

THE CONTRAVARIANT FUNCTORIALITY OF GRAPH ALGEBRAS

PIOTR M. HAJAC AND MARIUSZ TOBOLSKI

ABSTRACT. We study pushouts in the category of directed graphs. Our first result is that the subcategory given by strongly admissible monomorphisms is closed with respect to pushouts. Next, we determine a subcategory for which the construction of path algebras yields a contravariant functor into the category of algebras, and then we restrict this subcategory even further to ensure that now the construction of Leavitt path algebras induces a contravariant functor into the category of algebras. Finally, we prove our main theorems stating under which conditions these two contravariant functors turn pushouts of directed graphs into pullbacks of algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

Graph theory is one of the most accessible parts of combinatorics and one often uses graphs to visualize and study abstract mathematical objects. For instance, the structure of a group can be encoded in its Cayley graph. Along the same vein, with every (unital, basic, connected) finite-dimensional associative algebra over an algebraically closed field, we can associate a directed graph (or a quiver) from which the algebra in question can be recovered via the *path algebra* construction (see, e.g. [3]). Furthermore, every finite-dimensional hereditary associative algebra over an algebraically closed field is Morita equivalent to a path algebra. These two results show the importance of path algebras in the classification and representation theory of finite-dimensional associative algebras. Furthermore, certain quotients of path algebras, namely *Leavitt path algebras*, are closely connected with graph C^* -algebras (see, e.g. [1]).

There is a natural question: does the path algebra and the Leavitt path algebra constructions give rise to functors from certain categories of graphs to the category of algebras? In [7] (see also [2]), sufficient conditions under which one obtains the covariant functoriality were found. In this paper, we study the *contravariant* functoriality of path algebras and Leavitt path algebras. Some results in this direction in the case of injective graph homomorphisms already appeared in the literature. The paper [5] introduces admissible inclusions, which are equivalent to quotient graphs by hereditary subsets known from the graph algebra theory¹ (see, e.g. [1, Definition 2.4.1]). Our motivation comes from the theory of q -deformations of algebras of functions on certain compact topological spaces. In [10], it was shown that a pushout of graphs can lead to a pullback structure of the C^* -algebra of the quantum sphere. This remarkable feature was explored in [8, 9, 4], where more general pushout-to-pullback theorems were proved. Similar results can be found in the context of higher-rank graph C^* -algebras [11] and Cuntz–Pimsner algebras [13].

In Section 2, we introduce several conditions on graph homomorphisms, define two subcategories of graphs, which we call POG and CRTBPOG, and we study pushouts in these categories. Then, we relate our work with the previous known results. In particular, we prove that an inclusion of graphs is admissible if and only if it is a morphism in the category CRTBPOG. In Section 3, we show that the path algebra construction gives rise to a contravariant functor from the category POG to the category of algebras. Similarly, the Leavitt path algebra construction gives rise to a contravariant functor

¹The name *quotient graph* comes from the fact that its Leavitt path algebra is a quotient algebra. However, since the quotient of a given graph by a hereditary subset is in fact its subgraph, this nomenclature might be misleading.

from the category CRTBPOG to the category of algebras. Finally, the results on the contravariant functoriality of graph algebras enable us to prove two new pushout-to-pullback theorems in Section 4. In the first theorem, we give sufficient conditions on pushouts in the category POG under which the path algebra functor turns them into pullbacks of algebras. The second theorem gives sufficient conditions on pushouts in the category CRTBPOG under which the Leavitt path algebra functor turns them into pullbacks of algebras.

2. GRAPHS AND MORPHISMS

2.1. Graphs. A *graph* (directed graph, quiver) is a quadruple $E := (E^0, E^1, s_E, t_E)$, where:

- E^0 is the set of *vertices*,
- E^1 is the set of *edges* (arrows),
- $E^1 \xrightarrow{s_E} E^0$ is the *source* map assigning to each edge its beginning,
- $E^1 \xrightarrow{t_E} E^0$ is the *target* (range) map assigning to each edge its end.

Let v be a vertex in a graph E . It is called a *sink* iff $s^{-1}(v) = \emptyset$, it is called a *source* iff $t^{-1}(v) = \emptyset$, and it is called *regular* iff it is not a sink and $|s^{-1}(v)| < \infty$. The subset of regular vertices of a graph E is denoted by $\text{Reg}(E)$. A *finite path* in E is a vertex or a finite tuple $p_n := (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ of edges satisfying

$$t_E(e_1) = s_E(e_2), \quad t_E(e_2) = s_E(e_3), \quad \dots, \quad t_E(e_{n-1}) = s_E(e_n).$$

We denote the set of all finite paths in E by $FP(E)$. The beginning $s_E(p_n)$ of p_n is $s_E(e_1)$ and the end $t_E(p_n)$ of p_n is $t_E(e_n)$. The beginning and the end of a vertex is the vertex itself. Thus, we extend the source and target maps to $s_{PE}, t_{PE}: FP(E) \rightarrow E^0$. Vertices are considered as finite paths of length 0. Every edge is a path of length 1. The *length* of a finite path that is not a vertex is the size of the tuple.

2.2. Morphisms. Let $E := (E^0, E^1, s_E, t_E)$ and $F := (F^0, F^1, s_F, t_F)$ be graphs. A *homomorphism* from E to F is a pair of maps

$$(f^0 : E^0 \rightarrow F^0, f^1 : E^1 \rightarrow F^1)$$

satisfying the conditions:

$$s_F \circ f^1 = f^0 \circ s_E, \quad t_F \circ f^1 = f^0 \circ t_E.$$

We denote the category of graphs and graph homomorphisms by OG. We call a graph homomorphism (f^0, f^1) *injective* or *surjective* iff both f^0 and f^1 are injective or surjective, respectively.

If $(f^0, f^1): E \rightarrow F$ is a homomorphism of graphs, then we define $f: FP(E) \rightarrow FP(F)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \forall v \in E^0: f(v) &:= f^0(v), \quad \forall e \in E^1: f(e) := f^1(e), \\ \forall (e_1, \dots, e_n) \in FP(E): f((e_1, \dots, e_n)) &:= (f^1(e_1), \dots, f^1(e_n)) \in FP(F). \end{aligned}$$

If (f^0, f^1) is injective, then so is f . Note also that now we can think of FP as a covariant functor from the category OG of graphs and graph homomorphisms to the category of sets and maps:

$$FP((f^0, f^1) \circ (g^0, g^1))(p) = FP((f^0 \circ g^0, f^1 \circ g^1))(p)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{cases} (f^0 \circ g^0)(v) & \text{for } p \in E^0 \\ ((f^1 \circ g^1)(e_1), \dots, (f^1 \circ g^1)(e_n)) & \text{for } p = (e_1, \dots, e_n) \end{cases} \\
&= \left(FP((f^0, f^1)) \circ FP((g^0, g^1)) \right)(p).
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.1. A proper homomorphism of graphs $f: E \rightarrow F$ is a homomorphism of graphs whose both maps are finite-to-one, i.e.

$$\forall v \in F^0: |(f^0)^{-1}(v)| < \infty, \quad \forall e \in F^1: |(f^1)^{-1}(e)| < \infty.$$

We denote the category of graphs and proper graph homomorphisms by POG.

First, observe that POG is indeed a subcategory of OG due to the fact that a composition of finite-to-one maps is again a finite-to-one map. If $(f^0, f^1): E \rightarrow F$ is a proper homomorphism of graphs, then the induced map $f: FP(E) \rightarrow FP(F)$ is finite to one. Indeed, if $p \in FP(E)$ is a vertex, then $f^{-1}(p) = (f^0)^{-1}(p)$ is a finite set. Next, let $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, $p_i \in F^1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $q \in f^{-1}(p)$. Then we can write $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$, $q_i \in E^1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $f(q) = (f^1(q_1), \dots, f^1(q_n)) = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Hence, $q_i \in (f^1)^{-1}(p_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, so the number of elements in $f^{-1}(p)$ is limited by the number of elements in

$$(2.1) \quad (f^1)^{-1}(p_1) \times \dots \times (f^1)^{-1}(p_i) \times \dots \times (f^1)^{-1}(p_n),$$

which is a finite set. Finally, observe also that, much as before, we can view FP as a covariant functor from the category POG to the category of sets and finite-to-one maps.

Definition 2.2. The target bijectivity condition for a graph homomorphism $(f^0, f^1): E \rightarrow F$ is

$$(2.2) \quad \forall x \in F^1: (f^1)^{-1}(x) \ni e \mapsto t_E(e) \in (f^0)^{-1}(t_F(x)) \text{ is bijective.}$$

Note that the bijectivity of (f^0, f^1) implies the target bijectivity of (f^0, f^1) , so (2.2) is satisfied for $(\text{id}_{E^0}, \text{id}_{E^1})$. An injective homomorphism of graphs need not satisfy the target-bijectivity condition. Indeed, mapping the one-vertex graph into the one-loop graph by assigning the vertex to the base of the loop is an injective graph homomorphism but the target-bijectivity condition fails. A graph homomorphism $(f^0, f^1): E \rightarrow F$ that is injective on vertices and satisfies the target-bijectivity condition is injective. Indeed, if e_1 and e_2 are edges such that $f^1(e_1) = f^1(e_2)$, then $e_1, e_2 \in (f^1)^{-1}(f^1(e_1))$ and $|(f^1)^{-1}(t_F(f^1(e_1)))| = 1$, so $e_1 = e_2$. Moreover, if $(f^0, f^1): E \rightarrow F$ and $(g^0, g^1): F \rightarrow G$ are morphisms satisfying (2.2), then so is their composition. Indeed, for starters,

$$\forall y \in G^1: (g^1 \circ f^1)^{-1}(y) \ni e \mapsto t_E(e) \in (g^0 \circ f^0)^{-1}(t_G(y))$$

the above map is well defined. Next, it follows from

$$\forall y \in G^1: (g^1)^{-1}(y) \ni x \mapsto t_F(x) \in (g^0)^{-1}(t_G(y)) \text{ is bijective}$$

that

$$\forall y \in G^1: (g^0)^{-1}(t_G(y)) = t_F((g^1)^{-1}(y)) = \bigsqcup_{x \in (g^1)^{-1}(y)} \{t_F(x)\},$$

so

$$\forall y \in G^1: (g^0 \circ f^0)^{-1}(t_G(y)) = (f^0)^{-1}((g^0)^{-1}(t_G(y))) = \bigsqcup_{x \in (g^1)^{-1}(y)} (f^0)^{-1}(t_F(x)).$$

Now combining this with

$$\forall y \in G^1: (g^1 \circ f^1)^{-1}(y) = (f^1)^{-1}((g^1)^{-1}(y)) = \bigsqcup_{x \in (g^1)^{-1}(y)} (f^1)^{-1}(x)$$

and

$$\forall y \in G^1 \forall x \in (g^1)^{-1}(y): (f^1)^{-1}(x) \ni e \longmapsto t_E(e) \in (f^0)^{-1}(t_F(x)) \text{ is bijective,}$$

we conclude that

$$\forall y \in G^1: (g^1 \circ f^1)^{-1}(y) \ni e \longmapsto t_E(e) \in (g^0 \circ f^0)^{-1}(t_G(y)) \text{ is bijective.}$$

Thus, we have arrived at:

Lemma 2.3. *Restricting morphisms of the category POG to the morphisms satisfying the target bijectivity condition yields a subcategory of POG.*

We denote the subcategory of POG obtained in Lemma 2.3 by TBPOG.

Definition 2.4. *A regular homomorphism of graphs $(f^0, f^1): E \rightarrow F$ is a homomorphism of graphs satisfying the following condition*

$$(2.3) \quad f^0(E^0 \setminus \text{reg}(E)) \subseteq F^0 \setminus \text{reg}(F).$$

We denote the category of graphs and proper regular homomorphisms satisfying the target bijectivity condition by CRTBPOG and call it the admissible category of graphs.


2.3. Pushouts of graphs. We refer the reader to [6] for an extensive study of pushouts of directed graphs. In the category of sets and maps, the pushout of $X \xleftarrow{f} Z \xrightarrow{g} Y$ is

$$X \xrightarrow{\iota_X} X \amalg_Z Y \xleftarrow{\iota_Y} Y, \quad X \amalg_Z Y := (X \amalg Y)/R_Z,$$

where R_Z is the minimal equivalence relation generated by $f(z)R_Z g(z)$, $z \in Z$, and ι_X and ι_Y are the obvious maps. In the category OG of graphs and graph homomorphisms, first we will define the pushout graph of any two graph homomorphisms with the same domain, and then prove that it yields a pushout in the just recalled categorical sense.

Let $E \xleftarrow{(f^0, f^1)} G \xrightarrow{(g^0, g^1)} F$ be graph homomorphisms and let $E^i \amalg_{G^i} F^i$ be the corresponding pushout of $E^i \xleftarrow{f^i} G^i \xrightarrow{g^i} F^i$, $i = 0, 1$, in the category of sets. Then we have the following diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & G^1 & \xrightarrow{s_G} & G^0 \\
 & f^1 \swarrow & & & \searrow f^0 \\
 E^1 & & & & F^0 \\
 & \searrow g^1 & & & \swarrow g^0 \\
 & & F^1 & & \\
 & & \nearrow s_E & \nearrow s_F & \\
 & & E^0 & & F^0 \\
 & \nearrow \iota_{E^1} & & \nearrow \iota_{E^0} & \nearrow \iota_{F^0} \\
 & & E^1 \amalg_{G^1} F^1 & \xrightarrow{s_{\amalg}} & E^0 \amalg_{G^0} F^0
 \end{array}$$



Here the left and right square subdiagrams commute by the definition of a pushout, and the top subdiagrams commute by the definition of a graph homomorphism. Moreover, s_{II} and t_{II} are defined by the universal property of the pushout $E^1 \amalg_{G^1} F^1$, which applies due to the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{E^0} \circ s_E \circ f^1 &= \iota_{E^0} \circ f^0 \circ s_G = \iota_{F^0} \circ g^0 \circ s_G = \iota_{F^0} \circ s_F \circ g^1, \\ \iota_{E^0} \circ t_E \circ f^1 &= \iota_{E^0} \circ f^0 \circ t_G = \iota_{F^0} \circ g^0 \circ t_G = \iota_{F^0} \circ t_F \circ g^1, \end{aligned}$$

which in turn follow, respectively, from the aforementioned commutativity in the above diagrams.


Definition 2.5. We call the graph

$$E \amalg_G F := \left(E^0 \amalg_{G^0} F^0, E^1 \amalg_{G^1} F^1, s_{II}, t_{II} \right)$$

the pushout graph of $E \xleftarrow{(f^0, f^1)} G \xrightarrow{(g^0, g^1)} F$.

It is straightforward to show that $E \amalg_G F$ is indeed a pushout in the category OG of graphs and graph homomorphisms.

For the purposes of our study of path algebras, we consider the following pushout in the category of sets and maps



This leads to the following natural question: Under which assumptions, the covariant functor FP from the category OG of graphs and graph homomorphisms to the category of sets and maps commutes with pushouts:

$$FP(E) \amalg_{FP(G)} FP(F) = FP \left(E \amalg_G F \right) ?$$

The answer is:

Lemma 2.6. Let $E \xleftarrow{(f^0, f^1)} G \xrightarrow{(g^0, g^1)} F$ be graph homomorphisms. Then there exists a natural map

$$h: FP(E) \amalg_{FP(G)} FP(F) \longrightarrow FP \left(E \amalg_G F \right).$$

Moreover, if the graph homomorphisms are such that

- (1) both f^0 and g^0 are injective (vertex injectivity),

$$(2) \ t_{\Pi}(x) = s_{\Pi}(y) \Rightarrow (x, y \in \iota_{E^1}(E^1) \text{ or } x, y \in \iota_{F^1}(F^1)) \text{ (one color),}$$

then the natural map h is bijective.

Proof. The natural map $FP(E) \amalg FP(F) \rightarrow FP(E \amalg_G F)$ exists because FP is a functor. This map descends to h by the universal property of pushouts in the category of sets and maps. Since graph homomorphisms preserve the length of paths, we obtain the decomposition

$$FP(E) \amalg_{FP(G)} FP(F) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} FP_n(E) \amalg_{FP_n(G)} FP_n(F).$$

Hence, we can write h on elements as follows:

$$h([p]) := \begin{cases} [p] & \text{for } p \in E^0 \amalg F^0 \cup E^1 \amalg F^1, \\ ([a_1], \dots, [a_n]) & \text{for } p := (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in FP(E) \amalg FP(F), \\ & a_i \in E^1 \amalg F^1, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}. \end{cases}$$

Now, using the two assumptions, we will define the inverse of h . For starters, we put

$$(2.4) \quad h^{-1}([p]) := [p] \quad \text{when } p \in E^0 \amalg F^0 \cup E^1 \amalg F^1.$$

Next, let us take $([a_1], \dots, [a_n]) \in FP(E \amalg_G F)$ with $[a_i] \in E^1 \amalg_{G^1} F^1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Since $t_{\Pi}([a_i]) = s_{\Pi}([a_{i+1}])$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, from the one-color condition we conclude that

$$\forall 1 \leq i \leq n: a_i \in E^1 \quad \text{or} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n: a_i \in F^1.$$

Therefore, $t_{\Pi}([a_i]) = s_{\Pi}([a_{i+1}])$ means $[t_E(a_i)] = [s_E(a_{i+1})]$ or $[t_F(a_i)] = [s_F(a_{i+1})]$. We can apply now the vertex-injectivity condition to infer that $t_E(a_i) = s_E(a_{i+1})$ or $t_F(a_i) = s_F(a_{i+1})$. Consequently, $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in FP(E)$ or $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in FP(F)$.

Furthermore,

$$(2.5) \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n: [a_i] = [b_i] \quad \Rightarrow \quad [(a_1, \dots, a_n)] = [(b_1, \dots, b_n)].$$

Indeed, let $c_i^1, \dots, c_i^m \in G^1$ be sequences such that

$$\forall 1 \leq i \leq n: f^1(c_i^1) = a_i \text{ and } g^1(c_i^1) = a_i^1, \dots, \begin{cases} g^1(c_i^m) = a_i^{m-1} \text{ and } f^1(c_i^m) = b_i & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ f^1(c_i^m) = a_i^{m-1} \text{ and } g^1(c_i^m) = b_i & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

or

$$\forall 1 \leq i \leq n: g^1(c_i^1) = a_i \text{ and } f^1(c_i^1) = a_i^1, \dots, \begin{cases} g^1(c_i^m) = a_i^{m-1} \text{ and } f^1(c_i^m) = b_i & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ f^1(c_i^m) = a_i^{m-1} \text{ and } g^1(c_i^m) = b_i & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Note that, although for each i the length of the sequence c_i^1, \dots, c_i^m might be different, we can always choose the longest such a sequence and extend shorter sequences by the constant extrapolation. Next, we need to prove that $t_G(c_i^j) = s_G(c_{i+1}^j)$. Depending on the parity of j and the above alternative between E and F , we either have

$$[f^1(c_i^j)] = [a_i] \quad \text{and} \quad [f^1(c_{i+1}^j)] = [a_{i+1}]$$

or

$$[g^1(c_i^j)] = [a_i] \quad \text{and} \quad [g^1(c_{i+1}^j)] = [a_{i+1}].$$

In the former case, by the vertex injectivity, we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad t_E(f^1(c_i^j)) = t(a_i) \quad \text{and} \quad s_E(f^1(c_{i+1}^j)) = s(a_{i+1}),$$

where t and s are, respectively, t_E and s_E , or t_F and s_F , depending on whether $a_i \in E^1$ or $a_i \in F^1$. It follows from (2.6) that

$$f^0(t_G(c_i^j)) = t_E(f^1(c_i^j)) = t(a_i) = s(a_{i+1}) = s_E(f^1(c_{i+1}^j)) = f^0(s_G(c_{i+1}^j)),$$

so, from the injectivity of f^0 , we get $t_G(c_i^j) = s_G(c_{i+1}^j)$, as needed. In the latter case, the reasoning is completely analogous but uses the injectivity of g^0 instead of the injectivity of f^0 . Thus we have shown that $(c_1^j, \dots, c_n^j) \in FP(G)$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, is a sequence of paths implementing the desired equivalence relation between (a_1, \dots, a_n) and (b_1, \dots, b_n) .

Finally, it follows from (2.5) that we can define $h^{-1}([a_1], \dots, [a_n]) := [(a_1, \dots, a_n)]$. Combining it with (2.4) gives us a map $FP(E \amalg_G F) \rightarrow FP(E) \amalg FP(F)$, which is, clearly, the inverse of h . \square

Next, consider the contravariant functors $\text{Map}(\cdot, K)$ and $\text{Map}_f(\cdot, K)$, respectively. Here K is a non-empty set with a chosen element $0 \in K$ and Map_f denotes finite-to-one maps. The first functor is a contravariant functor from the category of sets and maps to the category of sets and maps:

$$X \longmapsto \text{Map}(X, K), \quad (X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \longmapsto \left(\text{Map}(Y, K) \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Map}(X, K) \right), \quad f^*(F) := F \circ f.$$

Much in the same way, the second functor is a contravariant functor from the category of sets and finite-to-one maps to the category of sets and maps:

$$X \longmapsto \text{Map}_f(X, K), \quad (X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \longmapsto \left(\text{Map}_f(Y, K) \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Map}_f(X, K) \right), \quad f^*(F) := F \circ f.$$

Here $\text{Map}_f(X, K) := \{F \in \text{Map}(X, K) \mid |F^{-1}(K \setminus \{0\})| < \infty\}$, and

$$|(F \circ f)^{-1}(K \setminus \{0\})| = |f^{-1}(F^{-1}(K \setminus \{0\}))| < \infty$$

because a finite union of finite sets is a finite set.

We have the following lemma whose routine proof we omit.

Lemma 2.7. *Let Y be any set. Then $\text{Map}(\cdot, Y)$ is a contravariant functor from the category of sets and maps to the category of sets and maps transforming pushouts to pullbacks.*

The same result is true for the functor $\text{Map}_f(\cdot, Y)$. However, the category of sets and finite-to-one maps is not closed with respect to pushouts. In this context, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.8. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P & \\ \iota_X \nearrow & & \nwarrow \iota_Y \\ X & & Y \\ f \searrow & & \nearrow g \\ & Z & \end{array}$$

be a pushout diagram in the category of sets and maps. If one of the maps f and g is injective and the other one is finite to one, then the above diagram is a pushout diagram in the category of sets and finite-to-one maps.

Proof. Assume without the loss of generality that f is injective and g is finite to one. Then the canonical maps of their pushout

$$X \xrightarrow{\iota_X} X \amalg_Z Y \xleftarrow{\iota_Y} Y$$

are also finite to one. Indeed, if $\iota_Y(y_1) = \iota_Y(y_2)$, then $y_1 = y_2$ or there exists a finite sequence $(z_1, \dots, z_{2n}) \in Z^{2n}$ such that

$$g(z_1) = y_1 \text{ and } f(z_1) = x_1, \dots, f(z_{2n}) = x_{2n} \text{ and } g(z_{2n}) = y_2.$$

In the latter case, from the injectivity of f , we also conclude that $y_1 = y_2$, so ι_Y is injective. Next, if $\iota_X(x_1) = \iota_X(x_2)$, then $x_1 = x_2$ or there exists a finite sequence $(z_1, \dots, z_{2m}) \in Z^{2m}$ such that

$$f(z_1) = x_1 \text{ and } g(z_1) = y_1, \dots, g(z_{2m}) = y_{2m} \text{ and } f(z_{2m}) = x_2.$$

It follows from the injectivity of f that all y_i are equal to $g(z_1)$, where z_1 is uniquely determined by x_1 , so we can denote all of them y . Consequently, all z_i in such sequence are in $g^{-1}(y)$, which is a finite set by assumption. Hence, as any x_2 such that $\iota_X(x_1) = \iota_X(x_2)$ either equals to x_1 or belongs to the finite set $f(g^{-1}(y))$, the map ι_X is finite to one.

Finally, if $j_X: X \rightarrow Q$ and $j_Y: Y \rightarrow Q$ are finite-to-one maps such that $j_X \circ f = j_Y \circ g$, then the universal-property map $h: P \rightarrow Q$ is also finite to one. Indeed, suppose that the set $h^{-1}(q)$ is infinite for some $q \in Q$. Then, as $P = \iota_X(X) \cup \iota_Y(Y)$, one of the sets $h^{-1}(q) \cap \iota_X(X)$ and $h^{-1}(q) \cap \iota_Y(Y)$ is infinite, which contradicts the assumption that both $j_X = h \circ \iota_X$ and $j_Y = h \circ \iota_Y$ are finite to one. \square

Lemma 2.9. *Let K be a non-empty set with a chosen element $0 \in K$, let f be an injective map, and let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X \amalg_Z Y & \\ \iota_X \nearrow & & \nwarrow \iota_Y \\ X & & Y \\ \swarrow f & & \searrow g \\ & Z & \end{array}$$

be a pushout diagram in the category of sets and finite-to-one maps. Then


$$\text{Map}_f \left(X \amalg_Z Y, K \right) = \text{Map}_f(X, K) \times_{\text{Map}_f(Z, K)} \text{Map}_f(Y, K),$$

and the contravariant functor $\text{Map}_f(\cdot, K)$ transforms the above pushout diagram into the pullback diagram

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Map}_f \left(X \amalg_Z Y, K \right) & \\ \iota_Y^* \swarrow & & \searrow \iota_X^* \\ \text{Map}_f(X, K) & & \text{Map}_f(Y, K) \\ \searrow f^* & & \swarrow g^* \\ & \text{Map}_f(Z, K) & \end{array}$$

in the category of sets and maps.

Lemma 2.10. *Let*




be a one-injective pushout diagram in the category POG of graphs and graph homomorphisms. If (f^0, f^1) and (g^0, g^1) are regular and satisfy the target bijectivity condition, then the same is true for (ι_E^0, ι_E^1) and (ι_F^0, ι_F^1) .

Proof. a □

The following straightforward lemma will be needed in the last section of the paper.

Lemma 2.11. *Let*

(2.8)



be a pushout diagram in the admissible category of graphs. Then

$$s_E^{-1}(w) \cap t_E^{-1}(E^0 \setminus f^0(G^0)) = (\iota_E^1)^{-1}(s_{\amalg}^{-1}(p) \cap t_{\amalg}^{-1}((E \amalg F)^0 \setminus \iota_F^0(F^0)))$$

for any two vertices $w \in E^0$ and $p \in (E \amalg F)^0$ such that $(\iota_E^0)^{-1}(p) = \{w\}$.

Proof. Throughout the proof, let $P := E \amalg F$. First, let $e \in s_E^{-1}(w) \cap t_E^{-1}(E^0 \setminus f^0(G^0))$. Then

$$s_P(\iota_E^1(e)) = \iota_E^0(s_E(e)) = \iota_E^0(w) = p.$$

Since $t_E(e) \notin f^0(G^0)$ and P is given by a pushout construction, we have that

$$t_P(\iota_E^1(e)) = \iota_E^0(t_E(e)) \notin \iota_F^0(F^0).$$

Therefore, $e \in (\iota_E^1)^{-1}(s_P^{-1}(p) \cap t_P^{-1}(P^0 \setminus \iota_F^0(F^0)))$.

To prove the other inclusion, take an edge $e \in E^1$ such that $\iota_E^1(e) \in s_P^{-1}(p) \cap t_P^{-1}(P^0 \setminus \iota_F^0(F^0))$. Since $\iota_E^0(s_E(e)) = s_P(\iota_E^1(e)) = p$ and $(\iota_E^0)^{-1}(p) = \{w\}$, we conclude that $e \in s_E^{-1}(w)$. Finally, since $\iota_E^0(t_E(e)) = t_P(\iota_E^1(e)) \notin \iota_F^0(F^0)$ and P is given by a pushout construction, we obtain that $t_E(e) \notin f^0(G^0)$. □

2.4. Unions of graphs. Let E and F be directed graphs. If there is an injective graph homomorphism $(f^0, f^1) : E \hookrightarrow F$, then we say that E is a *subgraph* of F , which we write as $E \subseteq F$.

Let F and G be graphs. Assume that s_F and t_F agree, respectively, with s_G and t_G on $F^1 \cap G^1$. Then we can define the *intersection graph*

$$F \cap G := (F^0 \cap G^0, F^1 \cap G^1, s_\cap, t_\cap),$$

where $s_\cap, t_\cap : F^1 \cap G^1 \rightarrow F^0 \cap G^0$,

$$\forall e \in F^1 \cap G^1 : \quad s_\cap(e) = s_G(e) = s_F(e), \quad t_\cap(e) = t_G(e) = t_F(e).$$

Next, assume that s_F and t_F agree, respectively, with s_G and t_G on $F^1 \cap G^1$. Then we can define the *union graph*

$$F \cup G := (F^0 \cup G^0, F^1 \cup G^1, s_\cup, t_\cup),$$

where $s_\cup, t_\cup : F^1 \cup G^1 \rightarrow F^0 \cup G^0$,

$$\forall e \in F^1 \cup G^1 : \quad s_\cup(e) := \begin{cases} s_F(e) & \text{for } e \in F^1, \\ s_G(e) & \text{for } e \in G^1, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad t_\cup(e) := \begin{cases} t_F(e) & \text{for } e \in F^1, \\ t_G(e) & \text{for } e \in G^1. \end{cases}$$

The intersection graph $F \cap G$ is a subgraph of both F and G , and both F and G are subgraphs of the union graph $F \cup G$. The intersection graph $F \cap G$ exists if and only if the union graph $F \cup G$ exists.

Now we introduce the concept of hereditary and saturated subsets of the set of vertices in a graph. Let E be a graph. A subset $H \subseteq E^0$ is called *hereditary* if any edge starting at $v \in H$ ends at $w \in H$. A vertex $v \in H$ is called a *prodigal vertex* for H in E if it emits an edge ending beyond H . Note that in the above definition one can replace the word ‘‘edge’’ by the phrase ‘‘finite path’’. Indeed, if H is path-hereditary, then it is, in particular, edge-hereditary. Also, if H is not path hereditary, then there exists a path starting in H and ending outside of H . Such a path must contain an edge starting at H and ending outside of H , so it is also not edge-hereditary. This proves the equivalence of these two definitions. Next, let $H \subseteq E^0$ and set $F^0 := E^0 \setminus H$ and $F^1 := E^1 \setminus t_E^{-1}(H)$. Then the formulas $s_F(e) := s(e)$, $t_F(e) := t(e)$, for any $e \in F^1$, define a subgraph of E if and only if H is hereditary.

A subset $H \subseteq E^0$ is called *saturated* if there does not exist a regular vertex $v \in E^0 \setminus H$ such that $t_E(s_E^{-1}(v)) \subseteq H$. Such a vertex is called a *desaturating vertex* for H in E . The intersection of all saturated subsets of E^0 containing H is called the *saturation* of H and is denoted by \overline{H} . The saturation of any subset $H \subseteq E^0$ is the smallest saturated subset containing H . In particular, H is saturated if and only if it is equal to its saturation: $H = \overline{H}$.

Let $H \subseteq E^0$. We say that $v \in E^0$ is a *breaking vertex* for H if

$$v \in E^0 \setminus H, \quad |s_E^{-1}(v)| = \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < |s_E^{-1}(v) \cap t_E^{-1}(E^0 \setminus H)| < \infty.$$

We define the set

$$B_H := \{v \in E^0 \setminus H \mid v \text{ is a breaking vertex for } H\}.$$

A subset H of E^0 is called *unbroken* if and only if $B_H = \emptyset$. Note that a breaking vertex of H becomes regular in the subgraph obtained by removing all vertices in H and all edges ending in H .

Now we are ready to bundle up the three properties of being hereditary, saturated and unbroken to restrict subgraphs to these that played a crucial role in [9].

Definition 2.12. An injective graph homomorphism $(f^0, f^1) : E \hookrightarrow F$ is called admissible if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (A1) $F^0 \setminus f^0(E^0)$ is saturated,
- (A2) $t_F^{-1}(f^0(E^0)) \subseteq f^1(F^1)$.

We call an admissible inclusion strongly admissible iff, in addition, the subset $F^0 \setminus f^0(E^0)$ is unbroken. Furthermore, we call intersecting graphs F and G (strongly) admissible if $F \cap G$ is a (strongly) admissible subgraph in both F and G . Much in the same way, we call taking the union of graphs F and G (strongly) admissible if both F and G are (strongly) admissible subgraphs of $F \cup G$.

The above definition already appeared in [5, Definition 3.1] (see also [9, Definition 2.1]), where it is also assumed that $f^1(E^1) \subseteq t_F^{-1}(f^0(E^0))$ and $F^0 \setminus f^0(E^0)$ is hereditary and unbroken. However, the first condition is always true for any graph homomorphism. Furthermore, the following proposition shows that hereditariness follows from the condition (A2).

Proposition 2.13. Let $(f^0, f^1) : E \rightarrow F$ be a graph homomorphism such that $t_F^{-1}(f^0(E^0)) \subseteq f^1(E^1)$. Then $F^0 \setminus f^0(E^0)$ is hereditary.

Proof. Suppose that $F^0 \setminus f^0(E^0)$ is not hereditary, i.e. for some $x \in F^1$ such that $s_F(x) \in F^0 \setminus f^0(E^0)$ we have that $t_F(x) \in f^0(E^0)$. Since $t_F^{-1}(f^0(E^0)) \subseteq f^1(E^1)$, there is an edge $e \in F^1$ such that $f^1(e) = x$. Then $s_E(x) = s_E(f^1(e)) = f^0(s_F(e))$, which gives rise to a contradiction. \square

Next, we turn to unbroken subsets. Our next result shows that the assumption of strong admissibility of taking the union in [9, Theorem 3.1] is superfluous.

Proposition 2.14. Let F and G be arbitrary graphs whose source and target maps agree, respectively, on $F^1 \cap G^1$. Then, if intersecting F and G is strongly admissible so is taking the union of F and G .

Proof. The proof that admissibility of the union follows from the admissibility of the intersection is in [9, Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3]. Assume that intersecting F and G is strongly admissible. Then, to prove that also taking the union of F and G is strongly admissible, it suffices to show that both $(F^0 \cup G^0) \setminus F^0$ and $(F^0 \cup G^0) \setminus G^0$ are unbroken in $F \cup G$. To this end, suppose that $v \in F^0$ is a breaking vertex for $(F^0 \cup G^0) \setminus F^0$ in $F \cup G$. Then v emits infinitely many edges ending in $G^0 \setminus F^0$, so $v \in F^0 \cap G^0$. Also, all these edges are from G^1 . Furthermore, v emits at least one and at most finitely many edges ending in F^0 . If all of them end in $F^0 \setminus G^0$, then they are all from F^1 , and they render $F^0 \setminus G^0$ not saturated in F , which is not allowed by the admissibility of $(F \cap G) \subseteq F$. Therefore, v emits at least one edge e ending in $F^0 \cap G^0$. Now, from the admissibility of $(F \cap G) \subseteq F$ and $(F \cap G) \subseteq G$, we obtain

$$e \in t_U^{-1}(F^0 \cap G^0) = t_F^{-1}(F^0 \cap G^0) \cup t_G^{-1}(F^0 \cap G^0) = F^1 \cap G^1,$$

so $e \in G^1$. Also, since v emits only finitely many edges into F^0 , in particular it emits only finitely many edges from G^1 ending in $F^0 \cap G^0$. All this makes v a breaking vertex for $G^0 \setminus F^0$ in G , which contradicts the strong admissibility of $(F \cap G) \subseteq G$. Hence, $(F^0 \cup G^0) \setminus F^0$ is unbroken in $F \cup G$. As before, the symmetric argument shows that also $(F^0 \cup G^0) \setminus G^0$ is unbroken in $F \cup G$. \square

Finally, let us show that admissible inclusions are special cases of morphisms in the category CRTBPOG. This is why we say that CRTBPOG is the admissible category of graphs.

Proposition 2.15. *Let $(f^0, f^1) : E \hookrightarrow F$ be an injective graph homomorphism. Then (f^0, f^1) is admissible if and only if it is a morphism in the category CRTBPOG.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Since (f^0, f^1) is injective, it is clearly proper. Next, we check regularity, i.e. whether

$$f^0(E^0 \setminus \text{reg}(E)) \subseteq F^0 \setminus \text{reg}(F).$$

Since infinite emitters in the subgraph remain infinite emitters in the graph, it suffices to prove the result for sinks. Assume that $v \in E^0$ is a sink. Then $f^0(v) \in F^0 \setminus \text{reg}(F)$. Indeed, suppose that $f^0(v)$ is regular in F . From (A2), we would have that $t_F(s_F^{-1}(f^0(v))) \subseteq F^0 \setminus f^0(E^0)$. However, this contradicts the fact that $F^0 \setminus f^0(E^0)$ is saturated. Finally, we have to show that the target bijectivity condition is satisfied, namely that for all $x \in F^1$, the map

$$(f^1)^{-1}(x) \ni e \longmapsto t_E(e) \in (f^0)^{-1}(t_F(x))$$

is bijective. Due to the injectivity of (f^0, f^1) we have that, for any $x \in F^1$, the sets $(f^1)^{-1}(x)$ and $(f^0)^{-1}(t_F(x))$ are either empty or consist of a single element. To prove the claim, it suffices to exclude the possibility in which one of these sets is empty and the other is not. First, if $(f^1)^{-1}(x) = \{e\}$, then $t_E(e) \in (f^0)^{-1}(t_F(x))$. Next, since $t_F^{-1}(f^0(E^0)) \subseteq f^1(E^1)$, if $(f^0)^{-1}(t_F(x)) = \{v\}$, then $(f^1)^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that $(f^0, f^1) : E \hookrightarrow F$ is a morphism in CRTBPOG. First, let us prove that $t_F^{-1}(f^0(E^0)) \subseteq f^1(E^1)$. Let $x \in F^1$ be such that $t_F(x) \in f^0(E^0)$. This implies that there is $v \in E^0$ such that $f^0(v) = t_F(x)$. In turn, from the target bijectivity condition, we infer that there exists $e \in (f^1)^{-1}(x)$ such that $t_E(e) = v$. It is left to prove that $F^0 \setminus f^0(E^0)$ is saturated. To this end, suppose that there is a regular vertex $w \in f^0(E^0)$ such that $t_F(s_F^{-1}(w)) \subseteq F^0 \setminus f^0(E^0)$. From (A2), we obtain that $s_F^{-1}(w) \cap f^1(E^1) = \emptyset$. If $v \in E^0$ is such that $f^0(v) = w$, it follows that $s_E^{-1}(v) = \emptyset$, which contradicts the regularity of (f^0, f^1) . \square

Therefore, whenever intersecting graphs F and G is admissible, then taking their union gives rise to a pushout in the admissible category of graphs.

3. GRAPH ALGEBRAS AS CONTRAVARIANT FUNCTORS

3.1. Path algebras. Let k be a field, E be any non-empty graph, and $FP(E)$ be the set of all its finite paths. Consider the vector space

$$kE := \{f \in \text{Map}(FP(E), k) \mid f(p) \neq 0 \text{ for finitely many } p \in FP(E)\},$$

where $\text{Map}(FP(E), k)$ is the vector space of all functions from $FP(E)$ to k in which the addition and scalar multiplication are pointwise. Then the set of functions $\{\chi_p\}_{p \in FP(E)}$ given by

$$\chi_p(q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } p = q, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is a linear basis of kE . Next, we will use $\{\chi_p\}_{p \in FP(E)}$ to define a bilinear map:

$$m : kE \times kE \longrightarrow kE, \quad m(\chi_p, \chi_q) := \begin{cases} \chi_{pq} & \text{if } t(p) = s(q), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The bilinear map $m : kE \times kE \rightarrow kE$ defines an algebra structure on kE and the thus constructed algebra is called the *path algebra* of E . If $E = \emptyset$, then $kE := 0$. The path algebra kE is \mathbb{N} -graded by the length of paths.

Let KA denote the category of algebras over k together with algebra homomorphisms and let UKA denote the category of unital algebras over k together with unital algebra homomorphisms.

Proposition 3.1. *The assignment*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Obj}(\text{POG}) \ni E &\xrightarrow{\text{Map}_f} kE \in \text{Obj}(KA), \\ \text{Mor}(\text{POG}) \ni ((f^0, f^1): E \rightarrow F) &\xrightarrow{\text{Map}_f} (f^*: kF \rightarrow kE) \in \text{Mor}(KA), \\ kF \ni \chi_p &\xrightarrow{f^*} \sum_{q \in f^{-1}(p)} \chi_q \in kE, \end{aligned}$$

where $f: FP(E) \rightarrow FP(F)$ is the map induced by (f^0, f^1) , defines a contravariant functor. Furthermore, the same assignment restricted to the subcategory given by graphs with finitely many vertices yields a contravariant functor to the category UKA .

Proof. If $f: FP(E) \rightarrow FP(F)$ is finite-to-one, then the sum in (3.3) is well defined. Furthermore, for any $r \in FP(E)$ and $p \in FP(F)$, we have:

$$f^*(\chi_p)(r) := \left(\sum_{q \in f^{-1}(p)} \chi_q \right)(r) = \left(\sum_{q \in f^{-1}(p)} \delta_{q,r} \right) = \delta_{p,f(r)} = \chi_p(f(r)) = (\chi_p \circ f)(r).$$

Hence, f^* is the pullback linear map

$$f^*: \text{Map}_f(FP(F), k) \ni \alpha \mapsto \alpha \circ f \in \text{Map}_f(FP(E), k).$$

The contravariance is obvious because $(f \circ g)^* = g^* \circ f^*$.

To check that it is an algebra homomorphism, using the fact that f preserves the length of paths, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(\chi_p \chi_q) &= \delta_{t_F(p), s_F(q)} f^*(\chi_{pq}) = \delta_{t_F(p), s_F(q)} \sum_{r \in f^{-1}(pq)} \chi_r \\ &= \sum_{\substack{r_1 \in f^{-1}(p) \\ r_2 \in f^{-1}(q)}} \delta_{t_E(r_1), s_E(r_2)} \chi_{r_1 r_2} = \sum_{r_1 \in f^{-1}(p)} \chi_{r_1} \sum_{r_2 \in f^{-1}(q)} \chi_{r_2} \\ &= f^*(\chi_p) f^*(\chi_q). \end{aligned}$$

Here, in the third step, we used the implication

$$t_F(p) \neq s_F(q) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \forall r_1 \in f^{-1}(p), r_2 \in f^{-1}(q): t_E(r_1) \neq s_E(r_2).$$

Finally, the unitality of f^* for unital path algebras follows from the fact that $f^{-1}(F^0) = E^0$:

$$f^*(1) = f^* \left(\sum_{v \in F^0} \chi_v \right) = \sum_{w \in f^{-1}(F^0)} \chi_w = \sum_{w \in E^0} \chi_w = 1.$$

□

3.2. Leavitt path algebras. Let $E = (E^0, E^1, s_E, t_E)$ be a graph. The *extended graph* $\bar{E} := (\bar{E}^0, \bar{E}^1, s_{\bar{E}}, t_{\bar{E}})$ of the graph E is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{E}^0 &:= E^0, & \bar{E}^1 &:= E^1 \sqcup (E^1)^*, & (E^1)^* &:= \{e^* \mid e \in E^1\}, \\ \forall e \in E^1 &: & s_{\bar{E}}(e) &:= s_E(e), & t_{\bar{E}}(e) &:= t_E(e), \\ \forall e^* \in (E^1)^* &: & s_{\bar{E}}(e^*) &:= t_E(e), & t_{\bar{E}}(e^*) &:= s_E(e). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that every graph homomorphism $(f^0, f^1): E \rightarrow F$ can be extended to a graph homomorphism $(\bar{f}^0, \bar{f}^1): \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{F}$ as follows

$$\bar{f}^0(v) := f^0(v), \quad v \in E^0 = \bar{E}^0, \quad \bar{f}^1(e) := f^1(e), \quad e \in E^1, \quad \bar{f}^1(e^*) := f^1(e)^*, \quad e^* \in (E^1)^*.$$

We state the following straightforward result without a proof.

Lemma 3.2. *The assignment*

$$E \mapsto \bar{E}, \quad (f^0, f^1) \mapsto (\bar{f}^0, \bar{f}^1),$$

defines an endofunctor of the category OG of graphs and graph homomorphisms. Furthermore, it restricts to an endofunctor of the category POG of graphs and proper graph homomorphisms.

The *Leavitt path algebra* $L_k(E)$ of a graph E is the quotient of the path algebra $k\bar{E}$ of the extended graph \bar{E} by the ideal generated by the union of the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(CK1)} & \{ \chi_{e^*} \chi_f - \delta_{e,f} \chi_{t(e)} \mid e, f \in E^1 \}, \\ \text{(CK2)} & \left\{ \chi_v - \sum_{e \in s_E^{-1}(v)} \chi_e \chi_{e^*} \mid v \in \text{reg}(E) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\text{reg}(E)$ is the set of regular vertices of E . The elements of $L_k(E)$ will be denoted by $[\chi_p]$ for any $p \in FP(\bar{E})$. The algebra $L_k(E)$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded by lengths of paths, where edges from $(E^1)^*$ have length -1 . Every \mathbb{Z} -graded two-sided ideal I of $L_k(E)$ is generated by the set

$$(3.2) \quad \{ [\chi_v] \mid v \in H \} \cup \left\{ [\chi_w] - \sum_{e \in s_E^{-1}(w) \cap t_E^{-1}(E^0 \setminus H)} [\chi_e] [\chi_{e^*}] \mid w \in B_H \right\}$$

for a hereditary saturated subset H of E^0 defined as follows

$$(3.3) \quad H := \{ v \in E^0 \mid [\chi_v] \in I \}$$

(see, e.g., [1, Theorem 2.4.8]).

Let KA denote the category of algebras over k together with algebra homomorphisms and UKA denote its subcategory of unital algebras and unital homomorphisms. The next theorem exploits the contravariant functoriality of the Leavitt path algebra construction when restricted to the admissible category of graphs CRTBPOG.

Theorem 3.3. *The assignment*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Obj}(\text{CRTBPOG}) \ni E &\longmapsto L_k(E) \in \text{Obj}(KA), \\ \text{Mor}(\text{CRTBPOG}) \ni ((f^0, f^1): E \rightarrow F) &\longmapsto (\bar{f}^*: L_k(F) \rightarrow L_k(E)) \in \text{Mor}(KA), \\ L_k(F) \ni [\chi_p] &\longmapsto \sum_{q \in \bar{f}^{-1}(p)} [\chi_q] \in L_k(E), \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{f}: FP(\bar{E}) \rightarrow FP(\bar{F})$ is the map induced by (f^0, f^1) ,

defines a contravariant functor. Furthermore, the same assignment restricted to the subcategory given by graphs with finitely many vertices yields a contravariant functor to the category UKA .

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.1 combined with Lemma 3.2 that we have a contravariant functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Obj}(\text{POG}) \ni E &\longmapsto k\bar{E} \in \text{Obj}(KA), \\ \text{Mor}(\text{POG}) \ni ((f^0, f^1): E \rightarrow F) &\longmapsto (\bar{f}^*: k\bar{F} \rightarrow k\bar{E}) \in \text{Mor}(KA), \\ k\bar{F} \ni \chi_p &\longmapsto \sum_{q \in \bar{f}^{-1}(p)} \chi_q \in k\bar{E}. \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof of the first statement, it suffices to show that \bar{f}^* descends to a homomorphism $L_k(F) \rightarrow L_k(E)$ when we restrict to the admissible category of graphs without losing the functoriality of the above assignment. First, we have to demonstrate that

$$\forall f \in \text{Mor}(\text{CRTBPOG}) \forall x, y \in F^1: [\bar{f}^*(\chi_{x^*})][\bar{f}^*(\chi_y)] = \delta_{x,y} [\bar{f}^*(\chi_{t_F(x)})],$$

which is equivalent to

$$(3.4) \quad \forall f \in \text{Mor}(\text{CRTBPOG}) \forall x, y \in F^1: \sum_{e_x \in f^{-1}(x), e_y \in f^{-1}(y)} [\chi_{e_x^*}][\chi_{e_y}] = \delta_{x,y} \sum_{v \in f^{-1}(t_F(x))} [\chi_v].$$

For $x \neq y$, we have $f^{-1}(x) \cap f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset$, so (3.4) clearly holds. For $x = y$, using the target bijectivity condition (2.2), we compute:

$$\sum_{e_1, e_2 \in f^{-1}(x)} [\chi_{e_1^*}][\chi_{e_2}] = \sum_{e \in f^{-1}(x)} [\chi_{e^*}][\chi_e] = \sum_{e \in f^{-1}(x)} [\chi_{t_E(e)}] = \sum_{v \in f^{-1}(t_F(x))} [\chi_v].$$

Next, we show that

$$(3.5) \quad \forall w \in \text{reg}(F): \sum_{x \in s_F^{-1}(w)} \sum_{e_1, e_2 \in (f^1)^{-1}(x)} [\chi_{e_1}][\chi_{e_2^*}] = \sum_{v \in (f^0)^{-1}(w)} [\chi_v].$$

For starters, note that (2.3) is equivalent to

$$(f^0)^{-1}(\text{reg}(F)) \subseteq \text{reg}(E),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in (f^0)^{-1}(w)} [\chi_v] &= \sum_{v \in (f^0)^{-1}(w)} \sum_{e \in s_E^{-1}(v)} [\chi_e][\chi_{e^*}] = \sum_{e \in (f^0 \circ s_E)^{-1}(w)} [\chi_e][\chi_{e^*}] \\ &= \sum_{e \in (s_F \circ f^1)^{-1}(w)} [\chi_e][\chi_{e^*}] = \sum_{x \in s_F^{-1}(w)} \sum_{e \in (f^1)^{-1}(x)} [\chi_e][\chi_{e^*}]. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using the target bijectivity condition (2.2), now we compute the left-hand side of (3.5):

$$\sum_{x \in s_F^{-1}(w)} \sum_{e_1, e_2 \in (f^1)^{-1}(x)} [\chi_{e_1}][\chi_{e_2^*}] = \sum_{x \in s_F^{-1}(w)} \sum_{e \in (f^1)^{-1}(x)} [\chi_e][\chi_{e^*}].$$

Summarizing, we have proved that \bar{f}^* descends to an algebra homomorphism $L_k(F) \rightarrow L_k(E)$. The functoriality of the assignment $\text{Mor}(\text{CRTBPOG}) \ni (f^0, f^1) \mapsto \bar{f}^* \in \text{Mor}(KA)$ is immediate.

Finally, the unitality of \bar{f}^* for graphs with finitely many vertices follows from the fact that $\bar{f}^{-1}(\bar{F}^0) = f^{-1}(F^0) = E^0 = \bar{E}^0$:

$$\bar{f}^*(1) = \sum_{v \in F^0} [\bar{f}^*(\chi_v)] = \sum_{w \in f^{-1}(F^0)} [\chi_w] = \sum_{w \in E^0} [\chi_w] = 1.$$

□

4. PULLBACKS OF GRAPH ALGEBRAS FROM PUSHOUTS OF GRAPHS

In this section, we prove our main theorems stating under which conditions the two contravariant functors given by Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 turn pushouts of directed graphs into pullbacks of algebras. We begin with a pushout-to-pullback theorem for path algebras.

Theorem 4.1. *Let*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & E \amalg_G F & \\ (\iota_E^0, \iota_E^1) \nearrow & & \nwarrow (\iota_F^0, \iota_F^1) \\ E & & F \\ (f^0, f^1) \searrow & & \nearrow (g^0, g^1) \\ & G & \end{array}$$

be a pushout diagram in the category of graphs and proper graph homomorphisms such that

- (1) *both f^0 and g^0 are injective (vertex injectivity),*
- (2) *$t_{\amalg}(x) = s_{\amalg}(y) \Rightarrow (x, y \in \iota_{E^1}(E^1) \text{ or } x, y \in \iota_{F^1}(F^1))$ (one color),*
- (3) *f^1 or g^1 is injective (one-sided injectivity).*

Then, for any field k , we have the equality of path algebras

$$k \left(E \amalg_G F \right) = kE \times_{kG} kF,$$

and the contravariant functor $\text{Map}_f(\cdot, k)$ transforms the above pushout diagram to the following pullback diagram in the category of algebras over k :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & kE \times_{kG} kF & \\ \iota_E^* \swarrow & & \searrow \iota_F^* \\ kE & & kF \\ f^* \searrow & & \swarrow g^* \\ & kG & \end{array}$$

Here f and g are the maps induced by the graph homomorphisms $E \xleftarrow{(f^0, f^1)} G \xrightarrow{(g^0, g^1)} F$, respectively. Finally, if E^0 and F^0 are finite, then all algebras in the above diagram and homomorphisms between them are unital, and the diagram is a pullback diagram in the category of unital algebras.

Proof. To begin with, Lemma 2.8 guarantees that (4.2) is a pushout diagram in the category of graphs and proper graph homomorphisms for any proper graph homomorphisms $E \xleftarrow{(f^0, f^1)} G \xrightarrow{(g^0, g^1)} F$ satisfying the assumptions (1) through (3). Next, as proper graph homomorphisms induce finite-to-one maps between the path spaces, and injective graph homomorphisms induce injective maps between the path spaces, Lemma 2.6 yields the following pushout diagram in the category of sets and finite-to-one

maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & FP(E) \amalg_{FP(G)} FP(F) & \\
 \iota_E \nearrow & & \nwarrow \iota_F \\
 FP(E) & & FP(F) \\
 \leftarrow f & & \rightarrow g \\
 & FP(G) &
 \end{array}$$

Now, Proposition 3.1 turns this diagram into the commutative diagram in the category of algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & k \left(E \amalg_G F \right) & \\
 \iota_E^* \nearrow & & \nwarrow \iota_F^* \\
 kE & & kF \\
 \leftarrow f^* & & \rightarrow g^* \\
 & kG &
 \end{array}$$

Furthermore, setting $K = k$ in Lemma 2.9, we conclude that the above diagram is a pullback diagram in the category of sets and maps. We can combine these two facts to conclude that the above diagram is a pullback diagram in the category of algebras.

To prove the last part of the theorem, assume that both E^0 and F^0 are finite. As graph homomorphisms are assumed to be proper, not only the finiteness of $E^0 \amalg_{G^0} F^0$, but also the finiteness of G^0 follow from the finiteness of E^0 and F^0 , so all algebras are unital, as claimed. Next, the unitality of all homomorphisms follows from Proposition 3.1. Finally, under these circumstances, the maps witnessing the universality in the category of unital algebras are evidently unital, so the above diagram is a pullback diagram in the category of unital algebras. \square

Before we consider our pushout-to-pullback theorem for Leavitt path algebras, we need some lemmas. To simplify notation, in what follows, we write f^* instead of \bar{f}^* for the induced homomorphism defined in Theorem 3.3.

Lemma 4.2. *Let G and E be arbitrary graphs, let $(f^0, f^1) : G \rightarrow E$ be a morphism in the admissible category of graphs, and let $f^* : L_k(E) \rightarrow L_k(G)$ be the induced homomorphism as in Theorem 3.3. Then*

$$\forall v \in E^0 : \quad [\chi_v] \in \ker f^* \quad \iff \quad v \in E^0 \setminus f^0(G^0).$$

Proof. The claim of the proposition is equivalent to the following statement

$$\forall v \in E^0 : \quad f^*([\chi_v]) = 0 \quad \iff \quad (f^0)^{-1}(v) = \emptyset.$$

The latter follows from the fact that the elements $[\chi_w]$, $w \in (f^0)^{-1}(v)$, would be non-zero and linearly independent (note that we use the convention that the sum over the empty set equals 0). \square

Since $\ker f$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded ideal, combining the previous results with (3.2), we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $(f^0, f^1) : G \rightarrow E$ be a morphism in the admissible category of graphs and let $f^* : L_k(E) \rightarrow L_k(G)$ be the induced \mathbb{Z} -graded homomorphism. Then*

$$\ker f^* = \left\langle \{[\chi_v] \mid v \in G^0 \setminus f^0(G^0)\} \cup \left\{ [\chi_w] - \sum_{e \in s_E^{-1}(w) \cap t_E^{-1}(E^0 \setminus f(F^0))} [\chi_e][\chi_{e^*}] \mid w \in B_{E^0 \setminus f(G^0)} \right\} \right\rangle.$$

Lemma 4.4. *Let $(\iota_E^0, \iota_E^1) : E \rightarrow P$ and $(\iota_F^0, \iota_F^1) : F \rightarrow P$ be morphisms in the admissible category of graphs and let $\iota_E^* : L_k(P) \rightarrow L_k(E)$ and $\iota_F^* : L_k(P) \rightarrow L_k(F)$ be the induced \mathbb{Z} -graded homomorphisms. Then*

$$\ker \iota_E^* \cap \ker \iota_F^* = \{0\} \iff P^0 = \iota_E^0(E^0) \cup \iota_F^0(F^0).$$

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Since $\ker \iota_E^* \cap \ker \iota_F^*$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded ideal, we know that it is of the form (3.2), where

$$H = \{v \in P^0 \mid [\chi_v] \in \ker \iota_E^* \cap \ker \iota_F^*\}.$$

Suppose that $[\chi_v] \in \ker \iota_E^* \cap \ker \iota_F^*$. By the above considerations and Lemma 4.2,

$$v \in P^0 \setminus (\iota_E^0(E^0) \cup \iota_F^0(F^0)).$$

This leads to a contradiction since $P^0 = \iota_E^0(E^0) \cup \iota_F^0(F^0)$.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose that there is a $v \in P^0$ such that $v \notin \iota_E^0(E^0) \cup \iota_F^0(F^0)$. By Lemma 4.2, $[\chi_v] \in \ker \iota_E^* \cap \ker \iota_F^*$. Since we assumed that $\ker \iota_E^* \cap \ker \iota_F^* = \{0\}$ and $[\chi_v] \neq 0$ by definition, we have a contradiction. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let*

(4.2)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & E \amalg F & \\ & \downarrow G & \\ (\iota_E^0, \iota_E^1) \nearrow & & \nwarrow (\iota_F^0, \iota_F^1) \\ E & & F \\ (f^0, f^1) \searrow & & \swarrow (g^0, g^1) \\ & G & \end{array}$$

be a pushout diagram in the admissible category of graphs satisfying

(P1) (f^0, f^1) is injective,

(P2) g^0 restricted to $(f^0)^{-1}(B_{E^0 \setminus f^0(G^0)})$ is injective and $g^0((f^0)^{-1}(B_{E^0 \setminus f^0(G^0)})) \subseteq B_{E^0 \setminus g^0(G^0)}$.

Then, for any field k , the commutative diagram of the induced homomorphisms

(4.3)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & L_k(E \amalg F) & \\ & \downarrow G & \\ \iota_E^* \nearrow & & \nwarrow \iota_F^* \\ L_k(E) & & L_k(F) \\ f^* \searrow & & \swarrow g^* \\ & L_k(G) & \end{array}$$

is a pullback diagram in the category of algebras over k .

Proof. Throughout the proof, let $P := E \amalg_G F$. To show that (4.3) is a pullback, by [12, Proposition 3.1] (which is a C*-algebraic incarnation of a more general result, see, e.g. [8, Lemma 4.1]), we have to prove that

- (1) $\ker \iota_E^* \cap \ker \iota_F^* = \{0\}$,
- (2) $(g^*)^{-1}(f^*(L_k(E))) = \iota_F^*(L_k(P))$,
- (3) $\ker f^* = \iota_E^*(\ker \iota_F^*)$.

Since (4.2) is a pushout, it follows that

$$P^0 = \iota_E^0(E^0) \cup \iota_F^0(F^0).$$

Therefore, the condition (1) follows from Lemma 4.4.

Note that (P1) implies injectivity of (ι_F^0, ι_F^1) . Hence, we obtain that both f^* and ι_F^* are surjective, which proves condition (2).

Since the diagram (4.3) is commutative, we only need to prove that $\ker f^* \subseteq \iota_E^*(\ker \iota_F^*)$. From Corollary 4.3, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \ker f^* &= \left\langle \{[\chi_v] \mid v \in E^0 \setminus f^0(G^0)\} \cup \left\{ [\chi_w] - \sum_{e \in s_E^{-1}(w) \cap t_E^{-1}(E^0 \setminus f(G^0))} [\chi_e][\chi_{e^*}] \mid w \in B_{E^0 \setminus f^0(G^0)} \right\} \right\rangle, \\ \ker \iota_F^* &= \left\langle \{[\chi_q] \mid q \in P^0 \setminus \iota_F^0(F^0)\} \cup \left\{ [\chi_p] - \sum_{a \in s_P^{-1}(p) \cap t_P^{-1}(P^0 \setminus \iota_F^0(F^0))} [\chi_a][\chi_{a^*}] \mid p \in B_{P^0 \setminus \iota_F^0(F^0)} \right\} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since (4.2) is a pushout, $\iota_E^0(E^0 \setminus f^0(G^0)) \subseteq P^0 \setminus \iota_F^0(F^0)$ and ι_E^0 is injective when restricted to $E^0 \setminus f^0(G^0)$. Therefore, there exists a unique vertex $q \in P^0 \setminus \iota_F^0(F^0)$ such that $(\iota_E^0)^{-1}(q) = \{v\}$. In turn, this implies that $[\chi_v] = \iota_E^*([\chi_q])$, where $[\chi_q] \in \ker \iota_F^*$ by Lemma 4.2. Furthermore, observe that the condition (P2) is equivalent to the following: ι_E^0 restricted to $B_{E^0 \setminus f^0(G^0)}$ is injective and $\iota_E^0(B_{E^0 \setminus f^0(G^0)}) \subseteq B_{E^0 \setminus \iota_F^0(F^0)}$. Hence, for every vertex $w \in B_{E^0 \setminus f^0(G^0)}$ there exists a unique vertex $p \in B_{P^0 \setminus \iota_F^0(F^0)}$ such that $\iota_E^*([\chi_p]) = [\chi_w]$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_E^* \left([\chi_p] - \sum_{a \in s_P^{-1}(p) \cap t_P^{-1}(P^0 \setminus \iota_F^0(F^0))} [\chi_a][\chi_{a^*}] \right) &= [\chi_w] - \sum_{e \in (t_E^1)^{-1}(s_P^{-1}(p) \cap t_P^{-1}(P^0 \setminus \iota_F^0(F^0)))} [\chi_e][\chi_{e^*}] \\ &= [\chi_w] - \sum_{e \in s_E^{-1}(w) \cap t_E^{-1}(E^0 \setminus f(G^0))} [\chi_e][\chi_{e^*}]. \end{aligned}$$

Here the last equality follows from Lemma 2.11. This concludes the proof. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Alexander Frei for numerous conversations about graph C*-algebras and Cuntz–Pimsner algebras. This work is part of the project "Applications of graph algebras and higher-rank graph algebras in noncommutative geometry" partially supported by NCN grant UMO-2021/41/B/ST1/03387.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Abrams, P. Ara, M. Siles Molina. Leavitt path algebras. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2191. *Springer, London*, 2017. xiii+287 pp.
- [2] P. Ara, M. Moreno, E. Pardo. Nonstable K -theory for graph algebras. *Algebr. Represent. Theory* 10 (2007), no. 2, 157–178.
- [3] I. Assem, D. Simson, A. Skowroński. Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras. Vol. 1. Techniques of representation theory. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 65. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, 2006. x+458 pp.
- [4] S. Brooker, J. Spielberg. Relative graphs and pullbacks of relative Toeplitz graph algebras. arXiv:2207.10829.
- [5] A. Chirvasitu, P. M. Hajac, M. Tobolski. Non-surjective pullbacks of graph C^* -algebras from non-injective pushouts of graphs. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 53 (2021), no. 1, 1–15.
- [6] H. Ehrig, H-J. Kreowski. Pushout-properties: an analysis of gluing constructions for graphs. *Math. Nachr.* 91 (1979), 135–149.
- [7] K. R. Goodearl. Leavitt path algebras and direct limits. *Rings, modules and representations*, 165–187, Contemp. Math., 480, *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI*, 2009.
- [8] P. M. Hajac, A. Kaygun, M. Tobolski. A graded pullback structure of Leavitt path algebras of trimmable graphs. *Quantum dynamics—dedicated to Professor Paul Baum*, 47–52, Banach Center Publ., 120, *Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math.*, Warsaw, 2020.
- [9] P. M. Hajac, S. Reznikoff, M. Tobolski. Pullbacks of graph C^* -algebras from admissible pushouts of graphs. *Quantum dynamics—dedicated to Professor Paul Baum*, 169–178, Banach Center Publ., 120, *Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math.*, Warsaw, 2020.
- [10] J. H. Hong, W. Szymański. Quantum spheres and projective spaces as graph algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 232 (2002), no. 1, 157–188.
- [11] A. Kumjian, D. Pask, A. Sims, M. F. Whittaker. Topological spaces associated to higher-rank graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 143 (2016), 19–41.
- [12] G.K. Pedersen. Pullback and pushout constructions in C^* -algebra theory. *J. Funct. Anal.* 167 (1999), no. 2, 243–344.
- [13] D. Robertson, W. Szymański. C^* -algebras associated to C^* -correspondences and applications to mirror quantum spheres. *Illinois J. Math.* 55 (2011), no. 3, 845–870 (2013).

(P. M. Hajac) INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, POLSKA AKADEMIA NAUK, UL. ŚNIADECKICH 8, WARSZAWA, 00-656 POLAND

Email address: pmh@impan.pl

(M. Tobolski) INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, UNIwersytet Wrocławski, PL. GRUNWALDZKI 2, WROCŁAW, 50-384 POLAND

Email address: mariusz.tobolski@math.uni.wroc.pl