

ENHANCED AUSLANDER-REITEN DUALITY AND TILTING THEORY FOR SINGULARITY CATEGORIES

NORIHIRO HANIHARA AND OSAMU IYAMA

Dedicated to Bernhard Keller for his 60th Birthday

ABSTRACT. We establish a general method to construct triangle equivalences between the singularity categories of (commutative and non-commutative) Gorenstein rings and the cluster categories of finite dimensional algebras over fields. We prove that such an equivalence exists as soon as there is a triangle equivalence between the graded singularity category of a Gorenstein ring and the derived category of a finite dimensional algebra. This is based on two key results on dg enhancements of cluster categories and of singularity categories, which are of independent interest. First we give a characterization of dg orbit categories among \mathbb{Z} -graded dg categories in terms of a generating condition. Secondly, we show that the canonical dg enhancements of the singularity categories of symmetric orders have the bimodule Calabi-Yau property, which lifts the classical Calabi-Yau property of singularity categories. We apply our results to such classes of rings as Gorenstein rings of dimension at most 1, quotient singularities, and Geigle-Lenzing complete intersections, including finite or infinite Grassmannian cluster categories, to realize their singularity categories as cluster categories of finite dimensional algebras.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Part 1. Quasi-equivalences between singularity categories and cluster categories	7
1. Preliminaries	7
2. Structure of Adams graded dg categories	10
3. Enhanced Auslander-Reiten duality for singularity categories	16
4. Cluster categories and singularity categories	21
5. Tilting theory for symmetric orders with cluster tilting objects	24
Part 2. Tilting theory for singularity categories and realizations as cluster categories	27
6. Rings of dimension 0 and 1	27
7. Quotient singularities	33
8. Geigle-Lenzing complete intersections and Grassmannian cluster categories	38
Appendix A. Group graded rings	43
Appendix B. Covering functors and tilting subcategories	46
References	47

Introduction

Quiver representations and Cohen-Macaulay representations are two of the main subjects in representation theory of orders. The classical theorems of Gabriel [ASS, ARS] and Buchweitz-Greuel-Schreyer [LW, Yo]

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18G80, 18G35, 13C14, 13D09, 13A02, 16E45, 16G30, 14F08.

Key words and phrases. Cohen-Macaulay module, singularity category, cluster category, tilting theory, enhanced Auslander-Reiten duality, dg orbit category, Calabi-Yau dg category, Grassmannian cluster category.

The first author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP19J21165 and JP22J00649. The second author is supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 22H01113 and (C) 18K3209.

assert that the class of representation-finite quivers and that of representation-finite Gorenstein rings are parametrized by ADE Dynkin diagrams. Moreover, if R is a simple surface singularity of Dynkin type Q , then the Auslander-Reiten quiver of the derived category $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } kQ)$ gives a \mathbb{Z} -covering of that of the stable category $\underline{\text{CM}} R$ of Cohen-Macaulay R -modules. A theoretical explanation of this observation is given by a triangle equivalence

$$\underline{\text{CM}} R \simeq \mathcal{C}_1(kQ), \quad (0.1)$$

where $\mathcal{C}_1(kQ)$ is the 1-cluster category $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } kQ)/\tau$ of kQ (see [AIR, Remark 5.9]).

The main aim of this paper is to establish a general theory to construct triangle equivalences between stable categories of large class of Gorenstein rings and cluster categories of finite dimensional algebras.

The category $\text{CM } R$ of Cohen-Macaulay modules over a Gorenstein ring R forms a Frobenius category, and its stable category $\underline{\text{CM}} R$ has a canonical structure of a triangulated category. By [Bu], it is triangle equivalent to the *singularity category* defined as the Verdier quotient $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R) := \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } R)/\text{per } R$:

$$\underline{\text{CM}} R \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R). \quad (0.2)$$

It is also classical in Auslander-Reiten theory that, if R is a local isolated singularity of dimension d , then $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R)$ is a $(d-1)$ -Calabi-Yau triangulated category [Au2] (that is, $[d-1]$ gives a Serre functor), see Section 3.1 for details.

On the other hand, cluster categories are Calabi-Yau triangulated categories introduced in this century. The first motivation was to categorify cluster algebras of Fomin-Zelevinsky, and special objects called cluster tilting objects in a cluster category correspond bijectively with clusters in the corresponding cluster algebra. Given a finite dimensional algebra A , its n -cluster category $\mathcal{C}_n(A)$ is defined as the triangulated hull of the orbit category $\text{per } A/\nu_n$ for the autoequivalence $\nu_n := - \otimes_A^L DA[-n]$, see Section 2.2 for details.

0.1. Our main results. Let us first describe the main results in a simplified setting. We refer to the next subsection for general forms. One of the main tools toward our aim is tilting theory on \mathbb{Z} -graded singularity categories. For a \mathbb{Z} -graded Gorenstein ring R , we have the \mathbb{Z} -graded singularity category $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) := \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod }^{\mathbb{Z}} R)/\text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}} R$, which is equivalent to the stable category $\underline{\text{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}} R$ of \mathbb{Z} -graded Cohen-Macaulay R -modules. Tilting theory enables us to control derived equivalences of rings. More generally, if an algebraic triangulated category \mathcal{T} has a tilting object U , then \mathcal{T} is triangle equivalent to the perfect derived category of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}(U)$. For example, for a simple surface singularity R of Dynkin type Q , there exists a triangle equivalence

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) \simeq \text{per } kQ,$$

which is a \mathbb{Z} -graded version of (0.1) (see [Iy4, Section 5.1][KST1]). Tilting theory of \mathbb{Z} -graded singularity categories is an active subject in various branches of mathematics including representation theory, commutative algebra, algebraic geometry and mathematical physics, see e.g. [AIR, BIY, DL1, DL2, FU, Han1, Han2, Hap, HI, HIMO, HO, IKU, IO, IT, KST1, KST2, Ki1, Ki2, KLM, LP, LZ, MY, MU, N, SV, U2, Ya] and a survey article [Iy4].

If R is a \mathbb{Z} -graded Gorenstein ring with dimension d and Gorenstein parameter p and there exists a tilting object $U \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)$ with $A := \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)}(U)$, then by comparing Serre functors, we have a commutative diagram of equivalences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{per } A & \longrightarrow & \text{per } A/\nu_{d-1} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}(A) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)/(p) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(R). \end{array}$$

Since the right inclusions are natural triangulated hulls, one would naively expect an equivalence $\mathcal{C}_{d-1}(A) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(R)$ on the triangulated hulls. This is far from being obvious since these triangulated hulls are defined using (a priori) different dg enhancements of both categories and functors. Therefore this was shown only in some special cases on a case-by-case basis [AIR, KR, KMV], see also [ART, IO, KY, TV] for similar type of results in different settings.

Our main result below justifies the naive expectation above in large generality, and also gives a realization of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R)$ at the same time. For simplicity, here we state our main result in the easiest form. We refer to 0.6 for the general version.

Theorem 0.1 (C 4.4). *Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ be a positively graded Gorenstein isolated singularity of dimension $d \geq 0$ with $R_0 = k$ and Gorenstein parameter $p \neq 0$. Suppose $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)$ has a tilting object M . Then $A := \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)}(M)$ is a finite dimensional Iwanaga-Gorenstein algebra, and there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{per } A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}^{(1/p)}(A) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R). \end{array}$$

Here the category $\mathcal{C}_{d-1}^{(1/p)}(A)$ is the triangulated hull $\mathcal{C}_{d-1}^{(1/p)}(A)$ of the orbit category of $\text{per } A$ modulo a p -th root of ν_{d-1} . It is given by the automorphism of $\text{per } A$ corresponding to the degree shift functor on $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)$.

As we have mentioned above, it is essential to deal with dg enhancements of both cluster categories and singularity categories, although they do not explicitly appear in the statement.

Let us first discuss enhancements of singularity categories. Let R be a commutative Gorenstein ring. Via Buchweitz's equivalence (0.2), the category $\mathcal{C}_{\text{ac}}(\text{proj } R)$ of acyclic complexes over finitely generated projective modules gives an enhancement of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R)$, which we call the *dg singularity category* of R . This is quasi-equivalent to the dg quotient $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } R)_{\text{dg}}/\text{per}_{\text{dg}} R$ in the sense of [Ke1, D]. Our main result on dg singularity categories is that we can lift the Calabi-Yau property of singularity categories to their dg enhancements. We denote by $(-)^{\vee}$ the Matlis dual.

Theorem 0.2 (C 3.3; Enhanced Auslander-Reiten duality). *Let R be a commutative Gorenstein local isolated singularity of dimension d , and \mathcal{C} its dg singularity category. Then we have an isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes_R \mathcal{C})$:*

$$\mathcal{C}^{\vee} \simeq \mathcal{C}[d-1].$$

Taking the 0-th cohomologies of this isomorphism, we obtain the classical Auslander-Reiten duality on the category $\underline{\text{CM}} R$ (see 3.4). One can also view this isomorphism as a (weak) *right Calabi-Yau structure* [KS, BD, KW] (without \mathcal{C} being proper). As a step toward the proof of 0.1, we shall need a graded version of the above enhanced Auslander-Reiten duality, which we refer to 0.4.

We next discuss the canonical enhancements of cluster categories. Let A be a dg algebra and V a cofibrant bimodule over A . We will write V^n for $V \otimes_A V \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A V$ (n factors). Following [Ke2], we put

$$A/V = \text{colim}_{j \geq 0} \bigoplus_{i \geq -j} \mathcal{H}om_A(V^{i+j}, V^j) = \text{colim} \left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{H}om_A(V^i, A) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{H}om_A(V^i, V) \longrightarrow \cdots \right),$$

and call it the *dg orbit algebra* of A by V . When V is not cofibrant we define $A/V := A/pV$ for a cofibrant replacement $pV \rightarrow V$. The n -cluster category $\mathcal{C}_n(A)$ of a finite dimensional algebra A is, by definition, $\text{per}(A/DA[-n])$, thus the dg orbit algebra $A/DA[-n]$ gives an enhancement of $\mathcal{C}_n(A)$.

In addition to the usual cohomological grading of a dg algebra, the orbit construction endows the dg orbit algebra A/V with a natural \mathbb{Z} -grading whose degree i part is $\text{colim}_{j \gg 0} \mathcal{H}om_A(V^{i+j}, V^j)$. Such a dg algebra with an additional grading is sometimes called an *Adams graded dg algebras*. For an Adams graded dg algebra Γ we can form the *graded derived category* $\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma)$ as well as the *graded perfect derived category* $\text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Gamma$, which consist of Adams graded (perfect) dg modules and morphisms of Adams degree 0, see Section 2.1 for details.

Another step toward the proof of 0.1 is the following characterization of dg orbit algebras among \mathbb{Z} -graded dg algebras. We say \mathbb{Z} -graded dg algebras A and B are *\mathbb{Z} -graded quasi-equivalent* if they are related by a zig-zag of quasi-isomorphisms of Adams degree 0, see 2.2 for details.

Theorem 0.3 (C 2.5; Reconstruction Theorem). *Let Γ be a \mathbb{Z} -graded dg algebra such that $\text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Gamma = \text{thick } \Gamma$. Put $A = \Gamma_0$ and $V = \Gamma_{-1}$. Then Γ is \mathbb{Z} -graded quasi-equivalent to the dg orbit algebra A/V .*

Note that by definition we only have $\text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Gamma = \text{thick}\{\Gamma(i) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, but our assumption is that $\text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Gamma$ is generated by a single object.

0.2. Our general results. In this subsection, we explain our results in full generality. In fact, we will prove Theorems 0.1 and 0.2 for (not necessarily commutative) module-finite R -algebras over commutative Gorenstein rings R . Moreover, we consider R -algebras which are graded by an arbitrary abelian group G , possibly with torsion. For this we first need to prepare some basics on commutative algebra, module-finite algebras, and dg singularity categories in the G -graded setting.

Let $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ be a G -graded commutative Noetherian ring. We then have the notion of *graded dimension* $\dim^G R$, *G -Gorenstein rings*, the *Gorenstein parameter* p_R , and *G -graded Matlis dual*. We refer to Appendix A for definitions. Next let $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ be a G -graded Gorenstein ring and $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda_g$ a G -graded R -algebra such that the structure morphism $R \rightarrow \Lambda$ preserves the G -gradings. We say such an R -algebra Λ is an *R -order* if Λ is (maximal) Cohen-Macaulay as an R -module, e.g. [Au2, CR, IW]. An R -order Λ is *symmetric* if $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R) \simeq \Lambda$ as (Λ, Λ) -bimodules. Under a mild assumption, a G -graded symmetric R -order Λ has *relative Gorenstein parameter* $p_{\Lambda/R}$ in the sense that we have $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R) \simeq \Lambda(-a_{\Lambda/R})$ as G -graded (Λ, Λ) -bimodules, see 3.11. More details can be found in Section 3.3.

Furthermore we consider the *G -graded dg singularity category* \mathcal{C} of a graded symmetric order Λ . It is an Adams G -graded dg category whose Adams degree 0 part enhances the graded singularity category, see 3.13. Also we denote by $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fl}}$ the full dg subcategory of \mathcal{C} corresponding to the category $\mathrm{CM}_0^G \Lambda$ of graded Cohen-Macaulay modules which are ‘‘locally free on the punctured spectrum’’, see (3.3) for a precise definition. Also we denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg},0}^G(\Lambda)$ the corresponding subcategory of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^G(\Lambda)$.

Now we are ready to state our general results. The first one on enhanced Auslander-Reiten duality is as follows. We denote by $(-)^{\vee G}$ the graded Matlis dual, and by $(-)^* = \mathrm{RHom}_R(-, R)$ the functor on $\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{Mod}^G R)$.

Theorem 0.4 (=3.14, 3.15). *Let R be a G -graded commutative Gorenstein ring of $\dim^G R = d < \infty$, and Λ a G -graded symmetric R -order with Gorenstein parameter p_Λ and relative Gorenstein parameter $p_{\Lambda/R}$. There exist isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^* &\simeq \mathcal{C}(-p_{\Lambda/R})[-1] && \text{in } \mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_R \mathcal{C}), \\ \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fl}\vee G} &\simeq \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fl}}(-p_\Lambda)[d-1] && \text{in } \mathcal{D}^G((\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fl}})^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_R \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fl}}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, Λ satisfied graded (R_{d-1}^G) condition (see Section 3.3), then there exists an isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{C}^{\vee G} \simeq \mathcal{C}(-p_\Lambda)[d-1] \text{ in } \mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_R \mathcal{C}).$$

Moreover, if R_0 is a finite dimensional algebra over a field k , then the graded Matlis dual $(-)^{\vee G}$ in the isomorphisms above can be replaced by the graded k -dual D sending $M = \bigoplus_{g \in G} M_g$ to $DM = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathrm{Hom}_k(M_{-g}, k)$.

Taking the 0-th cohomology we recover the following classical AR duality in the graded setting (cf. [AR]).

Corollary 0.5 (=3.16). *For each $M, N \in \underline{\mathrm{CM}}_0^G \Lambda$ we have a natural isomorphism*

$$D \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_\Lambda^G(M, N) \simeq \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_\Lambda^G(N, M(-p_\Lambda)[d-1]),$$

that is, we have the following.

- (1) *The triangulated category $\underline{\mathrm{CM}}_0^G \Lambda$ has a Serre functor $(-p_\Lambda)[d-1]$.*
- (2) *If Λ satisfies (R_{d-1}^G) condition, then $\underline{\mathrm{CM}}_0^G \Lambda = \underline{\mathrm{CM}}^G \Lambda$ has a Serre functor $(-p_\Lambda)[d-1]$.*

In particular, the category $\mathrm{CM}_0^G \Lambda$ has almost split sequences.

Now we state the general version of 0.1, where we deal with (possibly non-commutative) symmetric orders and we allow our grading group G to have torsion or to have higher rank. Moreover, all commutative diagrams of triangulated categories in 0.6 lift to their canonical dg enhancements, see 4.3 for details.

Theorem 0.6 (=4.4). *Let G be an abelian group, $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ a graded Gorenstein k -algebra with $\dim^G R = d$ with Gorenstein parameter such that R_0 is finite dimensional over k , and $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda_g$ a symmetric R -order with Gorenstein parameter $p \in G$ which is torsion-free. Suppose there is a tilting subcategory $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg},0}^G(\Lambda)$. Then the k -linear category \mathcal{P} is proper and Gorenstein, and the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *There exists a commutative diagram of triangle equivalences*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{per } \mathcal{P} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}(\mathcal{P}) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^G(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{G/(p)}(\Lambda). \end{array}$$

- (2) *If $G = \mathbb{Z}$, then there exists a commutative diagram of triangle equivalences*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{per } \mathcal{P} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}(\mathcal{P}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}^{(1/p)}(\mathcal{P}) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}(\Lambda) \end{array}$$

If Λ satisfies (R_{d-1}^G) , then each category $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^*$ in the diagrams above can be replaced by $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^*$ for each group $*$.

We have a version of 0.6 for hypersurface singularities. Recall by matrix factorization that hypersurfaces have 2-periodic singularity categories. It allows us to give equivalences with cluster categories of various Calabi-Yau dimensions.

Theorem 0.7 (=4.9). *In the above setting, assume that R is a hypersurface singularity with Gorenstein parameter p , and that there is a tilting subcategory $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^G(R)$. Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, and assume that $p + lc \in G$ is torsion-free.*

- (1) *There exists a commutative diagram of triangle equivalences*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{per } \mathcal{P} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d+2l-1}(\mathcal{P}) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^G(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{G/(p+lc)}(R). \end{array}$$

- (2) *If $G = \mathbb{Z}$, then there exists a commutative diagram of triangle equivalences*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{per } \mathcal{P} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d+2l-1}(\mathcal{P}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d+2l-1}^{(1/p+lc)}(\mathcal{P}) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^G(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}/(p+lc)}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}(R) \end{array}$$

If R satisfies (R_{d-1}^G) , then each $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^*$ above can be replaced by $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^*$ for each group $*$.

0.3. Applications. As an application of our results, we give a number of equivalences between singularity categories and cluster categories.

First, we apply our results to rings with Krull dimension at most one. Applying 0.6 to tilting objects in the \mathbb{Z} -graded singularity categories given in [Ya] for dimension 0 and [BIY] for dimension 1, we obtain the following results.

Theorem 0.8 (=6.1, 6.5). *For dimension 0 and 1, the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *Let $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \Lambda_i$ be a finite dimensional non-semisimple symmetric algebra over a field k with $\text{gl. dim } \Lambda_0 < \infty$ and with Gorenstein parameter p . There exists a commutative diagram of triangle equivalences*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{-1}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{-1}^{(1/p)}(A) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda). \end{array}$$

- (2) *Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ be a \mathbb{Z} -graded commutative Gorenstein ring with dimension one and Gorenstein parameter a such that R_0 is a field. If $p < 0$, then there exists a commutative diagram of triangle equivalences*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{per } A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_0(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_0^{(1/p)}(A) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}(R). \end{array}$$

Next, we consider rings with Krull dimension $d \geq 2$. If a symmetric order Λ has a $(d-1)$ -cluster tilting object M , then we can construct a tilting object in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)$ by using M . In particular, 0.6 shows that $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda)$ is equivalent to a cluster category of a finite dimensional algebra.

Theorem 0.9 ($\subset 5.2$). *Let R be a \mathbb{Z} -graded Gorenstein k -algebra over a field k with $\dim^{\mathbb{Z}} R = d \geq 2$ such that R_0 is finite dimensional over k , and let Λ be a \mathbb{Z} -graded symmetric R -order satisfying $(R_{d-1}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ such that the structure morphism $R \rightarrow \Lambda$ preserves the \mathbb{Z} -grading. We assume that*

- (i) Λ has Gorenstein parameter $p \geq 1$,
- (ii) $M = \Lambda \oplus N \in \text{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$ is non-negatively graded and satisfies $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \Lambda(i)) = 0$ for each $i \leq p-1$.
- (iii) M is a $(d-1)$ -cluster tilting object in $\text{CM} \Lambda$ such that $\Gamma := \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M)$ is non-negatively graded.

Then the following assertions holds.

- (1) $T := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} N(i) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)$ is a tilting object with $\text{gl. dim End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)}(T) \leq d-1$.
- (2) There exists a commutative diagram for $A := \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)}(T)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{per } A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}^{(1/p)}(A) \\ \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda). \end{array}$$

Notice that 0.9 gives a generalization of the main result in [AIR] for the case $p = 1$. In fact, our proof of the statement (1) is direct.

In the rest of this section, we study two classes of commutative Gorenstein rings and give triangle equivalences between their singularity categories and cluster categories of certain finite dimensional algebras.

The first one is a quotient singularity R of a finite subgroup G of $\text{SL}_d(k)$. Applying 0.6 to the tilting object of the $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)$ with respect to the standard \mathbb{Z} -grading of R given in [IT], we obtain the following realization of the singularity category of R as a cluster category.

Theorem 0.10 ($=7.2$). *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and $G \subset \text{SL}_d(k)$ a finite subgroup. Let $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ be the polynomial ring with $\deg x_i = 1$ and $R = S^G$ be the quotient singularity. Let $A = \underline{\text{End}}_R^{\mathbb{Z}}(T)$ for the maximal Cohen-Macaulay direct summand T of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \Omega_S^i k(i)$. Then there exists a commutative diagram of equivalences*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}^{(1/d)}(A) \\ \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R). \end{array}$$

In particular, we obtain equivalences given by Keller-Reiten [KR] and Keller-Murfet-Van den Bergh [KMV], which were the first examples of equivalences between singularity categories and cluster categories.

We also study non-standard \mathbb{Z} -gradings of the quotient singularity R given by the McKay quiver of G , and construct a tilting object in the corresponding \mathbb{Z} -graded singularity categories, see 7.11. As an application, each R gives a family of finite dimensional algebras whose cluster categories are related to each other by covering functors, extending the notion of cluster equivalence [AO], see 7.14.

The second one is a Geigle-Lenzing complete intersection R , which is graded by an abelian group \mathbb{L} of rank one with torsion elements in general. Applying 0.6 to the tilting object given in [HIMO], we obtain the following equivalence between the singularity category of R and the cluster category of a finite dimensional algebra A^{CM} called the CM canonical algebra.

Theorem 0.11 ($=8.2$). *Let R be a Geigle-Lenzing complete intersection of dimension $d+1$ and with Gorenstein parameter $-\vec{\omega} \in \mathbb{L}$. There exists a commutative diagram of equivalences*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A^{\text{CM}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_d(A^{\text{CM}}) \\ \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{L}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{L}/(\vec{\omega})}(R). \end{array}$$

As important examples, 0.11 and 0.8 include *Grassmannian cluster categories*. To categorify cluster algebra structure of the homogeneous coordinate ring of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(n, l)$, Jensen-King-Su [JKS] studied the category

$$\text{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} k[x, y]/(x^l - y^{n-l}) \quad \text{with } \deg x = 1, \deg y = -1,$$

which is called the Grassmannian cluster category. Recently, August-Cheung-Faber-Gratz-Schroll [ACFGS] introduced the infinite analogue as

$$\text{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}} k[x, y]/(x^l) \quad \text{with } \deg x = 1, \deg y = -1.$$

It categorifies the cluster algebra structure of the homogeneous coordinate ring of the infinite Grassmannian, and is called the infinite Grassmannian cluster category. The following results show that their stable categories are triangle equivalent to usual cluster categories.

Theorem 0.12 (=8.6, 8.8). *Let l be a positive integer.*

(1) *For a positive integer $n > l$, we have a triangle equivalence*

$$\underline{\text{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} k[x, y]/(x^l - y^{n-l}) \simeq \mathcal{C}_2(kA_{l-1} \otimes kA_{n-l-1}).$$

(2) *Let A be a \mathbb{Z} -graded finite dimensional k -algebra given by a quiver with relations*

$$1 \xrightarrow{\frac{x}{y}} 2 \xrightarrow{\frac{x}{y}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\frac{x}{y}} l-2 \xrightarrow{y} l-1 \bigg) w \quad xy - yx, \quad w^l, \quad wy^2 = yx$$

and degrees $\deg x = 1, \deg y = 0, \deg w = 1$. Then there exists a triangle equivalence

$$\underline{\text{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}} k[x, y]/(x^l) \simeq \mathcal{C}_2(\text{proj}^{\mathbb{Z}} A).$$

Here, $\mathcal{C}_2(\text{proj}^{\mathbb{Z}} A)$ means the 2-cluster category of the additive category $\text{proj}^{\mathbb{Z}} A$, that is, the triangulated hull of $(\text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}} A)/\nu_2$.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Bernhard Keller and Claire Amiot for valuable discussions. We are also grateful to Mitsuyasu Hashimoto and Yuji Yoshino for valuable information on graded rings.

Part 1. Quasi-equivalences between singularity categories and cluster categories

The aim of this first part is to give general theoretical results to give equivalences between singularity categories and cluster categories. We shall essentially work over enhancements of relevant categories. After preparing some background on dg categories, we give the Reconstruction Theorem (Section 2), Enhanced Auslander-Reiten duality (Section 3), which lead to the main results in Section 4. This part also includes Section 5, where we give a general existence theorem of tilting objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)$ of symmetric orders Λ , and apply it to realize $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda)$ as cluster categories.

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. Preliminaries on dg categories. Throughout this section we fix a base field k . We say two dg categories \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are *derived Morita equivalent* if there exists $X \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{B})$ such that $-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{L}} X: \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$ is an equivalence. Such a bimodule X is called *invertible*. This is left-right symmetric in the following sense.

Lemma 1.1. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be dg categories and X an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule.*

(1) *The following are equivalent.*

(a) *The functor $-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{L}} X: \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$ is an equivalence.*

(b) *The functor $X \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{L}} -: \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$ is an equivalence.*

(2) *Under the above situation, there is an isomorphism $\text{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B}) \simeq \text{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}(X, \mathcal{A})$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. (1) We only show (a) implies (b). The quasi-inverse to $-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{L}} X$ is given by its adjoint $\text{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, -)$. Then the unit and counit maps

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, X), \tag{1.1}$$

$$\text{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{L}} X \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \tag{1.2}$$

are isomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^e)$ and in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}^e)$, respectively. Now the functor $\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, -)$ is isomorphic to $-\otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B})$ since X is compact in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$, thus (1.1) becomes

$$\mathcal{A} \simeq X \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B}) \quad (1.3)$$

in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^e)$. Then (1.2) and (1.3) imply $X \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{L}} -: \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}})$ and $\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{L}} -: \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}})$ are mutually inverse equivalences.

(2) We have seen above that there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \simeq X \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B})$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^e)$. Therefore we obtain isomorphisms $\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}(X, \mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}(X, X \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B})) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B})) = \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B})$ of $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A})$ -bimodules, where the middle isomorphism is by (1)(b). \square

Let $\mathrm{dgc}at = \mathrm{dgc}at_k$ be the category whose objects are small dg categories over k and morphisms are k -linear dg functors. When viewing dg categories as enhancements of triangulated categories, it is natural to identify dg categories which yield the same derived category. This point of view leads to the following notion.

Definition 1.2. We say that a morphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ in $\mathrm{dgc}at$ is a *Morita functor* if it induces an equivalence $-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathcal{B}: \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$. The *Morita homotopy category* Hmo is the localization of $\mathrm{dgc}at$ with respect to Morita functors.

Thanks to the model structure on $\mathrm{dgc}at$ whose weak equivalences are Morita functors [Ta, 5.3], the localization Hmo has small morphism sets. Moreover by homotopy theory of dg categories, this set of morphisms is described quite nicely.

Proposition 1.3 ([Ta, 5.10][To], see also [Ke3, Section 4.6]). *The set of morphisms $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ in Hmo is in one-to-one correspondence with the isomorphism classes in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathcal{B})$ of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodules which are perfect as right \mathcal{B} -modules.*

Thus the isomorphisms in Hmo are precisely the invertible $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodules. In particular, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are derived Morita equivalent if and only if they are isomorphic in Hmo .

Now we turn to the notion of *exact sequence* and *localization* of dg categories. Before that we start with the corresponding notions for triangulated categories. A sequence $\mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{U}$ of triangulated categories is called *exact* if F is fully faithful, $G \circ F = 0$, and G induces a triangle equivalence $\mathcal{T}/F(\mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{U}$. The following result on localization theory is fundamental.

Proposition 1.4. *Let \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{U} be compactly generated triangulated categories and $G: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ a triangle functor. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) *There is a localizing (i.e. closed under coproducts) subcategory $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{T}$ such that G induces an equivalence $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$.*
- (b) *G has a fully faithful right adjoint H .*

In this case, there is a stable t -structure $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{N} \perp H(\mathcal{U})$. Moreover, the triangle associated to $X \in \mathcal{T}$ is given by extending the unit map $X \rightarrow HG(X)$.

For each morphism $X: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ in Hmo , identified with $X \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathcal{B})$, we have an induction $-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{L}} X: \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$ and a restriction $\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, -): \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$, which form a pair of adjoint functors.

Definition 1.5. (1) A sequence $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{X} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{Y} \mathcal{C}$ in Hmo is *exact* if the induction functors give an exact sequence of triangulated categories:

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{L}} X} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{-\otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{L}} Y} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}).$$

- (2) A morphism $\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{Y} \mathcal{C}$ in Hmo is a *localization* if the restriction functor below is fully faithful.

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, -): \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$$

The following well-known fact will be important for us.

Proposition 1.6. *Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{X} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{Y} \mathcal{C}$ be an exact sequence in Hmo .*

- (1) *The morphism $Y: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a localization.*

(2) *There exists a triangle in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}^e)$:*

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{L}} X \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathcal{B}[1].$$

Proof. (1) Since $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$ is a localizing subcategory inducing an equivalence $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})/\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$, the induction $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ is a localization of triangulated categories. Then by 1.4 its right adjoint, or the restriction functor $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$, is fully faithful.

(2) Consider the natural maps $\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{L}} X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Y)$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}^e)$ and complete them to triangles below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{L}} X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B} & \longrightarrow & \bullet & \longrightarrow & \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \\ \bullet & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Y) & \longrightarrow & \end{array}$$

Note that we have $\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}^e}(\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{L}} X, \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Y)) = 0$. Indeed, the left-hand-side is isomorphic to $\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B}), \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Y))) = \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B}), \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{L}} Y, Y))$, and $X \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{L}} Y = 0$. We therefore obtain a morphism of triangles in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}^e)$. It remains to show that the vertical maps are isomorphisms. Since it is enough to prove this as morphisms of one-sided modules, we view the diagram as one in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$. We claim that both triangles are the truncation of $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$ with respect to the stable t -structure $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \perp \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$, hence are isomorphic. We know that the second row is so by the last assertion in 1.4. Also the mapping cone of the map in the first row lies in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$. Indeed, we have to show $\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(L \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{L}} X, \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{L}} X) \rightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(L \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{L}} X, \mathcal{B})$ is an isomorphism for all $L \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$, but this is evident since both are isomorphic to $\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(L, \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B}))$. We conclude that the first triangle is also the truncation. \square

Remark 1.7. When the sequence $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{X} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{Y} \mathcal{C}$ in Hmo comes from a sequence $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ in $\mathrm{dgc}at$ (hence $X = \mathcal{B}$ viewed as an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule, and $Y = \mathcal{C}$ viewed as a $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ -bimodule), then the sequence in (2) becomes

$$\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathcal{B}[1].$$

For each $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$ applying $M \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{L}} -$ to the above triangle gives

$$M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathcal{B}[1],$$

which is the truncation triangle associated to the stable t -structure $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \perp \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$.

1.2. Dg singularity categories. We are interested in the singularity category of Gorenstein rings. Let us define the canonical enhancements of these categories.

For a Noetherian ring A we denote by $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{mod} A)_{\mathrm{dg}}$ (resp. $\mathrm{per}_{\mathrm{dg}} A$) the enhancement of the bounded derived category $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{mod} A)$ (resp. the perfect derived category $\mathrm{per} A$). Explicitly, we may take $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{mod} A)_{\mathrm{dg}} = \mathcal{C}^{-,b}(\mathrm{proj} A)_{\mathrm{dg}}$ and $\mathrm{per}_{\mathrm{dg}} A = \mathcal{C}^b(\mathrm{proj} A)_{\mathrm{dg}}$, the dg category of bounded (above) complexes of finitely generated projective A -modules (with bounded cohomologies). Suppose now that A is *Iwanaga-Gorenstein* in the sense that the free module A has finite injective dimension in $\mathrm{Mod} A$ and in $\mathrm{Mod} A^{\mathrm{op}}$. Then Buchweitz's theorem [Bu] gives an equivalence with the stable category of Cohen-Macaulay modules

$$\underline{\mathrm{CM}} A \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}(A).$$

We also have a triangle equivalence $\underline{\mathrm{CM}} A \simeq \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{ac}}(\mathrm{proj} A)$ with the homotopy category of acyclic complexes of finitely generated projective modules, which gives an enhancement $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ac}}(\mathrm{proj} A)_{\mathrm{dg}}$, the corresponding dg category, of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}(A)$.

Definition 1.8. We call $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ac}}(\mathrm{proj} A)_{\mathrm{dg}}$ the *dg singularity category* of A .

Let us note that the natural enhancements of these categories form an exact sequence of dg categories.

Proposition 1.9. *Let A be an Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}^b(\text{proj } A)_{\text{dg}}$, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}^{-,b}(\text{proj } A)_{\text{dg}}$, and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{ac}}(\text{proj } A)_{\text{dg}}$. Then there exists an exact sequence*

$$\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

in Hmo inducing the canonical exact sequence $\text{per } A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(A)$ of triangulated categories.

Proof. We let $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be the canonical inclusion. Also by [IO, A.22] there is $Y \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Hmo}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ such that $-\otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^L Y: \text{per } \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{per } \mathcal{C}$ identifies with $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(A)$ under canonical equivalences $\text{per } \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{K}_{\text{ac}}(\text{proj } A) = \underline{\text{CM}} A = \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(A)$. It follows that the sequence $\text{per } A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(A)$ of triangulated categories induced by the sequence $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{Y} \mathcal{C}$ of dg categories is the canonical one. \square

Remark 1.10. Note that we have a general construction of *dg quotients* [Ke1, D] (see also [Ke3, Section 4.4]) enhancing Verdier quotients, so that one can define the *dg singularity category* as the dg quotient $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(A)_{\text{dg}} := \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A)_{\text{dg}} / \text{per}_{\text{dg}} A$. The above proposition shows that for Iwanaga-Gorenstein algebras two enhancements $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(A)_{\text{dg}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\text{ac}}(\text{proj } A)_{\text{dg}}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(A)$ are isomorphic in Hmo . We will therefore also write $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(A)_{\text{dg}}$ for $\mathcal{C}_{\text{ac}}(\text{proj } A)_{\text{dg}}$.

2. STRUCTURE OF ADAMS GRADED DG CATEGORIES

We will be interested in dg categories with an additional grading, sometimes called an *Adams grading*. After collecting some basic definitions for the graded setting in the first subsection, we give a main result of this section which is a structure theorem of certain \mathbb{Z} -graded dg categories. Finally we apply the structure theorem for Calabi-Yau dg categories.

2.1. Graded dg categories and graded derived categories. Throughout this section let G be an abelian group. A *G -graded dg category* is a $\mathbb{Z} \times G$ -graded category \mathcal{A} endowed with a differential of degree $(1, 0)$ subject to the Leibniz rule: $d(fg) = df \cdot g + (-1)^i f \cdot dg$ for each composable morphisms f and g with $\deg f = (i, a)$. In other words, it is a category enriched in $\mathcal{C}(\text{Mod}^G k)$, the category of complexes of G -graded k -vector spaces and degree 0 morphisms.

The Adams grading allows such constructions as follows. If \mathcal{A} is a G -graded dg category, we have its *degree 0 part* \mathcal{A}_0 which is the (ordinary) dg category whose morphism complexes are (Adams) degree 0 part of those of \mathcal{A} . More generally, for each $a \in G$ we have the *(a)-th Veronese subcategory* $\mathcal{A}^{(a)}$ which is an H -graded dg category, where H is the subgroup of G generated by a .

For a G -graded dg category \mathcal{A} , we have the *G -graded derived category* $\mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{A})$, the localization of the (homotopy) category graded dg \mathcal{A} -modules with respect to quasi-isomorphisms. It has the *degree shift functor* (a) for each $a \in G$ given by the shift of (Adams) grading, with strict inverse $(-a)$. Similarly we have the *G -graded perfect derived category* $\text{per}^G \mathcal{A}$, the thick subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{A})$ generated by the shift of representable functors:

$$\text{per}^G \mathcal{A} := \text{thick}\{\mathcal{A}(-, A)(a) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}, a \in G\} \subset \mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{A}).$$

For a G -graded dg category \mathcal{A} we denote by $\text{per}_{\text{dg}}^G \mathcal{A}$ the canonical enhancement of $\text{per}^G \mathcal{A}$, that is, the smallest full dg subcategory of the dg category of G -graded dg \mathcal{A} -modules which is closed under mapping cones, $[\pm 1]$ and direct summands, and contains $\mathcal{A}(-, A)(a)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $a \in G$.

Formally, these graded derived categories are can be defined using the smash product as follows. Define the dg category $\mathcal{A} \# G$ by

- objects: (A, a) with $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $a \in G$,
- morphisms: $(\mathcal{A} \# G)((A, a), (B, b)) := \mathcal{A}(A, B)_{b-a}$, the (Adams) degree $b - a$ part of $\mathcal{A}(A, B)$.

Then we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A} \# G), \quad \text{per}^G \mathcal{A} = \text{per } \mathcal{A} \# G.$$

The degree shift functor (a) on $\mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{A})$ corresponds to the isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A} \# G)$ induced by the dg automorphism $(A, b) \mapsto (A, b + a)$ of $\mathcal{A} \# G$.

Let us formulate some equivalence relations on graded dg categories which respects the gradings.

Definition 2.1. We say G -graded dg categories \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are (G -)graded derived Morita equivalent if there exists $X \in \mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{B})$ such that $-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L X: \mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{B})$ is an equivalence.

A particularly special derived Morita equivalence is the following graded notion of quasi-equivalences.

Definition 2.2. We say G -graded dg categories \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are *graded quasi-equivalent* if there exists $X \in \mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{B})$ which gives an equivalence $-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L X: \mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{B})$ restricting to an equivalence $H^0(\mathcal{A}_0) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^0(\mathcal{B}_0)$.

We conclude this subsection by introducing the following notion for the grading. Recall that $\text{per}^G \mathcal{A}$ is generated by the shifts of representable functors $\{\mathcal{A}(-, A)(a) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}, a \in G\}$. We will write

$$\text{thick } \mathcal{A} := \text{thick}\{\mathcal{A}(-, A) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\},$$

the thick subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{A})$ generated by the representable functors *without degree shifts*.

Definition 2.3. We say a G -graded dg category \mathcal{A} is *strongly graded* if $\text{per}^G \mathcal{A} = \text{thick } \mathcal{A}$.

Note that this is invariant under graded quasi-equivalence but not under graded derived Morita equivalence.

2.2. Characterization of dg orbit categories. Let \mathcal{A} be a dg category. We will identify an object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and an \mathcal{A} -module $\mathcal{A}(-, A)$ represented by A .

Definition 2.4 ([Ke2]). For a cofibrant bimodule V over \mathcal{A} , the *dg orbit category* [Ke2] \mathcal{A}/V is defined as the dg category with the same objects as \mathcal{A} and the morphism complex

$$\mathcal{A}/V(L, M) = \text{colim} \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(L \otimes V^n, M) \xrightarrow{-\otimes V} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(L \otimes V^n, M \otimes V) \xrightarrow{-\otimes V} \dots \right),$$

where the tensor products are over \mathcal{A} and V^n is the n -fold tensor product of V . When V is not cofibrant, we replace it by its cofibrant resolution $pV \rightarrow V$ and put $\mathcal{A}/V := \mathcal{A}/pV$, which does not depend on the choice of a resolution up to quasi-equivalence [Ke2, 9.4].

Note that since the morphism complex $\mathcal{A}/V(L, M)$ is the direct sum of colimits of the diagonal maps below induced by $-\otimes V$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(L, M) & & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(L, M \otimes V) & & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(L, M \otimes V^2) & & \dots \\ & \searrow & & \searrow & & \searrow & \\ \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(L \otimes V, M) & & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(L \otimes V, M \otimes V) & & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(L \otimes V, M \otimes V^2) & & \dots \\ & \searrow & & \searrow & & \searrow & \\ \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(L \otimes V^2, M) & & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(L \otimes V^2, M \otimes V) & & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(L \otimes V^2, M \otimes V^2) & & \dots \\ & \searrow & & \searrow & & \searrow & \\ \dots & & \dots & & \dots & & \dots \end{array}$$

the orbit category \mathcal{A}/V has with a natural \mathbb{Z} -grading with

$$\mathcal{A}/V(L, M)_i = \text{colim}_{m \gg 0} \left(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(L \otimes V^{i+m}, M \otimes V^m) \xrightarrow{-\otimes V} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(L \otimes V^{i+m+1}, M \otimes V^{m+1}) \xrightarrow{-\otimes V} \dots \right).$$

In what follows we assume that V is invertible, that is, the functor $-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L V: \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ is an equivalence. (In other words, V gives an invertible morphism in Hmo .) Note that we then have quasi-isomorphisms $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mathcal{A}/V)_0$ of dg categories and $V \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mathcal{A}/V)_{-1}$ of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ -bimodules. Note also that the \mathbb{Z} -grading makes \mathcal{A}/V into a strongly graded dg category since $\mathcal{A}/V(-1) \simeq V \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L \mathcal{A}/V$ and $\mathcal{A}/V(1) \simeq \text{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(V, \mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L \mathcal{A}/V$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes (\mathcal{A}/V))$.

We observe that these properties in fact characterize the dg orbit categories among graded dg categories.

Theorem 2.5 (Reconstruction Theorem). *Let \mathcal{B} be a strongly \mathbb{Z} -graded dg category and put $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}_0$ and $V = \mathcal{B}_{-1}$. Then \mathcal{B} is \mathbb{Z} -graded quasi-equivalent to \mathcal{A}/V , and there is a commutative diagram in \mathbf{Hmo} .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}/V \end{array}$$

Before proving 2.5, we give the following application.

Corollary 2.6. *Let \mathcal{B} be a \mathbb{Z} -graded dg category, and let $\mathcal{A} \subset \text{per}_{\text{dg}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}$ be a full dg subcategory which generates $\text{per}_{\text{dg}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}$. Define a dg bimodule V over \mathcal{A} by $V(X, Y) := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{B}}(X, Y)_{-1}$ for $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$. Then \mathcal{B} is \mathbb{Z} -graded derived Morita equivalent to \mathcal{A}/V .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be a full dg subcategory of $\text{per}_{\text{dg}} \mathcal{B}$ whose objects are the images of \mathcal{A} under the forgetful functor $\text{per}_{\text{dg}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{per}_{\text{dg}} \mathcal{B}$. Then \mathcal{C} is a \mathbb{Z} -graded dg category since each object is perfect over \mathcal{B} . Since \mathcal{A} generates $\text{per}_{\text{dg}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}$, it follows that \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} are \mathbb{Z} -graded Morita equivalent and moreover \mathcal{C} is strongly graded. Thus \mathcal{C} is graded quasi-equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_0/\mathcal{C}_{-1} = \mathcal{A}/V$ by applying 2.5 to \mathcal{C} , and hence \mathcal{B} is graded Morita equivalent to \mathcal{A}/V . \square

To prove the theorem we need a small preparation.

Lemma 2.7. *In the setting of 2.5 we have the following.*

- (1) *For each $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{B}_{-a} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{L}} \mathcal{B} \simeq \mathcal{B}(-a)$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{B})$.*
- (2) *For all $a \geq 0$ we have $\mathcal{B}_{-1}^{\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{L}} a} \simeq \mathcal{B}_{-a}$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^e)$.*

Proof. (1) Since $\text{per}_{\text{dg}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}$ is generated by $\{\mathcal{B}(-, B) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ and $\text{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{A}$, we have mutually inverse equivalences $-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{L}} \mathcal{B}: \text{per } \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{per}_{\text{dg}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}$ and $\text{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{B}, -) = (-)_0: \text{per}_{\text{dg}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{per } \mathcal{A}$. Then the natural map

$$\mathcal{B}_{-a} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{L}} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(-a)$$

in $\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{B})$ is nothing but the counit of the adjunction, thus is an isomorphism.

(2) We have a natural multiplication map $\mathcal{B}_{-1}^{\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{L}} a} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{-a}$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^e)$. It is enough to prove that this is an isomorphism in $\text{per } \mathcal{A}$, which we see by applying the equivalence $-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{L}} \mathcal{B}$ and using (1). \square

Now we are ready to prove 2.5.

Proof of 2.5. We replace \mathcal{B}_{-1} by its cofibrant resolution V over \mathcal{A}^e . Then by 2.7(1) we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\varphi_1: V \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(-1)$$

of graded dg $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodules. We inductively define quasi-isomorphisms $\varphi_n: V^n \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(-n)$ by

$$V^{n+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{1_V^n \otimes \varphi_1} V^n \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}(-1) \xrightarrow{\varphi_n(-1)} \mathcal{B}(-n-1).$$

It is easily seen that for any $p, q \geq 0$ we have $\varphi_{p+q} = \varphi_p(-q) \circ (1_V^p \otimes \varphi_q)$, where $\varphi_0 := 1_{\mathcal{B}}$.

In what follows we will not distinguish a morphism from its degree shift, for example, the rightmost equality below. We write ${}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, -)$ for $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(-, -)$ and similarly ${}_{\mathcal{B}}(-, -)$ for $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{B}}(-, -)$. Also all tensor products are over \mathcal{A} . Consider the diagram of quasi-isomorphisms of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ -bimodules

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} {}_{\mathcal{A}}(V^n, V^p) & \xrightarrow{-\otimes_{\mathcal{B}}} & {}_{\mathcal{B}}(V^n \otimes \mathcal{B}, V^p \otimes \mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_p} & {}_{\mathcal{B}}(V^n \otimes \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}(-p)) & \xleftarrow{\cdot \varphi_n} & {}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}(-n), \mathcal{B}(-p)) \\ \downarrow -\otimes V & & \downarrow \cdot (1_V^n \otimes \varphi_1) & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ {}_{\mathcal{A}}(V^{n+1}, V^{p+1}) & \xrightarrow{-\otimes_{\mathcal{B}}} & {}_{\mathcal{B}}(V^{n+1} \otimes \mathcal{B}, V^{p+1} \otimes \mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{p+1}} & {}_{\mathcal{B}}(V^{n+1} \otimes \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}(-p-1)) & \xleftarrow{\cdot \varphi_{n+1}} & {}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}(-n-1), \mathcal{B}(-p-1)), \end{array}$$

which can easily be seen to be commutative. Let T be the colimit of some coproduct of the third column;

$$T = \text{colim} \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} {}_{\mathcal{B}}(V^n \otimes \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} {}_{\mathcal{B}}(V^n \otimes \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}(-1)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} {}_{\mathcal{B}}(V^n \otimes \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}(-2)) \longrightarrow \dots \right).$$

We claim that T gives rise to a graded $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}/V)$ -bimodule, which induces a graded quasi-equivalence $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/V$. Note first that T takes $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$ to the graded complex

$$T(A, B) = \operatorname{colim} \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{B}}(A \otimes V^n \otimes \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}(-, B)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{B}}(A \otimes V^n \otimes \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}(-, B)(-1)) \longrightarrow \cdots \right),$$

whose degree i part is

$$T(A, B)_i = \operatorname{colim}_{m \gg 0} \left(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{B}}(A \otimes V^{i+m} \otimes \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}(-, B)(-m)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{B}}(A \otimes V^{i+m+1} \otimes \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}(-, B)(-m-1)) \rightarrow \cdots \right).$$

We see from the above descriptions that T has a structure of a left \mathcal{B} -module. It also has a well-defined right \mathcal{A}/V -action as follows: for $c \in \mathcal{A}/V(A', A)$ presented by a morphism $c: A' \otimes V^n \rightarrow A \otimes V^p$ of \mathcal{A} -modules and $t \in T(A, B)$ presented by a graded dg \mathcal{B} -module morphism $t: A \otimes V^m \otimes \mathcal{B}(-, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(-, B)(-q)$, set $tc: A' \otimes V^{n+m} \otimes \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1_V^m \otimes 1_{\mathcal{B}}} A \otimes V^{p+m} \otimes \mathcal{B}(-, B) \xrightarrow{1_V^m \otimes \varphi_p} A \otimes V^m \otimes \mathcal{B}(-, B)(-p) \xrightarrow{t} \mathcal{B}(-, B)(-p-q)$. These actions make T into a graded dg $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}/V)$ -bimodule. By the commutativity of left hexagon in the above diagram, there is a homogeneous quasi-isomorphism $f: \mathcal{A}/V \rightarrow T$ of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ -bimodules, which can be seen to be right \mathcal{A}/V -linear. Therefore T is a quasi-functor $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/V$, that is, $T(-, B)$ is isomorphic in $\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{A}/V)$ to a representable \mathcal{A}/V -module $\mathcal{A}/V(-, B)$. Also by the commutativity of right square, there is a quasi-isomorphism $g: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow T$ of \mathcal{B} -modules.

To prove that T is a graded quasi-equivalence we have to show that the morphism $\operatorname{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \operatorname{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}/V}(T, T)$ induced by $- \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^L T$ is a quasi-isomorphism, but this follows from the commutativity of the diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \operatorname{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}/V}(T, T) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \cdot f \\ \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{g} & T \equiv \operatorname{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}/V}(\mathcal{A}/V, T) \end{array}$$

Since \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{A}/V have the same objects, it is clear that the functor $H^0(\mathcal{B})_0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{A}/V)_0$ induced by T is essentially surjective. This completes the proof that T is a quasi-equivalence.

The commutativity of a diagram follows from the fact that we have a quasi-isomorphism $f: \mathcal{A}/V \rightarrow T$ of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}/V)$ -bimodules. \square

Let us note the following general observation relating orbit categories and Veronese subcategories.

Proposition 2.8. *Let \mathcal{C} be a strongly G -graded dg category. Then for any subgroup $H \subset G$, there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{per}_{\operatorname{dg}}^G \mathcal{C} & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{C}_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{per}_{\operatorname{dg}}^{G/H} \mathcal{C} & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{C}^{(H)}, \end{array}$$

where the left vertical map is the forgetful functor, $\mathcal{C}^{(H)}$ is the Veronese subcategory, the right vertical map is the inclusion, and the horizontal maps are Morita functors.

Proof. Since $\operatorname{per}^G \mathcal{C} = \operatorname{thick} \mathcal{C}$ and $\operatorname{REnd}_{\mathcal{C}}^G(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}_0$ we have a Morita functor $\mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \operatorname{per}_{\operatorname{dg}}^G \mathcal{C}$ in the first row. Similarly, we also have $\operatorname{per}^{G/H} \mathcal{C} = \operatorname{thick} \mathcal{C}$ and $\operatorname{REnd}_{\mathcal{C}}^{G/H}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}^{(H)}$, which give the Morita functor $\mathcal{C}^{(H)} \rightarrow \operatorname{per}_{\operatorname{dg}}^{G/H} \mathcal{C}$ in the second row. It is clear that the above diagram is commutative. \square

2.3. Dg orbit algebras. Let us reformulate the above result in the setting of dg algebras. For a dg algebra Λ and a cofibrant bimodule X over Λ , we put

$$\Lambda/X = \operatorname{colim} \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda}(X^n, \Lambda) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda}(X^n, X) \longrightarrow \cdots \right),$$

in accordance with our notation for dg orbit categories. When a bimodule X is not cofibrant, take a cofibrant resolution $pX \rightarrow X$ of X and set $\Lambda/X := \Lambda/pX$. If $\mathcal{A} = \operatorname{per}_{\operatorname{dg}} \Lambda$ is the canonical dg enhancement of $\operatorname{per} \Lambda$,

and viewing X as a bimodule over \mathcal{A} , then Λ/X is nothing but the endomorphism algebra of Λ in the dg orbit category \mathcal{A}/X . The inclusion $\Lambda/X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/X$ is a Morita functor and we have an equivalence

$$\mathcal{D}(\Lambda/X) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}/X).$$

In terms of dg algebras, 2.6 can be reformulated as follows.

Corollary 2.9. *Let Γ be a \mathbb{Z} -graded dg algebra. Suppose that $\text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Gamma$ is generated by an object M as a thick subcategory and put*

$$\Lambda = \text{REnd}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M), \quad V = \text{RHom}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M, M(-1)).$$

Then Γ is graded derived Morita equivalent to Λ/V .

Proof. This is a special case of 2.6. □

2.4. Graded CY dg categories. Let us first prepare some terminologies on dg categories.

Definition 2.10. Let \mathcal{A} be a dg category.

- (1) We say \mathcal{A} is *component-wise proper* if each cohomology of each morphism complex is finite dimensional.
- (2) We say that \mathcal{A} is *Gorenstein* if $\text{thick}\{\mathcal{A}(-, A) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\} = \text{thick}\{D\mathcal{A}(A, -) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$.
- (3) A Gorenstein dg category \mathcal{A} is called ν_d -*finite* if for each $X, Y \in \text{per } \mathcal{A}$ we have $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})}(X, \nu_d^{-i}Y) = 0$ for almost all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\nu_d = - \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L D\mathcal{A}[-d]$ is the composite of the Serre functor and $[-d]$.

Clearly, \mathcal{A} is component-wise proper if and only if $\text{per } \mathcal{A}$ is Hom-finite, and it is well-known that \mathcal{A} is Gorenstein if and only if $\text{per } \mathcal{A}$ has a Serre functor. Note that in the definition of ν_d -finiteness we do not assume $\text{gl. dim } A \leq d$ even if \mathcal{A} is an ordinary algebra A , so our ν_d -finiteness is weaker than the notion already established in [Am1, Iy3].

Now we specialize the above observations to a CY-situation. Let us define the class of dg categories we are interested in. Note that the following notion ‘‘Calabi-Yau’’ means ‘‘right’’ Calabi-Yau in the sense of [KS, BD, KW], which is different from the usual notion of ‘‘left’’ Calabi-Yau algebras in the sense of Ginzburg and Keller [Gi, Ke4].

Definition 2.11. Let G be an abelian group. We say that a G -graded dg category \mathcal{C} is *p -shifted d -Calabi-Yau* if we have an isomorphism

$$D\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}(-p)[d] \text{ in } \mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{C}^e),$$

that is, we have a natural isomorphism $D\mathcal{C}(N, M) \simeq \mathcal{C}(M, N)(-p)[d]$ in $\mathcal{D}(\text{Mod}^G k)$ for all $M, N \in \mathcal{C}$.

Note that we can modify the CY dimension d of \mathcal{C} flexibly as follows (see e.g. [IQ]).

Remark 2.12. For a G -graded dg category \mathcal{C} and a group homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, define a new G -graded dg category $\mathcal{C}(\phi)$ as follows:

- objects: same as \mathcal{C} ,
- morphisms: $\mathcal{C}(\phi)(L, M)_a^i := \mathcal{C}(L, M)_a^{i+\phi(a)}$,
- composition: $f \cdot g := (-1)^{i\phi(b)} fg$ for $f \in \mathcal{C}(\phi)_a^i$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}(\phi)_b^j$, where the right-hand-side is the composition in \mathcal{C} ,
- differential: $d^\phi(f) := (-1)^{\phi(a)} df$ for $f \in \mathcal{C}(\phi)_a^i$, where the right-hand-side is the differential of \mathcal{C} .

This definition satisfies the Leibniz rule for $\mathcal{C}(\phi)$, making it into a G -graded dg category.

Then if \mathcal{C} is p -shifted d -Calabi-Yau, then $\mathcal{C}(\phi)$ is p -shifted $(d + \phi(p))$ -Calabi-Yau.

This CY property is Morita invariant in the following sense.

Lemma 2.13. *Suppose \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are two G -graded derived Morita equivalent dg categories. Then \mathcal{A} is p -shifted d -CY if and only if \mathcal{B} is p -shifted d -CY.*

Proof. There is a graded $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule X such that $- \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L X : \mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{B})$ is an equivalence. By 1.1 we have an isomorphism $\text{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}(X, \mathcal{A}) \simeq \text{RHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \mathcal{B})$ in $\mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{A})$. Suppose that \mathcal{A} is p -shifted d -CY, i.e. we have $D\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{A}(-p)[d]$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^e)$. In particular, each cohomology of \mathcal{A} is finite dimensional, hence so is that of \mathcal{B} by the derived equivalence $\text{per } \mathcal{A} \simeq \text{per } \mathcal{B}$. Dualizing the above isomorphism gives $D\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L X \simeq X \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^L D\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{B})$. Then, using 1.1 we obtain isomorphisms $D\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}(X, X \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^L D\mathcal{B}) \simeq \text{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}(X, D\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L X) = \text{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}(X, X)(-p)[d] \xleftarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{B}(-p)[d]$ in $\mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{B}^e)$. □

Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -graded dg category which is p -shifted d -CY. Suppose as in 2.5 that \mathcal{C} is strongly graded and put $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}_0$, $V = \mathcal{C}_{-1}$, and also $X = \mathcal{C}_{-p}$. In this case we have some additional information to 2.7 on the dg category \mathcal{A} and the bimodules V and X .

Lemma 2.14. (1) \mathcal{A} is component-wise proper and Gorenstein.

(2) \mathcal{A} is ν_d -finite.

(3) $X \simeq D\mathcal{A}[-d]$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^e)$, thus $-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L V$ gives an p -th root of ν_d on $\text{per } \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. By 2.5 we may assume $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}/V$.

(1) We have an equivalence $\text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{per } \mathcal{A}$. Since $\text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{C}$ has a Serre functor $-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L D\mathcal{A} = (-p)[d]$, so does $\text{per } \mathcal{A}$, hence $\text{per } \mathcal{A}$ is Hom-finite and \mathcal{A} is Gorenstein.

(3) Taking the degree 0 part of the isomorphism $D\mathcal{C}[-d] \simeq \mathcal{C}(-p)$, we have $D\mathcal{A}[-d] \simeq \mathcal{C}_{-p}$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^e)$, in which the right-hand-side is X . Then the second assertion follows from 2.7(2).

(2) By (3) is enough to show $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^e)}(X, Y \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L V^i) = 0$ for almost all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is to say $\text{per } \mathcal{C}$ is Hom-finite. Now we have $D\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}^e)$, thus each cohomology of \mathcal{C} is finite dimensional, hence $\text{per } \mathcal{C}$ is Hom-finite. \square

We end this section with the following prototype of the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.15. Let \mathcal{C} be a strongly G -graded dg category which is p -shifted d -CY for some torsion-free $p \in G$, and put $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}_0$.

(1) There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}/D\mathcal{A}[-d] \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \text{per}_{\text{dg}}^G \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \text{per}_{\text{dg}}^{G/(p)} \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

whose vertical maps are isomorphisms in Hmo .

(2) Suppose moreover that $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and put $V = \mathcal{C}_{-1}$. Then we have $V^{\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L p} \simeq D\mathcal{A}[-d]$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^e)$ and the above diagram extends to the following.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}/D\mathcal{A}[-d] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}/V \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \text{per}_{\text{dg}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \text{per}_{\text{dg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \text{per}_{\text{dg}} \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

Proof. (1) By 2.8 we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^{(p)} \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \text{per}_{\text{dg}}^G \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \text{per}_{\text{dg}}^{G/(p)} \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

with vertical Morita functors. We have $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{A}$ by definition, so consider the Veronese subcategory $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{C}^{(p)}$. Since $p \in G$ is torsion-free, it is a \mathbb{Z} -graded dg category. Taking the p -th Veronese of the isomorphism $D\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}(-p)[d]$, we get $D\mathcal{B} \simeq \mathcal{B}(-1)[d]$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{B}^e)$. Also, in view of the functor $\text{per}^G \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}$ given by $M \mapsto M^{(p)}$, we see that $\text{per}^G \mathcal{C} = \text{thick } \mathcal{C}$ implies $\text{per}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B} = \text{thick } \mathcal{B}$. Then by 2.5 we conclude that \mathcal{B} is quasi-equivalent to $\mathcal{B}_0/\mathcal{B}_{-1}$, with $\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{-1} = D\mathcal{A}[-d]$ by 2.14.

(2) We have a graded quasi-equivalence $\mathcal{A}/V \simeq \mathcal{C}$ by 2.5, so the assertion follows. \square

Immediately we obtain the following structure theorem of strongly \mathbb{Z} -graded 1-shifted d -Calabi-Yau dg categories.

Corollary 2.16. Let \mathcal{C} be a strongly \mathbb{Z} -graded dg category which is 1-shifted d -CY, and $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{C}_0$. Then \mathcal{C} is \mathbb{Z} -graded derived Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{A}/D\mathcal{A}[-d]$.

3. ENHANCED AUSLANDER-REITEN DUALITY FOR SINGULARITY CATEGORIES

We give an important class of CY triangulated categories whose dg enhancement is CY, namely the singularity categories of commutative Gorenstein rings, or more generally, of symmetric orders. After collecting some background on Cohen-Macaulay representations, we give our main result 3.2 and its graded version 3.14.

3.1. Preliminaries on Cohen-Macaulay representations. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring of dimension d . We denote by $\text{mod } R$ the category of finitely generated R -modules. The *dimension* $\dim X$ of X is the Krull dimension of $R/\text{Ann } X$. When R is *local*, the *depth* $\text{depth } X$ of X is the maximal length of the X -regular sequence. Then the inequalities

$$\text{depth } X \leq \dim X \leq d = \dim R$$

hold. We call X (maximal) *Cohen-Macaulay (CM)* if the equality $\text{depth } X = d$ holds or $X = 0$.

For general R , we call $X \in \text{mod } R$ *Cohen-Macaulay (CM)* if, for each maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R , $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a CM $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module. In this case, $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a CM $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$. We denote by $\text{CM } R$ the category of CM R -modules.

For an R -algebra Λ which is finitely generated as an R -module, let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CM } \Lambda &:= \{X \in \text{mod } \Lambda \mid X \in \text{CM } R \text{ as an } R\text{-module}\}, \\ \text{CM}_0 \Lambda &:= \{X \in \text{CM } \Lambda \mid X_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{proj } \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \text{ with } \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} < d\}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the following non-commutative version of Serre's (R_n) condition.

$$(R_n) \text{ gl. dim } \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} \text{ for each } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \text{ with } \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} \leq n,$$

It is elementary that Λ satisfies (R_{d-1}) if and only if $\text{CM } \Lambda = \text{CM}_0 \Lambda$ holds.

Definition 3.1. Let Λ be a module-finite R -algebra.

- (1) We call Λ an *R -order* if $\Lambda \in \text{CM } \Lambda$.
- (2) We call Λ a *symmetric R -algebra* if we have $\Lambda \simeq \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R)$ as (Λ, Λ) -bimodules.
- (3) We call Λ a *symmetric R -order* if it is an R -order which is a symmetric R -algebra.

Now we assume that R is a *Gorenstein* ring, that is, for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ of R , the localization $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has finite injective dimension. In this case, each symmetric R -order Λ is an Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring whose injective dimensions on both sides equal $\dim R$. Let $\text{fl } R$ be the category of R -modules of finite length, and

$$\text{fl}_d R = \{M \in \text{fl } R \mid \text{any } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M \text{ satisfies } \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = d\}.$$

For a symmetric R -order Λ , consider a subcategory

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}(\Lambda) := \{X \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda) \mid \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda)}(X) \in \text{fl}_d R\} \quad (3.1)$$

of the singularity category. Then we have a triangle equivalence

$$\underline{\text{CM}}_0 \Lambda \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}(\Lambda).$$

As before, Λ satisfies (R_{d-1}) if and only if $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda) = \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}(\Lambda)$ holds.

3.2. Enhanced and classical Auslander-Reiten duality. Let R be a commutative Gorenstein ring of finite Krull dimension, and let Λ be a symmetric R -order. Thus, Λ is finitely generated and Cohen-Macaulay as an R -module, and there is an isomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R) \simeq \Lambda$ as (Λ, Λ) -bimodules. We put

$$\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda} := \mathcal{D}_{\text{mod } \Lambda}(\text{Mod } \Lambda) = \{X \in \mathcal{D}(\text{Mod } \Lambda) \mid H^i X \in \text{mod } \Lambda \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Then there is a duality [Har, V.2.1]

$$(-)^* = \text{RHom}_R(-, R): \mathcal{D}_R \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{D}_R,$$

which restricts to a duality $\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}$. Note that this coincides with $\text{RHom}_{\Lambda}(-, \Lambda)$. Indeed, we have $\Lambda \simeq \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R) = \text{RHom}_R(\Lambda, R)$ since Λ is a symmetric R -order, so $\text{RHom}_{\Lambda}(-, \Lambda) = \text{RHom}_{\Lambda}(-, \text{RHom}_R(\Lambda, R)) = \text{RHom}_R(-, R)$ by adjunction. It follows that there is a functorial isomorphism $1 \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{RHom}_R(\text{RHom}_{\Lambda}(-, \Lambda), R)$ on \mathcal{D}_{Λ} .

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{ac}}(\text{proj } \Lambda)_{\text{dg}}$ be the dg singularity category of Λ . Then we have an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}^*[1]$$

in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes_R \mathcal{C})$, that is, we have a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{C}(M, N) \simeq \text{RHom}_R(\mathcal{C}(N, M), R)[1]$ in \mathcal{D}_R for each $M, N \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda)$.

We first sketch the idea of proof. Let $M, N \in \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } \Lambda)$. Then by 1.6(2) the morphism complex in \mathcal{C} fits into a triangle

$$N \otimes_{\Lambda}^{\text{L}} \text{RHom}_{\Lambda}(M, \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{M,N}} \text{RHom}_{\Lambda}(M, N) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(M, N) \longrightarrow$$

in \mathcal{D}_R . We apply the duality $(-)^* = \text{RHom}_R(-, R)$ to this sequence. There are natural vertical isomorphisms in \mathcal{D}_R , which connects the above triangle arising from $\alpha_{N,M}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{RHom}_{\Lambda}(M, N)^* & \xrightarrow{\cdot\alpha_{M,N}} & (N \otimes_{\Lambda}^{\text{L}} \text{RHom}_{\Lambda}(M, \Lambda))^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(M, N)^*[1] \longrightarrow \\ \wr \uparrow & & \parallel & & \\ \text{RHom}_{\Lambda}(M, \text{RHom}_{\Lambda}(N, \Lambda)^*)^* & & \text{RHom}_{\Lambda}(N, \text{RHom}_{\Lambda}(M, \Lambda)^*) & & \\ \parallel & & \uparrow \wr & & \\ (M \otimes_{\Lambda}^{\text{L}} \text{RHom}_{\Lambda}(N, \Lambda))^{**} & & \text{RHom}_{\Lambda}(N, M) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(N, M) \longrightarrow \\ \wr \uparrow & & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{N,M}} & & \\ M \otimes_{\Lambda}^{\text{L}} \text{RHom}_{\Lambda}(N, \Lambda) & & & & \end{array}$$

We therefore have to verify that the left square is commutative, and the isomorphism on the mapping cone is natural.

Proof. We give a concrete description the relevant maps. Replacing M and N by their cofibrant resolutions we assume that $M, N \in \mathcal{C}^{-b}(\text{proj } \Lambda)$. Then $\alpha_{M,N}$ is presented by the natural morphism denoted again by $\alpha_{M,N}$

$$\alpha_{M,N}: N \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda}(M, \Lambda) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda}(M, N),$$

and $\mathcal{C}(M, N)$ is its canonical mapping cone. Next let $i: R \rightarrow I$ be the minimal injective resolution of R . Then $(-)^* = \mathcal{H}om_R(-, I)$ and the natural isomorphism $1 \simeq \text{RHom}_R(\text{RHom}_{\Lambda}(-, \Lambda), R)$ is presented by

$$\beta_M: M \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_R(\mathcal{H}om_R(M, R), I) = \mathcal{H}om_R(\mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda}(M, \mathcal{H}om_R(\Lambda, R)), I) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_R(\mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda}(M, \Lambda), I),$$

where the first map is the composite of the evaluation map and $i: R \rightarrow I$, the second one adjunction, and the third one is induced from a fixed isomorphism $\Lambda \simeq \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R)$. Then the above diagrams become

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{H}om_R(\mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda}(M, N), I) & \xrightarrow{\cdot\alpha_{M,N}} & \mathcal{H}om_R(N \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda}(M, \Lambda), I) & & \\ \wr \uparrow \beta_N & & \parallel & & \\ \mathcal{H}om_R(\mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda}(M, \mathcal{H}om_R(\mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda}(N, \Lambda), I)), I) & & \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda}(N, \mathcal{H}om_R(\mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda}(M, \Lambda), I)) & & \\ \parallel & & \uparrow \wr & & \\ \mathcal{H}om_R(\mathcal{H}om_R(M \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda}(N, \Lambda), I), I) & & \beta_M & & \\ \wr \uparrow & & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{N,M}} & & \\ M \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda}(N, \Lambda) & & \mathcal{H}om_{\Lambda}(N, M), & & \end{array}$$

where each \simeq is a natural quasi-isomorphism and each equality is given by adjunction. Then one can verify the desired commutativity.

Comparing the mapping cone, it follows that we have a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{H}om_R(\mathcal{C}(M, N), I)[1] \simeq \mathcal{C}(N, M)$ of complexes of R -modules. Being canonical, this isomorphism is natural in M and N , so that we have a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{H}om_R(\mathcal{C}, I)[1] \simeq \mathcal{C}$ of dg $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodules, where $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } \Lambda)_{\text{dg}}$. Now the morphism $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a localization of dg categories (1.6(1)), thus so is $\mathcal{B}^e \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^e$ ([Ke4, 3.10(a)]), hence the isomorphism of \mathcal{B}^e -modules implies the isomorphism of \mathcal{C}^e -modules, which completes the proof. \square

In particular, when Λ has only an isolated singularity, we obtain the enhanced CY property of the dg category $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{ac}}(\text{proj } \Lambda)_{\text{dg}}$ enhancing $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda)$. Also the full dg subcategory

$$\mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}} := \{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid H^0 \mathcal{C}(X, X) \in \text{fl}_d R\}$$

enhances $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}(\Lambda)$ given in (3.1). We denote by $(-)^{\vee} = \text{Hom}_R(-, I^d)$ the Matlis dual on $\text{fl}_d R$, where I^d is the last term of the minimal injective resolution of R .

Theorem 3.3. *Let R be a commutative Gorenstein ring of dimension d , and Λ a symmetric R -order.*

- (1) $\mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}\vee}[-d+1]$ in $\mathcal{D}((\mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}})^{\text{op}} \otimes_R \mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}})$.
- (2) If Λ satisfies (R_{d-1}) -condition, then $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}}$ and $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\vee}[-d+1]$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes_R \mathcal{C})$.

Proof. (1) We know that $H^0 \mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}}$ is Hom-finite, that is, each cohomology of \mathcal{C} is of finite length. Then we have $\text{RHom}_R(\mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}}, R) \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}\vee}[-d]$, and thus $\mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}\vee}[-d+1]$.

(2) Since Λ is an R -order satisfying (R_{d-1}) , we know that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}}$. □

Taking the cohomology, we recover the classical Auslander-Reiten duality on $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda)$.

Corollary 3.4 ([Au2], see also [Yo, 3.10]). *Let R be a commutative Gorenstein ring of dimension d , and Λ a symmetric R -order.*

- (1) The triangulated category $\underline{\text{CM}}_0 \Lambda \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}(\Lambda)$ is $(d-1)$ -CY.
- (2) If Λ satisfies (R_{d-1}) -condition, then $\underline{\text{CM}} \Lambda \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda)$ is $(d-1)$ -CY.

Next we shall show that, without any assumptions on the singular locus of Λ , the singularity category $\underline{\text{CM}} \Lambda \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda)$ enjoys a certain version of $(d-1)$ -Calabi-Yau property. It follows that in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda)$ a Hom-space and its dual are related by a spectral sequence (see e.g. [GM, III.7]), which can be viewed as the Auslander-Reiten duality for arbitrary singular locus.

Corollary 3.5 ([Yo, proof of 3.10]). *Let $M, N \in \text{CM } \Lambda$. There exists a spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{p,q} = \text{Ext}_R^p(\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(M, N[-q]), R) \Rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(N, M[p+q-1]).$$

Proof. Straightforward construction of a spectral sequence, using the injective resolution of R . □

Note that the spectral sequence in 3.5 collapses at E_k if the Λ satisfies (R_{d-k}) -condition. In particular for $k=2$, we recover a version of Auslander-Reiten duality due to the second author and Wemyss.

Corollary 3.6 ([IW, 3.7]). *Let R be a commutative Gorenstein ring of dimension d , and Λ a symmetric R -order satisfying (R_{d-2}) . Then there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^d(\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(M, N), R) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{d-1}(N, M) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{d-1}(\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(M, N[-1]), R) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\text{Ext}_R^d(\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(M, N), R) \in \text{fl } R$ and $\text{fl } \text{Ext}_R^{d-1}(\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(M, N[-1]), R) = 0$. Consequently we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fl } \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{d-1}(N, M) &\simeq \text{Ext}_R^d(\text{fl } \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(M, N), R), \\ \frac{\text{Ext}_R^d(\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(M, N), R)}{\text{fl } \text{Ext}_R^d(\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(M, N), R)} &\simeq \text{Ext}_R^{d-1} \left(\frac{\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(M, N[-1])}{\text{fl } \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(M, N[-1])}, R \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The existence of the exact sequence follows from 3.5. Since R is d -dimensional Gorenstein $\text{Ext}_R^d(X, R)$ vanishes at non-maximal prime ideals for all $X \in \text{mod } R$, thus the first term is in $\text{fl } R$. We now show that the last term has no finite length submodule. For this we prove $\text{fl } \text{Ext}_R^{d-1}(X, R) = 0$ for all $X \in \text{mod } R$ of with $\dim X \leq 1$. Let $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow I^{d-1} \rightarrow I^d \rightarrow 0$ be the minimal injective resolution of R . Since X has dimension ≤ 1 we have an injection $\text{Ext}_R^{d-1}(X, R) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X, I^{d-1})$. It follows that all the associated prime ideals of $\text{Ext}_R^{d-1}(X, R)$ has height $d-1$, hence $\text{fl } \text{Ext}_R^{d-1}(X, R) = 0$. □

3.3. Graded Cohen-Macaulay representations. We shall need the graded version of 3.2. Let us collect some preliminaries on Cohen-Macaulay representation theory in the graded setting.

Let R and Λ be G -graded rings for a group G such that the structure morphism $R \rightarrow \Lambda$ preserves the G -grading. We refer to Appendix A for a background on group graded rings. In particular, we have the set $\text{Spec}^G R$ of G -prime ideals of R (A.1), and notions of G -height of a G -prime ideal, and G -dimension $\dim^G R$ (A.4) of a graded ring R . We assume that R has graded dimension $\dim^G R = d$ and define the category of graded Cohen-Macaulay Λ -modules by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CM}^G \Lambda &:= \{X \in \text{mod}^G \Lambda \mid X \in \text{CM} \Lambda \text{ as a } \Lambda\text{-module}\}, \\ \text{CM}_0^G \Lambda &:= \{X \in \text{CM}^G \Lambda \mid X_{[\mathfrak{p}]} \in \text{proj} \Lambda_{[\mathfrak{p}]} \text{ for each } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^G R \text{ with } \text{ht}^G \mathfrak{p} < d\}. \end{aligned}$$

We also consider the graded version of Serre's condition.

$$(R_n^G) \text{ gl. dim}(\text{Mod}^G \Lambda_{[\mathfrak{p}]}) = \text{ht}^G \mathfrak{p} \text{ for each } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^G R \text{ with } \text{ht}^G \mathfrak{p} \leq n.$$

The G -support of a graded module M is $\text{Supp}^G M = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^G R \mid M_{[\mathfrak{p}]} \neq 0\}$. We put

$$\text{fl}_d^G R = \{M \in \text{mod}^G R \mid \text{any } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}^G M \text{ satisfies } \text{ht}^G \mathfrak{p} = d\},$$

which is nothing but the category of finite length objects in $\text{Mod}^G R$ whose composition factor has the form R/\mathfrak{m} with $\text{ht}^G \mathfrak{m} = d$. Note that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec} R$ in the support of $M \in \text{fl}_d^G R$ is not necessarily G -graded: For example, let $R = k[x]/(x^2 - 1)$ with $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\deg x = 1$. Then $\text{Ass} R = \{(x-1), (x+1)\}$, and these are not graded.

Later we will use the following observation, which is an analogue of [Au2, I.7.6][Yo, 3.3][LW, 7.9].

Proposition 3.7. *Let $X, Y \in \text{CM}_0^G \Lambda$. Then $\underline{\text{Hom}}_\Lambda(X, Y) \in \text{fl}_d^G R$.*

Proof. Localizing at any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^G R$ with G -height less than d , we have $\underline{\text{Hom}}_\Lambda(X, Y)_{[\mathfrak{p}]} = \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\Lambda_{[\mathfrak{p}]}}(X_{[\mathfrak{p}]}, Y_{[\mathfrak{p}]}) = 0$, hence $\text{Supp}^G \underline{\text{Hom}}_\Lambda(X, Y)$ consists of G -primes of G -height d . \square

Let Λ be a symmetric R -order. Then $X \in \text{mod}^G \Lambda$ is CM if and only if $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^i(X, \Lambda) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Moreover, the category $\text{CM}^G \Lambda$ is a Frobenius category and the subcategory of projective-injective objects is $\text{proj}^G \Lambda$. Therefore the *stable category* $\underline{\text{CM}}^G \Lambda$ has a canonical structure of a triangulated category. On the other hand, the *singularity category* of Λ is the Verdier quotient

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^G(\Lambda) := \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod}^G \Lambda) / \text{per}^G \Lambda.$$

Then there is a triangle equivalence $\underline{\text{CM}}^G \Lambda \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^G(\Lambda)$ [Bu]. Let

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^G(\Lambda) := \{X \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^G(\Lambda) \mid \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^G(\Lambda)}(X) \in \text{fl}_d^G R\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Then we have a triangle equivalence

$$\underline{\text{CM}}_0^G \Lambda \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^G(\Lambda).$$

We next discuss the notion of Gorenstein parameter for module-finite algebras. Let R be a G -Gorenstein ring with $\dim^G R = d$ and recall from A.11 the definition of a Gorenstein parameter. When R has Gorenstein parameter $p_R \in G$ we have the following, where $(-)^{\vee G} = \text{Hom}_R(-, \bigoplus_{\text{ht}^G \mathfrak{m}=d} E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ is the G -graded Matlis dual.

Proposition 3.8. *We have an isomorphism of functor on $\mathcal{D}_{\text{fl}_d^G R}(\text{Mod}^G R)$:*

$$(-)^{\vee G} \circ \text{RHom}_R(-, R) \simeq (-p_R)[d].$$

We define Gorenstein parameter for module-finite R -algebras by the above formula.

Definition 3.9. We say that Λ has a *Gorenstein parameter* $p_\Lambda \in G$ if there exists an isomorphism of functors on $\mathcal{D}_{\text{fl}_d^G R}(\text{Mod}^G \Lambda)$:

$$(-)^{\vee G} \circ \text{RHom}_\Lambda(-, \Lambda) \simeq (-p_\Lambda)[d].$$

For G -graded symmetric orders, we introduce the following notion.

Definition 3.10. Let Λ be a G -graded symmetric order over R . We say Λ has *relative Gorenstein parameter* $p_{\Lambda/R} \in G$ if there is an isomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R) \simeq \Lambda(-p_{\Lambda/R})$ in $\text{Mod} \Lambda^{\text{op}} \otimes_R \Lambda$.

Let us note that a relative Gorenstein parameter exists under a mild assumption.

Lemma 3.11. *If Λ is ring-indecomposable and $\text{mod}^G(\Lambda^{\text{op}} \otimes_R \Lambda)$ is Krull-Schmidt, then relative a Gorenstein parameter exists.*

Proof. Note that ring-indecomposability of Λ is nothing but indecomposability of Λ in $\text{Mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}} \otimes_R \Lambda$. The assertion then follows from the following fact: If Γ is a graded ring such that the category $\text{mod}^G \Gamma$ of finitely presented graded modules is Krull-Schmidt, then for two indecomposable objects $X, Y \in \text{mod}^G \Gamma$ which are isomorphic in $\text{mod} \Gamma$, there is $a \in G$ such that $X \simeq Y(a)$ in $\text{mod}^G \Gamma$. \square

We have the following relationship between these Gorenstein parameters.

Proposition 3.12. *Suppose two of p_Λ , p_R and $p_{\Lambda/R}$ exist. Then the other one exists, which can be taken to satisfy $p_\Lambda = p_R + p_{\Lambda/R}$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of a canonical isomorphism $\text{RHom}_R(\text{RHom}_\Lambda(-, \Lambda), R) = - \otimes_\Lambda^L \text{RHom}_R(\Lambda, R)$ on $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod}^G \Lambda)$. \square

In many cases our R will be G -local with each R_g being finite dimensional. In this case, all p_R , $p_{\Lambda/R}$, and p_Λ exist.

3.4. Graded version of enhanced and classical Auslander-Reiten duality. We next consider dg enhancements of graded singularity categories.

Definition 3.13. Let G be an abelian group, and A a G -graded Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring. Let \mathcal{C} be the G -graded dg category with

- objects: acyclic complexes of graded projective A -modules, $\mathcal{C}_{\text{ac}}(\text{proj}^G A)_{\text{dg}}$.
- morphisms: $\mathcal{C}(L, M) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{H}om_A^G(L, M(g))$.

We call this \mathcal{C} the G -graded dg singularity category.

Thus \mathcal{C} is naturally a G -graded dg category, and its degree 0 part is $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{C}_{\text{ac}}(\text{proj}^G A)_{\text{dg}}$, the canonical dg enhancement of the graded singularity category $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^G(A)$. Also \mathcal{C} is equivalent to the (ungraded) dg singularity category $\mathcal{C}_{\text{ac}}(\text{proj } A)_{\text{dg}}$ as an ungraded dg category. These remarks show that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{per}^G \mathcal{C} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{per } \mathcal{C}_0 \xlongequal{\quad} \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^G(A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{per } \mathcal{C} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(A). \end{array}$$

Now we return to the following setup of module-finite algebras.

- $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ is a G -graded commutative Gorenstein ring with $\dim^G R < \infty$.
- $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda_g$ is G -graded symmetric R -order with relative Gorenstein parameter $p_{\Lambda/R}$, see 3.10.

We have the following graded version of 3.2.

Theorem 3.14. *Let \mathcal{C} be the G -graded dg singularity category of Λ . Then we have an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{C}^*[1] \simeq \mathcal{C}(-p_{\Lambda/R}) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes_R \mathcal{C}).$$

Proof. Taking the isomorphism $\text{RHom}_\Lambda(-, \Lambda) \simeq \text{RHom}_R(-, R)(p_{\Lambda/R})$ into account, the maps appearing in the proof of 3.2 becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{RHom}_\Lambda(M, N)^* & \longrightarrow & (N \otimes_\Lambda^L \text{RHom}_\Lambda(M, \Lambda))^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(M, N)^*[1] & \longrightarrow & \\ \wr \uparrow & & \parallel & & & & \\ \text{RHom}_\Lambda(M, \text{RHom}_\Lambda(N, \Lambda)^*(p_{\Lambda/R}))^* & & \text{RHom}_\Lambda(N, \text{RHom}_\Lambda(M, \Lambda)^*) & & & & \\ \parallel & & \uparrow \text{R} & & & & \\ (M \otimes_\Lambda^L \text{RHom}_\Lambda(N, \Lambda))^{**}(-p_{\Lambda/R}) & & & & & & \\ \wr \uparrow & & & & & & \\ M \otimes_\Lambda^L \text{RHom}_\Lambda(N, \Lambda)(-p_{\Lambda/R}) & \longrightarrow & \text{RHom}_\Lambda(N, M(-p_{\Lambda/R})) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(N, M)(-p_{\Lambda/R}) & \longrightarrow & \end{array}$$

when the objects are graded. Thus the assertion follows. \square

Next we consider the version of 3.3. Let $d = \dim^G R$ and suppose R has Gorenstein parameter $p_R \in G$ (A.11). Note that a Gorenstein parameter exists as soon as R_0 is local (A.3, A.10). We denote by \mathcal{C} the G -graded dg singularity category of Λ , and consider the full dg subcategory

$$\mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}} := \{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid H^0 \mathcal{C}(X, X) \in \text{fl}_d^G R\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Let $(-)^{\vee G} = \text{Hom}_R(-, \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}^G R} E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ be the Matlis dual.

Theorem 3.15. *Let R be a G -graded commutative Gorenstein ring of $\dim^G R = d < \infty$ with Gorenstein parameter p_R , and Λ a G -graded symmetric R -order with Gorenstein parameter p_Λ .*

(1) *There exists an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{C}^{\text{fl} \vee G} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}}(-p_\Lambda)[d-1] \text{ in } \mathcal{D}^G((\mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}})^{\text{op}} \otimes_R \mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}}).$$

(2) *If Λ satisfied graded (R_{d-1}^G) condition, then there exists an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{C}^{\vee G} \simeq \mathcal{C}(-p_\Lambda)[d-1] \text{ in } \mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes_R \mathcal{C}).$$

Moreover, if R_0 is a finite dimensional algebra over a field k , then the Matlis dual $(-)^{\vee G}$ in the isomorphisms above can be replaced by the graded k -dual D sending $M = \bigoplus_{g \in G} M_g$ to $DM = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \text{Hom}_k(M_{-g}, k)$.

Proof. (1) By 3.12 the symmetric order Λ has Gorenstein parameter $p_\Lambda = p_R + p_{\Lambda/R}$. By assumption, each cohomology of \mathcal{C}^{fl} lies in $\text{fl}_d^G R$, so we have $\mathcal{C}^*[d] \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\vee G}(p_R)$. Combining with 3.14, we deduce $\mathcal{C}^{\vee G} = \mathcal{C}^*(-p_R)[d] = \mathcal{C}(-p_R - p_{\Lambda/R})[d-1] = \mathcal{C}(-p_\Lambda)[d-1]$.

(2) If Λ satisfies (R_{d-1}^G) condition, then $\mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}} = \mathcal{C}$.

The last statement follows from A.15. \square

As in the ungraded case, taking the 0-th cohomology gives the classical graded Auslander-Reiten duality.

Corollary 3.16. *For each $M, N \in \underline{\text{CM}}_0^G \Lambda$ we have a natural isomorphism*

$$D \underline{\text{Hom}}_\Lambda^G(M, N) \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}_\Lambda^G(N, M(-p_\Lambda)[d-1]),$$

that is, we have the following.

(1) *The triangulated category $\underline{\text{CM}}_0^G \Lambda$ has a Serre functor $(-p_\Lambda)[d-1]$.*

(2) *If Λ satisfies (R_{d-1}^G) condition, then $\underline{\text{CM}}_0^G \Lambda = \underline{\text{CM}}^G \Lambda$ has a Serre functor $(-p_\Lambda)[d-1]$.*

In particular, the category $\text{CM}_0^G \Lambda$ has almost split sequences.

We leave the graded analogues of 3.5 and 3.6 to the reader.

4. CLUSTER CATEGORIES AND SINGULARITY CATEGORIES

4.1. The equivalence. Let us recall some basic results on cluster categories, from the viewpoint of their enhancements. Let \mathcal{A} be a dg category which is component-wise proper and Gorenstein (see 2.10), and let d be an arbitrary integer. Let

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_d(\mathcal{A}) := \mathcal{A}/D\mathcal{A}[-d]$$

be the dg orbit category. Then the d -cluster category of \mathcal{A} is the perfect derived category

$$\mathcal{C}_d(\mathcal{A}) := \text{per } \mathbf{\Gamma}_d(\mathcal{A}),$$

see [Am1, Ke2]. The induction functor $-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L \mathbf{\Gamma}_d(\mathcal{A}) : \text{per } \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_d(\mathcal{A})$ induces a fully faithful functor $\text{per } \mathcal{A} / - \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L D\mathcal{A}[-d] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_d(\mathcal{A})$ so that $\mathcal{C}_d(\mathcal{A})$ is the triangulated hull of the orbit category. We also need the following more general definition.

Definition 4.1. Let $p \neq 0$ be an integer. For a bimodule complex $V \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^e)$ such that $V \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^e}^L \mathcal{A}^p \simeq D\mathcal{A}[-d]$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^e)$, let

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_d^{(1/p)}(\mathcal{A}) := \mathcal{A}/V$$

be the dg orbit category \mathcal{A}/V . We define the p -folded d -cluster category of \mathcal{A} as

$$\mathcal{C}_d^{(1/p)}(\mathcal{A}) := \text{per } \mathbf{\Gamma}_d^{(1/p)}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Notice that $\Gamma_d^{(1/p)}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{C}_d^{(1/p)}(\mathcal{A})$ depend on a choice of V , hence the notation contains an ambiguity. Similarly to the case $p = 1$ it is the triangulated hull of $\text{per } \mathcal{A} / - \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L V$, and can be seen as a $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -quotient of $\mathcal{C}_d(\mathcal{A})$ [Han3]. The dg category $\Gamma_d(\mathcal{A})$ is quasi-equivalent to the p -th Veronese subcategory of $\Gamma_d^{(1/p)}(\mathcal{A})$, and the induction functors along the canonical maps $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Gamma_d(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Gamma_d^{(1/p)}(\mathcal{A})$ induce projection functors

$$\text{per } \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_d(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_d^{(1/p)}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Remark 4.2. (1) Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra which is Gorenstein. Regarding A as a dg category, we obtain the d -cluster category $\mathcal{C}_d(A)$. When $\text{gl. dim } A \leq d$ and A is ν_d -finite, it coincides with the usual d -cluster category [BMRRT, Ke2, Am1, Gu]

(2) Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra of global dimension $\leq d$ and ν_d -finite. The dg orbit algebra $\Gamma_d(A)$ is related to the $(d+1)$ -Calabi-Yau completion $\mathbf{\Pi}_{d+1}(A)$ [Ke4] in the following way. Since there is a quasi-isomorphism $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}om_A(X^n, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{\Pi}_{d+1}(A)$ for (any) cofibrant resolution $X \rightarrow D\mathcal{A}[-d]$, we have a natural morphism $\mathbf{\Pi}_{d+1}(A) \rightarrow \Gamma_d(A)$. One can show (e.g. by [Han4, 3.3]) the induction functor along this map yields an equivalence

$$\text{per } \mathbf{\Pi}_{d+1}(A) / \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{\Pi}_{d+1}(A)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{per } \Gamma_d(A) = \mathcal{C}_d(A)$$

given in [Am1, Gu].

Applying the results from the preceding sections to the singularity categories of symmetric orders, we deduce that the equivalence of a graded singularity category and a derived category automatically implies the equivalence of ungraded singularity category and the cluster category. Our setting is the following.

- G is an abelian group, $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ a graded Gorenstein k -algebra with $\dim^G R = d$ such that R_0 is finite dimensional over k .
- $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda_g$ is a symmetric R -order with Gorenstein parameter a .
- \mathcal{C}^{fl} is the G -graded dg category given in (3.3).

Theorem 4.3. *Under the setting above, assume that $p \in G$ is torsion-free. In this case, for an arbitrary full dg subcategory $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}_0^{\text{fl}}$ which generates $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^G(\Lambda) = \text{per } \mathcal{C}_0^{\text{fl}}$, the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *The dg category \mathcal{A} is component-wise proper and Gorenstein.*
- (2) *There exists a commutative diagram of dg categories on the left below, whose vertical maps are isomorphisms in Hmo . Therefore we have a commutative diagram of triangulated categories on the right below.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{d-1}(\mathcal{A}) & & \text{per } \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}(\mathcal{A}) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^G(\Lambda)_{\text{dg}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{G/(p)}(\Lambda)_{\text{dg}} & & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^G(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{G/(p)}(\Lambda) \end{array}$$

- (3) *Suppose furthermore that $G = \mathbb{Z}$. The restriction V of $\mathcal{C}_{-1} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}_0^e)$ to $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^e)$ satisfies $V^{\otimes_{\mathcal{A}^e} L} \simeq D\mathcal{A}[1-d]$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^e)$. Defining $\Gamma_{d-1}^{(1/p)}(\mathcal{A})$ as \mathcal{A}/V , the above commutative diagrams extend to the third columns below.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{d-1}(\mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{d-1}^{(1/p)}(\mathcal{A}) & & \text{per } \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{d-1}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{d-1}^{(1/p)}(\mathcal{A}) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)_{\text{dg}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)_{\text{dg}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}(\Lambda)_{\text{dg}} & & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}(\Lambda) \end{array}$$

If Λ satisfies (R_{d-1}^G) , then each $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^*$ above can be replaced by $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^*$ for each group $*$.

Proof. Since Λ is a d -dimensional symmetric order we have an isomorphism $D\mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}}(-p)[d-1]$ by 3.15. Then the p -th Veronese subcategory $(\mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}})^{(p)}$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded since $p \in G$ is torsion-free, and is 1-shifted $(d-1)$ -CY. By 2.14, its degree 0 part $\mathcal{C}_0^{\text{fl}}$ is component-wise proper and Gorenstein, hence so is Morita equivalent \mathcal{A} . The assertions (2) and (3) follows from 2.15. \square

When $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^G(\Lambda)$ has a tilting subcategory the above theorem can be stated as follows.

Theorem 4.4. *In the setting in 4.3, suppose there is a tilting subcategory $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^G(\Lambda)$.*

- (1) The k -linear category \mathcal{P} is proper and Gorenstein.
- (2) There exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{per } \mathcal{P} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}(\mathcal{P}) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^G(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{G/(p)}(\Lambda). \end{array}$$

- (3) Suppose furthermore $G = \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists $V \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}^e)$ which satisfies $V^{\otimes_{\mathcal{P}} L} \simeq D\mathcal{P}[-d]$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}^e)$ and yields a commutative diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{per } \mathcal{P} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}(\mathcal{P}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}^{(1/p)}(\mathcal{P}) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}(\Lambda) \end{array}$$

If Λ satisfies (R_{d-1}^G) , then each category $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^*$ in the diagrams above can be replaced by $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^*$ for each group $*$.

As an application, we obtain the following result, where we refer to 5.1 for the definition of $(d-1)$ -rigid objects and $(d-1)$ -cluster tilting objects.

Corollary 4.5. *In the setting in 4.4(2), assume that \mathcal{P} is equivalent to $\text{proj } A$ for a finite dimensional k -algebra A .*

- (1) If $\text{inj. dim}_A DA \leq d-1$, then \mathcal{P} is a $(d-1)$ -rigid subcategory of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{G/(p)}(\Lambda)$.
- (2) If $\text{proj. dim}_{A^e} A \leq d-1$, then \mathcal{P} is a $(d-1)$ -cluster tilting subcategory of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{G/(p)}(\Lambda)$.

Proof. These are basic properties of $(d-1)$ -cluster categories [Gu]. □

We give another consequence of 4.3. It is often possible to give various gradings on our symmetric order Λ . This yields some non-trivial relationship between cluster categories, which extends the notion of cluster equivalence [AO]. For this we assume that our symmetric order is \mathbb{Z} -graded.

Corollary 4.6. *Let R and Λ have two gradings as in 4.3 by groups $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and $G' = \mathbb{Z}$, respectively. Let $a, a' \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the Gorenstein parameters of Λ with respect to each grading, and let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' be as in 4.3 for these gradings. Suppose that $a, a' \neq 0$. Then there exists an isomorphism in Hmo :*

$$\Gamma_{d-1}^{(1/p)}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Gamma_{d-1}^{(1/p')}(\mathcal{A}').$$

Therefore there exists an equivalence

$$\mathcal{C}_{d-1}^{(1/p)}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{C}_{d-1}^{(1/p')}(\mathcal{A}'),$$

in particular for $p' = 1$, an $|p|$ -sheet covering functor

$$\mathcal{C}_{d-1}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{d-1}(\mathcal{A}')$$

between the $(d-1)$ -cluster categories.

Proof. With respect to the grading G , we obtain by 4.3 a quasi-equivalence $\Gamma_{d-1}^{(1/p)}(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}(\Lambda)$, while using the grading G' we get $\Gamma_{d-1}^{(1/p')}(\mathcal{A}') \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}(\Lambda)$. The assertions are immediate consequence of these observations. □

In Part 2, we shall apply this result to various classes of Gorenstein rings and symmetric orders. Let us end this subsection by posing a natural problem to study.

Problem 4.7. Give a description of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda)$ as a cluster-like category when p is a torsion element in G .

4.2. Hypersurface case. Let S be a regular ring, $0 \neq f \in S$, and $R = S/(f)$ a hypersurface singularity. Then by Eisenbud's matrix factorization theorem [E][Yo, Chapter 7], the singularity category of R is 2-periodic, which allows us to change the CY dimension flexibly. Let us note a straightforward observation on a derived version of this periodicity, in the graded setting.

Let $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_d]$ be a polynomial ring, graded by an abelian group G with $\deg x_i = p_i$. Let $0 \neq f \in S$ be a homogeneous element of degree c , and $R = S/(f)$ the corresponding hypersurface singularity. Then R is a Gorenstein ring with $\dim R = \dim^G R = d$ and with Gorenstein parameter $p = \sum_{i=0}^d p_i - c$. As in Section 3.4, we denote by \mathcal{C} the G -graded dg singularity category of R , and by \mathcal{C}^{fl} its dg subcategory given in (3.3).

Proposition 4.8. *In the above setting, the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *We have $\mathcal{C}[2] = \mathcal{C}(c)$ as dg $(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes_R \mathcal{C})$ -modules.*
- (2) *If R_0 is finite dimensional over k , we have $D\mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}}(-p-lc)[2l+d-1]$ in $\mathcal{D}^G((\mathcal{C}^{\text{fl}})^e)$.*

Proof. We know that any CM R -module has a 2-periodic complete resolution given by the corresponding matrix factorization of f (see [Yo, Chapter 7]). Taking the grading into account, we see that the degree of two successive differentials has to sum to $\deg f = c$. It follows that we may take a complete resolution $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{ac}}(\text{proj}^G R)_{\text{dg}}$ of any graded CM module so that it satisfies a strict equality $X[2] = X(c)$, hence the conclusion. \square

As an application of 2.15 and 4.8(2), we obtain the following more general version of 4.4 for hypersurface singularities in which we can modify the CY dimension.

Theorem 4.9. *In the above setting, assume that R_0 is finite dimensional over k , and that there is a tilting subcategory $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^G(R)$. Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, and assume that $p+lc \in G$ is torsion-free.*

- (1) *There exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{per } \mathcal{P} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d+2l-1}(\mathcal{P}) \\ \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^G(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{G/(p+lc)}(R). \end{array}$$

- (2) *Suppose furthermore $G = \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists $V \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}^e)$ which satisfies $V^{\otimes_{\mathcal{P}}^L(p+lc)} \simeq D\mathcal{P}[1-d-2l]$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}^e)$ and yields a commutative diagram below.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{per } \mathcal{P} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d+2l-1}(\mathcal{P}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d+2l-1}^{(1/p+lc)}(\mathcal{P}) \\ \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^G(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{G/(p+lc)}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}(R) \end{array}$$

If R satisfies (R_{d-1}^G) , then each $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^*$ above can be replaced by $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^*$ for each group $*$.

We will often use the above modification for $l = 1$.

Example 4.10. In 4.9(2), let $l := 1$. Then for $p_S := \sum_{i=0}^d p_i$, we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{per } \mathcal{P} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d+1}(\mathcal{P}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d+1}^{(1/p_S)}(\mathcal{P}) \\ \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}/p_S\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}(R) \end{array}$$

5. TILTING THEORY FOR SYMMETRIC ORDERS WITH CLUSTER TILTING OBJECTS

We give a large family of \mathbb{Z} -graded symmetric orders whose \mathbb{Z} -graded singularity category has a tilting object and ungraded singularity category is equivalent to the cluster category. Our result improves the main result of [AIR] and our proof is much simpler than the one given there.

Let us start with recalling the following fundamental notion.

Definition 5.1. Let \mathcal{E} be an exact category, $n \geq 1$, and \mathcal{M} a full subcategory which is functorially finite.

- (1) \mathcal{M} is n -rigid if $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{E}}^i(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) = 0$ for $0 < i < n$.

(2) \mathcal{M} is n -cluster tilting if we have equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &= \{X \in \mathcal{E} \mid \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{E}}^i(\mathcal{M}, X) = 0 \text{ for } 0 < i < n\} \\ &= \{X \in \mathcal{E} \mid \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{E}}^i(X, \mathcal{M}) = 0 \text{ for } 0 < i < n\}. \end{aligned}$$

We call an object $T \in \mathcal{E}$ n -cluster tilting if so is $\text{add } T$.

For the main result in this section, we assume the following.

(0) Let R be a \mathbb{Z} -graded Gorenstein k -algebra over a field k with $\dim^{\mathbb{Z}} R = d \geq 2$ such that R_0 is finite dimensional over k , and let Λ be a \mathbb{Z} -graded symmetric R -order satisfying $(R_{d-1}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ such that the structure morphism $R \rightarrow \Lambda$ preserves the \mathbb{Z} -grading.

Theorem 5.2. *Under the setting above, we assume that*

- (i) Λ has Gorenstein parameter $p \geq 1$,
- (ii) $M = \Lambda \oplus N \in \text{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$ is non-negatively graded and satisfies $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \Lambda(i)) = 0$ for each $i \leq p - 1$.
- (iii) M is a $(d - 1)$ -cluster tilting object in $\text{CM} \Lambda$ such that $\Gamma := \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M)$ is non-negatively graded.

Then the following assertions holds.

- (1) $T := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} N(i) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)$ is a tilting object with $\text{gl. dim End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)}(T) \leq d - 1$.
- (2) There exists a commutative diagram for $A := \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)}(T)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{per } A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}^{(1/p)}(A) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda). \end{array}$$

We also show that a converse of 5.2(1) holds in the following sense.

Corollary 5.3. *Under the conditions (0), (i) and (ii), the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (iii) M is a $(d - 1)$ -cluster tilting object in $\text{CM} \Lambda$ such that $\Gamma := \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M)$ is non-negatively graded.
- (iv) $T := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} N(i) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)$ is a tilting object with $\text{gl. dim End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)}(T) \leq d - 1$.

We consider the following weaker version of (iii).

- (iii)' $\Gamma = \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M)$ is non-negatively graded,

We start with proving the following assertion.

Lemma 5.4. *If (0), (i), (ii) and (iii)' are satisfied, then*

$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(N, N(j)[i]) = 0$ holds for each $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $(i \leq -1 \text{ and } j \leq p - 1)$ or $(i \geq d - 1 \text{ and } j \geq 1 - p)$.

Proof. Take a projective resolution

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$. Since N is non-negatively graded by (ii), we obtain $P_l \in \text{add}\{\Lambda(j) \mid j \leq 0\}$ for each $l \geq 0$ inductively. Again by (ii), we have $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(N, P_{l-1}(j)) = 0$ for each $l \geq 1$ and $j \leq p - 1$. This and (iii)' imply

$$\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \Omega^i N(j)) = 0 \text{ if } (i \geq 1 \text{ and } j \leq p - 1) \text{ or } (i = 0 \text{ and } j \leq -1). \quad (5.1)$$

Therefore the assertion for $i \leq -1$ follows. For $i \geq 0$ and $j \geq 1 - p$, by Auslander-Reiten duality, we have

$$D \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(N, N(j)[d - 1 + i]) \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(N(j)[d - 1 + i], N(-p)[d - 1]) \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \Omega^i N(-j - p)) \stackrel{(5.1)}{=} 0$$

since $-j - p \leq -1$. \square

To show that N generates $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)$, we need the following results from higher Auslander-Reiten theory.

Proposition 5.5. *In the setting of 5.2, the following assertions hold.*

- (1) $\text{gl. dim } \Gamma = d$ holds.

(2) Each simple Γ -module S concentrated in degree 0 has a minimal projective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow P(-p) \rightarrow P^{1-d} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P^{-1} \rightarrow P \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{proj}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Gamma$ such that P is an indecomposable projective Γ -module generated in degree 0 and $P^{-i} \in \text{add} \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq p} \Gamma(-j)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq d-1$.

(3) For each $X \in \text{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Lambda$, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M^{1-d} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Lambda$ with $M^{-i} \in \text{add}\{M(j) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for each $0 \leq i \leq d-1$.

Proof. These are \mathbb{Z} -graded versions of [Iy2, 5.2.1] and [Iy1, 3.3.1, 2.2.3]. \square

The following is a key step to prove that N generates $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)$.

Lemma 5.6. *In the setting of 5.2, there exist exact sequences*

$$0 \rightarrow M^{-d} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M^{-1} \rightarrow N(p) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow N(-p) \rightarrow M^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M^d \rightarrow 0$$

with $M^{-i} \in \text{add}\{M(j), \Lambda(p) \mid 0 \leq j \leq p-1\}$ and $M^i \in \text{add}\{M(j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq p-1\}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Proof. We only prove the existence of the left sequence since the argument for the right one is mostly the same. Let $e \in \Gamma$ be the idempotent such that $e\Gamma = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, \Lambda)$ and $(1-e)\Gamma = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, N)$. Then

$$P := \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, N(p)) = (1-e)\Gamma(p) \in \text{proj}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Gamma$$

is generated in degree $-p$. Let C be the maximal factor module of $P/P_{\geq 1-p} \in \text{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Gamma$ satisfying $Ce = 0$. Then C is concentrated in degree $-p$. Since $\text{gl. dim } \Gamma = d$ holds by 5.5(1), we can take a minimal projective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow P^{-d} \xrightarrow{f^{-d}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f^{-2}} P^{-1} \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} P \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.2)$$

in $\text{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Gamma$. Since

$$\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, -) : \text{add}\{M(i) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \simeq \text{proj}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Gamma \quad (5.3)$$

is an equivalence, there exists a sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M^{-d} \xrightarrow{g^{-d}} \cdots \xrightarrow{g^{-2}} M^{-1} \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} N(p) \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.4)$$

such that $f^{-i} = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, g^{-i})$ and $M^{-i} \in \text{add}\{M(j) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for each i .

We claim that (5.4) is exact. Since $M = \Gamma e$ holds as (Γ, Λ) -bimodule, a quasi-inverse of (5.3) is given by $- \otimes_{\Gamma} M = (-)e$. Applying the exact functor $(-)e$ to (5.2) and using $Ce = 0$, we obtain an exact sequence (5.4). Thus the claim follows.

It remains to prove that $M^{-i} \in \text{add}\{M(j), \Lambda(p) \mid 0 \leq j \leq p-1\}$ for each i , or equivalently,

$$P^{-i} \in \text{add}\{\Gamma(j), e\Gamma(p) \mid 0 \leq j \leq p-1\} \text{ for each } i. \quad (5.5)$$

Since $C \in \text{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Gamma$ is concentrated in degree $-g$, by 5.5(2), each composition factor S of C has a projective resolution of the form

$$0 \rightarrow Q^{-d} \rightarrow Q^{1-d} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Q^{-1} \rightarrow Q^0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$$

with $Q^{-i} \in \text{add}\{\Gamma(j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq p\}$ for each i . Then Horseshoe Lemma implies that

$$P^{-i} \in \text{add}\{\Gamma(j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq p\} \text{ holds for each } i. \quad (5.6)$$

We need to show that P^{-i} and $(1-e)\Gamma(p)$ do not have common non-zero direct summands.

By our construction, C is the factor module of P by $P_{\geq 1-p} + Pe\Gamma$. Thus its projective cover P^{-1} belongs to $\text{add}\{\Gamma(j), e\Gamma(p) \mid j \leq p-1\}$. This together with (5.6) implies that the claim (5.5) holds for $i = 1$. Since the assumptions (ii) and (iii)' imply that

$$\text{Hom}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{Z}}((1-e)\Gamma(p), \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq p-1} \Gamma(j) \oplus e\Gamma(p)) = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(N(p), \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq p-1} M(j) \oplus \Lambda(p)) = 0,$$

the claim (5.5) follows from induction on i . \square

We are ready to prove 5.2.

Proof of 5.2. (1) Since M is $(d-1)$ -cluster tilting by (iii), we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)}(T, T[i]) = 0$ for each integer $i \neq 0$ by 5.4. By 5.6, we have $M(i) \in \mathrm{thick} T$ holds for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. By 5.5(3), we have $\mathrm{thick} T = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)$. Thus T is a tilting object in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)$.

To prove $\mathrm{gl. dim} \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)}(T) \leq d-1$, first we show that $\mathrm{gl. dim} \mathrm{End}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Q) \leq d-1$ for $Q := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \Gamma(-i)$. Each simple $\mathrm{End}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Q)$ -module can be written as $S' := \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Q, S(-i))$ for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ and a \mathbb{Z} -graded simple Γ -module S concentrated in degree 0. Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Q, -)$ to the exact sequence in 5.5(2), we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Q, P(-p-i)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Q, P^{1-d}(-i)) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Q, P(-i)) \rightarrow S' \rightarrow 0$$

in $\mathrm{mod} \mathrm{End}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Q)$. All terms except for S' are projective $\mathrm{End}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Q)$ -modules, and the left term is zero. Thus S' has projective dimension at most $d-1$, and the assertion follows.

In particular, $\mathrm{End}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Q) \simeq \mathrm{End}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} M(i)) \stackrel{(ii)}{\simeq} \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{End}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \Lambda(i)) & 0 \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \Lambda(i), T) & \mathrm{End}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(T) \end{bmatrix}$ has global dimension

at most $d-1$, so does the algebra $\mathrm{End}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(T) \stackrel{(ii)}{\simeq} \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)}(T)$ as desired.

(2) The assertion follows from (1) and 4.4(3). \square

We end this section by proving 5.3.

Proof of 5.3. It suffices to prove (iv) \Rightarrow (iii). Since A is ν_{d-1} -finite with $\mathrm{gl. dim} A \leq d-1$, the category $\mathrm{per} A$ has a $(d-1)$ -cluster tilting subcategory $\mathrm{add}\{\nu_{d-1}^i(A) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ by [Ly3, Theorem 1.23]. Since we have a commutative diagram of equivalences

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{per} A & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\nu_{d-1}} & \mathrm{per} A \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \underline{\mathrm{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{(-p)} & \underline{\mathrm{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda, \end{array} \quad (5.7)$$

the category $\underline{\mathrm{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$ has a $(d-1)$ -cluster tilting subcategory $\mathrm{add}\{T(pi) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \mathrm{add}\{N(i) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Applying [HI, Theorem 2.14], the category $\mathrm{CM} \Lambda$ has a $(d-1)$ -cluster tilting object $\Lambda \oplus N = M$.

It remains to prove that Γ is non-negatively graded, that is, $\Gamma_i = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M, M(i)) = \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_i & \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \Lambda(i)) \\ N_i & \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(N, N(i)) \end{bmatrix}$

is zero for each $i < 0$. By our assumption (ii), all entries except $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(N, N(i))$ are zero. Since $\mathrm{gl. dim} A \leq d-1$ by (iv), we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{per} A}(A, \nu_{d-1}^{-i}(A)) = 0$ for each $i < 0$. By (5.7), we have $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(T, T(pi)) = 0$ for each $i < 0$. Thus $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(N, N(i)) = 0$ for each $i < 0$. This implies $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbb{Z}}(N, N(i)) = 0$ for each $i < 0$ since for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, at least one of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(N, \Lambda(j))$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda(j), N(i)) = N_{i-j}$ is zero. The proof is completed. \square

Part 2. Tilting theory for singularity categories and realizations as cluster categories

The aim of this part is to apply the theoretical results from the previous part to some classes of Gorenstein rings and also symmetric orders over Gorenstein rings more generally. We obtain various triangle equivalences between their singularity categories and cluster categories of finite dimension algebras.

6. RINGS OF DIMENSION 0 AND 1

6.1. Finite dimensional symmetric algebras. In this section, we study the singularity categories of finite dimensional algebras over a field k . Let $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \Lambda_i$ be a \mathbb{Z} -graded finite dimensional self-injective algebra such that Λ_0 has finite global dimension. It is shown in [Ya] that

$$T = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \Lambda(i)_{\geq 0}$$

is a tilting object in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)$, and therefore we have a triangle equivalence

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{mod} A) \quad \text{for } A := \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)}(T). \quad (6.1)$$

Now we assume that Λ is a symmetric k -algebra with Gorenstein parameter p , that is, the socle of the Λ -module Λ is contained in Λ_{-p} . Since $\text{gl. dim } \Lambda_0$ is assumed to be finite, the inequality $p < 0$ holds unless Λ is semisimple. Moreover, we have an isomorphism of k -algebras:

$$A \simeq \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \Lambda_1 & \Lambda_0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Lambda_{-p-1} & \Lambda_{-p-2} & \cdots & \Lambda_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can apply our result 4.4 to realize the singularity category of Λ as the (-1) -cluster category of A .

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \Lambda_i$ be a finite dimensional non-semisimple symmetric algebra over a field k with $\text{gl. dim } \Lambda_0 < \infty$ and with Gorenstein parameter p . There exists a commutative diagram of equivalences*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{-1}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{-1}^{(1/p)}(A) \\ \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda). \end{array}$$

Note that the left commutative square was given in [Ya, 1.6].

Let us look at a few concrete examples.

Example 6.2. Let A be a finite dimensional algebra of finite global dimension, and let

$$\Lambda = A \oplus DA$$

be the trivial extension algebra, on which we give a grading by $\deg A = 0$ and $\deg DA = 1$. Then Λ is a symmetric algebra over k , and has Gorenstein parameter -1 . Then $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)$ has a tilting object A such that $A \simeq \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)}(A)$. By (6.1) we have a triangle equivalence $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A)$ [Hap]. We deduce from 4.3 that there is a commutative diagram of equivalences given in [Ya, 1.7].

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{-1}(A) \\ \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda). \end{array}$$

This is a special case of Keller's equivalence [Ke2, Theorem 2] for trivial extension dg algebras.

Example 6.3. Let $R = k[x]/(x^{n+1})$ with $\deg x = 1$. This is a \mathbb{Z} -graded artinian hypersurface singularity with Gorenstein parameter $-n$ and $R_0 = k$. By (6.1) and 4.9, for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{2l-1}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{2l-1}^{(1/l(n+1)-n)}(A) \\ \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/(l(n+1)-n)\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R). \end{array}$$

with $A = kA_n$, the path algebra of linearly oriented type A_n . For $l = 1$ we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_1(A) \\ \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R). \end{array}$$

In particular, we have triangle equivalences

$$\dots \simeq \mathcal{C}_{-3}^{(1/2n+1)}(A) \simeq \mathcal{C}_{-1}^{(1/n)}(A) \simeq \mathcal{C}_1(A) \simeq \mathcal{C}_3^{(1/n+2)}(A) \simeq \mathcal{C}_5^{(1/2n+3)}(A) \simeq \dots$$

Example 6.4. For $n \geq 1$, let $R := k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(x_i x_j, x_i^2 - x_j^2 \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n)$ with $\deg x_i = 1$. This is a \mathbb{Z} -graded artinian Gorenstein ring with Hilbert function $(1, n, 1)$. Notice that if k is an algebraically closed,

then this is the unique ring satisfying these conditions up to isomorphisms of \mathbb{Z} -graded algebras. Since R has Gorenstein parameter -2 and $R_0 = k$, by (6.1), we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{-1}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{-1}^{(1/2)}(A) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R). \end{array}$$

where $A = kQ$ is the path algebra of the n -Kronecker quiver $Q = \left[\bullet \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \xrightarrow{x_n} \end{array} \bullet \right]$.

6.2. Gorenstein rings in dimension 1. Our next application is to the singularity categories of commutative Gorenstein rings of dimension one. We start with recalling a fundamental result given in [BIY]. In the rest, we assume that

- $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded commutative Gorenstein ring with dimension one and Gorenstein parameter p such that R_0 is a field.

We denote by K the localization of R at the set of all homogeneous non-zero divisors so that K is the \mathbb{Z} -graded total quotient ring. One can take the minimum integer $q > 0$ such that $K \simeq K(q)$ in $\text{Mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} R$ [BIY, 4.11]. It is shown in [BIY, 1.4] that, if $p \leq 0$, then

$$T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q-p} R(i)_{\geq 0}$$

is a tilting object in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)$, and therefore we have a triangle equivalence

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) \simeq \text{per } A \quad \text{for}$$

$$A := \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)}(T) \simeq \begin{bmatrix} R_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ R_1 & R_0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ R_{-p-1} & R_{-p-2} & \cdots & R_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ K_{-p} & K_{-p-1} & \cdots & K_1 & K_0 & K_{-1} & \cdots & K_{1-q} \\ K_{1-p} & K_{-p} & \cdots & K_2 & K_1 & K_0 & \cdots & K_{2-q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_{q-p-1} & K_{q-p-2} & \cdots & K_q & K_{q-1} & K_{q-2} & \cdots & K_0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (6.2)$$

We can apply our result 4.4 to realize the singularity category of R as the 0-cluster category of A .

Theorem 6.5. *If $p < 0$, then there exists a commutative diagram of equivalences*


$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{per } A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_0(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_0^{(1/p)}(A) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}(R). \end{array}$$

In the rest of this section, we apply 6.5 to some important classes of Gorenstein rings of dimension one. We study certain families of hypersurface singularities studied in [BIY].

Example 6.6 (Standard grading). Let $n > 2$ be an integer, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in k$ be distinct scalars, and

$$R = k[x, y]/(f), \quad f(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^m (x - \alpha_i y)^{n_i}, \quad \deg x = \deg y = 1, \quad n = \deg f(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i.$$

This is a plane curve singularity of Gorenstein parameter $p = 2 - n < 0$. The algebra A in (6.2) is given by the following quiver with relations [BIY, section 2.1]:



Notice that A is an Iwanaga-Gorenstein algebra with self-injective dimension at most 2. If R is reduced (that is, $n_i = 1$ for each i), then A has global dimension at most 2. In this case, if $n = 3$, then A is derived equivalent to the path algebra kQ of type D_4 , and if $n = 4$, then A is derived equivalent to the canonical algebra of type $(2, 2, 2, 2)$, see 6.8.

Applying 6.5 and 4.9, we obtain a commutative diagram of equivalences for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{per } A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{2l}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{2l}^{(1/(ln-n+2))}(A) \\ \Big\| \wr & & \Big\| \wr & & \Big\| \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}/(ln-n+2)\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}(R). \end{array}$$

In particular, for $l = 1$, we obtain a commutative diagram, which is closely related to [HI]:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{per } A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_2(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_2^{(1/2)}(A) \\ \Big\| \wr & & \Big\| \wr & & \Big\| \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}(R). \end{array}$$

Now we consider hypersurface singularities of finite representation type.

Example 6.7 (Simple curve singularities). Let $S = k[x, y]$ be a polynomial ring over an arbitrary field k , and let $R = S/(f)$ be an ADE singularity given by the table below. We assume that R is reduced (that is, the characteristic of k is not equal to 2 for type A_{2n-1} and D_{2n}), and consider the following minimal \mathbb{Z} -grading making f homogeneous.

R f	A_n $x^{n+1} - y^2$	D_n $x^{n-1} - xy^2$	E_6 $x^4 - y^3$	E_7 $x^3y - y^3$	E_8 $x^5 - y^3$
$(\deg x, \deg y)$	$(1, \frac{n+1}{2})$ n is odd $(2, n+1)$ n is even	$(2, n-2)$ n is odd $(1, \frac{n}{2} - 1)$ n is even	$(3, 4)$	$(2, 3)$	$(3, 5)$
$-p := -p_R$	$\frac{n-1}{2}$ n is odd $n-1$ n is even	$n-2$ n is odd $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ n is even	5	4	7
$-p_S$	$\frac{n+3}{2}$ n is odd $n+3$ n is even	n n is odd $\frac{n}{2}$ n is even	7	5	8
$c := \deg f$	$n+1$ n is odd $2(n+1)$ n is even	$2(n-1)$ n is odd $n-1$ n is even	12	9	15

By our assumption, R satisfies $(R_0^{\mathbb{Z}})$, and hence (6.2) is a triangle equivalence

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) \simeq \text{per } kQ,$$

where Q is a Dynkin quiver given by the following table, see [BIY, Section 2.2]:

R	A_n	D_n	E_6	E_7	E_8
Q	$D_{\frac{n+3}{2}}$ n is odd A_n n is even	A_{2n-3} n is odd D_n n is even	E_6	E_7	E_8

Applying 6.5 and 4.10, we obtain commutative diagrams of equivalences for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{per } kQ & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{2l}(kQ) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2l}^{(1/(p+lc))}(kQ) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/(p+lc)\mathbb{Z}}(R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R), \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{per } kQ & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_2(kQ) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_2^{(1/ps)}(kQ) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/ps\mathbb{Z}}(R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R). \end{array}$$

Next we consider hypersurface singularities of tame representation type [DG].

Example 6.8 (T_{pq} singularities). We consider a \mathbb{Z} -graded reduced hypersurface singularity

$$R = k[x, y]/(f) \text{ for } f = \begin{cases} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 4} (x - \alpha_i y) & (\deg x, \deg y) = (1, 1), \\ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 3} (x - \alpha_i y^2) & (\deg x, \deg y) = (2, 1). \end{cases}$$

over an arbitrary field k . This is a class of T_{pq} singularities $R = k[x, y]/(f)$ with $f = x^p + \gamma x^2 y^2 + y^q$, $\gamma \in k \setminus \{0, 1\}$, where $(p, q, \deg x, \deg y) = (4, 4, 1, 1)$ or $(3, 6, 2, 1)$.

Then the algebra A in (6.2) is derived equivalent to a *canonical algebra of type (2, 2, 2, 2)*, which is given by the following quiver with relations for some $\lambda \in k \setminus \{0, 1\}$, see [BIY, Section 2,3]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \bullet & \\ & \nearrow a_1 & \\ & \bullet & \\ & \nearrow a_2 & \\ & \bullet & \\ & \nearrow a_3 & \\ & \bullet & \\ & \nearrow a_4 & \\ \bullet & & \bullet \\ & \searrow b_1 & \\ & \bullet & \\ & \searrow b_2 & \\ & \bullet & \\ & \searrow b_3 & \\ & \bullet & \\ & \searrow b_4 & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} b_1 a_1 + b_2 a_2 + b_3 a_3 = 0 \\ b_1 a_1 + \lambda b_2 a_2 + b_4 a_4 = 0. \end{array}$$

More explicitly, λ is given by $\lambda = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_4)(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3)^{-1}(\alpha_2 - \alpha_4)^{-1}$ for the first case and $\lambda = (\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3)^{-1}$ for the second case. We refer to section 8.1 below for a background of general canonical algebras.

6.3. Numerical semigroup rings. Let $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ be the set of non-negative integers. A *numerical semigroup* is a submonoid $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ (containing the unit 0) whose complement is finite. Let k be an arbitrary field and let

$$R = k[S]$$

be the corresponding semigroup ring. Such a commutative ring is called a *numerical semigroup ring*. We regard $k[S]$ as a subalgebra of $k[\mathbb{N}] = k[t]$ with the usual identification $n \leftrightarrow t^n$. Since R is a 1-dimensional domain it is certainly Cohen-Macaulay. In what follows we consider the grading on R induced from the standard grading $\deg t = 1$ on $k[t]$. The graded total quotient ring K of R is $k[\mathbb{Z}] = k[t^{\pm 1}]$.

Let us first prepare some combinatorial notion on numerical semigroups.

Definition 6.9. Let $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a numerical semigroup.

- (1) The *Frobenius number* of S is $a_S := \max(\mathbb{Z} \setminus S)$.
- (2) We say S is *symmetric* if $\mathbb{Z} \setminus S = \{a_S - n \mid n \in S\}$.

The above notions are related to the well-known structure of the canonical module and characterization of Gorensteinness. We denote by $D: M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i \mapsto \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_k(M_{-i}, k)$ the graded dual.

Proposition 6.10 ([BH, 4.4.8]). (1) $\omega := D(K/R)$ is the canonical module for R .

- (2) R is Gorenstein if and only if S is symmetric.
- (3) When R is Gorenstein, its Gorenstein parameter is equal to the minus of the Frobenius number of S .

In particular, numerical semigroup rings give a class of positively graded 1-dimensional commutative Gorenstein ring with negative Gorenstein parameter. By 6.5 we obtain the following.

Theorem 6.11. Let $S \subsetneq \mathbb{N}$ be a symmetric numerical semigroup with Frobenius number a , and $R = k[S]$ the semigroup ring.

- (1) The object $T := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{a+1} R(i)_{\geq 0}$ is a tilting object in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)$.

(2) The endomorphism algebra $A := \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)}(T)$ is given by the following matrix algebra.

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ R_1 & R_0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ R_{a-1} & R_{a-2} & \cdots & R_0 & 0 \\ k & k & \cdots & k & k \end{pmatrix}$$

(3) There exists a commutative diagram of equivalences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{per } A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_0(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_0^{(1/a)}(A) \\ \Big\| \wr & & \Big\| \wr & & \Big\| \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R). \end{array}$$

Proof. We know that R is Gorenstein since S is symmetric, that $R_0 = k$ by the connectedness assumption, and that the Gorenstein parameter is $-a < 0$ by 6.10(3) and $S \neq \mathbb{N}$. By $K = k[t^{\pm 1}]$ we see $K(1) \simeq K$. Then the assertion (1) follows from the result from [BIY] stated at the beginning of this section. We have (2) by [BIY, 1.4(c)], and (3) by 4.4. \square

We can give a more combinatorial description of the endomorphism algebra A . For a numerical semigroup $S \subset \mathbb{N}$, we associate a poset $\mathcal{P}(S)$ as follows.

Definition 6.12. Let $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a numerical semigroup with Frobenius number a . The poset $\mathcal{P}(S)$ is defined on the set $\{1, \dots, a\} \sqcup \{a+1\}$ with the following order:

- $i \leq j$ if and only if $j - i \in S$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq a$.
- $i < a+1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq a$.

Corollary 6.13. In the setting of 6.11, the endomorphism algebra $A = \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)}(T)$ is isomorphic to the incidence algebra of $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

Proof. This follows easily from the description of A given in 6.11(2). \square

Let us first look at some examples where S is generated by 2 elements.

Example 6.14. Let $0 < p < q$ be relatively prime integers, and $S_{p,q}$ the numerical semigroup ring generated by p and q . The semigroup algebra of S is isomorphic to the hypersurface singularity

$$R = k[S_{p,q}] = k[x, y]/(x^p - y^q)$$

with $\deg x = q$ and $\deg y = p$ by comparing their Hilbert series. The Gorenstein parameter of R is $p + q - pq$. The poset $\mathcal{P}(S_{p,q})$ defined in 6.12 is described, for example, as follows. For $(p, q) = (2, 2n+1)$ we have $a = 2n - 1$ and the Hasse diagram is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & 3 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & 2n-3 & \longrightarrow & 2n-1 \\ & & & & & & & & \downarrow \\ 2 & \longrightarrow & 4 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & 2n-2 & \longrightarrow & 2n, \end{array}$$

so that the incidence algebra is the path algebra of type A_{2n} (see 6.7). For $(p, q) = (3, 3n+1)$ we have $a = 6n - 1$ and the Hasse diagram of $\mathcal{P}(S_{p,q})$ looks as below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & 3n-5 & \longrightarrow & 3n-2 & \longrightarrow & 3n+1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & 6n-5 & \longrightarrow & 6n-2 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \searrow \\ 2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & 3n-4 & \longrightarrow & 3n-1 & \longrightarrow & 3n+2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & 6n-4 & \longrightarrow & 6n-1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \searrow \\ 3 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & 3n-3 & \longrightarrow & 3n & \longrightarrow & 3n+3 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & 6n-3 & \longrightarrow & 6n \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \searrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 4 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & 3n-2 & \longrightarrow & 3n+1 & \longrightarrow & 3n+4 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & 6n-2 \end{array}$$

7. QUOTIENT SINGULARITIES

Let k be a field and $G \subset \mathrm{SL}_d(k)$ a finite subgroup such that $|G| \neq 0$ in k . It naturally acts on the polynomial ring and let R be its invariant subring:

$$S = k[x_1, \dots, x_d], \quad R = S^G. \quad (7.1)$$

There are several natural ways to give a grading on R , and we discuss two of them below. One is the standard grading, and the other is one induced from McKay quivers. Throughout this section we use a common notation: $\frac{1}{n}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ denotes the element $\mathrm{diag}(\zeta^{a_1}, \dots, \zeta^{a_d}) \in \mathrm{GL}_d(k)$ for a primitive n -th root of unity ζ .

7.1. Standard grading. We give a \mathbb{Z} -grading on S by $\deg x_i = 1$ so that the invariant subring R inherits a grading from S . We call this grading on R the *standard grading*. This makes R into a Gorenstein ring of Gorenstein parameter d [IT, 6.5]. The following result gives a tilting object in the \mathbb{Z} -graded singularity category of R for this \mathbb{Z} -grading. We write Ω_S the syzygy of a graded S -module, the kernel of the projective cover in $\mathrm{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} S$. For $X \in \mathrm{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} R$, we denote by $[X]_{\mathrm{CM}}$ the maximal direct summand of X which is Cohen-Macaulay. It is unique up to isomorphism since $\mathrm{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} R$ is a Krull-Schmidt category.

Proposition 7.1 ([IT, 2.7]). *Let $R = S^G$ be the \mathbb{Z} -graded quotient singularity with the standard grading. Assume R has only an isolated singularity.*

- (1) $T = \bigoplus_{p=1}^d [\Omega_S^p k(p)]_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is a tilting object for $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)$.
- (2) The endomorphism algebra $A^{\mathrm{st}} := \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)}(T)$ has finite global dimension.

Consequently, there exists a triangle equivalence $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) \simeq \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{mod} A^{\mathrm{st}})$.

Applying 7.1 and our result 4.4, we obtain the following description of the ungraded singularity categories of quotient singularities.

Theorem 7.2. *Let $R = S^G$ be the quotient singularity with the standard grading which is an isolated singularity, and $A = A^{\mathrm{st}}$ as in 7.1. Then there exists a commutative diagram of equivalences*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{mod} A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}^{(1/d)}(A) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}(R). \end{array}$$

Sometimes the invariant ring R concentrates in degrees multiple some integer. In this case we can divide the grading by this integer, making the Gorenstein parameter of R smaller.

Let n be an integer dividing d , and here we discuss the case where R concentrates in degrees $n\mathbb{Z}$. In this case, we can define the *divided grading* of R by setting the degree i part as R_{ni} for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $R = S^G$ be the invariant ring with the standard grading. Then R concentrates in degrees multiple of n if and only if G contains the cyclic group generated by $\frac{1}{n}(1, \dots, 1)$.*

Proof. If G contains $\frac{1}{n}(1, \dots, 1)$, then $R \subset S^{\frac{1}{n}(1, \dots, 1)} = S^{(n)}$, the n -th Veronese subring. Conversely, if R is concentrated in degree $n\mathbb{Z}$, then its quotient field is contained in that of $S^{(n)}$, thus $\langle \frac{1}{n}(1, \dots, 1) \rangle \subset G$ by Galois theory. \square

If R is $n\mathbb{Z}$ -graded, the category of \mathbb{Z} -graded modules $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} R$ canonically breaks into n mutually isomorphic categories. For $M \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} R$ we denote by $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} M^i$ the decomposition of M along this splitting of categories.

Lemma 7.4. *Let $G \subset \mathrm{SL}_d(k)$ subgroup containing $\frac{1}{n}(1, \dots, 1)$. Then the algebra $A^{\mathrm{st}} = \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)}(T)$ in 7.1(2) is the direct product of algebras $A_i := \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)}(T^i)$ along $1 \leq i \leq n$, which are mutually derived equivalent.*

Proof. Since the tilting object T gives an equivalence $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) \simeq \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{mod} A)$, the pieces T^i yield equivalences between the factors of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)$ and $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{mod} A^{\mathrm{st}}) = \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{mod} A_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{mod} A_n)$. Also, each factor of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)$ are mutually isomorphic by the degree shift functor, thus A_i are mutually derived equivalent. \square

We shall refer to $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)}(T^0)$ as A^{div} .

Theorem 7.5. *Let n be an integer dividing d and $p := d/n$. Let $G \subset \mathrm{SL}_d(k)$ be a finite subgroup, containing $\frac{1}{n}(1, \dots, 1)$ such that $R = S^G$ is an isolated singularity. We give a divided grading on R . Then there exists a commutative diagram of equivalences*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{mod} A^{\mathrm{div}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}(A^{\mathrm{div}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}^{(1/p)}(A^{\mathrm{div}}) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}(R). \end{array}$$

Proof. We have by 7.1 an equivalence $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) \simeq \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{mod} A)$, where the left-hand-side is the standard (non-divided) grading, and the right-hand-side is equivalent to the direct product of n copies of $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{mod} A^{\mathrm{div}})$. Dividing the grading of R by n we deduce an equivalence $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) \simeq \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{mod} A^{\mathrm{div}})$. Noting that the Gorstein parameter is $d/n = p$, we obtain the conclusion by 4.4. \square

As an application of 7.5, we immediately obtain a result of Keller-Reiten [KR] and Keller-Murfet-Van den Bergh [KMV].

Example 7.6. Let $d = 3$ so that $S = k[x, y, z]$. Let G be the subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_3(k)$ generated by $\frac{1}{3}(1, 1, 1)$, put $R = S^G$, on which we give the standard grading. It is easy to compute the endomorphism ring A^{st} in 7.1 to be the disjoint union of three 3-Kronecker quivers $Q_3: \bullet \xrightarrow{3} \bullet$ (see [IT, 8.16]).

Since R is concentrated in degrees multiples of 3, the algebra A^{st} is the direct product of 3 derived equivalent copies of A^{div} which is necessarily kQ_3 . By 7.5 we deduce equivalences

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{mod} kQ_3) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_2(kQ_3) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}(R) \end{array}$$

for the divided grading on R . Now the right column recovers [KR].

Example 7.7. Let $d = 4$ so that $S = k[x, y, z, w]$. Let G be the subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_4(k)$ generated by $\frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1, 1)$, and put $R = S^G$. Consider the standard grading on R . Then the endomorphism algebra A^{st} of the tilting object T given in 7.1 can be computed to be the product of 2 copies of the 6-Kronecker quiver:

$$A^{\mathrm{st}} = kQ_6 \times kQ_6, \quad Q_6 = \bullet \xrightarrow{6} \bullet.$$


Since R is concentrated in even degrees, we can divide the grading by 2, and in view of 7.4 we must have $A^{\mathrm{div}} = kQ_6$. Then we see by 7.5 that there is a commutative diagram of equivalences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{mod} kQ_6) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_3(kQ_6) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_3^{(1/2)}(kQ_6) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}(R), \end{array}$$

whose rightmost column as proved by Keller-Murfet-Van den Bergh [KMV].

Example 7.8. Let $d = 4$ so that $S = k[x, y, z, w]$. Let G be the subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_4(k)$ generated by $\frac{1}{4}(1, 1, 3, 3)$. Since G contains $\frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1, 1)$ we can give $R = S^G$ the divided grading. Let us describe the algebra A^{div} for this case. By [IT, 2.9] the endomorphism ring A^{st} in 7.1 is isomorphic to eBe for the algebra B and its idempotent e defined as follows: Consider the quiver below on the left. The arrows which go one place to the right or three places to the left are labelled by x, y , and which go one place to the left or three places to the right are labelled by z, w . Then define B as its path algebra modulo the exterior relations, that is, $v^2 = 0$ for any linear combination v of x, y, z, w . Now let e be the idempotent of B corresponding to the 12 vertices on the right

three columns.



Note that $A^{\text{st}} = eBe$ has two connected components, corresponding to the fact that R is concentrated in even degrees. These two algebras are not isomorphic (in fact opposite) to each other, but they are derived equivalent by 7.4. Letting A^{div} be one of them, which is presented by one of the quivers on the right above. The arrows are labelled similarly as those in B , with two additional arrows going two places down labelled by xy and zw , respectively.

We conclude by 7.5 that there is a commutative diagram of equivalences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A^{\text{div}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_3(A^{\text{div}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_3^{(1/2)}(A^{\text{div}}) \\ \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R). \end{array}$$

Example 7.9 (Simple surface singularities). Let $G \subset \text{SL}_2(k)$ be a finite subgroup. They are classified by the ADE Dynkin diagrams as follows, where ζ_l denotes a primitive l -th root of 1.

type	generator(s)	equation	degree	$h = \deg f$
A_n	$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_{n+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_{n+1}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$	$x^{n+1} + yz$	$(1, p, n + 1 - p)$	$n + 1$
D_n	$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_{2n-4} & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_{2n-4}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \zeta_4 \\ \zeta_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$x^{n-1} + xy^2 + z^2$	$(2, n - 2, n - 1)$	$2(n - 1)$
E_6	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_8 & \zeta_8^3 \\ \zeta_8 & \zeta_8^7 \end{pmatrix}, D_4$	$x^4 + y^3 + z^2$	$(3, 4, 6)$	12
E_7	$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_8^3 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_8^5 \end{pmatrix}, E_6$	$x^3y + y^3 + z^2$	$(4, 6, 9)$	18
E_8	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_5^4 - \zeta_5 & \zeta_5^2 - \zeta_5^3 \\ \zeta_5^2 - \zeta_5^3 & \zeta_5 - \zeta_5^4 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_5^2 - \zeta_5^4 & \zeta_5^4 - 1 \\ 1 - \zeta_5 & \zeta_5^3 - \zeta_5 \end{pmatrix}$	$x^5 + y^3 + z^2$	$(6, 10, 15)$	30

The group G contains an element $\frac{1}{2}(1, 1)$ in all cases except for type A_{2n} . In these cases, with the divided grading on R , we obtain the following commutative diagram of equivalences for the corresponding Dynkin quivers Q .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } kQ) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_1(kQ) \\ \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R) \end{array}$$

Indeed, we know that the algebra A^{st} is a direct product of two copies of Dynkin quiver Q of the corresponding type [IT, 8.14], while by 7.4 it is also the direct product of two derived equivalent copies of A^{div} . It follows that A^{div} must be derived equivalent to kQ , hence the desired diagram by 7.5.

Let us take another point of view. It is well-known that R is a hypersurface singularity given as in the right half of the above table. We consider the \mathbb{Z} -grading therein, which is the divided grading except for type A_n , and p in type A_n is an arbitrary integer. Applying 4.9, we obtain commutative diagrams of equivalences for

each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } kQ) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{2l+1}(kQ) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{2l+1}^{(1/(1+lh))}(kQ) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/(1+lh)\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R). \end{array}$$

Thus the category $\mathcal{C}_{2l+1}^{(1/(1+lh))}(kQ)$ is independent of $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. This can be explained also by the fractionally Calabi-Yau property of dimension $(h-2)/h$ of $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } kQ)$, where $h = \deg f$ is the Coxeter number of Q .

7.2. McKay gradings. Let S and $R = S^G$ be as in (7.1). In this subsection, we introduce non-standard \mathbb{Z} -gradings on R which we call *McKay grading*. Let $S * G$ be the skew group ring, that is, the vector space $S \otimes kG$ with multiplication $(s \otimes g)(t \otimes h) = sg(t) \otimes gh$. Then we have $R = e(S * G)e$ for $e = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g$, the idempotent of kG corresponding to the trivial representation of G .

Definition 7.10. A *McKay grading* is a \mathbb{Z} -grading on R which is a restriction of a \mathbb{Z} -grading on $S * G$ satisfying the following condition.

- (i) $S * G = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} (S * G)_i$ and $e \in (S * G)_0$.

We call the following condition on this \mathbb{Z} -grading on $S * G$ the *source condition*.

- (ii) $R = e(S * G)e$ has Gorenstein parameter $p \geq 1$ with respect to the induced \mathbb{Z} -grading.
- (iii) $e(S * G)_i(1 - e) = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq p - 1$.

Applying 5.2, we obtain the following generalization of [AIR, 5.1][Am2] for the case $p = 1$.

Theorem 7.11. *Suppose that $S * G$ has a \mathbb{Z} -grading satisfying the source condition, and consider the corresponding McKay grading on R . Then $T := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} (S * G)e(i) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)$ is a tilting object and there exists a commutative diagram of equivalences for $A^{\text{Mc}} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)}(T)$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A^{\text{Mc}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}(A^{\text{Mc}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d-1}^{(1/p)}(A^{\text{Mc}}) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R). \end{array} \quad (7.2)$$

Proof. The natural map $S * G \rightarrow \Gamma := \text{End}_R(S)$ is an isomorphism ([Au1, p.118], see [LW, 5.15]). Moreover S is a $(d-1)$ -cluster tilting object in $\text{CM } R$ by [Iy1, 2.5]. Since $(S * G)e = S$ as a \mathbb{Z} -graded R -module, it satisfies all the assumptions in 5.2. Thus the assertion follows. \square

Now we assume $G \subset \text{SL}_d(k)$ is cyclic, generated by $\frac{1}{n}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$. Then it is well-known (see e.g. [BSW]) that $S * G$ is presented by the McKay quiver of G with commutativity relations, precisely the quiver Q with relations defined as follows.

- vertices: $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$,
- arrows: $x_i = x_i^l: l \rightarrow l + a_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$,
- relations: $x_i x_j = x_j x_i$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq d$.

We give a grading on Q as a map $\deg: Q_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ on the set of vertices Q_1 .

Proposition 7.12. *Suppose that the map $\deg: Q_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ satisfies*

$$\deg x_i^{l+a_j} + \deg x_j^l = \deg x_j^{l+a_i} + \deg x_i^l \quad (7.3)$$

for each $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

- (1) *The above map \deg defines a McKay grading on R .*
- (2) *Every d -cycle in Q starting and ending at 0, and consisting of arrows one from each x_i 's has the same degree. Moreover it coincides with the Gorenstein parameter of R .*

Proof. (1) This is clear since \deg defines a positive grading on $S * G$.

(2) The first assertion is clear from (7.3). To prove the second one, let p be the degree of these cycles. Let $\Gamma := S * G$. For a \mathbb{Z} -graded $(kG)^e$ -module $V := kQ_1$, we consider a Koszul complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma \otimes_{kG} \bigwedge^d V \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \Gamma \otimes_{kG} V \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow kG \longrightarrow 0$$

where we take the exterior power $\bigwedge^i V$ over kG . Applying $e(-)e$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow e(\Gamma \otimes_{kG} \bigwedge^d V)e \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow e(\Gamma \otimes_{kG} V)e \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0 \quad (7.4)$$

in $\text{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} R$. Since the k -vector space $\bigwedge^d V$ is spanned by d -cycles consisting of arrows one from each x_i 's, we have $(\bigwedge^d V)e \simeq k(-p)$ as \mathbb{Z} -graded $kG^{\text{op}} \otimes_k R$ -bimodules. Thus the left term of (7.4) is $e\Gamma e \otimes_k k(-p) = R(-p)$. Since all terms of (7.4) except k belong to $\text{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}} R$, it gives a non-zero element in $\text{Ext}_{\text{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} R}^d(k, R(-p))$, so the assertion holds. \square

In the setting of 7.12, if every arrow with target 0 has degree p , then this grading on $S * G$ satisfies the source condition and we obtain a commutative diagram of equivalences (7.2). In this case the algebra A^{Mc} can be described explicitly. It is presented by the quiver $\underline{Q}^{(p)}$ obtained from Q as follows.


- take the degree 0 part of Q , and delete vertex 0 and the adjacent arrows,
- take p copies $\underline{Q}^1, \dots, \underline{Q}^p$ of the quiver \underline{Q} obtained above,
- for each arrow $a_i \rightarrow a_j$ in $Q \setminus \{0\}$ of degree n , add an arrow from $a_i \in \underline{Q}^l$ to $a_j \in \underline{Q}^{l+n}$ whenever $1 \leq l < l+n \leq p$.
- take the induced relations.

An example of McKay grading in 7.12 with $p = 1$ and satisfying the source condition can be given by


$$\deg x_i = \begin{cases} 1 & (l < m \text{ or } l = m = 0) \\ 0 & (l > m \text{ or } l = m \neq 0) \end{cases}, \quad (7.5)$$

where we took l, m from a complete set of representatives $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ [U1].

Example 7.13. Let $G \subset \text{SL}_3(k)$ be a finite subgroup generated by $\frac{1}{5}(1, 2, 2)$. Its McKay quiver is given as follows, on which we give a grading as indicated by the labels on the arrows, whereas unlabeled arrows have degree 0.



By 7.12, the invariant subring R of $S = k[x, y, z]$ has Gorenstein parameter 2, and satisfies the source condition. The endomorphism ring A^{Mc} of tilting object $S \oplus S(1)$ in 7.11 is presented by the following quiver with commutativity relations, with the single arrows labelled by x , and the double arrows by y and z .



We obtain a commutative diagram of equivalences below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A^{\text{Mc}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_2(A^{\text{Mc}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_2^{(1/2)}(A^{\text{Mc}}) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R) \end{array}$$

7.3. Comparison of different gradings. We have observed that quotient singularities have various natural gradings, and comparison of these gradings yields some relationship between cluster categories. We can apply 4.6 to obtain covering functors between cluster categories.

Corollary 7.14. *Let G be the cyclic subgroup of $\text{SL}_d(k)$ of order n generated by $1/n(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ with $a_1 + \dots + a_d = n$. Let $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ on which G naturally acts and $R = S^G$. Let A be the endomorphism ring of a tilting object with respect to the grading in (7.5), and let B be the endomorphism ring of tilting object in any grading with Gorenstein parameter $p > 0$. Then there is an equivalence*

$$\mathcal{C}_{d-1}^{(1/p)}(B) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{C}_{d-1}(A),$$

hence a p -sheet covering functor

$$\mathcal{C}_{d-1}(B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{d-1}(A)$$

between the $(d-1)$ -cluster categories. In particular, if $p=1$, then A and B are cluster equivalent in the sense of [AO].

Proof. Since the grading of (7.5) is of Gorenstein parameter 1, this is a direct consequence of 4.6. \square

Let us first look at a comparison of two McKay gradings.

Example 7.15. Let $G \subset \mathrm{SL}_3(k)$ be a finite subgroup generated by $\frac{1}{5}(1, 2, 2)$. The McKay grading defined in (7.5) endows R with Gorenstein parameter 1, and the algebra A is presented by

$$A = \begin{array}{ccc} & 4 & 1 \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ & 3 & \leftarrow 2 \end{array} .$$

On the other hand, we have discussed in 7.13 a grading on R so that the Gorenstein parameter is 2, and the endomorphism algebra B is presented as in 7.13.


We conclude that there is a 2-fold covering functor

$$\mathcal{C}_2(B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_2(A) .$$


Another example is a comparison between the standard grading and a McKay grading.

Example 7.16. Let $d=3$: $S = k[x, y, z]$. Consider the finite subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{SL}_3(k)$ generated by $\frac{1}{5}(1, 1, 3)$, and put $R = S^G$.

As in 7.8, we can compute the endomorphism algebra A^{st} of a tilting object for the standard grading. It is of the form eBe for the algebra B presented by the quiver on the left below with exterior relations, and the idempotent corresponding to the right four columns. We therefore see that A^{st} is connected in this case, and is presented by the quiver on the right below.



On the other hand, the algebra A^{Mc} in 7.11 is presented by the following quiver having 4 vertices with commutativity relations.



We conclude by 7.14 that there is a 3-to-1 covering functor

$$\mathcal{C}_2(A^{\mathrm{st}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_2(A^{\mathrm{Mc}})$$

between the 2-cluster categories.

8. GEIGLE-LENZING COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS AND GRASSMANNIAN CLUSTER CATEGORIES

8.1. Geigle-Lenzing complete intersections. Following [HIMO], we recall the basic setup for Geigle-Lenzing theory. Let k be a field, and let $d \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$ be integers. The *Geigle-Lenzing complete intersection* is a commutative ring

$$R = k[T_0, \dots, T_d, x_1, \dots, x_n] / (x_1^{p_1} - l_1, \dots, x_n^{p_n} - l_n),$$

where l_1, \dots, l_n are linear forms in T_0, \dots, T_d as linear independent as possible, and $p_1, \dots, p_n \geq 2$ are integers called weights. It is graded by the abelian group

$$\mathbb{L} = (\mathbb{Z}\vec{c} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\vec{x}_i) / \langle p_i \vec{x}_i - \vec{c} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$$

with $\deg T_i = \vec{c}$ and $\deg x_i = \vec{x}_i$. We have $\dim R = \dim^{\mathbb{L}} R = d + 1$, and R has Gorenstein parameter

$$-\vec{\omega} := \sum_{i=1}^n \vec{x}_i - (n - d - 1)\vec{c}.$$

Let \mathbb{L}_+ be the submonoid of \mathbb{L} generated by $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n$. Define a partial order \leq on \mathbb{L} by $\vec{x} \leq \vec{y} \iff \vec{y} - \vec{x} \in \mathbb{L}_+$. Let

$$\vec{\delta} := d\vec{c} + 2\vec{\omega} \in \mathbb{L}.$$

Then R is

- regular if and only if $n \leq d + 1$ if and only if $0 \not\leq \vec{\delta}$, and
- satisfies $(R_d^{\mathbb{L}})$ (and (R_d)), and is a complete intersection of codimension $n - d - 1$ if $n > d + 1$.

Consider the interval $I := [0, \vec{\delta}]$ in \mathbb{L} . For each $X \in \text{mod}^{\mathbb{L}} R$, we define $X_I := \bigoplus_{\vec{x} \in I} X_{\vec{x}} \in \text{mod}^{\mathbb{L}} R$. Let

$$T := \bigoplus_{\vec{x} \in I} R(\vec{x})_I \in \text{mod}^{\mathbb{L}} R$$

The *CM canonical algebra* is a subring

$$A^{\text{CM}} = (R_{\vec{x}-\vec{y}})_{\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in I}$$

of the full matrix ring $M_I(R)$ [HIMO] (above Theorem 3.20), and can be identified with the endomorphism algebra of T :

$$A^{\text{CM}} = \text{End}_R^{\mathbb{L}}(T).$$

From our point of view, the following tilting result on Geigle-Lenzing complete intersection is a “graded” assumption part of 4.3.

Theorem 8.1 ([HIMO, 3.20]). $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{L}}(R)$ has a tilting object T with $\text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{L}}(R)}(T) = A^{\text{CM}}$, and there exists a triangle equivalence

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{L}}(R) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A^{\text{CM}}).$$

Applying 4.3(1) we obtain the following “ungraded” part.

Theorem 8.2. *Let R be a Geigle-Lenzing complete intersection. There exists a commutative diagram of equivalences*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A^{\text{CM}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_d(A^{\text{CM}}) \\ \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{L}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{L}/(\vec{\omega})}(R). \end{array}$$

Example 8.3. Let us discuss the hypersurface case $n = d + 2$ in more detail. After a linear change of variables we may assume R has the form

$$R = k[x_1, \dots, x_{d+2}] / (x_1^{p_1} + \dots + x_{d+2}^{p_{d+2}})$$

with $\mathbb{L} = (\mathbb{Z}\vec{c} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d+2} \mathbb{Z}\vec{x}_i) / \langle p_i \vec{x}_i - \vec{c} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$ and with Gorenstein parameter $-\vec{\omega} = \sum_{i=1}^{d+2} \vec{x}_i - \vec{c}$.

In this case we have an even more explicit description of A^{CM} [HIMO, 3.23(b)]. Let \mathbb{A}_n be a linear oriented quiver of type A_n :

$$\mathbb{A}_n = \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \bullet$$

Then A^{CM} is isomorphic to the tensor product of path algebras of linearly oriented quivers of type A :

$$A^{\text{CM}} \simeq \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d+2} k\mathbb{A}_{p_i-1}.$$

By 4.9 we have a commutative diagram below of equivalences for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $-\vec{\omega} + l\vec{c}$ is not torsion.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A^{\text{CM}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d+2l}(A^{\text{CM}}) \\ \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{L}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{L}/(-\vec{\omega}+l\vec{c})}(R). \end{array}$$

In particular for $l = 1$ we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A^{\text{CM}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{d+2}(A^{\text{CM}}) \\ \parallel \wr & & \parallel \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{L}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{L}/(\vec{x})}(R) \end{array}$$

for $\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{d+2} \vec{x}_i$. The case $d = 1$ will be used in the next subsection.

Example 8.4. We consider the case $d \geq 1$, $n = d + 3$ and $p_i = 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. In this case, $\vec{\delta} = \vec{c}$ holds, and the algebra A^{CM} is given by the following quiver with two relations, where without loss of generality, we assume $l_i = T_{i-1}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq d + 1$ and $l_i = \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} \lambda_{i,j-1} T_{j-1}$ for $i = d + 2, d + 3$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \vec{x}_1 & \\ & \nearrow & \searrow \\ 0 & \begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{d+2} \\ x_{d+3} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \vec{x}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{x}_{d+2} \\ \vec{x}_{d+3} \end{array} \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & \vec{c} & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} x_{d+2}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} \lambda_{d+2,j-1} x_j^2 \\ x_{d+3}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} \lambda_{d+3,j-1} x_j^2 \end{array}$$

8.2. Grassmannian cluster categories. We give an application of general results above to Grassmannian cluster categories of Jensen-King-Su. After recalling its basic definitions, we observe that this is a special case of Geigle-Lenzing hypersurface, and give an equivalence between the cluster category of an algebra of global dimension ≤ 2 .

Let k be an arbitrary field and let $0 < l < n$ be integers. Consider the algebra Λ presented by the following quiver with relations.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & 1 & \\ \nearrow x & & \searrow x \\ n & & 2 \\ \parallel y & & \parallel y \\ \dots & & \dots \end{array} \quad xy = yx, \quad x^l = y^{n-l}$$

Then the *Grassmannian cluster category* [JKS] is the Frobenius category $\text{CM } \Lambda$. It can be defined in a slightly different way: Let

$$R = k[x, y]/(x^l - y^{n-l})$$

be a one-dimensional hypersurface singularity. Give a $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on R by $\deg x = 1$ and $\deg y = -1$. Then the following elementary property shows that the Grassmannian category can be equivalently defined as $\text{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} R$.

Lemma 8.5. *There is an equivalence $\text{Mod } \Lambda \simeq \text{Mod}^{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} R$, which induces an equivalence $\text{CM } \Lambda \simeq \text{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} R$ and a triangle equivalence $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(R)$.*

Proof. This algebra Λ is isomorphic to the smash product

$$R \# (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = (R_{j-i})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}.$$

The assertion is immediate from [IL, 3.1]. □

Now note that our hypersurface $R = k[x, y]/(x^l - y^{n-l})$ is a Geigle-Lenzing hypersurface (with $d = 0$ and $n = 2$) graded by an abelian group

$$\mathbb{L} = (\mathbb{Z}\vec{x} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\vec{y}) / (l\vec{x} - (n-l)\vec{y}),$$

where $\deg x = \vec{x}$ and $\deg y = \vec{y}$. The $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on R given above is specialization of \mathbb{L} -grading via the isomorphism of groups

$$\mathbb{L}/(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \quad \vec{x} \mapsto 1, \quad \vec{y} \mapsto -1. \quad (8.1)$$

Fix a subset $I \subset [1, n]$ with $|I| = l$ and define a \mathbb{Z} -grading of Λ by


$$\deg(x : i \rightarrow i+1) := \begin{cases} 0 & i \in I \\ 1 & i \notin I, \end{cases} \quad \deg(y : i+1 \rightarrow i) := \begin{cases} 1 & i \in I \\ 0 & i \notin I. \end{cases}$$

As in 8.5, there is an equivalence $\text{Mod}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Lambda \simeq \text{Mod}^{\mathbb{L}}R$, which induces equivalences

$$\text{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Lambda \simeq \text{CM}^{\mathbb{L}}R \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{L}}(R). \quad (8.2)$$

These observations lead to the following main result of this section, giving an equivalence between the Grassmannian cluster category and the 2-cluster category of an algebra of global dimension ≤ 2 .

Theorem 8.6. *Let k be an arbitrary field, $0 < l < n$ be integers, and let $A := k\mathbb{A}_{l-1} \otimes_k k\mathbb{A}_{n-l-1}$ which is presented by the following grid quiver with commutativity relations at each square.*



Then there exists a commutative diagram of equivalences


$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_2(A) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{L}}(R) & \xrightarrow{(8.1)} & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(R) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda). \end{array}$$

Proof. Since R is a hypersurface singularity satisfying $(R_1^{\mathbb{L}})$, the upper square in the desired diagram follows from 8.1 and 4.10. The lower part of the diagram is immediate from 8.5 and (8.2). \square

Combining 8.6 and 6.14, we obtain the following result. We write $\mathbb{A}_n \otimes \mathbb{A}_m$ for the poset whose Hasse diagram is given by the $(n \times m)$ -grid quiver as in 8.6.

Corollary 8.7. *If p and q are relatively prime, the incidence algebras of $\mathcal{P}(S_{p,q})$ and of $\mathbb{A}_{p-1} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{q-1}$ are derived equivalent.*

For example, $k\mathbb{A}_2 \otimes_k k\mathbb{A}_{3n}$ is derived equivalent to the incidence algebra of $\mathcal{P}(S_{3,3n+1})$, which looks as below, where each low contains $2n$ vertices and the top low is identified with the bottom one.



8.3. Infinite Grassmannian cluster categories. Let $l \geq 1$ and consider a \mathbb{Z} -graded non-reduced one-dimensional hypersurface singularity

$$R = k[x, y]/(x^l), \quad \deg x = 1, \deg y = -1.$$

The *Grassmannian cluster category of infinite rank* [ACFGS] is defined as the category $\text{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}R$ of \mathbb{Z} -graded Cohen-Macaulay R -modules. By virtue of this grading, the *graded* singularity category $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R)$ is already 2-CY. Since R is not positively graded, however, we cannot directly apply (6.2) to obtain a description of the graded singularity category. We shall nevertheless realize it as the 2-cluster category of an additive category.

Let \mathcal{A} the additive category given by the quiver with relations below.

$$\mathcal{A}: \begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \xrightarrow{x} & 2 & \xrightarrow{y} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{x} & l-2 & \xrightarrow{y} & \cdots \\ & & & & & & & & \downarrow w \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{y} & 2 & \xrightarrow{x} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{y} & l-2 & \xrightarrow{y} & l-1 \\ & & & & & & & & \downarrow w \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{x} & 2 & \xrightarrow{y} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{x} & l-2 & \xrightarrow{y} & l-1 \\ & & & & & & & & \downarrow w \\ \cdots & \xrightarrow{y} & 2 & \xrightarrow{x} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{y} & l-2 & \xrightarrow{y} & l-1 \\ & & & & & & & & \downarrow w \\ \cdots & & & & & & & & \cdots \end{array} \quad xy - yx, \quad w^l, \quad wy^2 = yx$$

It is a covering of the algebra

$$A: \quad 1 \xrightarrow[x]{x} 2 \xrightarrow[y]{x} \cdots \xrightarrow[y]{x} l-2 \xrightarrow[y]{x} l-1 \xrightarrow[w]{x} \cdots \quad xy - yx, \quad w^l, \quad wy^2 = yx.$$

Define the \mathbb{Z} -grading of A by $\deg x = 1$, $\deg y = 0$ and $\deg w = 1$. Then we have an equivalence

$$\mathcal{A} \simeq \text{proj}^{\mathbb{Z}} A.$$

The main result of this section is existence of a triangle equivalence

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{A}).$$

This is in fact the “ungraded” part of the commutative diagram in our general result 4.3. More precisely, we consider the \mathbb{Z}^2 -grading on R given by $\deg x = (1, 0)$ and $\deg y = (0, 1)$ which lifts the original \mathbb{Z} -grading via a surjection $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 8.8. *There exists a commutative diagram of equivalences*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{per } \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{A}) \\ \parallel \mathbb{R} & & \parallel \mathbb{R} \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}}(R). \end{array}$$

Proof. As a first step we give (still another) \mathbb{Z} -grading on $R = k[x, y]/(x^l)$ by $\deg x = \deg y = 1$. For distinction we denote this grading group by \mathbb{Z}' . Since this is a positive grading we can apply (6.2): $T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l-1} R(i)_{\geq 0} \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}'}(R)$ is a tilting object and there is a triangle equivalence

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}'}(R) \simeq \text{per } A$$

for $A = \underline{\text{End}}_R^{\mathbb{Z}'}(T)$, which is described as above by 6.6.

We next give a \mathbb{Z}^2 -grading on R by $\deg x = (1, 0)$ and $\deg y = (0, 1)$. There is a surjection $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}'$ taking $(1, 0) \mapsto 1$ and $(0, 1) \mapsto 1$, which yields a covering functor $\pi: \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}^{\mathbb{Z}'}(R)$. Each direct summand $R(i)_{\geq 0} = (x, y)^i(i)$ of T is generated by monomials of x, y and hence a \mathbb{Z}^2 -graded submodule of $R(i)$. Thus the tilting object T belongs to the image of π . By B.1 the inverse image $\pi^{-1}(T)$ is a tilting subcategory, which is equivalent to the additive category \mathcal{A} defined above, so that there is an equivalence

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}(R) \simeq \text{per } \mathcal{A}.$$

Finally we apply 4.9 with $G = \mathbb{Z}^2$. The Gorenstein parameter of \mathbb{Z}^2 -graded R is $a = (1-l, 1)$ and the degree of the defining equation x^l is $c = (l, 0)$, thus 4.9(1) for $l = 1$ yields a commutative diagram of equivalences

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{per } \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{A}) \\ \parallel \mathcal{R} & & \parallel \mathcal{R} \\ \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\text{sg},0}^{\mathbb{Z}^2/(1,1)}(R). \end{array}$$

Now note that the quotient $\mathbb{Z}^2 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2/(1, 1)$ is identified with the map $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ taking $(1, 0) \mapsto 1$ and $(0, 1) \mapsto -1$, which reduces the \mathbb{Z}^2 -grading to our original \mathbb{Z} -grading. \square

APPENDIX A. GROUP GRADED RINGS

We give a background on commutative Noetherian rings graded by an arbitrary abelian group, in particular the graded version of the theory of injective modules, including the structure theorem of minimal injective resolutions, and Matlis duality. When the grading group is torsion-free the reader can consult [BH, BS, GW1, GW2], and in the arbitrary case one can look at [Ka], which we follow.

Let G be an arbitrary abelian group, and let R be a commutative Noetherian ring which is G -graded. Let us start with the fundamental notion of prime ideals in the graded setting.

Definition A.1. Let R be a G -graded ring.

- (1) We say that a homogeneous ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq R$ is G -prime if $xy \in \mathfrak{p}$ implies $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $y \in \mathfrak{p}$ whenever x and y are homogeneous.
- (2) A G -maximal ideal is an ideal of R which is maximal among proper homogeneous ideals.
- (3) A G -local ring is a G -graded ring with a unique G -maximal ideal.

We denote by $\text{Spec}^G R$ the set of G -prime ideals of R , and by $\text{Max}^G R$ the set of G -maximal ideals.

When $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$ is a G -prime, then the G -graded ring R/\mathfrak{p} is a G -domain in the sense that the product of two non-zero homogeneous elements is non-zero. Similarly if $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ is G -maximal, then R/\mathfrak{m} is a G -field; any non-zero homogeneous element is invertible. It is easy to see that if G is torsion-free, then any G -prime is a prime. However, the following example shows this is not the case when G has torsion.

- Example A.2.**
- (1) Let $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $R = k[x]/(x^2 - 1)$ with $\deg x = 1$. Then R is a G -domain, but is not a domain.
 - (2) More generally, let G be a finitely generated abelian group, and let $R = kG$ be the group algebra. This can be seen as a G -graded ring by $\deg g = g$ for each $g \in G$. Then R is a G -field.

We have the following simple characterization of G -local rings.

Proposition A.3. Let R be a G -graded ring.

- (1) There exist a map $\text{Spec}^G R \rightarrow \text{Spec} R_0$ given by $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \mathfrak{p}_0$ and a map $\text{Spec} R_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec}^G R$ given by $\mathfrak{q} \mapsto \tilde{\mathfrak{q}} := \bigoplus_{g \in G} \{r \in R_g \mid rR_{-g} \subset \mathfrak{q}\}$, satisfying $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_0 = \mathfrak{q}$.
- (2) They induce mutually inverse bijections $\text{Max}^G R \rightarrow \text{Max} R_0$ and $\text{Max} R_0 \rightarrow \text{Max}^G R$.
- (3) R is G -local if and only if R_0 is local.

Proof. (1) If \mathfrak{p} is a G -prime of R then R/\mathfrak{p} is a G -domain, hence its degree 0 part R_0/\mathfrak{p}_0 is a domain. This shows $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \mathfrak{p}_0$ is well-defined. Suppose conversely that $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec} R_0$. Let $x, y \in R \setminus \tilde{\mathfrak{q}}$ be homogeneous elements. Then there are homogeneous $x', y' \in R$ such that $xx' \in R_0 \setminus \mathfrak{q}$ and $yy' \in R_0 \setminus \mathfrak{q}$. Then $xx'yy' \in R_0 \setminus \mathfrak{q}$, so that $xy \notin \tilde{\mathfrak{q}}$. This shows $\mathfrak{q} \mapsto \tilde{\mathfrak{q}}$ is well-defined. Finally it is immediate that $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_0 = \mathfrak{q}$.

(2) The similar reasons as above show that the given maps restrict to ones between (G) -maximal ideals. It remains to verify $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0 = \mathfrak{m}$ for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}^G R$. Clearly we have an inclusion $\mathfrak{m} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0$, so \mathfrak{m} being G -maximal gives the equality.

(3) This is a direct consequence of (1) and (2). \square

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^G R$. We denote by $R_{[\mathfrak{p}]}$ the localization of R with respect to the homogeneous elements not in \mathfrak{p} :

$$R_{[\mathfrak{p}]} = \{a/s \mid a \in R, s \notin \mathfrak{p}: \text{homogeneous}\}.$$

Then $R_{[\mathfrak{p}]}$ is naturally a G -graded ring. It is G -local, or it has a unique G -maximal ideal, namely $\mathfrak{p}R_{[\mathfrak{p}]}$, and has G -residue field $k[\mathfrak{p}] := R_{[\mathfrak{p}]}/\mathfrak{p}R_{[\mathfrak{p}]}$. The degree 0 part of these are local ring, denoted $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$, and its residue field, denoted $k(\mathfrak{p})$. For $M \in \text{Mod}^G R$ we define the G -graded $R_{[\mathfrak{p}]}$ -module $M_{[\mathfrak{p}]}$ by $M \otimes_R R_{[\mathfrak{p}]}$.

We next discuss *graded dimension*.

Definition A.4. Let R be a G -graded ring.

- (1) The G -height of a G -prime ideal \mathfrak{p} , denoted $\text{ht}^G \mathfrak{p}$, is the largest length integer n such that there is a chain $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n$ of G -prime ideals.
- (2) The G -dimension of R is defined by $\dim^G R = \sup\{\text{ht}^G \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^G R\}$.

The following example shows $\dim^G R$ is not necessarily equal to the (ungraded) $\dim R$.

Example A.5. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and R the group algebra of G , thus $R = k[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ with $\deg x_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$. Then R is a G -field so $\dim^G R = 0$, but $\dim R = n$.

We discuss the injective objects in $\text{Mod}^G R$. Since $\text{Mod}^G R$ is a Grothendieck abelian category, every $M \in \text{Mod}^G R$ has an injective hull, which we denote by $E_R^G(M)$. For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^G R$ define the subgroup

$$G_{R/\mathfrak{p}} = \{a \in G \mid R/\mathfrak{p} \simeq R/\mathfrak{p}(a) \in \text{Mod}^G R\}.$$

The following observation gives some alternative descriptions of $G_{R/\mathfrak{p}}$.

Lemma A.6. *The following are equivalent for $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^G R$ and $a \in G$.*

- (i) $R/\mathfrak{p} \simeq R/\mathfrak{p}(a)$ in $\text{Mod}^G R$.
- (ii) $E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{p}) \simeq E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{p})(a)$ in $\text{Mod}^G R$.
- (iii) $k[\mathfrak{p}] \simeq k[\mathfrak{p}](a)$ in $\text{Mod}^G R_{[\mathfrak{p}]}$.
- (iv) $E_{R_{[\mathfrak{p}]}}^G(k[\mathfrak{p}]) \simeq E_{R_{[\mathfrak{p}]}}^G(k[\mathfrak{p}]) (a)$ in $\text{Mod}^G R_{[\mathfrak{p}]}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) and (iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Take the injective hull. Note that $E_R^G(M(a)) = E_R^G(M)(a)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): For the inclusion $R/\mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{p})$, we have $R/\mathfrak{p} = \{x \in E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{p})_0 \mid \mathfrak{p}x = 0\}$, so any isomorphism $E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{p}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{p})(a)$ restricts to $R/\mathfrak{p} \xrightarrow{\simeq} R/\mathfrak{p}(a)$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (iii): Apply (ii) \Rightarrow (i) to $(R_{[\mathfrak{p}]}, \mathfrak{p}R_{[\mathfrak{p}]})$ in place of (R, \mathfrak{p}) .

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iv): It is easy to see (as in [Ma, 18.4(vi)]) that $E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{p})$ can be seen as an $R_{[\mathfrak{p}]}$ -module, and there is an isomorphism $E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{p}) \simeq E_{R_{[\mathfrak{p}]}}^G(R_{[\mathfrak{p}]}/\mathfrak{p}R_{[\mathfrak{p}]})$ as graded $R_{[\mathfrak{p}]}$ -modules. This immediately yields the assertion. \square

As in the ungraded case, the injective objects in $\text{Mod}^G R$ is classified by G -prime ideals. The following results are more explicit version of [Ka, 2.8].

Theorem A.7. *Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring which is G -graded.*

- (1) *For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^G R$ the injective module $E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{p})$ is indecomposable.*
- (2) *Every injective object in $\text{Mod}^G R$ is a direct sum of indecomposable injective objects.*
- (3) *There is a bijection between the pairs (\mathfrak{p}, a) of $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^G R$ and $a \in G/G_{R/\mathfrak{p}}$ and the isomorphism classes of indecomposable injective objects in $\text{Mod}^G R$ given by $(\mathfrak{p}, a) \mapsto E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{p})(a)$.*

We next consider the minimal injective resolution of a module $M \in \text{Mod}^G R$. By A.6, we can state the following structure theorem of minimal injective resolutions.

Theorem A.8 ([Ka, 2.10]). *Let $M \in \text{Mod}^G R$ and let $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow I^0 \longrightarrow I^1 \longrightarrow I^2 \longrightarrow \cdots$ be the minimal injective resolution of M . Then we have*

$$I^i = \bigoplus_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^G R \\ a \in G/G_{R/\mathfrak{p}}}} E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{p})(-a)^{\mu_i^G(\mathfrak{p}, a, M)} \text{ with } \mu_i^G(\mathfrak{p}, a, M) = \dim_{k(\mathfrak{p})} \text{Ext}_{R_{[\mathfrak{p}]}}^i(k[\mathfrak{p}], M_{[\mathfrak{p}]})_a.$$

Now we focus on Gorenstein rings, which are of central interest in this paper. We start with its graded version.

Definition A.9. We say that a Noetherian G -graded ring is G -Gorenstein if for each G -maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , the free module $R_{[\mathfrak{m}]}$ has finite injective dimension in $\text{Mod}^G R_{[\mathfrak{m}]}$.

If a G -graded ring R has finite self-injective dimension in $\text{Mod } R$, then it is G -Gorenstein by graded Baer criterion. We have the following structure of minimal injective resolution of R in $\text{Mod}^G R$.

Theorem A.10 ([Ka, 2.15]). *Let R be a G -Gorenstein ring and let $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow I^2 \rightarrow \dots$ be the minimal injective resolution of R . Then we have*

$$I^i = \bigoplus_{\text{ht}^G \mathfrak{p}=i} E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{p})(a_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

for some $a_{\mathfrak{p}} \in G/G_{R/\mathfrak{p}}$.

It follows from the above resolution that for a G -local G -Gorenstein ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) with $\dim^G R = d$, we have $\text{Ext}_R^d(R/\mathfrak{m}, R) \simeq R/\mathfrak{m}(a_{\mathfrak{m}})$ in $\text{Mod}^G R$. We call this value $a_{\mathfrak{m}} \in G/G_{R/\mathfrak{m}}$ (or its representative in G) the *Gorenstein parameter* of R , which uniquely exists in $G/G_{R/\mathfrak{m}}$. This local notion naturally leads to the following global version.

Definition A.11. Let R be a G -graded G -Gorenstein ring. We say R has *Gorenstein parameter* $a \in G$ if its image in $G/G_{R/\mathfrak{m}}$ is the Gorenstein parameter of the G -local ring $R_{[\mathfrak{m}]}$ for each G -maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} .

Define the *graded dual* functor $D: \text{Mod}^G R \rightarrow \text{Mod}^G R$ by $M = \bigoplus_{g \in G} M_g \mapsto \bigoplus_{g \in G} \text{Hom}_k(M_{-g}, k)$. Clearly D induces a duality on the subcategory of $\text{Mod}^G R$ consisting of graded modules M such that each M_g is finite dimensional. It is also easy to verify the ‘‘adjunction’’ isomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(X, DY) \simeq D(X \otimes_R Y)$ of graded R -modules for each $X, Y \in \text{Mod}^G R$. Let us note the following explicit structure of the injective hull of the simples.

Proposition A.12. *Assume that R_0 is a finite dimensional k -algebra. Then we have an isomorphism $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}^G R} E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{m}) \simeq DR$.*

Proof. We have an isomorphism $D(R/\mathfrak{m}) \simeq R/\mathfrak{m}$ in $\text{Mod}^G R$ for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}^G R$. Indeed, both are simple objects in $\text{Mod}^G R$ corresponding to the same G -maximal ideal, so they should be isomorphic up to a degree shift. Since R/\mathfrak{m} is a G -field, its support $\{g \in G \mid (R/\mathfrak{m})_g \neq 0\}$ is a subgroup of G , hence coincides with that of $D(R/\mathfrak{m})$. We see R/\mathfrak{m} and $D(R/\mathfrak{m})$ must be isomorphic by A.13 below.

Next we consider the projection $R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}$ for each $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}^G R$. Dualizing and composing with the isomorphism claimed above, we obtain a map $R/\mathfrak{m} \xrightarrow{\simeq} D(R/\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow DR$, which defines a morphism

$$\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}^G R} R/\mathfrak{m} \xrightarrow{f} DR.$$

We prove f is a monomorphism and is essential. Since DR is injective by adjunction, we shall conclude $DR \simeq E_R^G(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}^G R} R/\mathfrak{m}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}^G R} E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{m})$. Note that $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}^G R} R/\mathfrak{m}$ is a direct sum of mutually non-isomorphic simple objects, so its submodule, in particular $\text{Ker } f$, is of the form $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in I} R/\mathfrak{m}$ for a subset $I \subset \text{Max}^G R$. Since each component $R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow DR$ of f is non-zero, we see $\text{Ker } f$ has to be 0. It remains to prove f is an essential extension. Let $X \subset DR$ be a graded submodule such that $X \cap (\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}^G R} R/\mathfrak{m}) = 0$. This means the composite $X \subset DR \rightarrow \text{Coker } f$ is injective. Dualizing, we have that the map $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}^G R} \mathfrak{m} \subset R \rightarrow DX$ is surjective. We now conclude by Nakayama’s lemma that $DX = 0$. \square

Lemma A.13. *Let \mathfrak{m} be a G -maximal ideal. Then for all $g \in G$ with $(R/\mathfrak{m})_g \neq 0$ we have $R/\mathfrak{m} \simeq R/\mathfrak{m}(g)$.*

Proof. Pick $x \in R_g \setminus \mathfrak{m}_g$. Then multiplication by x gives a non-zero map $R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}(g)$ between simple objects, hence an isomorphism. \square

To conclude this subsection, let us note some observations which is used in this article. The first one is the following special case of Matlis duality. The G -support of a graded module M is $\text{Supp}^G M = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^G R \mid M_{[\mathfrak{p}]} \neq 0\}$. We put

$$\mathfrak{fl}_d^G R = \{M \in \text{Mod}^G R \mid \text{any } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}^G M \text{ satisfies } \text{ht}^G \mathfrak{p} = d\}.$$

Proposition A.14. *Let R be a G -graded ring with $\dim^G R = d$, and let $E = \bigoplus_{\text{ht}^G \mathfrak{m}=d} E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{m})$. Then $\text{Hom}_R(-, E)$ give a duality*

$$\mathfrak{fl}_d^G R \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{fl}_d^G R.$$

Proof. Note that the evaluation map gives a natural isomorphism $1 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(-, E), E)$ on $\text{fl}_d^G R$. Indeed, both are exact functors and yield isomorphisms on the simples. It follows by induction that these are naturally isomorphic on $\text{fl}_d^G R$. \square

Next we relate the above Matlis dual with the graded dual defined above. If R_0 is a finite dimensional algebra over a field k , then each R_g is finite dimensional. It follows that each object of $\text{fl}_d^G R$ is componentwise finite dimensional so that the graded dual D is a duality.

Corollary A.15. *Let R be a G -graded ring with $\dim^G R = d$, and suppose that R_0 is a finite dimensional k -algebra. Then there is a natural isomorphism on $\text{fl}_d^G R$:*

$$\text{Hom}_R(-, \bigoplus_{\text{ht}^G \mathfrak{m}=d} E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{m})) \simeq D.$$

Proof. We know that $D = \text{Hom}_R(-, DR) = \text{Hom}_R(-, \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}^G R} E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ by adjunction and A.12. Now, since every object in $\text{fl}_d^G R$ is supported at G -maximal ideals of G -height d , we have $\text{Hom}_R(X, E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{m})) = 0$ for all $X \in \text{fl}_d^G R$ and $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}^G R$ with $\text{ht}^G \mathfrak{m} < d$. It follows that we have $\text{Hom}_R(-, \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}^G R} E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{m})) = \text{Hom}_R(-, \bigoplus_{\text{ht}^G \mathfrak{m}=d} E_R^G(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ on $\text{fl}_d^G R$. \square

APPENDIX B. COVERING FUNCTORS AND TILTING SUBCATEGORIES

A functor $\pi: \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ between categories is called a *covering functor* if the canonical maps

$$\coprod_{\pi Y' = \pi Y} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y') \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\pi X, \pi Y), \quad \coprod_{\pi X' = \pi X} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(X', Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\pi X, \pi Y)$$

are isomorphisms for all $X, Y \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. When the categories are additive (resp. triangulated) we require the functor to be additive (resp. triangulated). Let us give a useful result which allows us to lift tilting subcategories to its covering.

Theorem B.1. *Let $\pi: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be a covering functor between idempotent-complete triangulated categories such that the image of π generates \mathcal{U} as a thick subcategory, and $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{U}$ a subcategory contained in the image of π .*

- (1) $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{U}$ is *silting* if and only if $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is *silting*.
- (2) $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{U}$ is *tilting* if and only if $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is *tilting*.

Proof. Since π is a triangulated covering functor and $\pi\pi^{-1}\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}$, it is clear that $\mathcal{T}(A, A'[i]) = 0$ for all $A, A' \in \pi^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ if and only if $\mathcal{U}(B, B'[i]) = 0$ for all $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$. It follows that $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{U}$ is *presilting* (resp. *pretilting*) if and only if $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is *presilting* (resp. *pretilting*). It remains to show that a *presilting* subcategory \mathcal{B} generates \mathcal{U} if and only if $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ generates \mathcal{T} . The assertion follows from B.2 below. \square

For subcategories \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} of a triangulated category \mathcal{C} we denote the category of extensions $\mathcal{V} * \mathcal{W} = \{C \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text{there is a triangle } V \rightarrow C \rightarrow W \rightarrow V[1] \text{ in } \mathcal{C}\}$. With this notation, for a *presilting* subcategory $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{C}$ we have $\text{thick } \mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{l \geq 0} \text{add}(\mathcal{V}[-l] * \cdots * \mathcal{V}[l])$ [AI, 2.15].

Lemma B.2. *Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{U}$ be a idempotent-complete *presilting* subcategory. Then \mathcal{B} generates \mathcal{U} if and only if $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ generates \mathcal{T} .*

Proof. It suffices to prove “only if” part. Pick an object $A \in \mathcal{T}$. Up to adding a direct summand and suitably shifting, we may assume $\pi A \in \mathcal{B} * \cdots * \mathcal{B}[l] * \mathcal{B}[l+1]$ for some $l \geq 0$. We claim by induction that one can take the triangles $A_{i+1} \rightarrow \widetilde{B}_i \xrightarrow{a_i} A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}[1]$ in \mathcal{T} for $0 \leq i \leq l$ with $A_0 = A$, satisfying the following:

- πa_i is a right \mathcal{B} -approximation in \mathcal{U} ,
- $\pi A_{i+1} \in \mathcal{B} * \cdots * \mathcal{B}[l-i]$.

Suppose that we have constructed such triangles up to $i-1$ ($i \geq 0$). Since π is a covering functor there is a morphism $a_i: \widetilde{B}_i \rightarrow A_i$ in \mathcal{T} whose image under π is a right \mathcal{B} -approximation. Indeed, for a right \mathcal{B} -approximation $b_i^0: B_i^0 \rightarrow \pi A_i$, there exist finitely many $\widetilde{B}_i^{-1}, \dots, \widetilde{B}_i^{-n} \in \pi^{-1}B_i^0$ and morphisms $a_i^j: \widetilde{B}_i^{-j} \rightarrow A_i$ such that the induced map $B_i^0 \xrightarrow{\text{diag}} \bigoplus_j B_i^0 \xrightarrow{\pi a_i^j} \pi A_i$ coincides with b_i^0 . Then the second map is a right \mathcal{B} -approximation, thus we can take $\widetilde{B}_i := \bigoplus_j \widetilde{B}_i^{-j} \rightarrow A_i$ as a_i . Now we extend this map to a triangle

$A_{i+1} \rightarrow \widetilde{B}_i \xrightarrow{a_i} A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}[1]$ in \mathcal{T} . By induction hypothesis we know that $\pi A_i \in \mathcal{B} * \cdots * \mathcal{B}[l-i+1]$, and since πa_i is a right \mathcal{B} -approximation, we deduce $\pi A_{i+1} \in \mathcal{B} * \cdots * \mathcal{B}[l-i]$, as desired.

Now in the last triangle (for $i = l$) we have $\pi A_{l+1} \in \mathcal{B}$, thus $A_{l+1} \in \pi^{-1}\mathcal{B}$. It follows from the triangles we constructed that A lies in the thick subcategory generated by $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{B}$. \square

Even if $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{U}$ is not presilting, we can argue as follows for the “algebraic” case. For an additive category \mathcal{A} with arbitrary (set-indexed) coproducts, we denote by \mathcal{A}^c the full subcategory of compact objects.

Remark B.3. Suppose that there exist a triangle functor $\widehat{\pi}: \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{U}}$ (which is not necessarily a covering functor) between compactly generated triangulated categories with $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^c = \mathcal{T}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}^c = \mathcal{U}$, which restricts to $\pi: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. Then a subcategory $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{U}$ contained in the image of π generates \mathcal{U} if and only if $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ generates \mathcal{T} .

Proof. It is clear that $\mathcal{B} = \pi\pi^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ generates \mathcal{U} if $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ generates \mathcal{T} . We prove the converse. By our “algebraic” assumption, we only have to show $\mathcal{T}(A, X) = 0$ for all $A \in \pi^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ implies $X = 0$. In this case we have $\mathcal{U}(\pi A, \pi X) = \bigoplus_{A' \in \pi^{-1}\pi A} \mathcal{T}(A', X) = 0$ for all $A \in \pi^{-1}\mathcal{B}$, hence $\pi X = 0$ since \mathcal{B} generates \mathcal{U} . It follows that $\mathcal{T}(X, X) \subset \mathcal{U}(\pi X, \pi X) = 0$, thus $X = 0$. \square

REFERENCES

- [AI] T. Aihara and O. Iyama, Silting mutation in triangulated categories, *J. London Math. Soc.* 85 (2012) no.3, 633-668.
- [Am1] C. Amiot, Cluster categories for algebras of global dimension 2 and quivers with potential, *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble* 59, no.6 (2009) 2525-2590.
- [Am2] C. Amiot, Preprojective algebras, singularity categories and orthogonal decompositions, in: *Algebras, quivers and representations*, Abel Symp., 8, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [AIR] C. Amiot, O. Iyama, and I. Reiten, Stable categories of Cohen-Macaulay modules and cluster categories, *Amer. J. Math.* 137 (2015) no.3, 813-857.
- [AO] C. Amiot and S. Oppermann, Cluster equivalence and graded derived equivalence, *Doc. Math.* 19 (2014), 1155-1206.
- [ART] C. Amiot, I. Reiten, G. Todorov, The ubiquity of generalized cluster categories, *Adv. Math.* 226 (2011), no. 4, 3813-3849.
- [ASS] I. Assem, D. Simson and A. Skowroński, *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras*, vol.1, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 65, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [ACFGS] J. August, M.-W. Cheung, E. Faber, S. Gratz, and S. Schroll, Grassmannian categories of infinite rank, arXiv:2007.14224.
- [Au1] M. Auslander, On the purity of the branch locus, *Amer. J. Math.* 84 (1962), 116-125.
- [Au2] M. Auslander, Functors and morphisms determined by objects, in: *Representation Theory of Algebras*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics 37, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1978, 1-244.
- [Au3] M. Auslander, Rational singularities and almost split sequences, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 293 (2) (1986), 511-531.
- [AR] M. Auslander and I. Reiten, Almost split sequences for \mathbb{Z} -graded rings, In: *Singularities, Representation of Algebras, and Vector Bundles*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol 1273. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- [ARS] M. Auslander, I. Reiten and S. O. Smalø, *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 36, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [BSW] R. Bocklandt, T. Schedler, and M. Wemyss, Superpotentials and higher order derivations, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 214 (2010), no. 9, 1501-1522.
- [BD] C. Brav and T. Dyckerhoff, Relative Calabi-Yau structures, *Compos. Math.* 155 (2019) 372-412.
- [BS] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology. An algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 136. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [BH] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [BMRRT] A. B. Buan, R. Marsh, M. Reineke, I. Reiten, and G. Todorov, Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics, *Adv. Math.* 204 (2006) 572-618.
- [Bu] R. O. Buchweitz, *Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and Tate cohomology*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 262. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2021, xii+175 pp.
- [BIY] R. O. Buchweitz, O. Iyama, K. Yamaura, Tilting theory for Gorenstein rings in dimension one, *Forum Math. Sigma* 8 (2020), Paper No. e36, 37 pp.
- [CR] C. Curtis, I. Reiner, *Methods of representation theory. Vol. I. With applications to finite groups and orders*, Pure and Applied Mathematics. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1981.
- [DL1] L. Demonet, X. Luo, Ice quivers with potential associated with triangulations and Cohen-Macaulay modules over orders, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 368 (2016), no. 6, 4257-4293.
- [DL2] L. Demonet, X. Luo, Ice quivers with potential arising from once-punctured polygons and Cohen-Macaulay modules, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 52 (2016), no. 2, 141-205.
- [D] V. Drinfeld, DG quotients of DG categories, *J. Algebra* 272, (2004) 643-691.
- [DG] Y. A. Drozd, G.-M. Greuel, Cohen-Macaulay module type, *Compositio Math.* 89 (1993), no. 3, 315-338.

- [E] D. Eisenbud, Homological algebra on a complete intersection, with an application to group representations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 260 (1980), no. 1, 35–64.
- [FU] M. Futaki, K. Ueda, Homological mirror symmetry for Brieskorn-Pham singularities, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 17 (2011), no. 2, 435–452.
- [GL] W. Geigle and H. Lenzing, A class of weighted projective curves arising in representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras, *Singularities, representation of algebras, and vector bundles (Lambrecht, 1985)*, 265–297, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1273, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [GM] S. I. Gelfand and Y. I. Manin, *Methods of homological algebra*, Second edition. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. xx+372 pp.
- [Gi] V. Ginzburg, Calabi-Yau algebras, arXiv:0612139.
- [GW1] S. Goto, K. Watanabe, On graded rings. I, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 30 (1978), no. 2, 179–213.
- [GW2] S. Goto, K. Watanabe, On graded rings. II. (\mathbb{Z}^n -graded rings), *Tokyo J. Math.* 1 (1978), no. 2, 237–261.
- [Gu] L. Guo, Cluster tilting objects in generalized higher cluster categories, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 215 (2011), no. 9, 2055–2071.
- [Han1] N. Hanihara, Auslander correspondence for triangulated categories, *Algebra Number Theory* 14 (2020), no. 8, 2037–2058.
- [Han2] N. Hanihara, Yoneda algebras and their singularity categories, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* 124 (2022), no. 6, 854–898.
- [Han3] N. Hanihara, Cluster categories of formal DG algebras and singularity categories, *Forum of Mathematics, Sigma* (2022), Vol.10:e35 1–50.
- [Han4] N. Hanihara, Morita theorem for hereditary Calabi-Yau categories, *Adv. Math.* 395 (2022) 108092.
- [HO] Y. Hirano, G. Ouchi, Derived factorization categories of non-Thom-Sebastiani-type sums of potentials, to appear in *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, arXiv:1809.09940
- [Hap] D. Happel, *Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite dimensional algebras*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 119, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [Har] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Lecture notes of a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck, given at Harvard 1963/64. With an appendix by P. Deligne. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, No. 20 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1966, vii+423 pp.
- [HI] M. Herschend, O. Iyama, in preparation.
- [HIMO] M. Herschend, O. Iyama, H. Minamoto, and S. Oppermann, Representation theory of Geigle-Lenzing complete intersections, to appear in *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, arXiv:1409.0668.
- [HIO] M. Herschend, O. Iyama, and S. Oppermann, n -representation infinite algebras, *Adv. Math.* 252 (2014) 292–342.
- [IQ] A. Ikeda, Y. Qiu q -Stability conditions on Calabi-Yau- \mathbb{X} categories, arXiv:1807.00469
- [Iy1] O. Iyama, Higher-dimensional Auslander-Reiten theory on maximal orthogonal subcategories, *Adv. Math.* 210 (2007) 22–50.
- [Iy2] O. Iyama, Auslander correspondence, *Adv. Math.* 210 (2007) 51–82.
- [Iy3] O. Iyama, Cluster tilting for higher Auslander algebras, *Adv. Math.* 226 (2011) 1–61.
- [Iy4] O. Iyama, Tilting Cohen-Macaulay representations, *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Rio de Janeiro 2018. Vol. II. Invited lectures*, 125–162, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018.
- [IKU] O. Iyama, Y. Kimura, K. Ueyama, in preparation.
- [IL] O. Iyama, B. Lerner, Tilting bundles on orders on P^d , *Israel J. Math.* 211 (2016), no. 1, 147–169.
- [IO] O. Iyama and S. Oppermann, Stable categories of higher preprojective algebras, *Adv. Math.* 244 (2013), 23–68.
- [IT] O. Iyama and R. Takahashi, Tilting and cluster tilting for quotient singularities, *Math. Ann.* 356 (2013), 1065–1105.
- [IW] O. Iyama, M. Wemyss, Maximal modifications and Auslander-Reiten duality for non-isolated singularities, *Invent. Math.* 197 (2014), no. 3, 521–586.
- [JKS] B. T. Jensen, A. D. King, and X. Su, A categorification of Grassmannian cluster algebras, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* 113 (2016), no. 2, 185–212.
- [KST1] H. Kajiura, K. Saito, A. Takahashi, Matrix factorization and representations of quivers. II. Type ADE case, *Adv. Math.* 211 (2007), no. 1, 327–362.
- [KST2] H. Kajiura, K. Saito, A. Takahashi, Triangulated categories of matrix factorizations for regular systems of weights with $\epsilon = -1$, *Adv. Math.* 220 (2009), no. 5, 1602–1654.
- [KY] M. Kalck, D. Yang, Relative singularity categories II: DG models, arXiv:1803.08192
- [Ka] Y. Kamoi, Noetherian rings graded by an abelian group, *Tokyo J. Math.* 18 (1995), no. 1, 31–48.
- [Ke1] B. Keller, On the cyclic homology of exact categories, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 136 (1999), 1–56.
- [Ke2] B. Keller, On triangulated orbit categories, *Doc. Math.* 10 (2005), 551–581.
- [Ke3] B. Keller, On differential graded categories, *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, vol. 2, Eur. Math. Soc., 2006, 151–190.
- [Ke4] B. Keller, Deformed Calabi-Yau completions, with an appendix by M. Van den Bergh, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 654 (2011) 125–180.
- [KMV] B. Keller, D. Murfet, and M. Van den Bergh, On two examples of Iyama and Yoshino, *Compos. Math.* 147 (2011) 591–612.
- [KR] B. Keller and I. Reiten, Acyclic Calabi-Yau categories, with an appendix by M. Van den Bergh, *Compos. Math.* 144 (2008) 1332–1348.
- [KW] B. Keller and Y. Wang, An introduction to relative Calabi-Yau structures, arXiv:2111.10771.
- [Ki1] Y. Kimura, Tilting theory of preprojective algebras and c -sortable elements, *J. Algebra* 503 (2018), 186–221.
- [Ki2] Y. Kimura, Tilting and cluster tilting for preprojective algebras and Coxeter groups, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2019, no. 18, 5597–5634.
- [KS] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, Notes on A-infinity algebras, A-infinity categories and non-commutative geometry I, arXiv:0606241.

- [KLM] D. Kussin, H. Lenzing, H. Meltzer, Triangle singularities, ADE-chains, and weighted projective lines, *Adv. Math.* 237 (2013), 194–251.
- [LP] H. Lenzing, J. A. de la Pena, Extended canonical algebras and Fuchsian singularities, *Math. Z.* 268 (2011), no. 1-2, 143–167.
- [LW] G. J. Leuschke and R. Wiegand, Cohen-Macaulay representations, vol. 181 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (2012).
- [LZ] M. Lu, B. Zhu, Singularity categories of Gorenstein monomial algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 225 (2021), no. 8, Paper No. 106651, 39 pp.
- [Ma] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [MY] H. Minamoto, K. Yamaura, On finitely graded Iwanaga-Gorenstein algebras and the stable categories of their (graded) Cohen-Macaulay modules, *Adv. Math.* 373 (2020), 107228, 57 pp.
- [MU] I. Mori, K. Ueyama, Stable categories of graded maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over noncommutative quotient singularities, *Adv. Math.* 297 (2016), 54–92.
- [N] Y. Nakajima, On 2-representation infinite algebras arising from dimer models, to appear in *Q. J. Math.*, arXiv:1806.05331
- [SV] S. P. Smith, M. Van den Bergh, Noncommutative quadric surfaces, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 7 (2013), no. 3, 817–856.
- [Ta] G. Tabuada, Invariants additifs de DG-catégories, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2005, no. 53, 3309–3339. Corrections: Corrections à Invariants Additifs de DG-catégories, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2007, rnm149.
- [TV] de Thanhoffer de Völcsey, M. Van den Bergh, Explicit models for some stable categories of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules, *Math. Res. Lett.* 23 (2016), no. 5, 1507–1526.
- [To] B. Toën, The homotopy theory of dg-categories and derived Morita theory, *Invent. Math.* 167 (2007), no. 3, 615–667.
- [U1] K. Ueda, Triangulated categories of Gorenstein cyclic quotient singularities, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 136 (2008) no. 8, 2745–2747.
- [U2] K. Ueda, On graded stable derived categories of isolated Gorenstein quotient singularities, *J. Algebra* 352 (2012), 382–391.
- [Ya] K. Yamaura, Realizing stable categories as derived categories, *Adv. Math.* 248 (2013) 784–819.
- [Yo] Y. Yoshino, *Cohen-Macaulay modules over Cohen-Macaulay rings*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 146, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

N. HANIHARA: KAVLI INSTITUTE FOR THE PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS OF THE UNIVERSE (WPI), THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 5-1-5 KASHIWANOHA, KASHIWA, CHIBA, 277-8583, JAPAN
Email address: norihiro.hanihara@ipmu.jp

O. IYAMA: GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 3-8-1 KOMABA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO, 153-8914, JAPAN
Email address: iyama@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp