

# NON-LOCAL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR BROWNIAN MOTIONS ON THE HALF LINE

FAUSTO COLANTONI; MIRKO D'OVIDIO

ABSTRACT. We study boundary value problems involving non-local operators for the dynamic boundary conditions. Our analysis includes a detailed description of such operators together with their relations with random times and random functionals. We provide some new characterizations for the boundary behaviour of the Brownian motion based on the interplay between non-local operators and boundary value problems.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider dynamic boundary conditions involving non-local operators and provide the probabilistic representation of the solutions for the associated Cauchy problems on the real line (we provide some extension for the  $d$ -dimensional case). Our analysis is based on the non-local dynamic boundary condition

$$\eta \mathfrak{D}_t^\Psi u(t, 0) = -\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi u(t, 0), \quad t > 0$$

for the heat equation on the positive real line. The non-local operators appearing above are given by the Caputo-Dzherbashian (type) derivative  $\mathfrak{D}_t^\Psi$  and the Marchaud (type) derivative  $\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi$  where  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  are Bernstein symbols characterizing the operators. The probabilistic representation of the solution is written in terms of a Brownian motion reflected at zero, we show that the spatial condition controls an additive part acting on the reflecting Brownian motion whereas the time condition introduces a time change acting on the local time (at zero) of the reflecting Brownian motion. The additive part pushes away from zero the process and the time change forces the process to stop for a random amount of time. Due to the right-continuity, the stop occurs immediately after the jump. Furthermore, the process stops for an independent amount of time and it jumps for an independent distance from the origin.

In Section 2 we introduce some basic facts and notations about subordinators and inverses. In Section 3 we discuss the relations between the operators we deal with and the associated processes. In particular, the left and right Marchaud (type) derivatives  $\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\Phi$  can be respectively regarded as the generator and its adjoint for a subordinator with symbol  $\Phi$ . From this point of view, our analysis completely agrees with the boundary conditions introduced by Feller in [12]. Then, we discuss the heat equation with non-local boundary conditions in Section 4. We provide the main result (Theorem 4.1) which generalizes the work [10] for the time operator and the work [12] for the space operator. We also provide a discussion on the probabilistic representation of the solution by extending the results given in [9; 10] and the probabilistic representation given by Itô and McKean in [16; 15]. In Section 5 we discuss on the applications and the extension of our results. In particular, the non-local dynamic boundary condition gives new meaning to the reflection, we provide some characterization.

---

*Date:* September 29, 2022.

*Key words and phrases.* Non-local operators, subordinators, fractional boundary condition, Brownian motion.

## 2. SUBORDINATORS

We recall some basic facts just to introduce some notation. Let  $H^\Phi = \{H_t^\Phi, t \geq 0\}$  be a subordinator (see [3] for details). Then,  $H^\Phi$  can be characterized by the Laplace exponent  $\Phi$ , that is,

$$\mathbf{E}_0[\exp(-\lambda H_t^\Phi)] = \exp(-t\Phi(\lambda)), \quad \lambda \geq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

We denote by  $\mathbf{E}_x$  the expected value with respect to  $\mathbf{P}_x$  where  $x$  is the starting point. Since  $\Phi(\lambda)$  is the Laplace exponent of a subordinator, it is uniquely characterized by the pair of non-negative real numbers  $(\kappa, d)$  and by the Lévy measure  $\Pi^\Phi$  on  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $\int_0^\infty (1 \wedge z)\Pi^\Phi(dz) < \infty$ . For the symbol  $\Phi$ , the following Lévy-Khintchine representation holds

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \kappa + d\lambda + \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\lambda z})\Pi^\Phi(dz), \quad \lambda > 0 \quad (2.2)$$

where the killing rate  $\kappa$  and the drift coefficient  $d$  are given by

$$\kappa = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \Phi(\lambda), \quad d = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(\lambda)}{\lambda}.$$

The symbol  $\Phi$  is a Bernstein function (non-negative, non-decreasing and continuous, see for example [29]) uniquely associated with  $H^\Phi$ . For the reader's convenience we also recall that

$$\frac{\Phi(\lambda)}{\lambda} = d + \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda z} \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(z) dz, \quad \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(z) = k + \Pi^\Phi((z, \infty)) \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\bar{\Pi}^\Phi$  is the so called *tail of the Lévy measure*  $\Pi^\Phi$ . In this paper we only consider symbols for which

$$\kappa = 0, \quad d = 0.$$

We also define the process  $L^\Phi = \{L_t^\Phi, t \geq 0\}$ , with  $L_0^\Phi = 0$ , as the inverse of  $H^\Phi$ , that is

$$L_t^\Phi = \inf\{s > 0 : H_s^\Phi > t\}, \quad t > 0.$$

We focus only on strictly increasing subordinators with infinite measures  $\Pi^\Phi(0, \infty) = \infty$  (see [17, Theorem 21.3]). Thus, the inverse process  $L^\Phi$  turns out to be a continuous process. In particular,  $H^\Phi$  may have jumps and the inverse has non decreasing paths. Notice that, an inverse process can be regarded as an exit time for  $H^\Phi$ . By definition, we also have

$$\mathbf{P}_0(H_t^\Phi < s) = \mathbf{P}_0(L_s^\Phi > t), \quad s, t > 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Let us introduce  $h, l$  for which

$$\mathbf{P}_0(H_t^\Phi \in I) = \int_I h(t, x) dx, \quad \mathbf{P}_0(L_t^\Phi \in I) = \int_I l(t, x) dx,$$

for a given set  $I \subset (0, \infty)$ . From (2.1), we have that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x} h(t, x) dx = e^{-t\Phi(\lambda)}, \quad \lambda > 0$$

and from [23, formula (3.13)], we get

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} l(t, x) dt = \frac{\Phi(\lambda)}{\lambda} e^{-x\Phi(\lambda)}, \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (2.5)$$

By using the initial value theorem for the Laplace transform, we observe that

$$h(t, 0) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda e^{-t\Phi(\lambda)}$$

is not always zero, but depends on  $\Phi(\lambda)$  and the time variable  $t$  as discussed below. See [17] for the *time dependent property*. The fact that  $h(t, x) = 0$  as  $x \leq 0$  seems to be quite relevant in our discussion. Indeed, this condition has non trivial consequences. The gamma subordinator is an example.

Further on we will also introduce the processes  $H^\Psi = \{H_t^\Psi, t \geq 0\}$  and  $L^\Psi = \{L_t^\Psi, t \geq 0\}$  with symbol

$$\Psi(\lambda) := \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\lambda z}) \Pi^\Psi(dz), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

### 3. OPERATORS

For a continuous function  $u$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  extended with zero on the negative part of the real line, that is  $u(x) = 0$  if  $x \leq 0$ , we define the right Marchaud (type) derivative

$$\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\Phi u(x) = \int_0^\infty (u(x) - u(x - y)) \Pi^\Phi(dy) \quad (3.1)$$

and the left Marchaud (type) derivative

$$\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi u(x) = \int_0^\infty (u(x) - u(x + y)) \Pi^\Phi(dy). \quad (3.2)$$

If  $\Pi^\Phi$  is the Lévy measure associated to a stable subordinator, formulas (3.1) and (3.2) respectively coincide with the right and the left Marchaud derivatives, usually denoted by  $\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\alpha$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\alpha$  respectively. The reader can consult the famous book [28, formula (5.57) and (5.58)] or the paper [13, section 6.1] for a nice recent discussion. The operators  $\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\Phi$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi$  can be defined on different spaces depending on  $\Pi^\Phi$ . For a general definition, given the symbol  $\Phi$ , we consider  $u$  bounded and locally Lipschitz continuous, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi u(x)| &\leq \int_0^1 |u(x) - u(x + y)| \Pi^\Phi(dy) + \int_1^\infty |u(x) - u(x + y)| \Pi^\Phi(dy) \\ &\leq K \int_0^1 y \Pi^\Phi(dy) + 2\|u\|_\infty \int_1^\infty \Pi^\Phi(dy) \\ &\leq (K + 2\|u\|_\infty) \int_0^\infty (1 \wedge y) \Pi^\Phi(dy) < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Indeed, for the integral in  $(0, 1)$  we have used the Lipschitz property for a positive constant  $K > 0$  whereas for the integral in  $(1, \infty)$  we have used the boundedness of  $u$ . Since  $\int (1 \wedge z) \Pi^\Phi(dz) < \infty$ , then the last inequality holds. The same holds for  $\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\Phi$ . Obviously, we may take some advantage from the explicit representation of  $\Pi^\Phi$ . For example, if  $\Phi(\lambda) = \lambda^\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\Pi^\alpha(dy) = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{dy}{y^{\alpha+1}}$ . The operators (3.1) and (3.2) are therefore defined for locally  $\gamma$ -Hölder continuous functions with  $\gamma > \alpha$ . The case of gamma subordinator, with  $\Pi^\Phi(dy) = a \frac{e^{-by}}{y} dy$ , may be a bit demanding. This is due to the time dependent continuity of  $h(t, x)$  at  $x = 0$ . In [8] we have proved that (3.1) is defined for  $\gamma$ -Hölder continuous functions with  $\gamma > 0$ .

Let us introduce the spaces

$$W^{1,p}(0, \infty) = \{u \in L^p(0, \infty) : u' \in L^p(0, \infty)\}$$

and

$$W_0^{1,p}(0, \infty) = \{u \in W^{1,p}(0, \infty) : u(0) = 0\}$$

with  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . Observe that  $AC(I)$  coincides with  $W^{1,1}(I)$  only if  $I \subset (0, \infty)$  is bounded. We recall that  $AC$  denotes the set of absolutely continuous functions. For the interval  $I$ ,  $W^{1,\infty}(I)$  coincides with the space of Lipschitz continuous functions. If  $u \in W^{1,\infty}(0, \infty)$ , then the Marchaud (type) derivatives (3.1) and (3.2) are well defined almost everywhere.

Indeed, with the first inequality of (3.3) at hand, we use the fact that  $u$  is essentially bounded and locally Lipschitz almost everywhere (its derivative is bounded).

The operator  $\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\Phi$  can be obtained by the Phillips' representation ([25]) in the set of functions extended with zero on the negative part of the real line. Indeed, for the shift semigroup  $\mathcal{S}_y u(x) = u(x - y)$  we have the representation

$$\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\Phi u(x) = \int_0^\infty (u(x) - \mathcal{S}_y u(x)) \Pi^\Phi(dy)$$

for which

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x} \mathbf{D}_{x+}^\Phi u(x) dx = \Phi(\lambda) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x} u(x) dx \quad (3.4)$$

where we used (2.2) with  $\kappa = 0$  and  $d = 0$ . We will investigate in a deep detail the role of the Marchaud (type) derivatives  $\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\Phi u$ ,  $\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi u$  in the governing equations of  $H$ . In order to define the Riemann-Liouville (type) derivatives on the positive real line, we first consider a closed interval  $\bar{I} \subset (0, \infty)$  and  $u \in AC(\bar{I})$ . Then we extend the result on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  as in [18, page 79]. We now introduce the Riemann-Liouville (type) derivatives

$$\mathcal{D}_{(x,\infty)}^\Phi u(x) := -\frac{d}{dx} \int_x^\infty u(y) \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(y-x) dy \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_{(0,x)}^\Phi u(x) := \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^x u(y) \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(x-y) dy \quad (3.6)$$

respectively defined for function  $u$  such that

$$u(y) \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(y-x) \in L^1(x, \infty), \quad \text{and} \quad u(y) \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(x-y) \in L^1(0, x) \quad \forall x.$$

Let us focus on (3.5). We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{(x,\infty)}^\Phi u(x) &= -\frac{d}{dx} \int_x^\infty u(y) \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(y-x) dy \\ &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{d}{dx} \int_x^b u(y) \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(y-x) dy \\ &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{d}{dx} \int_0^{b-x} u(z+x) \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(z) dz \\ &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} u(b) \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(b-x) - \int_0^{b-x} u'(z+x) \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(z) dz \\ &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} u(b) \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(b-x) - \int_x^\infty u'(y) \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(y-x) dy \end{aligned}$$

where, from the final value theorem for the Laplace transform in (2.3), we have

$$0 = \Phi(0) = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(b).$$

Assuming that the growth of  $u$  is asymptotically bounded, then

$$\mathcal{D}_{(x,\infty)}^\Phi u(x) = -\int_x^\infty u'(y) \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(y-x) dy. \quad (3.7)$$

The right-hand side in (3.7) can be regarded as a Caputo-Dzherbashian (type) derivative. A further relevant fact for (3.5) is the equivalence with (3.2). If (3.7) holds, then

$$\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi u(x) = \mathcal{D}_{(x,\infty)}^\Phi u(x). \quad (3.8)$$

Indeed, using first (3.7) and then the second formula in (2.3), we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{(x,\infty)}^\Phi u(x) = -\int_x^\infty u'(y) \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(y-x) dy$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dy} u(x+y) \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(y) dy \\
&= - \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dy} u(x+y) \Pi^\Phi((y, \infty)) dy \\
&= - \int_0^\infty \int_0^z \frac{d}{dy} u(x+y) dy \Pi^\Phi(dz) \\
&= - \int_0^\infty (u(x+z) - u(x)) \Pi^\Phi(dz) \\
&= \mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi u(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Concerning (3.6), the analogous result for  $\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\Phi$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{(0,x)}^\Phi$  can be proved.

For the operators (3.2) and (3.1) we introduce the following integration by parts formula.

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $u, v \in W_0^{1,1}(0, \infty)$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi v(x), \mathbf{D}_{x+}^\Phi u(x) \in L^1(0, \infty)$ , then

$$\int_0^\infty u(x) (\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi v(x)) dx = \int_0^\infty (\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\Phi u(x)) v(x) dx. \quad (3.9)$$

*Proof.* First of all, from Hölder's inequality, we observe that

$$\|u \mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi v\|_1 \leq \|u\|_\infty \|\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi v\|_1 < \infty$$

because  $\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi v \in L^1(0, \infty)$  and  $W_0^{1,1}(0, \infty)$  embeds into  $L^\infty(0, \infty)$  (see [22, section 11.2]). Similarly for  $\mathbf{D}_{x+}$ . By Fubini's theorem, from the definition (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty u(x) (\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi v(x)) dx &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u(x) [v(x) - v(x+y)] \Pi^\Phi(dy) dx \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [u(x) - u(x-y) + u(x-y)] [v(x) - v(x+y)] \Pi^\Phi(dy) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By using (3.1),

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [u(x) - u(x-y)] v(x) \Pi^\Phi(dy) dx = \int_0^\infty (\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\Phi u(x)) v(x) dx,$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty u(x) (\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi v(x)) dx = \int_0^\infty (\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\Phi u(x)) v(x) dx + F(u, v)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F(u, v) &:= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (u(x-y)[v(x) - v(x+y)] - [u(x) - u(x-y)]v(x+y)) \Pi^\Phi(dy) dx \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_y^\infty u(x-y)v(x) dx \Pi^\Phi(dy) - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u(x)v(x+y) \Pi^\Phi(dy) dx \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u(x)v(x+y) dx \Pi^\Phi(dy) - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u(x)v(x+y) \Pi^\Phi(dy) dx \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u(x)v(x+y) \Pi^\Phi(dy) dx - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u(x)v(x+y) \Pi^\Phi(dy) dx = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

hence (3.9) holds.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** The same result for left and right Marchaud derivatives  $\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\alpha$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\alpha$  when  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is presented in [28, (6.27)] and in [20, Exercise 1.8.2].

We are now ready to discuss the connection between operators and subordinators.

**Theorem 3.2.** For  $0 < x < y$  and  $t > 0$ , the density  $h(t, y - x)$  of  $x + H_t^\Phi$  solves

$$\partial_t h = -\mathbf{D}_{y+}^\Phi h = -\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi h \quad (3.10)$$

with  $h(0, y - x) = \delta(y - x)$ , the Dirac delta function.

*Proof.* First we focus on  $\partial_t h = -\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi h$ . For  $\lambda > 0$ , consider the Laplace transform  $\tilde{h}(t, x, \lambda)$  of the density  $h(t, x, y) = h(t, y - x)$  given by

$$\tilde{h}(t, x, \lambda) = \mathbf{E}_x[e^{-\lambda H_t^\Phi}] = \mathbf{E}_0[e^{-\lambda x - \lambda H_t^\Phi}] = e^{-\lambda x - t\Phi(\lambda)}, \quad x > 0, t > 0.$$

From (3.8) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi \tilde{h}(t, x, \lambda) &= -\mathcal{D}_{(x, \infty)}^\Phi \tilde{h}(t, x, \lambda) \\ &= \int_x^\infty \left(-\lambda e^{-\lambda y - t\Phi(\lambda)}\right) \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(y - x) dy \\ &= -\lambda e^{-t\Phi(\lambda)} \int_x^\infty e^{-\lambda y} \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(y - x) dy \\ &= -\lambda e^{-\lambda x - t\Phi(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda z} \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(z) dz \\ &= -\Phi(\lambda) \tilde{h}(t, x, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used (2.3). Thus, for  $\lambda > 0$ , the function  $\tilde{h}$  solves the equation

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi \tilde{h}, \quad \tilde{h}(0, x) = e^{-\lambda x}, \quad x > 0. \quad (3.11)$$

We now focus on  $\partial_t h = -\mathbf{D}_{y+}^\Phi h$  for  $y > x > 0, t > 0$  for which we have that

$$\mathbf{D}_{y+}^\Phi h(t, y - x) \mathbf{1}_{(y > x)} = - \int_0^\infty (h(t, y - s - x) \mathbf{1}_{(x, \infty)}(y - s) - h(t, y - x) \mathbf{1}_{(x, \infty)}(y)) \Pi^\Phi(ds)$$

and therefore, the Laplace transform is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda y} \mathbf{D}_{y+}^\Phi h(t, y - x) dy &= - \int_0^\infty \left( e^{-\lambda(s+x) - t\Phi(\lambda)} - e^{-\lambda x - t\Phi(\lambda)} \right) \Pi^\Phi(ds) \\ &= - e^{-\lambda x - t\Phi(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty \left( e^{-\lambda s} - 1 \right) \Pi^\Phi(ds) \\ &= \Phi(\lambda) e^{-\lambda x - t\Phi(\lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get that

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial t} = -\Phi(\lambda) \tilde{h} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda y} (-\mathbf{D}_{y+}^\Phi h \mathbf{1}_{(y > x)}) dy$$

which is the claimed result.  $\square$

Let  $M > 0$  and  $w \geq 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_w$  be the set of (piecewise) continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$  of exponential order  $w$  such that  $|u(t)| \leq M e^{wt}$ . Let  $u \in \mathcal{M}_w \cap C([0, \infty)$  with  $u' \in \mathcal{M}_w$ . Then we define the Caputo-Dzherbashian (type) derivative as

$$\mathfrak{D}_x^\Phi u(x) := \int_0^x u'(y) \bar{\Pi}^\Phi(x - y) dy \quad (3.12)$$

which is a convolution type operator. Indeed, the Laplace transform writes

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x} \mathfrak{D}_x^\Phi u(x) dx = \Phi(\lambda) \tilde{u}(\lambda) - \frac{\Phi(\lambda)}{\lambda} u(0), \quad \lambda > w \quad (3.13)$$

where, as above,  $\tilde{u}$  is the Laplace transform of  $u$ . For explicit representations of the operator  $\mathfrak{D}_x^\Phi$  see also the recent works [19; 30; 7].

We remark that, from the Young's inequality, we have

$$\|\mathfrak{D}_x^\Phi u\|_p \leq \|u'\|_p \left( \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi(\lambda)}{\lambda} \right) \quad (3.14)$$

where  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \Phi(\lambda)/\lambda$  is finite only in some cases (see [5]). If  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \Phi(\lambda)/\lambda$  is finite, then (3.14) gives a clearly information about the cases of measurable or essentially bounded functions. In particular, we have that for  $u' \in L^1(0, \infty)$ , if

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi(\lambda)}{\lambda} < \infty,$$

then (3.9) holds. Indeed, by considering the relation

$$\mathfrak{D}_x^\Phi u(x) = \mathcal{D}_{(0,x)}^\Phi (u(x) - u(0))$$

we have equivalence between derivatives as  $u(0) = 0$ . We can easily check that, in this case, (3.13) coincides with (3.4).

For our purposes the operator (3.12) is used as time derivative for the equation governing the inverse process  $L^\Phi$ , while (3.2) and (3.1) are used as space derivatives for equations governing the process  $H^\Phi$ . Such operators will be considered in the next section in the boundary conditions associated with equations governing Brownian motions.

The governing equations of  $H^\Phi$  and  $L^\Phi$  have been studied in the literature (see for example [19; 30]) with special attention only about the fundamental solutions. For the reader's convenience we conclude this section by giving a clear statement for such equations based on the previous discussion. Excluding densities  $h$  with *time dependent property* (as the case of gamma subordinator), we have that

$$C^{1,1}((0, \infty), W_0^{1,1}(0, \infty)) \ni h_f(t, x) = \int_0^x f(x-y)h(t, y)dy = \mathbf{E}_0[f(x - H_t^\Phi)\mathbf{1}_{(t < L_t^\Phi)}]$$

is the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_f(t, x) = -\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\Phi h_f(t, x), & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \\ h_f(t, 0) = 0, & t > 0 \\ h_f(0, x) = f(x) \in W_0^{1,1}(0, \infty) \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$C^{1,1}(W^{1,\infty}(0, \infty), (0, \infty)) \ni l_f(t, x) = \int_0^x f(x-y)l(t, y)dy = \mathbf{E}_0[f(x - L_t^\Phi)\mathbf{1}_{(t < H_t^\Phi)}]$$

is the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{D}_t^\Phi l_f(t, x) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} l_f(t, x), & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \\ l_f(t, 0) = 0, & t > 0 \\ l_f(0, x) = f(x) \in L^p(0, \infty) \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

Notice that  $l_f(t, \cdot) \in L^p(0, \infty), \forall t > 0$ .

Let us write

$$\bar{h}_f(x) = \int_0^\infty h_f(t, x)dt \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{l}_f(x) = \int_0^\infty l_f(t, x)dt. \quad (3.17)$$

We can immediately check that the Abel (type) equation  $f(x) = \mathbf{D}_{x+}^\Phi \bar{h}_f(x)$  gives the elliptic problem associated with (3.15). On the other hand, the elliptic problem associated with (3.16) exists only if  $\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \Phi(\lambda)/\lambda < \infty$ , this gives a clear meaning to (3.14). Such a result is not surprising, indeed by considering  $f = \mathbf{1}$ ,

$$\bar{l}_1(x) = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{E}_0[\mathbf{1}_{(t < H_x^\Phi)}]dt = \mathbf{E}_0[H_x^\Phi] = x \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\Phi(\lambda)}{\lambda}$$

In case the elliptic problem exists, it takes the form

$$f(x) = \left( \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\lambda}{\Phi(\lambda)} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \bar{l}_f(x)$$

Moving to the elliptic problems associated with (3.10) we notice that the solution to

$$-\mathbf{D}_{x-}^{\Phi} w_-(x) = -f(x) + \lambda w_-(x), \quad x > 0, \lambda > 0 \quad (3.18)$$

is given by

$$w_-(x) = \mathbf{E}_0 \left[ \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} f(x + H_t^{\Phi}) dt \right]. \quad (3.19)$$

Indeed, from (3.19),

$$w_-(x) = \int_x^{\infty} f(y) \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} h(t, y - x) dt dy$$

and by using (3.10),

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathbf{D}_{x-}^{\Phi} w_-(x) &= \int_x^{\infty} f(y) \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} (-\mathbf{D}_{x-}^{\Phi} h(t, y - x)) dt dy \\ &= \int_x^{\infty} f(y) \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} (\partial_t h(t, y - x)) dt dy \\ &= \int_x^{\infty} f(y) (-\delta(y - x)) dy + \lambda \int_x^{\infty} f(y) \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} h(t, y - x) dt dy \\ &= -f(x) + \lambda w_-(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the solution to (3.18) is given by (3.19). Similarly, we observe that the solution to

$$-\mathbf{D}_{y+}^{\Phi} w_+(y) = -f(y) + \lambda w_+(y), \quad y > 0, \lambda > 0 \quad (3.20)$$

is given by

$$w_+(y) = \int_0^y \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} h(t, y - x) dt f(x) dx.$$

Indeed, by using (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathbf{D}_{y+}^{\Phi} w_+(y) &= \int_0^y f(x) \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} (-\mathbf{D}_{y+}^{\Phi} h(t, y - x)) dt dx \\ &= \int_0^y f(x) \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} (\partial_t h(t, y - x)) dt dx \\ &= \int_0^y f(x) (-\delta(y - x)) dx + \lambda \int_0^y f(x) \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} h(t, y - x) dt dx \\ &= -f(y) + \lambda w_+(y). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3.2.** If  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  in (3.20), then the solution can be written as

$$w_+(y) = (\mathcal{I}^{\Phi} f)(y)$$

where  $\mathcal{I}^{\Phi}$  is a non-local integral associated to  $\Phi$ . Indeed, the convolution between  $f$  and  $\int_0^{\infty} h(t, x) dt$  can be represented as  $\mathcal{I}^{\Phi}$ . For example, for the  $\alpha$ -stable subordinator we have that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \mathbf{E}_0[e^{-\xi H_t}] dt = \frac{1}{\xi^{\alpha}} \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{1}{\xi^{\alpha}} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\xi x} \frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} dx.$$

Then, we deduce that

$$\int_0^{\infty} h(t, x) dt = \frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$$

must be considered in order to write the fractional integral.



## 4. NON-LOCAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Let us consider the heat equation on the positive half line with a Neumann boundary condition at  $x = 0$ , that is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x) = \Delta u(t, x) & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(t, x)|_{x=0} = 0 & t > 0 \end{cases}.$$

The probabilistic representation of the solution can be written in terms of the reflecting Brownian motion  $B^+ = \{B_t^+, t \geq 0\}$ . We denote by  $\gamma_t = \gamma_t(B^+)$  the local time at zero of the reflecting Brownian motion  $B^+$  written as

$$\gamma_t = \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{(B_s^+ = 0)} ds.$$

Recall that  $H^\Phi$  is the subordinator associated to  $\Pi^\Phi$  through (2.2) and  $L^\Phi$  is the inverse of  $H^\Phi$ . We introduce the main object we deal with, that is the process  $B^\bullet = \{B_t^\bullet, t \geq 0\}$  written as

$$B_t^\bullet = H^\Phi L^\Phi \gamma_t - \gamma_t + B_t^+, \quad t > 0. \quad (4.1)$$

We use the following notation

$$H^\Phi L^\Phi \gamma_t = H^\Phi \circ L^\Phi \circ \gamma_t$$

for the composition of  $H^\Phi, L^\Phi, \gamma$ . The process  $L^\Phi \gamma_t$  can be regarded as the local time of  $B^\bullet$  at zero [15, section 14]. We also observe that  $B_t = B_t^+ - \gamma_t(B)$  in law, from the Tanaka's formula we may consider an alternative representation for the process (4.1) given by  $B_t^\bullet = H^\Phi L^\Phi \gamma_t + B_t$ , where  $B = \{B_t, t \geq 0\}$  is the one-dimensional Brownian motion with local time at zero  $\gamma_t(B)$ .

The probabilistic reading of (4.1) says that  $B^\bullet$  is a reflecting Brownian motion jumping away from the origin, with a length of the jump given by the subordinator  $H^\Phi$  running with the clock  $L^\Phi \gamma_t$ . Since  $\Pi^\Phi(0, \infty) = \infty$ , then in each time interval the number of jumps of  $H^\Phi$  are infinite. A detailed analysis of the sample paths has been given in [15, section 12]. We provide a discussion at the end of the paper.

We remark that  $L^\Phi H^\Phi = t$  almost surely, whereas the composition  $H^\Phi L^\Phi$  is a bit demanding. In particular, for the subordinator  $H^\Phi$  and the inverse  $L^\Phi$  we have that  $\mathbf{P}_0(H^\Phi L_t^\Phi - < t = H^\Phi L_t^\Phi) = 0$  ([2, Proposition 2, section III.2]). Moreover, if  $d = 0$  in (2.2), then  $\mathbf{P}_0(H^\Phi L_t^\Phi > t) = 1$  for every  $t > 0$  ([2, Theorem 4, section III.2]). Thus, for a zero drift subordinator, the passage above any given level is almost surely realized by a jump.

Now we focus on the problem with non-local dynamic boundary conditions and provide the probabilistic representation of the solution in terms of Brownian motions. We deal with positive functions  $u$  on unbounded domain for which  $|u(t, x)| \leq M e^{cx^2}$ , for  $M, c > 0$ . Thus, standard arguments guarantee uniqueness of the solution in terms of positivity (Widder's theorem [31]) and exponential growth ([11, section 2.3.3]).

Let us introduce  $D_0 = D_1 \cup D_2$  where

$$D_1 = \left\{ \varphi : \forall x, \varphi(\cdot, x) \in W^{1, \infty}(0, \infty) \text{ and } \lim_{x \downarrow 0} \mathfrak{D}_t^\Psi \varphi(t, x) \text{ exists} \right\},$$

$$D_2 = \left\{ \varphi : \forall t, \varphi(t, \cdot) \in W^{1, \infty}(0, \infty) \text{ and } \lim_{x \downarrow 0} \mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi \varphi(t, x) \text{ exists} \right\}.$$

**Theorem 4.1.** The solution  $v \in C^{1,2}((0, \infty), (0, \infty)) \cap D_0$  to the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v(t, x) = \Delta v(t, x) & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \\ \eta \mathfrak{D}_t^\Psi v(t, x)|_{x=0} = -\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi v(t, x)|_{x=0} & t > 0 \\ v(0, x) = f(x) & x \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

with  $f \in C[0, \infty) \cap L^\infty(0, \infty)$  and  $\eta \geq 0$  is unique. Moreover, the solution  $v$  has the probabilistic representation

$$v(t, x) = \mathbf{E}_x \left[ f(B_{S_t^{-1}}^\bullet) \right],$$

where  $B_t^\bullet$  is defined in (4.1) and  $S_t^{-1}$  is the right-inverse of  $S_t = t + H^\Psi \eta L_{\gamma_t}^\Phi$ .

*Proof.* The solution  $v$  can be written as

$$v(t, x) = Q_t^D f(x) + \int_0^t \frac{x}{\tau} g(\tau, x) v(t - \tau, 0) d\tau \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$Q_t^D f(x) = \int_0^\infty (g(t, x - y) - g(t, x + y)) f(y) dy$$

is the Dirichlet semigroup and  $g(t, z) = e^{-z^2/4t} / \sqrt{4\pi t}$  for which we recall the well-known transforms

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} g(t, x) dt = \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{-x\sqrt{\lambda}}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, \quad \lambda > 0 \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \frac{x}{t} g(t, x) dt = e^{-x\sqrt{\lambda}}, \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Assume that

$$v(t, x) = \mathbf{E}_x [f(B^\bullet \circ S_t^{-1})], \quad (4.5)$$

and write the  $\lambda$ -potential

$$R_\lambda f(x) = \mathbf{E}_x \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(B^\bullet \circ S_t^{-1}) dt \right], \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (4.6)$$

From the representation (4.2) we must have

$$R_\lambda f(x) = R_\lambda^D f(x) + \bar{R}_\lambda f(x)$$

where, from (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned} R_\lambda^D f(x) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} Q_t^D f(x) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{e^{-|x-y|\sqrt{\lambda}}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} - \frac{e^{-(x+y)\sqrt{\lambda}}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) f(y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{(x-y)\sqrt{\lambda}}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} f(y) dy - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-(x+y)\sqrt{\lambda}}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} f(y) dy + \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x \left( \frac{e^{(x-y)\sqrt{\lambda}}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} - \frac{e^{-(x-y)\sqrt{\lambda}}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) f(y) dy, \quad \lambda > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

and, from (4.4),

$$\bar{R}_\lambda f(x) = e^{-x\sqrt{\lambda}} R_\lambda f(0), \quad \lambda > 0$$

with

$$R_\lambda f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} v(t, x) dt, \quad \lambda > 0$$

We can easily check that

$$\Delta R_\lambda f(x) = \lambda R_\lambda f(x) - f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v(t, x) dt, \quad \lambda > 0, x > 0. \quad (4.8)$$

We have to characterize  $R_\lambda f(0)$  and therefore  $v(t, 0)$  in order to obtain a solution of the form given in (4.2). Thus, we focus on the boundary condition for which, first we observe that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathfrak{D}_t^\Psi v(t, x) \Big|_{x=0} dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathfrak{D}_t^\Psi v(t, x) dt \Big|_{x=0}, \quad \lambda > 0$$

and, thanks to (3.13), we have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \eta \mathfrak{D}_t^\Psi v(t, x) dt \Big|_{x=0} = \eta \Psi(\lambda) R_\lambda f(x) - \eta \frac{\Psi(\lambda)}{\lambda} f(0), \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (4.9)$$

A key point in our proof is that

$$\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi R_\lambda f(x) \Big|_{x=0} = (\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi R_\lambda^D f(x) + \mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi \bar{R}_\lambda f(x)) \Big|_{x=0}$$

can be written by considering the definition (3.2) and by taking into account the properties of  $R_\lambda f$ . In particular, we use the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \downarrow 0} \int_0^\infty (R_\lambda^D f(x) - R_\lambda^D f(x+y)) \Pi^\Phi(dy) &= \int_0^\infty (R_\lambda^D f(0) - R_\lambda^D f(y)) \Pi^\Phi(dy) \\ &= - \int_0^\infty R_\lambda^D f(y) \Pi^\Phi(dy) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \downarrow 0} \int_0^\infty (\bar{R}_\lambda f(x) - \bar{R}_\lambda f(x+y)) \Pi^\Phi(dy) &= \lim_{x \downarrow 0} \int_0^\infty (e^{-x\sqrt{\lambda}} - e^{-(x+y)\sqrt{\lambda}}) \Pi^\Phi(dy) R_\lambda f(0) \\ &= \Phi(\sqrt{\lambda}) R_\lambda f(0). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, for  $|f(x)| \leq K$ ,

$$\int_0^\infty R_\lambda^D f(y) \Pi^\Phi(dy) \leq \frac{K}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}y}) \Pi^\Phi(dy) = \frac{K}{\lambda} \Phi(\sqrt{\lambda}), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

We therefore obtain

$$-\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi R_\lambda f(x) \Big|_{x=0} = \int_0^\infty R_\lambda^D f(y) \Pi^\Phi(dy) - \Phi(\sqrt{\lambda}) R_\lambda f(0).$$

This together with (4.9) leads to

$$R_\lambda f(0) = \frac{\frac{\eta}{\lambda} \Psi(\lambda) f(0) + \int_0^\infty R_\lambda^D f(l) \Pi^\Phi(dy)}{\eta \Psi(\lambda) + \Phi(\sqrt{\lambda})}, \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Now we observe that

$$\mathbf{E}_0 \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(B^\bullet \circ S_t^{-1}) dt \right] = \mathbf{E}_0 \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda S_t} f(B_t^\bullet) dS_t \right] = I_1^\Psi + I_2^\Psi$$

where, due to the fact that  $S_t = t + H^\Psi \eta L_{\gamma_t}^\Phi$ ,

$$I_1^\Psi = \mathbf{E}_0 \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\lambda H^\Psi \eta L_{\gamma_t}^\Phi} f(B_t^\bullet) dt \right], \quad \lambda > 0$$

and

$$I_2^\Psi = f(0)\mathbf{E}_0 \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\lambda H^\Psi \eta L_{\gamma t}^\Phi} dH^\Psi \eta L_{\gamma t}^\Phi \right], \quad \lambda > 0$$

can be obtained as follows. The computation of  $I_1^\Psi$  follows from the computation of  $e_1$  in [15, page 215], recalling that

$$\mathbf{E}_0[e^{-\lambda H_{\eta t}^\Psi}] = e^{-\eta t \Psi(\lambda)}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Thus, we have that

$$I_1^\Psi = \frac{\int_0^\infty R_\lambda^D f(l) \Pi^\Phi(dl)}{\eta \Psi(\lambda) + \Phi(\sqrt{\lambda})}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

For  $I_2^\Psi$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^\Psi &= f(0)\mathbf{E}_0 \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\lambda H^\Psi \eta L_{\gamma t}^\Phi} d(H^\Psi \eta L_{\gamma t}^\Phi) \right] \\ &= f(0)\mathbf{E}_0 \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma^{-1} H_t^\Phi} e^{-\lambda H_{\eta t}^\Psi} dH_{\eta t}^\Psi \right] \\ &= \frac{f(0)}{\lambda} \mathbf{E}_0 \left[ -1 - \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda H_{\eta t}^\Psi} d(e^{-\gamma^{-1} H_t^\Phi}) \right] \\ &= \frac{f(0)}{\lambda} \mathbf{E}_0 \left[ -1 - \int_0^\infty e^{-\eta t \Psi(\lambda)} d(e^{-\gamma^{-1} H_t^\Phi}) \right] \\ &= \frac{f(0)}{\lambda} \mathbf{E}_0 \left[ -1 - \left( -1 - \eta \Psi(\lambda) \int_0^\infty e^{-\eta t \Psi(\lambda)} e^{-\lambda \gamma^{-1} H_t^\Phi} dt \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{\eta}{\lambda} \Psi(\lambda) f(0) \mathbf{E}_0 \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\eta t \Psi(\lambda)} e^{-\lambda \gamma^{-1} H_t^\Phi} dt \right] \\ &= \frac{\eta}{\lambda} \Psi(\lambda) f(0) \mathbf{E}_0 \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\eta \Psi(\lambda) L_{\gamma t}^\Phi} e^{-\lambda t} dL_{\gamma t}^\Phi \right], \quad \lambda > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now set  $A_t := L_{\gamma t}^\Phi$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_0 \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\eta \Psi(\lambda) A_t} e^{-\lambda t} dA_t \right] &= -\frac{1}{\eta \Psi(\lambda)} \mathbf{E}_0 \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} d e^{-\eta \Psi(\lambda) A_t} \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{\eta \Psi(\lambda)} \mathbf{E}_0 \left[ -1 + \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\eta \Psi(\lambda) A_t} dt \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta \Psi(\lambda)} - \frac{\lambda}{\eta \Psi(\lambda)} \mathbf{E}_0 \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\eta \Psi(\lambda) L_{\gamma t}^\Phi} dt \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta \Psi(\lambda)} - \frac{\lambda}{\eta \Psi(\lambda)} \mathbf{E}_0 \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\lambda} e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} w} e^{-\eta \Psi(\lambda) L_w^\Phi} dw \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta \Psi(\lambda)} - \frac{\lambda}{\eta \Psi(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\lambda} e^{-\eta \Psi(\lambda) z} \frac{\Phi(\sqrt{\lambda})}{\sqrt{\lambda}} e^{-z \Phi(\sqrt{\lambda})} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta \Psi(\lambda)} - \frac{\Phi(\sqrt{\lambda})}{\eta \Psi(\lambda)} \frac{1}{\eta \Psi(\lambda) + \Phi(\sqrt{\lambda})} \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta \Psi(\lambda) + \Phi(\sqrt{\lambda})}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.5). We arrive at

$$I_2^\Psi = \frac{\eta}{\lambda} \Psi(\lambda) f(0) \frac{1}{\eta \Psi(\lambda) + \Phi(\sqrt{\lambda})}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

By collecting all pieces together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^\Psi + I_2^\Psi &= \frac{\int_0^\infty R_\lambda^D f(l) \Pi^\Phi(dl)}{\eta\Psi(\lambda) + \Phi(\sqrt{\lambda})} + \frac{\eta}{\lambda} \Psi(\lambda) f(0) \frac{1}{\eta\Psi(\lambda) + \Phi(\sqrt{\lambda})} \\ &= \frac{\frac{\eta}{\lambda} \Psi(\lambda) f(0) + \int_0^\infty R_\lambda^D f(l) \Pi^\Phi(dl)}{\eta\Psi(\lambda) + \Phi(\sqrt{\lambda})}. \end{aligned}$$

which coincides with (4.10). Thus, we conclude that (4.6) and (4.5) hold true. In particular,  $v$  is a continuous function with Laplace transform  $R_\lambda f$ , then  $v$  is unique.  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.** The lifetime of the process  $B_{S-1}^\bullet$  (which basically moves on a given path of a reflecting Brownian motion) is infinite, that is  $R_0 \mathbf{1} = \infty$  and  $\forall x, v(\cdot, x) \notin L^1(0, \infty)$  for a bounded initial datum  $f$ . However, there is no hope to find  $v(\cdot, x) \in L^1(0, \infty)$  by also asking for  $f \in L^1(0, \infty)$  for which we only have

$$R_0^D f(x) = 2 \int_0^\infty (y \wedge x) f(y) dy < \infty$$

in the potential  $R_\lambda f = R_\lambda^D f + \bar{R}_\lambda f$ . The last formula can be obtained from (4.7). Then we ask for  $f \in L^\infty$  in order to obtain  $v(\cdot, x) \in L^\infty(0, \infty)$ ,  $\forall x$ .

We now briefly discuss the composition  $H^\Psi L^\Phi$  of a subordinator with an inverse to a subordinator. The process can be seen as a non-Markovian time-changed process, being the random time  $L^\Phi$  non-Markovian. We use the following notation, for a set  $I \subset (0, \infty)$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}_0(H_t^\Psi \in I) = \int_I h^\Psi(t, x) dx \quad \mathbf{P}_0(L_t^\Phi \in I) = \int_I l^\Phi(t, x) dx,$$

and

$$\mathbf{P}_0(H^\Psi L_t^\Phi \in I) = \int_I w(t, x) dx.$$

We have that

$$\mathfrak{D}_t^\Phi w(t, x) = -\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\Psi w(t, x), \quad t > 0, x > 0 \quad (4.11)$$

where  $\mathfrak{D}_t^\Phi$  is defined in (3.12) and  $\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\Psi$  is defined in (3.1). For the reader's convenience we give the following sketch of proof. By applying the  $\lambda$ -time Laplace transform in both sides of (4.11), from (3.13), we obtain

$$\Phi(\lambda) \tilde{w}(\lambda, x) - \frac{\Phi(\lambda)}{\lambda} \delta(x) = -\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\Psi \tilde{w}(\lambda, x), \quad \lambda > 0, t > 0.$$

From (3.4), by taking into account the  $\xi$ -space Laplace transform, we have

$$\Phi(\lambda) \tilde{w}(\lambda, \xi) - \frac{\Phi(\lambda)}{\lambda} = -\Psi(\xi) \tilde{w}(\lambda, \xi), \quad \lambda > 0, \xi > 0$$

which leads to

$$\tilde{w}(\lambda, \xi) = \frac{\Phi(\lambda)}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\Phi(\lambda) + \Psi(\xi)}, \quad \lambda > 0, \xi > 0.$$

The inverse of  $\tilde{w}(\lambda, \xi)$  gives the density function of  $H^\Psi L_t^\Phi$  which is written as

$$w(t, x) = \int_0^\infty h^\Psi(s, x) l^\Phi(t, s) ds, \quad t > 0, x > 0$$

as the independence between  $H^\Psi$  and  $L_t^\Phi$  entails.

Concerning the special case of dependent processes, that is  $L^\Phi$  the inverse of  $H^\Phi$ , we focus on the case of stable subordinators.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $H^\alpha$  be an  $\alpha$ -stable subordinator and  $L^\alpha$  its inverse. We have:

(i) For  $z > t > 0$

$$\mathbf{P}_0(H^\alpha L_t^\alpha \in dz) = \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\pi} \frac{1}{z} \left( \frac{t}{z-t} \right)^\alpha dz.$$

(ii) For  $0 < y < t$

$$\mathbf{P}_0(H^\alpha L_t^{\alpha-} \in dy) = \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\pi} \frac{1}{y} \left( \frac{y}{t-y} \right)^\alpha dy.$$

*Proof.* (i) From [2, Proposition 2, section III.2] we have that, for each  $t \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq y \leq t < z$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}_0(H^\alpha L_t^{\alpha-} \in dy, H^\alpha L_t^\alpha \in dz) = U(dy) \Pi^\alpha(dz - y), \quad (4.12)$$

where  $U(dy)$  is the potential measure for which

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda y} U(dy) = \frac{1}{\lambda^\alpha}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

It turns out that  $\Pi^\alpha$  and  $U$  are written as

$$\Pi^\alpha(dz) = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{dz}{z^{\alpha+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad U(dy) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{dy}{y^{-\alpha+1}}.$$

Hence, by integrating (4.12),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_0(H^\alpha L_t^\alpha \in dz) &= \int_0^t \mathbf{P}_0(H^\alpha L_t^{\alpha-} \in dy, H^\alpha L_t^\alpha \in dz) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{y^{\alpha-1}}{(z-y)^{\alpha+1}} dy dz \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{1}{z} \left( \frac{t}{z-t} \right)^\alpha dz. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) From [3, Lemma 1.10], we have

$$\mathbf{P}_0(H^\alpha L_t^{\alpha-} \in dy) = \bar{\Pi}^\alpha(t-y) U(dy).$$

We obtain

$$\mathbf{P}_0(H^\alpha L_t^{\alpha-} \in dy) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{1}{(t-y)^\alpha} \frac{y^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} dy.$$

which is the claim.  $\square$

We observe that, for  $t = 1$ ,  $H^\alpha L_1^{\alpha-}$  has the so-called generalized arcsine law

$$\mathbf{P}_0(H^\alpha L_1^{\alpha-} \in dy) = \frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi} y^{\alpha-1} (1-y)^{-\alpha} dy,$$

and for  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  we get the well known arcsine law for the standard Brownian motion.

#### 4.1. The case $\eta = 0$ : Non-local space conditions.

For  $\eta = 0$  in Theorem 4.1, since  $H_0^\Psi = 0$ , the probabilistic representation of the solution  $v$  can be written only in terms of  $B_t^\bullet$ . We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (v(t, l) - v(t, 0)) \Pi^\Phi(dl) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty (v(t, x+l) - v(t, x)) \Pi^\Phi(dl) \\ &= -\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi v(t, x) \Big|_{x=0}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

then our Marchaud (type) derivative coincides with the integral operator defined in [12]. As a confirmation of this, K. Itô and P. McKean in [15, section 12] introduced the process  $B^\bullet$  to write the probabilistic representation of the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}v(t, x) = \Delta v(t, x) & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \\ \int_0^\infty (v(t, l) - v(t, 0))\Pi^\Phi(dl) = 0 & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

The identification of the integral operator with a Marchaud-type derivative allows us to use some techniques from fractional calculus. Thus we write

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}v(t, x) = \Delta v(t, x) & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \\ \mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi v(t, x)|_{x=0} = 0 & t > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.14)$$

We now discuss the special case of Marchaud derivatives and  $\alpha$ -stable subordinators  $H^\alpha$ , characterized by




FIGURE 1. A simulation of the  $\alpha$ -stable subordinator if  $\alpha = 0.5$ , based on [24, section 5.2].

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \lambda^\alpha = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\lambda x}) \frac{dx}{x^{\alpha+1}}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Then, we write  $\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\alpha$  in place of  $\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi$  which coincides with the well known Marchaud left derivative. We now use the fact that (see [18, (2.2.5)] for example)

$$\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\alpha u(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \alpha \downarrow 0, \quad (4.15)$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{x+}^\alpha u(x) \rightarrow u'(x) \quad \alpha \uparrow 1, \quad (4.16)$$

in order to arrive at the analogue

$$\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\alpha u(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \alpha \downarrow 0, \quad (4.17)$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\alpha u(x) \rightarrow -u'(x) \quad \alpha \uparrow 1. \quad (4.18)$$

Indeed, formula (3.9) which still holds for  $\mathbf{D}_{x^\pm}^\Phi u \in L^\infty(0, \infty)$ , together with (4.15) and (4.16) say that (4.17) and (4.18) hold in some sense. Thus, roughly speaking, for  $\alpha \downarrow 0$  the problem (4.14) takes the form

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}v(t, x) = \Delta v(t, x) & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \\ v(t, 0) = 0 & t > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.19)$$

and for  $\alpha \uparrow 1$ , (4.14) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}v(t, x) = \Delta v(t, x) & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}v(t, x)|_{x=0} = 0 & t > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.20)$$

As reported in [3, Section 3.1.1], for  $\alpha = 0$  the subordinator dies immediately, and for  $\alpha = 1$ , it is the elementary subordinator  $t$ . Then, the process (4.1) for  $\alpha \downarrow 0$  becomes a reflected Brownian motion killed at  $x = 0$ , that is a killed Brownian motion as expected for the solution of (4.19). For  $\alpha \uparrow 1$ , the subordinator becomes  $H_t^\alpha = t$  and the process (4.1) is a reflected Brownian motion that does not jump at the boundary point. It reflects and never dies. Indeed, it coincides with a reflected Brownian motion on  $[0, \infty)$  as expected for the solution of (4.20).




FIGURE 2. To the left a simulation of the  $\alpha$ -stable subordinator if  $\alpha = 0.01$ , near zero. To the right a simulation of the  $\alpha$ -stable subordinator if  $\alpha = 0.99$ , near one.

#### 4.2. Non-local dynamic conditions. The case $\Phi = Id$ .

If  $\Phi = Id$ , from (4.1), we have  $B^\bullet = B^+$ . Then, Theorem 4.1 generalizes [10, Theorem 3.1] and the solution has the following probabilistic representation

$$v(t, x) = \mathbf{E}_x \left[ f(B_{\bar{V}_t}^+) \right]$$

where  $\bar{V}_t = t + H^\Psi \eta \gamma_t$ .

#### 4.3. Dynamic condition and non-local space conditions. The case $\Psi = Id$ .

We notice that the condition can be written as  $\Delta v(t, x)|_{x=0} = -\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi v(t, x)|_{x=0}$  by means of which we can underline the sticky nature of the motion. Indeed,  $\mathfrak{D}_t^\Psi v = \partial_t v$  in Theorem 4.1 and  $v$  satisfies the heat equation on  $[0, \infty)$ . The solution has the probabilistic representation

$$v(t, x) = \mathbf{E}_x \left[ f(B_{V_t}^\bullet) \right] \tag{4.21}$$

where  $B_t^\bullet$  is defined in (4.1) and  $V_t = t + \eta L_{\gamma_t}^\Phi$ .

#### 4.4. The reflected Brownian motion in an orthant.

Our results can be extended to the  $d$ -dimensional case by considering the reflected Brownian motion on a positive orthant. In particular, we consider the semimartingale reflecting Brownian motion (SRBM in short), that is a continuous process, say  $Z = \{Z_t\}_{t>0}$ , with representation  $Z = B + RY$  where

- $B = \{B_t\}_{t>0}$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion;
- $Y = \{Y_t\}_{t>0}$  is a positive, continuous and non-decreasing  $d$ -dimensional process with  $Y_0 = 0$  and components  $\{Y_t^i\}_{i=1, \dots, d}$  for which

$$\int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+^d \setminus F_k}(Z_s) dY_s^k = 0$$






FIGURE 3. The path of  $B^\bullet$  in two dimensions. The starting point is A, the process hits the boundary at B, then it jumps to the point C.

- with  $F_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d : x_k = 0\}$  for  $k \in (1, 2, \dots, d)$ ;
- $R$  is a (non-negative) reflection matrix. For example, if  $R$  is (positive) diagonal, then the direction of reflection at each point of the boundary is a positive inward normal.

Under such conditions, the process  $Z$  is a weak solution to  $dZ_t = dB_t + RdY_t$ . The process behaves like a Brownian motion inside the orthant and it reflects instantaneously on the boundary. The process  $Y$  plays the role of local time. A discussion about SRBM can be found in [14; 27; 32].

The vector  $G \circ Y$  with components  $G^i \circ Y^i := G_{Y^i}^i$  where  $G_t^i = HL_t - t$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$  can be considered in order to obtain the  $d$ -dimensional process  $B^\bullet$ . Indeed, for  $R = \text{diag}\{1\}$ ,

$$B_t^\bullet = B_t + G \circ Y_t + Y_t \quad (4.22)$$

is a Brownian motion pushed inside the orthant by the components  $HL_t^i - Y_t^i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ . We can also consider  $G_t^{(i)} = H^i L_t^i - t$  where  $H^i \perp L^i$  introduces the independent vectors  $\{H_t^i\}$  and  $\{L_t^i\}$ . The processes  $G^i$  and  $G^{(i)}$  give rise to different problems.




FIGURE 4. The path of  $B_{S-1}^\bullet$  in two dimensions. The process can be obtained by that path of Figure 3. The new motion is trapped on the vertical line after the jump at the point C. Then, it moves on the vertical line as a 1-dimensional Brownian motion (see Figure 5 ) for a random amount of time and it starts afresh from the point D as 2-dimensional process  $B^\bullet$

#### 4.5. Reflected Brownian motion in a bounded domain.

Here we have no results. We only underline the main problem in dealing with  $B_{S-1}^\bullet$  in a bounded domain. Since we have jumps as the process approaches the boundary, then we have to control the jumping measure so that the process can not jump outside. As far




FIGURE 5. The path of  $B_{S^{-1}}^\bullet | ({}_1B_{S^{-1}}^\bullet = \text{const})$  where  $B_t^\bullet$  can be written as the vector  $({}_1B_t^\bullet, {}_2B_t^\bullet)$ . Indeed,  ${}_1B_t^\bullet$  hits the zero point and jumps according with  $G_t^1$ , then it stops for a random amount of time according with  $S^{-1}$ . This path is represented by the vertical line of Figure 4.

as we know, there are no results in this direction. The authors are working on this case. Concerning  $\Phi = Id$ , we have a clear picture of the problem given in [10] for a smooth domain  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

## 5. DISCUSSION

**5.1. Sample paths description.** In this section we recap briefly the dynamics of the processes introduced in Section 4. The process  $B^\bullet$ , defined in (4.1), is a reflected Brownian motion that jumps at 0 inside  $(0, \infty)$  in a random point given by the last jump of  $H^\Phi$ . This process turns out to be right-continuous since  $H^\Phi L^\Phi$  is the composition of the right-continuous subordinator  $H^\Phi$  with its inverse (and continuous process)  $L^\Phi$ . We recall




FIGURE 6. A possible path for  $B^\bullet$ . The case  $\eta = 0$ .

that the reflected sticky Brownian motion, say  $B^s$ , can be represented as a time-changed reflected Brownian motion. The solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_s(t, x) = \Delta v_s(t, x) & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \\ \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_s(t, 0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} v_s(t, 0) & t > 0 \\ v_s(0, x) = f(x) & x \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

for  $\eta \geq 0$  can be written as

$$v(t, x) = \mathbf{E}_x [f(B_t^s)] = \mathbf{E}_x [f(B_{T_t^+}^+)],$$

where  $T_t = t + \eta \gamma_t$ . As  $B^+$  hits the origin, then  $\gamma_t$  increases in a such a way that  $T^{-1}$  runs slowly and slows down  $B^s$ . In the last section we have seen that the solution of the

heat equation with boundary condition  $\eta \partial_t v(t, 0) = -\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi v(t, x)|_{x=0}$  is given by (4.21). The process  $L_{\gamma_t}^\Phi$  can be regarded as the local time at 0 of the process  $B^\bullet$ . Due to right-continuity of  $H^\Phi$ , determining the jump, the time change  $V^{-1}$  slows down  $B^\bullet$  immediately after the jump. The same arguments apply for the boundary condition  $\eta \mathfrak{D}_t^\Psi v(t, x)|_{x=0} = -\mathbf{D}_{x-}^\Phi v(t, x)|_{x=0}$  for which we only underline that the waiting time after a given jump is now independent from the process. This is due to the fact that the plateaus after the jumps are given by  $H^\Psi$  independently from  $H^\Phi$ .




FIGURE 7. A possible path for  $B_{S_{-1}}^\bullet$ . The case  $\eta > 0$ . Since  $\Psi \neq Id$  the random plateaus are given by  $H^\Psi$  which is independent from  $B^\bullet$ .

**5.2. Delayed and rushed reflection.** Let us consider the construction given in [10]. We respectively denote by  $\{e_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\{H_{e_i}^\Psi, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  the sequences of holding times (at the boundary) for the reflecting Brownian motion  $X^+$  and the partially delayed reflecting Brownian motion  $\bar{X}$ . The latter agrees with a delayed reflection as a boundary behavior whereas, the process  $X^+$  is instantaneously reflected. We say that  $\bar{X}$  is partially delayed in the sense that a delay effect only occurs on the boundary. Thus,  $B_{S_t}^\bullet$  equals in law  $\bar{X}$  in case  $\Phi = Id$ . Moreover, the process  $\bar{X}$  is obtained as the composition  $X_{\bar{V}_t}^+$  written in terms of the inverse to  $\bar{V}_t = S_t := t + H_{\tau_t}^\Psi$  with  $\tau_t := \eta \gamma_t(X)$ . In particular, it turns out that  $H_{e_i}^\Psi, i \in \mathbb{N}$  are i.i.d. random variables for which

$$\mathbf{E}_x[H_{e_i}^\Psi | X^+] = \mathbf{E}_x[e_1 | X^+] \mathbf{E}_0[H_1^\Psi] = \mathbf{E}_x[e_1 | X^+] \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\Psi(\lambda)}{\lambda} \quad (5.1)$$

gives the mean holding time on the boundary for the process  $\bar{X}$ . In the same spirit of the results in [6] we are able to give a classification for the reflection near the boundary. Write

$$c := \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\Psi(\lambda)}{\lambda}$$

and assume that (5.1) holds  $\forall x$ . The process  $\bar{X}$  may have (in terms of mean holding time on the boundary):

- B1) delayed boundary behavior if  $c < 1$ ;
- B2) rushed boundary behavior if  $c > 1$ ;
- B3) base (reflected) boundary behavior if  $c = 1$ .

A special case of subordinator including either delayed or rushed effect if the gamma subordinator for which, for  $a, b > 0$ ,

$$\Psi(\lambda) = a \ln(1 + \lambda/b) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\Psi(\lambda)}{\lambda} = \frac{a}{b}$$

and  $c < 1$ ,  $c > 1$  or  $c = 1$  depending on the ratio  $a/b$ . On the other hand, by considering  $\Psi = Id$ , we have that  $B^\bullet$  jumps away from the boundary according with the last jump of  $H^\Phi$ . Due to nature of the subordinator, the process  $B^\bullet$  never hits the boundary, it

reflects instantaneously before hitting the boundary. In this case, the time the process spend on the boundary can be only given (eventually) by the starting point as a point of the boundary, case in which the process is pushed immediately away once again for the nature of the subordinator. We recall that our analysis is based only on strictly increasing subordinators.

Our analysis introduces the following further characterization:

- R1) delayed/rushed reflection;
- R2) instantaneous jumping reflection;
- R3) reflection.

The delayed reflection can be related with a reflection on the boundary (like an insulating boundary for example) whereas the rushed reflection seems to be related with a reflection near the boundary (like an inflating boundary for example). These characterizations are therefore given according with the comparison between the total (mean) amounts of time the processes  $B^+$  and  $\bar{X}$  would spend on the boundary. By base boundary behavior we mean the behavior of the reflecting process  $B^+$ . We observe that instantaneous reflection means that the time the process spends on the boundary has zero Lebesgue measure. The reflection R1 is obtained via time change (by considering  $S_t^{-1}$ ) and depends on the symbol  $\Psi$  of the boundary condition. R1 gives rise to the behaviors B1 and B2, notice that in this case we may consider a boundary diffusion (in the sense of Dirichlet-Neumann generator) which can be delayed or rushed with respect to the base boundary diffusion (according with the definition given in [6]). R2 turns out to be associated with the symbol  $\Phi$  of the boundary condition. Concerning R3 we easily make the connection with B3, that is the case of Neumann boundary condition.

The behaviors B1-B2-B3 can be associated with a macroscopic description of motions on irregular domains. Indeed, irregular domains in a microscopic point of view need a geometric characterization in order to be completely described. By irregular domain here we mean domains with irregular boundaries, for example the Koch domain still is regular. The definition given in [4] of trap domain says that if a reflecting process has an infinite (mean) lifetime in a bounded domain including a Dirichlet boundary, then that process is trapped in the reflecting part of the boundary. That is to say, the boundary and therefore the domain is trap for that process. In a deep detail, given a  $d$ -dimensional reflecting Brownian motion  $B^+$  on a domain  $\Omega \setminus \mathcal{B}$  where  $\mathcal{B}$  is a ball with killing boundary  $\partial\mathcal{B}$  and  $\Omega$  has reflecting boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , then  $\Omega$  is trap for the Brownian motion if

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega \setminus \mathcal{B}} \mathbf{E}_x[\inf\{t : B_t^+ \notin \Omega \setminus \mathcal{B}\}] = \infty. \quad (5.2)$$

From the analytical point of view we ask for

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega \setminus \mathcal{B}} \int_{\Omega \setminus \mathcal{B}} G^+(x, y) dy = \infty \quad \text{where} \quad G^+(x, y) dy = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{P}_x(B_t^+ \in dy) dt.$$

Concerning the process  $B_{S^{-1}}^\bullet$  on  $\Omega^* \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\Phi = Id$  we provide the following conjecture as a possible link between macroscopic and microscopic viewpoints. We have  $B_{S^{-1}}^\bullet \stackrel{law}{=} X_{\bar{V}^{-1}}^+$  as discussed above in terms of  $\bar{X}$  on  $\Omega^*$ . Our statement is the following:

*The behavior of  $B^+$  on the irregular domain  $\Omega$  can be captured by  $\bar{X}$  on the smooth domain  $\Omega^*$ .*

This statement is justified by the fact that the time change  $\bar{V}^{-1}$  for the reflecting Brownian motion  $X^+$  introduces the behaviors B1-B2-B3 and the (mean) total amount of time the process spend on the boundary if given in terms of (5.1). This well accords with (5.2). We argue that different symbols may be considered (in the macroscopic analysis) in order to describe different boundaries (in the microscopic analysis).

We conclude this section by underlying an interesting connection with Brownian excursions. An excursion of  $B^+$  can be associated with no crossing trough a boundary for  $B^+$  and therefore, with no Poisson events associated with the crossing. For the holding time,

$$\mathbf{P}_x(H_{e_i}^\Psi > t|X^+) = \mathbf{P}_x(e_i > L_t^\Psi|X^+) = \mathbf{E}_0[e^{-L_t^\Psi}]$$

assuming that the exponential random variables  $e_i$  are of parameter 1. The excursions of  $B_{S^{-1}}^\bullet$  introduces the non-local Poisson process as we can immediately see for  $\Psi(\lambda) = \lambda^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . In this case,

$$\mathbf{P}_x(H_{e_i}^\Psi > t|X^+) = E_\alpha(-t^\alpha) =: \mathbf{P}_0(N_{L_t} = 0)$$

where  $L$  is an inverse to a stable subordinator and  $N$  is a Poisson process with  $\mathbf{P}_0(N_t = k) = (t^k/k!)e^{-t}$ . The fractional Poisson process has been introduced in [21], the literature is really vast, we refer to [1, formula (3.19)] and [26].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Luisa Beghin and Mirko D’Ovidio. Fractional poisson process with random drift. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 19:1–26, 2014.
- [2] Jean Bertoin. *Lévy processes*, volume 121. Cambridge university press Cambridge, 1996.
- [3] Jean Bertoin. Subordinators: examples and applications. In *Lectures on probability theory and statistics*, pages 1–91. Springer, 1999.
- [4] Krzysztof Burdzy, Zhen-Qing Chen, and Donald E. Marshall. Traps for reflected brownian motion. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 252(1):103–132, 2006.
- [5] Raffaella Capitanelli and Mirko D’Ovidio. Fractional equations via convergence of forms. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, 22(4):844–870, 2019.
- [6] Raffaella Capitanelli and Mirko D’Ovidio. Delayed and rushed motions through time change. *ALEA*, 17:183–204, 2020.
- [7] Zhen-Qing Chen. Time fractional equations and probabilistic representation. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 102:168–174, 2017.
- [8] Fausto Colantoni and Mirko D’Ovidio. On the inverse gamma subordinator. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, pages 1–26, 2022.
- [9] Mirko D’Ovidio. Fractional boundary value problems. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, 25(1):29–59, 2022.
- [10] Mirko D’Ovidio. Fractional boundary value problems and elastic sticky brownian motions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.04162*, 2022.
- [11] Lawrence C. Evans. *Partial differential equations*, volume 19. American Mathematical Soc., 2010.
- [12] William Feller. The parabolic differential equations and the associated semi-groups of transformations. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 468–519, 1952.
- [13] Fausto Ferrari. Weyl and marchaud derivatives: A forgotten history. *Mathematics*, 6(1):6, 2018.
- [14] Michael J. Harrison and Martin I. Reiman. Reflected brownian motion on an orthant. *The Annals of Probability*, 9(2):302–308, 1981.
- [15] Kiyosi Itô and Henry P. McKean, Jr. Brownian motions on a half line. *Illinois journal of mathematics*, 7(2):181–231, 1963.
- [16] Kiyosi Itô and Henry P. McKean, Jr. *Diffusion processes and their sample paths*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 125. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974. Second printing, corrected.
- [17] Sato Ken-Iti. *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions*. Cambridge university press, 1999.
- [18] Anatoly A. Kilbas, Hari M. Srivastava, and Juan J. Trujillo. *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, volume 204 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [19] Anatoly N. Kochubei. General fractional calculus, evolution equations, and renewal processes. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, 71(4):583–600, 2011.

- [20] Vassili N. Kolokoltsov. *Markov processes, semigroups and generators*, volume 38 of *De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2011.
- [21] Nick Laskin. Fractional poisson process. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 8(3-4):201–213, 2003.
- [22] Giovanni Leoni. *A first course in Sobolev spaces*. American Mathematical Soc., 2017.
- [23] Mark M. Meerschaert and Hans-Peter Scheffler. Triangular array limits for continuous time random walks. *Stochastic processes and their applications*, 118(9):1606–1633, 2008.
- [24] Mark M. Meerschaert and Alla Sikorskii. *Stochastic models for fractional calculus*. de Gruyter, 2019.
- [25] Ralph S. Phillips. On the generation of semigroups of linear operators. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 2(3):343–369, 1952.
- [26] Mauro Politi, Taisei Kaizoji, and Enrico Scalas. Full characterization of the fractional poisson process. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 96(2):20004, 2011.
- [27] Martin I. Reiman and Ruth J. Williams. A boundary property of semimartingale reflecting brownian motions. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 77(1):87–97, 1988.
- [28] Stefan G. Samko, Anatoly A. Kilbas, and Oleg I. Marichev. *Fractional integrals and derivatives*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, 1993.
- [29] René L. Schilling, Renming Song, and Zoran Vondracek. *Bernstein functions*. de Gruyter, 2012.
- [30] Bruno Toaldo. Convolution-type derivatives, hitting-times of subordinators and time-changed  $C_0$ -semigroups. *Potential Analysis*, 42(1):115–140, 2015.
- [31] David V. Widder. Positive temperatures on an infinite rod. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 55:85–95, 1944.
- [32] Ruth J. Williams. Semimartingale reflecting Brownian motions in the orthant. In *Stochastic networks*, volume 71 of *IMA Vol. Math. Appl.*, pages 125–137. Springer, New York, 1995.

DEPARTMENT OF BASIC AND APPLIED SCIENCES FOR ENGINEERING  
SAPIENZA UNIVERSITY OF ROME  
VIA A. SCARPA 10, ROME, ITALY

*Email address:* fausto.colantoni@uniroma1.it ; mirko.dovidio@uniroma1.it