

# Derived equivalences for trigonometric double affine Hecke algebras

WILLE LIU\*

September 29, 2022

## Abstract

Let  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, R)$  be an irreducible root system. The trigonometric double affine Hecke algebra  $\mathbf{H}_c$  for  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, R)$  depends on a family of parameters  $c$ . Given two families of parameters  $c$  and  $c'$  which differ by integers, we construct the translation functor from  $\mathbf{H}_c$ -mod to  $\mathbf{H}_{c'}$ -mod and prove that it induces equivalence of derived categories. This is a trigonometric analogue of a theorem of Losev on the derived equivalences for rational Cherednik algebras.

## Introduction

### Trigonometric double affine Hecke algebras

Let  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, R)$  be an irreducible root system, where  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a euclidean vector space and  $R \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  is the set of roots, the latter of which is assumed to span  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ . Let  $W$  be the Weyl group and  $T^{\vee}$  the algebraic torus whose character lattice is the coroot lattice of  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, R)$ . The *trigonometric double affine Hecke algebra*  $\mathbf{H}_c$  is a flat deformation of the skew tensor product  $\mathbb{C}W \rtimes \mathcal{O}(T^{\vee} \times \mathfrak{h})$ , where  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathcal{O}(T^{\vee} \times \mathfrak{h})$  is the ring of regular functions on the algebraic variety  $T^{\vee} \times \mathfrak{h}$ . It depends on a family of parameters  $c \in \mathfrak{P}$ , where  $\mathfrak{P} = \text{Map}(R/W, \mathbb{C})$ . There is a remarkable polynomial subalgebra  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathbf{H}_c$ , generated by *Dunkl operators*.

The representation theory of  $\mathbf{H}_c$  has been studied from different aspects. Of great importance is the category of *integrable  $\mathbf{H}_c$ -modules*, denoted by  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H}_c)$ . Recall that a  $\mathbf{H}_c$ -module is integrable if it is finitely generated and the Dunkl operators act locally finitely on it. The category  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H}_c)$  is noetherian and artinian, and its blocks are indexed by the set of orbits in  $\mathfrak{h}$  under the reflection action of the affine Weyl group  $\hat{W}$ . For  $[\lambda] \in \mathfrak{h}/\hat{W}$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{H}_c) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H}_c)$  denote the corresponding block.

Let  $\mathbf{K}_t$  be the extended affine Hecke algebra for the dual root system  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*, R^{\vee})$ , with a family of parameters  $t : R/W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ , and let  $\mathbf{K}_t\text{-mod}_f$  be the category of finite-dimensional  $\mathbf{K}_t$ -modules. The blocks of  $\mathbf{K}_t\text{-mod}_f$  are indexed by the set  $T/W$ , where  $T$  is the dual torus of  $T^{\vee}$ ; for  $[\ell] \in T/W$ , let  $\mathbf{K}_t\text{-mod}_{\ell} \subseteq \mathbf{K}_t\text{-mod}_f$  denote the corresponding block. A main feature of the category  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H}_c)$  is the trigonometric *Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov functor* (KZ functor), constructed in [16] in

---

\*WILLE LIU, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn, Germany  
E-mail: [wille@mpim-bonn.mpg.de](mailto:wille@mpim-bonn.mpg.de)

terms of monodromy representation of trigonometric KZ equations. It is a quotient functor of abelian categories  $\mathbb{V}_c : \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H}_c) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_t\text{-mod}_f$ . Moreover, for each  $[\lambda] \in \mathfrak{h}/\hat{W}$ , it restricts to a functor on the block  $\mathbb{V}_c^\lambda : \mathcal{O}_\lambda(\mathbf{H}_c) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_t\text{-mod}_\ell$ , where  $\ell = \exp(2\pi i\lambda) \in T$  and  $t = \exp(2\pi ic)$ .

The abelian category  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda(\mathbf{H}_c)$  has finite global dimension whereas  $\mathbf{K}_t\text{-mod}_\ell$  may have infinite global dimension, thus *singular*, in general. By analogy with algebraic geometry, the KZ functor can be viewed as a desingularisation of  $\mathbf{K}_t\text{-mod}_\ell$ . If we are given another family of parameters  $c' \in \mathfrak{P}$  such that  $c' - c \in \mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $c'$  gives rise to the same parameters  $t$  for  $\mathbf{K}_t$  and yields another quotient functor  $\mathbb{V}_{c'}^\lambda : \mathcal{O}_\lambda(\mathbf{H}_{c'}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_t\text{-mod}_\ell$ .

This leads to the question of the relation between these two “desingularisations”  $\mathbb{V}_c^\lambda$  and  $\mathbb{V}_{c'}^\lambda$ . More precisely, one expects that there exists an equivalence on the bounded derived categories  $D^b(\mathcal{O}_\lambda(\mathbf{H}_c)) \cong D^b(\mathcal{O}_\lambda(\mathbf{H}_{c'}))$  which intertwines  $\mathbb{V}_c^\lambda$  and  $\mathbb{V}_{c'}^\lambda$ . Even better, one can expect that it extends to an equivalence  $D^b(\mathbf{H}_c\text{-mod}) \cong D^b(\mathbf{H}_{c'}\text{-mod})$ . The aim of this article is to prove a variant of this statement, where the KZ functor  $\mathbb{V}_c^\lambda$  is replaced by an algebraic version  $\mathbf{V}_c^\lambda$  introduced in [9], see also §1.7.

## Derived equivalences

Let  $\mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z} = \text{Map}(R/W, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathfrak{P}$ . For  $c, c' \in \mathfrak{P}$  such that  $c' - c \in \mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z}$ , we will construct a  $(\mathbf{H}_{c'}, \mathbf{H}_c)$ -bimodule  ${}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c$ . Let  $T_{c' \leftarrow c} = {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_c}^L - : D^b(\mathbf{H}_c\text{-mod}) \rightarrow D^b(\mathbf{H}_{c'}\text{-mod})$  be the derived tensor product. We call  $T_{c' \leftarrow c}$  the *translation functor*. The main theorem is the following:

**Theorem A** (=Theorem 87+Proposition 61+Theorem 89). *The translation functor  $T_{c' \leftarrow c}$  is an equivalence of category. Moreover, for each  $[\lambda] \in \mathfrak{h}/\hat{W}$ , it restricts to an equivalence on subcategories  $D^b(\mathcal{O}_\lambda(\mathbf{H}_c)) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(\mathcal{O}_\lambda(\mathbf{H}_{c'}))$  which conserves the support on  $T^\vee/W$  and intertwines the algebraic KZ functors  $\mathbf{V}_c^\lambda$  and  $\mathbf{V}_{c'}^\lambda$ .*

For the precise meaning of conservation of support on  $T^\vee/W$ , we refer the reader to §6.9. This theorem can be viewed as an analogue of a statement about rational Cherednik algebras conjectured by Rouquier [13] and proven by Losev [11, 12]. However, both the construction and the proof of equivalence require new elements for trigonometric double affine Hecke algebras.

## Geometric background

Although our construction of the translation functor is purely algebraic, its idea arises from the realisation of the trigonometric DAHA as cohomological convolution algebra, such in the context of affine Springer theory [15] or Coulomb branches [3], and deserves a mention.

Suppose that the root system  $(\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}, R)$  is simply-laced so that  $\mathfrak{P} \cong \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$  has only one parameter. Let  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_\mathbb{R}, \hat{R})$  be the affinisation of  $(\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}, R)$ , so that we have  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_\mathbb{R}^* = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{R}\delta$ , where  $\delta$  is the primitive imaginary root. Let  $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}((\varpi))$ , let  $\mathcal{G} = G(\mathcal{K})$  be the loop group and let  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{G}$  be an Iwahori subgroup with pro-unipotent radical  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\mathbf{N} = \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathcal{N} = \mathbf{N} \otimes \mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{N}^+ = \text{Lie } \mathcal{U}$ . Following [17, 3]: one considers the following affine Springer resolution:

$$\pi : \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{G} \times^{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{N}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{N}, \quad [g : x] \mapsto \text{Ad}_g(x).$$

Consider the homogeneous version of the trigonometric double affine Hecke algebra:  $\mathbf{H}_\delta$ , whose underlying vector space is  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\hat{W}$ , where  $\delta$  is the “quantisation

parameter". There a  $(\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times)$ -action on these spaces making  $\pi$  equivariant and  $\mathbf{H}_\delta$  is isomorphic to the convolution algebra  $\mathbf{H}_\bullet^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathcal{T} \times_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{T})$ , defined an *ad hoc* way. The coordinate ring of parameters  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P})$  is sent to the ring of coefficients  $\mathbb{H}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}(\text{pt})$ .

One may twist this construction: for  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\varpi^d \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{G} \times^{\mathcal{B}} \varpi^d \mathcal{N}^+$ ; then, the isomorphism  $\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varpi^d \mathcal{T}$  given by multiplication by  $\varpi$  twists the  $(\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times)$ -action, which yields an isomorphism  $\mathbf{H}_\delta \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{H}_\bullet^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\varpi^d \mathcal{T} \times_{\mathcal{N}} \varpi^d \mathcal{T})$  so that the restriction of this map to  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}(\text{pt})$  is shifted by  $d$ .

One will then define  $\mathbf{B}^{(d)} = \mathbf{H}_\bullet^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\varpi^d \mathcal{T} \times_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{T})$ . It can be equipped with the structure of  $\mathbf{H}_\delta$ -bimodule via the convolution product. When the parameters is specialised to  $c \in \mathfrak{P}$  and  $\delta$  is set to be 1, the quotient of  $\mathbf{B}^{(d)}$  becomes a  $(\mathbf{H}_{c+d}, \mathbf{H}_c)$ -bimodule, denoted by  ${}_{c+d}\mathbf{B}_c$ . One will then show by geometric methods, notably via the equivariant localisation, that the derived tensor product  ${}_{c+d}\mathbf{B}_c \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_c}^{\mathbb{L}} -$  yields a derived equivalence  $\text{D}^b(\mathbf{H}_c\text{-mod}) \cong \text{D}^b(\mathbf{H}_{c+d}\text{-mod})$ .

When the root system  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, R)$  is not simply-laced, in order to allow the full generality for the parameters  $c \in \mathfrak{P}$ , one will need to replace the adjoint representation  $\mathbf{N}$ . For type  $BC$ , one can use Kato's exotic nilpotent cone [7]. For type  $F_4$  and  $G_2$ , the representation  $\mathbf{N}$  can be chosen in characteristic 2 and 3 respectively (as it is told to the author by Kato).

## Algebraic construction

Rather than working geometrically in the context of affine Springer theory, we have opted for a purely algebraic approach for three reasons: (i) the algebraic approach is uniform for all types of root systems (ii) due to the infinite-dimensional nature of the geometry, the geometric approach would require an *ad hoc* equivariant localisation theorem, which is a considerable technical complication (iii) the imaginary weights of the  $\mathcal{G}$ -representation  $\mathcal{N}$  are embarrassing; the algebraic construction allows us get rid of the imaginary part.

Given any shift of parameters  $d \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , the spherical polynomial representation of  $\mathbf{H}_\delta$  on  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$  is faithful and yields an embedding of  $\mathbf{H}_\delta$  into the nil-Hecke algebra  $\mathbb{H}_W^{\text{nil}}$  for the affine Weyl group. The geometric counterpart of this embedding is the map  $\mathbf{z}^* : \mathbf{H}_\bullet^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathcal{T} \times_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{T}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}_\bullet^{\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\text{Fl} \times \text{Fl})$ , induced by the zero-section  $\mathbf{z} : \text{Fl} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ , where  $\text{Fl} = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{B}$  is the affine flag manifold; such a map has notably appeared in [3, 5(iv)].

Based on this embedding, we will construct a category  $\mathbf{A}$ , whose objects are chambers of certain hyperplane arrangement on the affine space  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R}}^1 = \{z \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R}} ; \delta(z) = 1\}$  and whose morphisms are subspaces of  $\mathbb{H}_W^{\text{nil}}$ , whose composition is given by the multiplication in  $\mathbb{H}_W^{\text{nil}}$ . For each  $d \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , there is an object  $\kappa_d \in \mathbf{A}$  and an isomorphism  $\mathbf{H}_\delta \cong \text{End}_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa_d)$ . The bimodule  $\mathbf{B}^{(d)}$  will be defined as the hom-space  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa_0, \kappa_d)$ , which is naturally a  $\mathbf{H}_\delta$ -bimodule via the composition of morphisms. The translation functor is then defined to be  $T_{c' \leftarrow c} = {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_c}^{\mathbb{L}} -$ , where  ${}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c$  is the specialisation of  $\mathbf{B}^{(d)}$  as explained above. The construction of  $\mathbf{A}$  is based on an abstraction of the calculation of Euler classes on the Steinberg-type varieties  $\varpi^{d'} \mathcal{T} \times_{\mathcal{N}} \varpi^d \mathcal{T}$  for various shifts  $d, d' \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

Let us remark that similar constructions of the category  $\mathbf{A}$  and the bimodule  ${}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c$  have already been carried out by Webster in [19, 18] in the context of quantised Coulomb branches. However, our strategy of proving the equivalence is quite different from his: instead of working on Frobenius-constant quantisation of resolution of singularities of the Coulomb branch, the essence of our approach consists of (i) a systematic analysis of the completion of the algebra  $\mathbf{H}_c$  as well as the bimodule

${}_{c+d}\mathbf{B}_c$  at every maximal ideals of the ring  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$  (called *spectral completion*), (ii) a trigonometric analogue of the technique deformation of Harish-Chandra bimodules due to Bezrukavnikov–Losev [1], (iii) the use of the algebra  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{B}^{(nd)}$ , which is the sum of translation bimodules along the semigroup generated by  $d \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

## Organisation

The article is organised as follows:

In §1, we recollect some previously known results about trigonometric double affine Hecke algebras.

In §2, we develop the basic theory of Harish-Chandra bimodules for trigonometric DAHAs. We prove that they form a Serre subcategory closed under Tor and Ext. Moreover, we prove that the Tor and Ext of Harish-Chandra bimodules commute with spectral completion.

In §3, we define and study the category  $\mathbf{A}$  and an embedding of the trigonometric DAHA in it.

In §4, we define and study the category  $\mathscr{A}^{c,\lambda}$ , which is a spectral-completed version of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

In §5, we construct the translation bimodules  $\mathbf{B}^{(d)}$  as certain hom-space in  $\mathbf{A}$  and prove that they are Harish-Chandra bimodules. We then prove the noetherianity of the algebra  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{B}^{(nd)}$ .

In §6, we define the translation functor  $T_{c' \leftarrow c}$  and prove that it is a derived equivalence.

## Acknowledgement

I'm indebted to Éric Vasserot for his continual support and hours of discussion on the subject. I would also like to thank José Simental for teaching me about Harish-Chandra bimodules and Kato Syu for telling me about the geometric constructions of affine Hecke algebras of non-simply laced types.

# 1 Reminder on the trigonometric DAHA

In this section, we recollect known results about the trigonometric DAHA which will be needed later. All results presented here can be found in certain variant forms in [16, 9] for example.

## 1.1 Affine root systems

We fix the notation for affine root systems, which will be constantly used throughout this article.

Let  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, R)$  be an irreducible root system, either reduced or not, where  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a euclidean vector space and  $R \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  is the set of roots, which spans  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ . The affinisation  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \tilde{R})$  is an affine root system on the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}\partial$ , whose dual can be written as  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R}}^* = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* \oplus \mathbb{R}\delta$  with the pairing

$$\langle \alpha + t\delta, \lambda + r\delta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle + rt, \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*, \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \text{and } r, s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The set of affine roots is defined to be

$$\tilde{R} = \left\{ \alpha + n\delta \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R}}^* ; \alpha \in R_{\text{red}}, n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \cup \left\{ \alpha + (2n+1)\delta \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R}}^* ; \alpha \in R \setminus R_{\text{red}}, n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

where  $R_{\text{red}} \subseteq R$  is the subset of indivisible roots. However, for technical reasons, the following modification of  $\tilde{R}$  will be more convenient for us:

$$\Phi = \left\{ \alpha + n\delta \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R}}^* ; \alpha \in R_{\text{red}}, n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \cup \left\{ \alpha/2 + (n+1/2)\delta \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R}}^* ; \alpha \in R \setminus R_{\text{red}}, n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

We fix a basis  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subset R$ , which extends to  $\hat{\Delta} = \Delta \cup \{\alpha_0\}$ , where  $\alpha_0 \in \Phi$  is the affine root defined as follows: let  $\theta \in R$  be the largest root with respect to  $\Delta$ ; then  $\alpha_0 = \delta - \theta$  if  $\theta \in R_{\text{red}}$  and  $\alpha_0 = (\delta - \theta)/2$  if  $\theta \in R \setminus R_{\text{red}}$ .

The affine Weyl group of  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \Phi)$  is the Coxeter group  $\hat{W}$  generated by the simple reflections  $\{s_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \hat{\Delta}}$ . Let  $(m_{\alpha, \beta})_{\alpha, \beta \in \hat{\Delta}}$  denote the Coxeter matrix, so that  $m_{\alpha, \beta} = \text{ord}(s_{\alpha}s_{\beta})$ .

**Example 1** (type  $BC_n$ ). Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R}\epsilon_i$  be the euclidean space with inner product  $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$ . The root system of type  $BC_n$  is the datum  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, R)$ , where  $R = R_{\natural} \cup R_{\sharp} \cup R_{\flat}$  with

$$R_{\sharp} = \{\pm\epsilon_i ; 1 \leq i \leq n\}, R_{\natural} = \{\pm\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j ; 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}, R_{\flat} = \{\pm 2\epsilon_i ; 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

The indivisible roots are  $R_{\text{red}} = R_{\natural} \cup R_{\sharp}$ . The three subsets  $R_{\sharp}$ ,  $R_{\natural}$  and  $R_{\flat}$  (when  $n = 1$ , the two sets  $R_{\sharp}, R_{\flat}$ ) are the  $W$ -orbits in  $R$  and they are characterised by the length of roots. We shall write  $R/W = \{\natural, \sharp, \flat\}$  ( $R/W = \{\sharp, \flat\}$  when  $n = 1$ ). The (standard) basis given by  $\Delta = \{\alpha_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  with  $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $\alpha_n = \epsilon_n$ . The largest root is  $\theta = 2\epsilon_1$ .

The affine root system of type  $BC_n$  is the datum  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \Phi)$ , where  $\Phi = \Phi_{\natural} \cup \Phi_{\sharp} \cup \Phi_{\flat}$  and  $\Phi_{\natural} = R_{\natural} \times \mathbb{Z}\delta$ ,  $\Phi_{\sharp} = R_{\sharp} \times \mathbb{Z}\delta$ ,  $\Phi_{\flat} = (1/2)R_{\flat} \times (1/2 + \mathbb{Z})\delta$ . Let  $\alpha_0 = (\delta - \theta)/2$ . The standard affine basis is  $\hat{\Delta} = \Delta \cup \{\alpha_0\}$ . Notice that  $\alpha_n \in \Phi_{\sharp}$ ,  $\alpha_0 \in \Phi_{\flat}$  and  $\alpha_i \in \Phi_{\natural}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ .

**Example 2** (type  $F_4$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^4 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \mathbb{R}\epsilon_i$  be the euclidean space. The root system of type  $F_4$  is the datum  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, R)$ , where  $R = R_{\natural} \cup R_{\sharp}$  with

$$R_{\natural} = \{\pm\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j ; 1 \leq i < j \leq 4\}, R_{\sharp} = \{\pm\epsilon_i ; 1 \leq i \leq 4\} \cup \{(\pm\epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3 \pm \epsilon_4)/2\}.$$

The standard basis is given by  $\{\alpha_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 4}$  with  $\alpha_1 = \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4$ ,  $\alpha_3 = \epsilon_4$  and  $\alpha_4 = (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4)/2$ . The largest root is  $\theta = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$ . The affine root system of type  $\tilde{F}_4$  is the datum  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \Phi)$ , where  $\Phi = \Phi_{\natural} \cup \Phi_{\sharp}$  and  $\Phi_{\natural} = R_{\natural} \times \mathbb{Z}\delta$ ,  $\Phi_{\sharp} = R_{\sharp} \times \mathbb{Z}\delta$ .

**Example 3** (type  $G_2$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3) \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{R}\epsilon_i = \mathbb{R}^3$ . The root system of type  $G_2$  is the datum  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, R)$ , where  $R = R_{\natural} \cup R_{\sharp}$  with

$$R_{\natural} = \{\pm(2\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j - \epsilon_k) ; \{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}\}, R_{\sharp} = \{\pm(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j) ; 1 \leq i < j \leq 3\}.$$

The standard basis is given by  $\{\alpha_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2}$  with  $\alpha_1 = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$  and  $\alpha_2 = -2\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3$ . The largest root is  $\theta = -\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$ . The affine root system of type  $\tilde{G}_2$  is the datum  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \Phi)$ , where  $\Phi = \Phi_{\natural} \cup \Phi_{\sharp}$  and  $\Phi_{\natural} = R_{\natural} \times \mathbb{Z}\delta$ ,  $\Phi_{\sharp} = R_{\sharp} \times \mathbb{Z}\delta$ .

## 1.2 Trigonometric DAHA

**1.2.1.** Fix an irreducible root system  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, R)$  as above. We put  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{c}_*; * \in R/W]$  be a polynomial rings whose variables are parametrised by the  $\hat{W}$ -conjugacy classes of affine roots. We will write  $\mathfrak{P} = \text{Spm } \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{c}_*; * \in R/W]$  for its maximal spectrum, so that  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{c}_*; * \in R/W] = \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P})$ . Let  $\tilde{W} = W \ltimes P_R^{\vee}$  be the extended affine Weyl group, where  $P_R^{\vee} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is the coweight lattice. There is a bijection  $R/W \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi/\hat{W}$  which sends  $R_*$  to  $\Phi_*$  for  $* \in \{\natural, \sharp, \flat\}$  as in [Example 1–Example 3](#). For  $* \in R/W$  and  $\alpha \in \Phi_*$ , we will write  $\mathbf{c}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{c}_*$ .

1.2.2. The (homogeneous) trigonometric double affine Hecke algebra for  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R}}, R, \hat{\Delta})$ , denoted by  $\mathbf{H}_{\delta}$ , is the unital associated algebra over  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P})$  generated by the sets  $\{s_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \hat{\Delta}}$  and  $\{x^{\mu}\}_{\mu \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}^*}$  subject to the following relations for  $\mu, \nu \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \hat{\Delta}$ :

$$x^{a\mu+b\nu} = ax^{\mu} + bx^{\nu}, \quad [x^{\mu}, x^{\nu}] = 0, \quad (s_{\alpha}s_{\beta})^{m_{\alpha,\beta}} = 1, \quad s_{\alpha}x^{\mu} - x^{s_{\alpha}(\mu)}s_{\alpha} = \mathbf{c}_{\alpha}\langle \mu, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle,$$

where  $\alpha^{\vee} = \alpha / \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ .

The family  $\{x^{\mu}\}_{\mu \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}^*}$  generates inside  $\mathbf{H}_{\delta}$  a polynomial subring  $\text{Sym}(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}^*) \cong \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$  whereas  $\{s_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \hat{\Delta}}$  generates a subalgebra isomorphic to the group ring  $\mathbb{C}\hat{W}$  of the affine Weyl group. We will simply write  $\mu = x^{\mu}$  for  $\mu \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ . Moreover, the centre of  $\mathbf{H}_{\delta}$  is the polynomial ring  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P})[\delta]$ . There is a decomposition of  $\mathbf{H}_{\delta}$  as vector space:

$$\mathbf{H}_{\delta} = \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\hat{W}.$$

We set  $\mathbf{S}_{\delta} = \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\delta}$ ; it is a polynomial subring.

1.2.3. We put a grading on  $\mathbf{H}_{\delta}$  by setting  $\deg \mathfrak{P}^* = \deg \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}^* = 1$  and  $\deg \mathbb{C}\hat{W} = 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_{\delta}/(\delta - 1)$  (resp.  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_{\delta}/(\delta - 1)$ ) be the quotient ring of  $\mathbf{H}_{\delta}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{S}_{\delta}$ ) by the two-sided ideal generated by  $\delta - 1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}^1$  be the vanishing locus of  $\delta - 1$  in  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ . We may identify  $\mathbf{S} = \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1)$ . The ring  $\mathbf{H}$  is equipped with a filtration  $F_{\bullet}^{\delta}\mathbf{H}$  induced from the grading on  $\mathbf{H}_{\delta}$ , called *canonical filtration* of  $\mathbf{H}$ . Since  $\mathbf{H}_{\delta}$  is free over  $\mathbb{C}[\delta]$ , the homogeneous dDAHA  $\mathbf{H}_{\delta}$  is isomorphic to the Rees algebra of the canonical filtration  $F_{\bullet}^{\delta}\mathbf{H}$  in a natural way.

Given a family of parameters  $c \in \mathfrak{P}$ , we will write  $c_* = \mathbf{c}_*(c)$  for  $* \in R/W$  and define the specialisation of parameters  $\mathbf{H}_c = \mathbf{H}/(\mathbf{c}_* - c_*)_{* \in R/W}$  and  $\mathbf{S}_c = \mathbf{S}/(\mathbf{c}_* - c_*)$ .

1.2.4. The following is well known, which can be established by the technique of degeneration of filtered rings:

**Proposition 4.** *The rings  $\mathbf{H}$  and  $\mathbf{H}_c$  for  $c \in \mathfrak{P}$  have finite global dimension.*  $\square$

### 1.3 Integrable modules

**Definition 5.** *A finitely generated  $\mathbf{H}$ -module  $M$  is called integrable if  $M$  is, by restriction, a locally finite  $\mathbf{S}$ -module.*

We let  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H}) \subset \mathbf{H}\text{-mod}$  denote the subcategory of integrable  $\mathbf{H}$ -modules.

For each point  $(c, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$ , we let  $\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda} = \{f \in \mathbf{S} ; f(c, \lambda) = 0\}$  denote the defining ideal of  $(c, \lambda)$ . If  $M$  is an integrable  $\mathbf{H}$ -module, then there is a decomposition

$$M = \bigoplus_{(c,\lambda) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1} M_{c,\lambda}, \quad M_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \{m \in M ; \mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}^k m = 0\}.$$

The category  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H})$  can be decomposed into blocks

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H}) = \bigoplus_{(c, [\lambda]) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1 / \hat{W}} \mathcal{O}_{c, \lambda}(\mathbf{H}), \quad \text{Obj}(\mathcal{O}_{c, \lambda}(\mathbf{H})) = \left\{ M \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H}) ; M = \bigoplus_{\lambda' \in [\lambda]} M_{c, \lambda'} \right\}.$$

**Proposition 6.** *For any  $M \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H})$  and any point  $(c, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$ , the generalised  $(c, \lambda)$ -weight space  $M_{c, \lambda} \subset M$  is finite-dimensional.*  $\square$

Given  $c \in \mathfrak{P}$ , we define  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H}_c)$  to be the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{H}_c\text{-mod}$  consisting of modules  $M$  which, regarded as  $\mathbf{H}$ -module, is in  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H})$ . The block decomposition

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H}_c) = \bigoplus_{[\lambda] \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} / \hat{W}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{H}_c)$$

works in a similar way.

## 1.4 Length filtration

We define another filtration  $\{F_k^\zeta \mathbf{H}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  on  $\mathbf{H}$ , called *length filtration*, by setting

$$F_k^\zeta \mathbf{H} = \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in \hat{W} \\ \ell(w) \leq k}} w\mathbf{S}.$$

The Rees algebra, denoted by  $\mathbf{H}_\zeta = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \zeta^k F_k^\zeta \mathbf{H}$  is left and right noetherian. For each  $w \in \hat{W}$ , let  $\bar{w} \in \text{gr}_{\ell(w)}^\zeta \mathbf{H}$  be its image under the map quotient. Then we have

$$\text{gr}_k^\zeta \mathbf{H} = \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in \hat{W} \\ \ell(w) = k}} \bar{w}\mathbf{S},$$

which is a  $\mathbf{S}$ -bimodule, finitely generated both as left and right  $\mathbf{S}$ -module.

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $M \in \mathbf{H}\text{-mod}$ . Then  $M$  lies in  $\text{O}(\mathbf{H})$  if and only if for some (equiv. for every) good filtration  $\{F_k M\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with respect to the length filtration of  $\mathbf{H}$ , we have  $\dim F_k M < \infty$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose the good filtration  $F_\bullet M$  satisfies the condition of finiteness. Then, as the action of  $\mathbf{S}$  on  $M$  preserves each degree  $F_k M$ , the action of  $\mathbf{S}$  on  $M$  is locally finite; hence  $M \in \text{O}(\mathbf{H})$ . Conversely, suppose that  $M \in \text{O}(\mathbf{H})$  and  $\{F_k M\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a good filtration with respect to the length filtration  $F_\bullet \mathbf{H}$ . Since  $\text{gr}^F M$  is finitely generated over  $\text{gr}^\zeta \mathbf{H}$ , we can find a finite-dimensional generating graded subspace  $V \subset \text{gr}^F M$ . Hence we have

$$\text{gr}_k^F M = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{gr}_j^\zeta \mathbf{H}(V \cap \text{gr}_{k-j}^F M) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \bigoplus_{\ell(w)=j} [w]\mathbf{S}(V \cap \text{gr}_{k-j}^F M).$$

By the hypothesis that  $M \in \text{O}(\mathbf{H})$ , the space  $\mathbf{S}(V \cap \text{gr}_{k-j}^F M)$  is finite dimensional. Since  $\text{gr}_{k-j}^F M$  vanishes for  $j \gg 0$  by the finite generation, it follows that  $\dim \text{gr}_k^F M < \infty$  and, consequently,  $\dim F_k M < \infty$ .  $\square$

## 1.5 Spectral completion of modules

*1.5.1.* Let  $\hat{W}$  act trivially on  $\mathfrak{P}$ . For each  $\hat{W}$ -stable subset  $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$ , define the following (non-unital) ring

$$\mathcal{S}^\Sigma = \bigoplus_{(c,\lambda) \in \Sigma} \mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^\wedge,$$

where  $\mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^\wedge$  is the completion of  $\mathbf{S}$  at the defining ideal of  $(c, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$ . When  $\Sigma = \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$ , we will write  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1}$ . For each  $(c, \lambda) \in \Sigma$ , the identity element of  $\mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^\wedge$  is an idempotent in  $\mathcal{S}^\Sigma$ , denoted by  $1_{c,\lambda}$ . Then,  $\{1_{c,\lambda}\}_{(c,\lambda) \in \Sigma}$  is a complete orthogonal family of idempotents in the sense that

$$\mathcal{S}^\Sigma = \bigoplus_{(c,\lambda), (c',\lambda') \in \Sigma} 1_{c',\lambda'} \mathcal{S}^\Sigma 1_{c,\lambda}.$$

**Lemma 8.** *For any  $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$  as above,  $\mathcal{S}^\Sigma$  flat over  $\mathbf{S}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{S}$  is faithfully flat over  $\mathbf{S}$ .*

*Proof.* The first statement is due to the noetherianity of  $\mathbf{S}$ , see [2, §3.4, Théorème 3.(iii)]. For the second statement, let  $M$  be any  $\mathbf{S}$ -module and let  $a \in M \setminus \{0\}$ . Choose a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbf{S}$  containing the annihilator  $\text{ann}_{\mathbf{S}}(a)$ , so that the image of  $a$  in  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} M$  is non-zero. Since  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\wedge}$  is faithfully flat over  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}$  (the latter being noetherian and local), the image of  $a$  in  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\wedge} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} M$  is non-zero. It follows that  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\wedge} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} M \neq 0$  and hence  $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} M \neq 0$  holds, so  $\mathcal{S}$  is faithfully flat over  $\mathbf{S}$ .  $\square$

Define  $\mathcal{S}^{\Sigma}$ -Mod to be the category of  $\mathcal{S}^{\Sigma}$ -modules  $M$  satisfying  $M = \bigoplus_{(c,\lambda) \in \Sigma} 1_{c,\lambda} M$ . Then, Lemma 8 implies that  $\mathcal{S}^{\Sigma} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} - : \mathbf{S}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\Sigma}\text{-Mod}$  is an exact functor and  $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} - : \mathbf{S}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\text{-Mod}$  is conservative.

1.5.2. For each element  $w \in \hat{W}$ , the action  $w : \mathfrak{h}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{h}^1$  induces an isomorphism of complete local rings

$$w(\cdot) : \mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^{\wedge} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{c,w\lambda}^{\wedge}, \quad f_{\lambda} \mapsto w(f_{\lambda}) \quad \text{for } f_{\lambda} \in \mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^{\wedge}.$$

For each affine root  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , define the Demazure operator  $\vartheta_{\alpha} : \mathcal{S}^{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\Sigma}$  to be the linear map satisfying

$$\vartheta_{\alpha}(f_{\lambda}) = \alpha^{-1}(f_{\lambda} - s_{\alpha}(f_{\lambda})) \quad \text{for } f_{\lambda} \in \mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^{\wedge}.$$

Notice that the above expression makes sense: when  $\alpha(\lambda) = 0$ , we have  $\alpha^{-1}(f_{\lambda} - s_{\alpha}(f_{\lambda})) \in \mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^{\wedge}$ ; when  $\alpha(\lambda) \neq 0$ , the element  $\alpha$  is invertible in both  $\mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^{\wedge}$  and  $\mathbf{S}_{c,s_{\alpha}\lambda}^{\wedge}$ , so we have  $\alpha^{-1}f_{\lambda} - \alpha^{-1}s_{\alpha}(f_{\lambda}) \in \mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^{\wedge} \oplus \mathbf{S}_{c,s_{\alpha}\lambda}^{\wedge}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}^{\Sigma} = \mathcal{S}^{\Sigma} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{H}$ . We extend the ring structures on  $\mathcal{S}^{\Sigma}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$  to  $\mathcal{H}^{\Sigma}$  by the following rules:

$$\begin{aligned} (f \otimes 1)(g \otimes a) &= fg \otimes a \\ (f \otimes s_{\alpha})(g \otimes a) &= f \cdot s_{\alpha}g \otimes s_{\alpha}a + \mathbf{c}_{\alpha}\vartheta_{\alpha}(g) \otimes a \end{aligned}$$

for  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}^{\Sigma}$ ,  $a \in \mathbf{H}$  and  $\alpha \in \hat{\Delta}$ . This ring structure induces an isomorphism:

$$\mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathcal{S}^{\Sigma} \cong \mathcal{S}^{\Sigma} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{H} = \mathcal{H}^{\Sigma}, \quad a \otimes f \mapsto \sum_{(c,\lambda) \in \Sigma} (1_{c,\lambda} \otimes a)(1 \otimes f). \quad (9)$$

Notice that the above summation must be finite.

1.5.3. Let  $\mathcal{H}^{\Sigma}$ -Mod be the category of  $\mathcal{H}^{\Sigma}$ -modules  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfying  $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{(c,\lambda) \in \Sigma} 1_{c,\lambda} \mathcal{M}$ . For  $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$ , and  $M \in \mathbf{H}\text{-Mod}$ , define  $\mathcal{C}^{\Sigma} M = \mathcal{S}^{\Sigma} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} M$ . We equip  $\mathcal{C}^{\Sigma} M$  with a  $\mathcal{H}^{\Sigma}$ -module structure by the following rules:

$$\begin{aligned} (f \otimes 1)(g \otimes m) &= fg \otimes m \\ (f \otimes s_{\alpha})(g \otimes m) &= f \cdot s_{\alpha}g \otimes s_{\alpha}m + \mathbf{c}_{\alpha}\vartheta_{\alpha}(g) \otimes m \end{aligned}$$

for  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}^{\Sigma}$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \hat{\Delta}$ .

Each element of  $\mathcal{H}^{\Sigma}$  can be expressed as finite sum of the following form in a unique way:

$$\sum_{(c,\lambda) \in \Sigma} \sum_{w \in \hat{W}} 1_{c,w\lambda} w f_{c,\lambda} w 1_{c,\lambda} \quad \text{for } f_{c,\lambda,w} \in \mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^{\wedge}.$$

**Definition 10.** For any  $\hat{W}$ -invariant subset  $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$ , the spectral completion at  $\Sigma$  is the following functor:

$$\mathcal{C}^{\Sigma} : \mathbf{H}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\Sigma}\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto \mathcal{S}^{\Sigma} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} M.$$



It is an exact functor by [Lemma 8](#). In the case where  $\Sigma = \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$ , we denote  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^{\mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}^\Sigma\text{-mod} \subset \mathcal{H}^\Sigma\text{-Mod}$  be the full subcategory of compact objects. It admits a set of compact projective generators  $\{\mathcal{H}^\Sigma 1_{c,\lambda}\}_{(c,\lambda) \in \Sigma}$ . For  $(c, [\lambda]) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1/\hat{W}$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda = \mathcal{H}^{[(c,\lambda)]}/(\mathbf{c} = c)$  be the specialisation of parameters. Then, the spectral completion induces an exact functor

$$\mathcal{C}_c^\lambda : \mathbf{H}_c\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto \left( \mathcal{S}^{[(c,\lambda)]}/(\mathbf{c} - c) \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_c} M.$$

1.5.4. Let  $(c, [\lambda]) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$ . We put  $\mathcal{Z}^\lambda = (\mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^\wedge)^{\hat{W}_\lambda}$ . It is a complete regular local ring by the invariant theory of reflection groups. For each  $\lambda' \in [\lambda]$ , choose  $w \in \hat{W}$  such that  $\lambda' = w\lambda$  and consider the embedding

$$\mathcal{Z}^\lambda \hookrightarrow \mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^\wedge \xrightarrow{w} \mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda'}^\wedge, \quad z \mapsto z_{c,\lambda'} := w z,$$

which is independent of the choice of  $w$  and make  $\mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda'}^\wedge$  a free  $\mathcal{Z}^\lambda$ -module of rank  $\#\hat{W}_\lambda$ . It defines a  $\mathcal{Z}^\lambda$ -action on the identity functor of  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-Mod}$  by natural transformations:

$$\chi : \mathcal{Z}^\lambda \rightarrow \text{End}(\text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda}), \quad \chi(z)(m) = \sum_{\lambda' \in [\lambda]} z_{c,\lambda'} m.$$

Consequently, the category  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-Mod}$  comes with a natural  $\mathcal{Z}^\lambda$ -linear structure (i.e. it is enriched over  $\mathcal{Z}^\lambda\text{-Mod}$ ); moreover, the Hom-spaces between objects from  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-mod}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-proj}$ ) are finitely generated  $\mathcal{Z}^\lambda$ -modules (resp. free  $\mathcal{Z}^\lambda$ -modules of finite rank).

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-mod}$  be the full subcategory consisting of compact objects  $M$  such that  $\text{End}(M)$  is a torsion  $\mathcal{Z}^\lambda$ -module. Then, the quotient category  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-mod}/\mathcal{T}$  is equivalent to  $\text{Frac } \mathcal{Z}^\lambda\text{-mod}$ .*

*Proof.* Use the compact projective generators  $\{\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda 1_{c,\lambda'}\}_{\lambda' \in [\lambda]}$  and observe that for each  $\lambda' \in [\lambda]$ , the morphism

$$- \cdot s_\alpha 1_{c,s_\alpha \lambda'} : \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda 1_{c,\lambda'} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda 1_{c,s_\alpha \lambda'}$$

is injective with cokernel lying in  $\mathcal{T}$ ; it follows that this map becomes an isomorphism after passing to the quotient category  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-mod}/\mathcal{T}$ ; therefore, the image of  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda 1_{c,\lambda}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-mod}/\mathcal{T}$  is a compact projective generator. Let  $A = \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda}(\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda 1_{c,\lambda}) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}^\lambda} \text{Frac } \mathcal{Z}^\lambda$ , so that  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-mod}/\mathcal{T}$  is equivalent to  $A\text{-mod}$  and

$$A \cong 1_{c,\lambda} \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda 1_{c,\lambda} \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}^\lambda} \text{Frac } \mathcal{Z}^\lambda$$

is isomorphic to the subalgebra of  $\text{End}(\text{Frac } \mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^\wedge)$  generated by  $\text{Frac } \mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^\wedge$  and the action of  $\hat{W}_\lambda$ . Since  $\hat{W}_\lambda$  is a reflection group on  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}^1$ , the classical invariant theory implies that this subalgebra is equal to  $\text{End}_{\text{Frac } \mathcal{Z}^\lambda}(\text{Frac } \mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^\wedge)$ , which is a matrix algebra of rank  $\#\hat{W}_\lambda$  over the field  $\text{Frac } \mathcal{Z}^\lambda$ . The statement follows.  $\square$

## 1.6 Coxeter complex and clan decomposition

1.6.1. For a non-constant affine function  $\alpha$  on  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}^1$ , let  $H_\alpha = \{h \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}^1 ; \alpha(h) = 0\}$  be its zero locus in  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}^1$ . The affine hyperplane arrangement  $\{H_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Phi}$  yields a simplicial decomposition of  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}^1$ , which can be identified with the Coxeter complex of  $\hat{W}$ . The relative interior of simplices are called *facets*. The facets of maximal dimension are called *alcoves*. The fundamental alcove is defined by  $\nu_0 = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \hat{\Delta}} \alpha^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ , where the affine root  $\alpha$  is viewed as affine function on  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}^1$ .

1.6.2. Fix  $(c, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$ . Consider the subfamily of affine roots  $\Phi_{c, \lambda} = \{\alpha \in \Phi ; \alpha(\lambda) = c_\alpha\}$  and the hyperplane arrangement  $\{H_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Phi_{c, \lambda}}$  on  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$ .

**Definition 12.** *The connected components of the complements  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^1 \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi_{c, \lambda}} H_\alpha$  are called  $(c, \lambda)$ -clans.*

Let  $\text{Cl}^{c, \lambda}(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^1)$  denote the set of  $(c, \lambda)$ -clans in  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$ . Given a  $(c, \lambda)$ -clan  $\mathfrak{C} \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$ , we define its *salient cone* to be  $\kappa = \{h \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} ; \mathfrak{C} + h \subseteq \mathfrak{C}\}$ . Its dual cone can be described as follows:

$$\kappa^\vee = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Phi_{c, \lambda} \\ \alpha(\mathfrak{C}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{>0}}} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \bar{\alpha} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Phi_{c, \lambda} \\ \alpha(\mathfrak{C}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{<0}}} \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \bar{\alpha}, \quad \text{where } \bar{\alpha} = \alpha|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*}.$$

In other words,  $\kappa$  tells in which directions the clan  $\mathfrak{C}$  is unbounded. Each alcove of the Coxeter complex for  $\Phi$  is contained in a unique clan.

**Definition 13.** *A  $(c, \lambda)$ -clan  $\mathfrak{C} \in \text{Cl}^{c, \lambda}(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^1)$  is said to be generic if its salient cone  $\kappa$  satisfies  $\dim \kappa = \dim \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .*

In other words, a  $(c, \lambda)$ -clan  $\mathfrak{C} \in \text{Cl}^{c, \lambda}(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^1)$  is generic if  $\bar{\alpha}$  does not vanish on  $\kappa$  for any  $\alpha \in \Phi_{c, \lambda}$ .

1.6.3. The action of  $\hat{W}_\lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$  preserves  $\Phi_{c, \lambda}$  and thus induces an action on  $\text{Cl}^{c, \lambda}(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^1)$ . Let  $\Sigma \subset \hat{W}$  be a subset such that each  $\hat{W}_\lambda$ -orbit in  $\text{Cl}^{c, \lambda}(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^1)$  contains at least one alcove from the family  $\{w^{-1}\nu_0\}_{w \in \Sigma}$ . It is shown in [9] that the idempotent  $1_\Sigma := \sum_{w \in \Sigma} 1_{c, w\lambda} \in \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda$  yields a Morita equivalence:

$$\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{\sim} 1_\Sigma \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda 1_\Sigma\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto 1_\Sigma M. \quad (14)$$

Moreover, the natural map

$$\mathcal{Z}^\lambda \rightarrow 1_\Sigma \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda 1_\Sigma, \quad z \mapsto \sum_{w \in \Sigma} z_{c, w\lambda}$$

is an isomorphism onto the centre of  $1_\Sigma \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda 1_\Sigma$ .

1.6.4.

**Proposition 15.** *Given  $c \in \mathfrak{P}$  and  $[\lambda] \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}/\hat{W}$ , there are equivalences of categories*

$$\mathcal{E}^{[(c, \lambda)]} : \mathcal{O}_{c, \lambda}(\mathbf{H}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^{[(c, \lambda)]}\text{-mod}_{\text{fl}}, \quad \mathcal{C}_c^\lambda : \mathcal{O}_\lambda(\mathbf{H}_c) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-mod}_{\text{fl}}.$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_c^{[\lambda]}\text{-mod}_{\text{fl}} \subset \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-mod}$  denotes the subcategory of objects of finite length.

*Proof.* Given  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{c, \lambda}(\mathbf{H})$ , we have  $M \cong \mathcal{M} := \mathcal{E}^{[(c, \lambda)]}M$  as vector space by Proposition 6; then, via the Morita equivalence (14), the finite dimensionality of  $1_\Sigma \mathcal{M}$  implies that  $\mathcal{M}$  is of finite length and lies in  $\mathcal{H}^{[(c, \lambda)]}\text{-mod}_{\text{fl}}$ . Conversely, given  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{H}^{[(c, \lambda)]}\text{-mod}_{\text{fl}}$ , the isomorphism (9) yields an  $\mathbf{H}$ -action on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The finite dimensionality of  $1_{c, \lambda'} \mathcal{M}$  for  $\lambda' \in [\lambda]$  implies that this  $\mathbf{H}$ -module lies in  $\mathcal{O}_{c, \lambda}(\mathbf{H})$ . This yields the inverse functor. The statement for  $\mathcal{C}_c^\lambda$  is easy to derive.  $\square$

## 1.7 Algebraic Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov functor

We review the “algebraic Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov (KZ) functor” introduced in [9].

1.7.1. Let  $(c, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ . Consider the specialisation of parameters  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda = \mathcal{H}^{[c, \lambda]} / (\mathbf{c} = c)$ . It has been shown that there exists an idempotent  $1_{\mathbf{V}} \in \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda$  such that the idempotent subalgebra  $1_{\mathbf{V}} \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda 1_{\mathbf{V}}$  is isomorphic to a block algebra of the affine Hecke algebra. The algebraic KZ functor is defined to be the idempotent truncation  $M \mapsto 1_{\mathbf{V}} M$  for  $M \in \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda$ -mod.

Let us recall the affine Hecke algebra and its completion. Let  $P = P_R \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  be the weight lattice of the root system  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, R)$ . The *extended affine Hecke algebra* (for the dual root system  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*, R^\vee)$ ), denoted  $\mathbf{K}$ , is the associative algebra over the Laurent polynomial ring  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{t}} = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{t}_*^{\pm 1}, * \in R/W]$  generated by the two sets  $\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$  and  $\{X^\mu\}_{\mu \in P}$  modulo the following relations for  $\mu, \nu \in P$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ :

$$X^0 = 1, \quad X^{\mu+\nu} = X^\mu X^\nu, \quad \underbrace{T_\alpha T_\beta T_\alpha \cdots}_{m_{\alpha, \beta}} = \underbrace{T_\beta T_\alpha T_\beta \cdots}_{m_{\alpha, \beta}},$$

and if  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, R)$  is of type  $BC_n$  with  $n \geq 1$ , with the notation of [Example 1](#):

$$\begin{cases} (T_\alpha - \mathbf{t}_{\mathfrak{a}})(T_\alpha + 1) = 0 & \text{for } \alpha \in \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}, \\ (T_\alpha - \mathbf{t}_{\mathfrak{a}} \mathbf{t}_{\mathfrak{b}})(T_\alpha + 1) = 0 & \text{for } \alpha = \alpha_n, \end{cases}$$

$$T_\alpha X^\mu - X^{s_\alpha(\mu)} T_\alpha = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{t}_{\mathfrak{a}} - 1) \frac{X^\mu - X^{s_\alpha(\mu)}}{1 - X^{-\alpha}} & \text{for } \alpha \in \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}, \\ ((\mathbf{t}_{\mathfrak{a}} \mathbf{t}_{\mathfrak{b}} - 1) + (\mathbf{t}_{\mathfrak{a}} - \mathbf{t}_{\mathfrak{b}}) X^\alpha) \frac{X^\mu - X^{s_\alpha(\mu)}}{1 - X^{-2\alpha}} & \text{for } \alpha = \alpha_n; \end{cases}$$

otherwise:

$$(T_\alpha - \mathbf{t}_\alpha)(T_\alpha + 1) = 0, \quad T_\alpha X^\mu - X^{s_\alpha(\mu)} T_\alpha = (\mathbf{t}_\alpha - 1) \frac{X^\mu - X^{s_\alpha(\mu)}}{1 - X^{-\alpha}}, \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Delta.$$

Given a family of parameters  $t = (t_*)_{* \in R/W}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ , we define  $\mathbf{K}_t = \mathbf{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{t}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{t}} / (\mathbf{t} = t)$  to be the specialisation of parameters.

1.7.2. The elements  $\{X^\mu\}_{\mu \in P}$  generates inside  $\mathbf{K}$  a Laurent polynomial subalgebra isomorphic to the group ring  $\mathbb{C}P$ . Let  $T = \text{Spm } \mathbb{C}P$  be its maximal spectrum. For each  $W$ -orbit  $[\ell] \in T/W$ , let  $\mathbf{K}_t$ -mod $_\ell \subset \mathbf{K}_t$ -mod be the full subcategory consisting of finitely generated  $\mathbf{K}_t$ -modules  $M$  which admit the following decomposition:

$$M = \bigoplus_{\ell' \in [\ell]} M_{\ell'}, \quad M_{\ell'} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \{x \in M; \mathfrak{m}_{\ell'}^n x = 0\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{K}_t^\ell = (\mathcal{O}(T)^W)_{[\ell]}^\wedge \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(T)^W} \mathbf{K}_t$ . The exact functor

$$(\mathcal{O}(T)^W)_{[\ell]}^\wedge \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(T)^W} -: \mathbf{K}_t\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_t^\ell\text{-mod}$$

induces an equivalence on subcategories  $\mathbf{K}_t$ -mod $_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{K}_t^\ell\text{-mod}_{\text{fd}}$ .

1.7.3. Given  $c \in \mathfrak{P}$  and  $\lambda = (\lambda^0, 1) \in \mathfrak{h}^1$ , consider the spectral completion  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda$ . Choose an alcove  $\nu = y^{-1}\nu_0 \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$  lying in a generic clan ([Definition 13](#)) and set  $1_{\mathbf{V}} = \sum_{[w] \in W/W_\ell} 1_{c, yw\lambda}$ , where  $\ell = \exp(2\pi i \lambda^0) \in T$  and  $W_\ell = \text{Stab}_W(\ell)$ . Moreover, we set  $t_* = \exp(2\pi i c_*)$  for  $* \in R/W$ .

**Theorem 16** ([9]). *Under the above assumption, there exists an isomorphism  $1_{\mathbf{V}} \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda 1_{\mathbf{V}} \cong \mathcal{K}_t^\ell$ . Moreover, the following functor*

$$\mathbf{V} : \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_t^\ell\text{-mod}, \quad M \mapsto 1_{\mathbf{V}} M$$

*is a quotient functor and satisfies the double centraliser property: the restriction of  $\mathbf{V}$  to the subcategory of compact projective objects  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-proj} \subset \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-mod}$  is fully faithful.*  $\square$

The functor  $\mathbf{V}$  above is called the algebraic KZ functor.

1.7.4. Put  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}} = \{(c_*)_{* \in R/W} \in \mathfrak{P}; \forall * \in R/W, c_* \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Suppose we are given  $c, c' \in \mathfrak{P}$  such that their difference  $d := c' - c$  lies in  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ; set  $t = (t_*)_{* \in R/W}$  with  $t_* = e^{2\pi i c_*} = e^{2\pi i c'_*}$ . By [Theorem 16](#), we obtain the respective algebraic KZ functors:

$$\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{V}_c} \mathcal{H}_t^\lambda\text{-mod} \xleftarrow{\mathbf{V}_{c'}} \mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda\text{-mod}.$$

In [§5](#), we will construct the translation functor  $T_{c' \leftarrow c}$  which intertwines  $\mathbf{V}_c$  and  $\mathbf{V}_{c'}$ .

## 2 Harish-Chandra bimodules

In this section, we introduce the notion of Harish-Chandra (HC) bimodules for the dDAHA  $\mathbf{H}$  and establish their basic properties.

### 2.1 Category of HC bimodules

Let  $(A, \{F_k A\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$  and  $(A', \{F_k A'\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$  be filtered rings such that  $\text{gr}^F A$  and  $\text{gr}^F A'$  are left and right noetherian. Let  $M$  be an  $(A, A')$ -bimodule. We say that a complete filtration  $\{F_k M\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  on  $M$  is *good* if  $(F_j A)(F_k M)(F_l A') \subset F_{j+k+l} M$  and  $\text{gr}^F M$  is finitely generated as  $(\text{gr}^F A, \text{gr}^F A')$ -bimodule; we say that  $\{F_k M\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is *excellent* if it is good and  $\text{gr}^F M$  is finitely generated both as left  $(\text{gr}^F A)$ -module and as right  $(\text{gr}^F A')$ -module.

**Definition 17.** *Let  $M$  be a  $(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H})$ -bimodule. A filtration  $\{F_k M\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  on  $M$  is called an Harish-Chandra filtration (HC filtration) if it is a good filtration with respect to the canonical filtration  $F_k^\delta \mathbf{H}$  and the action of  $\text{ad } z$  on  $\text{gr}^F M$  is nilpotent for every  $z \in \mathbb{Z}(\text{gr}^F \mathbf{H})$ . We say  $M$  is a Harish-Chandra bimodule (HC bimodule) if it admits a HC filtration.*

**Proposition 18.** *Every HC filtration is excellent. Consequently, if  $M$  be a HC  $\mathbf{H}$ -bimodule. Then  $M$  is finitely generated both as left and right  $\mathbf{H}$ -module.*

*Proof.* See [\[10, 3.4.3\]](#). □

Let  $\text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$  denote the category of HC  $\mathbf{H}$ -bimodules. Given  $M \in \text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$ , since good filtrations on  $M$  are compatible with each other, every good filtration on  $M$  is automatically HC and therefore excellent by [Proposition 18](#).

**Proposition 19.**  *$\text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$  is a Serre subcategory of the category of  $\mathbf{H}$ -bimodules.*

*Proof.* It is obvious that the induced filtration of a HC filtration on a sub-bimodule or a quotient bimodule is again a HC filtration; thus  $\text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$  is closed under formation of sub-objects and quotient objects. Suppose that  $M$  is an  $\mathbf{H}$ -bimodule,  $M' \subset M$  is a sub- $\mathbf{H}$ -bimodule and  $M'' = M/M'$  such that  $M'$  and  $M''$  are in  $\text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$ . Pick a good filtration  $\{F_k M\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  for  $M$  and let  $\{F_k M'\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\{F_k M''\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be the induced filtrations. We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{gr}^F M' \rightarrow \text{gr}^F M \rightarrow \text{gr}^F M'' \rightarrow 0. \quad (20)$$

Since  $M'$  and  $M''$  are HC and since  $\{F_k M'\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\{F_k M''\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  are good (using (20)), it follows that they are also HC filtrations. We see that the filtration  $\{F_k M\}$  is also HC by using (20) again. □

**Proposition 21.** *Let  $M, N \in \text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$ . Then,  $\text{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{H}}(M, N), \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}}^i(M, N) \in \text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$  hold for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{F_k M\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\{F_k N\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be HC filtrations on  $M$  and  $N$  respectively. Consider the Rees bimodules

$$M_\delta = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta^k F_k M, \quad N_\delta = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta^k F_k N.$$

They are finitely generated graded  $\mathbf{H}_\delta$ -bimodules flat over  $\mathbb{C}[\delta]$ . The extension group  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}_\delta}^k(M_\delta, N_\delta)$  is a finitely generated graded  $\mathbf{H}_\delta$ -bimodule. We have an exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}_\delta}^k(M_\delta, N_\delta) \xrightarrow{\delta \cdot} \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}_\delta}^k(M_\delta, N_\delta) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}_\delta}^k(M, N_\delta/(\delta)).$$

By the flatness of  $M_\delta$  over  $\mathbb{C}[\delta]$ , there is an isomorphism:

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}_\delta}^k(M, N_\delta/(\delta)) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}_\delta}^k\left(\left(\mathbb{C}[\delta]/(\delta)\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\delta]}^L M, N_\delta/(\delta)\right) \cong \text{Ext}_{\text{gr}^F \mathbf{H}}^k(\text{gr}^F M, \text{gr}^F N).$$

We obtain an embedding

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}_\delta}^k(M_\delta, N_\delta)/(\delta) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{gr}^F \mathbf{H}}^k(\text{gr}^F M, \text{gr}^F N).$$

By the assumption, for every  $z \in Z(\text{gr}^F \mathbf{H})$ , the operator  $(\text{ad } z)^d$  vanishes on  $\text{gr}^F M$  and  $\text{gr}^F N$  when  $d \gg 0$ ; hence, it is also the case on  $\text{Ext}_{\text{gr}^F \mathbf{H}}^k(\text{gr}^F M, \text{gr}^F N)$  and on the sub-bimodule  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}_\delta}^k(M_\delta, N_\delta)/(\delta)$ . The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}_\delta}^k(M_\delta, N_\delta)$  induces a filtration on the quotient

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}_\delta}^k(M_\delta, N_\delta)/(\delta - 1) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}}^k(M, N),$$

denoted by  $F$ . It is easy to show that the associated graded  $\text{gr}^F \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}}^k(M, N)$  is a quotient of  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}_\delta}^k(M_\delta, N_\delta)/(\delta)$ . It follows that  $F$  is a HC filtration on  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}}^k(M, N)$  and the latter is a Harish-Chandra  $\mathbf{H}$ -bimodule.  $\square$

Let  $\text{D}^b\text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$  be the triangulated subcategory of  $\text{D}^b(\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{H}^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod})$  consisting of complexes  $K$  with bounded cohomological amplitude such that  $\text{H}^k(K) \in \text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Corollary 22.** *Let  $K, L \in \text{D}^b\text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$ . Then  $K \otimes_{\mathbf{H}}^L L$  and  $\text{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(K, L)$  are also in  $\text{D}^b\text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$ .*

*Proof.* It results immediately from [Proposition 4](#) and [Proposition 21](#).  $\square$

## 2.2 Support of HC bimodules

For any right  $\mathbf{H}$ -module  $M$  and a point  $c \in \mathfrak{P}$ , let  $M_c = M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{P}]} \kappa(c)$ , where  $\kappa(c)$  is the residue field of  $c$ .

**Definition 23.** *The support of a right  $\mathbf{H}$ -module  $M$  is defined to be the set*

$$\text{Supp}_{\mathfrak{P}}^r M = \{c \in \mathfrak{P} ; M_c \neq 0\}.$$

**Proposition 24.** *For every finitely generated right  $\mathbf{H}$ -module  $M$ , the support  $\text{Supp}_{\mathfrak{P}}^r M$  is a constructible subset of  $\mathfrak{P}$ .*

*Proof.* The result follows from [Lemma 25](#) below by noetherian induction.  $\square$

**Lemma 25.** *Given any irreducible closed subvariety  $Y \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ , let  $\mathbf{H}_Y = \mathcal{O}(Y) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P})} \mathbf{H}$ . Then, for any finitely generated right  $\mathbf{H}_Y$ -module  $M$ , there exists a non-zero divisor  $f \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  such that the localisation  $M_f = M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(Y)} \mathcal{O}(Y)_f$  is free over  $\mathcal{O}(Y)_f$ .*

*Proof.* The proof modeled on [1, 5.7, 5.8]. Define a modification of the canonical filtration  $\{F'_k \mathbf{H}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  on  $\mathbf{H}_Y$  by declaring

$$F'_0 \mathbf{H}_Y = \mathbb{C}\hat{W} + \mathcal{O}(Y), F'_1 \mathbf{H} = F'_0 \mathbf{H} + \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}^*, F'_k \mathbf{H} = (F'_1 \mathbf{H})^k \text{ for } k \geq 2.$$

The associated graded algebra  $\text{gr}^{F'} \mathbf{H}$  is isomorphic to the skew tensor product  $\mathbb{C}W \# \mathcal{O}(Y \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \times T^\vee)$  and thus finite over its centre  $Z = \mathcal{O}(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \times T^\vee)^W$ .

Pick a good filtration  $\{F'_k M\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  for  $M$  with respect to the modified filtration  $F'_\bullet \mathbf{H}$ . Then, the associated graded  $\text{gr}^{F'} M$  is finitely generated over  $\text{gr}^{F'} \mathbf{H}$  and so is it over  $Z$ . The lemma of generic freeness [4, 6.9.2] implies that there exists a non-zero element  $f \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  such that  $(\text{gr}^{F'} M)_f$  is free over  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ . Since the filtration  $\{F'_k M\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is bounded below,  $M_f$  is isomorphic to  $(\text{gr}^{F'} M)_f$  as free module over  $\mathcal{O}(Y)_f$ .  $\square$

### 2.3 Functors from HC bimodules

For  $B \in (\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{H}^{\text{op}})\text{-Mod}$ , we have the derived tensor product functor and the derived Hom functor:

$$B \otimes_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbb{L}} -: \text{D}^b(\mathbf{H}\text{-Mod}) \rightarrow \text{D}^b(\mathbf{H}\text{-Mod}), \quad \text{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, -) : \text{D}^b(\mathbf{H}\text{-Mod}) \rightarrow \text{D}^b(\mathbf{H}\text{-Mod}).$$

Let  $\text{D}_0^b(\mathbf{H})$  be the full subcategory of  $\text{D}^b(\mathbf{H}\text{-Mod})$  consisting of complexes  $K$  satisfying  $\text{H}^n(K) \in \text{O}(\mathbf{H})$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 26.** *Let  $B \in \text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$ . Then, there exists an excellent filtration  $\{F'_k B\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  for  $B$  with respect to the length filtration  $F'_\bullet \mathbf{H}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is modeled on that of [10, 5.4.3]. We first show that for each finite left  $\mathbf{S}$ -submodule  $M \subset B$ , the product  $N = M\mathbf{S}$  remains finite as left  $\mathbf{S}$ -module. Let  $\{F'_k B\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a HC filtration (Definition 17) on  $B$  and set  $F'_k M = M \cap F'_k B$ ,  $F'_k N = N \cap F'_k B$ . Then  $\text{gr}^{F'} N = (\text{gr}^{F'} M)(\text{gr}^F \mathbf{S})$  holds. Since the adjoint action of  $(\text{gr}^F \mathbf{S})^W$  on  $\text{gr}^{F'} B$  is nilpotent and since  $\text{gr}^F \mathbf{S}$  is finite over  $(\text{gr}^F \mathbf{S})^W$ , it follows that  $\text{gr}^{F'} N$  is also finite over  $\text{gr}^F \mathbf{S}$ . Hence,  $N$  is finite over  $\mathbf{S}$ .

Now, let  $V \subseteq B$  be a finite-dimensional generating subspace as left  $\mathbf{H}$ -module. Let  $\{\bar{b}_j\}_{j=1}^r$  be a spanning set for  $\text{gr}^\zeta \mathbb{C}\hat{W}$  as left  $\text{gr}^\zeta(\mathbb{C}Q^\vee)^W$ -module. We assume  $\bar{b}_j$  is homogeneous of degree  $d'_j > 0$  except that  $\bar{b}_1 = 1$  and we choose a lifting  $b_j$  in of  $\bar{b}_j$  in  $(\mathbb{C}Q^\vee)^W$ . Let  $\{\bar{z}_k\}_{k=1}^s$  be a set of homogeneous algebra generators for  $\text{gr}^\zeta(\mathbb{C}Q^\vee)^W$  of degree  $\deg \bar{z}_k = d_k$  and we choose a lifting  $z_k$  of  $\bar{z}_k$  in  $(\mathbb{C}Q^\vee)^W$ . Define

$$F_n B = \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_s \in \mathbb{N} \\ n_0 + d_1 n_1 + \dots + d_s n_s + d'_j \leq n}} (F_{n_0}^\zeta \mathbf{H}) ((\text{ad } z_s)^{n_s} \dots (\text{ad } z_1)^{n_1} V) b_j \mathbf{S}$$

Let's show that  $\text{gr}^F B$  is finitely generated as left  $\text{gr}^F \mathbf{H}$ -module. Since the sum

$$V' = \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{S} ((\text{ad } z_1)^{n_1} \dots (\text{ad } z_s)^{n_s} V) b_j \mathbf{S}$$

is a finite left  $\mathbf{S}$ -module, we can find a finite subset of homogeneous polynomials  $I \subset \mathbf{S}$  such that the finite-dimensional subspace

$$V'' = \sum_{f \in I} \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{S} ((\text{ad } z_1)^{n_1} \dots (\text{ad } z_s)^{n_s} V) b_j f$$

spans  $V'$  as left  $\mathbf{S}$ -module. Let  $F_n V'' = V'' \cap F_n B$ ; then  $\text{gr}^F V''$  clearly generates  $\text{gr}^F B$  as left  $\text{gr}^\zeta \mathbf{H}$ -module. Thus  $\text{gr}^F B$  is a finite left  $\text{gr}^\zeta \mathbf{H}$ -module.

Let's show that  $(F_k B)(F_l^\zeta \mathbf{H}) \subseteq (F_{k+l} B)$ . Clearly, it is enough to show that for  $h \in F_k \mathbf{H}$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and  $v_0 \in V$ , we have

$$((\text{ad } z_s)^{n_s} \cdots (\text{ad } z_1)^{n_1} v_0) b_j h \in F_{k+n} B, \quad \text{where } n = n_1 d_1 + \cdots + n_s d_s + d'_j.$$

We prove this statement by induction on  $n$ . When  $n = 0$ , we must have  $d'_j = 0$ , so  $b_j = b_1 = 1$ . In other words, we need to prove that  $v_0 h \in F_k B$ . We may assume that  $h$  is of the form  $z b_{j'}$ , where  $z = z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_s^{m_s}$  is a monomial and  $j' \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  such that  $m_1 d_1 + \cdots + m_s d_s + d'_j \leq k$ . Let  $i_0 = \min \{i; m_i > 0\}$ , so we can write  $z = z_{i_0} z'$ . We obtain

$$v_0 h = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m'_1 \leq m_1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \leq m'_s \leq m_s}} (-1)^{m'_1 + \cdots + m'_s} \binom{m_1}{m'_1} \cdots \binom{m_s}{m'_s} z_1^{m_1 - m'_1} \cdots z_s^{m_s - m'_s} \left( (\text{ad } z_s)^{m'_s} \cdots (\text{ad } z_1)^{m'_1} v_0 \right) b_{j'}.$$

Since  $z_1^{m_1 - m'_1} \cdots z_s^{m_s - m'_s} \in F_{d_1(m_1 - m'_1) + \cdots + d_s(m_s - m'_s)} \mathbf{H}$ , we deduce  $v_0 h \in F_k B$ . This is the initial step. Suppose  $n \geq 0$  and the statement has been proven for smaller  $n$ . If  $j \neq 1$ , we have  $d'_j > 0$  and by induction hypothesis, we have  $((\text{ad } z_s)^{n_s} \cdots (\text{ad } z_1)^{n_1} v_0)(b_j h) \in F_k B$ . Suppose now that  $j = 1$ . Let  $i_0 = \max \{i; n_i > 0\}$ . Then

$$((\text{ad } z_{i_0})^{n_{i_0}} \cdots (\text{ad } z_1)^{n_1} v_0) h = z_{i_0} ((\text{ad } z_{i_0})^{n_{i_0} - 1} \cdots (\text{ad } z_1)^{n_1} v_0) h - ((\text{ad } z_{i_0})^{n_{i_0} - 1} \cdots (\text{ad } z_1)^{n_1} v_0) z_{i_0} h.$$

Then, the induction hypothesis yields the result. It follows that  $F_\bullet B$  is an  $\mathbf{H}$ -bimodule filtration with respect to  $F_\bullet^\zeta \mathbf{H}$  and is good with respect to the left  $\mathbf{H}$ -action. Analogously, we can construct an  $\mathbf{H}$ -bimodule filtration  $F'_\bullet B$  with respect to  $F_\bullet^\zeta \mathbf{H}$  and is good with respect to the right  $\mathbf{H}$ -action. Since both filtrations are good over  $\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{H}^{\text{op}}$  with respect to the filtration  $F_\bullet^\zeta \otimes F_\bullet^\zeta$ , there exists  $N \gg 0$  such that  $F'_{n-N} B \subseteq F_n B \subseteq F'_{n+N} B$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It follows that  $F_\bullet B$  is also good for the right  $\mathbf{H}$ -action, thus excellent.  $\square$

**Proposition 27.** *For  $B \in \text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$ , the derived functors  $B \otimes_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{L}} -$  and  $\text{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, -)$  preserve the subcategories  $\text{D}^b(\mathbf{H}\text{-mod})$  and  $\text{D}_0^b(\mathbf{H})$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the statements for  $\text{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, -)$  and leave the case of  $B \otimes_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{L}} -$  to the reader. Consider the case where  $M = \mathbf{H}$ . By [Corollary 22](#), we have  $\text{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, \mathbf{H}) \in \text{D}^b \text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$ . Since  $\text{HC}$  bimodules are finitely generated left  $\mathbf{H}$ -modules, we have  $\text{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, \mathbf{H}) \in \text{D}^b(\mathbf{H}\text{-mod})$  when the right  $\mathbf{H}$ -module structure is forgotten. Since  $\mathbf{H}$  generates  $\text{D}^b(\mathbf{H}\text{-mod})$  as thick subcategory by [Proposition 4](#), we see that  $\text{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, M) \in \text{D}^b(\mathbf{H}\text{-mod})$  for each  $M \in \text{D}^b(\mathbf{H}\text{-mod})$ .

Suppose now that  $M \in \text{O}(\mathbf{H})$ . Pick an excellent filtration  $\{F_k B\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  for  $B$  (by [Lemma 26](#)) and a good filtration  $\{F_k M\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  for  $M$  with respect to the length filtration  $F_\bullet^\zeta \mathbf{H}$  introduced in [§1.4](#). Set

$$\mathbf{H}_\zeta = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \zeta^k F_k^\zeta \mathbf{H}, \quad B_\zeta = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \zeta^k F_k B, \quad M_\zeta = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \zeta^k F_k M.$$

By [Proposition 7](#),  $F_k M$  is a finite-dimensional  $\mathbf{S}$ -module for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover,  $B_\zeta$  a  $(\mathbf{H}_\zeta, \mathbf{H}_\zeta)$ -bimodule and finite as left  $\mathbf{H}_\zeta$ -module. By taking a resolution of  $B_\zeta$  by finite graded-free left  $\mathbf{H}_\zeta$ -modules, we deduce easily that  $\text{gExt}_{\mathbf{H}_\zeta}^k(B_\zeta, M_\zeta)$  is a graded vector space and finite-dimensional in each degree and for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;

moreover, it has the structure of graded left  $\mathbf{H}_\zeta$ -module coming from the right  $\mathbf{H}_\zeta$ -module structure on  $B_\zeta$ . By the finite dimensionality,  $\mathbf{S}$  acts locally finitely on  $\text{gExt}_{\mathbf{H}_\zeta}^k(B_\zeta, M_\zeta)$ ; this implies that the  $\mathbf{S}$ -action on the quotient  $\text{gExt}_{\mathbf{H}_\zeta}^k(B_\zeta, M_\zeta)/(\zeta - 1) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}}^k(B, M)$  is also locally finite. It follows that  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}}^k(B, M) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H})$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

## 2.4 Spectral completion of HC bimodules

**Lemma 28.** *For each  $\mathbf{S}$ -bimodule  $B$  which is finite both as left and as right  $\mathbf{S}$ -module, there are natural isomorphisms of  $\mathbf{S}$ -bimodules*

$$B \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathcal{S} \cong \bigoplus_{(c,\lambda),(c',\lambda') \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1} \varprojlim_{k,l} B / (B\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}^k + \mathfrak{m}_{c',\lambda'}^l B) \cong \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} B.$$

*Proof.* Since  $B/B\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}$  is a finite dimensional left  $\mathbf{S}$ -module, it is supported in a finite subset  $S(c, \lambda) \subset \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , since the quotient  $B/B\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}^k$  is an iterated extension of  $B/B\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}$ , it is also supported in  $S(c, \lambda)$ , so there exists an integer  $l_k \geq 0$  such that  $(\bigcap_{(c',\lambda') \in S(c,\lambda)} \mathfrak{m}_{c',\lambda'}^{l_k})(B/B\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}^k) = 0$ ; in other words, we have  $(\bigcap_{(c',\lambda') \in S(c,\lambda)} \mathfrak{m}_{c',\lambda'}^{l_k})B \subseteq B\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}^k$ . Therefore, the Chinese remainder theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned} B \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^\wedge &= \varprojlim_k B/B\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}^k = \varprojlim_{k,l} B / \bigcap_{(c',\lambda') \in S(c,\lambda)} (B\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}^k + \mathfrak{m}_{c',\lambda'}^l B) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{(c',\lambda') \in S(c,\lambda)} \varprojlim_{k,l} B / (B\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}^k + \mathfrak{m}_{c',\lambda'}^l B). \end{aligned}$$

For  $(c', \lambda') \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1 \setminus S(c, \lambda)$ , we have  $B / (B\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}^k + \mathfrak{m}_{c',\lambda'}^l B) = 0$ ; we obtain thus the isomorphism

$$B \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathcal{S} = \bigoplus_{\substack{(c,\lambda) \in \mathfrak{h}^1 \\ (c',\lambda') \in S(c,\lambda)}} \varprojlim_{k,l} B / (B\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}^k + \mathfrak{m}_{c',\lambda'}^l B) = \bigoplus_{(c,\lambda),(c',\lambda') \in \mathfrak{h}^1} \varprojlim_{k,l} B / (B\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}^k + \mathfrak{m}_{c',\lambda'}^l B).$$

The other isomorphism is similar. It is obvious that these are natural isomorphisms.  $\square$

Let  $\eta_B : B \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathcal{S} \cong \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} B$  denote the isomorphism given by Lemma 28. The naturality of  $\eta_B$  implies that  $\eta_B$  can be extended to those  $B$  which can be written as colimit of modules satisfying the condition of Lemma 28. Moreover, this isomorphism satisfies the property  $\eta_{B \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} B'} = (\eta_B \otimes \text{id}_{B'}) \circ (\text{id}_B \otimes \eta_{B'})$ .

By Lemma 26, every HC bimodule  $B \in \text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$  admits a filtration  $\{F_k B\}$  such that  $F_k B$  is a  $\mathbf{S}$ -bimodule satisfying the condition of Lemma 28 for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The ring structure on  $\mathcal{H}$  can be described as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{H} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \eta_{\mathbf{H}} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}}} \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{H} &\xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \mu_{\mathbf{H}}} \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{H} = \mathcal{H}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu_{\mathcal{S}}$  and  $\mu_{\mathbf{H}}$  are the multiplication map of  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$ , respectively. The  $\mathcal{H}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{C}M$  for  $M \in \mathbf{H}\text{-Mod}$  can be described in a similar way.

**Proposition 29.** *Let  $B \in \text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$ . Then, the  $\mathbf{H}$ -bimodule structure on  $B$  induces an  $\mathcal{H}$ -bimodule structure on the spectral completion  $\mathcal{C}B$ .*



*Proof.* The left  $\mathcal{H}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{C}B$  is described in the previous paragraph. The right  $\mathcal{H}$ -module structure is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}B \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} B \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{H} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \eta_B \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}}} \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} B &\xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \rho_B} \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} B = \mathcal{C}B, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho_B$  is the right  $\mathbf{H}$ -action on  $B$ . It is easy to verify that the left and right actions on  $B$  commute.  $\square$

For every  $P \in (\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\text{op}})\text{-Mod}$ , let  $\text{Rhom}_{\mathcal{H}}(P, -)$  be the derived functor of the following:

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(P, -) : \mathcal{H}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\text{-Mod}, \quad N \mapsto \bigoplus_{(c,\lambda) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(P1_{c,\lambda}, N).$$

Its left adjoint functor is given by  $P \otimes_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{L}} -: \text{D}^{\text{b}}(\mathcal{H}\text{-Mod}) \rightarrow \text{D}^{\text{b}}(\mathcal{H}\text{-Mod})$ .

**Proposition 30.** *Let  $B \in \text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$ . Then, the following statements hold:*

- (i) *The functor  $\mathcal{C}B \otimes_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{L}} -$  preserves the subcategories  $\text{D}^{\text{b}}(\mathcal{H}\text{-mod})$  and  $\text{D}^{\text{b}}(\mathcal{H}\text{-mod}_{\text{fl}})$ .*
- (ii) *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{C}(B \otimes_{\mathbf{H}}^{\text{L}} M) \cong \mathcal{C}(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{L}} \mathcal{C}(M)$$

for  $M \in \mathbf{H}\text{-mod}$ .

*Proof.* Applying the functor  $\mathcal{C}$  to the following obvious map of  $\mathbf{H}$ -modules:

$$B \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} M \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{C}(M),$$

which yields a map  $\mathcal{C}(B \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} M) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{C}(M)$  via the following composition:

$$\mathcal{C}(B \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} M) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{C}(M)) \cong (\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C})(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{C}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{C}(M).$$

It is bifunctorial in  $B$  and  $M$ . Taking the derived functors, we obtain the functorial morphism:

$$B \otimes_{\mathbf{H}}^{\text{L}} M \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{L}} \mathcal{C}(M), \quad (31)$$

When  $M = \mathbf{H}$ , this is obviously an isomorphism. Since  $\mathbf{H}$  generates  $\text{D}^{\text{b}}(\mathbf{H}\text{-mod})$  as thick subcategory by [Proposition 4](#), the morphism (31) must be an isomorphism for all  $M \in \mathbf{H}\text{-mod}$ .

Given any  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{H}\text{-mod}_{\text{fl}}$ , we have  $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{C}(M)$  for some  $M \in \text{O}(\mathbf{H})$  by [Proposition 15](#). Hence, (31) yields  $\mathcal{C}(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{L}} \mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{C}(B \otimes_{\mathbf{H}}^{\text{L}} M)$ . By [Proposition 27](#),  $B \otimes_{\mathbf{H}}^{\text{L}} M$  belongs to  $\text{D}_{\text{O}}^{\text{b}}(\mathbf{H})$ , so  $\mathcal{C}(B \otimes_{\mathbf{H}}^{\text{L}} M)$  is in  $\text{D}^{\text{b}}(\mathcal{H}\text{-mod}_{\text{fl}})$  by [Proposition 15](#) again. Therefore, the functor  $\mathcal{C}(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{L}} -$  preserves  $\text{D}^{\text{b}}(\mathcal{H}\text{-mod}_{\text{fl}})$ .

Given any  $(c, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$ , the projectivity of  $\mathcal{H}1_{c,\lambda}$  yield  $\mathcal{C}(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{L}} \mathcal{H}1_{c,\lambda} \cong \mathcal{C}(B)1_{c,\lambda}$ . Let  $\{b_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a finite generating set of  $B$  as left  $\mathbf{H}$ -module. Then, there exists a finite set  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$  such that  $b_i 1_{c,\lambda} \in \mathcal{C}(B)1_{c,\lambda}$  is decomposed as  $b_i 1_{c,\lambda} = \sum_{(c', \lambda') \in \Sigma} 1_{c', \lambda'} b_i 1_{c,\lambda}$  for each  $i \in I$ . Then, the family  $\{1_{c', \lambda'} b_i 1_{c,\lambda}\}_{i \in I, (c', \lambda') \in \Sigma}$  yields the following surjective morphism of  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules:

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} \bigoplus_{(c', \lambda') \in \Sigma} \mathcal{H}1_{c', \lambda'} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)1_{c,\lambda},$$

Since the left-hand side is compact, so is right-hand side. As the family  $\{\mathcal{H}1_{c,\lambda}\}_{(c,\lambda) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1}$  generates  $\text{D}^{\text{b}}(\mathcal{H}\text{-mod})$  as thick subcategory, we see that the functor  $\mathcal{C}(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{L}} -$  preserves  $\text{D}^{\text{b}}(\mathcal{H}\text{-mod})$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 32.** *Let  $B \in \mathrm{HC}(\mathbf{H})$ . Then, the following statements hold:*

- (i) *The functor  $\mathrm{Rhom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}B, -)$  preserves the subcategories  $\mathrm{D}^b(\mathcal{H}\text{-mod})$  and  $\mathrm{D}^b(\mathcal{H}\text{-mod}_{\mathfrak{H}})$ .*
- (ii) *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, N)) \cong \mathrm{Rhom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}(B), \mathcal{C}(N))$$

for  $N \in \mathbf{H}\text{-mod}$ .

*Proof.* We prove (ii). Since  $\mathbf{H}$  generates  $\mathrm{D}^b(\mathbf{H}\text{-mod})$  as thick subcategory, it suffices to prove the statement for  $N = \mathbf{H}$ . Since  $\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, \mathbf{H}) \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{HC}}^b(\mathbf{H})$  by Proposition 21, the spectral completion  $\mathcal{C}(\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, \mathbf{H}))$  is a complex of  $\mathcal{H}$ -bimodules by Proposition 29. We have for each  $(c, \lambda), (c', \lambda') \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{c, \lambda} \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, \mathbf{H})) 1_{c', \lambda'} &= \mathrm{R}\varprojlim_k \mathrm{L}\varinjlim_l \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{S}}\left(\mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}_{c, \lambda}^l, \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, \mathbf{H}/\mathbf{Hm}_{\lambda'}^k)\right) \\ &\cong \mathrm{R}\varprojlim_k \mathrm{L}\varinjlim_l \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B \otimes_{\mathbf{S}}^L \mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}_{c, \lambda}^l, \mathbf{H}/\mathbf{Hm}_{c', \lambda'}^k) \\ &\cong \mathrm{R}\varprojlim_k \mathrm{L}\varinjlim_l \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}(B \otimes_{\mathbf{S}}^L \mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}_{c, \lambda}^l), \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{H}/\mathbf{Hm}_{c', \lambda'}^k)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathrm{R}\varprojlim_l \mathcal{C}(B \otimes_{\mathbf{S}}^L \mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}_{c, \lambda}^l), \mathrm{R}\varprojlim_k \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{H}/\mathbf{Hm}_{c', \lambda'}^k)). \end{aligned}$$

The last quasi-isomorphism is due to the fact that  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{H}/\mathbf{Hm}_{c', \lambda'}^k) \in \mathcal{H}\text{-mod}_{\mathfrak{H}}$  is cocompact. Applying Lemma 34 below to  $B$  and  $\mathbf{H}$ , we obtain

$$\mathrm{R}\varprojlim_l \mathcal{C}(B \otimes_{\mathbf{S}}^L \mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}_{c, \lambda}^l) \cong \mathcal{C}(B) 1_{c, \lambda'}, \quad \mathrm{R}\varprojlim_l \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{H}/\mathbf{Hm}_{c, \lambda}^l) \cong \mathcal{H} 1_{c, \lambda'}$$

thus

$$1_{c, \lambda} \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, \mathbf{H})) 1_{c', \lambda'} \cong \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{H}_{c'}}(\mathcal{C}B 1_{c, \lambda}, \mathcal{H} 1_{c', \lambda'}). \quad (33)$$

By Proposition 30,  $\mathcal{C}B 1_{c, \lambda} = \mathcal{C}(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{H} 1_{c, \lambda}$  is in  $\mathcal{H}\text{-mod}$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, \mathbf{H})) &= \bigoplus_{c, c', \lambda, \lambda'} 1_{c, \lambda} \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, \mathbf{H})) 1_{c', \lambda'} \\ &\stackrel{(33)}{\cong} \bigoplus_{c, c', \lambda, \lambda'} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{H}_{c'}}(\mathcal{C}B 1_{c, \lambda}, \mathcal{H} 1_{c', \lambda'}) \cong \mathrm{Rhom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}B, \mathcal{H}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (ii).

We prove (i). Given any  $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{H}\text{-mod}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ , we have  $\mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{C}(N)$  for some  $N \in \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{H})$  by Proposition 15. Hence, (ii) yields  $\mathrm{Rhom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}(B), \mathcal{N}) \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, N))$ . By Proposition 27,  $\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, N)$  belongs to  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{O}}^b(\mathbf{H})$ , so  $\mathcal{C}(\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, N))$  is in  $\mathrm{D}^b(\mathcal{H}\text{-mod}_{\mathfrak{H}})$  by Proposition 15 again. Therefore, the functor  $\mathrm{Rhom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}(B), -)$  preserves  $\mathrm{D}^b(\mathcal{H}\text{-mod}_{\mathfrak{H}})$ .

Let  $(c, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$ . By (ii), we have

$$\mathrm{Rhom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}(B), \mathcal{H} 1_{c, \lambda}) \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, \mathbf{H})) 1_{c, \lambda} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, \mathbf{H})) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}}^L \mathcal{H} 1_{c, \lambda}.$$

Since  $\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, \mathbf{H}) \in \mathrm{D}^b(\mathrm{HC}(\mathbf{H}))$  by Proposition 21, we have  $\mathcal{C}(\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(B, \mathbf{H})) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}}^L \mathcal{H} 1_{c, \lambda} \in \mathrm{D}^b(\mathcal{H}\text{-mod})$  by Proposition 30. As the family  $\{\mathcal{H} 1_{c, \lambda}\}_{(c, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1}$  generates  $\mathrm{D}^b(\mathcal{H}\text{-mod})$  as thick subcategory, it follows that functor  $\mathrm{Rhom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}(B), -)$  preserves  $\mathrm{D}^b(\mathcal{H}\text{-mod})$ . This proves (i).  $\square$

**Lemma 34.** *Let  $B \in \mathrm{HC}(\mathbf{H})$  and  $(c, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$ . Then, the following morphism in  $D^b(\mathcal{H}\text{-Mod})$  is a quasi-isomorphism:*

$$\mathcal{C}(B)1_{c,\lambda} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\varprojlim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}(B)1_{c,\lambda} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^\wedge}^L (\mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}^k).$$

*Proof.* Note that the projective limit is taken in  $D^b(\mathcal{H}\text{-Mod})$ , which means that the image under the forgetful functor  $D^b(\mathcal{H}\text{-Mod}) \rightarrow D^b(\mathcal{S}\text{-Mod})$  is given by:

$$\mathrm{R}\varprojlim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}(B)1_{c,\lambda} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^\wedge}^L (\mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}^k) \cong \bigoplus_{(c', \lambda') \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1} \mathrm{R}\varprojlim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} 1_{c', \lambda'} \mathcal{C}(B)1_{c,\lambda} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^\wedge}^L (\mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}^k),$$

where the last projective limit is taken in  $D^b(\mathbf{S}_{c', \lambda'}^\wedge\text{-Mod})$ . The assumption that  $B \in \mathrm{HC}(\mathbf{H})$  implies that  $1_{c', \lambda'} \mathcal{C}(B)1_{c,\lambda} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{H}1_{c', \lambda'}, \mathcal{C}(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{H}1_{c,\lambda})$  is finitely generated as right  $\mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^\wedge$ -module by [Proposition 30 \(i\)](#). Hence, we have for each  $(c', \lambda') \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$  an isomorphism

$$1_{c', \lambda'} \mathcal{C}(B)1_{c,\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\varprojlim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} 1_{c', \lambda'} \mathcal{C}(B)1_{c,\lambda} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^\wedge}^L (\mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}^k).$$

Taking the summation of this isomorphism over  $(c', \lambda') \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$ , we obtain isomorphisms in  $D^b(\mathcal{S}\text{-Mod})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(B)1_{c,\lambda} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{(c', \lambda') \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1} 1_{c', \lambda'} \mathcal{C}(B)1_{c,\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{(c', \lambda') \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1} \mathrm{R}\varprojlim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} 1_{c', \lambda'} \mathcal{C}(B)1_{c,\lambda} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^\wedge}^L (\mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}^k) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\varprojlim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}(B)1_{c,\lambda} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^\wedge}^L (\mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}_{c,\lambda}^k). \end{aligned}$$

Since the forgetful functor is conservative, the morphism in question is also an isomorphism.  $\square$

## 3 Category of divided-difference calculus

### 3.1 Chambers and galleries

Consider the affine space  $\mathfrak{a} := \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$  and the family of functions:

$$\Psi = \left\{ \alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* ; \alpha \in \Phi \right\}.$$

Recall  $\Phi$  from [§1.1](#). For  $\mu \in \Psi \cup \Phi$ , let  $H_\mu \subset \mathfrak{a}$  be its zero locus. Then,  $\{H_\mu ; \mu \in \Psi \cup \Phi\}$  defines an affine hyperplane arrangement on  $\mathfrak{a}$ . The hyperplanes  $H_\mu$  are called *walls*. Put

$$\mathrm{Ch}(\mathfrak{a}) = \pi_0 \left( \mathfrak{a} \setminus \bigcup_{\mu \in \Psi \cup \Phi} H_\mu \right),$$

the elements of which are called *chambers*. For each chamber  $C \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$ , let

$$\Phi_C^\pm = \{ \alpha \in \Phi ; \pm \alpha(C) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{>0} \}, \quad \Psi_C^\pm = \{ \mu \in \Psi ; \pm \mu(C) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{>0} \}.$$

For  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$ , we write  $C_1 \lceil_\mu C_2$  for  $\mu \in \Psi$  if  $C_1 \neq C_2$  and they share a face with support  $H_\mu$  and  $\mu(C_1) < 0$ ,  $\mu(C_2) > 0$ ; we write  $C_1 \parallel_\alpha C_2$  for  $\alpha \in \Phi$  if  $C_1 \neq C_2$  and they share a face with support  $H_\alpha$  and  $\alpha(C_1) < 0$ ,  $\alpha(C_2) > 0$ . We write  $C_1 \sim C_2$  if  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  lie in the same connected component of  $\mathfrak{a} \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} H_\alpha$ .

A sequence of chambers  $G = (C_0, \dots, C_n)$  is called a *gallery* (from  $C_0$  to  $C_n$ ) if, for  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ , the chambers  $C_i$  and  $C_{i+1}$  share a face and  $C_i \neq C_{i+1}$ ; we denote  $\ell(G) = n$  and call it the *length* of  $G$ . The *distance*  $d(C, C')$  is defined to be the minimum of the length of chambers from  $C$  to  $C'$ . A gallery  $G = (C_0, \dots, C_n)$  is called *minimal* if  $\ell(G) = d(C_0, C_n)$ . Given chambers  $C, C' \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$ , we define the *interval* between  $C$  and  $C'$  to be  $[C, C'] = \{C'' \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a}) ; d(C, C') = d(C, C'') + d(C'', C')\}$ .

**Lemma 35.** *The following statements hold:*

(i) *Let  $C, C', C'' \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$  be chambers. Then,  $C' \in [C, C'']$  holds if and only if the following conditions hold:*

$$\Phi_C^+ \cap \Phi_{C''}^+ \subseteq \Phi_{C'}^+ \subseteq \Phi_C^+ \cup \Phi_{C''}^+, \quad \Psi_C^+ \cap \Psi_{C''}^+ \subseteq \Psi_{C'}^+ \subseteq \Psi_C^+ \cup \Psi_{C''}^+.$$

(ii) *A gallery  $G = (C_0, \dots, C_n)$  is minimal if and only if for each triplet  $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 \leq n$ , we have  $C_{i_2} \in [C_{i_1}, C_{i_3}]$ .*

*Proof.* The first assertion results immediate from the fact that for  $C, C' \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$ , the distance  $d(C, C')$  is the number of walls separating  $C$  and  $C'$ . The second can be easily derived from the first one.  $\square$

If  $G = (C_0, \dots, C_n)$  and  $G' = (C_n, \dots, C_{n+m})$  are galleries, their *composite* is defined to be  $GG' = (C_0, \dots, C_{n+m})$ . The gallery *opposite* to a gallery  $G = (C_0, \dots, C_n)$  is defined to be  $G^* = (C_n, \dots, C_0)$ ; for  $w \in \hat{W}$ , the transport of  $G$  is defined to be  $wG = (wC_0, \dots, wC_n)$ .

## 3.2 The category $\mathbf{A}^\circ$

3.2.1. Recall  $\mathbf{S}_\delta = \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$  be the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear category whose objects are  $\text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$  and morphisms are  $\text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}(C_1, C_2) := \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{S}_\delta)$  for  $C_1, C_2 \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$ . The composition of morphisms is the usual composition of linear maps. For  $C_1, C_2 \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$ , let  $1_{C_1, C_2} = 1_{\mathbf{S}_\delta} \in \text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}(C_1, C_2)$  be the identity map.

For each  $C_1, C_2 \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$  such that  $C_2 \parallel_\alpha C_1$  for  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , let  $\tau_{C_1, C_2}^\circ = \vartheta_\alpha 1_{C_1, C_2} \in \text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}(C_1, C_2)$ , where  $\vartheta_\alpha : f \mapsto \alpha^{-1}(f - s_\alpha f)$  is the Demazure operator.

For chambers  $C_1, C_2 \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$  such that  $C_1 \sim C_2$ , put  $\tau_{C_1, C_2}^\circ = 1_{C_1, C_2}$ . For each gallery  $G = (C_0, \dots, C_n)$ , put  $\tau_G^\circ = \tau_{C_{n-1}, C_n}^\circ \cdots \tau_{C_0, C_1}^\circ$ . Let  $\mathbf{A}^\circ \subset \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$  be the subcategory with the same objects as  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$  and with morphisms given by

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C') = \sum_{w \in \hat{W}} \sum_{G=(C, C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, w^{-1}C')} w 1_{w^{-1}C', C'} \tau_G^\circ \mathbf{S}_\delta$$

and we define a filtration  $F_n \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C')$  by taking the second summation over galleries  $G$  with  $\ell(G) \leq n$ . Note that for  $C, C', C'' \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$ , we have

$$F_n \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C', C'') \circ F_m \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C') \subseteq F_{m+n} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C'').$$

3.2.2. We introduce the *canonical grading* on the hom-spaces of  $\mathbf{A}^\circ$  by setting  $\deg \mathfrak{P}^* = \deg \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}^* = 1$ ,  $\deg \tau_G^\circ = -\deg \epsilon(G)$  for any gallery  $G = (C_0, \dots, C_n)$  in  $\text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$  and  $\deg(1_{C, wC} w) = 0$  for any  $C \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$  and  $w \in \hat{W}$ . In other words, the degree of an element  $a \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C')$  is the degree of  $a$  as endomorphism of the vector space  $\mathbf{S}_\delta = \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$  equipped with the gradation  $\deg \mathfrak{P}^* = \deg \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}^* = 1$ .

3.2.3. Let  $C, C' \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$ . For  $w \in \hat{W}$ , choose a minimal gallery  $G_w$  from  $C$  to  $w^{-1}C'$  and let

$$\tau_{C,C',w}^o = w1_{w^{-1}C',C'} \tau_{G_w}^o \in F_{d(C,w^{-1}C')} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^o}(C, C').$$

**Proposition 36.** For  $C, C' \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$  and  $w \in \hat{W}$ , the element  $\tau_{C,C',w}^o$  is independent of the choice of the minimal gallery  $G_w$ ; moreover,  $\{\tau_{C,C',w}^o\}_{w \in \hat{W}}$  forms a basis for  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^o}(C, C')$  as left and right free  $\mathbf{S}_\delta$ -module.

*Proof.* According to [8, 11.1.2], the Demazure operators  $\vartheta_\alpha \in \text{End}(\mathbf{S}_\delta)$  are square-zero  $\vartheta_\alpha^2 = 0$  and satisfy the braid relations for  $\alpha, \beta \in \hat{\Delta}$ ,  $\alpha \neq \beta$ :

$$\underbrace{\vartheta_\alpha \vartheta_\beta \vartheta_\alpha \cdots}_{m_{\alpha,\beta}} = \underbrace{\vartheta_\beta \vartheta_\alpha \vartheta_\beta \cdots}_{m_{\alpha,\beta}}, \quad m_{\alpha,\beta} = \text{ord}(s_\alpha s_\beta).$$

For  $w \in \hat{W}$ , choose a reduced decomposition  $w = s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_k}$  for  $w$  and put  $\vartheta_w = \vartheta_{\beta_1} \cdots \vartheta_{\beta_k}$ , which is, in view of the braid relations, independent of the choice of the reduced decomposition. Moreover, the family  $\{\vartheta_w\}_{w \in \hat{W}}$  is free in  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{S}_\delta)$  both as left and as right  $\mathbf{S}_\delta$ -modules, see [8, 11.1.3].

Let  $C_0 \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$  be the chamber which contains  $\nu_0 \times \{0\}$ , where  $\nu_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$  is the fundamental alcove. Now, we can write  $\tau_{C,C',w}^o = y' \vartheta_{y^{-1}w^{-1}y} y^{-1} 1_{C,C'}$ , where  $y, y' \in \hat{W}$  are such that  $yC_0 \sim C$  and  $y'C_0 \sim C'$ . The freeness of  $\{\vartheta_w\}_{w \in \hat{W}}$  implies that of  $\{\tau_{C,C',w}^o\}_{w \in \hat{W}}$ .  $\square$

Let  $\overline{\tau}_{C,C',w}^o$  denote the image of  $\tau_{C,C',w}^o$  in the quotient:

$$\text{gr}_{d(C,w^{-1}C')}^F \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^o}(C, C') = F_{d(C,w^{-1}C')} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^o}(C, C') / F_{d(C,w^{-1}C')-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^o}(C, C').$$

Then Proposition 36 implies that  $\{\overline{\tau}_{C,C',w}^o\}_{w \in \hat{W}}$  forms a free basis for  $\text{gr}^F \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^o}(C, C')$  as left and right  $\mathbf{S}_\delta$ -module.

### 3.3 The category $\mathbf{A}$

3.3.1. For each  $C_1, C_2 \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$ , we define two invariants in  $\mathbf{S}_\delta$ :

$$\mathfrak{d}(C_1, C_2) = \prod_{\mu \in \Psi_{C_2}^+ \cap \Psi_{C_1}^-} \mu, \quad \mathfrak{e}(C_1, C_2) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{C_2}^+ \cap \Phi_{C_1}^-} \alpha.$$

For each  $C_1, C_2 \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$  such that  $C_1 \sim C_2$ , let

$$\tau_{C_1, C_2} \in \text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}(C_1, C_2), \quad f \mapsto f \mathfrak{d}(C_1, C_2).$$

For each  $C_1, C_2 \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$  such that  $C_2 \parallel_\alpha C_1$  for  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , let

$$\tau_{C_1, C_2} \in \text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}(C_1, C_2), \quad f \mapsto \vartheta_\alpha(f) = \alpha^{-1}(f - s_\alpha f).$$

Moreover, for  $C \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$  and  $w \in \hat{W}$ , let  $w_C \in \text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}(C, wC)$  be given by  $w_C : f \mapsto w(f)$ .

For every gallery  $G = (C_0, \dots, C_n)$ , we put  $\tau_G = \tau_{C_{n-1}, C_n} \cdots \tau_{C_0, C_1} \in \text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}(C_0, C_n)$ . Similarly, we put  $\mathfrak{d}(G) = \prod_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \mathfrak{d}(C_i, C_{i+1})$  and  $\mathfrak{e}(G) = \prod_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \mathfrak{e}(C_i, C_{i+1})$ .

3.3.2. Let  $\mathbf{A} \subset \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$  be the subcategory with the same objects whose morphisms are given by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C') = \sum_{w \in \hat{W}} \sum_{G=(C, C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, w^{-1}C')} w \mathbf{1}_{w^{-1}C', C'} \tau_G \mathbf{S}_{\delta}. \quad (37)$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $F_n \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C') \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C')$  be the sum in (37) with the second summation taken over galleries  $G$  with  $\ell(G) \leq n$ .

It is obvious that we have  $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{A}^{\circ}$  and the hom-space  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C') \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^{\circ}}(C, C')$  for  $C, C' \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$  is a graded subspace with the gradation introduced in §3.2.2.; the generator  $w \mathbf{1}_{w^{-1}C', C'} \tau_G$  is of degree  $\deg \mathfrak{d}(G) - \deg \mathfrak{e}(G)$ .

### 3.4 Basis theorem

Let  $C, C' \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$ . For  $w \in \hat{W}$ , choose a minimal gallery  $G_w$  from  $C$  to  $w^{-1}C'$  and let

$$\tau_{C, C', w} = w_{w^{-1}C'} \tau_{G_w} \in F_{d(C, w^{-1}C')} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C').$$

Let  $\bar{\tau}_{C, C', w}$  denote its image in the quotient:

$$\mathrm{gr}_{d(C, w^{-1}C')}^F \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C') = F_{d(C, w^{-1}C')} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C') / F_{d(C, w^{-1}C')-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C').$$

**Theorem 38.** *For  $C, C' \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$  and  $w \in \hat{W}$ , the element  $\bar{\tau}_{C, C', w}$  is independent of the choice of the minimal gallery  $G_w$ ; moreover,  $\{\bar{\tau}_{C, C', w}\}_{w \in \hat{W}}$  forms a basis for  $\mathrm{gr}^F \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C')$  as left and right free  $\mathbf{S}_{\delta}$ -module.*

*Proof.* We prove by induction on  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the following statements for all chambers  $C, C' \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$ :

(i) if  $G = (C, C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, C')$  is a gallery, then

$$\tau_G - \tau_G^{\circ} \mathfrak{d}(G) \in F_{n-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^{\circ}}(C, C'), \quad \tau_G \mathfrak{e}(G) - \mathbf{1}_{C, C'} \mathfrak{d}(G) \in F_{n-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C');$$

(ii) if  $G = (C, C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, C')$  is a non-minimal gallery, then  $\tau_G \in F_{n-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C')$ ;

(iii) if  $G = (C, C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, C')$  and  $G' = (C, C'_1, \dots, C'_{n-1}, C')$  are minimal galleries, then  $\tau_G - \tau_{G'} \in F_{n-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C')$ ;

(iv) the set  $\{\bar{\tau}_{C, C', w}\}_{\substack{w \in \hat{W} \\ d(C, w^{-1}C')=n}}$  forms a basis for

$$\mathrm{gr}_n^F \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C') = F_n \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C') / F_{n-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C')$$

as free left and right  $\mathbf{S}_{\delta}$ -module;

(v) the quotient

$$F_n \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^{\circ}}(C, C') / F_n \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C')$$

is  $\alpha$ -torsion-free for every  $\alpha \in \Phi$ .

For  $n = 0$ , all these five statements are trivial. Let  $n \geq 1$  and suppose that the statements are proven for  $0, \dots, n-1$  and we prove them for  $n$ :

(i) Let  $G^- = (C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, C')$ . We have

$$\tau_G - \tau_G^{\circ} \mathfrak{d}(G) = (\tau_{G^-} - \tau_{G^-}^{\circ} \mathfrak{d}(G^-)) \tau_{C, C_1} + \tau_{G^-}^{\circ} (\mathfrak{d}(G^-) \tau_{C, C_1} - \tau_{C, C_1}^{\circ} \mathfrak{d}(G)).$$

The term  $\tau_{G^-} - \tau_{G^-}^{\circ} \mathfrak{d}(G^-)$  lies in  $F_{n-2} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^{\circ}}(C_1, C')$  by induction hypothesis. If  $C \parallel_{\alpha} C_1$  for  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , then  $\tau_{C, C_1} = \tau_{C, C_1}^{\circ} = \vartheta_{\alpha}$  is the Demazure operator and thus

$$\mathfrak{d}(G^-) \tau_{C, C_1} = \tau_{C, C_1}^{\circ} \mathfrak{d}(G^-) + \mathbf{1}_{C, C_1} \vartheta_{\alpha} (\mathfrak{d}(G^-)) s_{\alpha}.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{d}(G) = \mathfrak{d}(G^-)$  and  $1_{C,C_1} \vartheta_\alpha(\mathfrak{d}(G^-)) s_\alpha \in F_0 \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C')$ , the second term  $\tau_{G^-}^\circ(\mathfrak{d}(G^-)\tau_{C,C_1} - \tau_{C,C_1}^\circ \mathfrak{d}(G))$  belongs to  $F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C')$ . If  $C \uparrow C_1$  or  $C_1 \uparrow C$  holds, then  $\mathfrak{d}(G^-)\tau_{C,C_1} = \mathfrak{d}(G^-)\mathfrak{d}(C, C_1)1_{C,C_1} = 1_{C,C_1}\mathfrak{d}(G) = \tau_{C,C_1}^\circ \mathfrak{d}(G)$ , so  $\tau_{G^-}^\circ(\mathfrak{d}(G^-)\tau_{C,C_1} - \tau_{C,C_1}^\circ \mathfrak{d}(G)) = 0$ . It follows that  $\tau_G - \tau_G^\circ \mathfrak{d}(G) \in F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C')$  in all cases. The other statement can be proven similarly.

(ii) By (i), we have

$$\tau_G - \tau_G^\circ \mathfrak{d}(G) \in F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C').$$

When  $G$  is non-minimal, the element  $\tau_G^\circ$  is zero; hence  $\tau_G \in F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C')$ . On the other hand,

$$\tau_G \mathfrak{e}(G) \in F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C').$$

Let  $\underline{\tau}_G$  be the image of  $\tau_G$  in  $F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C')/F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C')$ . It follows that  $\underline{\tau}_G \mathfrak{e}(G) = 0$ . By induction hypothesis, the space

$$F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C')/F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C')$$

is  $\alpha$ -torsion-free for each  $\alpha \in \Phi$  and therefore  $\mathfrak{e}(G)$ -torsion-free; hence  $\underline{\tau}_G = 0$  and consequently  $\tau_G \in F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C')$  holds.

(iii) By (i), we have  $\tau_G - \tau_G^\circ \mathfrak{d}(G) \in F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C')$  and  $\tau_{G'} - \tau_{G'}^\circ \mathfrak{d}(G') \in F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C')$ . Since  $G$  and  $G'$  are minimal, we have  $\mathfrak{d}(G) = \mathfrak{d}(G') = \mathfrak{d}(C, C')$ . On the other hand, the minimality also implies  $\tau_G^\circ = \tau_{G'}^\circ$ . Therefore

$$\tau_G - \tau_{G'} = (\tau_G - \mathfrak{d}(G)\tau_G^\circ) + (\tau_{G'} - \mathfrak{d}(G')\tau_{G'}^\circ) - (\mathfrak{d}(G)\tau_G^\circ - \mathfrak{d}(G')\tau_{G'}^\circ) \in F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C')$$

holds. On the other hand, we have  $\mathfrak{e}(G) = \mathfrak{e}(G') = \mathfrak{e}(C, C')$ , so we have by (i):

$$(\tau_G - \tau_{G'})\mathfrak{e}(C, C') = \tau_G \mathfrak{e}(G) - \tau_{G'} \mathfrak{e}(G') \in \tau_G^\circ \mathfrak{d}(G) - \tau_{G'}^\circ \mathfrak{d}(G') + F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C'),$$

hence the image of  $\tau_G - \tau_{G'}$  in  $F_n \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C')/F_n \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C')$  is annihilated by  $\mathfrak{e}(C, C')$ . The induction hypothesis implies that  $F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C')/F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C')$  is  $\mathfrak{e}(C, C')$ -torsion-free, so  $\tau_G - \tau_{G'} \in F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C')$  holds.

(iv) By (ii) and (iii), the space  $\text{gr}_n^F \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C')$  is spanned by the family  $\{\bar{\tau}_{C,C',w}\}_{\substack{w \in \hat{W} \\ d(C,w^{-1}C')=n}}$  as left and right  $\mathbf{S}_\delta$ -module. The family is free: indeed, suppose there is a  $\mathbf{S}_\delta$ -linear relation

$$\sum_w \bar{\tau}_{C,C',w} f_w = 0, \quad f_w \in \mathbf{S}_\delta;$$

then, we have  $\sum_w \tau_{C,C',w} f_w \in F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C')$ ; by (i), we have

$$\sum_w \tau_{C,C',w}^\circ \mathfrak{d}(C, w^{-1}C') f_w \in F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C');$$

since the family  $\{\bar{\tau}_{C,C',w}^\circ\}_{\substack{w \in \hat{W} \\ d(C,w^{-1}C')=n}}$  is free over  $\mathbf{S}_\delta$  by [Proposition 36](#), we see

that  $\mathfrak{d}(C, w^{-1}C') f_w = 0$  for each  $w$ ; hence  $f_w = 0$ .

(v) Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C') & \rightarrow & F_n \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C') & \rightarrow & \text{gr}_n^F \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C') \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \phi' & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \phi'' \\ 0 & \rightarrow & F_{n-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C') & \rightarrow & F_n \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C') & \rightarrow & \text{gr}_n^F \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^\circ}(C, C') \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The maps  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  are injective. By (iv),  $\ker(\phi'') = 0$  holds and  $\text{coker}(\phi'')$  is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_w \overline{\tau}_{C,C',w} \mathbf{S}_\delta / \phi(\overline{\tau}_{C,C',w}) \mathbf{S}_\delta \cong \bigoplus_w \mathbf{S}_\delta / \mathbf{S}_\delta \mathfrak{d}(C, w^{-1}C').$$

Since  $\mathfrak{d}(C, w^{-1}C')$  is not divisible by any element of  $\Phi$ , the cokernel  $\text{coker}(\phi'')$  is  $\alpha$ -torsion-free for  $\alpha \in \Phi$ . The induction hypothesis implies that  $\text{coker}(\phi')$  is  $\alpha$ -torsion-free; hence,  $\text{coker}(\phi)$  is also  $\alpha$ -torsion-free by snake lemma.  $\square$

### 3.5 Translation symmetry

The lattice  $\mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathfrak{P}$  acts on  $\mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Q} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_\mathbb{Q}$  as follows: for  $d \in \mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z}$ , let  $t_d : \mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Q} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Q} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_\mathbb{Q}$  be the translation  $(c, z) \mapsto (\delta(z)d + c, z)$ . It induces by scalar extension to  $\mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{R} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_\mathbb{R}$  and restricts to  $\mathfrak{a}$ . The contragredient  $\mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z}$ -action on the dual  $\mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Q}^* \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_\mathbb{Q}^*$  preserves the subsets  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ . Consequently, the  $\mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z}$ -action on  $\mathfrak{a}$  induces a  $\mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z}$ -action on  $\text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$ .

Similarly, the scalar extension of contragredient action induces a  $\mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z}$ -action on  $\mathbf{S}_\delta \cong \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{P}^* \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}^*)$  by ring automorphisms, denoted by  $t_d^* : \mathbf{S}_\delta \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_\delta$  for  $d \in \mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z}$ .

We define a  $\mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z}$ -action on  $\mathbf{A}$  as follows: for  $z \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$  and  $c \in \mathfrak{P}$ ; define  $t_d : \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$  by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a}) \ni C &\mapsto t_d(C) \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a}) \\ \text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}(C_1, C_2) \ni a &\mapsto (t_d)_*(a) := (t_d^*)^{-1} \circ a \circ t_d^* \in \text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}(t_d(C_1), t_d(C_2)). \end{aligned}$$

The automorphism  $t_d$  preserves the subcategory  $\mathbf{A} \subset \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ : indeed, we have  $(t_d)_* \tau_G = \tau_{t_d(G)}$  for every gallery  $G$ ,  $(t_d)_* w = w$  in  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{S}_\delta)$  for every  $w \in \hat{W}$  and  $(t_d)_*(f) = (t_d^*)^{-1}(f)$  for every  $f \in \mathbf{S}_\delta$ .

### 3.6 Embedding of $\mathbf{H}_\delta$

Let  $\kappa_0 \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$  be the chamber which contains  $\nu_0 \times \{0\}$ , where  $\nu_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}^1$  is the fundamental alcove. For  $d \in \mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\kappa_d = t_d(\kappa_0) = \kappa_0 + (d, 0) \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$ .

**Theorem 39.** *For each  $d \in \mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z}$ , there is an isomorphism of rings*

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_d : \mathbf{H}_\delta &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa_d) \\ f &\mapsto (t_d)_* f \quad \forall f \in \mathbf{S}_\delta \\ 1 - s_\alpha &\mapsto (\alpha - (\mathbf{c}_\alpha - d_\alpha \delta)) \vartheta_\alpha \quad \forall \alpha \in \hat{\Delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(t_d)_* f \in \mathbf{S}_\delta$  is given by  $((t_d)_* f)(c, z) = f(c - \delta(z)d, z)$  for  $(c, z) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ .

*Proof.* Let us consider first the case  $d = 0$ . Notice that since  $((\alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha) \vartheta_\alpha)^2 = 2(\alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha) \vartheta_\alpha$  and  $(\alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha) \vartheta_\alpha f - s_\alpha(f) (\alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha) \vartheta_\alpha = (\alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha) \vartheta_\alpha (f)$ , we have a homomorphism  $\iota_0 : \mathbf{H}_\delta \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbf{S}_\delta) = \text{End}_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}(\kappa_0)$ . We shall prove the following:

- (i)  $(\alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha) \vartheta_\alpha \in \text{End}(\mathbf{S}_\delta)$  lies in  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa_0)$  for each  $\alpha \in \hat{\Delta}$ ;
- (ii)  $\{(\alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha) \vartheta_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \hat{\Delta}}$  and  $\mathbf{S}_\delta$  generate  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa_0)$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \hat{\Delta}$ . We have  $\mathfrak{d}(\kappa_0, s_\alpha \kappa_0) = -\alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha$  and  $\mathfrak{d}(s_\alpha \kappa_0, \kappa_0) = \alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha$ . The intersection  $\overline{\nu_0} \cap H_\alpha \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_\mathbb{R}$  is the closure of a face of the fundamental alcove  $\nu_0$ ; let  $z \in \overline{\nu_0} \cap H_\alpha$  be a point in its relative interior. For  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough, the



point  $(z, \epsilon) \in \mathfrak{a}$  lies in a connected component of  $\mathfrak{a} \setminus \bigcup_{\psi \in \Psi} H_\psi$ , denoted by  $Z$ . The complement  $Z \setminus H_\alpha$  consists of two chambers, one of which shares a wall with  $\kappa_0$  with support  $H_{\alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha}$ ; denote this chamber by  $C_\alpha \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$ .

Then, it follows that  $C_\alpha \upharpoonright_{\alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha \kappa_0}$ ,  $C_\alpha \upharpoonright_{-\alpha s_\alpha(C_\alpha)}$  and  $s_\alpha(C_\alpha) \upharpoonright_{-\alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha \kappa_0}$  hold, so  $G_\alpha = (\kappa_0, C_\alpha, s_\alpha C_\alpha, s_\alpha \kappa_0)$  is a minimal gallery from  $\kappa_0$  to  $s_\alpha \kappa_0$ . We have  $s_\alpha \tau_{G_\alpha} = s_\alpha(-\alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha) \vartheta_{-\alpha} = (\mathbf{c}_\alpha - \alpha) \vartheta_\alpha$  and thus  $(\mathbf{c}_\alpha - \alpha) \vartheta_\alpha$  lies in  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa_0)$ .

Given any  $w \in \hat{W}$ , let  $w = s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_k}$  be a reduced decomposition, where  $\beta_i \in \hat{\Delta}$  are simple roots. Then, the composite

$$G_w := G_{\beta_1}(s_{\beta_1} G_{\beta_2})(s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} G_{\beta_3}) \cdots (s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_{k-1}} G_{\beta_k})$$

is a minimal gallery from  $\kappa_0$  to  $w\kappa_0$ : indeed, if we put  $\gamma_i = s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_{i-1}} \beta_i$ , then we have

$$\mathfrak{d}(s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_{i-1}} G_{\beta_i}) = s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_{i-1}} \mathfrak{d}(G_{\beta_i}) = \gamma_i - \mathbf{c}_{\gamma_i}$$

and hence  $\mathfrak{d}(G_w) = \prod_{i=1}^k (\gamma_i - \mathbf{c}_{\gamma_i}) = \mathfrak{d}(\kappa_0, w\kappa_0)$  because  $\Phi^+ \cap w\Phi^- = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k\}$ ; similarly, we have  $\mathfrak{e}(G_w) = \mathfrak{e}(\kappa_0, w\kappa_0)$  and the same holds for  $G_w^{-1}$ ; it follows that the gallery  $G_w$  is minimal by [Lemma 35](#). Therefore, the element

$$w^{-1} \tau_{G_w} = s_{\beta_k} \cdots s_{\beta_1} \tau_{s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_{k-1}} G_{\beta_k}} \cdots \tau_{s_{\beta_1} G_{\beta_2}} \tau_{G_{\beta_1}} = s_{\beta_k} \tau_{G_{\beta_k}} \cdots s_{\beta_2} \tau_{G_{\beta_2}} s_{\beta_1} \tau_{G_{\beta_1}}$$

lies in the subalgebra generated by  $\{(\alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha) \vartheta_\alpha = s_\alpha \tau_{G_\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \hat{\Delta}}$ . By [Theorem 38](#), it follows that  $\iota_0$  is surjective. The fact that  $\{w\}_{w \in \hat{W}}$  forms a basis for  $\mathbf{H}_\delta$  as  $\mathbf{S}_\delta$ -module implies the injectivity of  $\iota_0$ . Consequently,  $\iota_0$  is an isomorphism.

For  $d \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , we may write  $\iota_d = (t_d)_* \circ \iota_0$ , which is clearly an isomorphism.  $\square$

## 4 Spectral completion of $\mathbf{A}$

Fix  $(c, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$ . We define

$$\Phi_\lambda = \{\alpha \in \Phi ; \alpha(\lambda) = 0\}, \quad \Psi_{c, \lambda} = \{\mu \in \Psi_\lambda ; \mu(c, \lambda) = 0\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, \lambda}$  be the completion of  $\mathbf{S}_\delta = \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$  at the defining ideal of the point  $(c, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ .

### 4.1 The category $\mathcal{A}^{c, \lambda}$

We shall define a category  $\mathcal{A}^{c, \lambda}$  analogous to  $\mathbf{A}$  by repeating the constructions from [§3](#) with the following replacements:  $\Phi \mapsto \Phi_\lambda$ ,  $\Psi \mapsto \Psi_{c, \lambda}$ ,  $\hat{W} \mapsto \hat{W}_\lambda$  and  $\mathbf{S}_\delta \mapsto \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, \lambda}$ .

*4.1.1.* The sets  $\Phi_{c, \lambda}$  and  $\Psi_{c, \lambda}$  define a hyperplane arrangement  $\{H_\varphi\}_{\varphi \in \Phi_\lambda \cup \Psi_{c, \lambda}}$  on  $\mathfrak{a}$ . A chamber is defined to be connected components of  $\mathfrak{a} \setminus \bigcup_{\varphi \in \Phi_\lambda \cup \Psi_{c, \lambda}} H_\varphi$ . The set of chambers is denoted by  $\text{Ch}^{c, \lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$ .

For each chamber  $C \in \text{Ch}^{c, \lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$ , define

$$\Phi_{\lambda, C}^\pm = \{\alpha \in \Phi_\lambda ; \pm \alpha(C) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}, \quad \Psi_{c, \lambda, C}^\pm = \{\mu \in \Psi_{c, \lambda} ; \pm \mu(C) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}.$$

The notion of galleries is the same as in [§3.1](#).

4.1.2. For each  $C_1, C_2 \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$ , we define two invariants in  $\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}$ :

$$\mathfrak{d}^{c,\lambda}(C_1, C_2) = \prod_{\mu \in \Psi_{c,\lambda,C_2}^+ \cap \Psi_{c,\lambda,C_1}^-} \mu, \quad \mathfrak{e}^{c,\lambda}(C_1, C_2) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\lambda,C_2}^+ \cap \Phi_{\lambda,C_1}^-} \alpha.$$

For each  $C_1, C_2 \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$  such that  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  lie in the same connected component of  $\mathfrak{a} \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi_\lambda} H_\alpha$ , let

$$\tau_{C_1, C_2}^{c,\lambda} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}), \quad f \mapsto f \mathfrak{d}^{c,\lambda}(C_1, C_2).$$

For each  $C_1, C_2 \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$  such that  $C_2 \parallel_\alpha C_1$  for  $\alpha \in \Phi_\lambda$ , let

$$\tau_{C_1, C_2}^{c,\lambda} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}), \quad f \mapsto \vartheta_\alpha(f) = \alpha^{-1}(f - s_\alpha(f)).$$

For every gallery  $G = (C_0, \dots, C_n)$  in  $\text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$ , we put  $\tau_G^{c,\lambda} = \tau_{C_{n-1}, C_n}^{c,\lambda} \cdots \tau_{C_0, C_1}^{c,\lambda} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda})$ . Similarly, we put  $\mathfrak{d}^{c,\lambda}(G) = \prod_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \mathfrak{d}^{c,\lambda}(C_i, C_{i+1})$  and  $\mathfrak{e}^{c,\lambda}(G) = \prod_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \mathfrak{e}^{c,\lambda}(C_i, C_{i+1})$ .

4.1.3. Let  $\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}$  be the small category with set of objects  $\text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$  and with morphisms defined by

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(C, C') = \sum_{w \in \hat{W}_\lambda} \sum_{G=(C, C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, w^{-1}C')} w \tau_G^{c,\lambda} \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda} \subseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}) \quad (40)$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $F_n \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(C, C') \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(C, C')$  be the sum in (40) with the second summation taken over galleries  $G$  with  $\ell(G) \leq n$ .

4.1.4. Let  $C, C' \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$ . For  $w \in \hat{W}$ , choose a minimal gallery  $G_w$  from  $C$  to  $w^{-1}C'$  and let

$$\tau_{C, C', w}^{c,\lambda} = w \tau_{G_w}^{c,\lambda} \in F_{d(C, w^{-1}C')} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(C, C').$$

Let  $\overline{\tau}_{C, C', w}^{c,\lambda}$  denote its image in the quotient:

$$\text{gr}_{d(C, w^{-1}C')}^F \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(C, C') = F_{d(C, w^{-1}C')} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(C, C') / F_{d(C, w^{-1}C')-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(C, C').$$

**Theorem 41.** For  $C, C' \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$  and  $w \in \hat{W}_\lambda$ , the element  $\overline{\tau}_{C, C', w}^{c,\lambda}$  is independent of the choice of the minimal gallery  $G_w$ ; moreover,  $\{\overline{\tau}_{C, C', w}^{c,\lambda}\}_{w \in \hat{W}_\lambda}$  forms a basis for  $\text{gr}^F \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(C, C')$  as left and right free  $\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}$ -module.

*Proof.* The proof of [Theorem 38](#) works *mutatis mutandis*. □

4.1.5. The translation action of  $d \in \mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z}$  induces  $t_d^* : \mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Q}^* \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_\mathbb{Q}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Q}^* \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_\mathbb{Q}^*$ , which sends  $\Psi_{c+d, \lambda}$  to  $\Psi_{c, \lambda}$  and fixes  $\Phi_\lambda$  pointwise. Hence, it induces a map  $t_d : \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow \text{Ch}^{c+d, \lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$  and an equivalence of category  $t_d : \mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}^{c+d, \lambda}$ .

## 4.2 Comparison

We shall define the spectral completion  $\mathcal{C}^{c, [\lambda]} \mathbf{A}$  of the category  $\mathbf{A}$  and compare it with  $\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}$ .

4.2.1. Let  $\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, [\lambda]} = \bigoplus_{\lambda' \in [\lambda]} \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, \lambda'}$ , which is a non-unital ring. The filtration  $F_\bullet \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C')$  implies that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C')$  is the union of  $\mathbf{S}_\delta$  sub-bimodules which are finite as left and right  $\mathbf{S}_\delta$  modules. Similarly to Lemma 28, there is an isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{C}^{c, [\lambda]} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C') = \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, [\lambda]} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_\delta} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C') \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C') \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_\delta} \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, [\lambda]}. \quad (42)$$

Consider the following evaluation map:

$$\text{ev}_1 : \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, [\lambda]} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_\delta} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C') \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, [\lambda]}, \quad a \otimes \varphi \mapsto a\varphi(1)$$

We introduce an embedding  $\mathcal{C}^{c, [\lambda]} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C') \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, [\lambda]})$  via

$$\mathcal{C}^{c, [\lambda]} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C') \times \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, [\lambda]} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, [\lambda]}, \quad (\varphi, a) \mapsto \text{ev}_1(\eta^{-1}(\eta(\varphi)a)).$$

4.2.2. For each  $\lambda' \in [\lambda]$ , let  $1_{\lambda'} \in \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, \lambda'}$  denote the identity element viewed as idempotent in  $\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, [\lambda]}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}^{c, \lambda} \mathbf{A}$  be the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear category with set of objects  $\text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a}) \times \hat{W}$  and with morphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{c, \lambda} \mathbf{A}}((C, w), (C', w')) = 1_{w'\lambda} \mathcal{C}^{c, [\lambda]} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(C, C') 1_{w\lambda} \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, w\lambda}, \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, w'\lambda}).$$

The composition of morphisms is the usual composition of linear maps.

**Theorem 43.** *For each chamber  $C \in \text{Ch}^{c, \lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$ , let  $\tilde{C} \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$  denote the unique chamber satisfying  $C \subseteq \tilde{C}$ . Then, there is an equivalence of categories given by the following formula:*

$$\rho : \mathcal{C}^{c, \lambda} \mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}^{c, \lambda}, \quad \rho(C, w) = \widetilde{w^{-1}C}, \quad \rho(\varphi) = w'^{-1}\varphi w$$

for  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{c, \lambda} \mathbf{A}}((C, w), (C', w'))$ , where  $w : \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, \lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, w\lambda}$  and  $w' : \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, \lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, w'\lambda}$  are induced via completion from the  $\hat{W}$ -action on  $\mathbf{S}_\delta$ .

*Proof.* The morphisms of the category  $\mathcal{A}^{c, \lambda}$  are generated by the following set:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \tau_{C, C'}^{c, \lambda} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c, \lambda}}(C, C') ; C, C' \in \text{Ch}^{c, \lambda}(\mathfrak{a}) \text{ adjacent} \} \cdot \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, \lambda} \\ & \cup \{ y \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c, \lambda}}(C, yC) ; y \in \hat{W}, C \in \text{Ch}^{c, \lambda}(\mathfrak{a}) \} \cdot \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, \lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

The morphisms of  $\mathcal{C}^{c, \lambda} \mathbf{A}$  are generated by the following set:

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigcup_{w, w' \in \hat{W}} \{ 1_{w'\lambda} \tau_{C, C'} 1_{w\lambda} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{c, \lambda} \mathbf{A}}((C, w), (C', w')) ; C, C' \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a}) \text{ adjacent} \} \cdot \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, w\lambda} \\ & \cup \bigcup_{w \in \hat{W}} \{ 1_{yw\lambda} y 1_{w\lambda} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{c, \lambda} \mathbf{A}}((C, w), (yC, yw)) ; y \in \hat{W}, C \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a}) \} \cdot \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, w\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

We show that  $\rho$  sends the generators of morphisms of  $\mathcal{C}^{c, \lambda} \mathbf{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}^{c, \lambda}$ .

Let  $C, C' \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a})$  be a pair of chambers which share a common wall. We show that for each  $w, w' \in \hat{W}$ , the following holds:

$$\rho(1_{w'\lambda} \tau_{C, C'} 1_{w\lambda}) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c, \lambda}}(\widetilde{w^{-1}C}, \widetilde{w'^{-1}C'}). \quad (44)$$

Suppose that  $C \parallel_\alpha C'$  for some  $\alpha \in \Phi$ . Then,  $\tau_{C, C'} = \vartheta_\alpha$ . If  $\alpha(w\lambda) = 0$ , then  $w^{-1}\alpha \in \Phi_\lambda$  and  $\widetilde{w^{-1}C} \parallel_{w^{-1}\alpha} \widetilde{w'^{-1}C'}$  holds in  $\text{Ch}^{c, \lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$ ; therefore,

$$\rho(1_{w'\lambda} \tau_{C, C'} 1_{w\lambda}) = \begin{cases} \vartheta_{w^{-1}\alpha} = \tau_{\widetilde{w^{-1}C}, \widetilde{w'^{-1}C'}}^{c, \lambda} & \text{if } w\lambda = w'\lambda, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $\alpha(w\lambda) \neq 0$ , then  $w^{-1}\alpha$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,s_\alpha\lambda}$ ; therefore, the Demazure operator induces

$$\vartheta_\alpha : \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,w\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,w\lambda} \oplus \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,s_\alpha w\lambda}, \quad f \mapsto \alpha^{-1}f - \alpha^{-1}s_\alpha f.$$

and thus

$$\rho(1_{w'\lambda}\tau_{C,C'}1_{w\lambda}) = \begin{cases} (w^{-1}\alpha)^{-1} & \text{if } w'\lambda = w\lambda, \\ (w^{-1}\alpha)^{-1}w'^{-1}s_\alpha w & \text{if } w'\lambda = s_\alpha w\lambda, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Notice that in the second case above, we have  $w'^{-1}s_\alpha w \in \hat{W}_\lambda$ . It follows that (44) holds in each of the cases. Similar arguments show (44) holds also in the case  $C \lceil_\psi C'$  or  $C' \lceil_\psi C$  for some  $\psi \in \Psi$  and that  $\rho(1_{yw\lambda}y1_{w\lambda})$  lies in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(\widetilde{w^{-1}C}, \widetilde{w'^{-1}C'})$  for all  $w, w', y \in \hat{W}$ . Since the formula for  $\rho$  respects the composition, it follows that  $\rho$  is a well-defined functor.

The same kind of arguments as above show that  $\rho$  is full. The faithfulness of  $\rho$  is due to the fact that  $\varphi \mapsto w'^{-1}\varphi w$  gives an isomorphism from  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,w\lambda}, \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,w'\lambda})$  to  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda})$  and that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}((C, w), (C', w')) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,w\lambda}, \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,w'\lambda})$  is a subspace. The essential surjectivity is obvious.  $\square$

### 4.3 Duality

Let  $C, C' \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$ , we say that  $C$  and  $C'$  are *antipodal* if the following conditions hold:

$$\Phi_{\lambda,C}^+ = \Phi_{\lambda,C'}^-, \quad \Psi_{c,\lambda,C}^+ = \Psi_{c,\lambda,C'}^-.$$

Let  $C, C' \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$  be antipodal chambers. By Theorem 41,  $d(C, C')$  is the highest degree of  $\text{gr}^F \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(C, C')$  and graded piece of highest degree is given by  $\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda} \overline{\tau}_{C,C'}^{c,\lambda}$ .

Let  $\text{tr} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(C, C') \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}$  be the following composition:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(C, C') \rightarrow \frac{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(C, C')}{Fd(C, C')^{-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(C, C')} = \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda} \cdot \overline{\tau}_{C,C'}^{c,\lambda} \xrightarrow{\overline{\tau}_{C,C'}^{c,\lambda} \mapsto 1} \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}.$$

Note that  $\text{tr}$  satisfies  $\text{tr}(a\varphi) = a \text{tr}(\varphi) = \text{tr}(\varphi a)$  for  $a \in \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}$  and  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(C, C')$  because  $[\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}, \overline{\tau}_{C,C'}^{c,\lambda}] = 0$ .

**Proposition 45.** *Suppose that  $C, C' \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$  are antipodal chambers. Then, given any chamber  $C'' \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$ , the following bilinear form is non-degenerate:*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(C'', C') \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(C, C'') \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}, \quad \phi \otimes \psi \mapsto \text{tr}(\phi\psi).$$

*Proof.* Since  $C$  and  $C'$  are antipodal, it is easy to see that  $[C, C'] = \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$ ; namely,  $d(C, C') = d(C, C'') + d(C'', C')$  holds for every  $C'' \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$ . In particular, there exists a minimal gallery from  $C$  to  $C'$  passing by  $w^{-1}C''$  for each  $w \in \hat{W}$ . It follows from Theorem 41 that

$$\overline{\tau}_{C'', C', w^{-1}C''} = \overline{\tau}_{C, C'', w} = \overline{\tau}_{w^{-1}C'', C'} \overline{\tau}_{C, w^{-1}C''} = \overline{\tau}_{C, C'}$$

holds.

Pick any liftings  $\tau_{C'', C', w}$  and  $\tau_{C, C'', w}$  for each  $w \in \hat{W}$ ; then, Theorem 41 implies that  $\{\tau_{C'', C', w}\}_{w \in \hat{W}}$  and  $\{\tau_{C, C'', w}\}_{w \in \hat{W}}$  are free bases over  $\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}$ . If we order the elements  $w$  of  $\hat{W}$  by the distance  $d(C, w^{-1}C'')$ , then the matrix  $(\text{tr}(\tau_{C'', C', y^{-1}C''} \tau_{C, C'', w}))_{w, y \in \hat{W}}$  is triangular with 1 in the diagonal. Hence, the pairing is non-degenerate.  $\square$

## 4.4 Generic chambers

Given  $d \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , let  $\mathfrak{a}_d = \{d\} \times \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^1 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$  and let  $\text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a}_d) \subseteq \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$  be the subset of chambers  $C \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$  such that  $C \cap \mathfrak{a}_d \neq \emptyset$ . We say that a chamber  $C \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a}_d)$  is *generic* if no non-constant affine function on  $\mathfrak{a}_d$  is bounded on  $C \cap \mathfrak{a}_d$ .

**Lemma 46.** *If  $C \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a}_d)$  is a generic chamber, then  $C \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a}_{d'})$  for every  $d' \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$  (in other words,  $C$  is mapped surjectively onto  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$  via the projection  $\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ).*

*Proof.* Let  $\overline{\Psi}_{c,\lambda,C}^{\pm}$  (resp.  $\overline{\Phi}_{\lambda,C}^{\pm}$ ) be the image of  $\Psi_{c,\lambda,C}^{\pm}$  (resp.  $\Phi_{\lambda,C}^{\pm}$ ) in  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \times \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ . The genericity implies there exists  $\rho \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that  $\pm\varphi(\rho) > 0$  for every  $\varphi \in \overline{\Psi}_{c,\lambda,C}^{\pm} \cup \overline{\Phi}_{\lambda,C}^{\pm}$ . It follows that for every pair  $(d', z) \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^1 = \mathfrak{a}$ , there exists  $r \gg 0$  such that  $(d', z + r\rho) \in C$ . Hence,  $C \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a}_{d'})$  for every  $d' \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .  $\square$

## 5 Translation bimodules

### 5.1 Parameter shifts

For  $d \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , set  $\mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa_0, \kappa_d)$ . In particular, we have  $\mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(0)} = \text{End}_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa_0) \cong \mathbf{H}_{\delta}$  by [Theorem 39](#). Given  $d, d' \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , we define the multiplication  $\star : \mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d')} \otimes \mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d+d')}$  via

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d')} \otimes \mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)} &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa_0, \kappa_{d'}) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa_0, \kappa_d) \\ &\xrightarrow{(t_d)\star\text{id}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa_d, \kappa_{d+d'}) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa_0, \kappa_d) \xrightarrow{\circ} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa_0, \kappa_{d+d'}) = \mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d+d')}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that  $\star$  is associative. In particular,  $\mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)}$  has a  $\mathbf{H}_{\delta}$ -bimodule structure and  $\star$  factorises through  $\mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d')} \otimes \mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d')} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{\delta}} \mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)}$ .

Note that  $\mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)}$  satisfies

$$(\mathbf{c}_{\alpha} - d_{\alpha}\delta) \star a = a \star \mathbf{c}_{\alpha} \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)} \text{ and } \alpha \in \Phi. \quad (47)$$

Moreover,  $\mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)}$  comes with a canonical  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation from [§3.3.2](#). making it graded  $\mathbf{H}_{\delta}$ -bimodule.

### 5.2 Length filtration

Recall the filtration  $F\bullet\mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)} = F\bullet\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa_0, \kappa_d)$  defined in [§3.3.2](#). By [Theorem 38](#), the associated graded space has a free basis:

$$\text{gr}^F \mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)} = \bigoplus_{w \in \hat{W}} \mathbf{S}_{\delta} \overline{\tau}_{\kappa_0, \kappa_d}^w, \quad \deg_F \overline{\tau}_{\kappa_0, \kappa_d}^w = d(\kappa_0, w^{-1}\kappa_d).$$

For simplicity of notation, denote  $\gamma^{d,w} := \overline{\tau}_{\kappa_0, \kappa_d}^w \in \text{gr}^F \mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)}$ .

**Lemma 48.** *The multiplication  $\star$  descends to the associated graded  $\text{gr}^F \mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)}$  and is given by the following rule:*

$$\gamma^{d,w} \star \gamma^{e,y} = \begin{cases} \gamma^{d+e,wy} & y^{-1}\kappa_d \in [\kappa_0, (wy)^{-1}\kappa_{d+e}] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad (49)$$

for  $f, g \in \mathbf{S}_{\delta}$ ,  $w, y \in \hat{W}$  and  $d, e \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

*Proof.* The condition  $y^{-1}\kappa_d \in [\kappa_0, (wy)^{-1}\kappa_{d+e}]$  (see [Lemma 35](#)) is equivalent to the following: if  $G$  (resp.  $G'$ ) is a minimal gallery from  $\kappa_0$  to  $y^{-1}\kappa_d$  (resp. from  $y^{-1}\kappa_d$  to  $(wy)^{-1}\kappa_{d+e}$ ), then  $GG'$  is a minimal gallery from  $\kappa_0$  to  $(wy)^{-1}\kappa_{d+e}$ . The statement follows immediately from [Theorem 38](#).  $\square$

### 5.3 Translation bimodules

**Definition 50.** For  $d \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , the  $\mathbf{H}$ -bimodule  $\mathbf{B}^{(d)} := \mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)}/(\delta - 1)$  is called the translation bimodule.

The translation bimodule is the motivating example of our definition of Harish-Chandra bimodules:

**Proposition 51.** For each  $d \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , we have  $\mathbf{B}^{(d)} \in \text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$ .

The important consequence is the following:

**Corollary 52.** The adjoint pair

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{B}^{(d)} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{L}} - & \\ & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \\ \text{D}^{\text{b}}(\mathbf{H}\text{-Mod}) & \perp & \text{D}^{\text{b}}(\mathbf{H}\text{-Mod}) \\ & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \\ & \text{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{B}^{(d)}, -) & \end{array}$$

preserves the subcategories  $\text{D}^{\text{b}}(\mathbf{H}\text{-mod})$  and  $\text{D}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{b}}(\mathbf{H})$ .

*Proof.* This follows immediately from [Proposition 27](#) and [Proposition 51](#).  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 51.* Notice that since  $\mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)}$  is  $\delta$ -torsion-free (see [Theorem 38](#)), it is naturally isomorphic to the Rees bimodule of its quotient  $\mathbf{B}^{(d)}$  equipped with the filtration induced from the canonical  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation on  $\mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)}$ . We shall thus verify the axioms from [Definition 17](#) on the quotient  $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^{(d)} := \mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)}/(\delta)$ . The inclusion  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa_0)/(\delta) \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{A}^{\circ}}(\kappa_0)/(\delta)$  becomes an isomorphism after inverting the elements of  $\Psi$  in  $\mathbf{S}_{\delta}$ . In particular, it preserves the centre:

$$\text{Z}(\text{End}_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa_0)/(\delta)) = \text{Z}(\text{End}_{\mathbf{A}^{\circ}}(\kappa_0)/(\delta)) \cap \text{End}_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa_0)/(\delta).$$

Similarly, we have  $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^{(d)} \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^{\circ}}(\kappa_0, \kappa_d)/(\delta) \cong \text{End}_{\mathbf{A}^{\circ}}(\kappa_0)/(\delta)$ . Therefore, for any  $x \in \text{Z}(\text{End}_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa_0)/(\delta))$  and  $b \in \overline{\mathbf{B}}^{(d)}$ , we have  $x \star b = ((t_d)_* x)b = xb = bx = b \star x$ ; the second equation is due to the fact that  $t_d^*$  is reduced to the identity map on  $\mathbf{S}_{\delta}/(\delta)$ . This together with [Lemma 53](#) below implies that the induced filtration on  $\mathbf{B}^{(d)} = \mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)}/(\delta - 1)$  is a HC filtration.  $\square$

**Lemma 53.**  $\mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)}$  is finitely generated as graded left  $\mathbf{H}_{\delta}$ -module.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $\text{gr}^F \mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)}$  is finitely generated as graded left  $\text{gr}^F \mathbf{H}_{\delta}$ -module. We first show that for each  $w \in \hat{W}$  such that  $\ell(w) \gg 0$ , there exists  $\alpha \in \hat{\Delta}$  such that  $\gamma^{0, s_{\alpha}} \star \gamma^{d, s_{\alpha} w} = \gamma^{d, w}$ . This will imply the required statement because  $\text{gr}^F \mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{(d)}$  is spanned by  $\{\gamma^{d, w}\}_{w \in \hat{W}}$  as graded left  $\mathbf{S}_{\delta}$ -module.

Let  $w \in \hat{W}$  and suppose that  $\ell(w) \gg 0$ . By the decomposition  $\hat{W} = Q^{\vee} \rtimes W$ , we can write  $w = t_{\mu} w_1$  for  $w_1 \in W$  and  $\mu \in Q^{\vee}$ . Moreover, there exists positive integers  $\{e_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \hat{\Delta}}$  satisfying  $\sum_{\alpha \in \hat{\Delta}} e_{\alpha} \alpha = \delta$ , so that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \hat{\Delta}} e_{\alpha} \langle \alpha, \mu \rangle = \langle \delta, \mu \rangle = 0;$$

it implies that when  $\ell(w) \gg 0$ , it happens that  $\ell(t_{\mu}) \geq \ell(w) - \#W \gg 0$  and there exists  $\alpha \in \hat{\Delta}$  such that  $\langle \alpha, \mu \rangle \ll 0$ ; it follows that for such  $\alpha$ , we have

$$((t_{-\mu})_* \alpha - \mathbf{c}_{\alpha})(w_1 \kappa_d) = (\alpha - \mathbf{c}_{\alpha})(w_1 \kappa_d) + \langle \alpha, \mu \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{<0}$$

and thus  $\alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha \in (t_\mu)_* \Psi_{w_1 \kappa_d}^- = \Psi_{w \kappa_d}^-$ ; similarly,  $-\alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha \in \Psi_{w \kappa_d}^+$ . Let  $w' = s_\alpha w$ . We have thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\kappa_0}^+ \cap \Psi_{w' \kappa_d}^+ &= (\Psi_{\kappa_0}^+ \cap s_\alpha \Psi_{w' \kappa_d}^+) \sqcup \{\alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha\}, \\ (\Psi_{\kappa_0}^- \cap \Psi_{w' \kappa_d}^-) \sqcup \{-\alpha - \mathbf{c}_\alpha\} &= \Psi_{\kappa_0}^- \cap s_\alpha \Psi_{w' \kappa_d}^-. \end{aligned}$$

The first equation implies  $\Psi_{\kappa_0}^+ \cap \Psi_{w \kappa_d}^+ \subseteq \Psi_{w' \kappa_d}^+$  and the second implies  $\Psi_{\kappa_0}^- \cap \Psi_{w \kappa_d}^- \subseteq \Psi_{w' \kappa_d}^-$ , or equivalently  $\Psi_{w' \kappa_d}^+ \subseteq \Psi_{\kappa_0}^+ \cup \Psi_{w \kappa_d}^+$ . Similar arguments show that  $\Phi_{\kappa_0}^+ \cap \Phi_{w \kappa_d}^+ \subseteq \Phi_{w' \kappa_d}^+ \subseteq \Phi_{\kappa_0}^+ \cup \Phi_{w \kappa_d}^+$  holds. By [Lemma 35](#), these together imply that the condition  $w' \kappa_d \in [\kappa_0, w \kappa_d]$  in [Lemma 48](#) is satisfied and therefore  $\gamma^{0, s_\alpha} \star \gamma^{d, s_\alpha w} = \gamma^{d, w}$  holds.  $\square$

## 5.4 Translation bimodules along a semigroup

We provide here some preliminary results on the  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded ring  $\mathbf{B}^\otimes$  formed by the translation bimodules along the semigroup generated by an element  $d \in \mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z}$ . We prove the noetherianity of  $\mathbf{B}^\otimes$  and thereby derive in [Proposition 58](#) the constructibility of support in the parameter space  $\mathfrak{P}$  of certain Harish-Chandra bimodules.

5.4.1. Fix  $d \in \mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z}$ . Form the following ring:

$$\mathbf{B}^\otimes = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{B}^{(nd)},$$

whose multiplication is given by the map  $\star$  from [§5.1](#). It has a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -filtration given by

$$F_{n,m} \mathbf{B}^\otimes = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} F_n F_{n+m}^\delta \mathbf{B}^{(nd)},$$

where the first filtration on the right-hand side is the length filtration [§5.2](#) and the second is the filtration induced from the canonical  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation on  $\mathbf{B}_\delta^{(nd)}$  from [§5.1](#). Note that  $F_{n,m} \mathbf{B}^\otimes = 0$  whenever  $n < 0$  or  $m < 0$ .

**Proposition 54.** *The graded ring  $\mathbf{B}^\otimes$  is left and right noetherian.*

*Proof.* Consider the  $\mathbb{N}^2$ -graded Rees ring

$$\mathbf{B}_{\zeta, \delta}^\otimes = \bigoplus_{n, m \geq 0} \zeta^n \delta^m F_{n,m} \mathbf{B}^\otimes.$$

By the technique of associated graded [[6](#), Appendix D], it suffices to show that the associated quotient  $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^\otimes := \mathbf{B}_{\zeta, \delta}^\otimes / (\zeta, \delta)$  is left and right noetherian. [Lemma 55](#) below assures this property.  $\square$

**Lemma 55.** *Let  $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^\otimes$  be as in the proof of [Proposition 54](#). Then,  $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^\otimes$  contains a commutative graded subring  $A$  of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^\otimes$  is finitely generated as left and right  $A$ -module.*

*Proof.* By [Theorem 38](#), the ring  $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^\otimes$  admits the following decomposition:

$$\overline{\mathbf{B}}^\otimes = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigoplus_{w \in \hat{W}} \overline{\mathbf{S}} \gamma^{nd, w},$$

where  $\overline{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbf{S}_\delta / (\delta)$  and  $\overline{\gamma}^{nd,w}$  is the image of  $\gamma^{nd,w} \in \text{gr}^F \mathbf{B}^\otimes$  in  $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^\otimes$ . We claim that the subring

$$A = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigoplus_{\mu \in Q^\vee} \overline{\mathbf{S}} \overline{\gamma}^{nd,t_\mu} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}}^\otimes$$

satisfies the requirements.

Indeed, for each chamber  $U \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} d \times \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}$  of the hyperplane arrangement given by the finite set of linear functions  $\{\psi|_{\mathbb{R}d \times \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}} \in (\mathbb{R}d \times \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R})^* ; \psi \in \Psi\}$ , let  $\Sigma_U$  be a finite generating subset of the semigroup  $(\mathbb{N}d \times Q^\vee) \cap \overline{U}$ . It is easy to show that for pairs  $(jd, \mu), (kd, \nu) \in (\mathbb{N}d \times Q^\vee) \cap \overline{U}$ , we have  $t_\mu \kappa_d \in [\kappa_0, t_{\mu+\nu} \kappa_{(j+k)d}]$ , so that

$$\overline{\gamma}^{jd,t_\mu} \star \overline{\gamma}^{kd,t_\nu} = \overline{\gamma}^{(j+k)d,t_{\mu+\nu}}$$

holds by Lemma 48. Put  $\Sigma = \bigcup_U \Sigma_U$ . Then,  $\{\overline{\gamma}^{kd,t_\mu}\}_{(kd,\mu) \in \Sigma}$  is a generating subset of the ring  $A$ . The proof of finite generation of  $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^\otimes$  is more sophisticated and will be completed in §5.4.3.  $\square$

5.4.2. Let  $\mathfrak{a}' := \mathbb{R}d \times \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}^1 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ . The hyperplane arrangement  $\{H_\psi\}_{\psi \in \Phi \cup \Psi}$  introduced in §3.1 can be restricted to  $\mathfrak{a}'$ . Let  $\text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a}')$  denote the set of chambers of this hyperplane arrangement.

We choose any euclidean metric  $\|\cdot\|$  for  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}^1$  and extend it to  $\mathfrak{a}'$  by setting  $\|(rd, y) - (sd, y')\|^2 = \|y - y'\|^2 + |r - s|^2$ . Let  $Q^\vee \subset \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}$  be the coroot lattice of the finite root system  $R$ . The lattice  $\mathbb{Z}d \times Q^\vee$  acts on  $\mathfrak{a}'$  by translation and the quotient  $\Omega = \mathfrak{a}' / \mathbb{Z}d \times Q^\vee$  is compact.

**Lemma 56.** *Let  $C_0, C_1 \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a}')$  be chambers. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $p_0 \in C_0$ ,  $p_1 \in C_1$  be such that the open ball  $B_\varepsilon(p_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}'$  is contained in  $C_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ . Suppose that the following inequality holds:*

$$\frac{\|p_1 - p_0\|}{\varepsilon} \geq \frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega)}{\text{Vol}(B_{\varepsilon/2}(p_0))} + 1.$$

*Then, there exists  $\mu \in Q^\vee$  and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(l, \mu) \neq (0, 0)$  and there exists  $p_2 \in C_0 + (ld, \mu)$  which lies in the interval  $[p_0, p_1]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be an integer satisfying  $\text{Vol}(\Omega) / \text{Vol}(B_{\varepsilon/2}(p_0)) < m \leq \|p_1 - p_0\| / \varepsilon$ . Put  $\vec{v} = \varepsilon(p_1 - p_0) / \|p_1 - p_0\|$ . Consider the family of open balls  $\{B_{\varepsilon/2}(p_0 + k\vec{v})\}_{k=0}^m$ . Since the sum of the volume of these balls exceed the volume  $\text{Vol}(\Omega)$  of the fundamental domain  $\Omega$ , there exists  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $m \geq n_1 > n_2 \geq 0$  such that  $B_{\varepsilon/2}(p_0 + n_1\vec{v})$  and  $B_{\varepsilon/2}(p_0 + n_2\vec{v})$  overlap in the quotient  $\Omega$ . In other words, there exists  $\mu \in Q^\vee$  and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\|(n_1 - n_2)\vec{v} - (\mu, ld)\| < \varepsilon$ . Consequently, the point  $p_0 + (n_1 - n_2)\vec{v} - (\mu, ld) \in B_\varepsilon(p_0)$  lies in the interior of  $C_0$ , or equivalently, the point  $p_2 := p_0 + (n_1 - n_2)\vec{v}$  lies in the interior of  $C_0 + (\mu, ld)$ . The point  $p_2$  satisfies the requirement: we have  $(ld, \mu) \neq (0, 0)$  because  $\|(n_1 - n_2)\vec{v}\| > \varepsilon$ ; in addition,  $p_2$  lies in the interval  $[p_0, p_1]$  because  $n_1 - n_2 \leq m \leq \|p_1 - p_0\| / \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 57.** *Let  $p_0, p_1, p_2 \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $p_1 \in [p_0, p_2]$ . For  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , put*

$$R_i = \{\alpha \in \Phi ; \alpha(p_i) > 0\}, \quad S_i = \{\mu \in \Psi ; \mu(p_i) > 0\}.$$

*Then, we have  $R_0 \cap R_1 \subseteq R_2 \subseteq R_0 \cup R_1$  and  $S_0 \cap S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq S_0 \cup S_1$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward and left to the reader.  $\square$



5.4.3. Let's show that  $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^\otimes$  is finitely generated left  $A$ -module. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be a number such that for each chamber  $C \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a}')$ , there exists  $p_C \in C$  satisfying  $B_\varepsilon(p_C) \subseteq C$  — such  $\varepsilon$  exists due to finiteness of  $(Q^\vee \times \mathbb{Z}d)$ -orbits in  $\text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a}')$ . Set  $N' = (\text{Vol}(\Omega)/\text{Vol}(B_{\varepsilon/2}(p_0)) + 1)\varepsilon$  and let  $N \geq N'$  be an integer satisfying the following property: for each  $w \in \hat{W}$  such that  $\ell(w) > N$  and for each  $(p, p') \in \nu_0 \times w\nu_0$ , the condition  $\|p' - p\| > N'$  holds. We claim that  $\Upsilon = \{\overline{\gamma}^{kd,v}; w \in \hat{W}, \ell(v) \leq N, 0 \leq k \leq N\}$  is a generating set of  $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^\otimes$  both as left and as right  $A$ -module.

Let  $w \in \hat{W}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We prove by induction on  $\ell(w) + n$  that  $\overline{\gamma}^{nd,w} \in A\Upsilon$ . Suppose  $\max(\ell(w), n) \leq N$ , then  $\overline{\gamma}^{nd,w} \in \Upsilon$  by definition. Suppose then  $\max(\ell(w), n) > N$ . Let  $C_0 = \kappa_0 \cap \mathfrak{a}' \subset \mathfrak{a}'$  be the chamber containing  $\{0\} \times \nu_0$  and let  $C_1 \subset \mathfrak{a}'$  be the chamber containing  $\{nd\} \times w\nu_0$ . Let  $p_0 \in C_0$  and  $p_1 \in C_1$  be such that  $B_\varepsilon(p_i) \subseteq C_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ . By our choice of  $N$ , it follows that  $\|p_0 - p_1\| > N'$ . Therefore, the hypothesis of [Lemma 56](#) is satisfied, which yields  $(l, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z} \times Q^\vee$  and  $p_2 \in [p_0, p_1] \cap C_0 + (ld, \mu)$ . Let  $C_2 = C_0 + (ld, \mu) \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{a}')$ . [Lemma 57](#) applied to  $(p_0, p_1, p_2)$  yields  $S_0 \cap S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq S_0 \cup S_1$  and  $R_0 \cap R_1 \subseteq R_2 \subseteq R_0 \cup R_1$ , which implies that  $C_2 \in [C_0, C_1]$  holds.

It allows us to apply [Lemma 48](#) and obtain that  $\overline{\gamma}^{ld,t_\mu} \star \overline{\gamma}^{(n-l)d,t-\mu w} = \overline{\gamma}^{nd,w}$ . Since  $\ell(t_\mu) + \ell(t-\mu w) = \ell(w)$ , we have  $\ell(t-\mu w) + (n-l) < \ell(w) + n$ . By induction hypothesis,  $\overline{\gamma}^{(n-l)d,t-\mu w} \in A\Upsilon$  holds and hence  $\overline{\gamma}^{nd,w} \in A\Upsilon$  also holds. This completes the induction step. Similarly, one can show that  $\overline{\gamma}^{nd,w} \in \Upsilon A$  by exchanging  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  in the arguments.

Finally, as the family  $\{\overline{\gamma}^{nd,w}\}_{w \in \hat{W}, n \in \mathbb{N}}$  spans  $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^\otimes$  both as left and right  $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ -module and  $\overline{\mathbf{S}} \subseteq A$ , we have  $A\Upsilon = \overline{\mathbf{B}}^\otimes = \Upsilon A$ . This completes the proof of [Lemma 55](#).

5.4.4. Let  $R$  be a noetherian integral  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra and let  $\mathbf{B}^\otimes$ -gmod- $R$  be the category of finitely generated graded  $(\mathbf{B}^\otimes, R)$ -bimodules. For each object  $M \in \mathbf{B}^\otimes$ -gmod- $R$ , we define the support (in  $R$ ) to be  $\text{Supp}_R^r M = \{p \in \text{Spec } R; M \otimes_R \kappa(p) \neq 0\}$ , where  $\kappa(p)$  is the residue field of  $p$ .

**Proposition 58.** *For each object  $M \in \mathbf{B}^\otimes$ -mod- $R$ , there exists  $f \in R \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $M_f = M \otimes_R R_f$  free over  $R_f$ .*

*Proof.* The arguments are similar to the proof of [Proposition 24](#): find a good filtration  $\{F_{j,k}M\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with respect to the  $\mathbb{N}^2$ -filtration  $F_{\bullet,\bullet}\mathbf{B}^\otimes \otimes R$ ; pass to the associated quotient  $\text{gr}^F M$ ; the restriction of  $\text{gr}^F M$  is finitely generated over  $A \otimes R$  thanks to [Lemma 55](#); apply the generic freeness to deduce that when  $R$  is integral, there exists a non-zero element  $f \in R$  such that  $\text{gr}^F M_f$  is a free  $R_f$ -module. It follows that  $M_f$  is also free over  $R_f$ .  $\square$

## 5.5 Spectral completion of translation bimodules

5.5.1. Let  $c, c' \in \mathfrak{P}$  be such that  $d := c' - c \in \mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z}$ . By (47), we have  $\mathbf{B}^{(d)}\mathfrak{m}_c = \mathfrak{m}_{c'}\mathbf{B}^{(d)} \subset \mathbf{B}^{(d)}$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}_c \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P})$  is the defining ideal of  $c$ . Put  ${}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c = \mathbf{B}^{(d)}/\mathbf{B}^{(d)}\mathfrak{m}_c$ . It is a  $(\mathbf{H}_{c'}, \mathbf{H}_c)$ -bimodule. Let  $[\lambda] \in \mathfrak{h}^1/\hat{W}$  be a  $\hat{W}$ -orbit and set  ${}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda = \mathcal{C}_{c'}^\lambda({}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c)$ . It can be described as follows:

$${}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda = \mathcal{S}_{c'}^{[\lambda]} \otimes_{\mathfrak{s}_{c'}} {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c, \quad \mathcal{S}_{c'}^{[\lambda]} = \bigoplus_{\lambda' \in [\lambda]} \mathcal{S}_{c'}^{\lambda'}, \quad \mathcal{S}_{c'}^{\lambda'} = \mathbf{S}_{c',\lambda'}^\wedge / (\mathfrak{c} - c').$$

Moreover, there is an isomorphism  ${}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_c} \mathcal{S}_c^{[\lambda]} \cong {}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda$  derived from (42). By Theorem 43, there is an isomorphism for each  $w, w' \in \hat{W}$ :

$$1_{w'\lambda} \cdot {}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda \cdot 1_{w\lambda} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c',\lambda}}(\widetilde{w^{-1}\kappa_{-d}}, \widetilde{w'^{-1}\kappa_0}) \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c',\lambda}} \mathcal{S}_c^\lambda.$$

Applying the translation equivalence  $t_d : \mathcal{A}^{c',\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}$  from §4.1.5., we obtain

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c',\lambda}}(\widetilde{w^{-1}\kappa_{-d}}, \widetilde{w'^{-1}\kappa_0}) \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c',\lambda}} \mathcal{S}_c^\lambda \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(\widetilde{w^{-1}\kappa_0}, \widetilde{w'^{-1}\kappa_d}) \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}} \mathcal{S}_c^\lambda.$$

It follows that

$${}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda \cong \bigoplus_{w, w' \in \hat{W}/\hat{W}_\lambda} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(\widetilde{w^{-1}\kappa_0}, \widetilde{w'^{-1}\kappa_d}) \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}} \mathcal{S}_c^\lambda. \quad (59)$$

In particular, setting  $c' = c$ , we have

$$\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda \cong \bigoplus_{w, w' \in \hat{W}/\hat{W}_\lambda} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(\widetilde{w^{-1}\kappa_0}, \widetilde{w'^{-1}\kappa_0}) \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}} \mathcal{S}_c^\lambda. \quad (60)$$

5.5.2. Let  $(c, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1$ . Recall the algebraic KZ functor  $\mathbf{V}$  and the completed affine Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_t^\ell$  from §1.7. Let  $\mathcal{P}_c^\lambda = \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda)$ ; it is a  $(\mathcal{H}_t^\ell, \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda)$ -bimodule.

**Proposition 61.** *For  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^1$  and  $c, c' \in \mathfrak{P}$  such that  $d = c' - c \in \mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z}$ , there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\alpha_{c',c} : \mathcal{P}_{c'}^\lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda} {}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda \cong \mathcal{P}_c^\lambda.$$

Moreover, if we are given  $c'' \in \mathfrak{P}$  such that  $d' = c'' - c' \in \mathfrak{P}_\mathbb{Z}$ , then the following square is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_{c''}^\lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{c''}^\lambda} {}_{c''}\mathcal{B}_{c'}^\lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda} {}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \star} & \mathcal{P}_{c''}^\lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{c''}^\lambda} {}_{c''}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda \\ \alpha_{c'',c'} \otimes 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{c'',c} \\ \mathcal{P}_{c'}^\lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda} {}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{c',c}} & \mathcal{P}_c^\lambda \end{array}. \quad (62)$$

*Proof.* The algebraic KZ functor is given by  $\mathbf{V} : M \mapsto 1_{\mathbf{V}}M$ , where  $1_{\mathbf{V}} \in \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda$  is the sum  $1_{\mathbf{V}} = \sum_{w \in \Sigma} 1_{c,w\lambda}$  for some finite set  $\Sigma \subseteq \hat{W}$  such that  $w^{-1}\nu_0$  is in a generic clan for each  $w \in \Sigma$ , or equivalently,  $\{0\} \times w^{-1}\nu_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$  lies in a generic chamber, denoted by  $C(w^{-1})$ . It follows that

$$\mathcal{P}_c^\lambda = \bigoplus_{w \in \hat{W}/\hat{W}_\lambda} \bigoplus_{y \in \Sigma} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(\widetilde{w^{-1}\kappa_0}, \widetilde{y^{-1}\kappa_0}) \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}} \mathcal{S}_c^\lambda.$$

On the other hand, Lemma 46 implies that  $C(y^{-1}) \cap (\{d\} \times \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}^1) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $y \in \Sigma$ . We may assume that  $\{d\} \times y^{-1}\nu_0 \subseteq C(y^{-1})$  for  $y \in \Sigma$  by choosing  $\Sigma$  such that each  $y^{-1}\nu_0$  is far in the Weyl chamber. Hence,  $\widetilde{y^{-1}\kappa_d} = C(y^{-1}) = \widetilde{y^{-1}\kappa_0}$  for each  $y \in \Sigma$  and we obtain the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{c'}^\lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda} {}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda &\cong \bigoplus_{w \in \hat{W}/\hat{W}_\lambda} \bigoplus_{y \in \Sigma} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(\widetilde{w^{-1}\kappa_0}, \widetilde{y^{-1}\kappa_d}) \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}} \mathcal{S}_c^\lambda \\ &= \bigoplus_{w \in \hat{W}/\hat{W}_\lambda} \bigoplus_{y \in \Sigma} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(\widetilde{w^{-1}\kappa_0}, \widetilde{y^{-1}\kappa_0}) \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c,\lambda}} \mathcal{S}_c^\lambda \cong \mathcal{P}_c^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

and  $\alpha_{c',c}$  is defined to be the composite. The commutativity of (62) results from the associativity of the composition of morphisms in  $\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}$ .  $\square$

## 5.6 Double centraliser property

**Proposition 63.** For  $c, c' \in \mathfrak{P}$  such that  $c' - c \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , the following ring homomorphisms induced by the bimodule structure

$$\mathbf{H}_c^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{H}_{c'}}({}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c), \quad \mathbf{H}_{c'} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{H}_c}({}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c) \quad (64)$$

are isomorphisms.

*Proof.* We will only show that the first map is an isomorphism, the second map being similar. Since the  $\mathbf{S}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{S}$  is faithfully flat by Lemma 8, it suffices to show that (64) becomes an isomorphism after applying the spectral completion  $\mathcal{C}$ . Since both sides of (64), viewed as left  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P})$ -modules, are punctually supported on  $c \in \mathfrak{P}$ , it suffices to show that the maps Equation 64 become isomorphism after applying  $\mathcal{C}_c^\lambda$  for each  $[\lambda] \in \mathfrak{h}^1/\hat{W}$  and  $c \in \mathfrak{P}$ . By Proposition 32, the spectral completion becomes

$$(\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda}({}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda, {}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda). \quad (65)$$

This map is an isomorphism due to Lemma 66 below.  $\square$

**Lemma 66.** Let  $[\lambda] \in \mathfrak{h}^1/\hat{W}$  and  $c, c' \in \mathfrak{P}$  such that  $c' - c \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Then, given compact projective modules  $P, Q \in \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-proj}$ , the following natural map

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda}(P, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda}({}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda} P, {}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda} Q) \quad (67)$$

is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* For simplicity of notation, we denote  $H = \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda$ ,  $H' = \mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda$  and  $B = {}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda$ . We apply the algebraic KZ functor  $\mathbf{V}$  from Theorem 16 and consider the composition:

$$\text{Hom}_H(P, Q) \xrightarrow{(67)} \text{Hom}_{H'}(B \otimes_H P, B \otimes_H Q) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{V}_*} \text{Hom}_K(\mathbf{V}(B \otimes_H P), \mathbf{V}(B \otimes_H Q)). \quad (68)$$

By Proposition 61, there is a natural isomorphism  $\mathbf{V}(B \otimes_H P) \cong \mathbf{V}(P)$  and the composite of (68) can be identified with the map of  $\mathbf{V}$  on the hom-space:

$$\text{Hom}_H(P, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_H(\mathbf{V}(P), \mathbf{V}(Q)),$$

which by the double centraliser property of  $\mathbf{V}$  (see Theorem 16), is an isomorphism; consequently, the map  $\mathbf{V}_*$  in (68) is surjective. To prove that (67) is an isomorphism, it remains to show that  $\mathbf{V}_*$  is injective.

Recall the ring  $\mathcal{Z}^\lambda = (\mathbf{S}_{c,\lambda}^\wedge)^{\hat{W}^\lambda}$  from §1.5.4. Given a  $\mathcal{Z}^\lambda$ -module  $M$ , let  $M_F = M \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}^\lambda} F$  denote the base change to the field of fractions  $F := \text{Frac } \mathcal{Z}^\lambda$ . The category  $H_F\text{-mod}$  is equivalent to  $F\text{-mod}$  by Lemma 11; therefore,  $H_F 1_{\mathbf{V}}$  is a compact projective generator of  $H_F\text{-mod}$ , so we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_H(B \otimes_H P, B \otimes_H Q) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{V}_*} & \text{Hom}_K(\mathbf{V}(B \otimes_H P), \mathbf{V}(B \otimes_H Q)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_{H_F}((B \otimes_H P)_F, (B \otimes_H Q)_F) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}_{K_F}((\mathbf{V}(B \otimes_H P))_F, (\mathbf{V}(B \otimes_H Q))_F) \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are injective (because  $B$ ,  $H$ ,  $P$  and  $Q$  are free over  $\mathcal{Z}^\lambda$ ) and the lower horizontal arrow is an isomorphism because  $(\mathbf{V}(B \otimes_H P))_F = \text{Hom}_{H_F}(H_F 1_{\mathbf{V}}, P)$  and  $H_F 1_{\mathbf{V}}$  is a compact projective generator; hence, the upper horizontal arrow  $\mathbf{V}_*$  is injective. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 6 Derived equivalences

Let  $c, c' \in \mathfrak{P}$  be such that  $d := c' - c \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . We define the *translation functor* to be

$$T_{c' \leftarrow c} = {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_c}^{\mathbb{L}} -: D^b(\mathbf{H}_c\text{-mod}) \rightarrow D^b(\mathbf{H}_{c'}\text{-mod}).$$

The aim of this section is to establish [Theorem 87](#).

### 6.1 Stratification of $\mathfrak{P}$

*6.1.1.* We shall introduce a family of linear functions  $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ . Let  $\overline{\Psi}$  be the image of  $\Psi \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \times \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$  under the projection  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \times \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \times \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ ; concretely, it is given by

$$\overline{\Psi} = \{\alpha - \mathbf{c}_{\alpha} ; \alpha \in R_{\text{red}}\} \cup \{\alpha/2 - \mathbf{c}_{\alpha} ; \alpha \in R \setminus R_{\text{red}}\}.$$

The linear functions in  $\overline{\Psi}$  define a hyperplane arrangement  $\{H_{\mu}\}_{\mu \in \overline{\Psi}}$  on the space  $\mathfrak{a}^0 = \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , where  $H_{\mu}$  is the zero locus of  $\mu \in \overline{\Psi}$ . A subset  $\sigma \subseteq \overline{\Psi}$  is called *circuit* if it is minimal with the following property: there are coefficients  $\{d_{\mu}\}_{\mu \in \sigma}$  with  $d_{\mu} \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$\mu_{\sigma} = \sum_{\mu \in \sigma} d_{\mu} \mu \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \setminus \{0\}.$$

If  $\sigma \subseteq \overline{\Psi}$  is a circuit, then such family  $\{d_{\mu}\}_{\mu \in \sigma}$  is unique up to scaling by  $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ ; in other words,  $[\mu_{\sigma}] := \mathbb{Q}^{\times} \mu_{\sigma}$  is an element of the projectivisation  $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*)$  independent of the choice of  $\{d_{\mu}\}_{\mu \in \sigma}$ . Define

$$\mathfrak{M} = \{[\mu_{\sigma}] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*) ; \sigma \subseteq \overline{\Psi} \text{ is a circuit}\}.$$

**Proposition 69.** *When  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, R)$  is simply-laced, we have  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* = \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}}$  and*

$$\mathfrak{M} = \{[\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}}]\}.$$

*When  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, R)$  is of type  $BC_1$ , we have  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* = \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{b}}$  and*

$$\mathfrak{M} = \{[\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}}], [\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{b}}]\} \cup \{[\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}} + \epsilon\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{b}}]\}_{\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}}.$$

*When  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, R)$  is of type  $BC_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), we have  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* = \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{b}}$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M} = & \{[\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}}], [\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}}], [\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{b}}]\} \\ & \cup \{[\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}} + 2\epsilon\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}}], [\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}} + 2\epsilon\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{b}}], [\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}} + \epsilon\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{b}}]\}_{\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}} \\ & \cup \{[\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}} + \epsilon_1\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}} + \epsilon_2\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{b}}]\}_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{\pm 1\}}. \end{aligned}$$

*When  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, R)$  is of type  $F_4$ , we have  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* = \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}}$  and*

$$\mathfrak{M} = \{[\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}}], [\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}}]\} \cup \{[\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}} + \epsilon\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}}], [\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}} + 2\epsilon\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}}]\}_{\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}}.$$

*When  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, R)$  is of type  $G_2$ , we have  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* = \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}}$  and*

$$\mathfrak{M} = \{[\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}}], [\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}}]\} \cup \{[\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}} + \epsilon\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}}], [\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}} + 2\epsilon\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{h}}]\}_{\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is left to the reader. □

6.1.2. For each parameter  $c \in \mathfrak{P}$  we introduce a sub-family of  $\mathfrak{M}$ :

$$\mathfrak{M}_c = \{[\mu] \in \mathfrak{M} ; \mu(c) \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

Then, the zero loci of the elements of  $\mathfrak{M}_c$  define a hyperplane arrangement on  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , which decomposes  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$  into a union of (open) facets, called  $c$ -facets.

For  $c \in \mathfrak{P}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^1$ , consider the subfamily  $\Psi_{c,\lambda} \subseteq \Psi$  introduced in §4.1. Let  $\overline{\Psi}_{c,\lambda} \subseteq \overline{\Psi}$  be its image in  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \times \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ . Let  $\text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a}^0)$  be the set of chambers of the hyperplane arrangement  $\{H_{\mu}\}_{\mu \in \overline{\Psi}_{c,\lambda}}$  on  $\mathfrak{a}^0$ .

**Lemma 70.** *Let  $C \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a}^0)$  be a chamber. Then, the image of  $C$  under the projection  $p : \mathfrak{a}^0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a union of  $c$ -facets in  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .*

*Proof.* Since the closure  $\overline{C}$  of  $C$  is a rational convex polyhedral cone of maximal dimension in  $\mathfrak{a}^0$ , the same is true for its image  $p(\overline{C})$  in  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ; moreover,  $p(C)$  is the interior of  $p(\overline{C})$ . Let  $F$  be the closure of a face of  $p(\overline{C})$ . Since  $p(\overline{C})$  is a rational convex polyhedral cone, there exists a linear form  $\mu_F \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$  such that  $p(\overline{C}) \subseteq \mu_F^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$  and  $F = \mu_F^{-1}(0) \cap p(\overline{C})$ . It suffices to show that  $[\mu_F] = \mathbb{Q}^{\times} \mu_F$  lies in  $\mathfrak{M}_c$  — indeed, this will imply that  $p(C)$  is the intersection of the open half-spaces  $\mu_F > 0$  over such  $F$ .

The intersection  $(\mu_F \circ p)^{-1}(0) \cap \overline{C}$  is a union of facets of  $\overline{C}$  because  $\overline{C} \subseteq (\mu_F \circ p)^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ . Let

$$\sigma' = \{a \in \overline{\Psi}_{c,\lambda} ; (\mu_F \circ p)^{-1}(0) \cap \overline{C} \subseteq a^{-1}(0)\}.$$

It is clear the intersection  $\bigcap_{a \in \sigma'} a^{-1}(0)$  is the subspace spanned by the polytope  $(\mu_F \circ p)^{-1}(0) \cap \overline{C}$ . In particular, we have  $(\mu_F \circ p)(\bigcap_{a \in \sigma'} a^{-1}(0)) = 0$ . It follows  $\sigma'$  contains a circuit. Let  $\sigma \subseteq \sigma'$  be a circuit. Then, it follows that  $[\mu_F] = [\mu_{\sigma}]$ , where  $\mu_{\sigma} = \sum_{a \in \sigma} d_a a \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \setminus \{0\}$  for some  $d_a \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ . For each  $a \in \sigma$ , let  $\tilde{a} \in \Psi_{c,\lambda}$  be a lifting of  $a$  through the projection  $\Psi_{c,\lambda} \rightarrow \overline{\Psi}_{c,\lambda}$ . It follows that  $\mu_{\sigma} \in \sum_{a \in \sigma} d_a \tilde{a} + \mathbb{Q}\delta$  and hence  $\mu_{\sigma}(c) \in \sum_{a \in \sigma} d_a \tilde{a}(c, \lambda) + \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}$ ; in other words,  $[\mu_F] = [\mu_{\sigma}] \in \mathfrak{M}_c$  holds.  $\square$

6.1.3. For each  $c \in \mathfrak{P}$ , the family of linear functions  $\mathfrak{M}_c$  induces a decomposition of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -affine space  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q},c} = c + \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  as follows: given a function  $\mathfrak{s} : \mathfrak{M}_c \rightarrow \{-, 0, +\}$ , consider the subset

$$\mathbb{S}^{\mathfrak{s}} := \bigcap_{h \in \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(+)} h^{-1}(\mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \cap \bigcap_{h \in \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(0)} h^{-1}(0) \bigcap_{h \in \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(-)} h^{-1}(\mathbb{Q}_{<0}) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q},c};$$

we call  $\mathbb{S}^{\mathfrak{s}}$  a *stratum* of  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q},c}$  if  $\mathbb{S}^{\mathfrak{s}} \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $h(\mathfrak{P}_{c,\mathbb{Q}}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  for each  $h \in \mathfrak{M}_c$ , the space  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q},c}$  is the disjoint union of its strata. We say that a stratum  $\mathbb{S}^{\mathfrak{s}}$  is *open* if  $\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$ .

## 6.2 Criteria of equivalence

6.2.1. Let  $c, c' \in \mathfrak{P}$  be such that  $d := c' - c \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

**Lemma 71.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The functor  $T_{c' \leftarrow c} : \text{D}^b(\mathbf{H}_c\text{-mod}) \rightarrow \text{D}^b(\mathbf{H}_{c'}\text{-mod})$  is an equivalence of category.*
- (ii) *The right  $\mathbf{H}_c$ -module  ${}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c$  is tilting, namely,  ${}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c$  generates  $\text{D}^b(\mathbf{H}_c^{\text{op}}\text{-mod})$  as thick triangulated subcategory and  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}_c^{\text{op}}}^{>0}({}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c, {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c) = 0$ .*

(iii) The following adjoint unit and counit are quasi-isomorphisms

$$\epsilon : \mathbf{H}_c \rightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}_{c'}}({}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c, {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c) \quad (72)$$

$$\eta : {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_c}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}_{c'}}({}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c, \mathbf{H}_{c'}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{c'}. \quad (73)$$

(i') For each  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^1$ , the functor

$$T_{c' \leftarrow c}^\lambda = {}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda}^{\mathrm{L}} -: \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-mod}) \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda\text{-mod})$$

is an equivalence of category.

(ii') For each  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^1$ , the right  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda$ -module  ${}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda$  is tilting.

(iii') For each  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^1$ , the following morphisms are quasi-isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda \rightarrow \mathrm{Rhom}_{\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda}({}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda, {}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda) \quad (74)$$

$${}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{Rhom}_{\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda}({}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda, \mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda. \quad (75)$$

*Proof.* The equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) follows from [5, 1.6], the condition  $\mathbf{H}_{c'} \cong \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{H}_c^{\mathrm{op}}}({}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c)$  being ensured by Proposition 63. The assertion (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) is trivial. The assertion (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) follows from the naturality of the adjoint unit (72) and counit (73) and the fact that  $\mathbf{H}_c$  (resp  $\mathbf{H}_{c'}$ ) generates  $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbf{H}_c\text{-mod})$  (resp.  $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbf{H}_{c'}\text{-mod})$ ) as thick triangulated subcategory due to Proposition 4. The equivalences (ii')  $\Leftrightarrow$  (i')  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii') can be proven similarly. The equivalence (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii') follows from the faithful flatness of  $\mathcal{S}$  over  $\mathbf{S}$  proven in Lemma 8 and the formulæ Proposition 30 (ii) and Proposition 32 (ii).  $\square$

6.2.2. Consider the following condition for  $c, c' \in \mathfrak{P}$  such that  $c' - c \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ :

$\mathrm{Eq}(c, c')$ : the multiplication induces isomorphisms

$${}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_{c'} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{c'}} {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{H}_c, \quad {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_c} {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_{c'} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{H}_{c'}.$$

By the associativity of the multiplication,  $\mathrm{Eq}(-, -)$  defines an equivalence relation.

**Lemma 76.** *Suppose that  $\mathrm{Eq}(c, c')$  holds, then*

- (i)  $T_{c' \leftarrow c}$  and  $T_{c \leftarrow c'}$  are  $t$ -exact equivalences and inverse to each other;
- (ii)  $T_{c' \leftarrow c} \circ T_{c \leftarrow c''} \cong T_{c' \leftarrow c''}$  and  $T_{c'' \leftarrow c'} \circ T_{c' \leftarrow c} \cong T_{c'' \leftarrow c}$  hold for each  $c'' \in c + \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

*Proof.* The conditions imply that the functors

$${}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_c} -: \mathbf{H}_c\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{c'}\text{-mod}, \quad {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_c} -: \mathbf{H}_{c'}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_c\text{-mod}$$

are equivalences of categories and inverse to each other. In particular,  ${}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c$  is projective as left  $\mathbf{H}_{c'}$ -module and as right  $\mathbf{H}_c$ -module and consequently, we have  ${}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_{c'} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{c'}}^{\mathrm{L}} {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{H}_c$  and  ${}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_c}^{\mathrm{L}} {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_{c'} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{H}_{c'}$ .

The associativity of the multiplication yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_{c'} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{c'}}^{\mathrm{L}} {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_c}^{\mathrm{L}} {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_{c''} & \longrightarrow & {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_{c'} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{c'}}^{\mathrm{L}} {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_{c''} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_c}^{\mathrm{L}} {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_{c''} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_{c''} \end{array},$$

We have seen that the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism; in particular, the upper horizontal arrow admits a left inverse and the right vertical arrow admits a right

inverse. Applying  ${}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_c}^{\mathbf{L}} -$  to the diagram, we see that the following morphism induced by multiplication:

$$m : {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_c}^{\mathbf{L}} {}_c\mathbf{B}_{c'} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{c'}}^{\mathbf{L}} {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_{c''} \rightarrow {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_c}^{\mathbf{L}} {}_c\mathbf{B}_{c''}$$

admits a right inverse. Interchanging  $c$  and  $c'$ , we deduce that the multiplication  $m$  also admits a left inverse. Therefore,  $m$  is a quasi-isomorphism. Applying  ${}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_{c'} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{c'}}^{\mathbf{L}} -$  to  $m$ , and use the isomorphism  ${}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_{c'} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{c'}}^{\mathbf{L}} {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_c \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{H}_c$ , we conclude that  ${}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_{c'} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{c'}}^{\mathbf{L}} {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_{c''} \cong {}_{c'}\mathbf{B}_{c''}$  and hence  $T_{c \leftarrow c'} \circ T_{c' \leftarrow c''} \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{c \leftarrow c''}$ . The other isomorphism is similar.  $\square$

**Lemma 77.** *The condition  $\text{Eq}(c, c')$  holds if and only if for each  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^1$ , the following maps defined by the Yoneda product are isomorphisms:*

$${}_c\mathcal{B}_{c'}^\lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{c'}}^\lambda {}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda, \quad {}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_c}^\lambda {}_c\mathcal{B}_{c'}^\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda.$$

*Proof.* This follows immediately from the formula [Proposition 30 \(ii\)](#) and the faithful flatness of  $\mathcal{S}$  over  $\mathbf{S}$  due to [Lemma 8](#).  $\square$

## 6.3 Intrastratal equivalence

### 6.3.1.

**Proposition 78.** *Suppose that  $c, c' \in \mathfrak{P}$  satisfy  $c' - c \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and lie in the same stratum of  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}, c}$ . Then, the condition  $\text{Eq}(c, c')$  holds.*

**Remark 79.** *The converse may not be true in general.*

*Proof of Proposition 78.* By [Lemma 77](#), it suffices to verify the condition thereof. Let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^1$ . Consider the category  $\mathcal{A}^{c, \lambda}$  introduced in [§4.1](#). Recall the isomorphisms [\(59\)](#) and [\(60\)](#) from [§5.5](#). Choose  $(\bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}) \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$  satisfying the following property:

$$\mu(\bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}) = \mu(c, \lambda) \text{ holds for each } \mu \in (\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{Q}})^* \text{ such that } \mu(c, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Set  $\bar{c}' = \bar{c} + d \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . By hypothesis,  $-\bar{c}$  and  $-\bar{c}'$  lie in a common  $c$ -facet  $F \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . For each  $w \in \hat{W}$ , the image of chamber  $\widetilde{w'^{-1}\kappa_d} - (\bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}) \in \text{Ch}^{c, \lambda}(\mathfrak{a}^0)$  under the projection  $\mathfrak{a}^0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$  contains  $-\bar{c}'$ . By [Lemma 70](#), this image should contain  $F$ , to which  $-\bar{c}$  belongs. In other words, the image of the chamber  $\widetilde{w'^{-1}\kappa_d} \in \text{Ch}^{c, \lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$  under the projection  $\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$  contains 0. Therefore, there exists  $y \in \hat{W}$  such that  $\widetilde{w'^{-1}\kappa_d} = \widetilde{y^{-1}\kappa_0}$ . It follows that the direct factor

$$\bigoplus_{w \in \hat{W}/\hat{W}_\lambda} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c, \lambda}}(\widetilde{w'^{-1}\kappa_d}, \widetilde{w^{-1}\kappa_0}) \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c, \lambda}} \mathcal{S}_c^\lambda \subseteq {}_c\mathcal{B}_{c'}^\lambda.$$

is also a direct factor of  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda$ , which is projective. This implies that  ${}_c\mathcal{B}_{c'}^\lambda$  is a projective  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda$ -module. Similar arguments show that  ${}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda$  is a projective right  $\mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda$ -module. The projectivity implies that the map

$${}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^\lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda} {}_c\mathcal{B}_{c'}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto a \star b$$

is an isomorphism. Exchanging  $c$  and  $c'$ , we obtain the other isomorphism; hence, the condition of [Lemma 77](#) holds.  $\square$

## 6.4 Antipodal wall-crossing

Let  $S = S^s$ ,  $S' = S^{s'}$  be strata of  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q},c}$ . We say that  $S$  and  $S'$  are *antipodal* if  $s' = -s$ .

**Lemma 80.** *Let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^1$  and  $c, c' \in \mathfrak{P}$  such that  $d := c' - c \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $c, c'$  belong to antipodal strata in  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q},c}$ . Then, each  $w \in \hat{W}$ , there exists  $y \in \hat{W}$  such that the chambers  $\widetilde{w^{-1}\kappa_0}, \widetilde{y^{-1}\kappa_d} \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$  are antipodal in the sense of §4.3.*

*Proof.* Choose  $(\bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}) \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$  as in the proof of Proposition 78. Set  $\bar{c}' = \bar{c} + d \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . By hypothesis,  $-\bar{c}$  and  $-\bar{c}'$  lie in antipodal facets:  $-\bar{c} \in F$  and  $-\bar{c}' \in -F$  for a  $c$ -facet  $F \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . For each  $w \in \hat{W}$ , the image of chamber  $C = \widetilde{w^{-1}\kappa_d} - (\bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}) \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a}^0)$  under the projection  $\mathfrak{a}^0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$  contains  $-\bar{c}'$ . By Lemma 70, this image should contain  $F$ . Consequently, the image of the antipode  $-C \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a}^0)$  contains the antipode  $-F$ . In other words, the image of the chamber  $-C + (\bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}) \in \text{Ch}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{a})$  under the projection  $\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$  contains 0. Therefore, there exists  $y \in \hat{W}$  such that  $-C + (\bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}) = \widetilde{y^{-1}\kappa_0}$  is antipodal to  $\widetilde{w^{-1}\kappa_d}$ .  $\square$

We say that a module  $M \in \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-mod}$  is *relatively injective* if

- (i) the  $\mathcal{L}^\lambda$ -module structure on  $M$  introduced in §1.5.4. is free;
- (ii)  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda}^{>0}(M, N) = 0$  for every  $N \in \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-mod}$  satisfying the condition (i).

The functor  $M \mapsto \bigoplus_{\lambda \in [\lambda]} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}^\lambda}(M1_{c,\lambda}, \mathcal{L}^\lambda)$  induces a bijection between the isomorphism classes of compact projective right  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda$ -modules and compact injective left  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda$ -modules.

**Proposition 81.** *Let  $c, c' \in \mathfrak{P}$  such that  $d := c' - c \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $c, c'$  belong to antipodal open strata of  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q},c}$ . Then, the functor  $T_{c' \leftarrow c}^\lambda$  is an equivalence of categories.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 71, it suffices to show that  $T_{c' \leftarrow c}^\lambda$  is an equivalence.

Let  $w \in \hat{W}$ . By Lemma 80, there exists  $y \in \hat{W}$  such that  $\widetilde{w^{-1}\kappa_0}$  and  $\widetilde{y^{-1}\kappa_d}$  are antipodal. Let  $\mathcal{I}_w = \bigoplus_{w' \in \hat{W}/\hat{W}_\lambda} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(\widetilde{w'^{-1}\kappa_d}, \widetilde{w'^{-1}\kappa_0}) \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_\delta^{c',\lambda}} \mathcal{S}_{c'}^\lambda$ . Then, by Proposition 45, there is a non-degenerate pairing

$$\mathcal{I}_w \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda} \bigoplus_{w' \in \hat{W}/\hat{W}_\lambda} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(\widetilde{y^{-1}\kappa_d}, \widetilde{w'^{-1}\kappa_d}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{c,\lambda}$$

which identifies  $\mathcal{I}_w$  with the dual over  $\mathcal{L}^\lambda$  of  $\bigoplus_{w' \in \hat{W}/\hat{W}_\lambda} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{c,\lambda}}(\widetilde{w'^{-1}\kappa_d}, \widetilde{y^{-1}\kappa_d})$ ; the latter being a direct factor of  $\mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda$ , is a projective right  $\mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda$ -module. It follows that  $\mathcal{I}_w$  is a relatively injective left  $\mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda$ -module. Similar arguments show that the sum  $\bigoplus_{w \in \hat{W}/\hat{W}_\lambda} \mathcal{I}_w$  contains every indecomposable injective relatively injective left  $\mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda$ -module as direct summand. Since the direct sum of indecomposable relative injective objects is a tilting module, the functor

$$\text{Rhom}_{\mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda}(c' \mathcal{B}_c^\lambda, -) : \text{D}^b(\mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda\text{-mod}) \rightarrow \text{D}^b(\text{hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda}(c' \mathcal{B}_c^\lambda, c' \mathcal{B}_c^\lambda)^{\text{op}}\text{-mod})$$

is an equivalence. Since  $\mathcal{H}_c^\lambda \cong \text{hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda}(c' \mathcal{B}_c^\lambda, c' \mathcal{B}_c^\lambda)^{\text{op}}$  by Lemma 66. The functor  $\text{Rhom}_{\mathcal{H}_{c'}^\lambda}(c' \mathcal{B}_c^\lambda, -)$  is an equivalence and so is its left adjoint  $T_{c' \leftarrow c}^\lambda$ .  $\square$



## 6.5 Simple wall-crossing

Let  $c, c' \in \mathfrak{P}$  such that  $d = c' - c$  lies in  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $c, c'$  belong to different open strata of  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}, c}$  which share a common wall, say  $[\mu] \in \mathfrak{M}_c$ .

**Proposition 82.** *Under the above hypothesis, the functor  $T_{c' \leftarrow c}$  is an equivalence of categories.*

*Proof.* We prove this proposition by the deformation technique introduced in [1]. Let  $D \subset \mathfrak{P}$  be the complex hyperplane parallel to  $h = 0$  such that  $c \in D$  and put  $\mathbf{B}_D = \mathbf{B}^{(d)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P})} \mathcal{O}(D)$ . Let  $U \subset D$  be the subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ -points of  $D$  defined by

$$U = \{u \in D ; \mu'(u) \notin \mathbb{Q}, \forall [\mu'] \in \mathfrak{M}_c \setminus \{[\mu]\}\}.$$

It follows that  $\mathfrak{M}_u = \{[\mu]\}$  consists of a single wall, so that the pair  $(u, u')$  belong to antipodal open strata. Applying Proposition 81 to  $u \in U$  and setting  $u' = u + d$ , we see that  ${}_{u'}\mathbf{B}_u \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_u}^{\mathbf{L}}$  induces an equivalence of category. Consequently, the following adjoint unit and counit are quasi-isomorphisms:

$$\varepsilon_u : \mathbf{H}_u \rightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}_{u'}}({}_{u'}\mathbf{B}_u, {}_{u'}\mathbf{B}_u), \quad \eta_u : {}_{u'}\mathbf{B}_u \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_u}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}_{u'}}({}_{u'}\mathbf{B}_u, \mathbf{H}_{u'}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{u'}.$$

Set  $D' = D + d \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_D = \mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P})} \mathcal{O}(D)$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_{D'} = \mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P})} \mathcal{O}(D')$  and

$$K = \mathrm{Cone}(\mathbf{H}_D \rightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}_{D'}}(\mathbf{B}_D, \mathbf{B}_D)), \quad K' = \mathrm{Cone}(\mathbf{B}_D \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_D}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{H}_{D'}}(\mathbf{B}_D, \mathbf{H}_{D'}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{D'}).$$

It follows that  $K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(D)}^{\mathbf{L}} \kappa(u) \cong \mathrm{Cone}(\varepsilon_u) = 0$  and  $K' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(D)}^{\mathbf{L}} \kappa(u) \cong \mathrm{Cone}(\varepsilon_u) = 0$  hold for each  $u \in U$  and hence  $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(K)_u = 0 = \mathrm{H}^\bullet(K')_u$ .

Since  $\mathrm{Supp}_{\mathfrak{P}}^r \mathrm{H}^k(K)$  is constructible for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  by Proposition 24, there exists a Zariski-open subset  $V \subset D$  containing  $U$  such that  $K|_V = 0$ . Similarly, we may assume that  $K'|_V = 0$ . Since the subset

$$D' = \{z \in D \cap (c + \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}) ; (c, z) \text{ same stratum}, (c', z + d) \text{ same stratum}\}$$

is Zariski-dense in  $D$ , we may choose  $z \in D' \cap V \neq \emptyset$ , so that  $K_z = 0$  and  $K'_z = 0$ . Set  $z' = z + d$ , the translation functor  $T_{z' \leftarrow z}$  is an equivalence of category. By Proposition 78, the conditions  $\mathrm{Eq}(c, z)$  and  $\mathrm{Eq}(c', z')$  hold, so it follows from Lemma 76 that  $T_{c' \leftarrow c} \cong T_{c' \leftarrow z + d} \circ T_{z' \leftarrow z} \circ T_{z \leftarrow c}$  and it is also an equivalence of category.  $\square$

## 6.6 General wall-crossing

**Proposition 83.** *Let  $c, c' \in \mathfrak{P}$  be such that  $d = c' - c \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Suppose that  $c$  and  $c'$  lie in open strata  $S$  and  $S'$  respectively. Then, the functor  $T_{c' \leftarrow c}$  is an equivalence of categories.*

*Proof.* We prove the statement by induction on the number  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  of walls in  $\mathfrak{M}_c$  separating  $S$  and  $S'$ . The case  $k = 0$  is covered by Proposition 78. Let  $k > 0$  and assume that the statement holds for smaller  $k$ . Let  $S''$  be an open stratum which is separated from  $S$  by 1 wall and from  $S'$  by  $k - 1$  walls. Let  $[h] \in \mathfrak{M}_c$  be the unique hyperplane separating  $S$  and  $S''$ . Choose  $c'' \in S'' \cap (c + \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}})$  and put  $d'' = c'' - c$ . Let  $D \subset \mathfrak{P}$  be the complex hyperplane parallel to  $h = 0$  such that  $c \in D$ . Choose an element  $d' \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}} \cap S'$  and put  $\mathbf{B}^{\otimes} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{B}^{(nd')}$  and  $\mathbf{C}^{\otimes} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{B}^{(nd' + d'')}$ . A variant of the proof of Lemma 55 show that  $\mathbf{C}^{\otimes}$  is a finitely generated left  $\mathbf{B}^{\otimes}$ -module. The multiplication  $\star$  yields a morphism

$$m : \mathbf{B}^{\otimes} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{B}^{(d'')} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\otimes},$$

which induces

$$m_D : \mathbf{B}^\otimes \otimes_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{B}^{(d'')} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P})}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{O}(D) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\otimes \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P})}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{O}(D).$$

Put  $K = \text{Cone}(m_D) \in \text{D}^b(\mathbf{B}^\otimes\text{-mod-}\mathcal{O}(D))$ . Let  $U \subset D$  be the subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ -points of  $D$  defined by

$$U = \{u \in D ; f(u) \notin \mathbb{Q}, \forall [f] \in \mathfrak{M}_c \setminus \{[h]\}\}.$$

We have  $K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(D)}^{\mathbf{L}} \kappa(u) = 0$  for each  $u \in U$  by [Proposition 78 \(ii\)](#), because  $\mathfrak{M}_u = \{[h]\}$  and  $u + d''$  and  $u + d'' + nd''$  belong to the same open stratum for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By the generic freeness [Proposition 58](#), there exists  $f \in \mathcal{O}(D) \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(D)}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{O}(D)[f^{-1}] = 0$ . Hence, the density implies that there exists  $z \in D \cap (c + \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}})$  such that  $z \in S$ ,  $z'' := z + d'' \in S''$  and  $K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(D)}^{\mathbf{L}} \kappa(z) = 0$ . Pick  $n_0 \gg 0$  such that  $z' := z + d'' + n_0 d'' \in S'$ . The condition  $K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(D)}^{\mathbf{L}} \kappa(z) = 0$  implies that  ${}_{z'}\mathbf{B}_{z''} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{z''}}^{\mathbf{L}} {}_{z''}\mathbf{B}_z \xrightarrow{\sim} {}_{z'}\mathbf{B}_z$  and hence  $T_{z' \leftarrow z''} \circ T_{z'' \leftarrow z} \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{z' \leftarrow z}$ . Since  $S''$  and  $S'$  are separated by  $k-1$  hyperplanes in  $\mathfrak{M}_c$ , the induction hypothesis implies that  $T_{z' \leftarrow z''}$  is an equivalence of category. On the other hand, [Proposition 82](#) implies that  $T_{z'' \leftarrow z}$  is also an equivalence of category; hence, so is  $T_{z' \leftarrow z}$  an equivalence of category. [Proposition 78](#) implies that the conditions  $\text{Eq}(c, z)$  and  $\text{Eq}(c', z')$  hold, so by [Lemma 76](#) there is an isomorphism:

$$T_{c' \leftarrow z'} \circ T_{z' \leftarrow z} \circ T_{z \leftarrow c} \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{c' \leftarrow c}.$$

Therefore,  $T_{c' \leftarrow c}$  is also an equivalence of category.  $\square$

## 6.7 Exceptional case

In this subsection, we deal with the case where  $c$  lies in a non-open stratum of  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q},c}$ .

*6.7.1.* Consider the most exceptional case, where the parameter  $c \in \mathfrak{P}$  lies in the intersection of all the hyperplanes appearing in  $\mathfrak{M}_c$ . The following proposition shows that, in this case, all the hyperplanes in  $\mathfrak{M}_c$  become *irrelevant* and the translation functors are abelian equivalences.

**Proposition 84.** *Suppose that  $c \in \mathfrak{P}$  satisfies  $\mu(c) = 0$  for every  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_c$ . Then,  $\text{Eq}(c, c')$  holds for each  $c' \in c + \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .*

This will result from the following lemma:

**Lemma 85.** *Let  $c$  and  $c'$  be as in [Proposition 84](#). Then, the algebraic KZ functor  $\mathbf{V} : \mathcal{H}_c^\lambda\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{c'}^\ell\text{-mod}$  is an equivalence of categories for each  $\lambda = (\lambda^0, 1) \in \mathfrak{h}^1$  and  $\ell = \exp(2\pi i \lambda^0) \in T$ .*

*Proof.* Consider first the case where  $c' = c$ . We show that:

for each  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^1$ , all the  $(c, \lambda)$ -clans are generic.

We prove this assertion by contradiction. Suppose there exists a non-generic clan  $\mathfrak{C} \in \text{Cl}^{c,\lambda}(\mathfrak{h}^1)$ . Then, the set

$$\Sigma = \{\alpha \in \Psi_{c,\lambda} \cup -\Psi_{c,\lambda} ; \alpha(\mathfrak{C}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}$$

contains a positive  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear relation  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma'} d_\alpha \bar{\alpha} = 0$ , where  $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$  is a non-empty subset,  $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$  and  $d_\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  for each  $\alpha \in \Sigma'$ . We assume that  $\Sigma'$  is minimal

with this property and let  $\sigma = \{\bar{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \times \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*; \alpha \in \Sigma'\}$ . Pick  $(\bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}) \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  as in the proof of [Proposition 78](#). Let  $h \in \mathfrak{C}$ , so that  $\alpha(h) > 0$  for each  $\alpha \in \Sigma'$ . It follows that

$$0 < \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma'} d_{\alpha} \alpha(h) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma'} (c_{\alpha} + \langle d_{\alpha} \bar{\alpha}, h - \bar{\lambda} \rangle) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma'} d_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}.$$

By the minimality of  $\Sigma'$ , the function  $\mu_{\sigma} = \sum_{\alpha \in \sigma} d_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}$  lies in  $\mathfrak{M}_c$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $\mu_{\sigma}(c) = 0$ . The claim is proven.

It follows that  $\mathcal{H}_c^{\lambda} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{V}}$  is a compact projective generator of  $\mathcal{H}_c^{\lambda}\text{-Mod}$ , so the functor  $\mathbf{V} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_c^{\lambda}}(\mathcal{H}_c^{\lambda} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{V}}, -)$  is an equivalence of category. Consequently, the category  $\mathcal{H}_h^{\ell}\text{-mod}$  has finite global dimension. Since  $\mathcal{H}_h^{\ell}$  is a Frobenius algebra over the centre  $\mathcal{Z}^{\ell} = \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{H}_h^{\ell}) \cong \mathcal{Z}^{\lambda}$  (see [9, 9.3]), all  $\mathcal{H}_h^{\ell}$ -modules which are projective over  $\mathcal{Z}^{\ell}$  must be projective.

Now, let  $c' \in c + \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Since  $\mathbf{V}$  sends  $\mathcal{Z}^{\lambda}$ -projective objects to  $\mathcal{Z}^{\ell}$ -projective objects, it preserves projective objects. The double centraliser property (see [Theorem 16](#)) implies that  $\mathbf{V}$  is an equivalence of category.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 84.* By [Lemma 77](#), it suffices to verify the conditions thereof. Let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^1$ . [Lemma 85](#) implies that it suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{P}_c^{\lambda} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_c^{\lambda}} {}_c\mathcal{B}_{c'}^{\lambda} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{c'}^{\lambda}} {}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_c^{\lambda} \otimes^*} \mathcal{P}_c^{\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_{c'}^{\lambda} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{c'}^{\lambda}} {}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^{\lambda} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_c^{\lambda}} {}_c\mathcal{B}_{c'}^{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_{c'}^{\lambda} \otimes^*} \mathcal{P}_{c'}^{\lambda}$$

are isomorphisms. By [Proposition 61](#), these maps can be factorised as  $\alpha_{c',c} \circ (\alpha_{c,c'} \otimes {}_{c'}\mathcal{B}_c^{\lambda})$  and  $\alpha_{c,c'} \circ (\alpha_{c',c} \otimes {}_c\mathcal{B}_{c'}^{\lambda})$ , which are isomorphisms.  $\square$

6.7.2. Let  $c \in \mathfrak{P}$  and let  $S \subset \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q},c}$  be the stratum which contains  $c$ . Pick an open stratum  $S' \subset \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q},c}$  whose closure contains  $S$  and pick a point  $c' \in S' \cap (c + \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}})$  and set  $d = c' - c$ .

**Proposition 86.** *Under the above hypothesis, the condition  $\text{Eq}(c, c')$  holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $E \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$  be the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear span of  $S - c$ , let  $D = c' + E$ , let  $\mathfrak{M}'_c = \{h \in \mathfrak{M}_c; h(c) \neq 0\}$  and let  $U \subset D$  be the subset defined by

$$U = \{u \in D; f(u) \notin \mathbb{Q}, \forall [f] \in \mathfrak{M}'_c\}.$$

Put  $\mathbf{B}_D = \mathbf{B}^{(d)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P})} \mathcal{O}(D)$ . For each  $u \in U$ , we have  $\mathfrak{M}_u = \mathfrak{M}_c \setminus \mathfrak{M}'_c$ , so the condition  $\text{Eq}(u, u + d)$  holds by [Proposition 84](#). Again, the deformation technique as in the proof of [Proposition 82](#) implies that there exists  $z \in D \cap S$  such that  $z' := z + d \in S'$  and  $\text{Eq}(z, z')$  holds. [Proposition 78](#) implies that  $\text{Eq}(c, z)$  and  $\text{Eq}(c', z')$  hold. Hence,  $\text{Eq}(c, c')$  holds.  $\square$

## 6.8 Main theorem

**Theorem 87.** *Let  $c, c' \in \mathfrak{P}$  such that  $d := c' - c \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Then, the translation functor  $T_{c' \leftarrow c} : \text{D}^b(\mathbf{H}_c\text{-mod}) \rightarrow \text{D}^b(\mathbf{H}_{c'}\text{-mod})$  is an equivalence of category.*

*Proof.* Let  $S, S' \subset \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Q},c}$  be open strata such that  $c \in \bar{S}$  and  $c' \in \bar{S}'$ . Let  $z \in S \cap (c + \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}})$  and  $z' \in S' \cap (c + \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ . Then,  $T_{z' \leftarrow z}$  is an equivalence of category by [Proposition 83](#);  $T_{c' \leftarrow z'}$  and  $T_{c \leftarrow z}$  are equivalences of category and  $T_{c' \leftarrow c} \cong T_{c' \leftarrow z'} \circ T_{z' \leftarrow z} \circ T_{z \leftarrow c}$  holds by [Proposition 86](#) and [Lemma 76](#); hence,  $T_{c' \leftarrow c}$  is an equivalence of category.  $\square$

## 6.9 Derived equivalences on integrable modules

Consider the subring  $\mathcal{O}(T^\vee) \cong \mathbb{C}Q^\vee \subseteq \mathbf{H}$ , where  $T^\vee$  is the torus whose character lattice is the coroot lattice  $Q^\vee$  of  $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, R)$ . Given any  $M \in \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{H})$ , by the triangular decomposition  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbb{C}Q^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C}W \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1)$ , it is clear that  $M$  is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{C}Q^\vee$ . Let  $\text{Supp}_{T^\vee/W} M \subseteq T^\vee/W$  be the (set-theoretic) support of  $M$  considered as  $\mathcal{O}(T^\vee)^W$ -module by restriction.

**Lemma 88.** *Let  $B \in \text{HC}(\mathbf{H})$  and  $M \in \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{H})$ . Then,  $\text{Supp}_{T^\vee/W} \text{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{H}}(B, M) \subseteq \text{Supp}_{T^\vee/W} M$  and  $\text{Supp}_{T^\vee/W} \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}}^i(B, M) \subseteq \text{Supp}_{T^\vee/W} M$  hold for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Choose a HC filtration  $\{F_k B\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  for  $B$  and a good filtration  $\{F_k M\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  for  $M$  with respect to the canonical filtration on  $\mathbf{H}$ . Note that  $F_k B$  and  $F_k M$  are stable under multiplication by  $\mathcal{O}(T^\vee)$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\text{gr}^F B$  is finitely generated over the centre  $Z(\mathbf{H}_\delta/(\delta))$  and the adjoint action of the latter is nilpotent on  $\text{gr}^F B$ , there exists a number  $m > 0$  such that  $(\text{ad } Z(\mathbf{H}_\delta/(\delta)))^m \text{gr}^F B = 0$ . Note that  $\mathcal{O}(T^\vee)^W \subseteq Z(\mathbf{H}_\delta/(\delta))$ . Let  $I = \text{ann}_{\mathcal{O}(T^\vee)^W} M$  be the annihilator of  $M$ . Then, the ideal  $I^m$  annihilates  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}}^i(\text{gr}^F B, \text{gr}^F M)$ .

As noted in the proof of [Proposition 21](#), there is an induced good filtration on  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}}^i(B, M)$ , denoted by  $F_\bullet$ , such that  $\text{gr}^F \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}}^i(B, M)$  is a subquotient of  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}}^i(\text{gr}^F B, \text{gr}^F M)$ . Hence,  $I^m$  annihilates  $\text{gr}^F \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}}^i(B, M)$  and thus  $\text{Supp}_{T^\vee/W} \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{H}}^i(B, M) \subseteq V(\sqrt{I}) = \text{Supp}_{T^\vee/W} M$ . The result for Ext follows. The statement for Tor can be proven in a similar way.  $\square$

For any closed subvariety  $Z \subseteq T^\vee/W$  and  $(c, [\lambda]) \in \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{h}^1/\hat{W}$ , let  $\mathbf{O}_{\lambda, Z}(\mathbf{H}_c) \subseteq \mathbf{O}_\lambda(\mathbf{H}_c)$  be the full subcategory consisting of objects  $M$  satisfying  $\text{Supp}_{T^\vee/W}(M) \subseteq Z$  and let  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{O}_{\lambda, Z}}^b(\mathbf{H}_c)$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{H}_c\text{-Mod})$  consisting of complexes  $K$  such that  $\mathbf{H}^k(K) \in \mathbf{O}_{\lambda, Z}(\mathbf{H}_c)$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Theorem 89.** *Under the hypothesis of [Theorem 87](#), the translation functor  $T_{c' \leftarrow c}$  restricts to an equivalence  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{O}_{\lambda, Z}}^b(\mathbf{H}_c) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{O}_{\lambda, Z}}^b(\mathbf{H}_{c'})$  for each  $[\lambda] \in \mathfrak{h}/\hat{W}$  and each closed subset  $Z \subseteq T^\vee/W$ .*

*Proof.* Since there is natural isomorphism  $\mathcal{S}_{c'}^{[\lambda]} \otimes_{\mathbf{s}_{c' c}} \mathbf{B}_c \cong {}_{c'} \mathbf{B}_c \otimes_{\mathbf{s}_c} \mathcal{S}_c^{[\lambda]}$  (see [§5.5](#)), by [Proposition 15](#), [Proposition 30](#), the restriction of  $T_{c' \leftarrow c}$  is given by  $(\mathcal{C}_c^\lambda)^{-1} \circ T_{c' \leftarrow c}^\lambda \circ \mathcal{C}_c^\lambda$ , which is a functor from  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{O}_\lambda}^b(\mathbf{H}_c)$  to  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{O}_\lambda}^b(\mathbf{H}_{c'})$ . By [Lemma 88](#), it restricts to a functor from  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{O}_{\lambda, Z}}^b(\mathbf{H}_c)$  to  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{O}_{\lambda, Z}}^b(\mathbf{H}_{c'})$ . Similarly, the right adjoint functor of  $T_{c' \leftarrow c}$  restricts to a functor from  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{O}_{\lambda, Z}}^b(\mathbf{H}_{c'})$  to  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{O}_{\lambda, Z}}^b(\mathbf{H}_c)$ . By [Theorem 87](#), they are inverse to each other, thus equivalences of categories.  $\square$

**Remark 90.** *With slight modifications, all results and arguments in the present article work over arbitrary field of characteristic 0 instead of  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

**Remark 91.** *In [\[11\]](#), it is shown that certain wall-crossing derived equivalences obtain from translation bimodules are perverse in the sense of Rouquier [\[14\]](#). We expect the derived equivalences in [Theorem 89](#) to be perverse as well.*

## References

- [1] Roman Bezrukavnikov and Ivan Losev. “Etingof’s conjecture for quantized quiver varieties”. English. *Invent. Math.* **223** (3) (2021), pp. 1097–1226.

- [2] N. Bourbaki. *Éléments de mathématique. Fasc. XXVIII: Algèbre commutative. Chap. 3: Graduations, filtrations et topologies. Chap. 4: Idéaux premiers associés et décomposition primaire*. French. Paris: Hermann & Cie. 1961.
- [3] Alexander Braverman, Michael Finkelberg, and Hiraku Nakajima. “Towards a mathematical definition of Coulomb branches of 3-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  gauge theories. II.” English. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **22** (5) (2018), pp. 1071–1147.
- [4] Alexander Grothendieck. “Éléments de géométrie algébrique : IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Seconde partie”. fr. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS* **24** (1965), pp. 5–231. URL: [http://www.numdam.org/item/PMIHES\\_1965\\_\\_24\\_\\_5\\_0/](http://www.numdam.org/item/PMIHES_1965__24__5_0/).
- [5] Dieter Happel. “On the derived category of a finite-dimensional algebra”. English. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **62** (1987), pp. 339–389.
- [6] Ryoshi Hotta, Kiyoshi Takeuchi, and Toshiyuki Tanisaki. *D-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*. **236**. Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
- [7] Syu Kato. “On a geometric setting of DAHAs of type  $\mathbf{A}_1^{(1)}$ ”. In: *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu*. Vol. B5. Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 2008, pp. 115–129.
- [8] Shrawan Kumar. *Kac-Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory*. English. **204**. Prog. Math. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser, 2002.
- [9] Wille Liu. “Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov functor for degenerate double affine Hecke algebras: algebraic theory”. *Represent. Theory* **26** (2022), pp. 906–961.
- [10] Ivan Losev. “Completions of symplectic reflection algebras.” English. *Sel. Math., New Ser.* **18** (1) (2012), pp. 179–251.
- [11] Ivan Losev. “Derived equivalences for rational Cherednik algebras”. English. *Duke Math. J.* **166** (1) (2017), pp. 27–73.
- [12] Ivan Losev. “Derived equivalences for symplectic reflection algebras”. English. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2021** (1) (2021), pp. 444–474.
- [13] Raphaël Rouquier. “ $q$ -Schur algebras and complex reflection groups.” English. *Mosc. Math. J.* **8** (1) (2008), pp. 119–158.
- [14] Raphaël Rouquier. “Derived equivalences and finite dimensional algebras.” English. In: *Proceedings of the international congress of mathematicians (ICM), Madrid, Spain, August 22–30, 2006. Volume II: Invited lectures*. Zürich: European Mathematical Society (EMS), 2006, pp. 191–221.
- [15] Michela Varagnolo and Éric Vasserot. “Double affine Hecke algebras and affine flag manifolds. I.” English. In: *Affine flag manifolds and principal bundles*. Basel: Birkhäuser, 2010, pp. 233–289.
- [16] Michela Varagnolo and Eric Vasserot. “From double affine Hecke algebras to quantized affine Schur algebras”. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (26) (2004), pp. 1299–1333. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1155/S1073792804132704>.

- [17] Eric Vasserot. “Induced and simple modules of double affine Hecke algebras.” English. *Duke Math. J.* **126** (2) (2005), pp. 251–323.
- [18] Ben Webster. *Coherent sheaves and quantum Coulomb branches I: tilting bundles from integrable systems*. 2019. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.04623>.
- [19] Ben Webster. *Koszul duality between Higgs and Coulomb categories  $\mathcal{O}$* . 2016. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.06541>.