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  the class of Schwartz (smooth rapidly decreasing) functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  its topological dual space endowed with the weak- $\star$  topology. Define the space

$$\dot{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \mid \int z^\gamma f(z) dz = 0, \forall \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$$

which inherits the topology of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  as subspace. This space may be identified with the space of Schwartz functions whose Fourier transforms vanish together with all their

derivatives at the origin. Its dual space is denoted by  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a cut-off function given by

$$\varphi(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\xi| \leq 1 \\ \text{smooth} & \text{if } 1 < |\xi| \leq 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } |\xi| > 2. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\psi(\xi) = \varphi(\xi) - \varphi(2\xi)$  and define  $\psi_j(\xi) = \psi(2^{-j}\xi)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_j(\xi) = 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{F}f$  the Fourier transform of  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and by  $\dot{\Delta}_j = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi_j \mathcal{F}\cdot)$  the homogeneous Littlewood-Paley operator. For  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p, q \in [1, \infty)$ , we say that a distribution  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  belongs to the Triebel-Lizorkin space  $\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  if

$$\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} = \left\| \left[ \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (2^{js} |\dot{\Delta}_j f|)^q \right]^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} < \infty.$$

This space is complete and equivalent to the homogeneous Sobolev space  $\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  whenever  $q = 2$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Moreover, for  $1 \leq q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$  and  $-\infty < s_2 < s_1 < \infty$  we have the continuous inclusion

$$\dot{F}_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \subset \dot{F}_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$$

provided  $p_1, p_2 \in (1, \infty)$  with  $s_1 - \frac{n-1}{p_1} = s_2 - \frac{n-1}{p_2}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** For  $q \in (\frac{n}{n-1}, \infty)$ ,  $n > 2$  we define  $\mathbf{X}^q$  as the space of vector fields  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying  $\|u\|_{\mathbf{X}^q} < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{Z}^q := \{\pi : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \|\pi\|_{\mathbf{Z}^q} < \infty\}$  where

$$\|u\|_{\mathbf{X}^q} = \sup_{x_n > 0} x_n^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \|u(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} + \|u\|_{T^{p,q}}$$

and

$$\|\pi\|_{\mathbf{Z}^q} := \|\pi\|_{T_{s_0}^{p_0,q}}, \quad s_0 = -\frac{1}{n-1}, \quad p = (n-1)(q-1)q.$$

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $1 \leq \eta < \tau < \infty$ . We say that  $F : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  belongs to  $\mathbf{Y}^{\tau,\eta}$  if

$$\|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau,\eta}} = \sup_{x_n > 0} x_n^{\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{n-1}{\eta}} \|F(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} + \|F\|_{T^{\tau,\eta}}$$

is finite.

Note that either  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{X}^q}$  or  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{Z}^q}$  defines a norm on  $\mathbf{X}^q$  and  $\mathbf{Z}^q$  respectively, they are Banach spaces. For convenience, when  $q = 2$ , we will specially denote the spaces  $\mathbf{X}^q$  and  $\mathbf{Z}^q$  by  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}$ , respectively.

**1.2. Main results.** Our first result deals with the well-posedness theory. In what follows, the dimension is assumed larger or equals to 3 unless otherwise stated.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that  $F = 0$ . Then the Dirichlet problem (NS)-(1.1) has a solution  $(u, \pi)$  in  $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Z}$  which is unique in a small closed ball provided the data  $f$  has a sufficiently small  $[\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 2(n-1)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})]^n$ -norm.*

In presence of the forcing term, our main finding reads as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $1 < \eta < \tau < \infty$  such that  $\frac{1}{\eta} + \frac{n-1}{\tau} = 3$ . There exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\kappa := \kappa(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for every  $f \in [\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 2(n-1)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})]^n$  and  $F \in \mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}$  satisfying  $\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 2(n-1)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} + \|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}} < \varepsilon$ , Eq. (NS)-(1.1) admits a solution  $(u, \pi)$  in  $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Z}$  which is the only one among those satisfying the condition  $\|u\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \|\pi\|_{\mathbf{Z}} \leq 2\kappa$ .*

Existence of solutions in  $\mathbf{X}^q \times \mathbf{Z}^q$  for any  $2 < q < \infty$  is a consequence of Theorem 1.2 together with an improved regularity result. In more details, the statement reads as follows.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $2 < q < \infty$ ,  $\eta > 1$  as in Theorem 1.2 and  $1 < \eta_1 < \tau_1 < \infty$ . Given  $f$  in  $[\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 2(n-1)} \cap \dot{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})]^n$  and  $F \in \mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta} \cap \mathbf{Y}^{\tau_1, \eta_1}$ , there exist  $\varepsilon_q \in (0, \varepsilon)$  and  $\kappa_q > 0$  such that if  $\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 2(n-1)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} + \|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}} < \varepsilon_q$  then there exists a solution  $(u, \pi)$  of (NS)-(1.1) in the space  $\mathbf{X}^q \times \mathbf{Z}^q$  which is unique in the ball*

$$B_{2\kappa_q}(\mathbf{0}, 0) = \{(u, \pi) \in \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Z} : \|u\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \|\pi\|_{\mathbf{Z}} \leq 2\kappa_q\}$$

provided  $\frac{1}{\eta_1} + \frac{n-1}{\tau_1} = 2 + \frac{1}{q-1}$ ,  $s = -\frac{1}{q}$  and  $p = (n-1)(q-1)q$ .

The uniqueness of the pressure as claimed in the previous results should be understood up to an additive constant. We also record the following regularity result which arises as a consequence of the local boundedness property of the velocity field and the elliptic regularity theory for the Stokes system [11].

**Theorem 1.4.** *If  $(u, \pi) \in \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Z}$  is the solution of problem (NS)-(1.1) constructed in Theorem 1.1 or 1.2, then  $(u, \pi) \in [C_{loc}^{0, \alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)]^n \times L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and every  $p \in (1, \infty)$ .*

*Remark 1.2.* It is important to emphasize that the solution  $(u, \pi)$  found in the preceding theorems is in fact a weak solution of (NS)-(1.1) (compare with the notion of regular solution defined in [31]) in the sense that

$$u \in W_{loc}^{1, p} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi \in L_{loc}^p,$$

for any  $p < \infty$ . Pertaining to Theorem 1.1, the construction of a solution  $(u, \pi)$  in the framework  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2, x_n dx)$ , that is when  $n = 2$ , seems challenging – the main difficulty in the analysis comes from the critical regularity of the nonlinear term  $\text{div}(u \otimes u)$ .

## 2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

This section is devoted to the analysis of the Dirichlet problem for the following system

$$(S) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + \nabla \pi = F + \text{div } H & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \\ \text{div } u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \\ u = f & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \end{cases}$$

with given vector fields  $f, F$  and tensor  $H$ . Our goal is to prove that (S) admits a solution  $(u, \pi)$  in the target space  $\mathbf{X}^q \times \mathbf{Z}^q$  whose norm can be estimated by the norms of  $f, F$  and  $H$  in suitable function spaces. To this end, for better readability we simply separate the study into two parts: the homogeneous case ( $f = 0$ ) and the inhomogeneous case ( $F = 0, H = \mathbf{0}$ ).

**2.1. Homogeneous Stokes system and linear estimates.** Consider the Stokes operator  $L_S$  acting on pair of functions  $(u, \pi) \in [\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)]^n \times \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $n \geq 2$  and given by

$$L_S(u, \pi) = \left( -\Delta u_1 + \partial_1 \pi, \dots, -\Delta u_n + \partial_n \pi, \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i u_i \right).$$

A fundamental solution of the Stokes operator  $L_S$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a pair  $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{b})$  with  $\mathbf{E} = (E_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}[\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)]$  and  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in [\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)]^n$  satisfying coordinate-wise the equations

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta E_{ij} + \partial_i b_j = \delta_{ij} \delta \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n), & i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ \sum_{k=1}^n E_{kj} = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n), & j \in \{1, \dots, n\}. \end{cases}$$

For  $n \geq 3$ , one may apply the Fourier transform to both sides of each of the above equations after which one deduces the explicit expressions

$$(2.1) \quad E_{ij}(x) = \frac{1}{2\omega_{n-1}} \left[ \frac{1}{(n-2)} \frac{\delta_{ij}}{|x|^{n-2}} + \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^n} \right], \quad b_j = \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \frac{x_j}{|x|^n}; \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

defined for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}$  where  $\omega_{n-1}$  is the surface area of the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . Details of computations leading to (2.1) can be found in [24, Chap. 10]. On the other hand, when  $n = 2$ ,  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  assume the followings forms

$$(2.2) \quad E_{ij}(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2} - \delta_{ij} \log |x| \right], \quad b_j = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{x_j}{|x|^2}; \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Now, let us consider the homogeneous Stokes system

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + \nabla \pi = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \\ u = f & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{cases}$$

With the convolution being understood in a component wise sense, define

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{H}f(x', x_n) = (\mathcal{K}_{x_n} * f)(x'), \quad \mathcal{E}f(x', x_n) = (\mathbf{k}_{x_n} * f)(x')$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{x_n}(x') = (K_{ij}(x', x_n))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{k}_{x_n}(x') = (k_1(x', x_n), \dots, k_n(x', x_n))$$

are commonly referred to as the Odqvist kernels [25] – each entry of the tensors assuming an explicit form in terms of  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  via the formulas

$$(2.5) \quad K_{ij}(x) = 2(\partial_{x_n} E_{ij} + \partial_j E_{in} + \delta_{jn} b_i) = \frac{2n}{\omega_{n-1}} \frac{x_n x_i x_j}{|x|^{n+2}}$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad k_j(x) = 4\partial_j b_n = \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \partial_j \frac{4x_n}{|x|^n}.$$

For the derivation of these kernels, the interested reader may consult the articles [25, 28]. Note that if  $f$  belongs to the weighted Lebesgue space  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \frac{dx'}{(1+|x'|)^n})$ , then  $u = \mathcal{H}f$  and  $\pi = \mathcal{E}f$  are both meaningful as absolutely convergent integrals and  $(u, \pi)$  is the unique solution of Eq. (2.3) decaying at infinity. This is no longer the case if  $f$  is merely a generic distribution. In fact, the Stokes extension  $\mathcal{H}$  does not map  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  into itself in general (for example, in one dimension  $f(x') = x'^2 \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  but  $\mathcal{H}f \notin \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ ). However, it can be shown that if  $f \in \dot{\mathcal{S}}'(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ , then so are  $\mathcal{H}f$  and  $\mathcal{E}f$ . Poisson extensions of Schwartz distributions have been studied by H. Triebel [30] – they characterize almost all scale of



Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  using tent spaces. In particular, the following equivalence holds true

$$(2.7) \quad \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^{-\frac{1}{q}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \sim \left\| \mathcal{A}_q[\mathcal{P}_{x_n} * f] \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}, \quad p = q(q-1)(n-1)$$

where  $1 < q < \infty$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{x_n}(x') = c_n x_n (|x'|^2 + x_n^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$  (with  $c_n$  normalizing constant such that  $\mathcal{P}_{x_n}$  has a normalized  $L^1$ -norm equals to 1) is the Poisson kernel for the Laplacian in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $q \in (\frac{n}{n-1}, \infty)$ ,  $n \geq 2$  and set  $p = (n-1)q(q-1)$ . There exists a constant  $C := C(n, q) > 0$  such that*

$$(2.8) \quad \|\mathcal{H}f\|_{\mathbf{X}^q} + \|\mathcal{E}f\|_{\mathbf{Z}^q} + \sup_{x_n > 0} x_n^{q/(q-1)} \|\mathcal{E}f(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq C \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^{-\frac{1}{q}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}$$

for all  $f \in [\dot{F}_{p,q}^{-\frac{1}{q}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})]^n$  where  $\mathcal{H}f$  and  $\mathcal{E}f$  are defined as in (2.4).

We state two more auxiliary results which will be useful in the demonstration of Lemma 2.1.

**Lemma 2.2** (Averaging Lemma). *Assume that  $F \in L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ ,  $q \geq 1$ . We have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \iint_{\Gamma(x')} |F(y', y_n)|^q \frac{dy' dy_n}{y_n^{n-1}} dx' = \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |F(y)|^q dy$$

where  $\mu > 0$  only depends on  $n$ , the dimension.

The proof of this identity follows from a simple application of Fubini–Tonelli’s Theorem.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$  compact set and  $E(K) = \{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : K \cap \Gamma(x') \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then  $E(K)$  is open, its Lebesgue measure  $|E(K)|$  is finite and only depends on  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x' \in E(K)$ , there exists  $(y', y_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  with  $(y', y_n) \in K$  and  $y' \in B_{y_n}(x')$ . Putting  $R = y_n - |x' - y'| > 0$ , it plainly follows that  $B(x', R) \subset E(K)$ . Moving on, we remark that  $E(K)$  is actually bounded. Moreover, since  $K$  is compact, we may assume without loss of generality that  $K = B_\theta(z') \times [a, b]$  for some  $a, b, \theta > 0$  with  $a < b$  and thus a simple covering argument implies that  $|E(K)| \leq C\theta^{n-1}$  for some constant  $C > 0$ .  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Lemma 2.1.

*Proof of Lemma 2.1.* By a direct computation, each coefficient of the matrix  $\mathcal{K}$  satisfies the pointwise estimate  $|\nabla^k K_{ij}(x', x_n)| \leq c x_n^{-k} \mathcal{P}_{x_n}(x')$ ,  $k = 0, 1$  for each  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Also, from the explicit expression

$$k_j(x', x_n) = \frac{4}{\omega_{n-1}} \begin{cases} \frac{x_n x_j}{|x|^{n+2}} & \text{if } j = 1, \dots, n-1 \\ \frac{n x_n^2 - |x|^2}{n |x|^{n+2}} & \text{if } j = n \end{cases}$$

we verify that  $|k_j(x', x_n)| \leq C x_n^{-1} \mathcal{P}_{x_n}(x')$  for all  $(x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Set  $(\bar{u}, \bar{\pi}) = (\mathcal{H}(f), \mathcal{E}(f))$  and for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  fixed, use the interior estimate for the linear Stokes problem (see e.g. [27]) together with Lemma 2.2 to write

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\pi}(x)|^q &\leq C |B_{x_n/2}(x)|^{-1} \int_{B_{x_n/2}(x)} |\bar{\pi}(y', y_n)|^q dy' dy_n \\ &\leq C |B_{x_n/2}(x)|^{-1} \int_{B_{x_n/2}(x)} |y_n^{-1} (\mathcal{P}_{y_n} * f)|^q dy' dy_n \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C|B_{x_n/2}(x)|^{-1} \int_{B_{x_n}(x') \times [x_n/3, 2x_n]} |y_n^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{y_n} * f)|^q dy' dy_n \\
&\leq Cx_n^{-(n+q)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \int_{B_{y_n}(z')} \mathbf{1}_{B_{x_n}(x') \times [x_n/3, 2x_n]}(y', y_n) |\mathcal{P}_{y_n} * f|^q \frac{dy' dy_n}{y_n^{n-1}} dz' \\
&\leq Cx_n^{-(n+q)} \|\mathcal{A}_q[\mathcal{P}_{y_n} * f]\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^q \left| E(B_{x_n}(x') \times [x_n/3, 2x_n]) \right|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \\
&\leq Cx_n^{-1-q-\frac{(n-1)q}{p}} \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^{-1/q}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^q.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that we have used Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.3 to get the estimate before the last. The choice  $p = q(n-1)(q-1)$  yields the desired bound. From the above remark on the kernel  $k_j$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{\pi}\|_{T_{1/(1-n)}^{p,q}} &= \left\| \left( \iint_{\Gamma(\cdot)} |y_n \bar{\pi}(y', y_n)|^q \frac{dy' dy_n}{y_n^{n-1}} \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
&\leq C \left\| \left( \iint_{\Gamma(\cdot)} |\mathcal{P}_{y_n} * f|^q \frac{dy' dy_n}{y_n^{n-1}} \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq C \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^{-1/q}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

The latter bound is a consequence of the extrinsic characterization (2.7). The same observation pertaining to the velocity field gives  $\|\bar{u}\|_{T^{p,q}} \leq C \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^{-1/q}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}$ . It then remains to establish the bound

$$(2.9) \quad \sup_{x_n > 0} x_n^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \|\bar{u}(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq C \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^{-\frac{1}{q}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}.$$

By the mean value property for the velocity field [27, Theorem 4.5] and with the same notation as above, we have

$$|\bar{u}_i(x)| \leq \int_{B_{x_n/2}(x)} |\bar{u}_i(y)| dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{x_n/2}(x)} |\bar{\pi}(z)| |z_i - x_i| dz := I + II, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Using Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.2, we estimate  $I$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
I^q &\leq Cx_n^{-(n-1)} \int_{B_{x_n/2}(x)} |\mathcal{P}_{x_n} * f_j|^q dy \\
&\leq Cx_n^{-(n-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \iint_{\Gamma(z')} \mathbf{1}_{B_{x_n/2}(x)}(y) |\mathcal{P}_{x_n} * f_j|^q y_n^{-(n-1)} dy dz' \\
&\leq Cx_n^{-(n-1)} \|\mathcal{P}_{x_n} * f_j\|_{T^{p,q}}^q \left| E(B_{\frac{x_n}{2}}(x') \times [x_n/3, 2x_n]) \right|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \\
(2.10) \quad &\leq Cx_n^{q/(q-1)} \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^{-1/q}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^q.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate the integral  $II := \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{x_n/2}(x)} |\bar{\pi}(z)| |z_i - x_i| dz$ , we use the fact that if  $z \in B_{x_n/2}(x)$ , then  $B_{x_n/2}(z) \subset B_{x_n}(x)$ . Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
II &\leq |B_{x_n/2}(x)|^{-1} \int_{B_{x_n/2}(x)} \left( \int_{B_{x_n/2}(z)} |\bar{\pi}(y)| dy \right) |z_i - x_i| dz \\
&\leq C|B_{x_n}(x)|^{-1} \left( \int_{B_{x_n}(x)} |\bar{\pi}(y)|^q dy \right)^{1/q} \int_{B_{x_n/2}(x)} |z - x| dz
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C|B_{x_n}(x)|^{-1} \left( \int_{B_{x_n}(x)} |\bar{\pi}(y)|^q dy \right)^{1/q} \int_0^{x_n/2} \sigma^n d\sigma \\
(2.11) \quad &\leq x_n^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^{-1/q}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain (2.9). This achieves the proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**2.2. Inhomogeneous Stokes system.** Consider the operators  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\Psi$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  respectively defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}(F, H)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G(x, y) F(y) dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \nabla_y G(x, y) H(y) dy, \\
\Psi(F, H)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} g(x, y) F(y) dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \nabla_y g(x, y) H(y) dy
\end{aligned}$$

whenever the integrals make sense for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . The kernels  $G(x, y) = (G_{ij}(x, y))_{i,j=1}^n$  and  $g(x, y) = (g_j(x, y))_{j=1}^n$ ,  $x \neq y$  are the Green tensors for the Stokes operator in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ , that is, coordinates-wise the functions satisfying

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_x G_{ij} + \partial_i g_j = \delta_x \delta_{ij} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \\ \partial_i G_{ij} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \\ G_{ij}(x, \cdot)|_{\{(x', x_n): x_n=0\}} = 0 \end{cases}$$

in the sense of distributions where  $\delta_x$  is the Dirac distribution with mass at  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Under mild assumptions on  $F$  and  $H$ , the vector-valued functions  $v = \mathcal{G}(F, H)$  and  $w = \Psi(F, H)$  satisfy the system of equations

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta v + \nabla w = F + \operatorname{div} H & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{cases}$$

Refined properties of Green matrices were recently obtained by the authors in [17] relying on ideas introduced earlier in the articles [23] (for  $n = 2, 3$ ) and [11] (for the general case). For our purpose we will need the following properties which include sharp pointwise decay bounds.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . The Green tensor  $G$  is symmetric,  $G_{ij}(x, y) = G_{ji}(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,  $x \neq y$  and satisfies together with  $g$  the pointwise estimates*

$$(2.14) \quad |G_{ij}(x, y)| \leq C \left( \frac{x_n y_n}{|x - y|^n} + \mathbf{1}_{\{n=2\}} \log(2 + y_n |x - y|^{-1}) \right)$$

$$(2.15) \quad |\nabla_x^\alpha \nabla_y^\beta G_{ij}(x, y)| \leq C_N \begin{cases} |x - y|^{-(n-2+N)} \\ \frac{x_n y_n}{|x - y|^{n+N}} & \text{if } \alpha_n = \beta_n = 0 \\ \frac{x_n}{|x - y|^{n-1+N}} & \text{if } \alpha_n = 0 \end{cases}$$

for all multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $|\alpha| + |\beta| = N > 0$ . Moreover,

$$(2.16) \quad |\nabla^\alpha g_j(x, y)| \leq C_\alpha |x - y|^{-(n-1)-|\alpha|}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

where the constants are all independent of  $x$  and  $y$ .

These inequalities find their applicability in our next result which deals with the mapping properties of the potentials  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\Psi$ . Recall the space  $\mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}$  introduced in Section 1.

**Proposition 2.1.** Fix  $n \geq 3$  and assume that  $q \in (\frac{n}{n-1}, \infty)$ . Let  $1 < \eta < \tau < \infty$  and  $1 \leq \sigma < \Lambda < \infty$  satisfy the condition

$$\frac{1}{\eta} + \frac{n-1}{\tau} = 2 + \frac{1}{q-1} = 1 + \frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{n-1}{\Lambda}.$$

For all  $F \in \mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}$  and  $H \in \mathbf{Y}^{\Lambda, \sigma}$  we have  $\mathcal{G}(F, H) \in \mathbf{X}^q$ ,  $\Psi(F, H) \in \mathbf{Z}^q$  and it holds that

$$(2.17) \quad \|\mathcal{G}(F, H)\|_{\mathbf{X}^q} + \|\Psi(F, H)\|_{\mathbf{Z}^q} \leq C(\|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}} + \|H\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\Lambda, \sigma}})$$

for some constant  $C := C(n, q) > 0$  independent of  $F$  and  $H$ .

*Remark 2.1.* The proof of the above result reveals that elliptic estimates of the form

$$(2.18) \quad \sup_{x_n > 0} x_n^{\frac{1}{q-1} + |\alpha|} \|\partial_{x'}^\alpha u(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq C(\|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}} + \|H\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\Lambda, \sigma}})$$

are valid for each multi-index  $\alpha$  where  $u$  is a solution of the Stokes system (2.13). However, it is not clear whether vertical derivatives of  $u$  enjoy this property. In fact, we are relying heavily on the second and third bound in (2.15) which seem to fail in the case  $\alpha_n \neq 0$  or  $\beta_n \neq 0$ , see [17, Remark 2.6].

The proof of the proposition partially relies on the mapping properties in mixed Lebesgue spaces of the operator  $G_\beta$  defined for  $0 < \beta < n$  by

$$(2.19) \quad G_\beta F(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{F(z) dz}{|y-z|^{n-\beta}}$$

whenever the integral exists for almost all  $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . For  $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ , let us denote by  $L^p L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  the mixed Lebesgue space of functions  $F : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the property that  $x' \mapsto F(x', \cdot) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  and  $x_n \mapsto F(\cdot, x_n) \in L^q(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and equip it with the norm

$$\|F\|_{L^p L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} = \left\| \|F(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, dx_n)} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}.$$

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $0 < \beta < n$  and  $1 < \tau < \infty$ . Assume that  $1 \leq \eta \leq q \leq p < \infty$  are such that

$$(2.20) \quad \frac{1}{\eta} < \beta + \frac{1}{q}, \quad \frac{n-1}{p} = \frac{n-1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\eta} - \frac{1}{q} - \beta.$$

Then the operator  $G_\beta$  is bounded from  $L^\tau L^\eta(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  into  $L^p L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ .

Recall the Riesz potential  $I_\alpha$  of order  $\alpha \in (0, n-1)$ , that is, the convolution operator with the kernel  $|x'|^{\alpha-(n-1)}$ ,  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Along the lines of the proof of [32, Lemma 2.2], take  $F \in L^\tau L^\eta(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  and let  $\tilde{F}$  be the zero extension of  $F$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $1 \leq \eta < \infty$  and  $1 < \tau < \infty$  we have

$$\|G_\beta F\|_{L^p L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} = \left\| \|G_\beta F(y', \cdot)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+)} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}.$$

Let  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and set  $S(x', s) = (|x'|^2 + s^2)^{-\frac{n-\beta}{2}}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . For  $1 \leq \theta < \infty$  such that  $\frac{1}{\eta} + \frac{1}{\theta} \geq 1$  we use Minkowski's inequality to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_\beta F(y', \cdot)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+)} &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{|F(z', z_n)| dz' dz_n}{(|y' - z'|^2 + |\cdot - z_n|^2)^{\frac{n-\beta}{2}}} \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} [S(y' - z', |\cdot|) * |\tilde{F}|(z', \cdot)](y_n) dy' \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, dy_n)} \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \|[S(y' - z', |\cdot|) * |\tilde{F}|](z', \cdot)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} dz' \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \|S(y' - z', \cdot)\|_{L^\theta(\mathbb{R}_+)} \|F(z', \cdot)\|_{L^\eta(\mathbb{R}_+)} dz' \\ &\leq C [I_{\beta+\frac{1}{\theta}-1} \|F(\cdot, y_n)\|_{L^\eta(\mathbb{R}_+, dy_n)}](y'), \quad y' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\frac{1}{q} + 1 = \frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\eta}$ . Thus, if  $\frac{n-1}{p} = \frac{n-1}{\tau} - (\beta + \frac{1}{\theta} - 1)$ , then by the boundedness of  $I_\alpha$  in Lebesgue spaces, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_\beta F\|_{L^p L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} &\leq C \|I_{\beta-\frac{1}{\theta}-1} \|F(y', \cdot)\|_{L^\eta(\mathbb{R}_+)}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, dy')} \\ &\leq C \|F\|_{L^\tau L^\eta(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Remark 2.2.* In the sequel, we will need an analogue of Lemma 2.5 in weighted mixed Lebesgue spaces of the form

$$(2.21) \quad \left\| \|G_\beta F(\cdot, y_n)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, y_n^a dy_n)} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq C \left\| \|F(\cdot, y_n)\|_{L^\eta(\mathbb{R}_+, y_n^{b\eta} dy_n)} \right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}$$

for all functions  $F$  such that  $(x', x_n) \mapsto x_n^b F \in L^r L^\eta(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ . This estimate is true under the conditions

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{cases} 2 + \frac{1}{q} = (n-1) \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p} \right) + \frac{1}{\eta} + b - (\beta - 1) \\ 1 < r < p < \infty, \quad b \geq 1 \\ n > \beta + 2 + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\eta} - b. \end{cases}$$

In fact, one may use the same strategy as before to prove (2.21). If  $a \geq 1$  and  $\delta > 1$  are such that

$$\frac{1}{\delta} + a = (n-1) \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p} \right) - (\beta - 1),$$

then using the weighted convolution inequality [14, Theorem 1.2] for  $n = 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_\beta F(y', \cdot)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, y_n^a dy_n)} &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \|S(y' - z', |\cdot|)\|_{L^\delta(\mathbb{R}_+, y_n^{a\delta} dy_n)} \|F(z', \cdot)\|_{L^\eta(\mathbb{R}_+, y_n^{b\eta} dy_n)} dz' \\ &\leq I_{\frac{1}{\delta}+a+\beta-1} \|F(\cdot, y_n)\|_{L^\eta(\mathbb{R}_+, y_n^{b\eta} dy_n)}(y'), \quad y' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This, in conjunction with (2.22) gives the desired bound.

We are now ready to prove Proposition 2.1 and we divide the proof in two steps.

**Step 1.** The bound

$$(2.23) \quad \|\mathcal{G}(F, H)\|_{\mathbf{X}^q} \leq C (\|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}} + \|H\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\Lambda, \sigma}}).$$

Let  $1 < \eta < \infty$ ,  $1 \leq \sigma < \infty$  and  $1 < \tau, \Lambda < \infty$  such that  $\frac{1}{\eta} + \frac{n-1}{\tau} = 2 + \frac{1}{q-1} = 1 + \frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{n-1}{\Lambda}$ .

Pick  $F$  in  $\mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}$  and  $H \in \mathbf{Y}^{\Lambda, \sigma}$ . We first prove that

$$(2.24) \quad \sup_{x_n > 0} x_n^{1/(q-1)} \|\mathcal{G}(F, H)(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq \|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}}.$$

Fix  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and  $x_n > 0$  and write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G(x', x_n, y) F(y) dy = J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4$$

where

$$J_1 = \int_{B_{x_n}(x')} \int_0^{x_n/2} G(x, y) F(y) dy, \quad J_2 = \int_{B_{x_n}(x')} \int_{x_n/2}^{2x_n} G(x, y) F(y) dy,$$

$$J_3 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus B_{x_n}(x')} \int_0^{2x_n} G(x, y) F(y) dy, \quad J_4 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{2x_n}^{\infty} G(x, y) F(y) dy.$$

Next, we estimate each of these integrals by means of the pointwise inequalities from Lemma 2.4. Indeed, starting with  $J_1$  and using the summation convention, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |J_1| &\leq \int_{B_{x_n}(x')} \int_0^{x_n/2} |G_{ij}(x', x_n, y)| |F_j(y)| dy \\ &\leq C \int_{B_{x_n}(x')} \int_0^{x_n/2} \frac{|F(y)|}{(|x' - y'|^2 + (x_n - y_n)^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} dy_n dy' \\ &\leq C x_n^{-(n-2)} x_n^{\frac{n}{\eta'}} \left( \int_{B_{x_n}(x')} \int_0^{x_n/2} |F(y)|^\eta dy_n dy' \right)^{1/\eta} \\ &\leq C x_n^{-(n-2) + \frac{n}{\eta'}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \iint_{\Gamma(x') \cap B_{x_n}(x') \times (0, x_n/2)} |F(y)|^\eta y_n^{-(n-1)} dy_n dy' dz' \right)^{1/\eta} \\ &\leq C x_n^{-(n-2) + \frac{n}{\eta'}} \|F\|_{T^{\tau, \eta}} |E(B_{x_n/2}(x') \times (0, x_n/2))|^{\frac{\tau-\eta}{\tau\eta}} \\ &\leq C x_n^{\frac{2-n-1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{\eta}} \|F\|_{T^{\tau, \eta}}. \end{aligned}$$

where we have utilized Hölder's inequality in order to derive the third and fifth bounds in the above chain of estimates and  $\frac{1}{\eta'} + \frac{1}{\eta} = 1$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} |J_2| &\leq \int_{B_{x_n}(x')} \int_{x_n/2}^{2x_n} |G_{ij}(x, y)| |F_j(y)| dy \\ &\leq C \int_{B_{x_n}(x')} \int_{x_n/2}^{2x_n} |x - y|^{-(n-2)} |F_j(y)| dy \\ &\leq C \sup_{y_n > 0} y_n^{\frac{n-1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\eta}} \|F(\cdot, y_n)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \int_{B_{x_n}(x')} \int_{x_n/2}^{2x_n} \frac{y_n^{-\frac{1}{\eta} - \frac{n-1}{\tau}} dy_n dy'}{[|x' - y'|^2 + (x_n - y_n)^2]^{(n-2)/2}} \\ &\leq C x_n^{-\frac{1}{\eta} - \frac{n-1}{\tau}} \|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}} \int_{B_{x_n}(x')} \int_{x_n/2}^{2x_n} |x' - y'|^{-(n-2)} dy_n dy' \\ &\leq C x_n^{1 - \frac{1}{\eta} - \frac{n-1}{\tau}} \|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}} \int_{B_{x_n}(x')} |x' - y'|^{-(n-2)} dy \\ &\leq C x_n^{\frac{2-1}{\eta} - \frac{n-1}{\tau}} \|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as above, by Lemma 2.2 and Hölder's inequality, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |J_3| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus B_{x_n}(x')} \int_0^{2x_n} |G_{ij}(x, y)| |F_j(y)| dy \\ &\leq C x_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus B_{x_n}(x')} \int_0^{2x_n} |x - y|^{-(n-1)} |F_j(y)| dy \\ &\leq C x_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^k B_{x_n}(x') \setminus 2^{k-1} B_{x_n}(x')} \int_0^{2x_n} |x - y|^{-(n-1)} |F_j(y)| dy \\ &\leq C x_n^{2-n+n/\eta'} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-(k-1)(n-1) + \frac{(n-1)k}{\eta'}} \left( \int_{2^k B_{x_n}(x')} \int_0^{2x_n} |F_j(y)|^\eta dy_n dy' \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C x_n^{2-\frac{n}{\eta}+\frac{(n-1)(\tau-\eta)}{\tau\eta}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left( \iint_{\Gamma(z')} |F_j(y)|^\eta \frac{dy}{y_n^{n-1}} \right)^{\tau/\eta} dz' \right]^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{k(n-1)}{\tau}} \right) \\
&\leq C x_n^{2-\frac{1}{\eta}-\frac{n-1}{\tau}} \|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau,\eta}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Again, by using the Green matrix bound (2.14), we bound  $J_4$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_4| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{2x_n}^{\infty} |G_{ij}(x, y)| |F_j(y)| dy \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{2x_n}^{\infty} \frac{x_n y_n |F_j(y)|}{|x-y|^n} dy \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{2x_n}^{\infty} \frac{x_n y_n |F_j(y)| dy_n dy'}{[|x'-y'|^2 + y_n^2]^{\frac{n}{2}}} \\
&\leq C \sup_{x_n > 0} x_n^{\frac{1}{\eta} + \frac{n-1}{\tau}} \|F(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \left( \int_{2x_n}^{\infty} x_n y_n^{-\frac{1}{\eta} - \frac{n-1}{\tau}} dy_n \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{dz'}{[|z'|^2 + 1]^{\frac{n}{2}}} \right) \\
&\leq C x_n^{2-\frac{1}{\eta}-\frac{n-1}{\tau}} \|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau,\eta}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same vein, we establish the weighted gradient sup-norm estimate

$$(2.25) \quad \sup_{x_n > 0} x_n^{q/(q-1)} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \nabla_y G(\cdot, x_n, y) H(y) dy \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq C \|H\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\Lambda,\sigma}}.$$

Decompose the solid integral in the above estimate into four parts to get

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 &= \int_{B_{x_n}(x')} \int_0^{x_n/2} \nabla_y G(x, y) H(y) dy, \quad L_2 = \int_{B_{x_n}(x')} \int_{x_n/2}^{2x_n} \nabla_y G(x, y) H(y) dy, \\
L_3 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus B_{x_n}(x')} \int_0^{2x_n} \nabla_y G(x, y) H(y) dy, \quad L_4 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{2x_n}^{\infty} \nabla_y G(x, y) H(y) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Utilizing (2.15) and Hölder's inequality, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
|L_1| &\leq \int_{B_{x_n}(x')} \int_0^{x_n/2} |\nabla_y G(x, y)| |H(y)| dy \\
&\leq C \int_{B_{x_n}(x')} \int_0^{x_n/2} \frac{|H(y', y_n)|}{(|x'-y'|^2 + (x_n - y_n)^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} dy_n dy' \\
&\leq C x_n^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}-\frac{n-1}{\Lambda}} \| \mathcal{A}_\sigma H \|_{L^\Lambda(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
&\leq C x_n^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}-\frac{n-1}{\Lambda}} \|H\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\Lambda,\sigma}}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, noticing that  $|\nabla G_{ij}(x, \cdot)|$  belongs to the weak-Lebesgue space  $L^{\frac{n}{n-1}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  uniformly for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
|L_2| &\leq \int_{B_{x_n}(x')} \int_{x_n/2}^{2x_n} |\nabla_y G(x, y) H(y)| dy \\
&\leq C \sup_{x_n > 0} x_n^{\frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{n-1}{\Lambda}} \|H(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \int_{B_{x_n}(x')} \int_{x_n/2}^{2x_n} y_n^{-\frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{n-1}{\Lambda}} |\nabla_y G(x, y)| dy_n dy' \\
&\leq C x_n^{-\frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{n-1}{\Lambda}} \sup_{x_n > 0} x_n^{\frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{n-1}{\Lambda}} \|H(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \|\nabla_y G(x, \cdot)\|_{L^1(B_{x_n}(x') \times [x_n/2, 2x_n])} \\
&\leq C x_n^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}-\frac{n-1}{\Lambda}} \|H\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\Lambda,\sigma}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall here that for any  $p > 1$  the belonging of  $f$  to  $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  is equivalent to the condition

$$\sup_{E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |E|^{1/p-1} \int_E |f(y)| dy < \infty$$

where the supremum runs over all open set  $E$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . We argue as before to bound  $L_3$

$$\begin{aligned} |L_3| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus B_{x_n}(x')} \int_0^{2x_n} |\nabla_y G(x, y) H(y)| dy_n dy' \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^k B_{x_n}(x') \setminus 2^{k-1} B_{x_n}(x')} \int_0^{2x_n} |\nabla_y G(x, y)| |H(y)| dy_n dy' \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^k B_{x_n}(x') \setminus 2^{k-1} B_{x_n}(x')} \int_0^{2x_n} |x - y|^{-n+1} |H(y)| dy_n dy' \\ &\leq C x_n^{1-\frac{n}{\sigma}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-(n-1)k + \frac{k(n-1)}{\sigma'}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \iint_{\Gamma(z') \cap [2^k B_{x_n}(x') \times (0, 2x_n)]} |H(y)|^\sigma \frac{dy_n dy'}{y_n^{n-1}} dz' \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \\ &\leq C x_n^{-(n-1) + \frac{n}{\sigma} + \frac{(\Lambda-\sigma)}{\Lambda\sigma} n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-(k-1)(n-1) + \frac{k(n-1)}{\sigma'} + k(n-1) \frac{(\Lambda-\sigma)}{\sigma\Lambda}} \|\mathcal{A}_\sigma H\|_{L^\Lambda(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\ &\leq C x_n^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{n-1}{\Lambda}} \|H\|_{T^{\Lambda,\sigma}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{(n-1)}{\Lambda} k} \\ &\leq C x_n^{2-\frac{1}{\eta} - \frac{n-1}{\tau}} \|H\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\Lambda,\sigma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, observe that for  $y_n > 2x_n$ , we have  $y_n - x_n > \frac{1}{2}y_n$  so that by the third bound in (2.15), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |L_4| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{2x_n}^{\infty} |\nabla_y G(x, y)| |H(y)| dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{2x_n}^{\infty} \frac{x_n |H(y)| dy_n dy'}{[|x' - y'|^2 + (x_n - y_n)^2]^{\frac{n}{2}}} \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{2x_n}^{\infty} \frac{x_n |H(y)| dy_n dy'}{[|x' - y'|^2 + y_n^2]^{\frac{n}{2}}} \\ &\leq C \sup_{x_n > 0} x_n^{\frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{n-1}{\Lambda}} \|H(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{dy'}{(|y'|^2 + 1)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \right) \left( \int_{2x_n}^{\infty} x_n y_n^{-\frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{n-1}{\Lambda} - 1} dy_n \right) \\ &\leq C x_n^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{n-1}{\Lambda}} \|H\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\Lambda,\sigma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up all the above inequalities, one obtains (2.25). Next, we show that

$$(2.26) \quad \|\mathcal{G}(F, H)\|_{T^{nq(q-1),q}} \leq C(\|F\|_{T^{\tau,\eta}} + \|H\|_{T^{\Lambda,\sigma}}).$$

Write

$$\|\mathcal{G}(F, H)\|_{T^{p,q}} \leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G(\cdot, y) F(y) dy \right\|_{T^{p,q}} + \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \nabla_y G(\cdot, y) H(y) dy \right\|_{T^{p,q}} := I + II.$$

Fix  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and  $y_n > 0$  and let's decompose  $F \in L_{loc}^\eta(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  into three parts

$$F = F \mathbf{1}_{B_{4y_n}(x') \times (0, 4y_n]} + F \mathbf{1}_{B_{4y_n}(x') \times (4y_n, \infty)} + F \mathbf{1}_{(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus B_{4y_n}(x')) \times (0, \infty)} = F_1 + F_2 + F_3$$



and write

$$I := \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3, \quad \Sigma_i = \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G(\cdot, y) F_i(y) dy \right\|_{T^{p,q}}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

We control  $\Sigma_3$  using the following

**Claim 2.1.** *For all  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and  $y_n > 0$ , there exists  $C > 0$  independent on  $x'$  and  $y_n$  such that*

$$A(x', y_n) \leq CG_2 \left( \int_{B_{y_n}(\cdot)} |F(z', \cdot)| dz' \right) (x', y_n).$$

Here,

$$A(x', y_n) = \left( \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G(y, z) F_3(z) dz \right|^q dy' \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (x', y_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x', y_n) &\leq \left( \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |G(y, z)| |F_3(z)| dz \right)^q dy' \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} \left( \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus B_{4y_n}(x')} \frac{|F(z', z_n)| dz' dz_n}{(|y' - z'|^2 + |y_n - z_n|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \right)^q dy' \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} \left( \int_0^\infty \int_{\{|x' - z'| > 4y_n\}} \frac{|F(z', z_n)| dz' dz_n}{(|y' - z'|^2 + |y_n - z_n|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \int_{B_{y_n}(z')} dw \right)^q dy' \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} \left( \int_0^\infty \int_{\{|x' - w| > 3y_n\}} \int_{B_{y_n}(w)} \frac{|F(z', z_n)| dz' dw dz_n}{(|y' - z'|^2 + |y_n - z_n|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \right)^q dy' \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} \left( \int_0^\infty \int_{\{|x' - w| > 3y_n\}} (|x' - w|^2 + |y_n - z_n|^2)^{\frac{-(n-2)}{2}} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \int_{B_{y_n}(w)} \left[ \frac{(|x' - w|^2 + |y_n - z_n|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{(|y' - z'|^2 + |y_n - z_n|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} |F(z', z_n)| dz' dw dz_n \right]^q dy' \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} \left( \int_0^\infty \int_{\{|x' - w| > 3y_n\}} (|x' - w|^2 + |y_n - z_n|^2)^{\frac{-(n-2)}{2}} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \int_{B_{y_n}(w)} |F(z', z_n)| dz' dw dz_n \right)^q dy' \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C \int_0^\infty \int_{\{|x' - w| > 3y_n\}} (|x' - w|^2 + |y_n - z_n|^2)^{\frac{-(n-2)}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{y_n}(w)} |F(z', z_n)| dz' \right) dw dz_n \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} (|x' - w|^2 + |y_n - z_n|^2)^{\frac{-(n-2)}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{y_n}(w)} |F(z', z_n)| dz' \right) dw dz_n \\ &\leq CG_2 \left( \int_{B_{y_n}(\cdot)} |F(z', \cdot)| dz' \right) (x', y_n). \end{aligned}$$

□

Applying Lemma 2.5 and Jensen's inequality, the above claim clearly implies that

$$\Sigma_3 = \|A\|_{L^p L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C \left\| G_2 \left( \int_{B_{y_n}(\cdot)} |F(z', \cdot)| dz' \right) \right\|_{L^p L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \left\| \int_{B_{y_n}(\cdot)} |F(z', y_n)| dz' \right\|_{L^\tau L^\eta(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\ &\leq C \|F\|_{T^{\tau, \eta}}. \end{aligned}$$

To bound  $\Sigma_2$ , we first observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G(y, z) F_2(z) dz \right| &\leq C y_n \mathcal{A}_\eta F(x') \left( \int_{4y_n}^\infty \int_{B_{4y_n}(x')} \frac{z_n^{\frac{n-1}{\eta-1}} dz' dz_n}{(|y' - z'|^2 + |y_n - z_n|^2)^{\frac{(n-1)\eta'}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta'}} \\ (2.27) \quad &\leq C y_n^{2-\frac{1}{\eta}} \mathcal{A}_\eta F(x'), \quad x' \in B(y', y_n). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, this inequality also implies the pointwise bound

$$(2.28) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G(y, z) F_2(z) dz \right| \leq C y_n^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} \|\mathcal{A}_\eta F\|_{L^\tau(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}, \quad y' \in B(x', y_n).$$

Let  $M > 0$  to be determined later. Using (2.27) and (2.28), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G(y, z) F_2(z) dz \right|^q dy' dy_n &\leq \int_0^M \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G(y, z) F_2(z) dz \right|^q dy' dy_n + \\ &\quad \int_M^\infty \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G(y, z) F_2(z) dz \right|^q dy' dy_n \\ &\leq C M^{1+(2-\frac{1}{\eta})q} [\mathcal{A}_\eta F(x')]^q + M^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} \|F\|_{T^{\tau, \eta}}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Optimizing this inequality with respect to  $M$ , that is taking  $M = \left( \frac{\|F\|_{T^{\tau, \eta}}}{\mathcal{A}_\eta F(x')} \right)^{\frac{\tau}{n-1}}$ , we arrive at

$$\left( \int_0^\infty \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G(y, z) F_2(z) dz \right|^q dy' dy_n \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \|F\|_{T^{\tau, \eta}}^{\frac{1-\frac{\tau}{q(n-1)(q-1)}}{q(n-1)(q-1)}} [\mathcal{A}_\eta F(x')]^{\frac{\tau}{q(n-1)(q-1)}}.$$

Taking the  $L^p$ -norm on both sides of the inequality, we conclude that

$$\Sigma_2 \leq C \|F\|_{T^{\tau, \eta}}.$$

Finally, estimating  $\Sigma_1$  goes through a duality argument. Let  $r = (n-1)(q-1)$  and  $\varphi \in L^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ ,  $\varphi \geq 0$  and define the operator  $M_t$ ,  $t > 0$  by

$$M_t \varphi(x') = t^{-(n-1)} \int_{B_t(x')} \varphi(y') dy', \quad t > 0.$$

If  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the duality bracket between  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  and its dual  $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \mathcal{A}_q^q \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G(\cdot, z) F_1(z) dz \right], \varphi \right\rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G(y, z) F_1(z) dz \right|^q \frac{dy' dy_n}{y_n^{n-1}} \varphi(x') dx' \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \int_{B_{y_n}(y')} \varphi(x') dx' [G_2 |F|(y', y_n)]^q dy' dy_n \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty [G_2 |F|(y', y_n)]^q M_{y_n} \varphi(y') dy' dy_n \\ &\leq C \|G_2 F\|_{L^p L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^q \|M_\cdot \varphi\|_{L^{r'} L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\ &\leq C \|G_2 F\|_{L^p L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^q \|\mathcal{M} \varphi\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.5, the fact that  $\int_0^\infty |F(y', y_n)|^q dy' \leq \liminf_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} [\mathcal{A}_q^\alpha F(y')]^q$  (which is a consequence of the Lebesgue differentiation Theorem and Fatou lemma), the boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function in Lebesgue spaces successively, we obtain

$$\left\langle \mathcal{A}_q^q \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G(\cdot, z) F_1(z) dz \right], \varphi \right\rangle \leq \|F\|_{T^{\tau, \eta}}^q \|\varphi\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \quad \forall \varphi \in L^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}),$$

from which it plainly follows that

$$\Sigma_1 \leq C \|F\|_{T^{\tau, \eta}}.$$

We equally estimate  $II$  splitting  $H$  into three components exactly as before and follow the same procedure (details are left to the interested reader). This yields

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \nabla_y G(\cdot, y) H(y) dy \right\|_{T^{p, q}} \leq C \|H\|_{T^{\Lambda, \sigma}}.$$

Summarizing, we see that (2.23) holds true. This finishes Step 1.

**Step 2.** The estimate

$$(2.29) \quad \|\Psi(F, H)\|_{\mathbf{Z}^q} \leq C (\|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}} + \|H\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\Lambda, \sigma}})$$

for all  $F \in \mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}$  and  $H \in \mathbf{Y}^{\Lambda, \sigma}$ . We have

$$\|\Psi(F, H)\|_{T_{s_0}^{p, q}} \leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} g(\cdot, y) F(y) dy \right\|_{T_{s_0}^{p, q}} + \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \nabla_y g(\cdot, y) H(y) dy \right\|_{T_{s_0}^{p, q}} := III + IV.$$

Let  $F_1, F_2$  and  $F_3$  as above and write correspondingly

$$III \leq III_1 + III_2 + III_3, \quad III_i = \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} g(\cdot, y) F_i(y) dy \right\|_{T_{s_0}^{p, q}}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Since (see proof of Claim 2.1)

$$\left( \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} g(y, z) F_3(z) dz \right|^q dy' \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c G_1 \left( \int_{B_{y_n}(\cdot)} |F(z', \cdot)| dz' \right) (x', y_n), \quad (x', y_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

Now let  $\tau < r < \infty$  such that  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{n-1} \leq \frac{1}{\tau}$ . Invoking (2.21) with  $\beta = 1$  and  $b = (n-1)(1/\tau - 1/r)$  together with Jensen's inequality we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} III_3 &\leq C \left\| \left\| G_1 \left( \int_{B_{y_n}(\cdot)} |F(z', \cdot)| dz' \right) \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, y_n^q dy_n)} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left( \int_0^\infty \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} |y_n^b F(z', y_n)|^\eta dz' dy_n \right)^{\frac{r}{\eta}} dx' \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq C \|F\|_{T^{\tau, \eta}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from the embedding (1.7) (with  $s_1 = 0$ ,  $s_2 = -\frac{b}{n-1}$ ,  $q = \eta$ ,  $p_1 = \tau$  and  $p_2 = r$ ). Moving on, we use (2.16) and Hölder's inequality to get the pointwise bound

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} g(y, z) F_2(z) dz \right| &\leq C |G_1 F_2(y)| \\ &\leq C \mathcal{A}_\eta F(x') \left( \int_{4y_n}^\infty \int_{B_{4y_n}(x')} \frac{z_n^{\frac{n-1}{\eta-1}} dz' dz_n}{(|y' - z'|^2 + |y_n - z_n|^2)^{\frac{(n-1)\eta'}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta}} \\ (2.30) \quad &\leq C y_n^{1-\frac{1}{\eta}} \mathcal{A}_\eta F(x'), \quad x' \in B_{y_n}(y') \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$(2.31) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} g(y, z) F_2(z) dz \right| \leq C y_n^{-\frac{q}{q-1}} \|\mathcal{A}_\eta F\|_{L^\tau(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}, \quad y' \in B(x', y_n).$$

Therefore, for  $M > 0$  to be determined later, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} y_n^q \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} g(y, z) F_2(z) dz \right|^q dy' dy_n &\leq \int_0^M \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} y_n^q \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} g(y, z) F_2(z) dz \right|^q dy' dy_n + \\ &\quad \int_M^\infty \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} y_n^q \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} g(y, z) F_2(z) dz \right|^q dy' dy_n \\ &\leq CM^{1+(2-\frac{1}{\eta})q} [\mathcal{A}_\eta F(x')]^q + M^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} \|F\|_{T^{\tau, \eta}}^q. \end{aligned}$$

The choice  $M = \left( \frac{\|F\|_{T^{\tau, \eta}}}{\mathcal{A}_\eta F(x')} \right)^{\frac{\tau}{n-1}}$  yields the bound

$$\left( \int_0^\infty \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} y_n^q \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} g(y, z) F_2(z) dz \right|^q dy' dy_n \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \|F\|_{T^{\tau, \eta}}^{1-\frac{\tau}{(n-1)q(q-1)}} [\mathcal{A}_\eta F(x')]^{\frac{\tau}{(n-1)q(q-1)}}.$$

Hence, (after taking the  $L^p$ -norm on both sides of the previous inequality)

$$III_2 \leq C \|F\|_{T^{\tau, \eta}}.$$

We also claim that

$$III_1 \leq C \|F\|_{T^{\tau, \eta}}.$$

In fact, setting  $VF(y, y_n) = y_n \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} g(y, z) F_1(z) dz$ , for all  $\phi \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \mathcal{A}_q^q(VF), \phi \right\rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} |VF_1(y', y_n)|^q \frac{dy' dy_n}{y_n^{n-1}} \phi(x') dx' \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \int_{B_{y_n}(y')} \phi(x') dx' [y_n(G_1|F|)](y', y_n)^q dy' dy_n \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty [y_n(G_1|F|)](y', y_n)^q M_{y_n} \phi(y') dy' dy_n \\ &\leq C \left\| (y', y_n) \mapsto y_n G_1|F| \right\|_{L^p L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^q \|M \cdot \phi\|_{L^{r'} L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\ &\leq C \|F\|_{T^{m, \eta}}^q \|\mathcal{M}\phi\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\ &\quad -\frac{b}{n-1} \\ &\leq C \|F\|_{T^{\tau, \eta}}^q \|\phi\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the penultimate inequality follows from Remark 2.2 with  $m \in (1, r)$  such that  $\frac{1}{\tau} \leq \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n-1}$  and  $b = (n-1)(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{m})$  while the last bound comes from (1.7). Collecting and summing up all the estimates on the  $\Sigma_i$ 's, we find that

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} g(\cdot, y) F(y) dy \right\|_{T^{p, q}} \leq C \|F\|_{T^{\tau, \eta}}.$$

The remaining estimate reads

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \nabla_y g(\cdot, y) H(y) dy \right\|_{T^{p, q}} \leq C \|H\|_{T^{\lambda, \sigma}}.$$

The argument used here is similar to the previous one. In fact, for  $(y', y_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  we write

$$y_n \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \nabla_z g(y, z) H(z) dz \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^3 \Gamma_k(y', y_n),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1(y', y_n) &= y_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus B_{4y_n}(y')} \int_0^\infty |\nabla_z g(y, z)| |H(z)| dz \\ \Gamma_2(y', y_n) &= y_n \int_{B_{4y_n}(y')} \int_{4y_n}^\infty |\nabla_z g(y, z)| |H(z)| dz \\ \Gamma_3(y', y_n) &= y_n \int_{B_{4y_n}(y')} \int_0^{4y_n} |\nabla_z g(y, z)| |H(z)| dz. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $|\Gamma_1(y', y_n)| \leq G_1 H(y', y_n)$  for any  $(y', y_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Then, by Step 1 and in particular (2.26), we deduce the desired estimate. Next, we show that

$$(2.32) \quad \|\Gamma_2\|_{T^{p,q}} \leq C \|H\|_{T^{\Lambda,\sigma}}.$$

To achieve this, let us primarily observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_2(y', y_n)| &\leq C y_n \mathcal{A}_\sigma^{\frac{5}{4}} H(x') \left( \int_{4y_n}^\infty \int_{B_{4y_n}(x')} \frac{z_n^{\frac{n-1}{\sigma-1}} dz' dz_n}{(|y' - z'|^2 + |y_n - z_n|^2)^{\frac{n\sigma'}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma'}} \\ &\leq C y_n^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}} \mathcal{A}_\sigma^{\frac{5}{4}} H(x'), \quad x' \in B(y', y_n). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the  $\Lambda$ -power of both sides of the last inequality and integrating with respect to the variable  $x'$  leads to

$$|\Gamma_2(y', y_n)| \leq C y_n^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}-\frac{n-1}{\Lambda}} \|\mathcal{A}_\sigma^{\frac{5}{4}} H\|_{L^\Lambda(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq C \|H\|_{T^{\Lambda,\sigma}}, \quad y' \in B(x', y_n).$$

Let  $\delta > 0$ . The preceding inequalities imply

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} |\Gamma_2(y', y_n)|^q dy' dy_n &\leq \left( \int_0^\delta + \int_\delta^\infty \right) \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} |\Gamma_2(y', y_n)|^q dy' dy_n \\ &\leq C \delta^{1+\frac{q}{\sigma'}} [\mathcal{A}_\sigma H(x')]^q + \delta^{1-\left(\frac{n-1}{\Lambda}-\frac{1}{\sigma'}\right)q} \|H\|_{T^{\Lambda,\sigma}}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Optimizing with respect to  $\delta$  (i.e. choosing  $\delta = \left( \|H\|_{T^{\Lambda,\sigma}} / \mathcal{A}_\sigma^{\frac{5}{4}} H(x') \right)^{\frac{\Lambda}{n-1}}$ ) yields

$$\left( \int_0^\infty \int_{B_{y_n}(x')} |\Gamma_2(y', y_n)|^q dy' dy_n \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \|H\|_{T^{\Lambda,\sigma}}^{\frac{\Lambda}{n-1}(1+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{\sigma})} [\mathcal{A}_\sigma^{\frac{5}{4}} H(x')]^{1-\frac{\Lambda}{n-1}(1+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{\sigma})}.$$

Taking the  $L^p$ -norm on both sides and using Remark 1.1 gives (2.32). Finally, one claims that the  $T^{p,q}$ -norm of  $\Gamma_3$  is controlled from above by a constant multiple of  $\|H\|_{T^{\Lambda,\sigma}}$ . This is derived from a simple duality argument in the same fashion as before. The proof of Proposition 2.1 is now complete.

We can now summarize the findings obtained above into a single theorem establishing the well-posedness of System (S) for boundary data in the scale of Triebel-Lizorkin space with negative amount of smoothness. We say that a pair  $(u, \pi)$  is a solution to (S) if  $u$  and  $\pi$  satisfy the relations

$$(2.33) \quad u(x) = \mathcal{H}f(x) + \mathcal{G}(F, H)(x), \quad \pi(x) = \mathcal{E}f(x) + \Psi(F, H)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that the positive numbers  $\eta, \tau, \sigma, \Lambda$  and  $q$  are as in Proposition 2.1. Then for any  $f \in [\dot{F}_{p,q}^{-1/q}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})]^n$ ,  $F \in \mathbf{Y}^{\tau,\eta}$  and  $H \in \mathbf{Y}^{\Lambda,\sigma}$ , the Stokes system **(S)** has a solution  $(u, \pi) \in \mathbf{X}^q \times \mathbf{Z}^q$  (in the sense made precise in (2.33)) and the following estimate holds:*

$$(2.34) \quad \|u\|_{\mathbf{X}^q} + \|\pi\|_{\mathbf{Z}^q} \leq C(\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^{-1/q}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} + \|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau,\eta}} + \|H\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\Lambda,\sigma}})$$

for some constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $f, F$  and  $H$ .

*Remark 2.3.* Practically, Theorem 2.1 can easily be extended to the case where the vector field  $u$  is not necessarily solenoidal, i.e.  $\operatorname{div} u = \phi$  using the formulation derived in [28, formula 2.32], see also [5] so that our result gives an alternative approach to the Dirichlet problem for the Stokes system (to be compared to [3] and [8] wherein the analysis is carried out in weighed Sobolev spaces and Lebesgue spaces, respectively). These estimates of the velocity field and the pressure in tent and weighted tent framework respectively against boundary data in low regularity spaces are new and generalize well-known results. In fact, our boundary class  $\dot{F}_{p,q}^{-1/q}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  contains the homogeneous Sobolev space  $\dot{H}^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  for  $-1/q < s$  and  $\frac{n-1}{r} - s = \frac{1}{q-1}$ .

### 3. PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS

The proof of Theorem 1.1 can easily be deduced from that of Theorem 1.2. Hence, only the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 will be given and in the process, one essentially relies on preliminary results obtained in Section 2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $f \in [\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 2(n-1)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})]^n$  with  $n > 2$  and assume  $F \in \mathbf{Y}^{\tau,\eta}$  for  $1 < \eta < \tau < \infty$  with  $\frac{1}{\eta} + \frac{n-1}{\tau} = 3$ . Equip the Banach space  $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Z}$  by the norm  $\|\cdot\| := \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{Z}}$  and introduce the operators  $\mathcal{L}$  defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(u, \pi) = (\mathcal{H}f + \mathcal{G}[F, u \otimes u], \mathcal{E}(f) + \Psi[F, u \otimes u])$$

where  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  are given by (2.4). A solution of **(NS)**-(1.1) according to Definition 2.33 is a couple  $(u, \pi)$  satisfying the fixed point equation

$$(3.1) \quad (u, \pi) = \mathcal{L}(u, \pi) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

Using a Banach fixed point argument, we wish to show that the latter equation admits a solution in  $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Z}$ . Assume that  $(u, \pi)$  and  $(v, \pi')$  in  $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Z}$  satisfy (3.1) and use Proposition 2.1 with  $q = 2$ ,  $(\Lambda, \sigma) = (n-1, 1)$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}(u, \pi) - \mathcal{L}(v, \pi')\| &= \|\mathcal{G}(0, u \otimes u - v \otimes v)\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \|\Psi(0, u \otimes u - v \otimes v)\|_{\mathbf{Z}} \\ &\leq C\|u \otimes u - v \otimes v\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{n-1,1}} \\ &\leq C(\|u \otimes (u-v)\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{n-1,1}} + \|(u-v) \otimes v\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{n-1,1}}) \\ &\leq C(\sup_{x_n > 0} x_n^2 \| [u \otimes (u-v)](\cdot, x_n) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} + \|u \otimes (u-v)\|_{T^{n-1,1}} + \\ &\quad \sup_{x_n > 0} x_n^2 \| [(u-v) \otimes v](\cdot, x_n) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} + \|(u-v) \otimes v\|_{T^{n-1,1}}) \\ &\leq C(\sup_{x_n > 0} x_n \|u(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \sup_{x_n > 0} x_n \|(u-v)(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} + \\ &\quad \sup_{x_n > 0} x_n \|(u-v)(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \sup_{x_n > 0} x_n \|v(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} + \\ &\quad \|u\|_{T^{2(n-1),2}} \|u-v\|_{T^{2(n-1),2}} + \|u-v\|_{T^{2(n-1),2}} \|v\|_{T^{2(n-1),2}}) \\ (3.2) \quad &\leq C\|u-v\|_{\mathbf{X}} (\|u\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \|v\|_{\mathbf{X}}). \end{aligned}$$

In light of Lemma 2.1 (applied with  $q = 2$ ) we find that

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}(u, \pi)\| &\leq K(\|u\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 + \|\mathcal{H}f\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \|\mathcal{G}[F, 0]\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \|\mathcal{E}(f)\|_{\mathbf{Z}} + \|\Psi[F, 0]\|_{\mathbf{Z}}) \\ &\leq K(\|u\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{-1/2, 2(n-1)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} + \|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}}). \end{aligned}$$

Now pick  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{-1/2, 2(n-1)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} + \|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}} \leq \varepsilon$ . If  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small, then it readily follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that  $\mathcal{L}$  has a unique fixed point in a closed ball of  $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Z}$  centered at the origin with radius  $c\varepsilon$  for some  $c > 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Let  $2 < q < \infty$  and put  $p = (n-1)q(q-1)$ . Further let  $1 < \eta_1 < \tau_1 < \infty$  and  $1 < \sigma < \Lambda$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{1}{\eta_1} + \frac{n-1}{\tau_1} = 1 + \frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{n-1}{\Lambda} = 2 + \frac{1}{q-1}.$$

Assume  $f \in \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 2(n-1)} \cap \dot{F}_{p, q}^{-\frac{1}{q}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  and  $F \in \mathbf{Y}^{\tau_1, \eta_1} \cap \mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}$ . We remark that the solution found above may be realized as the unique limit in  $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Z}$  of the following sequence of approximations given by

$$(u_1, \pi_1) = (\mathcal{H}(f), \mathcal{E}(f)); (u_{j+1}, \pi_{j+1}) = (\mathcal{G}[F, u_j \otimes u_j] + u_1, \Psi[F, u_j \otimes u_j] + \pi_1), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Each element of this sequence belongs to  $\mathbf{X}^q \times \mathbf{Z}^q$ . In fact, since  $(u_1, \pi_1) \in \mathbf{X}^q \times \mathbf{Z}^q$  (see Lemma 2.1) one may proceed via an induction argument to prove the claim. Choose  $(\sigma, \Lambda)$  such that  $\frac{1}{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{q}$ ,  $\frac{1}{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} + \frac{1}{p}$  and invoke Proposition 2.1, Hölder's inequality in tent spaces simultaneously to have for each  $j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_{j+1}, \pi_{j+1})\|_{\mathbf{X}^q \times \mathbf{Z}^q} &= \|\mathcal{G}[F, u_j \otimes u_j] + u_1\|_{\mathbf{X}^q} + \|\Psi[F, u_j \otimes u_j] + \pi_1\|_{\mathbf{Z}^q} \\ &\leq C(\|u_1\|_{\mathbf{X}^q} + \|\mathcal{G}[F, u_j \otimes u_j]\|_{\mathbf{X}^q} + \|\pi_1\|_{\mathbf{Z}^q} + \|\Psi[F, u_j \otimes u_j]\|_{\mathbf{Z}^q}) \\ &\leq C(\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p, q}^{-\frac{1}{q}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} + \|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau_1, \eta_1}} + \|u_j \otimes u_j\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\Lambda, \sigma}}) \\ &\leq C(\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p, q}^{-\frac{1}{q}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} + \|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau_1, \eta_1}} + \|u_j\|_{T^{2(n-1), 2}} \|u_j\|_{T^{p, q}} + \\ &\quad \sup_{x_n > 0} x_n^{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{n-1}{\Lambda}} \|u_j \otimes u_j(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}) \\ &\leq C(\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p, q}^{-\frac{1}{q}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} + \|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau_1, \eta_1}} + \sup_{x_n > 0} x_n^{\frac{1}{q} - 1} \|u_j(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \cdot \\ &\quad \sup_{x_n > 0} x_n \|u_j(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} + \|u_j\|_{T^{2(n-1), 2}} \|u_j\|_{T^{p, q}}) \\ &\leq C(\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p, q}^{-\frac{1}{q}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} + \|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau_1, \eta_1}} + \|u_j\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|u_j\|_{\mathbf{X}^q}) \end{aligned}$$

so that if  $(u_j, \pi_j) \in \mathbf{X}^q \times \mathbf{Z}^q$ , then so is  $(u_{j+1}, \pi_{j+1})$ . Next, we show that the latter sequence is Cauchy in  $\mathbf{X}^q \times \mathbf{Z}^q$ . We estimate  $(w_j, q_j) = (u_{j+1} - u_j, \pi_{j+1} - \pi_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(w_j, q_j)\|_{\mathbf{X}^q \times \mathbf{Z}^q} &= \|\mathcal{G}[0, u_j \otimes u_j - u_{j-1} \otimes u_{j-1}]\|_{\mathbf{X}^q} + \|\Psi[0, u_j \otimes u_j - u_{j-1} \otimes u_{j-1}]\|_{\mathbf{Z}^q} \\ &\leq c\|u_j \otimes u_j - u_{j-1} \otimes u_{j-1}\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\Lambda, \eta}} \\ &\leq c\|u_j \otimes w_{j-1} + w_{j-1} \otimes u_{j-1}\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\Lambda, \eta}} \\ &\leq c\|w_{j-1}\|_{\mathbf{X}^q} (\|u_j\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \|u_{j-1}\|_{\mathbf{X}}) \\ &\leq c\|(w_{j-1}, q_{j-1})\|_{\mathbf{X}^q \times \mathbf{Z}^q} (\|u_j\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \|u_{j-1}\|_{\mathbf{X}}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be as in Theorem 1.2 and take  $0 < \varepsilon_q < \varepsilon$ . If  $\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 2(n-1)}} + \|F\|_{\mathbf{Y}^{\tau, \eta}} \leq \varepsilon_q$ , then the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 shows that  $\|u_j\|_{\mathbf{X}} \leq 2\kappa_q$ ,  $\kappa_q = \kappa_q(\varepsilon_q)$ . Whence,

$$\|(w_j, q_j)\|_{\mathbf{X}^q \times \mathbf{Z}^q} \leq C\kappa_q \|(w_{j-1}, q_{j-1})\|_{\mathbf{X}^q \times \mathbf{Z}^q}.$$



With  $\varepsilon_q > 0$  chosen sufficiently small with  $C\kappa_q < 1$ , a simple iteration of the previous inequality yields

$$\|(w_j, q_j)\|_{\mathbf{X}^q \times \mathbf{Z}^q} \leq (C\kappa_q)^{j-1} \|(w_1, q_1)\|_{\mathbf{X}^q \times \mathbf{Z}^q}$$

thus implying the convergence of the sequence  $(w_j, q_j)$  in  $\mathbf{X}^q \times \mathbf{Z}^q$ . The limit of this sequence solves (3.1) and by uniqueness, it is the same as the solution constructed in Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

#### 4. APPENDIX

Here we sketch the proof of Lemma 1.1. Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$  be a compact set. Then by Lemma 2.3 we know that  $E(K) = \{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : K \cap \Gamma(x') \neq \emptyset\}$  has a finite Lebesgue measure. Let us denote by  $\mathbf{1}_K$  the characteristic function of the compact set  $K$ . If  $p \leq q$ , then via Hölder's inequality, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{1}_K f\|_{T^{p,q}} &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left( \iint_{\Gamma(x')} \mathbf{1}_K |f|^q y_n^{1-n} dy' dy_n dx' \right)^{p/q} dx' \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left( \int_{E(K)} \left( \iint_{\Gamma(x')} |f|^q y_n^{1-n} dy' dy_n dx' \right)^{p/q} dx' \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{E(K)} \iint_{\Gamma(x')} |f|^q y_n^{1-n} dy' dy_n dx' \right)^{1/q} |E(K)|^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq |E(K)|^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \iint_{\Gamma(x') \cap K} |f|^q y_n^{1-n} dy' dy_n dx' \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq |E(K)|^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{L^q(K)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moving on, for  $q < p$ , applying Minkowski's inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{1}_K f\|_{T^{p,q}} &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left( \iint_{\Gamma(x')} \mathbf{1}_K |f|^q y_n^{1-n} dy' dy_n \right)^{p/q} dx' \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{B_{y_n}(y')}(x') \mathbf{1}_K(y', y_n) |f|^q y_n^{1-n} dy_n dy' \right)^{p/q} dx' \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C_K \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_K |f|^q dy' dy_n dx' \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C_K \|f\|_{L^q(K)}. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that  $p \leq q$ , we use Lemma 2.2 and Minkowski's inequality simultaneously to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^q(K)} &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \mathbf{1}_K |f|^q dy' dy_n \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \iint_{\Gamma(x')} \mathbf{1}_K |f|^q y_n^{1-n} dy' dy_n dx' \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C_K \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \iint_{\Gamma(x')} y_n^{\frac{(n-1)p}{q}} \mathbf{1}_K |f|^q y_n^{1-n} dy' dy_n dx' \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C_K \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left( \iint_{\Gamma(x')} |f|^q y_n^{1-n} dy' dy_n \right)^{p/q} dx' \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C_K \|f\|_{T^{p,q}}. \end{aligned}$$

When  $p > q$ , the desired bound follows from Hölder's inequality. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^q(K)} &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \mathbf{1}_K |f|^q dy' dy_n \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \iint_{\Gamma(x')} \mathbf{1}_K |f|^q y_n^{1-n} dy' dy_n dx' \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq C \left( \int_{E(K)} \iint_{\Gamma(x')} |f|^q y_n^{1-n} dy' dy_n dx' \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left( \iint_{\Gamma(x')} |f|^q y_n^{1-n} dy' dy_n \right)^{p/q} dx' \right)^{1/p} |E(K)|^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq C |E(K)|^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{T^{p,q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. AMENTA. Interpolation and embeddings of weighted tent spaces, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **24** (2018), 108–140.
- [2] H. AMANN. On the strong solvability of the Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **2** (2000), 16–98.
- [3] C. AMROUCHE, S. NEČASOVÁ AND Y. RAUDIN. Very weak, generalized and strong solutions to the Stokes system in the half-space, *J. Diff. Equ.* **244** (2008), 887–915.
- [4] P. AUSCHER AND A. AXELSSON. Weighted maximal regularity estimates and solvability of non-smooth elliptic systems I, *Invent. Math.* **184** (2011), 47–115.
- [5] L. CATTABRIGA. Su un problema al contorno relativo al sistema di equazioni di Stokes, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **31** (1961), 308–340.
- [6] R. R. COIFMAN, Y. MEYER AND E. M. STEIN. Some new function spaces and their applications to Harmonic analysis, *J. Funct. Anal.* **62** (1985), 304–335.
- [7] R. FARWIG, G.P. GALDI AND H. SOHR. Very weak solutions and large uniqueness of classes of stationary Navier-Stokes equations in bounded domains in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , *J. Diff. Equ.* **227** (2006), 564–580.
- [8] R. FARWIG AND J. SAUER. Very weak solutions of the stationary Stokes equations in unbounded domains of half space type, *Math. Bohemica* **140** (2015), 81–109.
- [9] R. FINN. On the steady-state solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, III, *Acta Math.* **105** (1961), 197–244.
- [10] J. FREHSE AND M. RŮŽIČKA. Existence of regular solutions to the stationary Navier-Stokes equations, *Math. Ann.* **302** (1995), 699–717.
- [11] G.P. GALDI. An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier-Stokes equations, *Springer Monographs in Mathematics*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., New York, (2011).
- [12] G.P. GALDI, C. G. SIMADER AND H. SOHR. A class of solutions to stationary Stokes and Navier-Stokes equations with boundary data in  $W^{-1/q,q}$ , *Math. Ann.* **331** (2005), 41–74.
- [13] C. GERHARDT. Stationary solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in dimension four, *Math. Z.* **165** (1979), 193–197.
- [14] W. GUO, D. FAN, H. WU AND G. ZHAO. Sharp weighted convolution inequalities and some applications, *Studia Mat.* **241** (2018), 201–239.
- [15] Y. HUANG. Weighted tent spaces with Whitney averages: factorization, interpolation and duality, *Math. Z.* **282** (2016), 913–933.
- [16] S. HOFMANN, S. MAYBORODA AND A. MCINTOSH. Second order elliptic operators with complex bounded measurable coefficients in  $L^p$ , Sobolev and Hardy spaces, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér* **44**(5) (2011), 723–800.

- [17] K. KANG, H. MIURA AND T.P. TSAI. Green tensor of the Stokes system and asymptotics of stationary Navier-Stokes flows in the half space, *Adv. Math.* **323** (2018) 326–366.
- [18] D. KIM, H. KIM AND S. PARK. Very weak solutions of the stationary Stokes equations on exterior domains, *Adv. Diff. Equ.* **20** (11/12) (2015), 1119–1164.
- [19] H. KIM. Existence and regularity of very weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **193** (2009), 117–152.
- [20] J. LERAY. Etudes de diverses équations intégrales non-linéaires et quelques problèmes que pose l’hydrodynamique, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **12** (1933), 1–82.
- [21] X. LUO. Stationary solutions and nonuniqueness of weak solutions for the Navier-Stokes equations in higher dimensions, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **233** (2019), 701–747.
- [22] E. MARUŠIĆ-PALOKA. Solvability of the Navier-Stokes systems with  $L^2$  boundary data, *Appl. Math. Optim.* **41** (2000), 365–375.
- [23] V.G. MAZ’YA, B.A. PLAMENEVSKII AND L.I. STUPYALIS. The three-dimensional problem of steady-state motion of a fluid with a free surface, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1984), 171–268.
- [24] D. MITREA. Distributions, partial differential equations, and harmonic analysis, *Universitext, Springer*, (2013).
- [25] F.K.G. ODQVIST. Über die Randwertaufgaben der Hydrodynamik zäher Flüssigkeiten, *Math. Z.* **32**(1) (1930), 329–375.
- [26] D. SERRE. Equations de Navier-Stokes stationnaires avec données peu régulières, *Ann. Sci. Norm. Sup. Pisa* (4)**10** (1983), 543–559.
- [27] C.G. SIMADER. Mean value formulas, Weyl’s lemma and Liouville theorems for  $\Delta^2$  and Stokes’ system, *Results. Math.* **22** (1992), 761–780.
- [28] V. A. SOLONNIKOV. Estimates for solutions of nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Math. Sci.* **8** (1977), 467–529.
- [29] M. STRUWE. Regular solutions of the stationary Navier-Stokes equations on  $\mathbb{R}^5$ , *Math. Ann.* **302** (1995), 719–741.
- [30] H. TRIEBEL. Theory of function spaces, *Monographs in Mathematics*, Vol. **78** Birkhäuser, Basel (1983).
- [31] L. YANYAN AND Z. YANG. Regular solutions of the stationary Navier–Stokes equations on high dimensional Euclidean space, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **394** (2022), 711–734.
- [32] G. D. YOMGNE. On a nonlinear Laplace equation related to the boundary Yamabe problem in the upper-half-space, *Comm. Pure Appl. Anal.* **21**(2) (2022), 517–539.
- [33] G. D. YOMGNE AND H. KOCH. The Dirichlet problem for weakly harmonic maps with rough data, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **47**(7) (2022), 1504–1535.

GAEL YOMGNE DIEBOU, THE FIELDS INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES & UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, 222 COLLEGE STREET, 2ND FLOOR, TORONTO, ONTARIO, M5T 3J1 CANADA

*Email address:* gyomgned@fields.utoronto.ca