

ON THE SPEED OF CONVERGENCE OF PITERBARG CONSTANTS

KRZYSZTOF BISEWSKI AND GRIGORI JASNOVIDOV

Abstract: In this paper we derive an upper bound for the difference between the continuous and discrete Piterbarg constants. Our result allows us to approximate the classical Piterbarg constants by their discrete counterparts using Monte Carlo simulations with an explicit error rate.

Key Words: fractional Brownian motion; Piterbarg constants; discretization error; Monte Carlo simulation;

AMS Classification: 60G15; 60G70; 65C05

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $B_\alpha(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$ be a fractional Brownian motion (later on fBM), i.e., a Gaussian process with zero expectation and covariance function given by

$$\text{Cov}(B_\alpha(t), B_\alpha(s)) = \frac{|t|^\alpha + |s|^\alpha - |t-s|^\alpha}{2}, \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \in (0, 2).$$

One of the constants that typically appear in the asymptotics of the ruin probabilities for Gaussian processes are the Piterbarg constants defined for $d > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ by

$$\mathcal{P}_\alpha(d, \mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R} \cap \mathcal{K}} e^{\sqrt{2}B_\alpha(t) - (1+d)|t|^\alpha} \right\},$$

where \mathcal{K} can be both $[0, \infty)$ and $(-\infty, \infty)$. We refer to Theorem 10.1 in [15] for finiteness and positivity of $\mathcal{P}_\alpha(d, \mathcal{K})$; some other contributions dealing with Piterbarg constants are [1, 7, 8, 10, 12, 14]. As for well-known Pickands constants (see, e.g., [15]), the exact value of the classical Piterbarg constant is known only for special case $\alpha = 1$, namely $\mathcal{P}_1(d, (-\infty, \infty)) = 1 + \frac{2}{d} - \frac{1}{2d+1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_1(d, [0, \infty)) = 1 + \frac{1}{d}$, see [1] and [13], respectively. In other cases naturally arises the question of an approximation of the classical Piterbarg constants.

Since it seems difficult to simulate fBM on a continuous-time scale, one can approximate the Piterbarg constants by their discrete analogous defined for $\delta > 0$ by

$$\mathcal{P}_\alpha^\delta(d, \mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t \in G(\delta) \cap \mathcal{K}} e^{\sqrt{2}B_\alpha(t) - (1+d)|t|^\alpha} \right\},$$

where $G(\delta) = \delta\mathbb{Z}$ for $\delta > 0$. Set in the following $\mathcal{P}_\alpha^0(d, \mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{P}_\alpha(d, \mathcal{K})$ and $G(0) = \mathbb{R}$.

The question of speed of convergence of the discrete Piterbarg constants to continuous ones is related to the estimation of $\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} B_\alpha(t) - \sup_{t \in [0, 1]_\delta} B_\alpha(t)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. We refer to [4, 5] for the interesting analysis of the expression above. For Brownian motion case (later on BM), i.e., when $\alpha = 1$, we refer to [9] for the survey of the known results for the current moment.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Here we present the results needed for approximation of $\mathcal{P}_\alpha(d, \mathcal{K})$ by simulation methods. The theorem below derives an upper bound for the difference between the continuous and discrete Piterbarg constants.

Theorem 2.1. *For any $d > 0$ with some constant $\mathcal{C} > 0$ that does not depend on δ it holds, that as $\delta \rightarrow 0$*

$$\mathcal{P}_\alpha(d, \mathcal{K}) - \mathcal{P}_\alpha^\delta(d, \mathcal{K}) \leq \mathcal{C}\delta^{\alpha/2}(-\ln \delta)^{1/2}.$$

Since it is impossible to simulate a fBM on the infinite-time horizon we deal with the truncated version of the Piterbarg constants. Namely, for $d > 0$ and $\delta, T \geq 0$ a truncated Piterbarg constant is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_\alpha^\delta(d, \mathcal{K}; T) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t \in \mathcal{K} \cap [-T, T]_\delta} e^{\sqrt{2}B_\alpha(t) - (1+d)|t|^\alpha} \right\},$$

where for real a, b and $\eta > 0$ $[a, b]_\eta = [a, b] \cap \eta\mathbb{Z}$. Set in the following $[a, b]_0 := [a, b]$ for $a < b$.

The following theorem provide us an upper bound for the difference between a Piterbarg constant and the corresponding truncated Piterbarg constant:

Theorem 2.2. *It holds with some uniform in $\delta \geq 0$ constant \mathcal{C} and all sufficiently large T , that*

$$\mathcal{P}_\alpha^\delta(d, \mathcal{K}) - \mathcal{P}_\alpha^\delta(d, \mathcal{K}; T) \leq e^{-\mathcal{C}T^\alpha}.$$

The results above imply the following approach for approximation of $\mathcal{P}_\alpha(d, \mathcal{K})$ for $d > 0$:

- 1) For small $\delta > 0$ take $S_\delta = (-\ln \delta)^{2/\alpha}$ and approximate $\mathcal{P}_\alpha^\delta(d, \mathcal{K}; S_\delta)$ by $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha^\delta(d, \mathcal{K}; S_\delta)$ obtained by Monte-Carlo simulations.
- 2) Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 imply that $\mathcal{P}_\alpha(d, \mathcal{K}) - \mathcal{P}_\alpha^\delta(d, \mathcal{K}; S_\delta) \leq \mathcal{C}\delta^{\alpha/2}(-\ln \delta)^{1/2}$ uniformly for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, hence we can approximate $\mathcal{P}_\alpha(d, \mathcal{K})$ by $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha^\delta(d, \mathcal{K}; S_\delta)$ with an error term not exceeding $\mathcal{C}\delta^{\alpha/2}(-\ln \delta)^{1/2}$ combined with statistical error from estimation of $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha^\delta(d, \mathcal{K}; S_\delta)$.

Independence of the increments of Brownian motion allows us to deduce more precise bounds for $\mathcal{P}_1(d, \mathcal{K}) - \mathcal{P}_1^\delta(d, \mathcal{K})$ than in Theorem 2.1. Namely,

Theorem 2.3. *For $d > 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ it holds, that*

$$\mathcal{P}_1(d, \mathcal{K}) - \mathcal{P}_1^\delta(d, \mathcal{K}) \sim -\frac{\zeta(1/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}}\sqrt{\delta} \cdot \mathcal{P}_1^\delta(d, \mathcal{K}),$$

where ζ is the Euler-Riemann zeta function and $-\frac{\zeta(1/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}} > 0$.

It is interesting, that the constant $-\zeta(1/2)/\sqrt{\pi}$ appears above, the same constant plays the same role in the difference between the classical Pickands constants, see [3]. This constant appears in many problems concerning the difference between supremum of BM on a continuous and discrete grids, see [9].

3. PROOFS

Define for $d > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$

$$(1) \quad Z_\alpha(t) = \sqrt{2}B_\alpha(t) - (d+1)|t|^\alpha, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Before giving proofs we present and prove several lemmas needed for the proofs of the main results.

Lemma 3.1. *For all $d > 0$ there exists $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} e^{Z_\alpha(t)} > x \right\} \sim C_1 (\ln x)^{C_2} x^{-1-d}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1. By the self-similarity of fBM, for $x > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} e^{Z_\alpha(t)} > x \right\} &= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists t \in [0, \infty) : \sqrt{2} B_\alpha(t) - (d+1)t^\alpha > \ln x \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists t \in [0, \infty) : \frac{\sqrt{2} B_\alpha(t)}{(d+1)t^\alpha + 1} > \sqrt{\ln x} \right\} =: \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists t \in [0, \infty) : V(t) > \sqrt{\ln x} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The variance of $V(t)$ for $t \geq 0$ achieves its unique maximum at $t_0 = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{d+1}})^{2/\alpha}$ and $\text{Var}\{V(t_0)\} = \frac{1}{2(d+1)}$, hence applying [15, Theorem 10.1] we find that there exist some $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} e^{Z_\alpha(t)} > x \right\} \sim C_1 (\ln x)^{C_2} x^{-1-d}$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *For any $p, T > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ with some $C > 0$ that does not depend on δ it holds, that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t, s \in [0, T], |t-s| \leq \delta} |B_\alpha(t) - B_\alpha(s)|^p \right\}^{1/p} \leq C \delta^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\ln(|\delta/T|)}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. We have by Theorem 4.2 in [6] with some non-negative random variable \mathcal{A} that does not depend on δ and has all finite moments that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t, s \in [0, T], |t-s| \leq \delta} |B_\alpha(t) - B_\alpha(s)|^p \right\} &= T^{\frac{\alpha p}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t, s \in [0, 1], |t-s| \leq \frac{\delta}{T}} |B_\alpha(t) - B_\alpha(s)|^p \right\} \\ &\leq T^{\frac{\alpha p}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t, s \in [0, 1], |t-s| \leq \frac{\delta}{T}} \left(\mathcal{A} |t-s|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{|\ln(|t-s|/T)|} \right)^p \right\} \\ &= T^{\frac{\alpha p}{2}} \left(\frac{\delta}{T} \right)^{\alpha p/2} \sqrt{|\ln(\delta/T)|^p} \mathbb{E} \{ \mathcal{A}^p \} \\ &= C \delta^{\alpha p/2} \sqrt{|\ln(\delta/T)|^p}, \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows. \square

Now we are ready to prove the main results.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We have by Theorem 2.2 with $T_\delta = (-\ln \delta)^{2/\alpha}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_\alpha(d, \mathcal{K}) - \mathcal{P}_\alpha^\delta(d, \mathcal{K}) &\leq \mathcal{P}_\alpha(d, \mathcal{K}; T_\delta) - \mathcal{P}_\alpha^\delta(d, \mathcal{K}; T_\delta) + o(\delta^{\alpha/2}). \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t \in \mathcal{K} \cap [-T_\delta, T_\delta]} e^{Z_\alpha(t)} - \sup_{t \in \mathcal{K} \cap [-T_\delta, T_\delta]_\delta} e^{Z_\alpha(t)} \right\} + o(\delta^{\alpha/2}) \\ &\leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T_\delta]} e^{Z_\alpha(t)} - \sup_{t \in [0, T_\delta]_\delta} e^{Z_\alpha(t)} \right\} + o(\delta^{\alpha/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for any $y \leq x$ it holds, that $e^x - e^y \leq (x-y)e^x$. Implementing this inequality we find that, for $s, t \in [0, T_\delta]$,

$$\left| e^{Z_\alpha(t)} - e^{Z_\alpha(s)} \right| \leq e^{\max(Z_\alpha(t), Z_\alpha(s))} |Z_\alpha(t) - Z_\alpha(s)|$$

$$\leq e^{\max_{w \in [0, \infty)} Z_\alpha(w)} \left| \sqrt{2}(B_\alpha(t) - B_\alpha(s)) - (t^\alpha - s^\alpha) \right|.$$

By the lines above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T_\delta]} e^{Z_\alpha(t)} - \sup_{t \in [0, T_\delta]_\delta} e^{Z_\alpha(t)} \right\} \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t, s \in [0, T_\delta], |t-s| \leq \delta} |e^{Z_\alpha(t)} - e^{Z_\alpha(s)}| \right\} \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t, s \in [0, T_\delta], |t-s| \leq \delta} e^{\max_{w \in [0, \infty)} Z_\alpha(w)} \left| \sqrt{2}(B_\alpha(t) - B_\alpha(s)) - (t^\alpha - s^\alpha) \right| \right\} \\ & \leq \sqrt{2} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t, s \in [0, T_\delta], |t-s| \leq \delta} e^{\max_{w \in [0, \infty)} Z_\alpha(w)} |B_\alpha(t) - B_\alpha(s)| \right\} + \mathbb{E} \left\{ e^{\max_{w \in [0, \infty)} Z_\alpha(w)} \sup_{t, s \in [0, T_\delta], |t-s| \leq \delta} |t^\alpha - s^\alpha| \right\} \\ & \leq \sqrt{2} \mathbb{E} \left\{ e^{q \max_{w \in [0, \infty)} Z_\alpha(w)} \right\}^{1/q} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t, s \in [0, T_\delta], |t-s| \leq \delta} |B_\alpha(t) - B_\alpha(s)|^p \right\}^{1/p} + o(\delta^{\alpha/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where $1/q + 1/p = 1$ and q is chosen such that $\mathbb{E} \left\{ e^{q \max_{w \in [0, \infty)} Z_\alpha(w)} \right\} < \infty$, this is possible to do by Theorem 3.1. Next by Lemma 3.2 and lines above we have

$$\mathcal{P}_\alpha(d, \mathcal{K}) - \mathcal{P}_\alpha^\delta(d, \mathcal{K}) \leq C\delta^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\ln(|\delta/T_\delta|)} + o(\delta^{\alpha/2})$$

and the claim follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We have

$$\mathcal{P}_\alpha^\delta(a) - \mathcal{P}_\alpha^\delta(d, \mathcal{K}; T) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t \in \mathcal{K} \cap (-\infty, \infty)_\delta} e^{Z_\alpha(t)} - \sup_{t \in \mathcal{K} \cap [-T, T]_\delta} e^{Z_\alpha(t)} \right\} \leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} e^{Z_\alpha(t)} \mathbb{I}(M_T) \right\},$$

where $M_T = \{\omega \in \Omega : Z_\alpha(\omega, t) > 0 \text{ for some } t \in (-\infty, -T] \cap [T, \infty)\}$ with Ω being the general probability space. We have by Borell-TIS inequality and the symmetry

$$\mathbb{P} \{M_T\} \leq 2\mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists t \geq T : \sqrt{2}B_\alpha(t) - t^\alpha > 0 \right\} = 2\mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists t \geq T : \frac{\sqrt{2}B_\alpha(t)}{t^{\alpha/2}} > t^{\alpha/2} \right\} \leq e^{-CT^\alpha}.$$

By Lemma 3.1 $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} e^{Z_\alpha(t)}$ has the finite moment of order $1 + d/2$ and hence applying Hölder inequality we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} e^{Z_\alpha(t)} \mathbb{I}(M_T) \right\} \leq C_1 \mathbb{P} \{M_T\}^{C_2} \leq e^{-CT^\alpha}$$

and the claim follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Let $Z_\alpha(t) = \sqrt{2}B_\alpha(t) - (1+a)|t|^\alpha$ be defined as in (1). We will show the statement only for $\mathcal{K} = (-\infty, \infty)$; the proof for $\mathcal{K} = [0, \infty)$ will be analogous (but slightly simpler). Fix $a > 0$ for $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$M^J = \sup_{t \in J} Z_\alpha(t), \quad M_\delta^J = \sup_{t \in J \cap \delta\mathbb{Z}} Z_\alpha(t), \quad \Delta_\delta^J := \frac{M^J - M_\delta^J}{\delta^{1/2}}.$$

For brevity we write $M := M^\mathbb{R}$, $M_\delta := M_\delta^\mathbb{R}$, and $\Delta_\delta := \Delta_\delta^\mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{P}_\alpha(d, \mathcal{K}) - \mathcal{P}_\alpha^\delta(d, \mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{E} \{e^M - e^{M_\delta}\}.$$

Using mean value theorem and noticing that $M_\delta \leq M$ a.s., we obtain the following bounds

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{E} \{ (M - M_\delta) \cdot e^{M_\delta} \} \leq \mathcal{P}_\alpha(d, \mathcal{K}) - \mathcal{P}_\alpha^\delta(d, \mathcal{K}) \leq \mathbb{E} \{ (M - M_\delta) \cdot e^M \}.$$

Till the end, we want to show that

$$(4) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \{ \Delta_\delta \cdot e^{M_\delta} \} = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \{ \Delta_\delta \cdot e^M \} = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \{ \Delta_\delta \} \cdot \mathcal{P}_\alpha(d, \mathcal{K}) = -\frac{\zeta(1/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \mathcal{P}_\alpha(d, \mathcal{K}),$$

which, in combination with (3), will conclude the proof. We will show (4) in three steps.

Step 1. Show that there exists a random variable D such that $\mathbb{E} \{ D \} = -\zeta(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}) \mathbb{E} \{ \widehat{X}_1^+ \}$ and such that for any continuity set A of D and an arbitrary event $B \in \mathcal{F}$ of positive probability, where \mathcal{F} is the borel σ -algebra, under which Z is adapted to the usual fitration, for $J = \mathbb{R}$, as $\delta \downarrow 0$

$$(5) \quad \mathbb{P} \{ \Delta_\delta^J \in A, B \} \rightarrow \mathbb{P} \{ D \in A \} \mathbb{P} \{ B \}.$$

The statement (5) for $J = \mathbb{R}$ implies that $\Delta_\delta \xrightarrow{d} D$ and, intuitively, that the sequence is ‘asymptotically independent’ of the process $\{Z_\alpha(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$.

Now, [11, Thm. 4] implies that (5) holds for $J = [0, T)$ as well as $J = (-T, 0]$ for arbitrary $T \in (0, \infty)$.

Now, let τ and τ_δ be the (almost surely unique) time epochs of the all-time supremum of Z over \mathbb{R} and over $\delta\mathbb{Z}$ respectively, i.e. $Z_\alpha(\tau) = M$ and $Z_\alpha(\tau_\delta) = M_\delta$. For any $T > 0$, let C_T^+ be the event that $\{\tau \in [0, T), \tau_\delta \in [0, T)\}$ and similarly, let $C_T^- := \{\tau \in (-T, 0], \tau_\delta \in (-T, 0]\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \{ \Delta_\delta \in A, B \} &= \mathbb{P} \{ \Delta_\delta \in A, B, C_T^- \} + \mathbb{P} \{ \Delta_\delta \in A, B, C_T^+ \} + \mathbb{P} \{ \Delta_\delta \in A, B, (C_T^- \cup C_T^+)' \} \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \Delta_\delta^{[0, T)} \in A, B, C_T^+ \right\} + \mathbb{P} \left\{ \Delta_\delta^{(-T, 0]} \in A, B, C_T^- \right\} + \mathbb{P} \{ \Delta_\delta \in A, B, (C_T^- \cup C_T^+)' \}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\left| \mathbb{P} \{ \Delta_\delta \in A, B \} - \left(\mathbb{P} \left\{ \Delta_\delta^{[0, T)} \in A, B, C_T^+ \right\} + \mathbb{P} \left\{ \Delta_\delta^{(-T, 0]} \in A, B, C_T^- \right\} \right) \right| \leq \mathbb{P} \{ (C_T^- \cup C_T^+)' \}.$$

We now pass $\delta \rightarrow 0$, use (5) with $B := B \cap C_T^+$ and $B := B \cap C_T^-$, and obtain

$$\left| \mathbb{P} \{ \Delta_\delta \in A, B \} - \mathbb{P} \{ D \in A \} \left(\mathbb{P} \{ B, C_T^+ \} + \mathbb{P} \{ B, C_T^- \} \right) \right| \leq \mathbb{P} \{ (C_T^- \cup C_T^+)' \}.$$

We pass $T \rightarrow \infty$ and notice that $C_T^+ \cap C_T^- = \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{P} \{ C_T^+ \cup C_T^- \} \rightarrow 1$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$, which shows (5) for $J = \mathbb{R}$. Finally, we note that $\mathbb{E} \{ D \} = -\frac{\zeta(1/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}}$, which is established in [2, Corollary 4].

Step 2. Show that the family of random variables $\Delta_\delta \cdot e^{M_\delta}$, indexed by δ , is uniformly integrable for δ small enough.

According to de la Vallée-Poussin Theorem, it is enough to establish that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the sequence $\mathbb{E} \{ (\Delta_\delta \cdot e^{M_\delta})^{1+\varepsilon} \}$ is bounded for all δ small enough. Due to Hölder’s inequality, with $p, q \in [1, \infty)$ satisfying $1/p + 1/q = 1$ we obtain

$$(6) \quad \mathbb{E} \{ (\Delta_\delta \cdot e^{M_\delta})^{1+\varepsilon} \} \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \left\{ \Delta_\delta^{p(1+\varepsilon)} \right\} \right)^{1/p} \cdot \left(\mathbb{E} \left\{ e^{q(1+\varepsilon)M_\delta} \right\} \right)^{1/q}.$$

We now establish that for any $0 < p < \alpha$, the p th moment of Δ_δ is bounded for all δ small enough. Since $\Delta_\delta \leq \Delta_\delta^{[0, \infty)} + \Delta_\delta^{(-\infty, 0]}$, then for any $p > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{E} \{ \Delta_\delta \}^p \leq \max\{1, 2^{p-1}\} \cdot \left(\mathbb{E} \left\{ \Delta_\delta^{[0, \infty)} \right\}^p + \mathbb{E} \left\{ \Delta_\delta^{(-\infty, 0]} \right\}^p \right),$$

where we used the fact that $(x + y)^p \leq \max\{1, 2^{p-1}\}(x^p + y^p)$ for all $x, y > 0$. The boundedness of $\mathbb{E} \{ \Delta_\delta \}^p$ readily follows from the boundedness of $\mathbb{E} \left\{ \Delta_\delta^{[0, \infty)} \right\}^p$ and $\mathbb{E} \left\{ \Delta_\delta^{(-\infty, 0]} \right\}^p$, which are established in [2, Theorem 2]. Moreover, since M is exponentially distributed with mean $(1+a)^{-1}$, then $\mathbb{E} \{ e^{\alpha M} \} < \infty$

for any $\alpha < 1 + a$. So, in (6), if we take $q > 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough such that $q(1 + \varepsilon) < 1 + a$, then $\mathbb{E} \{e^{q(1+\varepsilon)M_\delta}\} \leq \mathbb{E} \{e^{q(1+\varepsilon)M}\}$ will be bounded, as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Step 3. Since $e^{M_\delta} \rightarrow e^M$ a.s., and the limit is \mathcal{F} -measurable, then due to the mixing condition in (5), the pair $(\Delta_\delta, e^{M_\delta})$ converges jointly in distribution to (D, e^M) , where D is independent of e^M . Now, from the continuous mapping theorem we find that $\Delta_\delta \cdot e^{M_\delta} \xrightarrow{d} D \cdot e^M$. Finally, (4) follows from the uniform integrability of the family $\Delta_\delta \cdot e^{M_\delta}$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Prof. Enkelejd Hashorva and Prof. Krzysztof Dębicki for fruitful discussions. Krzysztof Bisewski's research was funded by SNSF Grant 200021-196888. Grigori Jasnovidov was supported by Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation grant 075-15-2022-289.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bai, L., Dębicki, K., Hashorva, E., and Luo, L. (2018). On generalised Piterbarg constants. *Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab.*, 20(1):137–164.
- [2] Bisewski, K. and Ivanovs, J. (2020). Zooming-in on a Lévy process: failure to observe threshold exceedance over a dense grid. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 25:Paper No. 113, 33.
- [3] Bisewski, K. and Jasnovidov, G. (2021). On the speed of convergence of discrete Pickands constants to continuous ones.
- [4] Borovkov, K., Mishura, Y., Novikov, A., and Zhitlukhin, M. (2017). Bounds for expected maxima of Gaussian processes and their discrete approximations. *An International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes*.
- [5] Borovkov, K., Mishura, Y., Novikov, A., and Zhitlukhin, M. (2018). New and refined bounds for expected maxima of fractional Brownian motion. *Statistics and Probability Letters*.
- [6] Dalang, R., Khoshnevisan, D., Mueller, C., Nualart, D., and Xiao, Y. (2009). *A minicourse on stochastic partial differential equations*, volume 1962 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. Held at the University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, May 8–19, 2006, Edited by Khoshnevisan and Firas Rassoul-Agha.
- [7] Dębicki, K. and Hashorva, E. (2020). Approximation of supremum of max-stable stationary processes & Pickands constants. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 33(1):444–464.
- [8] Dębicki, K. and Tabiś, K. (2019). Pickands-Piterbarg constants for self-similar Gaussian processes.
- [9] Dieker, A. B. and Lagos, G. (2017). On the Euler discretization error of Brownian motion about random times. *arXiv:1708.04356*.
- [10] Hashorva, E., Ji, L., and Piterbarg, V. I. (2013). On the supremum of γ -reflected processes with fractional Brownian motion as input. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 123(11):4111–4127.
- [11] Ivanovs, J. (2018). Zooming in on a Lévy process at its supremum. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 28(2):912–940.
- [12] Jasnovidov, G. (2021). Simultaneous ruin probability for two-dimensional fractional Brownian motion risk process over discrete grid. *Lithuanian Mathematical Journal*.
- [13] Jasnovidov, G. and Shemendyuk, A. (2021). Parisian ruin for insurer and reinsurer under quota-share treaty. *arXiv:2103.03213*.

- [14] Ling, C., Zhang, H., and Bai, L. (2019). On generalized Piterbarg-Berman function.
- [15] Piterbarg, V. I. (2015). *Twenty Lectures About Gaussian Processes*. Atlantic Financial Press London New York.

KRZYSZTOF BISEWSKI, DEPARTMENT OF ACTUARIAL SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF LAUSANNE, UNIL-DORIGNY, 1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND

Email address: `Krzysztof.Bisewski@unil.ch`

GRIGORI JASNOVIDOV, LABORATORY OF STATISTICAL METHODS, ST. PETERSBURG DEPARTMENT OF STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF ACTUARIAL SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF LAUSANNE, UNIL-DORIGNY, 1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND

Email address: `griga1995@yandex.ru`