

Non-Integrability of the Trapped Ionic System II

Georgi Georgiev

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics,
Sofia University “St. Kl. Ohridski”,
5 James Bourchier Blvd., 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria

Abstract

The idea for writing this paper is to analyze the differences in the results in [1] and [4], correct the errors in [1], and remove the inappropriate comments in [1] about the results in [2]. It is necessary and should be noted that the inaccuracies [2] have been removed.

Key words: Hamiltonian system, Meromorphic non-integrability, Variational equation, Monodromy group, Differential Galois group

1 Introduction

This paper is a continuation of [1] and for this reason we will omit the introduction and research data, referring to the original article (see also [2] and [5] for details). I will not go over the classical and modern theory of meromorphic non-integrability studies, I will simply refer to [6], [12], [13],[16], [10],[11], [9], [8], [17] and [7]. We study two dimensional model

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(p_r^2 + p_z^2) + Ar^2 + Bz^2 + Cz^3 + Dr^2z + Ez^4 + Fr^2z^2 + Gr^4, \quad (1.1)$$

where A, B, C, D, E, F , and G are a appropriate real constants for existing an additional meromorphic integral of motion.

Let we denote with $p := \sqrt{1 + \frac{4F}{E}}$, and with $z_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$ the roots of polynomial $Ez^4 + Cz^3 + Bz^2 + h = 0$, where h is a constant (we suppose that $z_i \neq z_j$, for $i \neq j$).

The result of this article is as follows:

Theorem 1. *a) Assume that $p \notin \mathbb{Q}$, then the system (1.1) has no an additional analytic first integral;*

b) Let $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ if $N(p) \geq 4$, then the system (1.1) has no an additional meromorphic first integral;

c) Let $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $N(p) \leq 3$, then the system (1.1) has no an additional meromorphic first integral, if at least one of the following conditions is true:

- c.0) if $V_0 = 0$, ($E = F = G = 0$), then
- c.01) $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} \notin \{\frac{1}{3}, 2, \frac{16}{3}\}$, or;
- c.02) $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = \frac{1}{3}$, $A \neq B$;
- c.03) $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = \frac{16}{3}$, and $16A \neq 5B$;
- c.1) if $V_1 = z^4$, ($F = G = 0$), then $D \neq 0$;
- c.2) if $V_1 = r^4$, ($E = F = 0$), then $D \neq 0$;
- c.3) if $V_2 = (r^2 + z^2)^2$, ($E = G = \frac{F}{2}$), then
- c.31) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} \notin \{\frac{1}{3}, 2, \frac{16}{3}\}$, or;
- c.32) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = \frac{1}{3}$, then $A \neq B$;
- c.33) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = \frac{1}{3}$, $A = B$, then $A \neq 0$ and $4A \neq 15D^2$;
- c.34) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = 2$, then $D^2 \neq B - 2A$;
- c.35) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = \frac{16}{3}$, then $16A \neq 5B$;
- c.36) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = \frac{16}{3}$, $16A = 5B$, then $20A \neq -63D^2$;
- c.4) if $V_3 = r^4 + 6r^2z^2 + z^4$, ($G = E = 6F$), then
- c.41) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} \notin \{\frac{1}{3}, 2, \frac{16}{3}\}$, or;
- c.42) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = \frac{1}{3}$, then $A \neq B$;
- c.43) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = 2$, then $D^2 \neq B - 2A$;
- c.44) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = \frac{16}{3}$, then $16A \neq 5B$;
- c.45) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = \frac{16}{3}$, $16A = 5B$, then $20A \neq -63D^2$;
- c.5) if $V_4 = z^4 + \frac{1}{4}\alpha r^4$, ($\frac{E}{G} = \frac{4}{\alpha}$, $\alpha \neq 0$), then $D \neq 0$;
- c.6) if $V_4 = r^4 + \frac{1}{4}\alpha z^4$, ($\frac{E}{G} = \frac{\alpha}{4}$, $\alpha \neq 0$), then $D \neq 0$;
- c.7) if $V_5 = 16r^4 + 3r^2z^2 + z^4$, ($G = 16E$, $3G = 4F$), then ;
- c.71) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} \notin \{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{16}{3}\}$, or;
- c.72) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = \frac{1}{3}$, then $A \neq B$;
- c.73) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = \frac{16}{3}$, $16A \neq 5B$;
- c.74) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = \frac{16}{3}$, $16A = 5B$, then $16A \neq -15D^2$;
- c.75) if $D = 0$ and $C = 0$, then $A \neq 4B$;
- c.8) if $V_5 = 16z^4 + 3r^2z^2 + r^4$, ($E = 16G$, $3E = 4F$), then
- c.81) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} \notin \{\frac{1}{3}, 2, \frac{16}{3}\}$, or;
- c.82) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = \frac{1}{3}$, then $A \neq B$;
- c.83) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = \frac{1}{3}$, $A = B$, then $8A \neq 5D^2$;
- c.84) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = 2$, then $B \neq 4A$;
- c.85) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = \frac{16}{3}$, $16A \neq 5B$;

c.86) if $D \neq 0$, $\frac{C}{D} = \frac{16}{3}$, $16A = 5B$, then $16A \neq -15D^2$;
c.87) if $D = 0$ and $C = 0$, then $A \neq 0$ and $A \neq 3B$;

c.9) if $V_6 = z^4 + 6r^2z^2 + 8r^4$ ($E = 8G$, $E = 6F$), then
c.91) if $D \neq 0$, or;
c.92) if $D = 0$ and $C = 0$, $A \neq 4B$;

c.10) if $V_6 = r^4 + 6r^2z^2 + 8z^4$ ($F = 6G$, $3E = 4F$), then
c.101) if $D \neq 0$, or;
c.102) if $D = 0$ and $C = 0$, $4A \neq B$.

Here $N(r)$ is the positive denominator of the irreducible $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, with V_i , $i = 1, \dots, 6$ denotes all integrable homogeneous potentials of the fourth degree (V_0 is when there is no such potential). It should be noted that the notations in this paper are not quite the same as in [9]. The study of exactly these specific integrable potentials does not restrict the community of the problem applying **Remark 1** in paper [8]. This is probably the longest theorem that I've ever formulated, unfortunately this is the result of researching this problem. The idea of the proof is to study for integrability the sums of the potentials of the second degree (in our case it is integrable) with all the integrable potentials of the third degree, then to the Integrable sums are added to all possible integrable potentials of the fourth degree and again check for integrability. The homogeneous integrable potentials of degree three and four have been studied exhaustively in [10], [11], [8] and [9].

2 VE_1

The Hamiltonian equations are:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{r} &= p_r, \quad \dot{p}_r = -(2Ar + 2Drz + 2Frz^2 + 4Gr^3), \\ \dot{z} &= p_z, \quad \dot{p}_z = -(2Bz + 3Cz^2 + Dr^2 + 4Ez^3 + 2Fr^2z). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

for existing an additional integral of motion (here as usual $\dot{} = \frac{d}{dt}$).

In our study we will suppose that $(F, D) \neq (0, 0)$, because if we assume the opposite, the variables in the considered system are separated, i.e. the system is integrable.

First, we find a partial solution for (2.1). Let we put $r = p_r = 0$ in (2.1) and we have

$$\ddot{z} = -(2Bz + 3Cz^2 + 4Ez^3),$$

multiplying by \dot{z} and integrating by the time t we have

$$\dot{z}^2 = -2(Ez^4 + Cz^3 + Bz^2 + h), \quad (2.2)$$

where h is a constant. Further, we follow the procedures for Ziglin-Morales-Ramis theory and we find an invariant manifold $(r, p_r, z, p_z) = (0, 0, z, \dot{z})$ here z is the solution of (2.2).

According to theory, the solution of (2.2) must be a rational function of Weierstrass \wp -function, but it is not important for us right now. Finding the Variation Equations (VE) we have $\xi_{11} = dr$, $\eta_{11} = dp_r$, $\xi_{12} = dz$, and $\eta_{12} = dp_z$ and we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\xi}_{11} &= -2(A + Dz + Fz^2)\xi_{11}, \\ \ddot{\xi}_{12} &= -2(B + 3Cz + 6Ez^2)\xi_{12}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

Next we change the variable in equations (2.3) by $\xi_{1i}(t) = \xi_{1i}(z(t))$, where $z(t)$ is a solution of (2.2) and we have $\frac{d\xi_{1i}(t)}{dt} = \frac{d\xi_{1i}}{dz} \cdot \frac{dz(t)}{dt}$, for $i = 1, 2$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2\xi_{11}}{dt^2} &= \frac{d^2\xi_{11}}{dz^2} \left(\frac{dz}{dt}\right)^2 + \frac{d\xi_{11}}{dz} \frac{d^2z}{dt^2} \\ &= -2(Ez^4 + Cz^3 + Bz^2 + h) \frac{d^2\xi_{11}}{dz^2} - (2Bz + 3Cz^2 + 4Ez^3) \frac{d\xi_{11}}{dz} \\ &\quad + 2(A + Dz + Fz^2)\xi_{11} = 0,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2\xi_{12}}{dt^2} &= \frac{d^2\xi_{12}}{dz^2} \left(\frac{dz}{dt}\right)^2 + \frac{d\xi_{12}}{dz} \frac{d^2z}{dt^2} \\ &= -2(Ez^4 + Cz^3 + Bz^2 + h) \frac{d^2\xi_{12}}{dz^2} - (2Bz + 3Cz^2 + 4Ez^3) \frac{d\xi_{12}}{dz} \\ &\quad + 2(B + 3Cz + 6Ez^2)\xi_{12} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

If we denote with $' = \frac{d}{dz}$ we obtain for the VE two Fuchsian linear differential equations with four singularities:

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{11}'' + \frac{4Ez^3 + 3Cz^2 + 2Bz}{2(Ez^4 + Cz^3 + Bz^2 + h)}\xi_{11}' - \frac{A + Dz + Fz^2}{Ez^4 + Cz^3 + Bz^2 + h}\xi_{11} &= 0, \\ \xi_{12}'' + \frac{4Ez^3 + 3Cz^2 + 2Bz}{2(Ez^4 + Cz^3 + Bz^2 + h)}\xi_{12}' - \frac{B + 3Cz + 6Ez^2}{Ez^4 + Cz^3 + Bz^2 + h}\xi_{12} &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

The equations (2.4) are a Fuchsian and have five regular singularities z_i , for $i = 1, \dots, 4$ and $z = \infty$.

Here we study the equations (2.4) for a Liouvillian solutions. Existence of such solutions of a linear differential equation is equivalent to the solvability of the identity component of its Galois group.

First we find conditions for branching for solutions of this equation. We will use Lyapunov's idea to prove non-integrability using the branching of the solutions of the variational equations around an appropriate partial solution. If the solutions of the VE branching then the Hamiltonian system has not additional first integral. (See [6] for details.) In this section we will assume that $B \neq 0$ and $E \neq 0$. We find the indicial equations to the singular

points z_i and $z = \infty$. We have $\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda = 0$, roots are $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, for z_i , second one is $\rho^2 - \rho - \frac{F}{E} = 0$, with roots $\rho_k = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 + \frac{4F}{E}}}{2}$, $k = 1, 2$ for $z = \infty$. The solutions around z_i have no branching and near $z = \infty$ we have branching - when $\rho_k \notin \mathbb{Q}$, $k = 1, 2$. This is an application of the Frobenius's method: the fundamental system of solutions around of the singular points are presented in the form $\Phi_j(z) = z^{\lambda_j} \Psi_j(z)$, where $\Psi_j(z)$ are holomorphic functions (locally) for $j = 1, 2$. Then the solutions of (2.4) are branching for $\lambda_j \notin \mathbb{Q}$, $j = 1, 2$. For $z = \infty$ we do the same and obtain that $\rho_k \notin \mathbb{Q}$, $k = 1, 2$. It should be noted that it is possible, if $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\rho_k \in \mathbb{Q}$, we also can achieve not branching by a standard changing of the variables. This change of variables does not affect the commutativity of the unity component of the Galois group. We have proved the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *Let $p = \sqrt{1 + \frac{4F}{E}} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, then the system (2.1) has no additional holomorphic first integral.*

This proves a) in Theorem 1.

Now we use the notation $F = \frac{p^2 - 1}{4}E$, where $p \in \mathbb{Q}$. For the first of eq. (2.4) we put

$$c_1(z) := \frac{4Ez^3 + 3Cz^2 + 2Bz}{2(Ez^4 + Cz^3 + Bz^2 + h)},$$

and

$$c_2(z) := -\frac{A + Dz + \frac{p^2 - 1}{4}Ez^2}{Ez^4 + Cz^3 + Bz^2 + h}.$$

In the terminology of [17] we get $a_\infty = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} zc_1(z) = 2$, $b_\infty = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z^2c_2(z) = \frac{1 - p^2}{4}$, $\Delta_\infty = \sqrt{(1 - a_\infty)^2 - 4b_\infty} = \pm p$, and $a_i = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_i} (z - z_i)c_1(z) = \frac{1}{2}$, $b_i = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_i} (z - z_i)^2c_2(z) = 0$, $\Delta_i = \sqrt{(1 - 1/2)^2 - 4 \cdot 0} = \frac{1}{2}$. Then, we get $t_i = -2 \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 0$, and $t_\infty = 2 \cos(\pi \Delta_\infty) = 2 \cos(\pi p)$. The values of Δ_i correspond to points z_i , for $i = 1, \dots, 4$.

The first result of our exposition is the following proposition:

Proposition 2. *Let $p = \sqrt{1 + \frac{4F}{E}}$ are rational numbers, then the system (2.1) has no an additional meromorphic first integral when $N(p) \geq 4$.*

Proof: We obtain that $t_\infty \notin \mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4] = \mathbb{Q}$, i. e. t_∞ is transcendental over \mathbb{Q} . (See [17] for details.)

This finishes the proof of b) by Theorem 1.

2.1 Hamiltonian systems with homogeneous potentials.

To proceed with the study of integrability in the two-dimensional problem, another different approach is needed, which is well developed in [8] and [9]. The problem reduces to Hamiltonian system with homogeneous potentials and has a well-developed scheme for research. Let explain what this method is and how we can use it for a limited number of integrable cases. We consider a Hamiltonian system

$$H = \frac{1}{2} (p_r^2 + p_z^2) + V(r, z), \quad (2.5)$$

with potential

$$V(r, z) = V_{min}(r, z) + \dots + V_{med}(r, z) + \dots + V_{max}(r, z),$$

which is a sum of homogeneous potentials. Here with $V_{min}(r, z)$ (respectively $V_{med}(r, z)$, and $V_{max}(r, z)$) we mean the smallest (some intermediate and largest) possible degree of homogeneous part of $V(r, z)$. Potential $V(r, z)$ is called integrable if its corresponding Hamiltonian system (2.5) is integrable. As it is noted in [11], if $V(r, z)$ is an integrable potential, then $V_{min}(r, z)$, $V_{med}(r, z)$ and $V_{max}(r, z)$ are also integrable (for each $V_{med}(r, z)$). In our case we have $V_{min}(r, z) = Ar^2 + Bz^2$, $V_{med}(r, z) = Cz^3 + Dr^2z$, $V_{max}(r, z) = Ez^4 + Fr^2z^2 + Gr^4$ and since $V_{min}(r, z)$ is integrable, then the possible integrable cases are those for which $V_{max}(r, z)$ is integrable. These potentials are fully investigated in [9] and using the notation in this paper the possible integrable cases in $V_{max}(r, z)$ are: V_0, V_1, V_3, V_4, V_5 and V_6 . Let us consider these cases in the context of the fourth degree potential in our task.

Now we have the case $\mathbf{c0} V_0 := 0$. The integrable homogeneous potentials of degree 3 in our case are $z^3 + 3r^2z$, $2z^3 + r^2z$, and $16z^3 + 3r^2z$ (see [8] for details). The Hamiltonians in this cases are

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2}(p_r^2 + p_z^2) + Ar^2 + Bz^2 + z^3 + 3r^2z, \\ H &= \frac{1}{2}(p_r^2 + p_z^2) + Ar^2 + Bz^2 + 2z^3 + r^2z, \\ H &= \frac{1}{2}(p_r^2 + p_z^2) + Ar^2 + Bz^2 + 16z^3 + 3r^2z, \end{aligned}$$

the equations of motion for first Hamiltonian are:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{r} &= p_r, \quad \dot{p}_r = -(2Ar + 6rz), \\ \dot{z} &= p_z, \quad \dot{p}_z = -(2Bz + 3r^2 + 3z^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

We find a partial solution for (2.6), we put $r = p_r = 0$ in (2.6) and we obtain

$$\ddot{z} = -(2Bz + 3z^2),$$

and

$$\dot{z}^2 = -2(z^3 + Bz^2 + h), \quad (2.7)$$

where h is a constant. Next we change the variable t to z in NVE and we obtain

$$\xi_{11}'' + \frac{3z^2 + 2Bz}{2(z^3 + Bz^2 + h)} \xi_{11}' - \frac{A + 3z}{z^3 + Bz^2 + h} \xi_{11} = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

The equation (2.8) is Fuchsian (Lamé equation) with regular singular points at the roots z_i ($z_i \neq z_j$, for $i \neq j$) of $z^3 + Bz^2 + h = 0$ and at infinity. The indicial equations to the singular points z_i and $z = \infty$ are $\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda = 0$, roots are $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, for z_i , second one is $2\rho^2 - \rho - 6 = 0$, with roots $\rho_1 = 2$, and $\rho_2 = -\frac{3}{2}$ for $z = \infty$. At infinity (changing $x := \frac{1}{z}$) equation (2.8) assumes the following form:

$$\frac{d^2 \xi_{11}}{dx^2} + \frac{4hx^3 + 2Bx + 1}{2x(hx^3 + Bx + 1)} \frac{d\xi_{11}}{dx} - \frac{Ax + 3}{x^2(hx^3 + Bx + 1)} \xi_{11} = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

with a local solutions at $x = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{11}^{(1)}(x) &= x^{-3/2} \left(1 + \left(\frac{-2A}{5} + \frac{9B}{10} \right) x + \left(\frac{2}{15} A^2 - \frac{1}{3} AB + \frac{3}{40} B \right) x^2 \dots \right), \\ \xi_{11}^{(2)}(x) &= x^2 \left(1 + \left(\frac{2A}{9} - \frac{8B}{9} \right) x + \left(\frac{2}{99} A^2 - \frac{26}{99} AB + \frac{8}{11} B \right) x^2 \dots \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now let us repeat the procedure for the second equation in VE_1 , we get

$$\xi_{12}'' + \frac{3z^2 + 2Bz}{2(z^3 + Bz^2 + h)} \xi_{12}' - \frac{B + 3z}{z^3 + Bz^2 + h} \xi_{12} = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\frac{d^2 \xi_{12}}{dx^2} + \frac{4hx^3 + 2Bx + 1}{2x(hx^3 + Bx + 1)} \frac{d\xi_{12}}{dx} - \frac{Bx + 3}{x^2(hx^3 + Bx + 1)} \xi_{12} = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

with a local solutions at $x = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{12}^{(1)}(x) &= x^{-3/2} \left(1 + \frac{B}{2} x - \frac{1}{8} B^2 x^2 \dots \right), \\ \xi_{12}^{(2)}(x) &= x^2 \left(1 - \frac{2B}{3} x + \frac{16}{33} B^2 x^2 \dots \right). \end{aligned}$$

For VE_2 we do the same transformation of variables $t \rightarrow z \rightarrow x$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \xi_{11}}{dx^2} + \frac{4hx^3 + 2Bx + 1}{2x(hx^3 + Bx + 1)} \frac{d\xi_{11}}{dx} - \frac{Ax + 3}{x^2(hx^3 + Bx + 1)} \xi_{11} &= \frac{\xi_{11} \xi_{12}}{2x(hx^3 + Bx + 1)}, \\ \frac{d^2 \xi_{12}}{dx^2} + \frac{4hx^3 + 2Bx + 1}{2x(hx^3 + Bx + 1)} \frac{d\xi_{12}}{dx} - \frac{Bx + 3}{x^2(hx^3 + Bx + 1)} \xi_{12} &= \frac{3\xi_{11}^2 + 3\xi_{12}^2}{2x(hx^3 + Bx + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The necessary condition for integrability is the absence of a residue in the expressions

$$\xi_{11} \cdot \frac{\xi_{11}\xi_{12}}{\sqrt{2x(hx^3 + Bx + 1)}}$$

and

$$\xi_{12} \cdot \frac{3\xi_{11}^2 + 3\xi_{12}^2}{\sqrt{2x(hx^3 + Bx + 1)}},$$

which is

$$\frac{32(A - B) \cdot B \cdot (A^2 - \frac{17}{8} \cdot AB - \frac{9}{512} \cdot B^2)}{225},$$

for solutions $\xi_{11}^{(1)}(x)$ and $\xi_{12}^{(1)}(x)$. Then we get three cases of possible integrability: $A = B$, $B = 0$ and $A^2 - \frac{17}{8} \cdot AB - \frac{9}{512} \cdot B^2 = 0$. By using third variations it turns out that the second and third cases are non-integrable at $A \neq 0$.

Let us look at the main fragments of the proof in the case when $B = 0$: The Hamiltonian and equations of motion are

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(p_r^2 + p_z^2) + Ar^2 + z^3 + 3r^2z,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{r} &= p_r, \quad \dot{p}_r = -(2Ar + 6rz), \\ \dot{z} &= p_z, \quad \dot{p}_z = -(3z^2 + 3r^2). \end{aligned}$$

For partial solution in this case we can choose $p_r = r = 0$ and we obtain $\dot{z}^2 = -2z^3 - 2h$ ($h = \text{const} \neq 0$). After changing the variables $z = -2\tilde{z}$ we get $\dot{\tilde{z}}^2 = 4\tilde{z}^3 - \frac{h}{2}$ which can be written in the form $\tilde{z} = \wp(t, 0, \frac{h}{2})$ using the Weierstrass p-function. For the variational equations $VE1$, $VE2$ and $VE3$ are obtained as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\xi}_{11} &= \left(12\wp(t, 0, \frac{h}{2}) - 2A\right) \xi_{11}, \\ \ddot{\xi}_{12} &= \left(12\wp(t, 0, \frac{h}{2})\right) \xi_{12}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\xi}_{21} &= \left(12\wp(t, 0, \frac{h}{2}) - 2A\right) \xi_{21} - 6\xi_{11}\xi_{12}, \\ \ddot{\xi}_{22} &= \left(12\wp(t, 0, \frac{h}{2})\right) \xi_{22} - 3((\xi_{11})^2 + (\xi_{12})^2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\xi}_{31} &= \left(12\wp(t, 0, \frac{h}{2}) - 2A\right) \xi_{31} - 6(\xi_{11}\xi_{22} + \xi_{12}\xi_{21}), \\ \ddot{\xi}_{32} &= \left(12\wp(t, 0, \frac{h}{2})\right) \xi_{32} - 6(\xi_{11}\xi_{21} + \xi_{12}\xi_{22}). \end{aligned}$$

For solutions of $VE1$ around $t = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{11}^{(1)}(t) &= t^4 - \frac{A}{9}t^5 \dots, \\ \xi_{11}^{(2)}(t) &= t^{-3} + \frac{A}{5}t^{-1} \dots,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{12}^{(1)}(t) &= t^4 - \frac{h}{364}t^{10} \dots, \\ \xi_{12}^{(2)}(t) &= t^{-3} + \frac{h}{28}t^3 \dots.\end{aligned}$$

Let us use notation

$$\begin{aligned}K_2^{(1)} &= -6(\xi_{11}\xi_{12}), \\ K_2^{(2)} &= -3((\xi_{11})^2 + (\xi_{12})^2), \\ K_3^{(1)} &= -6(\xi_{11}\xi_{22} + \xi_{12}\xi_{21}), \\ K_3^{(2)} &= -6(\xi_{11}\xi_{21} + \xi_{12}\xi_{22}),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}f_2 &= \left(0, K_2^{(1)}, 0, K_2^{(2)}\right)^T, \\ f_3 &= \left(0, K_3^{(1)}, 0, K_3^{(2)}\right)^T.\end{aligned}$$

Without losing a community we can assume that $\xi_{11}^{(1)}\dot{\xi}_{11}^{(2)} - \xi_{11}^{(2)}\dot{\xi}_{11}^{(1)} = 1$ and $\xi_{12}^{(1)}\dot{\xi}_{12}^{(2)} - \xi_{12}^{(2)}\dot{\xi}_{12}^{(1)} = 1$. Then the fundamental matrix of $VE1$ and its inverse are

$$X(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{11}^{(1)} & \xi_{11}^{(2)} & 0 & 0 \\ \dot{\xi}_{11}^{(1)} & \dot{\xi}_{11}^{(2)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \xi_{12}^{(1)} & \xi_{12}^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 & \dot{\xi}_{12}^{(1)} & \dot{\xi}_{12}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$X^{-1}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\xi}_{11}^{(2)} & -\dot{\xi}_{11}^{(1)} & 0 & 0 \\ -\dot{\xi}_{11}^{(2)} & \dot{\xi}_{11}^{(1)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \xi_{12}^{(2)} & -\xi_{12}^{(1)} \\ 0 & 0 & -\dot{\xi}_{12}^{(1)} & \dot{\xi}_{12}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.13)$$

We look at expression $X^{-1}f_2$ for a non-zero residue - there is none in all possibilities, we do the same for expression $X^{-1}f_3$, there is a non-zero residue for $A \neq 0$ for the expression $\xi_{11}^{(1)}(\xi_{11}^{(2)}\xi_{22}^{(1)} + \xi_{12}^{(2)}\xi_{21}^{(1)})$ of $X^{-1}f_3$.

For the second Hamiltonian in this case, we have not integrability constraints are obtained under the second variations.

For the third Hamiltonian in this case, we consistently obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{r} &= p_r, \dot{p}_r = -(2Ar + 6rz), \\ \dot{z} &= p_z, \dot{p}_z = -(2Bz + 48z^2 + 3r^2) =\end{aligned}$$

The partial solution in this case is $r = p_r = 0$ and $\ddot{z} = -(2Bz + 48z^2)$, $\dot{z}^2 = -2(16z^3 + Bz^2 + h)$, NVE in ∞ is

$$\frac{d^2 \xi_{11}}{dx^2} + \frac{2hx^3 + 8}{x(hx^3 + Bx + 16)} \frac{d\xi_{11}}{dx} - \frac{Ax + 48}{x^2(hx^3 + Bx + 16)} \xi_{11} = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

The a local solutions of last equation at $x = 0$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{11}^{(1)}(x) &= x^{3/4} \left(1 + \left(\frac{A}{32} + \frac{3B}{512} \right) x + \dots \right), \\ \xi_{11}^{(2)}(x) &= x^{-1/4} \left(\ln(x) \cdot (16A - 5B) \left(\frac{1}{16} x + \dots \right) + \dots \right).\end{aligned}$$

From Fuchs's Theorem, if we have a logarithm in one of solutions of linear differential equation of the second order then

$$\xi_{11}^{(2)}(x) = \xi_{11}^{(1)}(x) \ln(x) + h(x),$$

where $h(x)$ is a holomorphic function (in this case, or meromorphic in in the general case). We denote with Γ the Riemann surface $\{(x, y) : y^2 = 2hx^4 + 2Bx^2 + 16x\}$. The field of coefficients of (2.14) is $K := \mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ (meromorphic functions on Γ). Let us also denote by $L := K[\xi_{11}^{(1)}, \xi_{11}^{(2)}]$ the Picard-Vesiot extension of K . Let us formulate the following

Lemma 1. *In the above notations for (2.14), if $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, then $\sigma(\ln(x)) = \delta \ln(x) + \gamma$, for $\delta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof: We have

$$\frac{d\sigma(\ln(x))}{dx} = \sigma\left(\frac{d\ln(x)}{dx}\right) = \sigma\left(\frac{1}{x}\right),$$

$\sigma' \cdot \frac{1}{x} = \sigma\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$, let $x = e^t$, $\frac{d}{dt} \sigma \cdot e^{-t} = \sigma(e^{-t}) = \delta e^{-t}$, $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$, then we have $\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \delta$, and $\sigma(t) = \delta t + \gamma$, then $\sigma(\ln(x)) = \delta \ln(x) + \gamma$, for $\delta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$.

For the local Galois group of (2.14) we have: $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, $\sigma(\xi_{11}^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{\delta} \xi_{11}^{(1)}$, $\delta \in \mathbb{C}^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(\xi_{11}^{(2)}) &= \frac{1}{\delta} \xi_{11}^{(1)} \sigma(\ln(x)) + h(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} \xi_{11}^{(1)} (\delta \ln(x) + \gamma) + h(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} \xi_{11}^{(1)} (\delta \ln(x)) + h(x) + \frac{\gamma}{\delta} \xi_{11}^{(1)} \\ &= \xi_{11}^{(2)} + \frac{\gamma}{\delta} \xi_{11}^{(1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $X(x)$ is the fundamental matrix of (2.14), then $\sigma(X(x)) = X(x)R$, and $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\delta} & 0 \\ \frac{\gamma}{\delta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \delta \neq 0 \right\}$

- solvable but non-commutative. It is not difficult to observe that the condition for non-commutativity of the local Galois group is equivalent to the existence of a logarithm in one of the solutions of (2.14). In the present case, the condition for the existence of a logarithm is $16A \neq 5B$. In order to prove the non-commutativity of the global Galois group of (2.14), we need to study the local group near of the regular singular point z_1 (z_1 is the root of $z^4 + Cz^3 + Bz^2 + h = 0$). We know the roots of the indicative equation ($\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}$) and this allows us to conclude that one local solution is in the field of constants and the other is not. From this we obtain that the local group of Galois consists of matrices of type $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mu & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \mu \neq 0 \right\}$, which in the general case do not commute with those already found in infinity $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\delta} & 0 \\ \frac{\gamma}{\delta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \delta \neq 0 \right\}$ at $16A \neq 5B$. (See [13] for details.)

The case *c.0*) is proved.

Unfortunately, the proof of points *c*) to the theorem 1 is quite extensive (a full consideration of each case deserves a separate study), so we will mention that all methods of studying (second and third variations and existence of logarithm) were already used to prove the case *c.0*).

3 Remarks and Comments

Given the above statement, we can easily conclude that the integrable cases in [4] are exceptions to the already obtained non-integrability conditions in Theorem 1 (the Cases 1, 2 and 3 in [4] correspond to *c.3*), *c.8*) and *c.4*) respectively). Now, to clarify, where do such significant differences in the results of publications [4] and [1] come from? After a careful analysis, it turns out that there are three reasons: the first is that in [1] a very special case $h = 0$ (zero energy level) is considered, which, although quite interesting as a study, distorts the non-integrability result ;

The second reason for the differences is the ignoring in [1] of the logarithmic terms in the local solutions - it turns out that they play an important role in the study of the Galois Group of the variational differential equations under consideration;

The third reason is the behavior of sums of different integrable homogeneous potentials - It turns out that not always a sum of homogeneous integrable potentials is an integrable potential. I'd also like to note that studying cases with recurrent roots has proven (thanks to a large number of computations) to be quite a large challenge, and we could frame them as open problems.

From what is shown in [1] and the examples in [4], we can also conclude something that I think is important, namely that zero-level ($h = 0$) non-integrability does not necessarily imply non-integrability in the general case ($h \neq 0$).

Acknowledgments

The author would like to express special thanks to Dr. Idriss Elfakkousy for useful discussions. The author has been partially supported by grant 80-10-53/10.05.2022 from Sofia University “St. Kliment Ohridski”.

References

- [1] Georgiev G., (2021), Non-integrability of the Trapped Ionic System, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **147:110994**, doi:10.1016/j.chaos.2021.110994.
- [2] Benkhali M., Kharbach J. El Fakkousy I., Chatar W., Rezzouk A., Ouazzani-Jamil M. (2018), Painleve analysis and integrability of the trapped ionic system, *Phys. Lett. A*, v. **382**, issue 36, doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2018.06.034, 2515–2525.
- [3] Georgiev G., (2020) Comment on Painleve analysis and integrability of the trapped ionic system by M. Benkhali, J. Kharbach, I. El Fakkousy, W. Chatar, A. Rezzouk, and M. Ouazzani-Jamil, *Physics Letters A*, v. **384**, issue 36, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2020.126932>, pp. 126932.
- [4] El Fakkousy I., Zouhairi B. , Kharabach J., (2022) Comment on Non-integrability of the Trapped Ionic System. by Georgi Georgiev, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **156(1):111815**, doi: 10.1016/j.chaos.2022.111815.
- [5] El Fakkousy I., Zouhairi B., Benmaleka M., Kharabach J., Rezzouk A., Ouazzani-Jamil M., (2022) Classical and quantum integrability of the three-dimensional generalized trapped ion Hamiltonian, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **161**, 112361, doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2022.112361
- [6] Lyapunov, A., (1954), On certain property of the differential equations of the problem of motion of a heavy rigid body having a fixed point, *Soobsch. Kharkov Math. Obscht.*, Ser. 2, 4, 1894; 123–140. (in Russian)
- [7] Berger A., 2018, On linear independence of trigonometric numbers, *Carpathian Journal of Mathematics*, v. **34**, 2, www.jstor.org/stable/26898724, 157–166.
- [8] Maciejewski A. J., Przybylska M., (2004) All meromorphically integrable 2D Hamiltonian systems with homogeneous potential of degree 3, *Physics Letters A* **327**, doi:10.1016/j.physleta.2004.05.042, pp. 461–473.

- [9] Maciejewski A. J., Przybylska M., (2005) Darboux points and integrability of Hamiltonian systems with homogeneous polynomial potential, *Journal of mathematical physics*, v. **46**, n. 6, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1917311>, pp. 062901-1–062901-33.
- [10] Hietarinta J. (1983) A search for integrable two-dimensional hamiltonian systems with polynomial potential, *Physics Letters A* **96** , 6, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601\(83\)90178-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(83)90178-0), pp. 273-278.
- [11] Hietarinta J. (1987) Direct methods for the search of the second invariant, *Physics Reports*, v.**147**, 2, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573\(87\)90089-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(87)90089-5), pp. 87–154.
- [12] Morales-Ruiz J, Simó C. (1996) Non-integrability Criteria for Hamiltonians in the case of Lamé Normal Variational Equations, *J Diff Eq*; 129: <https://doi.org/10.1006/jdeq.1996.0113>, 111-135.
- [13] Morales-Ruiz J., (1999), *Differential Galois Theory and Non-integrability of Hamiltonian Systems*, Birkhäuser .
- [14] Morales-Ruiz J., (2015), Picard –Vessiot Theory and integrability, *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, **87**, January 2015, doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2014.07.006, 314–343.
- [15] Morales-Ruiz J, Ramis J-P, Simó C. (2007) Integrability of Hamiltonian systems and differential Galois groups of higher variational equations. *Ann Scient Ec Norm Sup*; 40: doi.org/10.1016/j.ansens.2007.09.002, pp. 845-884.
- [16] Morales-Ruiz J., Ramis J-P.(2010) Integrability of Dynamical systems through Differential Galois Theory: practical guide. *Contemporary Math*; [dx.doi.org/10.1090/conm/509](https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/509), p. 509.
- [17] Baider A., Churchill R. C., (1990), On Monodromy Groups of Second-Order Fuchsian Equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **21**, 6, doi.org/10.1137/0521090, pp. 1642-1652.