

# On the minimum cut-sets of the power graph of a finite cyclic group

Sanjay Mukherjee      Kamal Lochan Patra      Binod Kumar Sahoo

September 29, 2022

## Abstract

The power graph  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  of a finite group  $G$  is the simple graph with vertex set  $G$ , in which two distinct vertices are adjacent if one of them is a power of the other. For an integer  $n \geq 2$ , let  $C_n$  denote the cyclic group of order  $n$  and let  $r$  be the number of distinct prime divisors of  $n$ . The minimum cut-sets of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  are characterized in [4] for  $r \leq 3$ . In this paper, for  $r \geq 4$ , we identify certain cut-sets of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  such that any minimum cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  must be one of them.

**Key words:** Power graph, Cut-set, Cyclic group, Euler's totient function

**AMS subject classification.** 05C25, 05C40

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Gamma$  be a simple graph with vertex set  $V$ . A subset  $X$  of  $V$  is called a *cut-set* of  $\Gamma$  if the induced subgraph of  $\Gamma$  with vertex set  $V \setminus X$  is disconnected. A cut-set  $X$  of  $\Gamma$  is called a *minimum cut-set* if  $|X| \leq |Y|$  for any cut-set  $Y$  of  $\Gamma$ . If  $V = A \cup B$  for two nonempty disjoint subsets  $A, B$  of  $V$  such that there is no edge of  $\Gamma$  containing vertices from both  $A$  and  $B$ , then we say that  $A \cup B$  is a *separation* of  $\Gamma$ . Note that  $\Gamma$  is disconnected if and only if there exists a separation of  $\Gamma$ .

### 1.1 Power graphs

There are various graphs associated with groups which have been studied in the literature, e.g., Cayley graphs, commuting graphs. The notion of directed power graph of a group was introduced by Kelarev and Quinn in [13]. The underlying undirected graph, simply termed as the 'power graph' of that group, was first considered by Chakrabarty et al. in [3]. Several researchers have then studied both the directed and undirected power graphs of groups from different viewpoints. We refer to the survey papers [1, 15] and the references therein for more on these graphs.

The *power graph* of a finite group  $G$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{P}(G)$ , is the simple graph with vertex set  $G$ , in which two distinct vertices are adjacent if one of them is a power of the other. Since the identity element of  $G$  is adjacent to all other vertices,  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  is a connected graph of diameter at most two. Chakrabarty et al. proved that  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  is a complete graph if and only if  $G$  is a cyclic group of prime power order [3, Theorem 2.12].

Cameron and Ghosh [2] and Mirzargar et. al [19, 20] have studied the isomorphism problem associated with finite groups and their power graphs. Feng et al. [12] described

the full automorphism group of the power graph of a finite group. Kirkland et al. [14] derived explicit formulas concerning the complexity (that is, number of spanning trees) of power graphs of various finite groups. Graph parameters such as chromatic number [16, 22], metric dimension [11], strong metric dimension [17, 18] etc. of power graphs of finite groups have also been studied in the literature. It was proved in [10, Theorem 5] and [11, Corollary 2.5] that the power graph of a finite group is perfect, in particular, the clique number and the chromatic number coincide. Explicit formula for the clique number of the power graph of a finite cyclic group was obtained in [19, Theorem 2] and [10, Theorem 7].

For a given finite group  $G$ , characterizing the minimum cut-sets of  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  is an interesting problem. It was proved in [8, Theorem 1.3] and [9, Corollary 3.4] respectively that, among all finite groups of order  $n$ , the power graph  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  of the cyclic group  $C_n$  of order  $n$  has the maximum number of edges and has the largest clique. It is thus expected that the size of a minimum cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  would be larger comparing to the size of the minimum cut-set of the power graph of a noncyclic group of order  $n$ . A few cut-sets of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  were identified in [4, 6, 21] which appeared to be minimum cut-sets for some values for  $n$ . In this paper, we identify certain cut-sets of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  such that one of them must be a minimum cut-set for any given  $n$ .

## 1.2 Cut-sets of $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$

Throughout the paper,  $n \geq 2$  is an integer and  $r$  denotes the number of distinct prime divisors of  $n$ . We write the prime power factorization of  $n$  as

$$n = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_r^{n_r},$$

where  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r$  are positive integers and  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r$  are primes with  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_r$ . For a positive integer  $m$ , we denote by  $[m]$  the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ .

For every positive divisor  $d$  of  $n$ , there is a unique (cyclic) subgroup of  $C_n$  of order  $d$ . It follows that two distinct elements  $x, y$  of  $C_n$  are adjacent in  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  if and only if  $o(x) \mid o(y)$  or  $o(y) \mid o(x)$ , where  $o(z)$  denotes the order of an element  $z \in C_n$ . The lattice of all subgroups of  $C_n$  with respect to inclusion is isomorphic to the lattice of all divisors of  $n$  with respect to divisibility. If  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k$  are subgroups of  $C_n$ , then the number of elements in the intersection  $H_1 \cap H_2 \cap \dots \cap H_k$  is equal to the greatest common divisor of the integers  $|H_1|, |H_2|, \dots, |H_k|$ .

For every positive divisor  $d$  of  $n$ , we denote by  $E_d$  the set of all elements of  $C_n$  whose order is  $d$  and by  $S_d$  the set of all elements of  $C_n$  whose order divides  $d$ . Then  $S_d$  is the unique (cyclic) subgroup of  $C_n$  of order  $d$  and  $E_d$  is precisely the set of generators of  $S_d$ . Thus  $|S_d| = d$  and  $|E_d| = \phi(d)$ , where  $\phi$  is the Euler's totient function. Any two distinct elements of  $E_d$  are adjacent in  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ . Any cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  must contain the sets  $E_n$  and  $E_1$ , as each element from these two sets is adjacent with all other vertices of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ .

### Cut-sets of the first type

Let  $r \geq 2$ ,  $a \in [r]$  and  $s \in [n_a]$ . We denote by  $Q_a^s$  the union of the subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^s}}$  of  $C_n$ , where  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a\}$ . Define the subset  $Z_a^s$  of  $C_n$  by

$$Z_a^s := E_n \cup E_{\frac{n}{p_a}} \cup E_{\frac{n}{p_a^2}} \cup \dots \cup E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s-1}}} \cup Q_a^s.$$

In Section 3.1, we prove that  $Z_a^s$  is a cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ .

## Cut-sets of the second type

Let  $r \geq 3$ ,  $a, b \in [r]$  with  $a \neq b$ ,  $s \in [n_a]$  and  $t \in [n_b]$ . We denote by  $K_{a,b}^{s,t}$  the set of all the nongenerators of the cyclic subgroup  $S_{\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t}}$  of  $C_n$  and by  $H_{a,b}^{s,t}$  the union of the mutually disjoint sets  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^i p_b^j}}$ , where  $0 \leq i \leq s$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq t$  and  $(i, j) \neq (s, t)$ . Define the subset  $X_{a,b}^{s,t}$  of  $C_n$  by

$$X_{a,b}^{s,t} := H_{a,b}^{s,t} \cup K_{a,b}^{s,t}.$$

In Section 3.2, we prove that  $X_{a,b}^{s,t}$  is a cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ .

### 1.3 Main result

If  $r = 1$ , then  $n$  is a prime power and so  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  is a complete graph. In this case, there is no cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ .

Suppose that  $r = 2$ . Then  $n = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2}$ . If  $p_1 \geq 3$ , then  $2\phi(p_1) > p_1$  and so  $Z_2^1$  is the only minimum cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  by [4, Proposition 4.4]. If  $p_1 = 2$ , then it follows from the proof of [4, Theorem 1.3(iii)] that the number of distinct minimum cut-sets of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  is  $n_2$ , namely the cut-sets  $Z_2^s$  for  $s \in [n_2]$ .

Suppose that  $r = 3$ . Then  $n = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} p_3^{n_3}$ . If  $p_1 \geq 3$ , then  $2\phi(p_1 p_2) > p_1 p_2$  and so  $Z_3^1$  is the only minimum cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  by [4, Proposition 4.4]. If  $p_1 = 2$ , then it follows from the proof of [4, Theorem 1.5] that  $Z_3^{n_3}$  is the only minimum cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ .

For  $r \geq 4$ , we study the possible minimum cut-sets of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  and prove the following theorem in Section 5.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $r \geq 4$  and  $X$  be a minimum cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ . Then  $X = Z_r^1$ ; or  $X = Z_a^{n_a}$  for some  $a \in [r]$  with  $n_a \geq 2$ ; or  $X = X_{a,b}^{s,t}$  for some  $a, b \in [r]$  with  $a \neq b$ ,  $s \in [n_a]$  and  $t \in [n_b]$ .*

## 2 Euler's totient function

We continue with the notation introduced in the previous section. We have  $\phi(p^k) = p^{k-1}(p-1) = p^{k-1}\phi(p)$  for any prime  $p$  and positive integer  $k$ . Since  $\phi$  is a multiplicative function, we have  $\phi(n) = \phi(p_1^{n_1})\phi(p_2^{n_2})\cdots\phi(p_r^{n_r})$ . For  $i, k \in [r]$  with  $i \leq k$ , we have

$$\left| E_{\frac{n}{p_i}} \right| = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_i}\right) \geq \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_k}\right) = \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_k}} \right|. \quad (1)$$

Let  $I$  be a nonempty subset of  $[r]$  with  $|I| = t$ . Then by [5, Lemma 3.1], we have

$$(t+1)\phi\left(\prod_{i \in I} p_i\right) \geq \prod_{i \in I} p_i, \quad (2)$$

where equality holds if and only if  $(t, I) = (1, \{1\})$  with  $p_1 = 2$  or  $(t, I) = (2, \{1, 2\})$  with  $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3$ . By expanding  $\phi\left(\prod_{i \in I} p_i\right) = \prod_{i \in I} (p_i - 1)$ , it follows that

$$\prod_{i \in I} p_i - \phi\left(\prod_{i \in I} p_i\right) = \sum_{i=1} \frac{\eta}{p_i} - \sum_{\substack{i,j \in I \\ i < j}} \frac{\eta}{p_i p_j} + \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \in I \\ i < j < k}} \frac{\eta}{p_i p_j p_k} - \cdots + (-1)^{t-1}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\eta = \prod_{i \in I} p_i$ . We next calculate the cardinality of certain subsets of  $C_n$  which are needed in the subsequent sections.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $a \in [r]$  and  $0 \leq k \leq s \leq n_a$ . Then*

$$\sum_{l=k}^s \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_a^l}} \right| = \sum_{l=k}^s \phi \left( \frac{n}{p_a^l} \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{p_1 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{1}{p_a^{k-1}} \times \phi \left( \frac{p_1 \cdots p_r}{p_a} \right), & \text{if } s = n_a \\ \frac{n}{p_1 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{1}{p_a^{k-1}} \times \phi \left( \frac{p_1 \cdots p_r}{p_a} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_a^{s-k+1}} \right), & \text{if } s < n_a \end{cases}.$$

*Proof.* We have  $\sum_{l=k}^s \phi \left( \frac{n}{p_a^l} \right) = \phi \left( \frac{n}{p_a^k} \right) \left[ \sum_{l=k}^s \phi \left( p_a^{n-l} \right) \right]$ . Since  $\phi \left( \frac{n}{p_a^k} \right) = \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{1}{p_a^{k-1}} \times \phi \left( \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a} \right)$  and

$$\sum_{l=k}^s \phi \left( p_a^{n-l} \right) = \sum_{i=n_a-s}^{n_a-k} \phi(p_a^i) = \begin{cases} p_a^{n_a-k}, & \text{if } s = n_a \\ p_a^{n_a-k} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_a^{s-k+1}} \right), & \text{if } s < n_a, \end{cases}$$

the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $a, b \in [r]$  with  $a \neq b$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq n_a$  and  $0 \leq t \leq n_b$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=s}^{n_a} \sum_{l=t}^{n_b} \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_a^k p_b^l}} \right| = \phi \left( \frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t} \right) p_a^{n_a-s} p_b^{n_b-t}.$$

*Proof.* We have  $\sum_{k=s}^{n_a} \sum_{l=t}^{n_b} \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_a^k p_b^l}} \right| = \sum_{k=s}^{n_a} \sum_{l=t}^{n_b} \phi \left( \frac{n}{p_a^k p_b^l} \right) = \phi \left( \frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t} \right) \left[ \sum_{k=s}^{n_a} \phi \left( p_a^{n_a-k} \right) \right] \left[ \sum_{l=t}^{n_b} \phi \left( p_b^{n_b-l} \right) \right]$ . Then the lemma follows as  $\sum_{k=s}^{n_a} \phi \left( p_a^{n_a-k} \right) = p_a^{n_a-s}$  and  $\sum_{l=t}^{n_b} \phi \left( p_b^{n_b-l} \right) = p_b^{n_b-t}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *For  $a \in [r]$  and  $s \in [n_a]$ , let  $Q_a^s$  be the union of the subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^s}}$  of  $C_n$ , where  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a\}$ . Then*

$$|Q_a^s| = \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{1}{p_a^{s-1}} \times \left[ \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a} - \phi \left( \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a} \right) \right].$$

*Proof.* Consider the subgroup  $S_{\frac{n}{p_a^s}}$  of  $C_n$  containing  $Q_a^s$ . Let  $\widetilde{Q}_a^s$  denote the complement of  $Q_a^s$  in  $S_{\frac{n}{p_a^s}}$ . If  $x \in S_{\frac{n}{p_a^s}}$  and  $o(x) = \frac{n}{p_a^k}$  for some  $k$  with  $s \leq k \leq n_a$ , then  $x \in \widetilde{Q}_a^s$ . Conversely, if  $y \in \widetilde{Q}_a^s$ , then  $o(y)$  must be divisible by  $p_i^{n_i}$  for every  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a\}$  and so  $o(y)$  is of the form  $\frac{n}{p_a^k}$ , where  $s \leq k \leq n_a$ .

Thus  $\widetilde{Q}_a^s = E_{\frac{n}{p_a^s}} \cup E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}} \cup \cdots \cup E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a}}}$ , which is a disjoint union. Using Lemma 2.1, we have  $|\widetilde{Q}_a^s| = \sum_{l=s}^{n_a} \phi \left( \frac{n}{p_a^l} \right) = \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{1}{p_a^{s-1}} \times \phi \left( \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a} \right)$ . Hence  $|Q_a^s| = \left| S_{\frac{n}{p_a^s}} \right| - |\widetilde{Q}_a^s| = \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{1}{p_a^{s-1}} \times \left[ \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a} - \phi \left( \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a} \right) \right]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $r \geq 3$ . If  $a, b \in [r]$  with  $a < b$ , then  $|Q_a^1| > |Q_b^1|$ . As a consequence,  $|Z_a^1| > |Z_b^1|$ .*

*Proof.* Using Lemma 2.3, we get  $|Q_a^1| - |Q_b^1| = \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times (p_b - p_a) \left[ \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a p_b} - \phi \left( \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a p_b} \right) \right]$ . Since  $a < b$ , we have  $p_a < p_b$ . As  $r \geq 3$ , it follows that  $|Q_a^1| > |Q_b^1|$ . Then  $|Z_a^1| = |E_n| + |Q_a^1| > |E_n| + |Q_b^1| = |Z_b^1|$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $r \geq 3$  and  $a, b \in [r]$  such that  $a \neq b$  and  $p_b > 2$ . If  $T$  is the union of the  $r - 2$  subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_b p_j}}$  of  $C_n$  with  $j \in [r] \setminus \{a, b\}$ , then  $\left| E_{\frac{n}{p_a}} \right| + |T| > |Q_r^1|$ .

*Proof.* Note that the union of  $T$  and  $S_{\frac{n}{p_a p_b}}$  is  $Q_b^1$ . Let  $\tilde{T}$  be the complement of  $T$  in  $Q_b^1$ . Then  $\tilde{T}$  is a subset of  $S_{\frac{n}{p_a p_b}}$ . Further,  $\tilde{T}$  is precisely union of the mutually disjoint sets  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^k p_b^l}}$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq n_a$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq n_b$ . By Lemma 2.2, we get  $|\tilde{T}| = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) p_a^{n_a-1} p_b^{n_b-1} = \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a p_b}\right)$ . We have

$$\left| E_{\frac{n}{p_a}} \right| = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a}\right) \geq \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{\phi(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r)}{p_a} = |\tilde{T}| \times \frac{\phi(p_a p_b)}{p_a}.$$

If  $b = r$ , then  $p_b \geq 5$  as  $r \geq 3$ . In this case,  $|\tilde{T}| \times \frac{\phi(p_a p_b)}{p_a} > |\tilde{T}|$  and so  $\left| E_{\frac{n}{p_a}} \right| + |T| > |\tilde{T}| + |T| = |Q_r^1|$ . If  $b < r$ , then  $|\tilde{T}| \times \frac{\phi(p_a p_b)}{p_a} \geq |\tilde{T}|$  as  $p_b > 2$  and so  $\left| E_{\frac{n}{p_a}} \right| + |T| \geq |\tilde{T}| + |T| = |Q_b^1| > |Q_r^1|$  by Lemma 2.4.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $a, b \in [r]$  with  $a \neq b$ ,  $s \in [n_a]$  and let  $Q_{a,b}^s$  be the union of the subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^s}}$  of  $C_n$ , where  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a, b\}$ . Then

$$|Q_{a,b}^s| = \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{p_b}{p_a^{s-1}} \times \left[ \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a p_b} - \phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a p_b}\right) \right].$$

*Proof.* Consider the subgroup  $S_{\frac{n}{p_a^s}}$  of  $C_n$  containing  $Q_{a,b}^s$ . Let  $\widetilde{Q_{a,b}^s}$  denote the complement of  $Q_{a,b}^s$  in  $S_{\frac{n}{p_a^s}}$ . Then  $\widetilde{Q_{a,b}^s}$  is a disjoint union of the sets  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^k p_b^l}}$ , where  $s \leq k \leq n_a$  and  $0 \leq l \leq n_b$ . Using Lemma 2.2, we get

$$|\widetilde{Q_{a,b}^s}| = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) p_a^{n_a-s} p_b^{n_b} = \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{p_b}{p_a^{s-1}} \times \phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a p_b}\right).$$

$$\text{Hence } |Q_{a,b}^s| = \left| S_{\frac{n}{p_a^s}} \right| - |\widetilde{Q_{a,b}^s}| = \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{p_b}{p_a^{s-1}} \times \left[ \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a p_b} - \phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a p_b}\right) \right]. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 2.7.**  $\phi\left(\frac{n}{p_j}\right) > \frac{n}{p_j p_r} - \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_j p_r}\right)$  for  $j \in [r - 1]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mu := \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_j}\right) + \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_j p_r}\right) = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_j^{n_j} p_r^{n_r}}\right) \phi\left(p_j^{n_j-1}\right) [\phi(p_r^{n_r}) + \phi(p_r^{n_r-1})]$ . We show that  $\mu > \frac{n}{p_j p_r}$ . Since  $\phi\left(\frac{n}{p_j^{n_j} p_r^{n_r}}\right) = \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{1}{p_j^{n_j-1} p_r^{n_r-1}} \times \phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_j p_r}\right)$  and

$$\phi\left(p_j^{n_j-1}\right) [\phi(p_r^{n_r}) + \phi(p_r^{n_r-1})] = \begin{cases} p_j^{n_j-2} p_r^{n_r-2} (p_r + 1) \phi(p_j p_r), & \text{if } n_j \geq 2, n_r \geq 2 \\ p_j^{n_j-2} p_r \phi(p_j), & \text{if } n_j \geq 2, n_r = 1 \\ p_r^{n_r-2} (p_r + 1) \phi(p_r), & \text{if } n_j = 1, n_r \geq 2 \\ p_r, & \text{if } n_j = 1 = n_r, \end{cases}$$

we get

$$\mu = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times (p_r + 1) \times \frac{\phi(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r)}{p_j p_r}, & \text{if } n_j \geq 2, n_r \geq 2 \\ \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{p_r}{p_j} \times \phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_r}\right), & \text{if } n_j \geq 2, n_r = 1 \\ \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{p_r + 1}{p_r} \times \phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_j}\right), & \text{if } n_j = 1, n_r \geq 2 \\ \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times p_r \times \phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_j p_r}\right), & \text{if } n_j = 1 = n_r \end{cases}$$

$$> \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_j p_r} = \frac{n}{p_j p_r}.$$

The strict inequality in the above follows from (2) using the fact that  $p_r \geq r + 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $I_1$  be a nonempty proper subset of  $[r]$ ,  $a \in [r] \setminus I_1$  and  $I_2 = [r] \setminus (I_1 \cup \{a\})$ . If  $K$  is the union of the subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a}}$  of  $C_n$  for  $i \in I_1$ , then*

$$|K| = \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \prod_{j \in I_2} p_j \times \left[ \prod_{i \in I_1} p_i - \phi\left(\prod_{i \in I_1} p_i\right) \right],$$

where  $\prod_{j \in I_2} p_j = 1$  if  $I_2$  is the empty set.

*Proof.* Let  $|I_1| = t$ ,  $\alpha := \prod_{i \in I_1} p_i$  and  $\beta := \prod_{k \in I_2} p_k$ . Since  $K = \bigcup_{i \in I_1} S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a}}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |K| &= \sum_{i \in I_1} \left| S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a}} \right| - \sum_{\substack{i, j \in I_1 \\ i < j}} \left| S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a}} \cap S_{\frac{n}{p_j p_a}} \right| + \cdots + (-1)^{t-1} \left| \bigcap_{i \in I_1} S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a}} \right| \\ &= \sum_{i \in I_1} \frac{n}{p_i p_a} - \sum_{\substack{i, j \in I_1 \\ i < j}} \frac{n}{p_i p_j p_a} + \cdots + (-1)^{t-1} \frac{n}{\alpha p_a} \\ &= \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \beta \times \left[ \sum_{i \in I_1} \frac{\alpha}{p_i} - \sum_{\substack{i, j \in I_1 \\ i < j}} \frac{\alpha}{p_i p_j} + \cdots + (-1)^{t-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \beta \times [\alpha - \phi(\alpha)], \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds using (3).  $\square$

### 3 The cut-sets $Z_a^s$ and $X_{a,b}^{s,t}$

For a nonempty proper subset  $X$  of  $C_n$ , we denote by  $\overline{X}$  the complement of  $X$  in  $C_n$  and by  $\mathcal{P}(\overline{X})$  the induced subgraph of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  with vertex set  $\overline{X}$ .

#### 3.1 The cut-sets $Z_a^s$

Let  $r \geq 2$ ,  $a \in [r]$  and  $s \in [n_a]$ . Recall that  $Z_a^s = E_n \cup E_{\frac{n}{p_a}} \cup E_{\frac{n}{p_a^2}} \cup \cdots \cup E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s-1}}} \cup Q_a^s$ , where  $Q_a^s$  is the union of the subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^s}}$  of  $C_n$  for  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a\}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** For  $r \geq 2$ ,  $Z_a^s$  is a cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ .

*Proof.* Take  $A = E_{\frac{n}{p_a^s}} \cup E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}} \cup \dots \cup E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a}}}$  and  $B = C_n \setminus (Z_a^s \cup A)$ . Then  $A, B$  are nonempty disjoint subsets of  $\overline{Z_a^s}$  and  $A \cup B = \overline{Z_a^s}$ .

For  $x \in \overline{Z_a^s}$ , the order of  $x$  is of the form  $p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_a^{l_a} \cdots p_r^{l_r}$ , where  $0 \leq l_i \leq n_i$  for  $i \in [r]$ . Note that  $x \in A$  if and only if  $l_i = n_i$  for every  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a\}$  and  $l_a \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_a - s\}$ . On the other hand,  $x \in B$  if and only if  $l_i \neq n_i$  for at least one  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a\}$  and  $l_a \in \{n_a - s + 1, \dots, n_a\}$ . It follows that the order of an element of  $A$  does not divide the order of any element of  $B$  and vice versa. So there is no edge of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  containing vertices from both  $A$  and  $B$ . Thus  $A \cup B$  is a separation of  $\mathcal{P}(\overline{Z_a^s})$  and hence  $Z_a^s$  is a cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ .  $\square$

The following is a consequence of Proposition 3.1.

**Corollary 3.2.** If  $r \geq 2$  and  $X$  is a minimum cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ , then  $|X| \leq |Z_a^s|$  for every  $a \in [r]$  and  $s \in [n_a]$ .

**Proposition 3.3.**  $|Z_a^s| = \phi(n) + \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{1}{p_a^{s-1}} \times \left[ \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a} + \phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a}\right) (p_a^{s-1} - 2) \right]$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $Z_a^s$  is a disjoint union of the sets  $E_n, E_{\frac{n}{p_a}}, E_{\frac{n}{p_a^2}}, \dots, E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s-1}}}$  and  $Q_a^s$ . We have  $|E_n| = \phi(n)$ . By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we get

$$\sum_{l=1}^{s-1} \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_a^l}} \right| = \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{1}{p_a^{s-1}} \times \phi\left(\frac{p_1 \cdots p_r}{p_a}\right) (p_a^{s-1} - 1)$$

and

$$|Q_a^s| = \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{1}{p_a^{s-1}} \times \left[ \frac{p_1 \cdots p_r}{p_a} - \phi\left(\frac{p_1 \cdots p_r}{p_a}\right) \right].$$

It then follows that  $|Z_a^s| = \phi(n) + \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{1}{p_a^{s-1}} \times \left[ \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a} + \phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a}\right) (p_a^{s-1} - 2) \right]$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $r \geq 3$ ,  $a \in [r]$  and  $n_a \geq 2$ . Then the following hold:

(i) If  $2\phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a}\right) > \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a}$ , then  $|Z_a^1| < |Z_a^2| < \dots < |Z_a^{n_a}|$ .

(ii) If  $2\phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a}\right) < \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a}$ , then  $|Z_a^1| > |Z_a^2| > \dots > |Z_a^{n_a}|$ .

*Proof.* Since  $n_a \geq 2$ , we have  $n_a - 1 \geq 1$ . For  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_a - 1\}$ , it can be calculated using Proposition 3.3 that

$$|Z_a^s| - |Z_a^{s+1}| = \frac{n}{p_1 \cdots p_r} \times \left( \frac{1}{p_a^{s-1}} - \frac{1}{p_a^s} \right) \left[ \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a} - 2\phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a}\right) \right].$$

Since  $r \geq 3$ , we have  $2\phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a}\right) \neq \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a}$  and so the proposition follows.  $\square$

### 3.2 The cut-sets $X_{a,b}^{s,t}$

Let  $r \geq 3$ ,  $a, b \in [r]$  with  $a \neq b$ ,  $s \in [n_a]$  and  $t \in [n_b]$ . Recall that  $X_{a,b}^{s,t} = H_{a,b}^{s,t} \cup K_{a,b}^{s,t}$ , where  $K_{a,b}^{s,t}$  is the set of all the nongenerators of the cyclic subgroup  $S_{\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t}}$  of  $C_n$  and  $H_{a,b}^{s,t}$  is the union of the mutually disjoint sets  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^i p_b^j}}$  with  $0 \leq i \leq s$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq t$  and  $(i, j) \neq (s, t)$ . The set  $E_n$  corresponds to  $(i, j) = (0, 0)$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *For  $r \geq 3$ ,  $X_{a,b}^{s,t}$  is a cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ .*

*Proof.* Note that for  $x \in C_n$ , we have  $x \in H_{a,b}^{s,t}$  if and only if  $o(x) \neq \frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t}$  and  $o(x)$  is divisible by  $\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t}$ . Further,  $x \in K_{a,b}^{s,t}$  if and only if  $o(x) \neq \frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t}$  and  $o(x)$  divides  $\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t}$ . Thus  $H_{a,b}^{s,t}$  and  $K_{a,b}^{s,t}$  are disjoint sets and  $X_{a,b}^{s,t}$  is the set of all elements of  $C_n \setminus E_{\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t}}$  which are adjacent with some (and hence all) elements of  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t}}$ . Taking  $A = E_{\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t}}$  and  $B = C_n \setminus (A \cup X_{a,b}^{s,t})$ , it then follows that  $X_{a,b}^{s,t}$  is a cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  with  $A \cup B$  a separation of  $\mathcal{P}(X_{a,b}^{s,t})$ .  $\square$

The following is a consequence of Proposition 3.5.

**Corollary 3.6.** *If  $r \geq 3$  and  $X$  is a minimum cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ , then  $|X| \leq |X_{a,b}^{s,t}|$  for every  $a, b \in [r]$  with  $a \neq b$ ,  $s \in [n_a]$  and  $t \in [n_b]$ .*

## 4 Distribution of the sets $E_{\frac{n}{p_i}}$ , $i \in [r]$

We start with two results (Propositions 4.2 and 4.1) which will be used frequently in the rest of this paper mostly without mention. The first one was proved in [4, Lemma 2.3].

**Proposition 4.1** ([4]). *Let  $Y$  be a minimum cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ . If  $d$  is a positive divisor of  $n$ , then either  $E_d$  is a subset of  $Y$  or  $E_d$  is disjoint from  $Y$ .*

Recall that two distinct elements  $x, y$  of  $C_n$  are adjacent in  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  if and only if  $o(x) \mid o(y)$  or  $o(y) \mid o(x)$ . As a consequence, we have the following:

**Proposition 4.2.** *Suppose that  $Y$  is a cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  and  $A \cup B$  is a separation of  $\mathcal{P}(\overline{Y})$  such that  $A$  contains an element of order  $a$  and  $B$  contains an element of order  $b$ . If  $d$  is the greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ , then  $Y$  contains the unique subgroup  $S_d$  of  $C_n$ .*

Now let  $X$  be a minimum cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  and  $A \cup B$  be a separation of  $\mathcal{P}(\overline{X})$ . Then for every positive divisor  $d$  of  $n$ , there are three possibilities for the set  $E_d$ : either  $E_d \subseteq X$ ,  $E_d \subseteq A$  or  $E_d \subseteq B$ . This follows as a consequence of Proposition 4.1. We study the distribution of the sets  $E_{\frac{n}{p_i}}$ ,  $i \in [r]$ , among  $A, B$  and  $X$ . Define three subsets  $U, V, W$  of  $[r]$  associated with  $A, B, X$  respectively by

$$U := \left\{ i \in [r] : E_{\frac{n}{p_i}} \subseteq A \right\}, \quad V := \left\{ i \in [r] : E_{\frac{n}{p_i}} \subseteq B \right\}, \quad W := \left\{ i \in [r] : E_{\frac{n}{p_i}} \subseteq X \right\}.$$

Then  $U, V$  and  $W$  are pairwise disjoint and  $|U| + |V| + |W| = r$ . We prove the following result using the cut-set  $X_{a,b}^{1,1}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  for suitable  $a, b \in [r]$ .

**Proposition 4.3.**  $|W| \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ .



*Proof.* Suppose that  $|W| \geq 3$ . Then  $X$  contains  $E_{\frac{n}{p_j}}$ ,  $E_{\frac{n}{p_k}}$  and  $E_{\frac{n}{p_l}}$  for some  $j, k, l \in [r]$  with  $j < k < l$ . Since  $X$  contains the set  $E_n$  also, we get

$$|X| \geq |E_n| + \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_j}} \right| + \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_k}} \right| + \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_l}} \right| \geq |E_n| + \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_k}} \right| + \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_k}} \right| + \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_r}} \right|$$

using (1). The cut-set  $X_{k,r}^{1,1}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  is a disjoint union of  $E_n$ ,  $E_{\frac{n}{p_k}}$ ,  $E_{\frac{n}{p_r}}$  and  $K_{k,r}^{1,1}$ . We have  $|K_{k,r}^{1,1}| = \frac{n}{p_k p_r} - \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_k p_r}\right)$ , as  $K_{k,r}^{1,1}$  is the set of all the nongenerators of the cyclic subgroup  $S_{\frac{n}{p_k p_r}}$  of  $C_n$ . By Lemma 2.7,  $\left| E_{\frac{n}{p_k}} \right| = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_k}\right) > \frac{n}{p_k p_r} - \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_k p_r}\right) = |K_{k,r}^{1,1}|$ . It then follows that  $|X| > |E_n| + \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_k}} \right| + \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_r}} \right| + |K_{k,r}^{1,1}| = |X_{k,r}^{1,1}|$ , a contradiction to Corollary 3.6.  $\square$

We note that Proposition 4.3 was also obtained in [6, Proposition 3.10] using a different cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ . The following proposition is proved by implicitly borrowing some of the arguments used in the proofs of [6, Propositions 4.2, 4.3].

**Proposition 4.4.** *If both  $U$  and  $V$  are nonempty, then  $W$  is the empty set and one of  $U, V$  must be the singleton set  $\{r\}$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $s \in U$  and  $t \in V$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $p_s > p_t$ . Then  $p_s > 2$ . We show that  $s = r$ ,  $U = \{r\}$  and  $W = \emptyset$ .

Let  $D$  be the union of the subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_s p_l}}$  of  $C_n$ , where  $l \in V$ . Since  $A \cup B$  is a separation of  $\mathcal{P}(\overline{X})$ , the subgroups involved in  $D$  must be contained in  $X$  and so  $D$  is a subset of  $X$ . By Proposition 4.3, we have  $|W| \leq 2$ . We complete the proof in four steps.

(I): We claim that  $|W| \neq 2$ . Suppose  $W = \{a, b\}$  for some  $a, b \in [r] \setminus \{s, t\}$  with  $a < b$ . Then  $a \leq r - 1$  and  $b \leq r$ . So  $\left| E_{\frac{n}{p_a}} \right| \geq \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_{r-1}}} \right|$  and  $\left| E_{\frac{n}{p_b}} \right| \geq \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_r}} \right|$  by (1). As  $D$  contains  $S_{\frac{n}{p_s p_t}}$ , we have  $|D| \geq \left| S_{\frac{n}{p_s p_t}} \right| = \frac{n}{p_s p_t} \geq \frac{n}{p_{r-1} p_r} = \left| S_{\frac{n}{p_{r-1} p_r}} \right| > |K_{r-1,r}^{1,1}|$ . Since  $X$  contains the mutually disjoint sets  $E_n$ ,  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a}}$ ,  $E_{\frac{n}{p_b}}$  and  $D$ , we get

$$|X| \geq |E_n| + \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_a}} \right| + \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_b}} \right| + |D| > |E_n| + \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_{r-1}}} \right| + \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_r}} \right| + |K_{r-1,r}^{1,1}|.$$

The cut-set  $X_{r-1,r}^{1,1}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  is the union of mutually disjoint sets  $E_n$ ,  $E_{\frac{n}{p_{r-1}}}$ ,  $E_{\frac{n}{p_r}}$  and  $K_{r-1,r}^{1,1}$ . It then follows that  $|X| > |X_{r-1,r}^{1,1}|$ , a contradiction to Corollary 3.6.

(II): We claim that  $(|U|, |W|) \neq (1, 1)$ . Otherwise,  $U = \{s\}$  and  $W = \{a\}$  for some  $a \in [r] \setminus \{s, t\}$ . Then  $V = [r] \setminus \{s, a\}$  and so  $D$  is the union of the  $r - 2$  subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_s p_j}}$ ,  $j \in [r] \setminus \{s, a\}$ , of  $C_n$ . Since  $p_s > 2$ , we have  $\left| E_{\frac{n}{p_a}} \right| + |D| > |Q_r^1|$  by Lemma 2.5. Since  $X$  contains the mutually disjoint sets  $E_n$ ,  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a}}$  and  $D$ , we get  $|X| \geq |E_n| + \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_a}} \right| + |D| > |E_n| + |Q_r^1| = |Z_r^1|$ , a contradiction to Corollary 3.2.

(III): We claim that  $|U| = 1$ . Suppose this is not the case. Then  $|U| \geq 2$ . Define the sets  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  by

$$D_1 = \bigcup_{k \in U \setminus \{s\}} S_{\frac{n}{p_k p_t}}, \quad D_2 = \bigcup_{k \in U \setminus \{s\}} S_{\frac{n}{p_s p_k}}.$$

Note that  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are nonempty sets as  $|U| \geq 2$ . Since  $A \cup B$  is a separation of  $\mathcal{P}(\overline{X})$ , the subgroups involved in  $D_1$  must be contained in  $X$ . Thus  $D \cup D_1$  is a subset of  $X$ . We assert that  $|D \cup D_1| > |D \cup D_2|$ .

If  $x \in D \cap D_1$ , then  $x \in S_{\frac{n}{p_s p_l}} \cap S_{\frac{n}{p_k p_t}}$  for some  $l \in V$  and  $k \in U \setminus \{s\}$ . Since  $S_{\frac{n}{p_s p_l}} \cap S_{\frac{n}{p_k p_t}}$  is a subgroup of  $S_{\frac{n}{p_s p_k p_t}} = S_{\frac{n}{p_s p_t}} \cap S_{\frac{n}{p_s p_k}}$ , we get  $x \in D \cap D_2$ . Thus  $D \cap D_1$  is a subset of  $D \cap D_2$  and hence  $|D \cap D_1| \leq |D \cap D_2|$ .

Put  $R_1 = [r] \setminus ((U \setminus \{s\}) \cup \{t\})$  and  $R_2 = [r] \setminus U = [r] \setminus ((U \setminus \{s\}) \cup \{s\})$ . Observe that  $t \notin R_1$ ,  $t \in R_2$ ,  $s \in R_1$ ,  $s \notin R_2$  and  $R_1 \setminus \{s\} = R_2 \setminus \{t\}$ . Since  $p_s > p_t$  by our assumption, we have  $\prod_{j \in R_1} p_j > \prod_{j \in R_2} p_j$ . Then using Lemma 2.8, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |D_1| &= \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \prod_{j \in R_1} p_j \times \left[ \prod_{k \in U \setminus \{s\}} p_k - \phi \left( \prod_{k \in U \setminus \{s\}} p_k \right) \right] \\ &> \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \prod_{j \in R_2} p_j \times \left[ \prod_{k \in U \setminus \{s\}} p_k - \phi \left( \prod_{k \in U \setminus \{s\}} p_k \right) \right] = |D_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $|D \cup D_1| = |D| + |D_1| - |D \cap D_1| > |D| + |D_2| - |D \cap D_2| = |D \cup D_2|$ .

If  $W = \emptyset$ , then  $U \cup V = [r]$  and so  $D \cup D_2 = Q_s^1$ . Since  $X$  contains  $E_n$  and  $D \cup D_1$ , we get  $|X| \geq |E_n| + |D \cup D_1| > |E_n| + |D \cup D_2| = |E_n| + |Q_s^1| = |Z_s^1|$ , a contradiction to Corollary 3.2.

If  $|W| = 1$ , then  $W = \{a\}$  for some  $a \in [r] \setminus \{s, t\}$ . In this case,  $U \cup V = [r] \setminus \{a\}$  and so  $D \cup D_2$  is the union of the  $r - 2$  subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_s p_j}}$ ,  $j \in [r] \setminus \{s, a\}$ , of  $C_n$ . As  $p_s > 2$ , we have  $\left| E_{\frac{n}{p_a}} \right| + |D \cup D_2| > |Q_r^1|$  by Lemma 2.5. Since  $X$  contains the mutually disjoint sets  $E_n$ ,  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a}}$  and  $D \cup D_1$ , we get  $|X| \geq |E_n| + \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_a}} \right| + |D \cup D_1| > |E_n| + \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_a}} \right| + |D \cup D_2| > |E_n| + |Q_r^1| = |Z_r^1|$ , a contradiction to Corollary 3.2.

(IV): We claim that  $s = r$ . By the previous three steps, we get  $U = \{s\}$  and  $W = \emptyset$ . So  $V = [r] \setminus \{s\}$  and hence  $D = Q_s^1$ . If  $s \neq r$ , then using Lemma 2.4, we get  $|X| \geq |E_n| + |D| = |E_n| + |Q_s^1| = |Z_s^1| > |Z_r^1|$ , a contradiction to Corollary 3.2.  $\square$

It follows from the proof of [6, Proposition 4.6] that if  $n_r \geq 2$  and  $|W| = 2$ , then  $|X| > |Z_r^{n_r}|$ , contradicting Corollary 3.2. We thus have the following:

**Proposition 4.5.** *If  $|W| = 2$ , then  $n_r = 1$ .*

## 5 Proof of the Main Theorem

Let  $r \geq 4$  and  $X$  be a minimum cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ . Fix a separation  $A \cup B$  of  $\mathcal{P}(\overline{X})$ . We continue with the sets  $U, V$  and  $W$  as defined in Section 4 which are associated with  $A, B$  and  $X$  respectively. By Proposition 4.3, we have  $|W| \leq 2$ .

### 5.1 The case $|W| = 0$

Suppose that  $W = \emptyset$ . Then  $U \neq \emptyset$  and  $V \neq \emptyset$ , as both  $A$  and  $B$  are nonempty subsets of  $\overline{X}$  and  $E_n$  is contained in  $X$ . So  $\{U, V\} = \{\{r\}, [r - 1]\}$  by Proposition 4.4. It then follows that  $X$  contains the subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_r}}$  for  $i \in [r - 1]$  and hence contains the cut-set  $Z_r^1$  of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ . Since  $X$  is a minimum cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ , we must have  $X = Z_r^1$ . Thus we have the following:

**Proposition 5.1.** *If  $W = \emptyset$ , then  $X = Z_r^1$ .*

## 5.2 The case $|W| = 1$

**Proposition 5.2.** *If  $W = \{a\}$  for some  $a \in [r]$ , then  $n_a \geq 2$ ,  $2\phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a}\right) < \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a}$  and  $X = Z_a^{n_a}$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume by Proposition 4.4 that  $U = \emptyset$ . Then  $V = [r] \setminus \{a\}$ . Since  $B$  contains the sets  $E_{\frac{n}{p_i}}$  for  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a\}$  and there is no edge of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  containing vertices from both  $A$  and  $B$ , the order of an element in  $A$  must be divisible by  $p_i^{n_i}$  for every  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a\}$  and hence must be of the form  $\frac{n}{p_a^k}$ , where  $0 \leq k \leq n_a$ . The elements of  $C_n$  of order  $n$  and  $\frac{n}{p_a}$  correspond to the elements contained in  $E_n$  and  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a}}$  respectively. Since  $E_n$  and  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a}}$  are contained in  $X$  and  $A$  is nonempty, it follows that  $k \geq 2$  and hence  $n_a \geq 2$ .

Let  $s \in \{2, 3, \dots, n_a\}$  be the smallest integer such that  $A$  has an element of order  $\frac{n}{p_a^s}$ . Then the sets  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^2}}, \dots, E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s-1}}}$  and the subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^s}}$ ,  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a\}$ , must be contained in  $X$ . It follows that  $X$  contains the cut-set  $Z_a^s$  of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ . Since  $X$  is a minimum cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ , we must have  $X = Z_a^s$ .

Since  $r \geq 4$ , we have  $2\phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a}\right) \neq \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a}$ . If  $2\phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a}\right) > \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a}$ , then  $s \geq 2$  implies  $|Z_a^s| > |Z_a^1|$  by Proposition 3.4. This gives  $|X| > |Z_a^1|$ , contradicting Corollary 3.2.

Thus  $2\phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a}\right) < \frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a}$ . In this case, by Proposition 3.4,  $|Z_a^s| \geq |Z_a^{n_a}|$  and equality holds if and only if  $s = n_a$ . Since  $X = Z_a^s$  is a minimum cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ , it follows that  $s = n_a$  and  $X = Z_a^{n_a}$ .  $\square$

## 5.3 The case $|W| = 2$

In the rest of this section, we consider that  $W = \{a, b\}$  for some  $a, b \in [r]$  with  $a \neq b$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume by Proposition 4.4 that  $U = \emptyset$ . Then  $V = [r] \setminus \{a, b\}$  and so  $B$  contains the sets  $E_{\frac{n}{p_i}}$  for  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a, b\}$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** *If  $x \in A$ , then  $o(x)$  cannot be of the form  $\frac{n}{p_c^k}$ , where  $c \in \{a, b\}$  and  $k \in [n_c]$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A$  contains an element of order of the form  $\frac{n}{p_c^k}$  for some  $c \in \{a, b\}$  and  $k \in [n_c]$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $c = a$ . Then  $k \geq 2$  as  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a}}$  is contained in  $X$ .

Let  $s \in \{2, 3, \dots, n_a\}$  be the smallest integer such that  $A$  contains an element of order  $\frac{n}{p_a^s}$ . Then the sets  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a}}, \dots, E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s-1}}}$  and the subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^s}}$ ,  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a, b\}$ , must be contained in  $X$ . Let  $Q_{a,b}^s$  denote the union of the  $r - 2$  subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^s}}$ ,  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a, b\}$ . Since  $Q_{a,b}^s$  is a subset of  $Q_a^s$ , we get

$$|Q_a^s \setminus Q_{a,b}^s| = |Q_a^s| - |Q_{a,b}^s| = \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{1}{p_a^{s-1}} \times \phi\left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}{p_a p_b}\right)$$

using Lemmas 2.3 and 2.6. As  $s \geq 2$ , we have  $\frac{\phi(p_a p_b)}{p_b} > \frac{1}{p_a^{s-1}}$  and so

$$\left|E_{\frac{n}{p_b}}\right| = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_b}\right) \geq \frac{n}{p_1 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{\phi(p_1 \cdots p_r)}{p_b} > \frac{n}{p_1 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{1}{p_a^{s-1}} \times \phi\left(\frac{p_1 \cdots p_r}{p_a p_b}\right) = |Q_a^s| - |Q_{a,b}^s|.$$

This gives  $\left|E_{\frac{n}{p_b}}\right| + |Q_{a,b}^s| > |Q_a^s|$ . The sets  $E_n, E_{\frac{n}{p_a}}, \dots, E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s-1}}}, E_{\frac{n}{p_b}}$  and  $Q_{a,b}^s$  are mutually disjoint and contained in  $X$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} |X| &\geq |E_n| + \left|E_{\frac{n}{p_a}}\right| + \dots + \left|E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s-1}}}\right| + \left|E_{\frac{n}{p_b}}\right| + |Q_{a,b}^s| \\ &> |E_n| + \left|E_{\frac{n}{p_a}}\right| + \dots + \left|E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s-1}}}\right| + |Q_a^s|. \end{aligned}$$

Since the cut-set  $Z_a^s$  of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  is the union of mutually disjoint sets  $E_n, E_{\frac{n}{p_a}}, E_{\frac{n}{p_a^2}}, \dots, E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s-1}}}$  and  $Q_a^s$ , it follows that  $|X| > |Z_a^s|$ , a contradiction to Corollary 3.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** *If  $x \in A$ , then  $o(x)$  is of the form  $\frac{n}{p_a^u p_b^v}$  for some  $u, v$  with  $1 \leq u \leq n_a$  and  $1 \leq v \leq n_b$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.3,  $A$  has no element whose order is of the form  $\frac{n}{p_c^k}$ , where  $c \in \{a, b\}$  and  $k \in [n_c]$ . Since  $B$  contains the sets  $E_{\frac{n}{p_i}}$  for  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a, b\}$  and there is no edge of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  containing vertices from both  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $o(x)$  must be divisible by  $p_i^{n_i}$  for every  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a, b\}$ . It then follows that  $o(x)$  is of the form  $\frac{n}{p_a^u p_b^v}$  with  $1 \leq u \leq n_a$  and  $1 \leq v \leq n_b$ .  $\square$

Now on we assume that  $a < b$ . Then  $p_a < p_b$  and so  $p_b \geq 3$ . By Corollary 5.4, let  $t \in [n_b]$  be the smallest integer such that  $A$  contains  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^u p_b^t}}$  for some  $u \in [n_a]$ . For such  $t$ , let  $s \in [n_a]$  be the smallest integer for which  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t}}$  is contained in  $A$ . The rest of this section is devoted to prove that  $X = X_{a,b}^{s,t}$ .

Since the sets  $E_{\frac{n}{p_i}}$ ,  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a, b\}$ , are contained in  $B$  and there is no edge of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  containing vertices from both  $A$  and  $B$ , it follows that the sets  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^i p_b^j}}$ , where  $0 \leq i \leq s$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq t$  with  $(i, j) \neq (s, t)$ , and the subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^i p_b^j}}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ , where  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a, b\}$ , must be contained in  $X$ . Thus we have the following:

**Proposition 5.5.**  *$X$  contains  $H_{a,b}^{s,t}$  and the subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^i p_b^j}}$  of  $C_n$ , where  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a, b\}$ .*

**Corollary 5.6.** *If  $(s, t) = (n_a, n_b)$ , then  $X = X_{a,b}^{s,t} = X_{a,b}^{n_a, n_b}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(s, t) = (n_a, n_b)$ , Proposition 5.5 implies that  $X$  contains the set  $K_{a,b}^{n_a, n_b}$  and hence the cut-set  $X_{a,b}^{n_a, n_b}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ . Then the corollary follows by the minimality of  $X$ .  $\square$

By Corollary 5.6, we shall assume that  $(s, t) \neq (n_a, n_b)$ . Our aim is to show that  $X$  contains the subgroup  $S_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1} p_b^t}}$  if  $s < n_a$  and the subgroup  $S_{\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^{t+1}}}$  if  $t < n_b$ . For this, it is enough to show that  $B$  contains the set  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}}$  if  $s < n_a$  and the set  $E_{\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}}$  if  $t < n_b$ .

**Proposition 5.7.** *If  $t < n_b$ , then  $(p_a, n_a) \neq (2, 1)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(p_a, n_a) = (2, 1)$ . Then  $a = 1$  and  $s = n_1 = 1$ . We have  $n_r = 1$  by Proposition 4.5. So  $1 < b < r$  (as  $n_b > t \geq 1$ ) and hence  $2 < p_b < p_r$ . We show that  $|X| > |X_{r,b}^{1,t}|$ , which would contradict Corollary 3.6.

The cut-set  $X_{r,b}^{1,t}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  is a disjoint union of  $H_{r,b}^{1,t}$  and  $K_{r,b}^{1,t}$ . By Proposition 5.5,  $X$  contains  $H_{1,b}^{1,t}$  and the subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{2 p_b^i p_i}}$  of  $C_n$ , where  $i \in [r] \setminus \{1, b\}$ . We know that  $H_{r,b}^{1,t}$  is a disjoint union of the sets  $E_{\frac{n}{p_i^{t+1}}}$  and  $H_{1,b}^{1,t}$  is a disjoint union of the sets  $E_{\frac{n}{2^i p_b^j}}$ ,

where  $0 \leq i \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq t$  and  $(i, j) \neq (1, t)$ . For such  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $p_r > 2$  implies that  $\left| E_{\frac{n}{2^i p_b^j}} \right| = \phi \left( \frac{n}{2^i p_b^j} \right) \geq \phi \left( \frac{n}{p_b^i p_b^j} \right) = \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_b^i p_b^j}} \right|$ . It then follows that  $|H_{1,b}^{1,t}| \geq |H_{r,b}^{1,t}|$ .

Let  $G$  be union of the subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{2p_b^i p_b^t}}$  of  $C_n$ , where  $i \in [r] \setminus \{1, b\}$ . Since each of these subgroups is disjoint from  $H_{1,b}^{1,t}$  and  $K_{r,b}^{1,t}$  is disjoint from  $H_{r,b}^{1,t}$ , it is enough to show that  $|G| > |K_{r,b}^{1,t}|$ . Since the set  $K_{r,b}^{1,t}$  is the set of nongenerators of the cyclic subgroup  $S_{\frac{n}{p_r p_b^t}}$  of  $C_n$ , we have

$$|K_{r,b}^{1,t}| = \frac{n}{p_r p_b^t} - \phi \left( \frac{n}{p_r p_b^t} \right) = \frac{n}{2p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{1}{p_b^t} \times [2p_2 \cdots p_{r-1} - \phi(2p_2 \cdots p_{r-1})].$$

Consider the subgroup  $S_{\frac{n}{2p_b^t}}$  of  $C_n$  containing  $G$ . Let  $\tilde{G}$  denote the complement of  $G$  in  $S_{\frac{n}{2p_b^t}}$ . Then  $\tilde{G} = E_{\frac{n}{2p_b^t}} \cup E_{\frac{n}{2p_b^{t+1}}} \cup \cdots \cup E_{\frac{n}{2p_b^{n_b}}}$ , a disjoint union. So

$$|\tilde{G}| = \sum_{l=t}^{n_b} \left| E_{\frac{n}{2p_b^l}} \right| = \sum_{l=t}^{n_b} \phi \left( \frac{n}{2p_b^l} \right) = \phi \left( \frac{n}{2p_b^{n_b}} \right) \times p_b^{n_b-t} = \frac{n}{2p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{1}{p_b^{t-1}} \times \phi \left( \frac{p_2 p_3 \cdots p_r}{p_b} \right).$$

and hence

$$|G| = \left| S_{\frac{n}{2p_b^t}} \right| - |\tilde{G}| = \frac{n}{2p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{1}{p_b^{t-1}} \times \left[ \frac{p_2 p_3 \cdots p_r}{p_b} - \phi \left( \frac{p_2 p_3 \cdots p_r}{p_b} \right) \right].$$

Writing  $\mu = \frac{n}{2p_2 \cdots p_r} \times \frac{1}{p_b^{t-1}}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |G| - |K_{r,b}^{1,t}| &= \mu \times \left[ \frac{p_2 p_3 \cdots p_r}{p_b} - \frac{2p_2 \cdots p_{r-1}}{p_b} - \phi \left( \frac{p_2 p_3 \cdots p_r}{p_b} \right) + \frac{1}{p_b} \phi(2p_2 \cdots p_{r-1}) \right] \\ &= \mu \times \left[ \frac{p_2 p_3 \cdots p_{r-1}}{p_b} \times (p_r - 2) - \phi \left( \frac{p_2 p_3 \cdots p_{r-1}}{p_b} \right) \left( \phi(p_r) - \frac{\phi(p_b)}{p_b} \right) \right] \\ &> \mu \times \left[ \frac{p_2 p_3 \cdots p_{r-1}}{p_b} \times (p_r - 2) - \phi \left( \frac{p_2 p_3 \cdots p_{r-1}}{p_b} \right) (p_r - 1) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $k \in [r] \setminus \{1, b, r\}$ . Such a  $k$  exists as  $r \geq 4$ . Then  $p_r - p_k \geq 2$  (both being odd primes) and so  $p_k(p_r - 2) - \phi(p_k)(p_r - 1) = p_r - p_k - 1 > 0$ . Hence it follows that

$$\left[ \frac{p_2 p_3 \cdots p_{r-1}}{p_b} \times (p_r - 2) - \phi \left( \frac{p_2 p_3 \cdots p_{r-1}}{p_b} \right) (p_r - 1) \right] > 0$$

and therefore,  $|G| > |K_{r,b}^{1,t}|$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Recall that the cut-set  $X_{a,b}^{s,t}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  is a disjoint union of  $H_{a,b}^{s,t}$  and  $K_{a,b}^{s,t}$ . Let  $x \in X_{a,b}^{s,t}$ . Then  $x \in H_{a,b}^{s,t}$  if and only if  $o(x)$  is divisible by  $\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t}$  with  $o(x) \neq \frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t}$ . Further,  $x \in K_{a,b}^{s,t}$  if and only if  $o(x)$  divides  $\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t}$  with  $o(x) \neq \frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t}$  if and only if  $x \in S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^s p_b^t}}$  for some  $i \in J$ , where

$$J := \begin{cases} [r] \setminus \{a\}, & \text{if } s = n_a, t < n_b \\ [r] \setminus \{b\}, & \text{if } s < n_a, t = n_b \\ [r], & \text{if } s < n_a, t < n_b \end{cases}$$

as  $(s, t) \neq (n_a, n_b)$ . Thus  $K_{a,b}^{s,t}$  is the union of the subgroups  $S_{p_i p_a^s p_b^t}^{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^s p_b^t}}$  with  $i \in J$ .

Let  $L_{a,b}^{s,t}$  denote the set of all elements of  $K_{a,b}^{s,t}$  which do not belong to any of the subgroups  $S_{p_i p_a^s p_b^t}^{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^s p_b^t}}$ , where  $i \in J \setminus \{a, b\} = [r] \setminus \{a, b\}$ . Since  $(s, t) \neq (n_a, n_b)$ , we have  $L_{a,b}^{s,t} \neq \emptyset$ . Further,  $L_{a,b}^{s,t}$  is precisely union of the mutually disjoint sets  $E_{p_a^k p_b^l}^{\frac{n}{p_a^k p_b^l}}$ , where  $s \leq k \leq n_a$ ,  $t \leq l \leq n_b$  with  $(k, l) \neq (s, t)$ .

**Proposition 5.8.**  $|L_{a,b}^{s,t}| = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) [p_a^{n_a-s} p_b^{n_b-t} - \phi(p_a^{n_a-s} p_b^{n_b-t})]$ .

*Proof.* We have  $|L_{a,b}^{s,t}| = \sum \left| E_{p_a^k p_b^l}^{\frac{n}{p_a^k p_b^l}} \right|$ , where the sum runs over all  $k, l$  satisfying  $s \leq k \leq n_a$ ,  $t \leq l \leq n_b$  and  $(k, l) \neq (s, t)$ . Since  $\sum_{k=s}^{n_a} \sum_{l=t}^{n_b} \left| E_{p_a^k p_b^l}^{\frac{n}{p_a^k p_b^l}} \right| = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) p_a^{n_a-s} p_b^{n_b-t}$  by Lemma 2.2, and  $\left| E_{p_a^s p_b^t}^{\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t}} \right| = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t}\right) = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) \phi(p_a^{n_a-s} p_b^{n_b-t})$ , the proposition follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.9.** *The number of elements of  $X$  which do not belong to  $H_{a,b}^{s,t}$  or  $K_{a,b}^{s,t} \setminus L_{a,b}^{s,t}$  is at most  $|L_{a,b}^{s,t}|$ .*

*Proof.* The cut-set  $X_{a,b}^{s,t}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  is a disjoint union of  $H_{a,b}^{s,t}$ ,  $L_{a,b}^{s,t}$  and  $K_{a,b}^{s,t} \setminus L_{a,b}^{s,t}$ . By Proposition 5.5,  $X$  contains the sets  $H_{a,b}^{s,t}$  and  $K_{a,b}^{s,t} \setminus L_{a,b}^{s,t}$ . Then the proposition follows as  $X$  is a minimum cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.10.** *If  $s < n_a$  and  $t < n_b$ , then at least one of the sets  $E_{p_a^{s+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}}$  and  $E_{p_b^{t+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}}$  is contained in  $B$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.3, each of  $E_{p_a^{s+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}}$  and  $E_{p_b^{t+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}}$  is contained in either  $B$  or  $X$ . If possible, suppose that both  $E_{p_a^{s+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}}$  and  $E_{p_b^{t+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}}$  are contained in  $X$ . Note that  $E_{p_a^{s+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}}$  and  $E_{p_b^{t+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}}$  are disjoint and each of them is disjoint from the cut-set  $X_{a,b}^{s,t}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ . By proposition 5.9, we have  $\left| E_{p_a^{s+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}} \right| + \left| E_{p_b^{t+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}} \right| \leq |L_{a,b}^{s,t}|$ , that is,

$$\xi := \left| E_{p_a^{s+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}} \right| + \left| E_{p_b^{t+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}} \right| - |L_{a,b}^{s,t}| \leq 0. \quad (4)$$

By Proposition 5.8, we have  $|L_{a,b}^{s,t}| = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) [p_a^{n_a-s} p_b^{n_b-t} - \phi(p_a^{n_a-s} p_b^{n_b-t})]$ . Further,

$$\left| E_{p_a^{s+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}} \right| = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}\right) = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) \phi(p_a^{n_a-s-1} p_b^{n_b})$$

and

$$\left| E_{p_b^{t+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}} \right| = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}\right) = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) \phi(p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b-t-1}).$$

So

$$\xi = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) [\phi(p_a^{n_a-s-1} p_b^{n_b}) + \phi(p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b-t-1}) + \phi(p_a^{n_a-s} p_b^{n_b-t}) - p_a^{n_a-s} p_b^{n_b-t}].$$

We show that  $\xi > 0$ , thus getting a contradiction to (4). We consider four different cases depending on  $s$  and  $t$ .

(i) If  $s + 1 < n_a$  and  $t + 1 < n_b$ , then

$$\xi = \phi \left( \frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}} \right) \times \frac{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}{p_a^{s+1} p_b^{t+1}} \times \left[ \phi(p_a p_b) \left( \frac{p_b^t}{p_a} + \frac{p_a^s}{p_b} + 1 \right) - p_a p_b \right].$$

Since  $\frac{p_b^t}{p_a} + \frac{p_a^s}{p_b} = \frac{p_b^{t+1} + p_a^{s+1}}{p_a p_b} \geq \frac{p_b^2 + p_a^2}{p_a p_b} > 2$ , it follows that  $\xi > 0$ .

(ii) If  $s + 1 = n_a$  and  $t + 1 < n_b$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \phi \left( \frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}} \right) \left[ \phi(p_b^{n_b}) + \phi(p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b-t-1}) + \phi(p_a p_b^{n_b-t}) - p_a p_b^{n_b-t} \right] \\ &= \phi \left( \frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}} \right) \times \frac{p_b^{n_b}}{p_b^{t+1}} \times \left[ \phi(p_a p_b) \left( \frac{p_b^t}{\phi(p_a)} + \frac{p_a^{n_a-1}}{p_b} + 1 \right) - p_a p_b \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{p_b^t}{\phi(p_a)} + \frac{p_a^{n_a-1}}{p_b} > \frac{p_b^t}{p_a} + \frac{p_a^{n_a-1}}{p_b} = \frac{p_b^{t+1} + p_a^{n_a}}{p_a p_b} \geq \frac{p_b^2 + p_a^2}{p_a p_b} > 2$ , it follows that  $\xi > 0$ .

(iii) If  $s + 1 < n_a$  and  $t + 1 = n_b$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \phi \left( \frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}} \right) \left[ \phi(p_a^{n_a-s-1} p_b^{n_b}) + \phi(p_a^{n_a}) + \phi(p_a^{n_a-s} p_b) - p_a^{n_a-s} p_b \right] \\ &= \phi \left( \frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}} \right) \times \frac{p_a^{n_a}}{p_a^{s+1}} \times \left[ \phi(p_a p_b) \left( \frac{p_b^{n_b-1}}{p_a} + \frac{p_a^s}{\phi(p_b)} + 1 \right) - p_a p_b \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{p_b^{n_b-1}}{p_a} + \frac{p_a^s}{\phi(p_b)} > \frac{p_b^{n_b-1}}{p_a} + \frac{p_a^s}{p_b} = \frac{p_b^{n_b} + p_a^{s+1}}{p_a p_b} \geq \frac{p_b^2 + p_a^2}{p_a p_b} > 2$ , it follows that  $\xi > 0$ .

(iv) If  $s + 1 = n_a$  and  $t + 1 = n_b$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \phi \left( \frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}} \right) \left[ \phi(p_b^{n_b}) + \phi(p_a^{n_a}) + \phi(p_a p_b) - p_a p_b \right] \\ &= \phi \left( \frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}} \right) \left[ \phi(p_a p_b) \left( \frac{p_b^{n_b-1}}{\phi(p_a)} + \frac{p_a^{n_a-1}}{\phi(p_b)} + 1 \right) - p_a p_b \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{p_b^{n_b-1}}{\phi(p_a)} + \frac{p_a^{n_a-1}}{\phi(p_b)} > \frac{p_b^{n_b-1}}{p_a} + \frac{p_a^{n_a-1}}{p_b} = \frac{p_b^{n_b} + p_a^{n_a}}{p_a p_b} \geq \frac{p_b^2 + p_a^2}{p_a p_b} > 2$ , it follows that  $\xi > 0$ .  $\square$

Let  $M_{a,b}^{s,t}$  denote the set of elements of  $K_{a,b}^{s,t}$  which do not belong to any of the subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^s p_b^t}}$ , where  $i \in J \setminus \{a\}$ . We have  $M_{a,b}^{s,t} = \emptyset$  if and only if  $s = n_a$ . If  $s < n_a$ , then  $M_{a,b}^{s,t}$  is a subset of  $S_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1} p_b^t}}$  and is precisely union of the mutually disjoint sets  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^k p_b^t}}$ , where  $s + 1 \leq k \leq n_a$ .

Similarly, let  $N_{a,b}^{s,t}$  denote the set of elements of  $K_{a,b}^{s,t}$  which do not belong to any of the subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^s p_b^t}}$ , where  $i \in J \setminus \{b\}$ . We have  $N_{a,b}^{s,t} = \emptyset$  if and only if  $t = n_b$ . If  $t < n_b$ , then  $N_{a,b}^{s,t}$  is a subset of  $S_{\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^{t+1}}}$  and is precisely union of the mutually disjoint sets  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^l}}$ , where  $t + 1 \leq l \leq n_b$ .

The proof of the following proposition is straightforward.

**Proposition 5.11.** *The following hold:*

(i) If  $s < n_a$ , then  $|M_{a,b}^{s,t}| = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^t}\right) p_a^{n_a-s-1}$ .

(ii) If  $t < n_b$ , then  $|N_{a,b}^{s,t}| = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^{n_b}}\right) p_b^{n_b-t-1}$ .

**Proposition 5.12.** *If  $s < n_a$ , then the set  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}}$  is contained in  $B$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $p_a < p_b$  as  $a < b$  by our assumption. By Proposition 5.3, the set  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}}$  is contained in either  $B$  or  $X$ . If possible, suppose that  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}}$  is contained in  $X$ .

If  $t = n_b$ , then  $J = [r] \setminus \{b\}$  and so  $J \setminus \{a\} = [r] \setminus \{a, b\}$ . In this case, the subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^s p_b^t}}$ ,  $i \in J \setminus \{a\}$ , are contained in  $X$  by Proposition 5.5. If  $t < n_b$ , then the set  $E_{\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}}$  is contained in  $B$  by Proposition 5.10. Since  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t}}$  is contained in  $A$ , the subgroup  $S_{\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^{t+1}}}$  must be contained in  $X$ . In this case also, the subgroups  $S_{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^s p_b^t}}$ ,  $i \in J \setminus \{a\}$ , are contained in  $X$ . Thus  $X$  contains  $K_{a,b}^{s,t} \setminus M_{a,b}^{s,t}$  in both cases.

The cut-set  $X_{a,b}^{s,t}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  is a disjoint union of  $H_{a,b}^{s,t}$ ,  $M_{a,b}^{s,t}$  and  $K_{a,b}^{s,t} \setminus M_{a,b}^{s,t}$ . Since  $X$  is a minimum cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  containing  $H_{a,b}^{s,t}$  and  $K_{a,b}^{s,t} \setminus M_{a,b}^{s,t}$ , it follows that the number of elements of  $X$  which do not belong to  $H_{a,b}^{s,t}$  or  $K_{a,b}^{s,t} \setminus M_{a,b}^{s,t}$  is at most  $|M_{a,b}^{s,t}|$ . In particular, as  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}}$  is disjoint from the cut-set  $X_{a,b}^{s,t}$ , we must have  $|E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}}| \leq |M_{a,b}^{s,t}|$ , that is,

$$\xi_1 := \left| E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}} \right| - |M_{a,b}^{s,t}| \leq 0. \quad (5)$$

We have  $|M_{a,b}^{s,t}| = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^t}\right) p_a^{n_a-s-1}$  by Proposition 5.11(i) and  $|E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}}| = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}\right) = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) \phi(p_a^{n_a-s-1} p_b^{n_b})$ . So

$$\xi_1 = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) [\phi(p_a^{n_a-s-1} p_b^{n_b}) - p_a^{n_a-s-1} \phi(p_b^{n_b-t})].$$

We consider four different cases depending on  $s+1 = n_a$  or  $s+1 < n_a$ , and  $t = n_b$  or  $t < n_b$ . If  $s+1 < n_a$  and  $t < n_b$ , then  $\xi_1 = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) \times p_a^{n_a-s-2} p_b^{n_b-t-1} \times \phi(p_b) [p_b^t \phi(p_a) - p_a] > 0$ . If  $s+1 = n_a$  and  $t < n_b$ , then  $\xi_1 = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) [\phi(p_b^{n_b}) - \phi(p_b^{n_b-t})] > 0$ . If  $s+1 = n_a$  and  $t = n_b$ , then  $p_b \geq 3$  gives  $\xi_1 = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) [\phi(p_b^{n_b}) - 1] > 0$ . In these three cases, we get a contradiction to (5).

Now consider  $s+1 < n_a$  and  $t = n_b$ . Then  $\xi_1 = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) [\phi(p_a^{n_a-s-1} p_b^{n_b}) - p_a^{n_a-s-1}] \geq 0$ , with equality if and only if  $(p_a, p_b, t) = (p_a, p_b, n_b) = (2, 3, 1)$ . Thus we get a contradiction to (5) if  $(p_a, p_b, n_b) \neq (2, 3, 1)$ . Assume that  $(p_a, p_b, n_b) = (2, 3, 1)$ . Then  $\xi_1 = 0$ , giving  $|E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}}| = |M_{a,b}^{s,t}|$ . So

$$|X| \geq |H_{a,b}^{s,t}| + |K_{a,b}^{s,t} \setminus M_{a,b}^{s,t}| + |E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}}| = |H_{a,b}^{s,t}| + |K_{a,b}^{s,t} \setminus M_{a,b}^{s,t}| + |M_{a,b}^{s,t}| = |X_{a,b}^{s,t}|.$$

By the minimality of  $|X|$ , we must have  $X = H_{a,b}^{s,t} \cup (K_{a,b}^{s,t} \setminus M_{a,b}^{s,t}) \cup E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}}$ .

On the other hand, as  $s+2 \leq n_a$ , the set  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+2}}}$  is contained in either  $X$  or  $B$  by Proposition 5.3. If the latter holds, then the subgroup  $S_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+2} p_b}}$  would be contained in  $X$ . In both cases, we find that  $X$  contains additional elements of order  $\frac{n}{p_a^{s+2}}$  or  $\frac{n}{p_a^{s+2} p_b}$  not belonging to any of the sets  $H_{a,b}^{s,t}$ ,  $K_{a,b}^{s,t} \setminus M_{a,b}^{s,t}$  and  $E_{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1}}}$ , a final contradiction.  $\square$



**Proposition 5.13.** *If  $t < n_b$ , then the set  $E_{p_b^{t+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}}$  is contained in  $B$ .*

*Proof.* We shall apply a similar argument as used in the proof of Proposition 5.12. By Proposition 5.3, the set  $E_{p_b^{t+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}}$  is contained in either  $B$  or  $X$ . If possible, suppose that  $E_{p_b^{t+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}}$  is contained in  $X$ .

If  $s = n_a$ , then  $J = [r] \setminus \{a\}$  and so  $J \setminus \{b\} = [r] \setminus \{a, b\}$ . In this case, the subgroups  $S_{p_i p_a^s p_b^t}^{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^s p_b^t}}$ ,  $i \in J \setminus \{b\}$ , are contained in  $X$  by Proposition 5.5. If  $s < n_a$ , then the set  $E_{p_a^{s+1} p_b^t}^{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1} p_b^t}}$  is contained in  $B$  by Proposition 5.12 and so the subgroup  $S_{p_a^{s+1} p_b^t}^{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1} p_b^t}}$  must be contained in  $X$ . In this case also, the subgroups  $S_{p_i p_a^s p_b^t}^{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^s p_b^t}}$ ,  $i \in J \setminus \{b\}$ , are contained in  $X$ . Thus  $X$  contains  $K_{a,b}^{s,t} \setminus N_{a,b}^{s,t}$  in both cases.

The cut-set  $X_{a,b}^{s,t}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  is a disjoint union of  $H_{a,b}^{s,t}$ ,  $N_{a,b}^{s,t}$  and  $K_{a,b}^{s,t} \setminus N_{a,b}^{s,t}$ . Since  $X$  is a minimum cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  containing  $H_{a,b}^{s,t}$  and  $K_{a,b}^{s,t} \setminus N_{a,b}^{s,t}$ , it follows that the number of elements of  $X$  which do not belong to  $H_{a,b}^{s,t}$  or  $K_{a,b}^{s,t} \setminus N_{a,b}^{s,t}$  is at most  $|N_{a,b}^{s,t}|$ . In particular, as  $E_{p_b^{t+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}}$  is disjoint from the cut-set  $X_{a,b}^{s,t}$ , we must have  $\left| E_{p_b^{t+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}} \right| \leq |N_{a,b}^{s,t}|$ , that is,

$$\xi_2 := \left| E_{p_b^{t+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}} \right| - |N_{a,b}^{s,t}| \leq 0. \quad (6)$$

We have  $|N_{a,b}^{s,t}| = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^{n_b-t-1}}\right) p_b^{n_b-t-1}$  by Proposition 5.11(ii) and  $\left| E_{p_b^{t+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}} \right| = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}\right) = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) \phi(p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b-t-1})$ . So

$$\xi_2 = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) \left[ \phi(p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b-t-1}) - \phi(p_a^{n_a-s} p_b^{n_b-t-1}) \right].$$

We show that  $\xi_2 > 0$ , thus getting a contradiction to (6).

Since  $t < n_b$ , we have  $(p_a, n_a) \neq (2, 1)$  by Proposition 5.7. We consider four different cases depending on  $s = n_a$  or  $s < n_a$ , and  $t + 1 = n_b$  or  $t + 1 < n_b$ .

If  $s < n_a$  and  $t + 1 < n_b$ , then  $\xi_2 = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) \times p_a^{n_a-s-1} p_b^{n_b-t-2} \times \phi(p_a) [p_a^s \phi(p_b) - p_b] > 0$ . If  $s < n_a$  and  $t + 1 = n_b$ , then  $\xi_2 = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) [\phi(p_a^{n_a}) - \phi(p_a^{n_a-s})] > 0$ . If  $s = n_a$  and  $t + 1 = n_b$ , then  $\xi_2 = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) [\phi(p_a^{n_a}) - 1] > 0$  as  $(p_a, n_a) \neq (2, 1)$ . If  $s = n_a$  and  $t + 1 < n_b$ , then  $\xi_2 = \phi\left(\frac{n}{p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b}}\right) [\phi(p_a^{n_a} p_b^{n_b-t-1}) - p_b^{n_b-t-1}] > 0$  as  $(p_a, n_a) \neq (2, 1)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.14.**  $X = X_{a,b}^{s,t}$ .

*Proof.* The set  $E_{p_a^s p_b^t}^{\frac{n}{p_a^s p_b^t}}$  is contained in  $A$  and the sets  $E_{p_i}^{\frac{n}{p_i}}$ ,  $i \in [r] \setminus \{a, b\}$ , are contained in  $B$ . By Corollary 5.6, we may assume that  $(s, t) \neq (n_a, n_b)$ . If  $s < n_a$ , then  $E_{p_a^{s+1} p_b^t}^{\frac{n}{p_a^{s+1} p_b^t}}$  is contained in  $B$  by Proposition 5.12. If  $t < n_b$ , then  $E_{p_b^{t+1}}^{\frac{n}{p_b^{t+1}}}$  is contained in  $B$  by Proposition 5.13. It then follows that the subgroups  $S_{p_i p_a^s p_b^t}^{\frac{n}{p_i p_a^s p_b^t}}$  for  $i \in J$  and hence the set  $K_{a,b}^{s,t}$  must be contained in  $X$ . By Proposition 5.5,  $H_{a,b}^{s,t}$  is contained in  $X$ . Thus the cut-set  $X_{a,b}^{s,t}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  is contained in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is a minimum cut-set of  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ , we must have  $X = X_{a,b}^{s,t}$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgement:

The first author was supported by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research grant no. 09/1248(0004)/2019-EMR-I, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India.

## References

- [1] J. Abawajy, A. Kelarev and M. Chowdhury, Power graphs: a survey, *Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl.* 1 (2013), no. 2, 125–147.
- [2] P. J. Cameron and S. Ghosh, The power graph of a finite group, *Discrete Math.* 311 (2011), no. 13, 1220–1222.
- [3] I. Chakrabarty, S. Ghosh and M. K. Sen, Undirected power graphs of semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* 78 (2009), no. 3, 410–426.
- [4] S. Chattopadhyay, K. L. Patra and B. K. Sahoo, Vertex connectivity of the power graph of a finite cyclic group, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 266 (2019), 259–271.
- [5] S. Chattopadhyay, K. L. Patra and B. K. Sahoo, Minimal cut-sets in the power graph of certain finite non-cyclic groups, *Comm. Algebra* 49 (2021), no. 3, 1195–1211.
- [6] S. Chattopadhyay, K. L. Patra and B. K. Sahoo, Vertex connectivity of the power graph of a finite cyclic group II, *J. Algebra Appl.* 19 (2020), no. 2, 2050040, 25 pp.
- [7] S. Chattopadhyay, K. L. Patra and B. K. Sahoo, Erratum: Vertex connectivity of the power graph of a finite cyclic group II, *J. Algebra Appl.* 19 (2020), no. 2, 2092001, 2 pp.
- [8] B. Curtin and G. R. Pourgholi, Edge-maximality of power graphs of finite cyclic groups, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 40 (2014), no. 2, 313–330.
- [9] B. Curtin and G. R. Pourgholi, An Euler totient sum inequality, *J. Number Theory* 163 (2016), 101–113.
- [10] A. Doostabadi, A. Erfanian and A. Jafarzadeh, Some results on the power graphs of finite groups, *ScienceAsia* 41 (2015), 73–78.
- [11] M. Feng, X. Ma and K. Wang, The structure and metric dimension of the power graph of a finite group, *European J. Combin.* 43 (2015), 82–97.
- [12] M. Feng, X. Ma and K. Wang, The full automorphism group of the power (di)graph of a finite group, *European J. Combin.* 52 (2016), part A, 197–206.
- [13] A. V. Kelarev and S. J. Quinn, A combinatorial property and power graphs of groups, in *Contributions to General Algebra*, Vol. 12 (Vienna, 1999), 229–235, Heyn, Klagenfurt, 2000.
- [14] S. Kirkland, A. R. Moghaddamfar, S. Navid Salehy, S. Nima Salehy and M. Zohourattar, The complexity of power graphs associated with finite groups, *Contrib. Discrete Math.* 13 (2018), no. 2, 124–136.

- [15] A. Kumar, L. Selvaganesh, P. J. Cameron and T. Tamizh Chelvam, Recent developments on the power graph of finite groups - a survey, *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.* 18 (2021), no. 2, 65–94.
- [16] X. Ma and M. Feng, On the chromatic number of the power graph of a finite group, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* 26 (2015), no. 4, 626–633.
- [17] X. Ma, M. Feng and K. Wang, The strong metric dimension of the power graph of a finite group, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 239 (2018), 159–164.
- [18] X. Ma and L. Zhai, Strong metric dimensions for power graphs of finite groups, *Comm. Algebra* 49 (2021), no. 11, 4577–4587.
- [19] M. Mirzargar, A. R. Ashrafi and M. J. Nadjafi-Arani, On the power graph of a finite group, *Filomat* 26 (2012), no. 6, 1201–1208.
- [20] M. Mirzargar, R. Scapellato, Finite groups with the same power graph, *Comm. Algebra* 50 (2022), no. 4, 1400–1406.
- [21] R. P. Panda and K. V. Krishna, On connectedness of power graphs of finite groups, *J. Algebra Appl.* 17 (2018), no. 10, 1850184, 20 pp.
- [22] Y. Shitov, Coloring the power graph of a semigroup, *Graphs Combin.* 33 (2017), no. 2, 485–487.

**Addresses:** Sanjay Mukherjee, Kamal Lochan Patra, Binod Kumar Sahoo

- 1) School of Mathematical Sciences  
National Institute of Science Education and Research (NISER), Bhubaneswar  
P.O.- Jatni, District- Khurda, Odisha–752050, India
- 2) Homi Bhabha National Institute (HBNI)  
Training School Complex, Anushakti Nagar, Mumbai–400094, India

**E-mails:** sanjay.mukherjee@niser.ac.in, klpatra@niser.ac.in, bksahoo@niser.ac.in