

ALGEBRAIC OPERAD OF SUSY VERTEX ALGEBRA

YUSUKE NISHINAKA, SHINTAROU YANAGIDA

ABSTRACT. We introduce an algebraic operad encoding the structure of SUSY vertex algebras, and study the corresponding cohomology theory. Our operad is a SUSY analogue of the operad P^{ch} introduced by Bakalov, De Sole, Heluani and Kac as a purely algebraic translation of the chiral operad by Beilinson and Drinfeld.

CONTENTS

0. Introduction	1
1. Lie algebra structures on operads	3
1.1. Operads and algebras over operads	3
1.2. Koszul operad theory and convolution Lie algebra	6
1.3. Lie superalgebra associated to an operad	7
2. $N_W = N$ SUSY chiral operad	8
2.1. Polynomial superalgebra	8
2.2. Operad of $N_W = N$ SUSY Lie conformal algebras	9
2.3. $N_W = N$ SUSY chiral operad	12
2.4. Relation to $N_W = N$ SUSY chiral algebras	22
3. $N_K = N$ SUSY chiral operad	25
3.1. Polynomial superalgebra	25
3.2. Operad of $N_K = N$ SUSY Lie conformal algebras	25
3.3. $N_K = N$ SUSY chiral operad	27
3.4. Relation to $N_K = N$ SUSY chiral algebras	30
4. Cohomology of SUSY vertex algebras	31
4.1. Recollection on the Lie algebra cohomology	31
4.2. Cohomology of $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebras	32
4.3. Cohomology of $N_K = N$ SUSY vertex algebras	37
4.4. Concluding remarks	37
References	37

0. INTRODUCTION

Vertex algebras, chiral algebras and chiral operad. Nowadays vertex algebras appear in various branches of mathematics, mathematical physics and theoretical physics. They were introduced by Borchers [Bo86] as an algebraic framework of the operator algebra structure appearing in the chiral part of two-dimensional conformal field theory. This framework fits very well with representation theory of infinite-dimensional algebras, and has been making vast progress since its appearance until today.

On the other hand, it had been expected since at least mid 1980s that there should be a geometric framework of chiral conformal field theory. Along this expectation, together with the viewpoint of geometric Langlands program, Beilinson and Drinfeld started in the early 1990s to develop the algebro-geometric framework, which resulted in their book [BD04] of chiral algebras. At present, both vertex algebras and chiral algebras are used in the investigation of algebraic and geometric representation theory, and theoretical

Date: September 29, 2022.

Key words and phrases. SUSY vertex algebras, SUSY chiral algebras, chiral operads, operadic homological algebra. S.Y. is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 19K03399.

physics of conformal field theories and their cousins. Let us name [FBZ04] for the reference explaining both frameworks of vertex algebras and chiral algebras.

The theory of chiral algebras is a flexible framework of chiral conformal field theory, and enables one to do geometric study. But it has one drawback: the definition is built on various complicated structures using the theory of \mathcal{D} -modules and that of operads, so that it is non-trivial for non-experts to check the relation [BD04, 0.15] between chiral algebras and Borchers' axiom of vertex algebras. One feature of the theory is that a chiral algebra is defined to be a Lie algebra in a non-standard monoidal structure. Precisely speaking, on the category of \mathcal{D} -modules on a smooth algebraic curve, Beilinson and Drinfeld introduced the chiral tensor product and the *chiral operad*. The latter object might be best called a sheaf operad, which is not an algebraic operad nor a topological operad appearing commonly. Then a (non-unital) chiral algebra is defined to be an operad morphism from the Lie operad to the chiral operad. Starting from such a definition, it is not a simple task to recover Borchers' axiom of vertex algebras.

In the late 2010s, Bakalov, De Sole, Heluani and Kac [BDHK19] started their investigation of the cohomology theory of vertex algebras, which continues up to present [BDHK20, BDK20, BDK21, BDKV21]. As far as we understand, their study sits in the sequence of the investigation of Lie conformal algebras, vertex Poisson algebras and their cohomology theories started in the late 1990s (see [BKV99, DK13] for example). Among several results in [BDHK19], they constructed an algebraic operad P^{ch} to which an operad morphism from the Lie operad corresponds bijectively to a vertex algebra. Thus, P^{ch} is a purely algebraic counterpart of the chiral operad of Beilinson and Drinfeld. The standard operadic cohomology theory then yields a natural cohomology theory of a vertex algebra, and one can investigate the relation between the obtained cohomology and those of vertex Poisson algebras.

SUSY vertex algebras and SUSY chiral operad. This note is written in a simple motivation to give an analogue of the algebraic operad P^{ch} which will encode the structure of a *SUSY vertex algebra*. The latter object is a super-field analogue of a vertex algebra, introduced by Heluani and Kac [HK07]. The framework of SUSY vertex algebras looks very natural in the viewpoint of superconformal field theory, and there are plenty examples, especially of geometric nature. However, as far as we understand, mathematical investigations of SUSY vertex algebras have not been pursued so much as ordinary vertex (super)algebras.

There are two classes of SUSY vertex algebras: $N_W = N$ and $N_K = N$ SUSY vertex algebras, which originate in the classification of superconformal Lie algebras [KL89]. Accordingly, our argument is divided into two parts. We will introduce the algebraic operad $P^{\text{ch}N_W}$ in § 2 and $P^{\text{ch}N_K}$ in § 3, which encode the structure of an $N_W = N$ and $N_K = N$ SUSY vertex algebra, respectively. These § 2 and § 3 are the main body of this note.

Our argument basically follows the non-SUSY case given in [BDHK19, §5-§7]. We first construct the operads Chom^{N_W} and Chom^{N_K} of SUSY Lie conformal algebras, and then construct the operads $P^{\text{ch}N_W}$ and $P^{\text{ch}N_K}$ of SUSY vertex algebras. Let us remark that, although the outline of our construction is quite the same as [BDHK19], we need several technical modifications to treat the SUSY case appropriately. For example, in § 2.3 of the construction of the operad $P^{\text{ch}N_W}$, we need to treat carefully the integral formulation of SUSY vertex algebras, and give detailed computations in Definition 2.3.6–Proposition 2.3.8 and Lemma 2.3.14–Lemma 2.3.17, which we could not find in literature.

The operads $P^{\text{ch}N_W}$ and $P^{\text{ch}N_K}$ yield the cohomology complexes of SUSY vertex algebras with coefficients in their modules, whose definition and analysis will be given in § 4.

Summary of results. The main objects of this note are the $N_W = N$ SUSY chiral operad $P^{\text{ch}N_W}$ introduced in Definition 2.3.12 and the $N_K = N$ SUSY chiral operad $P^{\text{ch}N_K}$ introduced in Definition 3.3.5. The main statements are Theorem 2.3.13 and Theorem 3.3.6 establishing the bijection between the odd Maurer-Cartan solutions of the operads $P^{\text{ch}N_W}$, $P^{\text{ch}N_K}$ and the structures of non-unital $N_W = N$ and $N_K = N$ SUSY vertex algebras.

Another block of main results is the cohomology theory given in § 4. The cohomology complex for an $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra is given in Definition 4.2.2, and the interpretation of the low degree cohomology is given in Theorem 4.2.7. We have a straightforward analogue for the $N_K = N$ case, which will be briefly explained in § 4.3.

Organization. Let us explain the organization.

- §1 is a preliminary section of super-objects, operads and operadic cohomology theory. In particular, we will explain in § 1.2 the convolution Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ for operads \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} which encodes operad morphisms $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$, and explain in § 1.3 that the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{L}ie, \mathcal{Q})$ associated to an operad \mathcal{Q} introduced in [BDHK19, §3] is nothing but the convolution Lie algebra.
- §2 is devoted to study the algebraic operad encoding the structure of $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebras. We start with the preliminary of polynomial superalgebras in § 2.1, which will be “the base algebra” of our operad. Then following the line of [BDHK19, §5, §6], we introduce an operad Chom^{N_W} encoding the structure of $N_W = N$ SUSY Lie conformal algebras in § 2.2, and an operad $P^{\text{ch}N_W}$ encoding that of $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebras, which is one of the main objects of this note, in § 2.3. In § 2.4, we relate the operad $P^{\text{ch}N_W}$ to the $N_W = N$ SUSY analogue of the Beilinson-Drinfeld chiral operad, following the line of the non-SUSY case [BDHK19, Appendix A].
- §3 treats the $N_K = N$ SUSY vertex algebras, and the materials are delivered in the same order as in the previous § 2.
- The operads $P^{\text{ch}N_W}$ and $P^{\text{ch}N_K}$ naturally yield the cohomology complexes of SUSY vertex algebras with coefficients in their modules, which will be studied in § 4. We start with the review of the operadic cohomology theory in § 4.1. Then we discuss the cohomology theory for $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebras in § 4.2, and the theory of $N_K = N$ SUSY vertex algebras in § 4.3. We close this note with concluding remarks in § 4.4.

Global notation.

- The symbol \mathbb{N} denotes the set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ of non-negative integers.
- For a finite set S , we denote by $\#S$ the number of elements in S .
- For a positive integer m , the symbol $[m]$ denotes the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$.
- The word ‘ring’ or ‘algebra’ means a unital associative one unless otherwise specified.
- The symbol ∂_x denotes the partial differential $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ with respect to x .

1. LIE ALGEBRA STRUCTURES ON OPERADS

This section gives an overview of *the universal Lie superalgebra associated to an operad* introduced in [BDHK19, §3]. Although the definitions and arguments therein is clear, we feel that the reader would require extra explanation on the motivation and backgrounds, and this section is designed to give backgrounds on the theory of algebraic operads and to give some complementary comments on loc. cit. We refer to [LV12] for the comprehensive reference of algebraic operads, and to [DK13] for the preceding study of the universal Lie superalgebra.

In the starting § 1.1, we introduce notation and terminology on operads, and explain some fundamental notion. We will work in the super setting, following the presentation of [BDHK19, §2, §3].

In the next § 1.2, we give a brief review of the theory of operadic Koszul duality and operadic deformation theory. In particular, for a binary quadratic operad \mathcal{P} and an operad \mathcal{Q} , we introduce in (1.2.6) the convolution Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$, which concerns the \mathcal{P} -algebra structure on \mathcal{Q} as (1.3.2).

In the last § 1.3, applying the arguments so far to the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L}ie$ (the Lie operad), we recover the universal Lie superalgebra associated to \mathcal{Q} in the sense of [BDHK19]. See Definition 1.3.1 and Proposition 1.3.3 for the precise statement.

In this section, all the objects (linear spaces, algebras and so on) are defined over the base field \mathbb{K} of characteristic 0 unless otherwise stated. The unit of \mathbb{K} is denoted by $1_{\mathbb{K}}$.

1.1. Operads and algebras over operads. Here we collect from [LV12] and [BDHK19] some basic notions and symbols on algebraic operads in the super setting.

Here is the list of our super terminology, which is more or less the standard one and can be found in [DM99] for example.

- We write $\mathbb{Z}_2 := \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and denote by $\bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ the parity of $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We also define $(-1)^{\bar{i}} := (-1)^i$.
- A \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded linear space V is called a *linear superspace*. The grading is expressed by $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$. An element of $V_{\bar{0}} \setminus \{0\}$, $V_{\bar{1}} \setminus \{0\}$ and $V_{\text{pure}} := (V_{\bar{0}} \cup V_{\bar{1}}) \setminus \{0\}$ is called *even*, *odd* and *pure*, respectively.

The parity $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ of a pure element $x \in V_{\text{pure}}$ is defined by

$$p(x) := \begin{cases} \bar{0} & (x \in V_{\bar{0}}), \\ \bar{1} & (x \in V_{\bar{1}}). \end{cases}$$

Whenever we write $p(x)$ in the text, we assume $x \in V_{\text{pure}}$.

- For linear superspaces V and W , we denote by $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ the linear superspace of linear maps from V to W . The \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading is denoted by

$$\text{Hom}(V, W) = \text{Hom}(V, W)_{\bar{0}} \oplus \text{Hom}(V, W)_{\bar{1}},$$

and an element of $\text{Hom}(V, W)_{\bar{0}}$ (resp. $\text{Hom}(V, W)_{\bar{1}}$) is called an even (resp. odd) linear map. Sometimes we denote $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V, W) := \text{Hom}(V, W)$ to stress the base field \mathbb{K} . We also denote by $\text{End}(V) = \text{End}(V)_{\bar{0}} \oplus \text{End}(V)_{\bar{1}}$ the linear superspace of linear endomorphisms of V . The \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded \mathbb{K} -linear category of linear superspaces and linear maps is denoted by $\mathbb{K}\text{-Mod}$.

- We denote by $\otimes := \otimes_{\mathbb{K}}$ the standard tensor product on $\mathbb{K}\text{-Mod}$. See [DM99, (1.1)] for the sign rule of the tensor product.
- The *parity change functor* is denoted by Π . Thus, for a linear superspace V , we have the linear superspace ΠV with $(\Pi V)_{\bar{0}} = V_{\bar{1}}$ and $(\Pi V)_{\bar{1}} = V_{\bar{0}}$.
- A \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra A is called a *superalgebra*, and a linear superspace equipped with a left (resp. right) \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded left A -module structure is called a *left* (resp. *right*) A -*supermodule*. A *morphism* $M \rightarrow N$ of left A -supermodules M and N means a linear map consistent with the supermodule structures of M and N . The linear superspace of all morphisms of left A -supermodules is denoted by

$$\text{Hom}_A(M, N) = \text{Hom}_A(M, N)_{\bar{0}} \oplus \text{Hom}_A(M, N)_{\bar{1}}.$$

- A superalgebra A is called commutative if the multiplication satisfies $xy = (-1)^{p(x)p(y)}yx$ for any pure $x, y \in A$.

Next we give some symbols for symmetric groups and their modules.

- For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by \mathfrak{S}_n the n -th symmetric group with the convention $\mathfrak{S}_0 := \{e\}$. We consider the group algebra $\mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ as a purely even superalgebra.
- Let V be a linear superspace. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the superspace $V^{\otimes n}$ carries a structure of a left \mathfrak{S}_n -supermodule by letting $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ act on $v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \in V^{\otimes n}$ by

$$\sigma(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) := \prod_{\substack{i < j \\ \sigma(i) > \sigma(j)}} (-1)^{p(v_i)p(v_j)} \cdot v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}. \quad (1.1.1)$$

In what follows, we always consider $V^{\otimes n}$ with this structure of a left \mathfrak{S}_n -supermodule unless otherwise specified.

Now we introduce the notion of \mathfrak{S} -modules in the super setting. See [LV12, §5.1] for the detail in the non-SUSY case.

- An \mathfrak{S} -*supermodule* $M = (M(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a collection of right \mathfrak{S}_n -supermodules $M(n)$. The index n is called the *arity*. A *morphism* $f: M \rightarrow N$ of \mathfrak{S} -supermodules is a family of morphisms $f_n: M(n) \rightarrow N(n)$ of right \mathfrak{S}_n -modules. The resulting \mathbb{K} -linear category of \mathfrak{S} -supermodules is denoted by $\mathfrak{S}\text{-Mod}$.
- The category $\mathfrak{S}\text{-Mod}$ has a monoidal structure whose tensor product $M \circ N = ((M \circ N)(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is given by

$$(M \circ N)(n) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} M(k) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{S}_k]} \left(\bigoplus_{n_1 + \cdots + n_k = n} (N(n_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes N(n_k)) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{S}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{n_k}]} \mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{S}_n] \right), \quad (1.1.2)$$

and whose unit object is $I := (\delta_{n,1} \mathbb{K})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (0, \mathbb{K}, 0, 0, \dots)$.

An operad is a monoid object in the monoidal category $(\mathfrak{S}\text{-Mod}, \circ, I)$, i.e., it consists of an \mathfrak{S} -supermodule $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and two morphisms $\gamma: \mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ and $\eta: I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ of \mathfrak{S} -supermodules, which should satisfy the standard axioms of monoids. Unraveling the axioms, we obtain:

Definition 1.1.1 ([BDHK19, §3.1]). Consider the following data $(\mathcal{P}, \gamma, 1)$:

- An \mathfrak{S} -supermodule \mathcal{P} , i.e., a map $n \mapsto \mathcal{P}(n)$ associating a right \mathfrak{S}_n -supermodule to each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- A map γ associating an even linear map

$$\gamma_{k,n_1,\dots,n_k}: \mathcal{P}(k) \otimes \mathcal{P}(n_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}(n_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(n_1 + \cdots + n_k).$$

to each $k, n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$. γ is called the composition map. For simplicity, we denote

$$f(g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_k) := \gamma_{k,n_1,\dots,n_k}(f \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_k)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{P}(k)$ and $g_i \in \mathcal{P}(n_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$).

- An element $1 \in \mathcal{P}(1)$. 1 is called the unit.

A triple $(\mathcal{P}, \gamma, 1)$ is called an *operad* if it satisfies the following conditions. Hereafter we use the symbol $[k] := \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with the convention $[0] := \emptyset$.

- (i) Let us given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n_1, \dots, n_{m_1+\dots+m_k} \in \mathbb{N}$. For $f \in \mathcal{P}(k)$, $g_i \in \mathcal{P}(m_i)$ ($i \in [k]$) and $h_j \in \mathcal{P}(n_j)$ ($j \in [m_1 + \cdots + m_k]$), we have

$$f((g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_k)(h_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{m_1+\dots+m_k})) = (f(g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_k))(h_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{m_1+\dots+m_k}).$$

- (ii) For $f \in \mathcal{P}(n)$, $f(1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1) = 1(f) = f$.

- (iii) For $f \in \mathcal{P}(k)$, $g_i \in \mathcal{P}(n_i)$ ($i \in [k]$), $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ and $\tau_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{n_i}$ ($i \in [k]$), we have

$$f^\sigma(g_1^{\tau_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes g_k^{\tau_k}) = (f(\sigma(g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_k)))^{\sigma(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)}.$$

Here f^σ denotes the right action of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ on $f \in \mathcal{P}(k)$, and $\sigma(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n_1+\dots+n_k}$ is given by

$$\sigma(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)(l) := n_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} + \cdots + n_{\sigma^{-1}(\sigma(i)-1)} + \tau_i(n)$$

for each $l \in [n_1 + \cdots + n_k]$ written uniquely as $l = n_1 + \cdots + n_{i-1} + n$, $i \in [k]$ and $n \in [n_i]$.

Some complementary terminology is in order.

- Given an operad $(\mathcal{P}, \gamma, 1)$, we will often say that \mathcal{P} is an operad, without mentioning γ and 1 .
- An operad \mathcal{P} is called *even* if the linear superspace $\mathcal{P}(n)$ is even for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- Let \mathcal{P} be an operad. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in [m]$, the map

$$\circ_i: \mathcal{P}(m) \otimes \mathcal{P}(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(m+n-1), \quad f \circ_i g := f(1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \overset{i}{g} \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1) \quad (1.1.3)$$

is an even linear map. It is called the *infinitesimal composition*.

Next we recall on the notion of algebras over an operad.

- A *morphism* $\alpha: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ of operads is a morphism of \mathfrak{S} -supermodules which is compatible with the monoid object structures. Operads and their morphisms form a subcategory \mathbf{Op} of $\mathfrak{S}\text{-Mod}$, which is called the *category of operads*. See [LV12, §5.2.1] for the detail.
- For a linear superspace V , we denote by $\mathcal{H}om_V \in \mathbf{Op}$ the *endomorphism operad* in the sense of [LV12, §5.2.11]. As an \mathfrak{S} -supermodule, it is given by

$$\mathcal{H}om_V(n) := \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes n}, V) \quad (1.1.4)$$

with right \mathfrak{S}_n -module structure induced by the left \mathfrak{S}_n -action on $V^{\otimes n}$ by permuting tensor factors (1.1.1).

- For an operad \mathcal{P} and a linear superspace V , a *\mathcal{P} -algebra structure on V* is a morphism $\alpha: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_V$ of operads. See [LV12, §5.2.3, Proposition 5.2.2] for another equivalent definition. Such a pair (V, α) will be called a *\mathcal{P} -algebra*.

Let us recall the formulation of classical algebra structures in terms of the operad theory.

- Let *Com* be the *commutative operad* [LV12, §5.2.10, §13.1]. We have $\text{Com}(n) = \mathbb{K}$, the trivial representation, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A *Com*-algebra (V, α) is nothing but a commutative \mathbb{K} -algebra structure on V whose multiplication $\mu: V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow V$ is given by the image of $1_{\mathbb{K}} \in \mathbb{K} = \text{Com}(2)$ under $\alpha_2: \text{Com}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_V(2) = \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes 2}, V)$, i.e., $\mu = \alpha_2(1_{\mathbb{K}})$.
- Let *Assoc* be the *associative operad* [LV12, §5.2.10, Chap. 9]. We have $\text{Assoc}(n) = \mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$, the regular representation of \mathfrak{S}_n . An *Assoc*-algebra structure α on V is determined by the image of $e \in \text{Assoc}(2) = \mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{S}_2] = \mathbb{K}e + \mathbb{K}(1, 2)$. The element $\mu = \alpha_2(e) \in \mathcal{H}om_V(2) = \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes 2}, V)$ is nothing but the multiplication in the corresponding associative \mathbb{K} -algebra structure on V .

1.2. Koszul operad theory and convolution Lie algebra. The operads Com and $Assoc$ are *quadratic operads*, for which one can develop cohomology theory and deformation theory using the operadic Koszul duality. Let us give a brief recollection. See [GK94] and [LV12, Chap. 7] for the detail.

- For an \mathfrak{S} -supermodule M , we have the *free operad* $\mathcal{T}(M)$ which is an operad equipped with an \mathfrak{S} -supermodule morphism $\eta(M): M \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(M)$ having a universal property [LV12, §5.5.1]. The free operad $\mathcal{T}(M)$ is endowed with the *weight grading*, denoted as $\mathcal{T}(M) = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{T}(M)^{(r)}$ [LV12, §5.5.3].
- An operadic quadratic data is a pair (E, R) of an \mathfrak{S} -supermodule E and a sub- \mathfrak{S} -supermodule $R \subset \mathcal{T}(E)^{(2)}$. The *quadratic operad* associated to an operadic quadratic data (E, R) is defined to be $\mathcal{P}(E, R) := \mathcal{T}(E)/(R)$, where $(R) \subset \mathcal{T}(E)$ is the operadic ideal generated by R . It inherits the weight grading $\mathcal{P}(E, R) = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}(E, R)^{(r)}$. See [LV12, §7.1] for the detail. Below we always assume a quadratic operad to be even, i.e., the above E is assumed to be an even linear space.
- A *binary quadratic operad* is a quadratic operad $\mathcal{P}(E, R)$ whose \mathfrak{S} -supermodule E concentrates in the 2-arity.

The operads Com and $Assoc$ are binary quadratic operads, and so is the operad Lie recalled below.

- Let Lie be the *Lie operad* [LV12, §13.2]. It is a binary quadratic operad $Lie = \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{K}c)/(R)$ with $R := (c \circ_1 c) + (c \circ_1 c)^{(123)} + (c \circ_1 c)^{(132)} \subset \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{K}c)^{(2)}$. Here we used the infinitesimal composition \circ_1 in (1.1.3). given by

$$(X \circ_1 Y)(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{m+n-1}) := X(Y(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) \otimes v_{n+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{m+n-1}) \quad (1.2.1)$$

for $X \in \mathcal{H}om_V(m)$ and $Y \in \mathcal{H}om_V(n)$, f^σ denotes the right action of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ on $f \in \mathcal{H}om(V)(n)$, and (R) denotes the operadic ideal generated by R . We also have $Lie(2) = \mathbb{K}c$, and a Lie -algebra (V, α) is nothing but a Lie algebra structure on V over \mathbb{K} whose Lie bracket is given by $\alpha_2(c) \in \mathcal{H}om_V(2) = \mathcal{H}om(V^{\otimes 2}, V)$.

Next, we give an overview of the deformation theory of algebraic structures for quadratic operads. We refer to [LV12, Chap. 12] for the detail. We begin with the notation for graded categories.

- In the following, we need \mathbb{Z} -graded objects [LV12, §6.2, §6.3], and everything in $\mathbb{K}\text{-Mod}$ which appeared so far is replaced by one in $\mathbf{grSuperLinSp}$, the $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z})$ -bigraded category of \mathbb{Z} -graded linear superspaces $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_i$. We call the additional \mathbb{Z} -grading i the *degree*. The morphism set $\mathcal{H}om(V, W)$ for $V, W \in \mathbf{grSuperLinSp}$ is given by $\mathcal{H}om(V, W) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}om(V, W)_i$, where each $\mathcal{H}om(V, W)_i$ is the linear superspace consisting of linear maps $f: V \rightarrow W$ satisfying $f(V_j) \subset W_{i+j}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- We denote by s the degree shift in $\mathbf{grSuperLinSp}$. So, for a graded linear superspace $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_i$, we have $(sV)_i = V_{i-1}$. An element $f \in \mathcal{H}om(V, W)_i$ is called a linear map of degree i .
- For example, a graded \mathfrak{S} -supermodule is a collection $M = (M(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathbb{Z} -graded right \mathfrak{S}_n -modules $M(n) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i(n)$, and the index i denotes the degree. We also have $(sM)_i(n) = M_{i-1}(n)$.

We now recall Koszul dual cooperads and convolution Lie algebras.

- We use the notion of *cooperad* $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ [LV12, §5.8]. In particular, for a $(\mathbb{Z}$ -graded) \mathfrak{S} -supermodule E , we have the cofree cooperad $\mathcal{T}^c(E)$ [LV12, §5.8.6].
- Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(E, R)$ be a quadratic operad. Then we have the *Koszul dual cooperad* $\mathcal{P}^i := \mathcal{C}(sE, s^2R)$. It is a sub-cooperad of the cofree cooperad $\mathcal{T}^c(sE)$ which is universal among the sub-cooperad \mathcal{C} such that the composite $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^c(sE) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^c(sE)^{(2)}/(s^2R)$ is zero, and also has the induced weight grading $\mathcal{P}^i = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{P}^i)^{(r)}$ from the weight grading of \mathcal{P} . See [LV12, §7.1] for the detail of quadratic operads and Koszul dual cooperads.
- For a \mathbb{Z} -graded cooperad $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ and a \mathbb{Z} -graded operad $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}, \gamma, \eta)$, we set

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P}) := \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\mathcal{C}(n), \mathcal{P}(n)),$$

where $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\mathcal{C}(n), \mathcal{P}(n)) \subset \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{C}(n), \mathcal{P}(n))$ denotes the \mathbb{Z} -graded space of graded homomorphisms of \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathfrak{S}_n -supermodules, i.e., \mathfrak{S}_n -equivariant \mathbb{Z} -graded linear maps. Using the infinitesimal composition $\circ_{(1)}$ given in (1.2.1) and the infinitesimal operations $\gamma_{(1)}: \mathcal{P} \circ_{(1)} \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ and $\Delta_{(1)}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \circ_{(1)} \mathcal{C}$ (see [LV12, §6.1] for the detail), we define the composite

$$f \square g := \gamma_{(1)} \circ (f \circ_{(1)} g) \circ \Delta_{(1)} \quad (1.2.2)$$

for $f, g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\mathcal{C}(n), \mathcal{P}(n))$, which is again in $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\mathcal{C}(n), \mathcal{P}(n))$. Here we used the symbol \square in [BDHK19], instead of \star in [LV12]. This operation \square is a graded pre-Lie product [LV12, Propositions 6.4.3, 6.4.5], and hence we have a graded Lie superalgebra

$$(\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P}), [\cdot, \cdot]) \quad (1.2.3)$$

with $[f, g] := f \square g - (-1)^{|f||g|} g \square f$, where $|f|$ denotes the \mathbb{Z} -grading of the morphism f . This graded Lie algebra is called the *convolution Lie algebra*.

We have several application of the construction of convolution Lie algebra (1.2.3).

- Let V be a linear superspace and $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(E, R)$ be a quadratic operad which is *homogeneous*, i.e., $R \subset \mathcal{T}(E)^{(2)}$. Then we have the Koszul dual cooperad \mathcal{P}^i and the endomorphism operad $\mathcal{H}om_V$. Applying the previous argument to the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}^i$ and $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{H}om_V$, we have the convolution Lie algebra.

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}, V} := (\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathcal{P}^i, \mathcal{H}om_V), [\cdot, \cdot]). \quad (1.2.4)$$

It has an \mathbb{N} -grading $\mathfrak{g} = \prod_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{g}^r$ induced by the weight grading on \mathcal{P}^i . Explicitly, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}, V}^0 = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}}(I, \mathcal{H}om_V) \cong \text{End}(V), \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}, V}^r = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}}((\mathcal{P}^i)^{(r)}, \mathcal{H}om_V) \quad (r \geq 1).$$

Then, by [LV12, Proposition 10.1.4], we have a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{P}\text{-algebra structures on } V\} &= \text{Hom}_{\text{Op}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{H}om_V) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{MC}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}, V}) := \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}, V}^1 \mid \frac{1}{2}[X, X] = 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2.5)$$

The set $\text{MC}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}, V})$ is called the *solutions of the Maurer-Cartan equation* of the (differential) graded Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}, V}$.

- Let us replace the endomorphism operad $\mathcal{H}om_V$ in the previous item by an arbitrary operad \mathcal{Q} . Then we obtain the convolution Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})^\bullet := (\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathcal{P}^i, \mathcal{Q})^\bullet, [\cdot, \cdot]), \quad (1.2.6)$$

so that the previous algebra is recovered as $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}, V} = \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{H}om_V)$. The argument in [LV12, Proposition 10.1.4] works for general \mathcal{Q} as well, and we have a bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Op}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{MC}(\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})) := \{X \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})^1 \mid \frac{1}{2}[X, X] = 0\}. \quad (1.2.7)$$

Let us call an operad morphism $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ a *\mathcal{P} -algebra structure on \mathcal{Q}* . Then the above bijection says that an \mathcal{P} -algebra structure on \mathcal{Q} is in one-to-one correspondence with a Maurer-Cartan solution $X \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})^1$, $[X, X] = 0$.

1.3. Lie superalgebra associated to an operad. We are interested in the convolution Lie algebra (1.2.6) with $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L}ie$, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{L}ie, \mathcal{Q})^\bullet = (\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathcal{L}ie^i, \mathcal{Q})^\bullet, [\cdot, \cdot]), \quad [f, g] := f \square g - (-1)^{|f||g|} g \square f. \quad (1.3.1)$$

By (1.2.7), this graded Lie superalgebra concerns *$\mathcal{L}ie$ -algebra structures* in the operad \mathcal{Q} :

$$\{\mathcal{L}ie\text{-algebra structure on } \mathcal{Q}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{MC}(\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{L}ie, \mathcal{Q})) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{L}ie, \mathcal{Q})^1 \mid \frac{1}{2}[X, X] = 0\}. \quad (1.3.2)$$

Let us write down the graded component $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{L}ie, \mathcal{Q})^n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the homogeneous quadratic operad $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L}ie$ is binary. the argument in [LV12, Proposition 12.1.1] works, and we have

$$\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{L}ie, \mathcal{Q})^n \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\mathcal{L}ie^i(n+1), \mathcal{Q}(n+1))$$

as linear superspaces. Next, by [LV12, §7.6], for any homogeneous quadratic binary operad \mathcal{P} , each arity-graded component $\mathcal{P}^i(n)$ of the Koszul dual cooperad \mathcal{P}^i satisfies

$$\mathcal{P}^i(n) \cong \mathcal{P}^1(n) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sgn}_n$$

as an \mathfrak{S}_n -module, where sgn_n denotes the signature representation of \mathfrak{S}_n , and \mathcal{P}^1 is the *Koszul dual operad* of the quadratic operad \mathcal{P} . We also have $\mathcal{L}ie^i = \text{Com}$ by the Koszul duality [LV12, §7.6]. Since $\text{Com}(n)$ is the trivial \mathfrak{S}_n -representation, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{L}ie, \mathcal{Q})^n \cong (\Pi \mathcal{Q}(n+1))^{\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}} := \{f \in \mathcal{Q}(n+1) \mid \forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}, f^\sigma = \text{sgn}(\sigma)f\} \quad (1.3.3)$$

as linear superspaces, where Π is the parity shift functor, and f^σ denotes the right action of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ on $f \in \mathcal{Q}(n+1)$.

Next, we give an explicit expression of the pre-Lie product \square in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{L}ie, \mathcal{Q})$. Unraveling the definition (1.2.2), we have for $f \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{L}ie, \mathcal{Q})^n$ and $g \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{L}ie, \mathcal{Q})^m$ that

$$f \square g := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{m+1, n}} (f \circ_1 g)^{\sigma^{-1}}, \quad (1.3.4)$$

where for $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, the symbol $\mathfrak{S}_{k, l} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{k+l}$ denotes the subset of (k, l) -shuffles:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{k, l} := \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{k+l} \mid \sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(k), \sigma(k+1) < \cdots < \sigma(k+l)\}.$$

The graded Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{L}ie, \mathcal{Q})$ is essentially the same as the *universal Lie superalgebra associated to \mathcal{Q}* in [BDHK19, §3.2]. The definition therein shifts the grading and compensates the parity shift. It is given as follows:

Definition 1.3.1. For an operad \mathcal{Q} , we define a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ -graded linear superspace

$$L(\mathcal{Q}) := \bigoplus_{n \geq -1} L^n(\mathcal{Q}), \quad L^n(\mathcal{Q}) := \mathcal{Q}(n+1)^{\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}} = \{f \in \mathcal{Q}(n+1) \mid \forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}, f^\sigma = f\}$$

and a linear map $\square: L(\mathcal{Q}) \otimes L(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow L(\mathcal{Q})$ by

$$f \square g := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{m+1, n}} (f \circ_1 g)^{\sigma^{-1}} \quad (f \in L^n(\mathcal{Q}), g \in L^m(\mathcal{Q})).$$

Let us summarize the arguments so far in the following form.

Definition 1.3.2. For an operad \mathcal{Q} , a *Lie algebra structure on \mathcal{Q}* means an operad morphism $\mathcal{L}ie \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$.

Proposition 1.3.3 ([LV12, Proposition 10.1.4], [DK13], [BDHK19, Theorem 3.4]). For an operad \mathcal{Q} , the pair $(L(\mathcal{Q}), \square)$ in Definition 1.3.1 is a pre-Lie superalgebra (see [LV12, §1.4] for example). Hence we obtain a graded Lie superalgebra $(L(\mathcal{Q})^\bullet, [\cdot, \cdot])$ with

$$[f, g] := f \square g - (-1)^{p(f)p(g)} g \square f.$$

Moreover, we have a bijection

$$\text{MC}(L(\mathcal{Q})) := \{X \in L^1(\mathcal{Q}) \mid X \square X = 0\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{Lie algebra structures on } \mathcal{Q}\}.$$

2. $N_W = N$ SUSY CHIRAL OPERAD

We continue to work over the base field \mathbb{K} of characteristic 0 and to use the symbol $[k] := \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We also fix a positive integer N .

2.1. Polynomial superalgebra. Here we give a summary on the polynomial ring of supervariables. Most of the material is standard, and we refer to [HK07, §3.1] for a detailed description.

Definition 2.1.1. Let A be a set and $\Lambda_\alpha = (\lambda_\alpha, \theta_\alpha^1, \dots, \theta_\alpha^N)$ be a sequence of sets for each $\alpha \in A$. We denote by $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda_\alpha]_{\alpha \in A}$ the free commutative \mathbb{K} -superalgebra generated by even λ_α ($\alpha \in A$) and odd θ_α^i ($\alpha \in A, i \in [N]$), i.e, the \mathbb{K} -superalgebra generated by these elements with relations

$$\lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta - \lambda_\beta \lambda_\alpha = 0, \quad \lambda_\alpha \theta_\beta^i - \theta_\beta^i \lambda_\alpha = 0, \quad \theta_\alpha^i \theta_\beta^j + \theta_\beta^j \theta_\alpha^i = 0 \quad (\alpha, \beta \in A, i, j \in [N]). \quad (2.1.1)$$

Each Λ_α for $\alpha \in A$ is called a $(1|N)_W$ -*supervariable*, and the \mathbb{K} -superalgebra $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda_\alpha]_{\alpha \in A}$ is called the $N_W = N$ *polynomial superalgebra of the supervariables* $(\Lambda_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$. In the case $A = [n]$, we often denote the polynomial superalgebra by $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$ instead of $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda_k]_{k \in [n]}$.

For a $(1|N)_W$ -supervariable $\Lambda = (\lambda, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^N)$ and a subset $I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_r\} \subset [N]$, we denote $\theta^I := \theta^{i_1} \cdots \theta^{i_r}$. Also, we define $\sigma(I, J) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ for $I, J \subset [N]$ by the relation $\theta^I \theta^J = \sigma(I, J) \theta^{I \cup J}$, and set $\sigma(I) := \sigma(I, [N] \setminus I)$. For $\alpha \in A$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $I \subset [N]$, we denote $\Lambda_\alpha^{m|I} := \lambda_\alpha^m \theta_\alpha^I$.

For a linear superspace V and $(1|N)_W$ -supervariables Λ_α ($\alpha \in A$), we denote

$$V[\Lambda_\alpha]_{\alpha \in A} := \mathbb{K}[\Lambda_\alpha]_{\alpha \in A} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V, \quad (2.1.2)$$

which is a $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda_\alpha]_{\alpha \in A}$ -supermodule.

As a preliminary of the following subsections, let us introduce:

Definition 2.1.2. Let \mathcal{H}_W be the free commutative superalgebra generated by even T and odd S^i ($i \in [N]$), i.e., the superalgebra generated by these elements with relations

$$TS^i - S^iT = 0, \quad S^iS^j + S^jS^i = 0 \quad (i, j \in [N]). \quad (2.1.3)$$

For simplicity, we set

$$\nabla := (T, S^1, \dots, S^N). \quad (2.1.4)$$

We also denote a linear superspace V equipped with a left \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule structure as

$$(V, \nabla) = (V, T, S^1, \dots, S^N),$$

where T is regarded as an even linear transformation on V and S^i as an odd linear transformation, satisfying the relations (2.1.3).

Note that \mathcal{H}_W is isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda]$ as a superalgebra by the homomorphism defined by $T \mapsto -\lambda$, $S^i \mapsto -\theta^i$ ($i \in [N]$). Since \mathcal{H}_W is a commutative superalgebra, we suppress the word ‘left’ of an \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule hereafter.

2.2. Operad of $N_W = N$ SUSY Lie conformal algebras. In this subsection, we introduce a natural $N_W = N$ SUSY analogue of the operad Chom of Lie conformal algebra in [BDHK19, §5]. The main contents are Definition 2.2.7 and Theorem 2.2.8.

Let us fix a $(1|N)_W$ -supervariable $\Lambda = (\lambda, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^N)$. Recall the polynomial superalgebra $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda]$ in Definition 2.1.1 and the $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda]$ -supermodule $V[\Lambda] = \mathbb{K}[\Lambda] \otimes V$ in (2.1.2).

Definition 2.2.1 ([HK07, Definition 3.2.2]). Let $(V, \nabla) = (V, T, S^1, \dots, S^N)$ be an \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule and $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]: V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ be a linear map of parity \overline{N} . A triple $(V, \nabla, [\cdot_\Lambda \cdot])$ is called an $N_W = N$ SUSY Lie conformal algebra if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) (*sesquilinearity*) For any $a, b \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned} [Ta_\Lambda b] &= -\lambda[a_\Lambda b], & [a_\Lambda Tb] &= (\lambda + T)[a_\Lambda b], \\ [S^i a_\Lambda b] &= -(-1)^N \theta^i [a_\Lambda b], & [a_\Lambda S^i b] &= (-1)^{p(a)+\overline{N}} (\theta^i + S^i)[a_\Lambda b] \quad (i \in [N]). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.1)$$

(ii) (*skew-symmetry*) For any $a, b \in V$,

$$[b_\Lambda a] = -(-1)^{p(a)p(b)+\overline{N}} [a_{-\Lambda-\nabla} b], \quad (2.2.2)$$

where we used $\nabla := (T, S^1, \dots, S^N)$ in (2.1.4).

(iii) (*Jacobi identity*) For any $a, b, c \in V$,

$$[a_{\Lambda_1} [b_{\Lambda_2} c]] = (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})\overline{N}} [[a_{\Lambda_1} b]_{\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2} c] + (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})(p(b)+\overline{N})} [b_{\Lambda_2} [a_{\Lambda_1} c]], \quad (2.2.3)$$

where Λ_1, Λ_2 are $(1|N)_W$ -supervariables.

The linear map $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]$ is called the Λ -*bracket* of the \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule (V, ∇) . For simplicity, we say (V, ∇) , or more simply V , is an $N_W = N$ SUSY Lie conformal algebra.

Remark 2.2.2. A notational remark is in order. The linear map $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]$ can be expressed as

$$[a_\Lambda b] = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}, I \subset [N]} \Lambda^{m|I} c_{m,I}, \quad c_{m,I} \in V.$$

Then the term $[a_{-\Lambda-\nabla} b]$ in (2.2.2) is defined to be

$$[a_{-\Lambda-\nabla} b] := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}, I \subset [N]} (-\Lambda - \nabla)^{m|I} c_{m,I}$$

with $(-\Lambda - \nabla)^{m|I} := (-\lambda - T)^m (-\theta^{i_1} - S^{i_1}) \dots (-\theta^{i_r} - S^{i_r})$ for $I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_r\} \subset [N]$.

In the remaining of this section, let (V, ∇) be an \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule and $\Lambda_k = (\lambda_k, \theta_k^1, \dots, \theta_k^N)$ be a $(1|N)_W$ -supervariable for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Next, we turn to the definition of the operad \mathcal{Chom}_V^{Nw} . First, the polynomial superalgebra $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$ of $(1|N)_W$ -supervariables $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ carries a structure of a right \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule by letting

$$f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \cdot T := f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \left(- \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \right), \quad f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \cdot S^i := f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \left(- \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_k^i \right)$$

for $f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$. Thus, for an \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule $V = (V, \nabla)$, we obtain a linear superspace

$$V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n := \mathbb{K}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_W} V. \quad (2.2.4)$$

By the definition of the right action of \mathcal{H}_W on $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$, we have:

Lemma 2.2.3. The linear superspace $V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$ is isomorphic to $V[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^{n-1}$ by the linear map

$$f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \otimes v \longmapsto f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{n-1}, -\Lambda_1 - \dots - \Lambda_{n-1} - \nabla)v \quad (f \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n, v \in V).$$

Let us explain that $V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$ has a natural left $\mathcal{H}_W^{\otimes n}$ -supermodule structure. Indeed, since any element of \mathcal{H}_W is expressed uniquely as $P(\nabla)$ for some $P(\Lambda) \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda]$, the space $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$ carries a structure of a left $\mathcal{H}_W^{\otimes n}$ -supermodule by letting $P_1(\nabla) \otimes \dots \otimes P_n(\nabla) \in \mathcal{H}_W^{\otimes n}$ act on $f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$ as

$$(P_1(\nabla) \otimes \dots \otimes P_n(\nabla))f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) := P_1(-\Lambda_1) \dots P_n(-\Lambda_n)f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n).$$

Thus, by the action on the first component of the tensor product (2.2.4), we obtain a left $\mathcal{H}_W^{\otimes n}$ -supermodule $V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$.

The tensor product $V^{\otimes n}$ is naturally a left $\mathcal{H}_W^{\otimes n}$ -supermodule. Thus, the following definition makes sense, which is a SUSY analogue of the operad \mathcal{Chom} in [BDHK19, §5.2].

Definition 2.2.4. For an \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule $V = (V, \nabla)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote

$$\mathcal{Chom}_V^{Nw}(n) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_W^{\otimes n}}(V^{\otimes n}, V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n).$$

In other words, $\mathcal{Chom}_V^{Nw}(n)$ is a linear sub-superspace of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V^{\otimes n}, V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n)$ spanned by elements X such that

$$X(\varphi v) = (-1)^{p(\varphi)p(X)} \varphi X(v) \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_W^{\otimes n}, v \in V^{\otimes n}).$$

To stress the variables $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$, we express an element $X \in \mathcal{Chom}_V^{Nw}(n)$ as $X_{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n}$.

For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $X \in \mathcal{Chom}_V^{Nw}(n)$, we define a linear map $X^\sigma: V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$ by

$$X^\sigma(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) := X_{\sigma(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)}(\sigma(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n)) \quad (v_1, \dots, v_n \in V),$$

where $\sigma(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) := (\Lambda_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \Lambda_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$ and $\sigma(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) := \pm v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}$ given in (1.1.1). Then one can check $\sigma(\varphi v) = (\sigma\varphi)(\sigma v)$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_W^{\otimes n}$ and $v \in V^{\otimes n}$, by which one has $X^\sigma \in \mathcal{Chom}_V^{Nw}(n)$. Hence, the linear superspace $\mathcal{Chom}_V^{Nw}(n)$ carries a structure of a right \mathfrak{S}_n -supermodule by this action.

For $X \in \mathcal{Chom}_V^{Nw}(k)$ and $Y_i \in \mathcal{Chom}_V^{Nw}(n_i)$ ($i \in [k]$), we define a linear map

$$X(Y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes Y_k): V^{\otimes(n_1 + \dots + n_k)} \longrightarrow V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_l]_{l=1}^{n_1 + \dots + n_k}$$

by letting

$$\begin{aligned} & X(Y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes Y_k)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_{n_1 + \dots + n_k}) := \\ & X_{\Lambda'_1, \dots, \Lambda'_k}(((Y_1)_{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{N_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes (Y_k)_{\Lambda_{N_{k-1}+1}, \dots, \Lambda_{N_k}})((v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_{N_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes (v_{N_{k-1}+1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{N_k}))) \end{aligned}$$

for $v_1, \dots, v_{n_1 + \dots + n_k} \in V$, where we used $N_i := n_1 + \dots + n_i$ and $\Lambda'_i := \Lambda_{N_{i-1}+1} + \dots + \Lambda_{N_i}$. Then a direct calculation shows that $X(Y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes Y_k) \in \mathcal{Chom}_V^{Nw}(n_1 + \dots + n_k)$.

Remark 2.2.5. Let us explain the meaning of the above definition of $X(Y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_k)$. First, notice that we can identify $V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_l]_{l=1}^{N_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_l]_{l=N_{k-1}+1}^{N_k}$ with $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda_l]_{l=1}^{N_k} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_W^{\otimes k}} V^{\otimes k}$ as a linear superspace by the isomorphism defined by

$$(f_1 v_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (f_k v_k) \mapsto \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{p(v_i)p(f_j)} \cdot (f_1 \cdots f_k) \otimes (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k)$$

for $f_i \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda_l]_{l=N_{i-1}+1}^{N_i}$ and $v_i \in V$. Thus we can consider $(Y_1)_{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{N_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes (Y_k)_{\Lambda_{N_{k-1}+1}, \dots, \Lambda_{N_k}}$ in the definition of $X(Y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_k)$ as a map

$$(Y_1)_{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{N_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes (Y_k)_{\Lambda_{N_{k-1}+1}, \dots, \Lambda_{N_k}} : V^{\otimes N_k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}[\Lambda_l]_{l=1}^{N_k} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_W^{\otimes k}} V^{\otimes k}.$$

Second, $X_{\Lambda'_1, \dots, \Lambda'_k}$ in the definition of $X(Y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_k)$ can be considered as a map

$$(\mu \otimes \text{id}_V) \circ (\text{id} \otimes X_{\Lambda'_1, \dots, \Lambda'_k}) : \mathbb{K}[\Lambda_l]_{l=1}^{N_k} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes k}} V^{\otimes k} \longrightarrow V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_l]_{l=1}^{N_k},$$

where id is the identity map of $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda_l]_{l=1}^{N_k}$ and

$$\mu : \mathbb{K}[\Lambda_l]_{l=1}^{N_k} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes k}} \mathbb{K}[\Lambda_l]_{l=1}^{N_k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}[\Lambda_l]_{l=1}^{N_k}$$

is the linear map induced by the multiplication over $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda_l]_{l=1}^{N_k}$.

Now recall Definition 1.1.1 of operads. The \mathfrak{S}_n -supermodules $\text{Chom}_V^{N_W}(n)$ give rise to:

Lemma 2.2.6. For an \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule $V = (V, \nabla)$, the \mathfrak{S} -supermodule

$$\text{Chom}_V^{N_W} := (\text{Chom}_V^{N_W}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is an operad by letting $X \otimes Y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_k \mapsto X(Y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_k)$ be the composition map and id_V be the unit.

Proof. The proof in the non-SUSY case [BDHK19, §5.2] works with minor modification. We omit the detail. \square

Definition 2.2.7. The operad $\text{Chom}_V^{N_W}$ is called the $N_W = N$ SUSY Lie conformal operad on the \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule $V = (V, \nabla)$.

For an \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule $V = (V, \nabla)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\Pi^k V = (\Pi^k V, \nabla)$ the linear superspace $\Pi^k V$ equipped with the supermodule structure $\nabla = (T, S^1, \dots, S^N)$ over the commutative superalgebra \mathcal{H}_W . Also recall the set $\text{MC}(L(\mathcal{Q}))$ of Maurer-Cartan solutions $X \square X = 0$, $X \in L^1(\mathcal{Q})$ in the graded Lie superalgebra $L(\mathcal{Q})$ associated to an operad \mathcal{Q} . Now we can state the main claim of this § 2.2, which is a natural $N_W = N$ SUSY analogue of [BDHK19, Proposition 5.1].

Theorem 2.2.8. Let $V = (V, \nabla)$ be an \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule, i.e., a linear superspace V endowed with $T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)_{\overline{0}}$ and $S^i \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)_{\overline{1}}$ ($i \in [N]$) satisfying (2.1.3).

- (1) For an odd element $X \in L^1(\text{Chom}_{\Pi^{N+1}V}^{N_W})$ such that $X \square X = 0$, define a linear map $[\cdot]_X : V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ by

$$[a_{\Lambda} b]_X := (-1)^{p(a)(\overline{N}+\overline{1})} X_{\Lambda, -\Lambda-\nabla}(a \otimes b) \quad (a, b \in V). \quad (2.2.5)$$

Then $(V, \nabla, [\cdot]_X)$ is a $N_W = N$ SUSY Lie conformal algebra.

- (2) The map $X \mapsto [\cdot]_X$ gives a bijection

$$\text{MC}(L(\text{Chom}_{\Pi^{N+1}V}^{N_W}))_{\overline{1}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{N_W = N \text{ SUSY Lie conformal algebra structures on } (V, \nabla)\}.$$

Proof. We denote $\tilde{V} := \Pi^{N+1}V = (\Pi^{N+1}V, \nabla)$ and $\text{Chom}_{\tilde{V}} := \text{Chom}_{\Pi^{N+1}V}^{N_W}$ for simplicity, and let p, \tilde{p} be the parity of V, \tilde{V} respectively. Consider the linear map $[\cdot]_X : V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ defined by (2.2.5) for an odd element $X \in \text{Chom}_{\tilde{V}}$ (2).

The linear map $[\cdot]_X$ has parity \overline{N} : Since X is an odd linear map $X : \tilde{V} \otimes \tilde{V} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1,2}$,

$$p(X(a \otimes b)) = \tilde{p}(X(a \otimes b)) + \overline{N} + \overline{1} = \tilde{p}(a) + \tilde{p}(b) + \overline{N} = p(a) + p(b) + \overline{N}$$

holds for $a, b \in V$.

The linear map $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]_X$ satisfies (i) in Definition 2.2.1: This can be checked by direct calculation using

$$X(\varphi v) = (-1)^{\tilde{p}(\varphi)} \varphi X(v) \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_W^{\otimes 2}, v \in \tilde{V}^{\otimes 2}).$$

For instance, we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} [a_\Lambda^i S^i b] &= (-1)^{p(a)(\overline{N}+1)} X_{\Lambda, -\Lambda-\nabla}(a \otimes S^i b) = (-1)^{p(a)(\overline{N}+1)+\tilde{p}(a)} X_{\Lambda, -\Lambda-\nabla}((\text{id}_V \otimes S^i)(a \otimes b)) \\ &= (-1)^{p(a)(\overline{N}+1)+\tilde{p}(a)+1} (\theta^i + S^i) X_{\Lambda, -\Lambda-\nabla}(a \otimes b) \\ &= (-1)^{p(a)+\overline{N}} (\theta^i + S^i) [a_\Lambda b]_X \quad (a, b \in V). \end{aligned}$$

Note now that the map $X \mapsto [\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]_X$ gives a bijective correspondence between the set of all odd elements $X \in \text{Chom}_{\tilde{V}}(2)$ and the set of all linear maps $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot] : V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ of parity \overline{N} satisfying (i) in Definition 2.2.1.

The skew-symmetry (2.2.2) $\Leftrightarrow X^\sigma = X$ for any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_2$: The element X satisfies $X^\sigma = X$ ($\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_2$) if and only if

$$(-1)^{\tilde{p}(a)\tilde{p}(b)} X_{\Lambda_2, \Lambda_1}(b \otimes a) = X_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2}(a \otimes b) \quad (a, b \in V).$$

Since

$$[b_\Lambda a] = (-1)^{p(b)(\overline{N}+1)} X_{\Lambda, -\Lambda-\nabla}(b \otimes a), \quad [a_{-\Lambda-\nabla} b] = (-1)^{p(a)(\overline{N}+1)} X_{-\Lambda-\nabla, \Lambda}(a \otimes b) \quad (a, b \in V),$$

the skew-symmetry is equivalent to $X^\sigma = X$ ($\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_2$).

In what follows, let X be an odd element $X \in L^1(\text{Chom}_{\tilde{V}})$. Thus $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]_X : V \otimes V \rightarrow V_{\nabla}[\Lambda]$ is a linear map of parity \overline{N} satisfying (i), (ii) in Definition 2.2.1.

The Jacobi identity (2.2.3) $\Leftrightarrow X \square X = 0$: For $a, b, c \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (X \square X)(a \otimes b \otimes c) &= (X \circ_1 X)(a \otimes b \otimes c) + (X \circ_2 X)(a \otimes b \otimes c) + (X \circ_2 X)^{(1,2)}(a \otimes b \otimes c) \\ &= X_{\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2, \Lambda_3}(X_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2}(a \otimes b) \otimes c) + (-1)^{\tilde{p}(a)} X_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2+\Lambda_3}(a \otimes X_{\Lambda_2, \Lambda_3}(b \otimes c)) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{p}(a)\tilde{p}(b)+\tilde{p}(b)} X_{\Lambda_2, \Lambda_1+\Lambda_3}(b \otimes X_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_3}(a \otimes c)) \\ &= (-1)^{p(a)\overline{N}+(p(b)+1)(\overline{N}+1)} ([a_{\Lambda_1} [b_{\Lambda_2} c]] - (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})\overline{N}} [[a_{\Lambda_1} b]_{\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2} c] - (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})(p(b)+\overline{N})} [b_{\Lambda_2} [a_{\Lambda_1} c]]). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the Jacobi identity is equivalent to $X \square X = 0$. \square

Remark 2.2.9. Combined with Proposition 1.3.3, the theorem says that a Lie conformal algebra structure on (V, ∇) is an odd Lie algebra structure on the operad $\text{Chom}_{\mathbb{H}^{N+1}V}^{N_W}$. The condition being odd comes from Definition 2.2.1 of Λ -bracket to have parity \overline{N} .

2.3. $N_W = N$ SUSY chiral operad. Here is the main part of this §2, where we will introduce a natural $N_W = N$ SUSY analogue of the operad of vertex algebra in [BDHK19, §6].

Let us fix a $(1|N)_W$ -supervariable $\Lambda = (\lambda, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^N)$. For even linear transformations F and G on a linear superspace V , we define a linear map $\int_F^G d\Lambda : V[\Lambda] \rightarrow V$ by

$$\int_F^G d\Lambda \Lambda^{m|I} v := \frac{\delta_{I, [N]}}{m+1} (G^{m+1} v - F^{m+1} v) \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}, I \subset [N], v \in V). \quad (2.3.1)$$

The linear map $\int_F^G d\Lambda$ has the parity \overline{N} . Also, if V is a superalgebra (not necessarily unital nor associative), we define a linear map $\int_F^G d\Lambda a : V[\Lambda] \rightarrow V$ for $a \in V$ by

$$\left(\int_F^G d\Lambda a \right) \Lambda^{m|I} v := \left(\int_F^G d\Lambda \Lambda^{m|I} a \right) v,$$

where the term $\int_F^G d\Lambda \Lambda^{m|I} a$ in the right hand side is given by (2.3.1). Then the linear map $\int_F^G d\Lambda a$ has the parity $p(a)$. Using this integral, we introduce:

Definition 2.3.1 ([HK07, Definition 3.3.15]). Let $(V, \nabla, [\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]) = (V, T, S^1, \dots, S^N, [\cdot_\Lambda \cdot])$ be an $N_W = N$ SUSY Lie conformal algebra (Definition 2.2.1) and $\mu : V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ be an even linear map. We denote $ab := \mu(a \otimes b)$ for $a, b \in V$. A tuple $(V, \nabla, [\cdot_\Lambda \cdot], \mu)$ is called a *non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) For any $a, b \in V$,

$$T(ab) = (Ta)b + a(Tb), \quad S^i(ab) = (S^i a)b + (-1)^{p(a)} a(S^i b) \quad (i \in [N]). \quad (2.3.2)$$

(ii) (*quasi-commutativity*) For any $a, b \in V$,

$$ab - (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} ba = \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda [a_\Lambda b]. \quad (2.3.3)$$

(iii) (*quasi-associativity*) For any $a, b, c \in V$,

$$(ab)c - a(bc) = \left(\int_0^T d\Lambda a \right) [b_\Lambda c] + (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} \left(\int_0^T d\Lambda b \right) [a_\Lambda c]. \quad (2.3.4)$$

(iv) (*Wick formula*) For any $a, b, c \in V$,

$$[a_\Lambda bc] = [a_\Lambda b]c + (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})p(b)} b[a_\Lambda c] + \int_0^\lambda d\Gamma [[a_\Lambda b]_\Gamma c], \quad (2.3.5)$$

where Γ is an additional $(1|N)_W$ -supervariable.

For simplicity, we say (V, ∇) , or more simply V , is a non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra. The map μ is called the *multiplication* of V .

Definition 2.3.2. A non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra V is called an $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra if there exists an even element $|0\rangle \in V$ such that $a|0\rangle = |0\rangle a = a$ for all $a \in V$.

In the remaining of this § 2.3, let (V, ∇) be an \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule and $\Lambda_k = (\lambda_k, \theta_k^1, \dots, \theta_k^N)$ be a $(1|N)_W$ -supervariable for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we give several preliminaries to introduce the $N_W = N$ SUSY chiral operad (see Definition 2.3.12).

Lemma 2.3.3 and Lemma 2.3.4 below are SUSY analogues of [DK06, (1.38), (1.41)].

Lemma 2.3.3. Let $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot] : V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ be a linear map of parity \overline{N} satisfying the sesquilinearity (2.2.1) and $\mu : V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ be an even linear map satisfying (2.3.2). In addition, we assume that $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]$ and μ satisfy the skew symmetry (2.2.2) and the quasi-commutativity (2.3.3). Then the Wick formula (2.3.5) is equivalent to the following identity which is called the *right Wick formula*:

$$[ab_\Lambda c] = (-1)^{p(a)\overline{N}} (e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} a) [b_\Lambda c] + (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})p(b)} (e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} b) [a_\Lambda c] + (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})p(b)} \int_0^\lambda d\Gamma [b_\Gamma [a_{\Lambda-\Gamma} c]].$$

Here we denoted $\partial_\Lambda := (\partial_\lambda, \partial_{\theta^1}, \dots, \partial_{\theta^N})$, $\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda := T\partial_\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^N S^i \partial_{\theta^i}$, and for $a \in V$, we defined a linear map $e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} a : V[\Lambda] \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ by

$$(e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} a) \Lambda^{m|I} v := (-1)^{p(a) \cdot \#I} (e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} \Lambda^{m|I} a) v \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}, I \subset [N], v \in V).$$

Proof. If the Wick formula holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} [ab_\Lambda c] &= -(-1)^{(p(a)+p(b))p(c)+\overline{N}} [c_{-\Lambda-\nabla} ab] \\ &= -(-1)^{(p(a)+p(b))p(c)+\overline{N}} e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} [c_{-\Lambda} ab] \\ &= -(-1)^{(p(a)+p(b))p(c)+\overline{N}} e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} \left([c_{-\Lambda} a] b + (-1)^{(p(c)+\overline{N})p(a)} a [c_{-\Lambda} b] + \int_0^{-\lambda} d\Gamma [[c_{-\Lambda} a]_\Gamma b] \right) \\ &= -(-1)^{p(a)p(c)+\overline{N}+(p(a)+\overline{N})p(b)} e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} b [c_{-\Lambda} a] - (-1)^{p(b)p(c)+\overline{N}+p(a)\overline{N}} e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} a [c_{-\Lambda} b] \\ &\quad - (-1)^{(p(a)+p(b))p(c)+\overline{N}} e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} \int_{-T}^{-\lambda} [[c_{-\Lambda} a]_\Gamma b]. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the skew-symmetry in the first equality, the Wick formula in the third equality, and the quasi-commutativity in the last equality. A direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} -(-1)^{p(a)p(c)+\overline{N}} e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} b [c_{-\Lambda} a] &= (e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} b) [a_\Lambda c], \\ -(-1)^{(p(a)+p(b))p(c)+\overline{N}} e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} \int_{-T}^{-\lambda} [[c_{-\Lambda} a]_\Gamma b] &= (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})p(b)} \int_0^\lambda d\Gamma [b_\Gamma [a_{\Lambda-\Gamma} c]], \end{aligned}$$

by which the right Wick formula holds. We can similarly prove the converse. \square

Lemma 2.3.4. Let $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]: V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ and $\mu: V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ be the same as in Lemma 2.3.3. In addition, we assume that $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]$ and μ satisfy the skew-symmetry (2.2.2), the quasi-commutativity (2.3.3) and the Wick formula (2.3.5). Then the quasi-associativity (2.3.4) is equivalent to the following identity:

$$a(bc) - (-1)^{p(a)p(b)}b(ac) = (ab - (-1)^{p(a)p(b)}ba)c \quad (2.3.6)$$

Proof. Since the right-hand side of the quasi-associativity is symmetric with respect to a and b , it is clear that the quasi-associativity implies the identity (2.3.6). Conversely, we assume the identity (2.3.6). By the quasi-commutativity and the identity (2.3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (ab)c - a(bc) &= (-1)^{(p(a)+p(b))p(c)}c(ab) - (-1)^{p(b)p(c)}a(cb) + \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda[ab_\Lambda c] - a \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda[b_\Lambda c] \\ &= -(-1)^{p(b)p(c)} \left(\int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda[a_\Lambda c] \right) b + \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda[ab_\Lambda c] - a \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda[b_\Lambda c] \\ &= (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} \int_{-T}^0 d\Gamma \left[b_\Gamma \left(\int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda[a_\Lambda c] \right) \right] \\ &\quad - (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} b \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda[a_\Lambda c] + \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda[ab_\Lambda c] - a \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda[b_\Lambda c]. \end{aligned}$$

We can calculate the double integral as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda_2 \left[b_{\Lambda_2} \left(\int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda_1[a_{\Lambda_1} c] \right) \right] &= (-1)^{(p(b)+\overline{N})\overline{N}} \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda_2 \int_{-\lambda_2-T}^0 d\Lambda_1[b_{\Lambda_2}[a_{\Lambda_1} c]] \\ &= (-1)^{(p(b)+\overline{N})\overline{N}} \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda_2 \int_{-T}^{\lambda_2} d\Lambda_1[b_{\Lambda_2}[a_{\Lambda_1-\Lambda_2} c]] \\ &= (-1)^{p(b)N} \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda_1 \int_{\lambda_1}^0 d\Lambda_2[b_{\Lambda_2}[a_{\Lambda_1-\Lambda_2} c]], \end{aligned}$$

so by Lemma 2.3.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^{p(a)p(b)} \int_{-T}^0 d\Gamma \left[b_\Gamma \left(\int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda[a_\Lambda c] \right) \right] \\ &= - \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda[ab_\Lambda c] + (-1)^{p(a)\overline{N}} \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda(e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} a)[b_\Lambda c] + (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})p(b)} \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda(e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} b)[a_\Lambda c]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (ab)c - a(bc) &= (-1)^{p(a)\overline{N}} \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda(e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} a)[b_\Lambda c] - a \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda[b_\Lambda c] \\ &\quad + (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} \left((-1)^{p(b)\overline{N}} \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda(e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} b)[a_\Lambda c] - b \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda[a_\Lambda c] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since a direct calculation shows

$$(-1)^{p(a)\overline{N}} \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda(e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} a)[b_\Lambda c] - a \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda[b_\Lambda c] = \left(\int_0^T d\Lambda a \right) [b_\Lambda c],$$

the identity (2.3.6) implies the quasi-associativity. \square

Next we introduce the indefinite integral of the Λ -bracket (Definition 2.3.6), and translate the definition of $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra using the integral (Propositions 2.3.7 and 2.3.8). As a preliminary, let us show:

Lemma 2.3.5. For a linear map $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]: V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ of parity \overline{N} satisfying the sesquilinearity (2.2.1) and an even linear map $\mu: V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ satisfying (2.3.2), there exists a unique linear map $F: V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ of parity \overline{N} satisfying the following conditions for every $a, b \in V$:

$$\text{Res}_\Lambda(\lambda^{-1}F(S^i a \otimes b)) = -(-1)^N \text{Res}_\Lambda(\lambda^{-1}\theta^i F(a \otimes b)) \quad (i \in [N]),$$

$$\partial_\lambda F(a \otimes b) = [a_\Lambda b], \quad \text{Res}_\Lambda (\lambda^{-1} F(a \otimes b)) = ab.$$

Proof. Let us define a linear map $F: V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ by

$$F(a \otimes b) := \sum_{I \subset [N]} (-1)^{\#I \cdot (N+1)} \sigma(I) \theta^{[N] \setminus I} (S^I a) b + \int_0^\lambda d\lambda [a_\Lambda b] \quad (a, b \in V).$$

Then F has the parity \overline{N} and satisfies the above three conditions. Also, if linear maps $F, G: V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ satisfy the above three conditions, then we have the identities

$$\partial_\lambda F(a \otimes b) = \partial_\lambda G(a \otimes b), \quad \text{Res}_\Lambda (\lambda^{-1} \theta^I F(a \otimes b)) = \text{Res}_\Lambda (\lambda^{-1} \theta^I G(a \otimes b)) \quad (I \subset [N]),$$

which yield $F = G$. \square

Definition 2.3.6. Given a linear map $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]: V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ of parity \overline{N} satisfying the sesquilinearity (2.2.1) and an even linear map $\mu: V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ satisfying (2.3.2), we denote by

$$\int^\Lambda d\Gamma[\cdot_\Gamma \cdot]: V \otimes V \longrightarrow V[\Lambda]$$

the linear map F in the Lemma 2.3.5, and call it the integral of $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]$ (with respect to μ).

Propositions 2.3.7 and 2.3.8 below are SUSY analogues of [DK06, Definition 1.24].

Proposition 2.3.7. Let $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]: V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ be a linear map of parity \overline{N} satisfying the sesquilinearity (2.2.1), and $\mu: V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ be an even linear map satisfying (2.3.2). Then the skew-symmetry (2.2.2) and the quasi-commutativity (2.3.3) are equivalent to the following identity:

$$\int^\Lambda d\Gamma[b_\Gamma a] = (-1)^{p(a)p(b)+\overline{N}} \int^{-\Lambda-\nabla} d\Gamma[a_\Gamma b]. \quad (2.3.7)$$

Proof. By a direct calculation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_\Lambda \left(\lambda^{-1} \int^{-\Lambda-\nabla} d\Gamma[a_\Gamma S^i b] \right) &= (-1)^{p(a)} \text{Res}_\Lambda \left(\lambda^{-1} \theta^i \int^{-\Lambda-\nabla} d\Gamma[a_\Gamma b] \right), \\ \partial_\lambda \int^{-\Lambda-\nabla} d\Gamma[a_\Gamma b] &= -[a_{-\Lambda-\nabla} b], \quad \text{Res}_\Lambda \left(\lambda^{-1} \int^{-\Lambda-\nabla} d\Gamma[a_\Gamma b] \right) = (-1)^N \left(ab - \int_{-T}^0 d\Lambda[a_\Lambda b] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the skew-symmetry and the quasi-commutativity are equivalent to the identity (2.3.7). \square

Proposition 2.3.8. Let $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]: V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ and $\mu: V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ be the same in Proposition 2.3.7. In addition, we assume that $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]$ and μ satisfy the skew-symmetry and the quasi-commutativity. Then the Jacobi identity (2.2.3), the quasi-associativity (2.3.4) and the Wick formula (2.3.5) are equivalent to the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 \left[a_{\Gamma_1} \left(\int^{\Lambda_2} d\Gamma_2 [b_{\Gamma_2} c] \right) \right] - (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})(p(b)+\overline{N})} \int^{\Lambda_2} d\Gamma_2 \left[b_{\Gamma_2} \left(\int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 [a_{\Gamma_1} c] \right) \right] \\ &= (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})\overline{N}} \int^{\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2} d\Gamma \left[\left(\int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 [a_{\Gamma_1} b] - \int^{-\Lambda_2-\nabla} d\Gamma_2 [a_{\Gamma_2} b] \right)_\Gamma c \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.8)$$

Proof. Let $F(a \otimes b \otimes c)$ (resp. $G(a \otimes b \otimes c)$) denote the left hand side (resp. the right hand side) of (2.3.8).

The identity $\partial_{\lambda_1} \partial_{\lambda_2} F(a \otimes b \otimes c) = \partial_{\lambda_1} \partial_{\lambda_2} G(a \otimes b \otimes c)$ is equivalent to the Jacobi identity: In fact, a direct calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\lambda_1} \partial_{\lambda_2} F(a \otimes b \otimes c) &= [a_{\Lambda_1} [b_{\Lambda_2} c]] - (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})(p(b)+\overline{N})} [b_{\Lambda_2} [a_{\Lambda_1} b]], \\ \partial_{\lambda_1} \partial_{\lambda_2} G(a \otimes b \otimes c) &= (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})\overline{N}} [[a_{\Lambda_1} b]_{\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2} c]. \end{aligned}$$

The identity $\partial_{\lambda_1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2} (\lambda_2^{-1} F(a \otimes b \otimes c)) = \partial_{\lambda_1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2} (\lambda_2^{-1} G(a \otimes b \otimes c))$ is equivalent to the Wick formula: In fact, one can get by direct calculation that

$$\partial_{\lambda_1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2} (\lambda_2^{-1} F(a \otimes b \otimes c)) = (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})\overline{N}} [a_{\Lambda_1} b c] - (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})(p(b)+\overline{N})} b [a_{\Lambda_1} c],$$

$$\partial_{\lambda_1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2}(\lambda_2^{-1}G(a \otimes b \otimes c)) = (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})\overline{N}} \left([a_{\Lambda_1} b]c + \int_0^{\lambda_1} d\Gamma[[a_{\Lambda_1} b]_{\Gamma} c] \right).$$

The identity $\text{Res}_{\Lambda_1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2}(\lambda_1^{-1}\lambda_2^{-1}F(a \otimes b \otimes c)) = \text{Res}_{\Lambda_1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2}(\lambda_1^{-1}\lambda_2^{-1}G(a \otimes b \otimes c))$ is equivalent to the identity (2.3.6): In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2}(\lambda_1^{-1}\lambda_2^{-1}F(a \otimes b \otimes c)) &= (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})\overline{N}} (a(bc) - (-1)^{p(a)p(b)}b(ac)), \\ \text{Res}_{\Lambda_1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2}(\lambda_1^{-1}\lambda_2^{-1}G(a \otimes b \otimes c)) &= (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})\overline{N}} (ab - (-1)^{p(a)p(b)}ba)c. \end{aligned}$$

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_1}(\lambda_1^{-1}\theta_1^I F(a \otimes b \otimes c)) &= (-1)^{\#I(N+1)} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_1}(\lambda_1^{-1}F(S^I a \otimes b \otimes c)), \\ \text{Res}_{\Lambda_1}(\lambda_1^{-1}\theta_1^I G(a \otimes b \otimes c)) &= (-1)^{\#I(N+1)} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_1}(\lambda_1^{-1}G(S^I a \otimes b \otimes c)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2}(\lambda_2^{-1}\theta_2^I F(a \otimes b \otimes c)) &= (-1)^{\overline{\#I}(p(a)+\overline{1})} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2}(\lambda_2^{-1}F(a \otimes S^I b \otimes c)), \\ \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2}(\lambda_2^{-1}\theta_2^I G(a \otimes b \otimes c)) &= (-1)^{\overline{\#I}(p(a)+\overline{1})} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2}(\lambda_2^{-1}G(a \otimes S^I b \otimes c)), \end{aligned}$$

for any $I \subset [N]$, the identity $F(a \otimes b \otimes c) = G(a \otimes b \otimes c)$ holds if and only if the following identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\lambda_1} \partial_{\lambda_2} F(a \otimes b \otimes c) &= \partial_{\lambda_1} \partial_{\lambda_2} G(a \otimes b \otimes c), \\ \partial_{\lambda_1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2}(\lambda_2^{-1}F(a \otimes b \otimes c)) &= \partial_{\lambda_1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2}(\lambda_2^{-1}G(a \otimes b \otimes c)), \\ \text{Res}_{\Lambda_1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2}(\lambda_1^{-1}\lambda_2^{-1}F(a \otimes b \otimes c)) &= \text{Res}_{\Lambda_1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2}(\lambda_1^{-1}\lambda_2^{-1}G(a \otimes b \otimes c)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.3.4, the Jacobi identity, the quasi-associativity and the Wick formula are equivalent to the identity (2.3.8). \square

Now we introduce several superalgebras which are $N_W = N$ SUSY analogue of those in [BDHK19, §6.3].

Definition 2.3.9. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $Z_k = (z_k, \zeta_k^1, \dots, \zeta_k^N)$ be a $(1|N)_W$ -supervariable for each $k \in [n]$. We set

$$z_{k,l} := z_k - z_l, \quad \zeta_{k,l}^i := \zeta_k^i - \zeta_l^i \quad (2.3.9)$$

for $k, l \in [n]$ and $i \in [N]$. Also, we set $Z_{k,l} := (z_{k,l}, \zeta_{k,l}^1, \dots, \zeta_{k,l}^N)$ for simplicity.

(1) We denote by

$$\mathcal{O}_n^* := \mathbb{K}[Z_k]_{k=1}^n [z_{k,l}^{-1}]_{1 \leq k < l \leq n}$$

the localization of $\mathbb{K}[Z_k]_{k=1}^n$ by the multiplicatively closed set generated by $\{z_{k,l} \mid 1 \leq k < l \leq n\}$.

(2) Let $\mathcal{O}_n^{\star\Gamma}$ denote the sub-superalgebra of \mathcal{O}_n^* generated by the subset $\{z_{k,l}^{\pm 1} \mid 1 \leq k < l \leq n\} \cup \{\zeta_{k,l}^i \mid i \in [N], 1 \leq k < l \leq n\}$. Thus, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_n^{\star\Gamma} = \mathbb{K}[z_{k,l}^{\pm 1}, \zeta_{k,l}^i \mid i \in [N], 1 \leq k < l \leq n].$$

(3) Let \mathcal{D}_n denote the superalgebra of regular differential operators of Z_k , i.e., the sub-superalgebra of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{K}[Z_k]_{k=1}^n)$ generated by $Z_k = (z_k, \zeta_k^1, \dots, \zeta_k^N)$ and $\partial_{Z_k} = (\partial_{z_k}, \partial_{\zeta_k^1}, \dots, \partial_{\zeta_k^N})$ for $k \in [n]$. As a superspace, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \mathbb{K}[Z_k]_{k=1}^n [\partial_{Z_k}]_{k=1}^n = \mathbb{K}[z_k, \zeta_k^1, \dots, \zeta_k^N]_{k=1}^n [\partial_{z_k}, \partial_{\zeta_k^1}, \dots, \partial_{\zeta_k^N}]_{k=1}^n.$$

Here $\mathbb{K}[Z_k]_{k=1}^n [\partial_{Z_k}]_{k=1}^n$ is the free commutative superalgebra over $\mathbb{K}[Z_k]_{k=1}^n$ generated by even ∂_{z_k} and odd $\partial_{\zeta_k^i}$ for $i \in [N]$ and $k \in [n]$.

(4) Let \mathcal{D}_n^{Γ} denote the sub-superalgebra of \mathcal{D}_n generated by the subset $\{z_{k,l}, \zeta_{k,l}^i \mid i \in [N], 1 \leq k < l \leq n\} \cup \{\partial_{z_k}, \partial_{\zeta_k^i} \mid i \in [N], k \in [n]\}$. Thus we have

$$\mathcal{D}_n^{\Gamma} = \mathbb{K}[Z_{k,l}]_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} [\partial_{Z_k}]_{k=1}^n.$$

As in the non-SUSY case [BDHK19, §6.2], the superalgebra $\mathcal{O}_n^{\star\text{T}}$ is the translation invariant subalgebra of \mathcal{O}_n^{\star} . In other words, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_n^{\star\text{T}} = \text{Ker}(\delta^0) \cap \text{Ker}(\delta^1) \cap \cdots \cap \text{Ker}(\delta^N) \subset \mathcal{O}_n^{\star} \quad (2.3.10)$$

with $\delta^0 := \sum_{k=1}^n \partial_{z_k}$ and $\delta^i := \sum_{k=1}^n \partial_{\zeta_k^i}$ for $i \in [N]$.

The superspace $V^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_n^{\star\text{T}}$ carries a structure of a right \mathcal{D}_n -supermodule by letting $Z_k = (z_k, \zeta_k^1, \dots, \zeta_k^N)$ act as

$$(v \otimes f) \cdot z_k := v \otimes f z_k, \quad (v \otimes f) \cdot \zeta_k^i := v \otimes f \zeta_k^i,$$

and $\partial_{Z_k} = (\partial_{z_k}, \partial_{\zeta_k^1}, \dots, \partial_{\zeta_k^N})$ act as

$$(v \otimes f) \cdot \partial_{z_k} := T^{(k)} v \otimes f - v \otimes \partial_{z_k} f, \quad (v \otimes f) \cdot \partial_{\zeta_k^i} := (-1)^{p(v)+p(f)} (S^i)^{(k)} v \otimes f + (-1)^{p(f)} v \otimes \partial_{\zeta_k^i} f$$

for $v \in V^{\otimes n}$, $f \in \mathcal{O}_n^{\star\text{T}}$. Here, for a linear transformation $\varphi \in \text{End } V$, the symbol $\varphi^{(k)}$ denotes the linear transformation on $V^{\otimes n}$ defined by $\varphi^{(k)} := \text{id}_V \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{id}_V$.

Now let us recall the superspace $V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$ in (2.2.4). It also has a structure of right \mathcal{D}_n -supermodule by letting

$$a(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \cdot z_k := -\partial_{\lambda_k} a(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n), \quad a(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \cdot \zeta_k^i := -(-1)^{p(a)} \partial_{\theta_k^i} a(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)$$

and

$$a(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \cdot \partial_{z_k} := -a(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \lambda_k, \quad a(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \cdot \partial_{\zeta_k^i} := -a(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \theta_k^i$$

for $a(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \in V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$.

Thus, by restriction, we have right \mathcal{D}_n^{T} -supermodules $V^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_n^{\star\text{T}}$ and $V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$.

Now we introduce the main object of this § 2.3, which is an $N_W = N$ SUSY analogue of the operad P^{ch} in [BDHK19, §6.3].

Definition 2.3.10. For an \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule $V = (V, \nabla)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote

$$P_V^{\text{ch}N_W}(n) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_n^{\text{T}}}(V^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_n^{\star\text{T}}, V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n).$$

To stress the variables $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$, we express an element $X \in P_V^{\text{ch}N_W}(n)$ as $X_{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n}$.

In other words, $P_V^{\text{ch}N_W}(n)$ is a linear sub-superspace of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_n^{\star\text{T}}, V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n)$ spanned by elements X such that

$$X((v \otimes f) \cdot \varphi) = X(v \otimes f) \cdot \varphi \quad (v \in V^{\otimes n}, f \in \mathcal{O}_n^{\star\text{T}}, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_n^{\text{T}}).$$

For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $X \in P_V^{\text{ch}N_W}(n)$, we define a linear map $X^{\sigma}: V^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_n^{\star\text{T}} \rightarrow V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$ by

$$X^{\sigma}(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \otimes f) := X_{\sigma(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)}(\sigma(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) \otimes \sigma f)$$

for $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_n^{\star\text{T}}$, where $\sigma(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) := (\Lambda_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \Lambda_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$ as before and

$$(\sigma f)(Z_{k,l}) := f(Z_{\sigma^{-1}(k), \sigma^{-1}(l)}).$$

Then, one can easily verify $X^{\sigma} \in P_V^{\text{ch}N_W}(n)$. The linear superspace $P_V^{\text{ch}N_W}(n)$ carries a structure of a right \mathfrak{S}_n -supermodule by this action.

For $X \in P_V^{\text{ch}N_W}(k)$ and $Y_i \in P_V^{\text{ch}N_W}(n_i)$ with $i \in [k]$, we define a linear map

$$X(Y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_k): V^{\otimes(n_1+\cdots+n_k)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{n_1+\cdots+n_k}^{\star\text{T}} \longrightarrow V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_l]_{l=1}^{n_1+\cdots+n_k}$$

by letting

$$\begin{aligned} & X(Y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_k)(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n_1+\cdots+n_k} \otimes f) := \\ & X_{\Lambda'_1, \dots, \Lambda'_k} \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k (Y_i)_{\Lambda_{N_{i-1}+1} - \partial_{Z_{N_{i-1}+1}, \dots, \Lambda_{N_i} - \partial_{Z_{N_i}}} \right) \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k (v_{N_{i-1}+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{N_i} \otimes f_i) \right) \otimes f_0|_{Z_j = Z_{N_i} (N_{i-1}+1 \leq j \leq N_i)} \end{aligned}$$

for $v_1, \dots, v_{n_1+\dots+n_k} \in V$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_{n_1+\dots+n_k}^{\star T}$. Here we used $N_i := n_1 + \dots + n_i$, $\Lambda'_i := \Lambda_{N_{i-1}+1} + \dots + \Lambda_{N_i}$, and f is written in the form

$$f(Z_1, \dots, Z_{N_k}) = f_0(Z_1, \dots, Z_{N_k}) \prod_{i=1}^k f_i(Z_{N_{i-1}+1}, \dots, Z_{N_i})$$

so that f_0 has no poles at $z_i = z_j$ for $N_{i-1} + 1 \leq i < j \leq N_i$. The symbol $f_0|_{Z_j=Z_{N_i}(N_{i-1}+1 \leq j \leq N_i)}$ in the right hand side means that we apply the derivatives ∂_{Z_i} to f_0 before setting $Z_j = Z_{N_i}$.

Lemma 2.3.11. The \mathfrak{S} -supermodule

$$P_V^{\text{ch}N_W} := (P_V^{\text{ch}N_W}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is an operad by letting $X \otimes Y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes Y_k \mapsto X(Y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes Y_k)$ be the composition map and id_V be the identity.

Proof. The proof of non-SUSY case in [BDHK19, Proposition 6.7] works with minor modification. We omit the detail. \square

Summarizing the discussion so far, we name the obtained operad as follows. Recall the commutative superalgebra \mathcal{H}_W in Definition 2.1.2.

Definition 2.3.12. For an \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule $V = (V, \nabla)$, we call the operad $P_V^{\text{ch}N_W}$ the $N_W = N$ SUSY chiral operad.

Recall the symbol $\Pi^k V = (\Pi^k V, \nabla)$, which is explained right after Definition 2.2.7. Also recall the set $\text{MC}(L(\mathcal{Q}))$ of Maurer-Cartan solutions $X \square X = 0$, $X \in L(\mathcal{Q})^1$ in the graded Lie superalgebra $L(\mathcal{Q})$ associated to an operad \mathcal{Q} , explained in § 1.3. The following is the main statement of this § 2.3, which is a natural $N_W = N$ SUSY analogue of [BDHK19, Theorem 6.12].

Theorem 2.3.13. Let $(V, \nabla) = (V, T, S^1, \dots, S^N)$ be an \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule.

- (1) For an odd element $X \in L^1(P_{\Pi^{N+1}V}^{\text{ch}N_W})$ satisfying $X \square X = 0$, define linear maps $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]_X : V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ and $\mu_X : V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ by

$$[a_\Lambda b]_X := (-1)^{p(a)(\overline{N}+\overline{1})} X_{\Lambda, -\Lambda - \nabla}(a \otimes b \otimes 1_{\mathbb{K}}), \quad (2.3.11)$$

$$\mu_X(a \otimes b) := (-1)^{p(a)(\overline{N}+\overline{1})+\overline{1}} \text{Res}_\Lambda(\lambda^{-1} X_{\Lambda, -\Lambda - \nabla}(a \otimes b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1})) \quad (2.3.12)$$

for any $a, b \in V$. Then $(V, \nabla, [\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]_X, \mu_X)$ is a non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra.

- (2) The map $X \mapsto ([\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]_X, \mu_X)$ gives a bijection

$$\text{MC}(L(\mathcal{P}_{\Pi^{N+1}V}^{\text{ch}N_W}))_{\overline{1}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{non-unital } N_W = N \text{ SUSY vertex algebra structures on } (V, \nabla)\}.$$

In the rest of this section, we prove Theorem 2.3.13. We denote $\tilde{V} := \Pi^{N+1}V$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{V}}^{\text{ch}} := \mathcal{P}_{\Pi^{N+1}V}^{\text{ch}N_W}$ for simplicity, and let p, \tilde{p} be the parity of V, \tilde{V} respectively. Consider the linear maps $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]_X : V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ and $\mu_X : V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ defined by (2.3.11) and (2.3.12) for an odd element $X \in \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{V}}^{\text{ch}}(2)$.

The linear map $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]_X : V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ has the parity \overline{N} : In fact, since X is an odd linear map $X : \tilde{V}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_2^{\star T} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_\nabla[\Lambda_k]_{k=1,2}$,

$$p(X(a \otimes b \otimes 1_{\mathbb{K}})) = \tilde{p}(X(a \otimes b \otimes 1_{\mathbb{K}})) + \overline{N} + \overline{1} = \tilde{p}(a) + \tilde{p}(b) + \overline{N} = p(a) + p(b) + \overline{N}$$

holds for $a, b \in V$.

The linear map $\mu_X : V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ is even: This is clear since the residue map Res_Λ has the parity \overline{N} .

The linear map $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]_X$ satisfies (1) in Definition 2.2.1: This can be checked by a direct calculation similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2.8.

The linear map μ_X satisfies (1) in Definition 2.3.1: Since

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\Lambda, -\Lambda - \nabla}(T a \otimes b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}) &= -\lambda X_{\Lambda, -\Lambda - \nabla}(a \otimes b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}) - X_{\Lambda, -\Lambda - \nabla}(a \otimes b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-2}), \\ X_{\Lambda, -\Lambda - \nabla}(a \otimes T b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}) &= (\lambda + T) X_{\Lambda, -\Lambda - \nabla}(a \otimes b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}) + X_{\Lambda, -\Lambda - \nabla}(a \otimes b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-2}) \end{aligned}$$

hold for $a, b \in V$, we have $T(ab) = (Ta)b + a(Tb)$. Similarly, we can prove $S^i(ab) = (S^i a)b + a(S^i b)$.

Note now that there exists the integral of $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]_X$. By Lemma 2.3.5, we have

$$\int^\Lambda d\Gamma[a_\Gamma b]_X = (-1)^{p(a)(\overline{N}+\overline{1})+\overline{1}} X_{\Lambda, -\Lambda-\nabla}(a \otimes b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}) \quad (a, b \in V).$$

Thus we find that the map $X \mapsto ([\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]_X, \mu_X)$ gives a bijective correspondence between the set of all odd elements $X \in \mathcal{P}_{\overline{V}}^{\text{ch}}(2)$ and the set of all pairs $([\cdot_\Lambda \cdot], \mu)$ of a linear map $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]: V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ of parity \overline{N} satisfying (i) in Definition 2.2.1 and an even linear map $\mu: V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ satisfying (i) in Definition 2.3.1.

The skew-symmetry (2.2.2) and the quasi-commutativity (2.3.3) are equivalent to $X^\sigma = X$ for any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_2$: The element X satisfies $X^\sigma = X$ ($\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_2$) if and only if

$$(-1)^{\overline{p}(a)\overline{p}(b)} X_{\Lambda_2, \Lambda_1}(b \otimes a \otimes z_{2,1}^{-1}) = X_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2}(a \otimes b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}).$$

Since we have, for $a, b \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int^\Lambda d\Gamma[b_\Gamma a] &= (-1)^{p(b)(\overline{N}+\overline{1})} X_{\Lambda, -\Lambda-\nabla}(b \otimes a \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}), \\ \int^{-\Lambda-\nabla} d\Gamma[a_\Gamma b] &= (-1)^{p(a)(\overline{N}+\overline{1})} X_{-\Lambda-\nabla, \Lambda}(a \otimes b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 2.3.7, the skew-symmetry and the quasi-commutativity are equivalent to $X^\sigma = X$ ($\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_2$).

In what follows, let $X \in L^1(\mathcal{P}_{\overline{V}}^{\text{ch}})_\Gamma$, so that $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]_X: V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ and $\mu_X: V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ are linear maps satisfying (i), (ii) in Definition 2.2.1 and (i), (ii) in Definition 2.3.1.

The Jacobi identity (2.2.3), the quasi-associativity (2.3.4) and the Wick formula (2.3.5) are equivalent to $X \square X = 0$: First, we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.3.14. For an odd element $X \in P_{\Pi^{N+1}V}^{\text{ch}, Nw}(2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (X \circ_1 X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1} z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1}) &= (-1)^{p(b)(\overline{N}+\overline{1})} \int^{\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2} d\Gamma \left[\left(\int_{-\lambda_2-T}^{\lambda_1} d\lambda_1 \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1[a_{\Gamma_1} b] \right)_\Gamma c \right] \\ (X \circ_2 X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1} z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1}) &= (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})\overline{N}+p(b)(\overline{N}+1)+1} \\ &\quad \times \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 \left[\left(\int_{\lambda_2}^{\lambda_1+\lambda_2+T} d\lambda_2 a \right)_{\Gamma_1} \left(\int^{\Lambda_2} d\Gamma_2[b_{\Gamma_2} c] \right) \right] \\ (X \circ_2 X)^{(1,2)}(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1} z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1}) &= (-1)^{p(a)p(b)+p(b)+1} \\ &\quad \times \int^{\Lambda_2} d\Gamma_2 \left[\left(\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_1+\lambda_2+T} d\lambda_1 b \right)_{\Gamma_2} \left(\int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1[a_{\Gamma_1} c] \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (X \circ_1 X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1} z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1}) &= X_{\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2, \Lambda_3}(X_{\Lambda-\partial_{z_1}, \Lambda_2-\partial_{z_2}}(a \otimes b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}) \otimes c \otimes z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1}|_{z_1=z_2}) \\ &= (-1)^{p(a)(\overline{N}+\overline{1})+1} X_{\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2, \Lambda_3} \left(\int^{\Lambda_1-\partial_{z_1}} d\Gamma_1[a_{\Gamma_1} b] \otimes c \otimes z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1}|_{z_1=z_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For $F(\Lambda) \in V[\Lambda]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(\Lambda_1 - \partial_{z_1}) \otimes c \otimes z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1} &= e^{-\partial_{z_1} \partial_{\Lambda_1}} F(\Lambda_1) \otimes c \otimes z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \partial_{\lambda_1}^n F(\Lambda_1) \otimes c \otimes (\partial_{z_1}^n z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1})|_{z_1=z_2} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \partial_{\lambda_1}^n F(\Lambda_1) \otimes c \otimes \frac{-1}{n+1} \partial_{z_1}^{n+1} z_{1,3}^{-1} \\ &= - \int_0^{\partial_{z_1}} d\Lambda \theta^{[N]} e^{-\Lambda \cdot \partial_{\Lambda_1}} F(\Lambda_1) \otimes c \otimes z_{1,3}^{-1} \\ &= - \int_0^{\partial_{z_1}} d\Lambda \theta^{[N]} F(\Lambda_1 - \Lambda) \otimes c \otimes z_{1,3}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (X \circ_1 X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1} z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1}) \\
&= (-1)^{p(a)(\overline{N}+\overline{1})} X_{\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2,\Lambda_3} \left(\int_0^{\partial_{z_1}} d\Lambda \theta^{[N]} \int^{\Lambda_1-\Lambda} d\Gamma_1[a_{\Gamma_1} b] \otimes c \otimes z_{1,3}^{-1} \right) \\
&= (-1)^{p(a)(\overline{N}+\overline{1})} X_{\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2,\Lambda_3} \left(\left(\int_0^{\lambda_1+\lambda_2+T} d\Lambda \theta^{[N]} \int^{\Lambda_1-\Lambda} d\Gamma_1[a_{\Gamma_1} b] \right) \otimes c \otimes z_{1,3}^{-1} \right) \\
&= (-1)^{p(a)(\overline{N}+\overline{1})+\overline{1}} X_{\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2,\Lambda_3} \left(\left(\int_{\lambda_1}^{-\lambda_2-T} d\lambda_1 \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1[a_{\Gamma_1} b] \right) \otimes c \otimes z_{1,3}^{-1} \right) \\
&= (-1)^{p(b)(\overline{N}+\overline{1})} \int^{\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2} d\Gamma \left[\left(\int_{-\lambda_2-T}^{\lambda_1} d\lambda_1 \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1[a_{\Gamma_1} b] \right)_{\Gamma} c \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove the rest of two identities. \square

Lemma 2.3.15. For an odd element $X \in L^1(P_{\Pi^{\overline{N}+1}V}^{\text{ch}N_W})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})\overline{N}+p(b)(\overline{N}+\overline{1})+\overline{1}} (X \square X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1}) \\
&= \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 \left[a_{\Gamma_1} \left(\int^{\Lambda_2} d\Gamma_2 [b_{\Gamma_2} c] \right) \right] - (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})(p(b)+\overline{N})} \int^{\Lambda_2} d\Gamma_2 \left[b_{\Gamma_2} \left(\int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 [a_{\Gamma_1} c] \right) \right] \\
&\quad - (-1)^{(p(a)+N)N} \int^{\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2} d\Gamma \left[\left(\int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 [a_{\Gamma_1} b] - \int^{-\Lambda_2-\nabla} d\Gamma_2 [a_{\Gamma_2} b] \right)_{\Gamma} c \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is immediate by Lemma 2.3.14. \square

By Proposition 2.3.8 and Lemma 2.3.15, it is clear that $X \square X = 0$ implies the Jacobi identity, the quasi-associativity and the Wick formula. Conversely, assume the Jacobi identity, the quasi-associativity and the Wick formula. To prove $X \square X = 0$, it is sufficient to show that

$$\text{Res}_{\Lambda_1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2} (\lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2^{-1} \partial_{\lambda_1}^n (X \square X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1} z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1})) = 0 \quad (2.3.13)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b, c \in V$, because we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (X \square X)(S^i a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1} z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1}) = -\theta_1^i (X \square X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1} z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1}), \\
& (X \square X)(a \otimes S^i b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1} z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1}) = -(-1)^{\tilde{p}(a)} \theta_2^i (X \square X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1} z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\partial_{\lambda_2} - \partial_{\lambda_1})(X \square X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1} z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1}) = (X \square X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1}) = 0.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let us denote

$$F_n(a \otimes b \otimes c) := (-1)^{p(b)(\overline{N}+\overline{1})} \partial_{\lambda_1}^n \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2} (\lambda_2^{-1} (X \square X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1} z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1})). \quad (2.3.14)$$

By Lemma 2.3.14, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
F_0(a \otimes b \otimes c) &= \left(\int_{-T}^{\lambda_1} d\lambda_1 \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 [a_{\Gamma_1} b] \right) c + \int_0^{\lambda_1} d\Lambda \left[\left(\int_{-T}^{\lambda_1} \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 [a_{\Gamma_1} b] \right)_{\Lambda} c \right] \\
&\quad - \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 [(\lambda_1 + T) a_{\Gamma_1} b c] - \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 \left[\left(\int_0^{\lambda_1+T} d\Lambda a \right)_{\Gamma_1} \left(\int_0^{\lambda_2} d\lambda [b_{\Lambda} c] \right) \right] \\
&\quad - (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})p(b)} \left(\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_1+T} d\lambda_1 b \right) \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 [a_{\Gamma_1} c].
\end{aligned} \quad (2.3.15)$$

Lemma 2.3.16. For $n = 0, 1$ and $a, b, c \in V$, we have

$$\text{Res}_{\Lambda_1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2} (\lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2^{-1} \partial_{\lambda_1}^n (X \square X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1} z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1})) = 0$$

Proof. Since we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res}_{\Lambda_1}(\lambda_1^{-1}F_0(a \otimes b \otimes c)) \\ &= \left(((Ta)b)c - (Ta)(bc) - \left(\int_0^T d\Lambda Ta \right) [b_\Lambda c] - (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} \left(\int_0^T d\Lambda b \right) [Ta_\Lambda c] \right) \\ &+ (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} \left(((Tb)a)c - (Tb)(ac) - \left(\int_0^T d\Lambda Tb \right) [a_\Lambda c] - (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} \left(\int_0^T d\Lambda a \right) [Tb_\Lambda c] \right), \end{aligned}$$

the equation (2.3.13) for $n = 0$ follows from the quasi-associativity. Also, by (2.3.15)

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(a \otimes b \otimes c) &= \left(\int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 [a_{\Gamma_1} b] \right) c + \int_0^{\lambda_1} d\Lambda \left[\left(\int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 [a_{\Gamma_1} b] \right)_\Lambda c \right] \\ &- \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 [a_{\Gamma_1} bc] - \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 \left[\left(\int_0^{\lambda_1+T} d\Lambda a \right)_{\Gamma_1} [b_\Lambda c] \right] \\ &- (-1)^{(p(a)+\bar{N})p(b)} \left(\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_1+T} d\lambda_1 b \right) [a_{\Lambda_1} c], \end{aligned}$$

thus we get

$$\text{Res}_{\Lambda_1}(\lambda_1^{-1}F_1(a \otimes b \otimes c)) = (ab)c - a(bc) - \left(\int_0^T d\Lambda a \right) [b_\Lambda c] - (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} \left(\int_0^T d\Lambda b \right) [a_\Lambda c].$$

Hence the equation (2.3.13) for $n = 1$ follows from the quasi-associativity. \square

To show (2.3.13) for $n \geq 2$, we expand $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]$ in the form

$$[a_\Lambda b] = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}, I \subset [N]} \Lambda^{m|I} a_{m|I} b \quad (a, b \in V).$$

We also denote $a_{m|N}b := a_{m|[N]}b$. Using induction on n , we get for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n+2}(a \otimes b \otimes c) &= \sum_{m \geq n} n! \binom{m}{n} \lambda_1^{m-n} \left(\int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 [a_{\Gamma_1} b] \right)_{m|N} c + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k! \binom{m}{k} \binom{n}{k} \lambda_1^{m-k} \partial_{\lambda_1}^{n-k-1} [a_{\Lambda_1} b]_{m|N} c \\ &- \sum_{m \geq n} n! \binom{m}{n} \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 [(\lambda_1 + T)^{m-n} a_{\Gamma_1} (b_{m|N} c)] - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k! \partial_{\lambda_1}^{n-k-1} [a_{\Lambda_1} (b_{k|N} c)] \\ &- (-1)^{(p(a)+\bar{N})p(b)} \sum_{m \geq n, I \subset [N]} (-1)^{p(b)\#I} n! \binom{m}{n} ((\lambda_1 + T)^{m-n} \theta_1^I b) (a_{m|I} c). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3.17. For $n \geq 2$ and $a, b, c \in V$, we have

$$\text{Res}_{\Lambda_1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2} (\lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2^{-1} \partial_{\lambda_1}^n (X \square X) (a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1} z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1})) = 0$$

Proof. Let us use the notation F_n in (2.3.14). First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res}_{\Lambda_1}(\lambda_1^{-1}F_{n+2}(a \otimes b \otimes c)) \\ &= n! \text{Res}_\Lambda (\lambda^{-n-1} ([ab_\Lambda c] - (-1)^{p(a)\bar{N}} (e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} a) [b_\Lambda c] - (-1)^{(p(a)+\bar{N})p(b)} (e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_\Lambda} b) [a_\Lambda c])) \\ &- \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k! (n-k-1)! \left(a_{n-k-1|N} (b_{k|N} c) - \binom{n}{k} (a_{n-k-1|N} b)_{k|N} c \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the Jacobi identity yields

$$[a_{\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2} [b_{\Lambda_2} c]] = (-1)^{(p(a)+\bar{N})\bar{N}} [[a_{\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2} b]_{\Lambda_1} c] + (-1)^{(p(a)+\bar{N})(p(b)+\bar{N})} [b_{\Lambda_2} [a_{\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2} c]],$$

we obtain

$$(-1)^{(p(a)+\bar{N})p(b)} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_1} \left(\lambda_1^{-n-1} \int_0^{\lambda_1} d\Lambda_2 [b_{\Lambda_2} [a_{\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2} c]] \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})\overline{N}} \operatorname{Res}_{\Lambda_1} \left(\lambda_1^{-n-1} \int_0^{\lambda_1} d\Lambda_2 [a_{\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2} [b_{\Lambda_2} c]] \right) - \operatorname{Res}_{\Lambda_1} \left(\lambda_1^{-n-1} \int_0^{\lambda_1} d\Lambda_2 [[a_{\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2} b]_{\Lambda_1} c] \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{k!(n-k-1)!}{n!} \left(a_{n-k-1|N} (b_{k|N} c) - \binom{n}{k} (a_{n-k-1|N} b)_{k|N} c \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}_{\Lambda_1} (\lambda_1^{-1} F_{n+2}(a \otimes b \otimes c)) &= n! \operatorname{Res}_{\Lambda} \left(\lambda^{-n-1} ([ab_{\Lambda} c] - (-1)^{p(a)\overline{N}} (e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_{\Lambda}} a) [b_{\Lambda} c] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})p(b)} (e^{\nabla \cdot \partial_{\Lambda}} b) [a_{\Lambda} c] - (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})p(b)} \int_0^{\lambda} d\Gamma [b_{\Gamma} [a_{\Lambda - \Gamma} c]] \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the equation (2.3.13) for $n \geq 2$ follows from the right Wick formula. \square

As was mentioned around (2.3.13), $X \square X = 0$ follows from Lemma 2.3.16 and Lemma 2.3.17.

The proof of Theorem 2.3.13 is now complete.

2.4. Relation to $N_W = N$ SUSY chiral algebras. In [BDHK19, Appendix A], the authors discussed the relation between their operadic theory of vertex algebras and the theory of chiral algebra [BD04], which is an algebro-geometric reformulation of vertex algebras. Here we give a $N_W = N$ SUSY analogue of the argument in [BDHK19, Appendix A]. A SUSY analogue of chiral algebra was introduced by Heluani in [H07, §4], which will be used in our discussion.

We continue to work over a field \mathbb{K} of characteristic 0, and take a positive integer N . We will also extensively use the theory of superscheme. See [DM99], [H07, §2.2] and [KV11, §1] for the detail. An ordinary scheme is called an even scheme for distinction.

2.4.1. $N_W = N$ SUSY chiral algebras. Let X be an irreducible smooth projective $(1|N)$ -dimensional supercurve over \mathbb{K} in the sense of [KV11, p.1085]. More explicitly, it is a pair (X, \mathcal{O}_X) of a topological space X and a sheaf \mathcal{O}_X of commutative \mathbb{K} -superalgebras over X such that

- (i) $(X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{red}})$ is an irreducible smooth projective curve, where $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{red}}$ is the reduced part of \mathcal{O}_X . The sheaf \mathcal{O}_X is called the structure sheaf of X .
- (ii) For every $x \in X(\mathbb{K})$, there is an open subsets $U \subset X$ and some linearly independent odd elements $\theta^i \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ ($i \in [N]$) such that $\mathcal{O}_X(U) = \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{red}}(U) \otimes \mathbb{K}[\theta^1, \dots, \theta^N]$. Such U is called a coordinate neighborhood of x .

Hereafter we call such X a smooth $(1|N)$ -supercurve for simplicity. On each coordinate neighborhood $U \subset X$ of x , we can take a local coordinate z of the even curve $(X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{red}})$, and the tuple $Z = (z, \zeta^1, \dots, \zeta^N)$ satisfies the relation (2.1.1). We call Z a local coordinate of U .

On a smooth $(1|N)$ -supercurve X , we have the sheaf \mathcal{D}_X of differential operators, whose sections $\mathcal{D}_X(U)$ on a coordinate neighborhood $U \subset X$ is the superalgebra

$$\mathcal{D} := \mathbb{K}[Z][\partial_Z] = \mathbb{K}[z, \zeta^1, \dots, \zeta^N][\partial_z, \partial_{\zeta^1}, \dots, \partial_{\zeta^N}]$$

of regular differential operators generated by $\partial_z := \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ and $\partial_{\zeta^k} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^k}$ ($i \in [N]$). We denote by $\mathbf{Mod} \mathcal{D}_X$ the category of quasi-coherent sheaves of right \mathcal{D}_X -modules on X .

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we denote by $\Delta: X \hookrightarrow X^n$ the embedding of the big diagonal in X^n , i.e., the union of the hypersurfaces

$$Z_i = Z_j \tag{2.4.1}$$

for $i \neq j \in [n]$, using the local coordinates $Z_i = (z_i, \zeta_i^1, \dots, \zeta_i^N)$. Hereafter we denote $\Delta := \Delta(X) \subset X^n$ for simplicity. As commented in the beginning of [H07, §4], the big diagonal has relative codimension $1|N$, so that it is not a divisor (of codimension $1|0$) in genuine sense, and there is an ambiguity of the pushforward functor Δ_* . We follow [H07, §4.1.4] to resolve this problem: Let us consider the embedding

$$j: X^n \setminus \Delta \hookrightarrow X^n,$$

where $X^n \setminus \Delta$ is the superscheme with underlying topological space $U := |X|^n \setminus |\Delta|$ and structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X^n}|_U$. Then we define the pushforward $\Delta_* \mathcal{A}$ of a right \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{A} to be $\Delta_* \mathcal{A} := j_* j^* (\mathcal{O}_{X^{n-1}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}) / (\mathcal{O}_{X^{n-1}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A})$.

Now, for a right \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{A} , we set

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W}(n) := \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod } \mathcal{D}_X^n} (j_* j^* \mathcal{A}^{\boxtimes n}, \Delta_* \mathcal{A}).$$

By the argument in the even case [BD04], $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W} = (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a structure of an operad. The image of the generator of $\mathcal{L}ie(2)$ under φ gives a binary operation $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W}(2)$, which is a map $\mu_{\mathcal{A}} : j_* j^* \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Delta_* \mathcal{A}$ satisfying skew-symmetry and Jacobi identity. We call $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$ the chiral operation of \mathcal{A} , following the terminology in [BD04, §3.1].

A *non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY chiral algebra on X* is a pair (\mathcal{A}, φ) of a right \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{A} and an operad morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{L}ie \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W}$. In the language of Definition 1.3.2, such a morphism φ is nothing but a Lie algebra structure on the operad $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W}$.

Let us denote by ω_X the Berezinian bundle [H07, 2.2.7] of the smooth $1|X$ -dimensional curve X , which can be regarded as a SUSY analogue of the canonical bundle of an even curve. It is a right \mathcal{D}_X -module in the standard way, and moreover carries a non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY chiral algebra structure, established by Heluani in [H07, 4.1.7]. We denote by $\mu_{\omega} \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega_X}^{\text{ch}N_W}(2)$ the corresponding chiral operation.

Now we define an *$N_W = N$ SUSY chiral algebra \mathcal{A} on X* to be a non-unital one equipped with a morphism $\iota : \omega_X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ of right \mathcal{D}_X -modules such that the composition $\mu_{\iota \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}}$ (the restriction of $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$ to $j_* j^* \omega_X \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow j_* j^* \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}$) coincides with $j_* j^* \omega_X \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (j_* j^* \omega_X \boxtimes \mathcal{A}) / \omega_X \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_* \mathcal{A}$ (the latter in the even case is called the unit operation [BD04, 3.1.12, 3.3.3]).

2.4.2. SUSY chiral algebras on the superline. We write down $N_W = N$ SUSY chiral algebras over the affine superline $\mathbb{A}^{1|N}$, following the argument for the even case [BDHK19, §A.2].

Let $Z = (z, \zeta^1, \dots, \zeta^N)$ be a $(1|N)_W$ -supervariable and $\mathbb{K}[Z]$ be the corresponding polynomial superring in the sense of §2.1. In the setting of the previous §2.4.1, consider the case when the smooth $(1|N)$ -supercurve X is taken to be

$$X = \mathbb{A}^{1|N} := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[Z]),$$

i.e., the affine superspace of dimension $1|N$ in the sense of [KV11, Example 1.1.6]. A right \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{A} is determined by the right module $A := \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A})$ over the superalgebra $\mathcal{D} = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}_X)$ of regular differential operators on the supervariable Z .

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and $Z_k = (z_k, \zeta_k^1, \dots, \zeta_k^N)$ be a $(1|N)_W$ -supervariable for $k \in [n]$, regarded as the local coordinate of the k -th component of the n -th product supervariety $X^n = (\mathbb{A}^{1|N})^n$. Then we denote the superalgebra $\Gamma(X^n, \mathcal{O}_{X^n})$ of regular functions on X^n by

$$\mathcal{O}_n := \mathbb{K}[Z_1, \dots, Z_n].$$

Also recall the superalgebra $\mathcal{O}_n^* = \mathbb{K}[Z_k]_{k=1}^n [z_{k,l}^{-1}]_{1 \leq k < l \leq n}$ in Definition 2.3.9. Then, by the argument in [BDHK19, §A.2], we have

$$\Gamma(X, j_* j^* \mathcal{A}^{\boxtimes n}) \cong \mathcal{O}_n^* \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_n} A^{\otimes n}, \quad (2.4.2)$$

which is a right module over the superalgebra

$$\mathcal{D}_n := \mathbb{K}[Z_1, \dots, Z_n][\partial_{Z_1}, \dots, \partial_{Z_n}]$$

of regular differential operators on X^n . The module structure is given by the action on the right factor in (2.4.2).

Let $I \subset \mathcal{D}_n$ be the left ideal generated by $z_1 - z_k$ for $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. Then the quotient $I \setminus \mathcal{D}_n$ is a \mathcal{D}_1 - \mathcal{D}_n -bimodule, where the \mathcal{D}_n -action is the right multiplication, and the left action of $\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathbb{K}[Z][\partial_Z]$ -action is given by letting z, ζ^i act from left by z_1, ζ_1^i and $\partial_z, \partial_{\zeta^i}$ act as multiplication on the left by $\sum_{k=1}^n \partial_{z_k}, \sum_{k=1}^n \partial_{\zeta_k^i}$. Then, by the argument in [BDHK19, §A.2], we have

$$\Gamma(X^n, \Delta_* \mathcal{A}) \cong A \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_1} (I \setminus \mathcal{D}_n), \quad (2.4.3)$$

where the right \mathcal{D}_n -module structure is given by right multiplication on the right factor. Combining (2.4.2) and (2.4.3), we obtain an \mathfrak{S}_n -supermodule isomorphism

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W}(n) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod } \mathcal{D}_n} (\mathcal{O}_n^* \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_n} A^{\otimes n}, A \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_1} (I \setminus \mathcal{D}_n)), \quad (2.4.4)$$

where $\text{Mod } \mathcal{D}_n$ denotes the category of right \mathcal{D}_n -supermodules.

2.4.3. *Equivariant SUSY chiral algebras on the superline.* Next, we restrict the above description to the translation-equivariant part. We use the terminology on equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules in [BDHK19, §A.3], which we extend to superschemes in an obvious way. In particular, we will use the notion of *weakly equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules*.

The affine superline $X = \mathbb{A}^{1|N}$ has a natural action of the group superscheme $\text{Aff}^{1|N}$ of affine transformations. Consider the even subgroup scheme $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{G}_a \times \mathbb{G}_a^N \subset \text{Aff}^{1|N}$ consisting of translations. We have an equivalence of categories between \mathbb{T} -equivariant quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules and linear superspaces by taking the stalk at the origin $0 \in X$. The inverse functor sends a linear superspace V to the sheaf associated to the $\mathbb{K}[Z]$ -supermodule $V[Z] = \mathbb{K}[Z] \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V$ equipped with the action of $(u, v^1, \dots, v^N) \in \mathbb{T}$ by $v(z, \zeta^1, \dots, \zeta^N) \mapsto v(z + u, \zeta^1 + v^1, \dots, \zeta^N + v^N)$.

As for \mathbb{T} -equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules on $X = \mathbb{A}^{1|N}$, following the even case [BDHK19, §A.4], we have an equivalence of categories

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{weakly } \mathbb{T}\text{-equivariant quasi-coherent } \mathcal{D}_X\text{-modules } \mathcal{A} \text{ on } X) \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{H}_W\text{-supermodules } (V, \nabla) = (V, T, S^1, \dots, S^N)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4.5)$$

For the latter, see Definition 2.1.2. Indeed, given a \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{A} , taking the stalk of \mathcal{A} at the origin $0 \in X$, we obtain V as in the last paragraph, together with the endomorphisms $\nabla = (T, S^1, \dots, S^N)$ on V induced by the differential operators $\partial_Z = (\partial_z, \partial_{\zeta^1}, \dots, \partial_{\zeta^N})$ which satisfy the relations (2.1.3). Conversely, given a pair (V, ∇) , we have a \mathcal{D}_1 -module structure on $V[Z] = \mathbb{K}[z, \zeta^1, \dots, \zeta^i] \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V$ by letting Z act by multiplication by Z , and ∂_z (resp. ∂_{ζ^i}) act by $T - \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ (resp. $S^i - \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^i}$).

Now, following the argument in [BDHK19, §A.6], let us consider a weakly \mathbb{T} -equivariant \mathcal{D} -module \mathcal{A} on $X = \mathbb{A}^{1|N}$ corresponding to the \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule (V, ∇) . Then the associated \mathcal{D}_n -module (2.4.3) is given by

$$V \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[\nabla]} \mathbb{K}[Z][\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n]$$

with $Z = (z, \zeta^1, \dots, \zeta^N)$ and $\nabla_k = (T_k, S_k^1, \dots, S_k^N)$ satisfying the relations (2.1.3) for each $k \in [n]$. The commutative superalgebra $\mathbb{K}[Z][\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n]$ is regarded as a $\mathbb{K}[\partial]$ - \mathcal{D}_n -bimodule in the following way:

- The left action of $\nabla = (T, S^1, \dots, S^N)$ is given by $(\sum_{k=1}^n T_k, \sum_{k=1}^n S_k^1, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^n S_k^N)$.
- The right action of $\partial_{Z_k} = (\partial_{z_k}, \partial_{\zeta_k^1}, \dots, \partial_{\zeta_k^N})$ is the right multiplication by ∇_k , and the right action of $Z_k = (z_k, \zeta_k^1, \dots, \zeta_k^N)$ on $f = f(Z, \nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n) \in \mathbb{K}[Z][\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n]$ is given by

$$f \cdot z_k := z_k f + \frac{\partial}{\partial T_k} f, \quad f \cdot \zeta_k^i := (-1)^{p(f)} (\zeta_k^i f + \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k^i} f),$$

where $p(f)$ denotes the parity of f .

Hence, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W}(n)$ in (2.4.4) is equal to

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W}(n) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod } \mathcal{D}_n}(\mathcal{O}_n^* \otimes V^{\otimes n}, V \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[\nabla]} \mathbb{K}[Z][\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n]). \quad (2.4.6)$$

The translation group $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{G}_a \times \mathbb{G}_a^N$ acts on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W}(n)$ by letting $U = (u, v^1, \dots, v^N) \in \mathbb{T}$ act on $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W}(n)$ to give $\varphi^U \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W}(n)$ with

$$\varphi^U(f(Z_0, \dots, Z_n) \otimes v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) := \varphi(f(Z_1 - U, \dots, Z_n - U) \otimes v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n)|_{Z=Z+U} \quad (2.4.7)$$

for $f = f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) \in \mathcal{O}_n^*$ and $v_k \in V$, $k \in [n]$. Then the operad $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W}$ is \mathbb{T} -equivariant in the sense of [BDHK19, §A.5], and the \mathbb{T} -invariants $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W, \mathbb{T}}(n) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W}(n)$ form a sub-operad

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W, \mathbb{T}} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W}.$$

Now, we have the main statement of this §2.4.

Proposition 2.4.1. Let $V = (V, \nabla)$ be an \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule (Definition 2.1.2), and \mathcal{A} be the corresponding weakly \mathbb{T} -equivariant \mathcal{D} -module on $\mathbb{A}^{1|N}$ under the equivalence (2.4.5). Let $\mathcal{P}_V^{\text{ch}N_W}$ be the $N_W = N$ SUSY chiral operad in Definition 2.3.12. Then there is an isomorphism of operads

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W, \mathbb{T}} \cong \mathcal{P}_V^{\text{ch}N_W}.$$

Proof. The even case argument [BDHK19, Lemma A.1] works, so we explain the outline only.

By (2.4.6), we want to determine the T -invariant parts of $\mathcal{O}_n^* \otimes V^{\otimes n}$ and $V \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[\nabla]} \mathbb{K}[Z][\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n]$. As for the former, by (2.3.10), we have an isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{O}_n^* \otimes V^{\otimes n})^T = (\mathcal{O}_n^*)^T \otimes V^{\otimes n} = \mathcal{O}_n^{*T} \otimes V^{\otimes n}$$

as \mathcal{D}_n^T -supermodules, where

$$\mathcal{D}_n^T := \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \delta^0) \cap \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \delta^1) \cap \dots \cap \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \delta^N) \subset \mathcal{D}_n$$

with δ^i given after (2.3.10). As for the latter $V \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[\nabla]} \mathbb{K}[Z][\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n]$, by the argument of Lemma 2.2.3, we have a \mathcal{D}_n^T -supermodule isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} (V \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[\nabla]} \mathbb{K}[Z][\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n])^T &= V \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[\nabla]} \mathbb{K}[\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n] \xrightarrow{\sim} V[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n / \text{Im}(\nabla + \Lambda_1 + \dots + \Lambda_n), \\ v \otimes f(\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n) &\mapsto f(-\Lambda_1, \dots, -\Lambda_n)v. \end{aligned}$$

Now, any n -operation $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_W, T}(n)$ on $f \otimes v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n \in \mathcal{O}_n^* \otimes V^{\otimes n}$ is independent of Z by (2.4.7), so that it defines an element of $V[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n / \text{Im}(\nabla + \Lambda_1 + \dots + \Lambda_n)$. Thus we obtain an element of $\mathcal{P}_V^{\text{ch}N_W}(n)$ from φ . An inverse construction is given by the Taylor-expansion technique in the proof of [BDHK19, Lemma A.1]. \square

3. $N_K = N$ SUSY CHIRAL OPERAD

In this section, we introduce $N_K = N$ SUSY analogue of the operad $\mathcal{C}\text{hom}$ and P^{ch} in [BDHK19]. Most results and proofs in §2 for the $N_W = N$ case carry over to the $N_K = N$ case with minor modifications, so we omit several details.

3.1. Polynomial superalgebra. Here we give a summary on the polynomial ring of supervariables in the $N_K = N$ setting.

Definition 3.1.1. Let A be a set and $\Lambda_\alpha = (\lambda_\alpha, \theta_\alpha^1, \dots, \theta_\alpha^N)$ be a sequence of sets for each $\alpha \in A$. We denote by $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda_\alpha]_{\alpha \in A}$ the \mathbb{K} -superalgebra defined by even generators λ_α ($\alpha \in A$) and odd generators θ_α^i ($\alpha \in A$, $i \in [N]$) with relations

$$\lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta - \lambda_\beta \lambda_\alpha = 0, \quad \lambda_\alpha \theta_\beta^i - \theta_\beta^i \lambda_\alpha = 0, \quad \theta_\alpha^i \theta_\beta^j + \theta_\beta^j \theta_\alpha^i = -2\delta_{\alpha, \beta} \delta_{i, j} \lambda_\alpha \quad (\alpha, \beta \in A, i, j \in [N]).$$

Each Λ_α for $\alpha \in A$ is called a $(1|N)_K$ -supervariable, and $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda_\alpha]_{\alpha \in A}$ is called the $N_K = N$ polynomial superalgebra of the supervariables $(\Lambda_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$.

For a linear superspace V and $(1|N)_K$ -supervariable Λ_α ($\alpha \in A$), we denote

$$V[\Lambda_\alpha]_{\alpha \in A} := \mathbb{K}[\Lambda_\alpha]_{\alpha \in A} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V,$$

which is a $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda_\alpha]_{\alpha \in A}$ -supermodule.

Definition 3.1.2. Let \mathcal{H}_K be the \mathbb{K} -superalgebra defined by an even generator T and odd generators S^i ($i \in [N]$) with relations

$$TS^i - S^i T = 0, \quad S^i S^j + S^j S^i = 2\delta_{i, j} T \quad (i, j \in [N]).$$

For simplicity, we set $\nabla := (T, S^1, \dots, S^N)$.

Note that \mathcal{H}_K is isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda]$ as a superalgebra by the homomorphism defined by $T \mapsto -\lambda$, $S^i \mapsto -\theta^i$ ($i \in [N]$).

3.2. Operad of $N_K = N$ SUSY Lie conformal algebras. In this subsection, we introduce an $N_K = N$ SUSY analogue of the operad $\mathcal{C}\text{hom}$ in [BDHK19]. All results and proofs in $N_W = N$ case §2.2 are valid for $N_K = N$ setting with modifications below:

- Replace the superalgebra \mathcal{H}_W by \mathcal{H}_K .
- Replace all $(1|N)_W$ -supervariables by $(1|N)_K$ -supervariables.

Therefore we only refer to the results omitting their proofs.

Let us fix a $(1|N)_K$ -supervariable $\Lambda = (\lambda, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^N)$.

Definition 3.2.1 ([HK07, Definition 4.10]). Let V be a left \mathcal{H}_K -supermodule and $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]: V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ be a linear map of parity \overline{N} . A pair $(V, [\cdot_\Lambda \cdot])$ is called an $N_K = N$ SUSY Lie conformal algebra if it satisfies the conditions (i)–(iv) in Definition 2.2.1 replacing $(1|N)_W$ -supervariables $\Lambda, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$ by $(1|N)_K$ -supervariables.

The linear map $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]$ is called the Λ -bracket of the left \mathcal{H}_K -supermodule V . For simplicity, we say V is an $N_K = N$ SUSY Lie conformal algebra.

Hereafter we fix a left \mathcal{H}_K -supermodule $V = (V, \nabla)$. The polynomial superalgebra $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$ of $(1|N)_K$ -supervariables $(\Lambda_k)_{k=1}^n$ carries a structure of a right \mathcal{H}_K -supermodule by letting

$$f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \cdot T := f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \left(- \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \right), \quad f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \cdot S^i := f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \left(- \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_k \right)$$

for $f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$. Thus, we obtain a linear superspace

$$V_\nabla[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n := \mathbb{K}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_K} V. \quad (3.2.1)$$

As in the $N_W = N$ case (Lemma 2.2.3), we have:

Lemma 3.2.2. The linear superspace $V_\nabla[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$ is isomorphic to $V[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^{n-1}$ by the linear map

$$f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \otimes v \mapsto f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{n-1}, -\Lambda_1 - \dots - \Lambda_{n-1} - \nabla)v \quad (f \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n, v \in V).$$

The linear space $V_\nabla[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$ has a natural structure of a left $\mathcal{H}_K^{\otimes n}$ -supermodule. Indeed, since any element of \mathcal{H}_K is expressed uniquely as $P(\nabla)$ for some $P(\Lambda) \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda]$, the space $\mathbb{K}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$ carries a structure of a left $\mathcal{H}_K^{\otimes n}$ -supermodule by letting $P_1(\nabla) \otimes \dots \otimes P_n(\nabla) \in \mathcal{H}_K^{\otimes n}$ act on $f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \in \mathbb{K}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$ as

$$(P_1(\nabla) \otimes \dots \otimes P_n(\nabla))f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) := P_1(-\Lambda_1) \dots P_n(-\Lambda_n)f(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n).$$

Thus we obtain a left $\mathcal{H}_K^{\otimes n}$ -supermodule structure of $V_\nabla[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$.

Since the tensor product $V^{\otimes n}$ is naturally a left $\mathcal{H}_K^{\otimes n}$ -supermodule, the following definition makes sense:

Definition 3.2.3. For a left \mathcal{H}_K -supermodule $V = (V, \nabla)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote

$$\text{Chom}_V^{N_K}(n) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_K^{\otimes n}}(V^{\otimes n}, V_\nabla[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n).$$

In other words, $\text{Chom}_V^{N_K}(n)$ is the linear sub-superspace of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V^{\otimes n}, V_\nabla[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n)$ spanned by elements X such that

$$X(\varphi v) = (-1)^{p(\varphi)p(X)} \varphi X(v) \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_K^{\otimes n}, v \in V^{\otimes n}).$$

To stress the variable $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$, we express an element $X \in \text{Chom}_V^{N_K}(n)$ as $X_{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n}$.

As in §2.2 for the $N_W = N$ case, define the action of \mathfrak{S}_n on $\text{Chom}_V^{N_K}$ and the composition map, then we have an operad $\text{Chom}_V^{N_K} := (\text{Chom}_V^{N_K}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Definition 3.2.4. The operad $\text{Chom}_V^{N_K}$ is called the $N_K = N$ SUSY Lie conformal operad on the left \mathcal{H}_K -supermodule V .

The following is the main claim of this §3.2, which is a natural $N_K = N$ SUSY analogue of [BDHK19, Proposition 5.1]. This can be proved in the same way as in the $N_W = N$ case Theorem 2.2.8 with minor modification.

Theorem 3.2.5. Let $V = (V, \nabla)$ be a left \mathcal{H}_K -supermodule.

- (1) For an odd element $X \in L^1(\text{Chom}_{\Pi^{N+1}V}^{N_K})$ such that $X \square X = 0$, define a linear map $[\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]_X: V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ by

$$[a_\Lambda b] := (-1)^{p(a)(\overline{N}+1)} X_{\Lambda, -\Lambda-\nabla}(a \otimes b) \quad (a, b \in V).$$

Then $(V, [\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]_X)$ is an $N_K = N$ SUSY Lie conformal algebra.

- (2) The map $X \mapsto [\cdot_\Lambda \cdot]_X$ gives a bijection

$$\text{MC}(L(\text{Chom}_{\Pi^{N+1}V}^{N_K}))_{\overline{1}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{N_K = N \text{ Lie conformal algebra structures on } (V, \nabla)\}.$$

3.3. $N_K = N$ **SUSY chiral operad**. In this subsection, we introduce an $N_K = N$ SUSY analogue of the operad P^{ch} in [BDHK19]. Most results and proofs in § 2.3 for $N_W = N$ case are valid for $N_K = N$ setting with modifications below:

- Replace the superalgebra \mathcal{H}_W by \mathcal{H}_K .
- Replace $(1|N)_W$ -supervariables Λ, Λ_k ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) and Γ by $(1|N)_K$ -supervariables, while do not replace $(1|N)_W$ -supervariables Z_k ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) by $(1|N)_K$ -supervariables.
- For $(1|N)_W$ -supervariables $Z_k = (z_k, \zeta_k^1, \dots, \zeta_k^N)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, replace

$$z_{k,l} = z_k - z_l \text{ by } z_k - z_l - \sum_{i=1}^N \zeta_k^i \zeta_l^i, \quad \partial_{\zeta_k^i} \text{ by } D_{\zeta_k^i} := \partial_{\zeta_k^i} + \zeta_k^i \partial_{z_k} \quad (3.3.1)$$

Let us fix a $\Lambda = (\lambda, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^N)$ be a $(1|N)_K$ -supervariable. For even linear transformations F, G on linear superspace V , we can define a linear map $\int_F^G d\Lambda: V[\Lambda] \rightarrow V$ of parity \bar{N} as in § 2.3. Also, if V is a superalgebra (not necessarily unital nor associative), we can define a linear map $\int_F^G d\Lambda a$ ($a \in V$) of parity $p(a)$. Using this integral, we introduce:

Definition 3.3.1 ([HK07, Definition 4.19]). Let $(V, \nabla, [\cdot, \cdot]) = (V, T, S^1, \dots, S^N, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be an $N_K = N$ SUSY Lie conformal algebra and $\mu: V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ be an even linear map. We denote $ab := \mu(a \otimes b)$ for $a, b \in V$. A tuple $(V, \nabla, [\cdot, \cdot], \mu)$ is called a *non-unital $N_K = N$ SUSY vertex algebra* if it satisfies the conditions (i)–(iv) in Definition 2.3.1 replacing $(1|N)_W$ -supervariables Λ, Γ by $(1|N)_K$ -supervariables.

For simplicity, we say (V, ∇) , or more simply V , is a non-unital $N_K = N$ SUSY vertex algebra. The linear map μ is called the *multiplication* of V .

Definition 3.3.2. A non-unital $N_K = N$ SUSY vertex algebra V is called an *$N_K = N$ SUSY vertex algebra* if there exists an even element $|0\rangle \in V$ such that $a|0\rangle = |0\rangle a = a$ for all $a \in V$.

In the remaining of this § 3.3, we fix a left \mathcal{H}_K -supermodule (V, ∇) .

Lemma 2.3.3, Lemma 2.3.4 and their proofs are valid for $N_K = N$ setting with modifications replacing all $(1|N)_W$ -supervariables by $(1|N)_K$ -supervariables. Also Lemma 2.3.5 and its proof are valid replacing supervariables, thus we have the integral of Λ -bracket $\int^\Lambda d\Gamma: V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ for $(1|N)_K$ -supervariable Λ . Using this integral, one can similarly prove Proposition 2.3.7 and Proposition 2.3.8 with $(1|N)_W$ -supervariables replaced by $(1|N)_K$ -supervariables.

Definition 3.3.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Z_k = (z_k, \zeta_k^1, \dots, \zeta_k^N)$ be a $(1|N)_W$ -supervariable for each $k \in [n]$. We set

$$z_{k,l} := z_k - z_l - \sum_{i=1}^N \zeta_k^i \zeta_l^i, \quad \zeta_{k,l}^i := \zeta_k^i - \zeta_l^i$$

for $k, l \in [n]$ and $i \in [N]$. Also, we set $Z_{k,l} := (z_{k,l}, \zeta_{k,l}^1, \dots, \zeta_{k,l}^N)$ for simplicity.

- (1) We denote by

$$\mathcal{O}_n^* := \mathbb{K}[Z_k]_{k=1}^n [z_{k,l}^{-1}]_{1 \leq k < l \leq n}$$

the localization of $\mathbb{K}[Z_k]_{k=1}^n$ by the multiplicatively closed set generated by $\{z_{k,l} \mid 1 \leq k < l \leq n\}$.

- (2) Let $\mathcal{O}_n^{*\text{T}}$ denote the sub-superalgebra of \mathcal{O}_n^* generated by the subset $\{z_{k,l}^{\pm 1} \mid 1 \leq k < l \leq n\} \cup \{\zeta_{k,l}^i \mid i \in [N], 1 \leq k < l \leq n\}$. Thus, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_n^{*\text{T}} = \mathbb{K}[z_{k,l}^{\pm 1}, \zeta_{k,l}^i \mid i \in [N], 1 \leq k < l \leq n].$$

- (3) Let \mathcal{D}_n denote the superalgebra of regular differential operators of Z_k , i.e., the sub-superalgebra of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{K}[Z_k]_{k=1}^n)$ generated by $Z_k = (z_k, \zeta_k^1, \dots, \zeta_k^N)$ and $D_{Z_k} = (\partial_{z_k}, D_{\zeta_k^1}, \dots, D_{\zeta_k^N})$ for $k \in [n]$. As a superspace, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \mathbb{K}[Z_k]_{k=1}^n [D_{Z_k}]_{k=1}^n = \mathbb{K}[z_k, \zeta_k^1, \dots, \zeta_k^N]_{k=1}^n [\partial_{z_k}, D_{\zeta_k^1}, \dots, D_{\zeta_k^N}]_{k=1}^n.$$

- (4) Let \mathcal{D}_n^{T} denote the sub-superalgebra of \mathcal{D}_n generated by the subset $\{z_{k,l}, \zeta_{k,l}^i \mid i \in [N], 1 \leq k < l \leq n\} \cup \{\partial_{z_k}, D_{\zeta_k^i} \mid i \in [N], k \in [n]\}$. Thus we have

$$\mathcal{D}_n^{\text{T}} = \mathbb{K}[Z_{k,l}]_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} [D_{Z_k}]_{k=1}^n.$$

The superspace $V^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_n^{\star T}$ carries a structure of a right \mathcal{D}_n -supermodule by letting $Z_k = (z_k, \zeta_k^1, \dots, \zeta_k^N)$ act as

$$(v \otimes f) \cdot z_k := v \otimes f z_k, \quad (v \otimes f) \cdot \zeta_k^i := v \otimes f \zeta_k^i,$$

and $D_{Z_k} = (\partial_{z_k}, D_{\zeta_k^1}, \dots, D_{\zeta_k^N})$ act as

$$(v \otimes f) \cdot \partial_{z_k} := T^{(k)} v \otimes f - v \otimes \partial_{z_k} f, \quad (v \otimes f) \cdot D_{\zeta_k^i} := (-1)^{p(v)+p(f)} (S^i)^{(k)} v \otimes f + (-1)^{p(f)} v \otimes \partial_{\zeta_k^i} f$$

for $v \in V^{\otimes n}$, $f \in \mathcal{O}_n^{\star T}$. Here, for a linear transformation $\varphi \in \text{End } V$, the symbol $\varphi^{(k)}$ denotes the linear transformation on $V^{\otimes n}$ defined by $\varphi^{(k)} := \text{id}_V \otimes \dots \otimes \overset{k}{\varphi} \otimes \dots \otimes \text{id}_V$.

Now let us recall the superspace $V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$ in (3.2.1). It also has a structure of right \mathcal{D}_n -supermodule by letting

$$a(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \cdot z_k := -\partial_{\lambda_k} a(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n), \quad a(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \cdot \zeta_k^i := -(-1)^{p(a)} \partial_{\theta_k^i} a(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)$$

and

$$a(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \cdot \partial_{z_k} := -a(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \lambda_k, \quad a(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \cdot D_{\zeta_k^i} := -a(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \theta_k^i$$

for $a(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \in V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$.

Thus, by restriction, we have right \mathcal{D}_n^T -supermodules $V^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_n^{\star T}$ and $V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n$.

Definition 3.3.4. For a left \mathcal{H}_K -supermodule $V = (V, \nabla)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote

$$P_V^{\text{ch}N_K}(n) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_n^T}(V^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_n^{\star T}, V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n).$$

To stress the variables $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$, we express an element $X \in P_V^{\text{ch}N_K}(n)$ as $X_{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n}$.

In other words, $P_V^{\text{ch}N_K}(n)$ is a linear sub-superspace of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_n^{\star T}, V_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n)$ spanned by elements X such that

$$X((v \otimes f) \cdot \varphi) = X(v \otimes f) \cdot \varphi \quad (v \in V^{\otimes n}, f \in \mathcal{O}_n^{\star T}, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_n^T).$$

As in § 2.3 for the $N_W = N$ case, define the action of \mathfrak{S}_n and the composition map, then we have an operad $P_V^{\text{ch}N_K} := (P_V^{\text{ch}N_K}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Definition 3.3.5. For a left \mathcal{H}_K -supermodule $V = (V, \nabla)$, we call the operad $P_V^{\text{ch}N_K}$ the $N_K = N$ SUSY chiral operad.

The following is the main statement of this § 3.3, which is a natural $N_K = N$ SUSY analogue of [BDHK19, Theorem 6.12].

Theorem 3.3.6. Let $(V, \nabla) = (V, T, S^1, \dots, S^N)$ be a left \mathcal{H}_K -supermodule.

- (1) For an odd element $X \in L^1(P_{\Pi^{N+1}V}^{\text{ch}N_K})$ satisfying $X \square X = 0$, define linear maps $[\cdot_{\Lambda} \cdot]_X : V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ and $\mu_X : V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ by

$$[a_{\Lambda} b]_X := (-1)^{p(a)(\overline{N}+1)} X_{\Lambda, -\Lambda - \nabla}(a \otimes b \otimes 1_{\mathbb{K}}), \quad (3.3.2)$$

$$\mu_X(a \otimes b) := (-1)^{p(a)(\overline{N}+1)+1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda}(\lambda^{-1} X_{\Lambda, -\Lambda - \nabla}(a \otimes b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1})) \quad (3.3.3)$$

for $a, b \in V$. Then $(V, \nabla, [\cdot_{\Lambda} \cdot]_X, \mu_X)$ is a non-unital $N_K = N$ SUSY vertex algebra.

- (2) The map $X \mapsto ([\cdot_{\Lambda} \cdot]_X, \mu_X)$ gives a bijection

$$\text{MC}(L(P_{\Pi^{N+1}V}^{\text{ch}N_K}))_{\overline{1}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{non-unital } N_K = N \text{ SUSY vertex algebra structures on } (V, \nabla)\}.$$

In the rest of this section, we prove Theorem 3.3.6. We denote $\tilde{V} := \Pi^{N+1}V$ and $P_{\tilde{V}}^{\text{ch}} := P_{\Pi^{N+1}V}^{\text{ch}N_K}$ for simplicity, and let p, \tilde{p} be the parity of V, \tilde{V} respectively. Consider the linear maps $[\cdot_{\Lambda} \cdot]_X : V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ and $\mu_X : V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ defined by (3.3.2) and (3.3.3) for an odd element $X \in P_{\tilde{V}}^{\text{ch}}(2)$.

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.3.13, one can show that the map $X \mapsto ([\cdot_{\Lambda} \cdot]_X, \mu_X)$ gives a bijective correspondence between the set of all odd elements $X \in P_{\tilde{V}}^{\text{ch}}(2)$ and the set of all pairs $([\cdot_{\Lambda} \cdot], \mu)$ of a linear map $[\cdot_{\Lambda} \cdot] : V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ of parity \overline{N} satisfying (i) in Definition 3.2.1 and an even linear map $\mu : V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ satisfying (i) in Definition 3.3.1. Also, one can prove that the skew-symmetry and the quasi-commutativity are equivalent to $X^{\sigma} = X$ for any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_2$.

In what follows, let $X \in L^1(P_{\bar{V}}^{\text{ch}})_{\bar{\Gamma}}$, so that $[\cdot]_X: V \otimes V \rightarrow V[\Lambda]$ and $\mu_X: V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ are linear maps satisfying (i), (ii) in Definition 3.2.1 and (i), (ii) in Definition 3.3.1. We need to prove that the Jacobi identity, the quasi-associativity and the Wick formula are equivalent to $X \square X = 0$. First, we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.3.7. For an odd element $X \in P_{\Pi^{N+1}V}^{\text{ch}N_K}(2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2}(\lambda_2^{-1}(X \circ_1 X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}z_{1,3}^{-1}z_{2,3}^{-1})) \\
&= (-1)^{p(b)(\bar{N}+\bar{\Gamma})} \left(\left(\int_{-T}^{\lambda_1} d\lambda_1 \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1[a_{\Gamma_1}b] \right) c + \int_0^{\lambda_1} d\Lambda \left[\left(\int_{-T}^{\lambda_1} \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1[a_{\Gamma_1}b] \right)_{\Lambda} c \right] \right), \\
& \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2}(\lambda_2^{-1}(X \circ_2 X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}z_{1,3}^{-1}z_{2,3}^{-1})) \\
&= -(-1)^{p(b)(\bar{N}+\bar{\Gamma})} \left(\int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1[(\lambda_1 + T)a_{\Gamma_1}bc] + \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 \left[\left(\int_0^{\lambda_1+T} d\Lambda a \right)_{\Gamma_1} \left(\int_0^{\lambda} d\lambda [b_{\Lambda}c] \right) \right] \right), \\
&= \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2}(\lambda_2^{-1}(X \circ_2 X)^{(1,2)}(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}z_{1,3}^{-1}z_{2,3}^{-1})) \\
&= -(-1)^{p(b)(\bar{N}+\bar{\Gamma})} (-1)^{(p(a)+\bar{N})p(b)} \left(\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_1+T} d\lambda_1 b \right) \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1[a_{\Gamma_1}c].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. One can calculate the first identity in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 2.3.14. Similarly, we get the third identity. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2}(\lambda_2^{-1}(X \circ_2 X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}z_{1,3}^{-1}z_{2,3}^{-1})) \\
&= (-1)^{p(a)(\bar{N}+\bar{\Gamma})} (-1)^{p(b)(\bar{N}+\bar{\Gamma})} X_{\Lambda_1, -\Lambda_1 - \nabla} \left(a \otimes \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2} \left(\lambda_2^{-1} \int^{\Lambda_2 - D_{z_2}} d\Gamma_2[b_{\Gamma_2}c] \right) \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}z_{1,3}^{-1} \Big|_{z_2=z_3} \right) \\
&= -(-1)^{p(b)(\bar{N}+\bar{\Gamma})} \left(\int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1[(\lambda_1 + T)a_{\Gamma_1}bc] + \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 \left[\left(\int_0^{\lambda_1+T} d\Lambda a \right)_{\Gamma_1} \left(\int_0^{\lambda} d\lambda [b_{\Lambda}c] \right) \right] \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.3.8. For an odd element $X \in L^1(P_{\Pi^{N+1}V}^{\text{ch}N_K})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{(p(a)+\bar{N})\bar{N}+p(b)(\bar{N}+\bar{\Gamma})+\bar{\Gamma}} (X \square X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,3}^{-1}z_{2,3}^{-1}) \\
&= \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 \left[a_{\Gamma_1} \left(\int^{\Lambda_2} d\Gamma_2[b_{\Gamma_2}c] \right) \right] - (-1)^{(p(a)+\bar{N})(p(b)+\bar{N})} \int^{\Lambda_2} d\Gamma_2 \left[b_{\Gamma_2} \left(\int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1[a_{\Gamma_1}c] \right) \right] \\
&\quad - (-1)^{(p(a)+N)N} \int^{\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2} d\Gamma \left[\left(\int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1[a_{\Gamma_1}b] - \int^{-\Lambda_2-\nabla} d\Gamma_2[a_{\Gamma_2}b] \right)_{\Gamma} c \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, a direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (X \circ_2 X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,3}^{-1}z_{2,3}^{-1}) = (-1)^{(p(a)+\bar{N})\bar{N}+p(b)(\bar{N}+\bar{\Gamma})+\bar{\Gamma}} \int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1 \left[a_{\Gamma_1} \left(\int^{\Lambda_2} d\Gamma_2[b_{\Gamma_2}c] \right) \right], \\
& (X \circ_2 X)^{(1,2)}(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,3}^{-1}z_{2,3}^{-1}) = (-1)^{p(a)p(b)+p(b)} \int^{\Lambda_2} d\Gamma_2 \left[b_{\Gamma_2} \left(\int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1[a_{\Gamma_1}c] \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (X \circ_1 X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,3}^{-1}z_{2,3}^{-1}) = (\partial_{\lambda_2} - \partial_{\lambda_1})(X \circ_1 X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}z_{1,3}^{-1}z_{2,3}^{-1}) \\
&= (X \circ_1 X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}z_{2,3}^{-1}) - (X \circ_1 X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}z_{1,3}^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, a direct calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& (X \circ_1 X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}z_{2,3}^{-1}) = (-1)^{p(b)(\bar{N}+\bar{\Gamma})} \int^{\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2} d\Gamma \left[\left(\int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1[a_{\Gamma_1}b] \right)_{\Gamma} c \right], \\
& (X \circ_1 X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}z_{1,3}^{-1}) = (-1)^{p(b)(\bar{N}+\bar{\Gamma})} \int^{\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2} d\Gamma \left[\left(\int^{-\Lambda_2-\nabla} d\Gamma_2[a_{\Gamma_2}b] \right) c \right],
\end{aligned}$$

and using $X^\sigma = X$ ($\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_2$) for the second identity, we get

$$(X \circ_1 X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1}) = (-1)^{p(b)(\bar{N}+1)} \int^{\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2} d\Gamma \left[\left(\int^{\Lambda_1} d\Gamma_1[a_{\Gamma_1} b] - \int^{-\Lambda_2 - \nabla} d\Gamma_2[a_{\Gamma_2} b] \right) c \right].$$

The claim of this lemma is now clear. \square

By Lemma 3.3.8, it is clear that $X \square X = 0$ implies the Jacobi identity, the quasi-associativity and the Wick formula. Conversely, assume the Jacobi identity, the quasi-associativity and the Wick formula. To prove $X \square X = 0$, it is sufficient to show that

$$\text{Res}_{\Lambda_1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2} (\lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2^{-1} \partial_{\lambda_1}^n (X \square X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1} z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1})) = 0 \quad (3.3.4)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b, c \in V$, because we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res}_{\Lambda_1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2} (\lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2^{-1} \partial_{\lambda_1}^n \theta_1^I \theta_2^J (X \square X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1} z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1})) \\ &= (-1)^{\#I} (-1)^{(\bar{p}(a)+1)\#J} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_1} \text{Res}_{\Lambda_2} (\lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2^{-1} \partial_{\lambda_1}^n (X \square X)(S^I a \otimes S^J b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1} z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1})) \end{aligned}$$

for any $I, J \subset [N]$ and

$$(\partial_{\lambda_2} - \partial_{\lambda_1})(X \square X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1} z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1}) = (X \square X)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes z_{1,3}^{-1} z_{2,3}^{-1}) = 0.$$

The identity (3.3.4) can be proved as in the proof of Theorem 2.3.13 using Lemma 3.3.7.

3.4. Relation to $N_K = N$ SUSY chiral algebras. We can relate our operad $\mathcal{P}^{\text{ch}N_K}$ to the $N_K = N$ SUSY chiral algebras, as we did for the $N_W = N$ case in §2.4. We just comment the necessary modification, and suppress the full presentation.

Instead of a $(1|N)$ -supercurve, we consider an oriented superconformal $(1|N)$ -supercurve X [H07, 2.2.11]. It is defined to be a $(1|N)$ -supercurve equipped with the differential form ω which is locally give by

$$\omega = dz + \sum_{k=1}^N \zeta^i d\zeta^i$$

with respect to some local coordinate $Z_i = (z, \zeta^1, \dots, \zeta^N)$, and is well-defined up to multiplication by a function. Then, recalling (3.3.1), we replace the big diagonal $\Delta: X \subset X^n$ in (2.4.1) by the *super-diagonal* $\Delta^s: X \subset X^n$. Using local coordinates $Z_i = (z_i, \zeta_i^1, \dots, \zeta_i^N)$, it is the union of the divisors (sub-superscheme of $1|0$ -codimension) in X^n defined by

$$0 = \zeta_i - \zeta_j - \sum_{k=1}^N \zeta_i^k \zeta_j^k \quad (i \neq j \in [n]).$$

Then we have the standard pushforward functor Δ_*^s of \mathcal{D} -modules. Together with the open embedding $j: U \hookrightarrow X^n$, we define

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_K}(n) := \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod } \mathcal{D}_{X^n}} (j_* j^* \mathcal{A}^{\boxtimes n}, \Delta_*^s \mathcal{A})$$

for a right \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{A} . It gives rise to an operad $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_K} = (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_K}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

The translation group $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{G}_a \times \mathbb{G}_a^N$ acts on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_K}(n)$ by the formula (2.4.7) with $Z_i - U$ understood as (3.3.1). Then the operad $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_K}$ is \mathbb{T} -equivariant, and the \mathbb{T} -invariants $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_K, \mathbb{T}}(n) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_K}(n)$ form a sub-operad

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_K, \mathbb{T}} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_K}.$$

The remaining part goes similarly as the $N_W = N$ case in §2.4, and we have:

Proposition 3.4.1. Let $V = (V, \nabla)$ be an \mathcal{H}_K -supermodule, and \mathcal{A} be the corresponding weakly \mathbb{T} -equivariant \mathcal{D} -module on $\mathbb{A}^{1|N}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_V^{\text{ch}N_K}$ be the $N_K = N$ SUSY chiral operad. Then there is an isomorphism of operads

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ch}N_K, \mathbb{T}} \cong \mathcal{P}_V^{\text{ch}N_K}.$$

4. COHOMOLOGY OF SUSY VERTEX ALGEBRAS

4.1. Recollection on the Lie algebra cohomology. Here we give a brief recollection on the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of a Lie algebra from the viewpoint of the operad theory. For the detail, we refer to [LV12, Chap. 12, §13.2].

We use the language of operads reviewed in § 1. Let V be a linear space. Recall the convolution Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}ie, V} = (\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathcal{L}ie^i, \mathcal{H}om_V), [\cdot, \cdot])$$

in (1.2.4) and the set of solutions of the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$\text{MC}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}ie, V}) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}ie, V}^1 \mid \frac{1}{2}[X, X] = 0\},$$

both being specialized for the Lie operad $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L}ie$. A Maurer-Cartan solution $X \in \text{MC}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}ie, V})$ corresponds bijectively to a Lie algebra structure $[\cdot, \cdot]_X$ on V .

Given a Maurer-Cartan solution $X \in \text{MC}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}ie, V})$, we have a differential

$$d_X := [X, -]: \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}ie, V}^{\bullet} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}ie, V}^{\bullet+1}, \quad d_X^2 = 0.$$

The obtained cochain complex $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}ie, V}^{\bullet}, d_X)$ coincides with the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex $C^{\bullet}(L, L)$ of the Lie algebra $L = (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_X)$ with coefficients in itself as an adjoint module, up to a degree shift. It is known that the cochain complex $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}ie, V}^{\bullet}, d_X)$ has a Lie bracket, giving a dg Lie algebra structure, and that the Lie bracket is essentially equal to the Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket on the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex.

We can extend the construction of the cochain complex $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}ie, V}^{\bullet}, d_X)$ to the version with coefficients in a module over the Lie algebra $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_X)$ along the line of the general argument for a quadratic operad in [LV12, §12.4]. Let us give a brief recollection.

- First, for an operad \mathcal{P} and a linear space V , we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{H}om_V) \cong C_{\mathcal{P}}(V, V) := \text{Hom}(\mathcal{P}(V), V) \tag{4.1.1}$$

by the hom-tensor adjunction and (1.1.4), where

$$\mathcal{P}(V) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}(V)(n) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} V^{\otimes n}$$

is the image of the Schur functor of the operad \mathcal{P} [LV12, §5.1.2]. Thus, a \mathcal{P} -algebra structure $\varphi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_V$ can be encoded by $C_{\mathcal{P}}(V, V)$. The linear space $C_{\mathcal{P}}(V, V)$ has an \mathbb{N} -grading with $C_{\mathcal{P}}^n(V, V) := \text{Hom}(\mathcal{P}(V)(n) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} V^{\otimes n}, V)$.

- Next, recall from [LV12, §12.3.1] that a module M over a \mathcal{P} -algebra (V, φ) is defined to be a linear space equipped with two morphisms $\gamma_M: \mathcal{P} \circ (V; M) \rightarrow M$ and $\eta_M: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \circ (V; M)$ satisfying some axioms. Here we used the composite product [LV12, §6.1.1]

$$\mathcal{P} \circ (V; M) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}(n) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} \left(\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} V^{\otimes i} \otimes M \otimes V^{\otimes n-i} \right).$$

As it implies, the notion of modules over a \mathcal{P} -algebra is a natural operadic analogue of bimodules over the algebra structure considered.

- Following [LV12, §12.4.1], let \mathcal{P} be a homogeneous quadratic operad, V be a linear space equipped with a \mathcal{P} -algebra structure, and M be a module over the \mathcal{P} -algebra V . Let us consider the linear space

$$C_{\mathcal{P}}(V, M) := \text{Hom}(\mathcal{P}^i(V), M) \tag{4.1.2}$$

which has the natural \mathbb{N} -grading induced by that on $\mathcal{P}^i(V)$. In the case $M = V$, this graded space coincides with $C_{\mathcal{P}}^{\bullet}(V, V)$ in (4.1.1). According to [LV12, §12.4.1], the graded space $C_{\mathcal{P}}^{\bullet}(V, M)$ has a natural differential d coming from the \mathcal{P} -algebra structure on V and the V -module structure on M , and we obtain a cochain complex $(C_{\mathcal{P}}^{\bullet}(V, M), d)$ called the *operadic cochain complex*.

- The cohomology of the operadic cochain complex $(C_{\mathcal{P}}^{\bullet}(V, M), d)$ is denoted by $H_{\mathcal{P}}^{\bullet}(A, M)$. The low degree cohomology have analogous interpretation as the classical cohomology. For example, we have $H_{\mathcal{P}}^0(A, M) \cong \text{Der}_A(A, M)$, the space of derivations. We refer to [LV12, §12.4] for the detail.

- In the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L}ie$, the operadic cochain complex $(C_{\mathcal{P}}(V, M), d)$ coincides, up to a degree shift, with the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex of the Lie algebra V with coefficients in the V -module M . Similarly, we can recover the Harrison cochain complex in the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{C}om$, and recover the Hochschild cochain complex in the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{A}ssoc$.

In [BDHK19, §7], the authors introduced a natural analogue of the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex for vertex algebras, based on their operad P_V^{ch} . As explained in §1, in order to have a vertex algebra analogue in the operad theory, we should keep $\mathcal{L}ie$ as it is, and replace the endomorphism operad $\mathcal{H}om_V$ by the chiral operad $\mathcal{P}_V^{\text{ch}}$. The construction in loc. cit. can be understood as such a replacement in (4.1.1) and (4.1.2). We will not give the detail of the construction in [BDHK19, §7]. Instead, we explain a straightforward modification for SUSY vertex algebras in the following §4.2 and §4.3.

4.2. Cohomology of $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebras. In this subsection, we introduce a cohomology of an $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra with coefficients in its module, and investigate the low degree cohomology. First, we introduce modules over an $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra.

Definition 4.2.1. Let $(V, \nabla, [\cdot, \Lambda \cdot])$ be an $N_W = N$ SUSY Lie conformal algebra, (M, ∇) be an \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule and $\rho_\Lambda: V \otimes M \rightarrow M[\Lambda]$ be a linear map of parity \overline{N} . We denote

$$a_\Lambda x := \rho_\Lambda(a \otimes x) \quad (4.2.1)$$

for $a \in V$ and $x \in M$. A triple $(M, \nabla, \rho_\Lambda)$ is called a *module over $(V, \nabla, [\cdot, \Lambda \cdot])$* if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (i) For any $a \in V$ and $x \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} (Ta)_\Lambda x &= -\lambda a_\Lambda x, & a_\Lambda(Tx) &= (\lambda + T)a_\Lambda x, \\ (S^i a)_\Lambda x &= -(-1)^N \theta^i a_\Lambda x, & a_\Lambda(S^i x) &= (-1)^{p(a)+\overline{N}} (\theta^i + S^i) a_\Lambda x \quad (\forall i \in [N]). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2.2)$$

- (ii) For any $a, b \in V$ and $x \in M$,

$$a_{\Lambda_1}(b_{\Lambda_2} x) = (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})\overline{N}} [a_{\Lambda_1} b]_{\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2} x + (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})(p(b)+\overline{N})} b_{\Lambda_2}(a_{\Lambda_1} x), \quad (4.2.3)$$

where Λ_1, Λ_2 are $(1|N)_W$ -supervariables.

For simplicity, we say (M, ∇) , or more simply M , is a module over V . The map ρ_Λ is called *the (left) Λ -action on M* .

Definition 4.2.2. Let $(V, \nabla, [\cdot, \Lambda \cdot], \mu)$ be a non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra, $(M, \nabla, \rho_\Lambda)$ be a module over $N_W = N$ Lie conformal algebra $(V, \nabla, [\cdot, \Lambda \cdot])$ and $\rho: V \otimes M \rightarrow M$ be an even linear map. We denote

$$a \cdot x := \rho(a \otimes x) \quad (4.2.4)$$

for $a \in V$ and $x \in M$. Then, a tuple $(M, \nabla, \rho_\Lambda, \rho)$ is called a *module over $(V, \nabla, [\cdot, \Lambda \cdot], \mu)$* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) For any $a \in V$ and $x \in M$,

$$T(a \cdot x) = (Ta) \cdot x + a \cdot (Tx), \quad S^i(a \cdot x) = (S^i a) \cdot x + (-1)^{p(a)} a \cdot (S^i x) \quad (i \in [N]). \quad (4.2.5)$$

- (ii) For any $a, b \in V$ and $x \in M$,

$$a \cdot (b \cdot x) = (ab) \cdot x + \left(\int_0^T d\Lambda a \right) \cdot b_\Lambda x + (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} \left(\int_0^T d\Lambda b \right) \cdot a_\Lambda x.$$

- (iii) For any $a, b \in V$ and $x \in M$,

$$a_\Lambda(b \cdot x) = [a_\Lambda b] \cdot x + (-1)^{(p(a)+\overline{N})p(b)} b \cdot (a_\Lambda x) + \int_0^\lambda d\Gamma [a_\Lambda b]_\Gamma x.$$

For simplicity, we say (M, ∇) , or more simply M , is a module over V .

Remark 4.2.3. For a module M over V , we define a right action of V by

$$x_\Lambda a := -(-1)^{p(a)p(x)+\overline{N}} a_{-\Lambda-\nabla} x, \quad x \cdot a := (-1)^{p(a)p(x)} a \cdot x \quad (a \in V, x \in M). \quad (4.2.6)$$

Then M is a “right” module over V .

In the remaining of this § 4.2, the symbol V denotes a non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra, and M denotes a module over V . Let us introduce the underlying graded superspace of the cohomology complex.

Definition 4.2.4. For a module M over an $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra V and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a linear superspace $C^n(V, M)$ by

$$C^n(V, M) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathbb{T}}}((\Pi^{N+1}V)^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_n^{*\mathbb{T}}, (\Pi^{N+1}M)_{\nabla}[\Lambda_k]_{k=1}^n)^{\mathfrak{S}^n}.$$

Note that we can naturally consider $C^n(V, M) \subset L^{n-1}(P_{\Pi^{N+1}(V \oplus M)}^{\text{ch}N_W})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Definition 1.3.1 for the symbol L^{n-1}).

Next, we want to define the differential on $C^\bullet(V, M)$. For that, we define linear maps $[\cdot]_{\Lambda}: V \oplus M \rightarrow (V \oplus M)[\Lambda]$ and $\mu: V \oplus M \rightarrow V \oplus M$ by

$$[(a+x)_{\Lambda}(b+y)] := [a_{\Lambda}b] + a_{\Lambda}y + x_{\Lambda}b, \quad \mu((a+x) \otimes (b+y)) := ab + a \cdot y + x \cdot b$$

for $a, b \in V$ and $x, y \in M$, where we used the right action of V defined by (4.2.6). These yield a non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra $(V \oplus M, \nabla, [\cdot]_{\Lambda}, \mu)$, which is called the trivial structure of non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra over $V \oplus M$. Then, by Theorem 2.3.13, we have the corresponding Maurer-Cartan solution $X \in \text{MC}(L(P_{\Pi^{N+1}(V \oplus M)}^{\text{ch}N_W}))_{\mathbb{T}}$. Since X is an odd element of $L^1(P_{\Pi^{N+1}(V \oplus M)}^{\text{ch}N_W})$, we have

$$[X, Y] = X \square Y - (-1)^{\tilde{p}(Y)} Y \square X \in L^n(P_{\Pi^{N+1}(V \oplus M)}^{\text{ch}N_W})$$

for $Y \in C^n(V, M)$, where \tilde{p} denotes the parity in $\Pi^{N+1}(V \oplus M)$. A direct calculation shows:

Lemma 4.2.5. For any $Y \in C^n(V, M)$, we have $[X, Y]|_{(\Pi^{N+1}V)^{\otimes(n+1)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{n+1}^{*\mathbb{T}}} \in C^{n+1}(V, M)$.

Now we have the main object in this § 4.2. It is an $N_W = N$ SUSY analogue of [BDHK19, Definition 7.3].

Definition 4.2.6. Let V be a non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra, and M be a module over V . Define a linear map $\partial^n: C^n(V, M) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(V, M)$ by

$$\partial^n Y := [X, Y]|_{(\Pi^{N+1}V)^{\otimes(n+1)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{n+1}^{*\mathbb{T}}}.$$

Since X satisfies $X \square X = 0$, we have $\partial^{n+1} \circ \partial^n = 0$. Thus we get a cochain complex

$$C^\bullet(V, M) := (C^n(V, M), \partial^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tag{4.2.7}$$

with convention $C^n(V, M) := 0$ and $\partial^n := 0$ for $n < 0$, which is called *the cochain complex of V with coefficients in M* . The n -th cohomology is denoted by

$$H_{\text{ch}}^n(V, M) := H^n(C^\bullet(V, M)) = \text{Ker } \partial^n / \text{Im } \partial^{n-1}.$$

Similarly as the Lie algebra cohomology $H_{\text{Lie}}^n(L, M)$ recalled in § 4.1, and as the non-SUSY case [BDHK19, Theorem 7.6], the lower degree SUSY vertex algebra cohomology $H_{\text{ch}}^n(V, M)$, $n = 0, 1, 2$, have explicit description.

Theorem 4.2.7. Let M be a module over a non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra V .

- (1) For the zeroth cohomology, we have a linear isomorphism

$$H_{\text{ch}}^0(V, M) \cong \Pi^{N+1} \text{Cas}(V, M),$$

where $\text{Cas}(V, M)$ is the linear superspace of Casimir elements (Definition 4.2.8).

- (2) For the first cohomology, we have a linear isomorphism

$$H_{\text{ch}}^1(V, M) \cong \text{Der}(V, M) / \text{Inder}(V, M),$$

where $\text{Der}(V, M)$ is the linear superspace of derivations and $\text{Inder}(V, M)$ is the linear superspace of inner derivations (Definition 4.2.10).

- (3) The odd part of the second cohomology $H_{\text{ch}}^2(V, M)_{\mathbb{T}}$ is isomorphic to the linear space of isomorphism class of \mathcal{H}_W -split extensions of V by module M (Definition 4.2.11).

The proof will be given in the last part of this § 4.2. Let us explain the undefined terminology in the statement. We start with:

Definition 4.2.8. A *Casimir element* of M is an element $\int x \in M/\nabla M$ such that $a_{-\nabla}x = 0$ for all $a \in V$. Here we set $\nabla M := \text{Im}(T + S^1 + \cdots + S^N) \subset M$, and denote $\int: M \rightarrow M/\nabla M$ the canonical projection. We denote by $\text{Cas}(V, M)$ the sub-superspace of $M/\nabla M$ consisting of all Casimir elements of M .

Next, we want to introduce derivations on V with values in M . We need a few preparations.

Lemma 4.2.9. Let M be an \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule. For a linear map $\rho_\Lambda: V \otimes M \rightarrow M[\Lambda]$ of parity \overline{N} satisfying (4.2.2) and an even linear map $\rho: V \otimes M \rightarrow M$, there exists a unique linear map $F: V \otimes M \rightarrow M[\Lambda]$ of parity \overline{N} such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}(\lambda^{-1}F(S^i a \otimes x)) &= -(-1)^N \text{Res}_\Lambda(\lambda^{-1}\theta^i F(a \otimes x)) \quad (i \in [N]), \\ \partial_\lambda F(a \otimes x) &= a_\Lambda x, \quad \text{Res}(\lambda^{-1}F(a \otimes x)) = a \cdot x \end{aligned}$$

for every $a \in V$ and $x \in M$. Here we used the notations (4.2.1) and (4.2.4).

Proof. Define a linear map $F: V \otimes M \rightarrow M[\Lambda]$ by

$$F(a \otimes x) := \sum_{I \subset [N]} (-1)^{\#I(N+1)} \sigma(I) \theta^{[N] \setminus I} (S^I a) \cdot x + \int_0^\lambda d\lambda (a_\Lambda x).$$

Then, similarly as in the proof of Lemma 2.3.5, we can show that F is the desired map. \square

The linear map F in Lemma 4.2.9 is denoted by

$$\int^\Lambda d\Gamma(\cdot_\Gamma \cdot): V \otimes M \longrightarrow M[\Lambda], \quad (4.2.8)$$

and called *the integral of the (left) Λ -action ρ_Λ (with respect to ρ)*.

For a module M over non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra V , we define *the integral of the right Λ -action* (4.2.6) by

$$\int^\Lambda d\Gamma(x_\Gamma a) := (-1)^{p(a)p(x)+\overline{N}} \int^{-\Lambda-\nabla} d\Gamma(a_\Gamma x) \quad (a \in V, x \in M). \quad (4.2.9)$$

Definition 4.2.10. Let V and M be as above.

- (1) An \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule homomorphism $D: V \rightarrow M$ is called a *derivation of V with values in M* if it satisfies

$$(-1)^{p(D)\overline{N}} D\left(\int^\Lambda d\Gamma[a_\Gamma b]\right) = \int^\Lambda d\Gamma(Da_\Gamma b) + (-1)^{p(D)p(a)} \int^\Lambda d\Gamma(a_\Gamma Db)$$

for any $a, b \in V$. Here we used the integrals of the Λ -action (4.2.8) and of the right action (4.2.9). We denote by $\text{Der}(V, M)$ the sub-superspace of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_W}(V, M)$ consisting of all derivations of V with values in M .

- (2) For $x \in M$, the map $V \rightarrow M$ given by

$$a \longmapsto x_\Lambda a|_{\Lambda=0} = -(-1)^{p(a)p(x)+\overline{N}} a_{-\nabla} x$$

is a derivation of V with values in M . We call it an *inner derivation*, and denote by $\text{Inder}(V, M)$ the linear superspace of inner derivations.

To define the notion of extension (Definition 4.2.11), we need to introduce morphisms of non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebras and those of their modules. For non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebras V and W , a *morphism* $V \rightarrow W$ is an even \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule homomorphism $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ satisfying

$$\varphi\left(\int^\Lambda d\Gamma[a_\Gamma b]\right) = \int^\Lambda d\Gamma[\varphi(a)_\Gamma \varphi(b)]$$

for any $a, b \in V$. We see that non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebras and their morphisms form an abelian category. An exact sequence therein means a diagram of non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow U \xrightarrow{\iota} V \xrightarrow{\pi} W \longrightarrow 0$$

with ι injective, π surjective, and $\text{Ker } \pi = \text{Im } \iota$. Also, for modules M and N over a non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra V , a *morphism* $M \rightarrow N$ is an even \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule homomorphism $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ satisfying

$$\varphi\left(\int^\Lambda d\Gamma(a_\Gamma x)\right) = \int^\Lambda d\Gamma(a_\Gamma \varphi(x))$$

for any $a \in V$ and $x \in M$.

Definition 4.2.11. Let V and M be as above.

- (1) An *extension* E of V by M is a non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra E satisfying the following conditions.
- (i) E sits in an exact sequence of non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\iota} E \xrightarrow{\pi} V \longrightarrow 0 \quad (4.2.10)$$

Here we regard M as a non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra with trivial operations.

- (ii) ι is a morphism of modules over the non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra E , i.e., ι satisfies

$$\iota\left(\int^\Lambda d\Gamma(\pi(a)_\Gamma x)\right) = \int^\Lambda d\Gamma[a_\Gamma \iota(x)]$$

for any $a \in E$ and $x \in M$.

- (2) An extension of V by module M is called \mathcal{H}_W -*split* if the diagram (4.2.10) is a split exact sequence of \mathcal{H}_W -supermodules.

As a preliminary of the proof of Theorem 4.2.7, we have:

Lemma 4.2.12. For V and M as above, there exists a surjection

$$\left\{ Y \in \text{MC}\left(L\left(\mathcal{P}_{\Pi^{N+1}}^{\text{ch}N_W}(V \oplus M)\right)\right)_{\overline{1}} \left| \begin{array}{l} (X - Y)(a \otimes b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}) \in M_{\nabla}[\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2] \\ (X - Y)(a \otimes x \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}) = 0 \\ Y(x \otimes y \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}) = 0 \quad (a, b \in V, x, y \in M) \end{array} \right. \right\} \quad (4.2.11)$$

→ {isomorphism classes of \mathcal{H}_W -split extensions of V by M }.

Here an isomorphism of \mathcal{H}_W -split extensions of V by M is defined to be an isomorphism of exact sequences of non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebras.

Proof. For Y in the left hand side of (4.2.11), let E_Y denote the \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule $V \oplus M$ with the non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra structure corresponding to Y . Then we have an \mathcal{H}_W -split extension

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\iota} E_Y \xrightarrow{\pi} V \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\iota: M \rightarrow V \oplus M$ is the canonical inclusion and $\pi: V \oplus M \rightarrow V$ is the canonical projection. The map $Y \mapsto E_Y$ gives rise to the surjection (4.2.11). \square

Now let us start:

Proof of Theorem 4.2.7. We denote by p the parity of V or M , and by \tilde{p} the parity of $\Pi^{N+1}V$ or $\Pi^{N+1}M$.

- (1) On the zeroth cohomology, we have

$$C^0(V, M) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{K}, \Pi^{N+1}(M/\nabla M)), \quad H_{\text{ch}}^0(V, M) = \text{Ker}(\partial^0: C^0(V, M) \rightarrow C^1(V, M)).$$

Define a map $F'_0: C^0(V, M) \rightarrow M/\nabla M$ by $F'_0(Y) := Y(1_{\mathbb{K}})$ for $Y \in C^0(V, M)$, and denote by $F_0: C^0(V, M) \rightarrow \Pi^{N+1}(M/\nabla M)$ the composition of F'_0 with parity shift. Then it is clear that F_0 is a linear isomorphism. For $Y \in C^0(V, M)$, we can represent $Y(1_{\mathbb{K}}) = \int x$ with $x \in M$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial^0 Y)(a \otimes 1_{\mathbb{K}}) &= (X \circ_1 Y)(a \otimes 1_{\mathbb{K}}) = X_{0,\Lambda}(x \otimes a \otimes 1_{\mathbb{K}}) \\ &= (-1)^{\tilde{p}(a)\tilde{p}(x)} X_{\Lambda,0}(a \otimes x \otimes 1_{\mathbb{K}}) \\ &= (-1)^{p(x)(\overline{N+1})} (-1)^{p(a)p(x)+\overline{N+1}} a_{-\nabla} x \end{aligned}$$

for any $a \in V$. Thus, the restriction of F_0 to $H_{\text{ch}}^0(V, M)$ gives $H_{\text{ch}}^0(V, M) \cong \Pi^{N+1} \text{Cas}(V, M)$.

- (2) Define a map $F_1: C^1(V, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_W}(V, M)$ by $F_1(Y) := (-1)^{\tilde{p}(Y)(\bar{N}+\bar{1})} Y_{-\nabla}(\cdot \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{K}})$ for $Y \in C^1(V, M)$, then F_1 is a linear isomorphism. For $Y \in C^1(V, M)$ and $a, b \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial^1 Y)(a \otimes b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}) \\
&= (X \circ_1 Y)(a \otimes b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}) + (X \circ_1 Y)^{(1,2)}(a \otimes b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}) - (-1)^{\tilde{p}(Y)}(Y \circ_1 X)(a \otimes b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}) \\
&= X_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2}(Y_{\Lambda_1}(a \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{K}}) \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}) + (-1)^{\tilde{p}(a)\tilde{p}(b)} X_{\Lambda_2, \Lambda_1}(Y_{\Lambda_2}(b \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{K}}) \otimes a \otimes z_{2,1}^{-1}) \\
&\quad - (-1)^{\tilde{p}(Y)} Y_{\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2}(X_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2}(a \otimes b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{K}}) \\
&= (-1)^{p(a)(\bar{N}+\bar{1})+\bar{1}} \left(\int^{\Lambda} d\Gamma(F_1(Y) a_{\Gamma} b) + (-1)^{p(F_1(Y))p(a)} \int^{\Lambda} d\Gamma(a_{\Gamma} F_1(Y) b) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (-1)^{p(F_1(Y))\bar{N}} F_1(Y) \left(\int^{\Lambda} d\Gamma[a_{\Gamma} b] \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $F_1(Y)$ belongs to $\text{Der}(V, M)$ if and only if $Y \in \text{Ker } \partial^1$. Also, by the proof of (1), we have

$$F_1(\partial^0 Y)(a) = -(-1)^{p(a)p(x)+\bar{N}} a_{-\nabla} x \quad (a \in V)$$

for $Y \in C^0(V, M)$, where we represented $Y(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{K}}) = \int x \in M/\nabla M$. Thus, for $Y \in C^1(V, M)$, $F_1(Y)$ is an inner derivation if and only in $Y \in \text{Im } \partial^0$. Hence F_1 induces a linear isomorphism $H_{\text{ch}}^1(V, M) \cong \text{Der}(V, M)/\text{Inder}(V, M)$.

- (3) Define a map $F'_2: C^2(V, M)_{\bar{1}} \rightarrow L^1(\mathcal{P}_{\Pi^{N+1}(V \oplus M)}^{\text{ch}N_W})_{\bar{1}}$ by $F'_2(Y) := X + Y$. If $Y \in (\text{Ker } \partial^2)_{\bar{1}}$, then $F'_2(Y)$ satisfies $F'_2(Y) \square F'_2(Y) = 0$, so F'_2 gives a surjection from $(\text{Ker } \partial^2)_{\bar{1}}$ to

$$\left\{ Y \in \text{MC}(L(\mathcal{P}_{\Pi^{N+1}(V \oplus M)}^{\text{ch}N_W}))_{\bar{1}} \left| \begin{array}{l} (X - Y)(a \otimes b \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}) \in M_{\nabla}[\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2] \\ (X - Y)(a \otimes x \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}) = 0 \\ Y(x \otimes y \otimes z_{1,2}^{-1}) = 0 \quad (a, b \in V, x, y \in M) \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Thus, the composition with the surjection in Lemma 4.2.12 gives a surjection

$$F_2: (\text{Ker } \partial^2)_{\bar{1}} \longrightarrow \{\text{isomorphism classes of } \mathcal{H}_W\text{-split exteussions of } V \text{ by module } M\}.$$

Hence it is enough to show that $F_2(Y) = F_2(Y')$ is equivalent to $Y - Y' \in (\text{Im } \partial^1)_{\bar{1}}$ for $Y, Y' \in (\text{Ker } \partial^2)_{\bar{1}}$.

Note that, for $Y, Y' \in (\text{Ker } \partial^2)_{\bar{1}}$, we have $F_2(Y) = F_2(Y')$ if and only if there exists a morphism of non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebras $f: E \rightarrow E'$ satisfying

$$f(a) - a \in M, \quad f(x) = x \quad (a \in V, x \in M). \quad (4.2.12)$$

Here the symbol E (resp. E') denotes the \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule $V \oplus M$ with the non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra structure corresponding to $F'_2(Y)$ (resp. $F'_2(Y')$). For an even \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule homomorphism $f: E \rightarrow E'$ satisfying (4.2.12), define an even linear map $\Phi_f: V \rightarrow M$ by $\Phi_f(a) := f(a) - a$ for $a \in V$. Then the mapping $f \mapsto \Phi_f$ gives a bijection

$$\Phi: \{f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_W}(E, E')_{\bar{0}} \text{ satisfying (4.2.12)}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_W}(V, M)_{\bar{0}}.$$

For an even \mathcal{H}_W -supermodule homomorphism $f: E \rightarrow E'$ satisfying (4.2.12) and $a, b \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f \left(\int^{\Lambda} d\Gamma[a_{\Gamma} b]_E \right) &= \Phi_f \left(\int^{\Lambda} d\Gamma[a_{\Gamma} b]_E \right) + \int^{\Lambda} d\Gamma[a_{\Gamma} b]_E, \\
\int^{\Lambda} d\Gamma[f(a)_{\Gamma} f(b)] &= \int^{\Lambda} d\Gamma(\Phi_f(a)_{\Gamma} b) + \int^{\Lambda} d\Gamma(a_{\Gamma} \Phi_f(b)) + \int^{\Lambda} d\Gamma[a_{\Gamma} b]_{E'}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $f: E \rightarrow E'$ is a morphism of non-unital $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebras if and only if

$$\int^{\Lambda} d\Gamma[a_{\Gamma} b]_E - \int^{\Lambda} d\Gamma[a_{\Gamma} b]_{E'} = \int^{\Lambda} d\Gamma(\Phi_f(a)_{\Gamma} b) + \int^{\Lambda} d\Gamma(a_{\Gamma} \Phi_f(b)) - \Phi_f \left(\int^{\Lambda} d\Gamma[a_{\Gamma} b]_E \right)$$

for any $a, b \in V$, which is equivalent to $Y - Y' = \partial^1(F_1^{-1}(\Phi_f))$. Here F_1 is the isomorphism $C^1(V, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_W}(V, M)$ defined in the proof of (2). Therefore we find that $F_2(Y) = F_2(Y')$ is equivalent to $Y - Y' \in (\text{Im } \partial^1)_{\bar{1}}$ for $Y, Y' \in (\text{Ker } \partial^2)_{\bar{1}}$.

□

4.3. Cohomology of $N_K = N$ SUSY vertex algebras. The theory of $N_W = N$ SUSY vertex algebra cohomology in §4.2 carries over to the $N_K = N$ setting with minor modifications. So we only give the main definitions and statements.

Definition 4.3.1. Let $(V, \nabla, [\cdot_\Lambda \cdot])$ be an $N_K = N$ SUSY Lie conformal algebra, (M, ∇) be a left \mathcal{H}_K -supermodule and $\rho_\Lambda: V \otimes M \rightarrow M[\Lambda]$ be a linear map of parity \overline{N} . We denote $a_\Lambda x := \rho_\Lambda(a \otimes x)$ for $a \in V$, $x \in M$. A triple $(M, \nabla, \rho_\Lambda)$ is called a *module over* $(V, \nabla, [\cdot_\Lambda \cdot])$ if it satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) in Definition 4.2.1 replacing the $(1|N)_W$ -supervariables $\Lambda, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$ by the corresponding $(1|N)_K$ -supervariables.

Definition 4.3.2. Let $(V, \nabla, [\cdot_\Lambda \cdot], \mu)$ be a non-unital $N_K = N$ SUSY vertex algebra, $(M, \nabla, \rho_\Lambda)$ be a module over the $N_K = N$ Lie conformal algebra $(V, \nabla, [\cdot_\Lambda \cdot])$ and $\rho: V \otimes M \rightarrow M$ be an even linear map. We denote $a \cdot x := \rho(a \otimes x)$. A tuple $(M, \nabla, \rho_\Lambda, \rho)$ is called a *module over* $(V, \nabla, [\cdot_\Lambda \cdot], \mu)$ if it satisfies the conditions (i)–(iii) in Definition 4.2.2 replacing the $(1|N)_W$ -supervariables Λ, Γ by the corresponding $(1|N)_K$ -supervariables.

For a module M over a non-unital $N_K = N$ SUSY vertex algebra V , one can define the cochain complex of V with coefficients in M as in (4.2.7). Also, the notions of Casimir elements, derivations and extensions are defined in the similar way. Then we find that Theorem 4.2.7 is valid for a non-unital $N_K = N$ SUSY vertex algebra V and its module M .

4.4. Concluding remarks. As mentioned in §0, the paper [BDHK19] is continued to the development of the cohomology theory of vertex algebras in [BDHK20, BDK20, BDK21, BDKV21]. One of the main topics of these works is the relation between the cohomology theory of vertex algebras and that of vertex Poisson algebras. As far as we understand, the status of the investigation of this relation is still complicated, although several fundamental results are established.

Thus, it is natural to consider that the next step of the study of our cohomology theory for SUSY vertex algebras is the investigation of the operad theory and the cohomology theory of SUSY vertex Poisson algebras. We leave it to a future work.

REFERENCES

- [BDHK19] B. Bakalov, A. De Sole, R. Heluani, V. G. Kac, *An operadic approach to vertex algebra and Poisson vertex algebra cohomology*, Jpn. J. Math., **14**, 249–342 (2019).
- [BDHK20] B. Bakalov, A. De Sole, R. Heluani, V. G. Kac, *Chiral versus classical operad*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, **2020**, no. 19, 6463–6488 (2020).
- [BDK20] B. Bakalov, A. De Sole, V. G. Kac, *Computation of cohomology of Lie conformal and Poisson vertex algebras*, Sel. Math. New Series, 26:50, 51 pp. (2020).
- [BDK21] B. Bakalov, A. De Sole, V. G. Kac, *Computation of cohomology of vertex algebras*, Jpn. J. Math., **16**, 81–154 (2021).
- [BDKV21] B. Bakalov, A. De Sole, V. G. Kac, V. Vignoli, *Poisson Vertex Algebra Cohomology and Differential Harrison Cohomology*, in *Representation Theory, Mathematical Physics, and Integrable Systems*, Progress in Math., vol. **340**, Birkhäuser, pp. 39–69 (2021).
- [BKV99] B. Bakalov, V. G. Kac, A. A. Voronov, *Cohomology of conformal algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys., **200**, 561–598 (1999).
- [BD04] A. Beilinson, V. Drinfeld, *Chiral Algebras*, AMS Colloq. Publ., **51**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI (2004).
- [Bo86] R. Borcherds, *Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras and the Monster*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **83** (10), 3068–3071 (1986).
- [DM99] P. Deligne, J. W. Morgan, *Notes on supersymmetry*, in: *Quantum Fields and Strings: A Course for Mathematicians*, vol. 1, Princeton, NJ, 1996/1997; Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 41–97 (1999).
- [DK06] A. De Sole, V. G. Kac, *Finite vs affine W-algebras* Jpn. J. Math., **1**, 137–261 (2006).
- [DK13] A. De Sole, V. G. Kac, *The variational Poisson cohomology*, Jpn. J. Math., **8**, 1–145 (2013).
- [FBZ04] E. Frenkel, D. Ben-Zvi, *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves*, 2nd ed., Math. Surv. Monog., **88**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI (2004).
- [GK94] V. Ginzburg, M. Kapranov, *Koszul duality for operads*, Duke Math. J., **76**, no. 1, 203–272 (1994).
- [H07] R. Heluani, *SUSY Vertex Algebras and Supercurves*, Comm. Math. Phys., **275**, 607–658 (2007).
- [HK07] R. Heluani, V. G. Kac, *Supersymmetric Vertex Algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys., **271**, 103–178 (2007).
- [K17] V. Kac, *Introduction to Vertex Algebras, Poisson Vertex Algebras, and Integrable Hamiltonian PDE*, in: *Perspectives in Lie Theory*, Springer INdAM Series, vol **19**. Springer, 3–72 (2017); arXiv:1512.00821.
- [KL89] V. G. Kac, J. van de Leur, *On classification of superconformal algebras*, in: *Strings-88, Singapore*, World Scientific, 77–106 (1989).

- [KV11] M. Kapranov, E. Vasserot, *Supersymmetry and the formal loop space*, Adv. Math., **227**, 1078–1128 (2011).
[LV12] J-L. Loday, B. Vallette, *Algebraic Operads*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., **346**, Springer (2012).

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY. FUROCHO, CHIKUSAKU, NAGOYA, JAPAN, 464-8602.
Email address: `m21035a@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`, `yanagida@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`