

A REMARK ON FINITE METRIC SPACES

Vašek Chvátal¹

Concordia University, Montreal and Charles University, Prague
and

Ida Kantor²

Charles University, Prague

Abstract: Richmond and Richmond (*Amer. Math. Monthly* **104** (1997), 713–719) proved the following theorem: If, in a metric space with at least five points, all triangles are degenerate, then the space is isometric to a subset of the real line. We prove that the hypothesis is unnecessarily strong: In fact, $\Theta(n^2)$ suitably placed degenerate triangles suffice.

1 Results

Given a metric space $(V, dist)$, we follow [1] in writing $[rst]$ to signify that r, s, t are pairwise distinct points of V and $dist(r, s) + dist(s, t) = dist(r, t)$. Following [6], we refer to three-point subsets of V as *triangles*; if $[rst]$, then the triangle $\{r, s, t\}$ is called *degenerate*.

Now let M be a metric space $(V, dist)$. Trivially, if there is a linear order \preceq on V such that $r \prec s \prec t \Rightarrow [rst]$, then all triangles in M are degenerate. Richmond and Richmond [6] proved the converse under a mild lower bound on $|V|$:

Theorem 1 ([6]). *Let M be a metric space $(V, dist)$ such that $|V| \geq 5$. If all triangles in M are degenerate, then there is a linear order \preceq on V such that $r \prec s \prec t \Rightarrow [rst]$.*

Here, the lower bound on $|V|$ cannot be reduced: consider $V = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $dist(a, b) = dist(b, c) = dist(c, d) = dist(d, a) = 1$, $dist(a, c) = dist(b, d) = 2$.

¹chvatal@cse.concordia.ca

²ida@kam.mff.cuni.cz

We are going to prove that the hypothesis of Theorem 1 can be relaxed as soon as the lower bound on $|V|$ is increased by 1 and that it can be relaxed further and further as $|V|$ gets larger and larger. To formulate this result, consider an arbitrary 3-uniform hypergraph (V, \mathcal{E}) . Clearly, there is a unique minimal superset \mathcal{E}^* of \mathcal{E} such that no six-point subset of V contains precisely 19 members of \mathcal{E}^* . We let $\text{cl } \mathcal{E}$ denote this \mathcal{E}^* .

Theorem 2. *Let M be a metric space (V, dist) such that $|V| \geq 6$ and let (V, \mathcal{E}) be a 3-uniform hypergraph such that $\text{cl } \mathcal{E}$ consists of all triangles in M . If all triangles that belong to \mathcal{E} are degenerate, then there is a linear order \preceq on V such that $r \prec s \prec t \Rightarrow [rst]$.*

The hypothesis of Theorem 2 is far weaker than the hypothesis of Theorem 1. To see this, take a triangle S in V and let \mathcal{E} consist of all triangles in V that have a nonempty intersection with S . Here $\text{cl } \mathcal{E}$ consists of all all triangles in V and, with n standing for $|V|$, we have $|\mathcal{E}| = \binom{n}{3} - \binom{n-3}{3}$, which is only $\Theta(n^2)$. Thus far fewer than $\binom{n}{3}$ degenerate triangles in an n -point metric space suffice to force the conclusion of Theorem 1 as long as these triangles are suitably placed. Even if the degenerate triangles are placed inconveniently, already $\binom{n}{3} - n + 7$ of them always force the conclusion of Theorem 1. This follows directly from the conjunction of Theorem 2 and the next theorem:

Theorem 3. *Let (V, \mathcal{E}) be a 3-uniform hypergraph with n vertices. If $|\mathcal{E}| \geq \binom{n}{3} - n + 5$, then $\text{cl } \mathcal{E}$ consists of all three-point subsets of V .*

To see that the lower bound on \mathcal{E} in Theorem 3 cannot be reduced, consider \mathcal{E} consisting of all three-point subsets of $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ except for all $\{1, 2, j\}$ with $3 \leq j \leq n - 2$. Here, $|\mathcal{E}| = \binom{n}{3} - n + 4$ and $\text{cl } \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}$.

Theorem 2 is an immediate corollary of Theorem 1 and the following theorem:

Theorem 4. *Let M be a metric space (V, dist) such that $|V| \geq 6$ and let (V, \mathcal{E}) be a 3-uniform hypergraph. If all triangles that belong to \mathcal{E} are degenerate, then all triangles that belong to $\text{cl } \mathcal{E}$ are degenerate.*

2 Proofs

Most of the work involved in proving Theorem 4 is subsumed in its following special case:

Lemma 1. *Let M be a metric space (V, dist) such that $|V| = 6$. If 19 triangles in M are degenerate, then all 20 triangles in M are degenerate.*

Proof. By assumption, there is a triangle T in V such that the 19 triangles distinct from T are degenerate. In particular, for each of the three elements λ of T , all triangles in $V - \{\lambda\}$ are degenerate and so, by Theorem 1, there is a linear order \leq_λ on $V - \{\lambda\}$ such that

$$r <_\lambda s <_\lambda t \Rightarrow [rst]. \quad (1)$$

Having chosen a μ in T , let us label the elements of $V - T$ as u, v, w in such a way that $u <_\mu v <_\mu w$, and so $[uvw]$. Now for each of the remaining two elements ν of T , property (1) implies $u <_\nu v <_\nu w$ or $w <_\nu v <_\nu u$; reversing the orders if necessary, we may assume that $u <_\nu v <_\nu w$, and so

$$u <_\lambda v <_\lambda w \text{ for all three } \lambda \text{ in } T. \quad (2)$$

Next, let us label the elements of T temporarily as a, b, c in such a way that $a <_c b$ and then permanently as x, y, z : If $b <_a c$, then $x = a, y = b, z = c$; else either $x = a, y = c, z = b$ (in case $a <_b c$) or $x = c, y = a, z = b$ (in case $c <_b a$). Now we have

$$x <_z y \text{ and } y <_x z. \quad (3)$$

For future reference, let us note that

$$\text{the restrictions of } \leq_x \text{ and } \leq_z \text{ on } \{u, v, w, y\} \text{ are identical} \quad (4)$$

(Analogous statements apply to x, y in place of x, z and to y, z in place of x, z , but we will not need these variations). To see this, observe that, by virtue of (2) and (1), our metric space M determines the rank of y in the restriction of \leq_x on $\{u, v, w, y\}$ in the same way as it determines the rank of y in the restriction of \leq_z on $\{u, v, w, y\}$.

The remainder of the proof relies on the fact that

$$[\alpha\beta\gamma] \text{ and } [\alpha\gamma\delta] \Rightarrow [\alpha\beta\delta] \text{ and } [\beta\gamma\delta], \quad (5)$$

which has been pointed out by Menger [5]. (By the way, extensions of (5) are discussed in [3, Section 6].)

Finally, we are ready to prove that the triangle $\{x, y, z\}$, too, is degenerate. Actually, we are going to prove $[xyz]$. For this purpose, let us distinguish between three cases.

CASE 1: $u <_z x$. By (3), we have $u <_z x <_z y$, and so $[uxy]$; by (4) and (3), we have $u <_x y <_x z$, and so $[uyz]$. Now we have $[xyz]$ by (5).

CASE 2: $z <_x w$. By (3), we have $y <_x z <_x w$, and so $[yzw]$; by (3) and (4), we have $x <_z y <_z w$, and so $[xyw]$. Now we have $[xyz]$ by (5).

CASE 3: $x <_z u <_z v <_z w$ and $u <_x v <_x w <_x z$. In particular,

$$[xuv], [xvw], [vzw], [uvz].$$

Here we have neither $[vxz]$ (else $[uvz]$ and (5) would imply $[uvx]$, contradicting $[xuv]$) nor $[xzv]$ (else $[xvw]$ and (5) would imply $[zvw]$, contradicting $[vzw]$); since $\{x, v, z\}$ is degenerate, we must have

$$[xvz].$$

If $v <_x y$, then $[vyz]$ by (3); else $y <_z v$ by (4), and so $[xyv]$ by (3); in either case, this implies $[xyz]$ by $[xvz]$ and (5). \square

Proof of Theorem 4. By definition, members of $\text{cl } \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}$ can be enumerated as T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k in such a way that, for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, some six-point subset of V contains precisely 19 members of $\mathcal{E} \cup \{T_i : 1 \leq i < j\}$. Induction on j ($= 1, 2, \dots, k$) shows that all triangles belonging to $\mathcal{E} \cup \{T_i : 1 \leq i < j\}$ are degenerate; the induction step relies on Lemma 1. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. Given any three-point subset T of V , we shall show that $T \in \text{cl } \mathcal{E}$. This is trivial when $T \in \mathcal{E}$; to prove it when $T \notin \mathcal{E}$, it suffices to find a six-point subset S of V such that T is the unique three-point subset of S not belonging to \mathcal{E} . For this purpose, take one vertex from each $T' - T$ such that T' is a three-point subset of V not belonging to \mathcal{E} and $T' \neq T$. With W standing for the set of all these vertices, T is the unique three-point subset of $V - W$ not belonging to \mathcal{E} ; since $|W| \leq \binom{n}{3} - |\mathcal{E}| - 1$, we have $|V - W| \geq n - \binom{n}{3} + |\mathcal{E}| + 1 \geq 6$. \square

3 A concluding remark

Following [2], let us refer to a 3-uniform hypergraph (V, \mathcal{E}) as *metric* if there is a metric space M such that a triangle in M is degenerate if and only if it belongs to \mathcal{E} . Lemma 1 can be reformulated as the statement that the 3-uniform hypergraph with 6 vertices and 19 hyperedges is non-metric. Actually, this hypergraph is minimal non-metric in the sense that the deletion of an arbitrary vertex from it produces a metric hypergraph. To verify this, observe first that the deletion produces a hypergraph with 5 vertices and 10 or 9 hyperedges. The former hypergraph is obviously metric. To see that the latter hypergraph is metric, note that in the metric space on ground set $\{u, v, x, y, z\}$ with metric *dist* defined by the chart

	x	u	y	v	z
x	0	1	2	3	2
u	1	0	1	2	3
y	2	1	0	1	2
v	3	2	1	0	1
z	2	3	2	1	0

$\{x, y, z\}$ is the only nondegenerate triangle. (By the way, infinitely many minimal non-metric hypergraphs have been constructed in [4].)

References

- [1] P. Aboulker, X. Chen, G. Huzhang, R. Kapadia, and C. Supko, Lines, betweenness and metric spaces, *Discrete & Computational Geometry* **56** (2016), 427–448.
- [2] L. Beaudou, V. Chvátal, A. Bondy, X. Chen, E. Chiniforooshan, M. Chudnovsky, N. Fraiman, and Y. Zwols, Lines in hypergraphs, *Combinatorica* **33** (2013), 633–654.
- [3] V. Chvátal, Sylvester-Gallai theorem and metric betweenness, *Discrete & Computational Geometry* **31** (2004), 175–195.
- [4] V. Chvátal and I. Kantor, Metric hypergraphs and metric lines, [arXiv:2207.11811](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.11811) [math.CO]

- [5] K. Menger, Untersuchungen über allgemeine Metrik, *Mathematische Annalen* **100** (1928), 75—163.
- [6] B. Richmond and T. Richmond, Metric spaces in which all triangles are degenerate, *American Mathematical Monthly* **104** (1997), 713–719.