

Improved prediction for independent Poisson processes under Kullback–Leibler loss

Xiao Li^{1,*} and Fumiyasu Komaki^{1,2}

¹Department of Mathematical Informatics, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-0033, Japan

²RIKEN Center for Brain Science, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako City, Saitama, 351-0198, Japan

*Corresponding Author: lixiaoms@163.com

Abstract

We consider simultaneous predictive distributions for independent Poisson observables and evaluate the performance of predictive distributions using Kullback–Leibler loss. We show that Bayesian predictive distributions based on priors constructed by superharmonic functions satisfying several conditions dominate the Bayesian predictive distribution based on the Jeffreys prior. The case that the observed data and target variables to be predicted are independent Poisson processes with different durations is also discussed.

Keywords: Predictive distribution; Jeffreys prior; Superharmonic function; Shrinkage prior

1 Introduction

We assume that $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d)$ are distributed according to

$$p(x | \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^d p(x_i | \lambda_i) = \exp\{-r(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_d)\} \frac{(r\lambda_1)^{x_1}}{x_1!} \dots \frac{(r\lambda_d)^{x_d}}{x_d!}$$

and

$$p(y | \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^d p(y_i | \lambda_i) = \exp\{-s(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_d)\} \frac{(s\lambda_1)^{y_1}}{y_1!} \dots \frac{(s\lambda_d)^{y_d}}{y_d!},$$

respectively, where r and s are known positive real numbers. Here, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ is an unknown parameter.

We consider the problem of predicting independent Poisson random variables $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d)$ using independent observations $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$. We consider the Bayesian prediction distributions of the form

$$p_\pi(y | x) = \frac{\int p(x, y | \lambda)\pi(\lambda)d\lambda}{\int p(x | \lambda)\pi(\lambda)d\lambda} = \frac{\int p(x | \lambda)p(y | \lambda)\pi(\lambda)d\lambda}{\int p(x | \lambda)\pi(\lambda)d\lambda}.$$

We adopt the Kullback–Leibler loss of predictive distribution $p_\pi(y | x)$, which is

$$D(p(y | \lambda), p_\pi(y | x)) = \sum_y p(y | \lambda) \log \frac{p(y | \lambda)}{p_\pi(y | x)}.$$

There are numerous studies on the simultaneous estimation of Poisson parameters. Clevenson and Zidek (1975) proposed generalized Bayes estimators dominating the maximum likelihood estimator when $d \geq 2$ under standardized squared error loss $\sum \lambda_i^{-1}(\hat{\lambda}_i - \lambda_i)^2$. Tsui and Press (1982) studied the estimation under the generalized loss function $\sum(\hat{\lambda}_i - \lambda_i)^2/\lambda_i^k$, where k is a given positive integer. Ghosh and Yang (1988) characterized admissible linear estimators of multiple Poisson parameters under Kullback–Leibler loss.

Estimation of parameters under Kullback–Leibler loss can be generalized to a predictive distribution problem, which is important for several statistical scenarios. Predictive method has been shown to be preferable in Aitchison (1975). Noninformative prior distributions or vague distributions are often used for constructing Bayesian predictive distributions. The Jeffreys prior is widely used in various problems under Kullback–Leibler loss, see Akaike (1978) and Clarke and Barron (1994).

In contrast to the large number of estimation studies, decision theory regarding predictive distributions on the Poisson model has been developed relatively recently. A class of prior distributions,

$$\pi_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda)d\lambda_1d\lambda_2 \cdots d\lambda_d \propto \frac{\lambda_1^{\beta_1-1}\lambda_2^{\beta_2-1} \cdots \lambda_d^{\beta_d-1}}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_d)^\alpha}d\lambda_1d\lambda_2 \cdots d\lambda_d$$

was proposed by Komaki (2004). The Bayesian predictive distribution based on $\pi_{\alpha=d/2-1,\beta=(1/2,\dots,1/2)}(\lambda)$ is shown to dominate that based on the Jeffreys prior when $d \geq 3$. Komaki (2015) considered the problem of independent Poisson processes with different durations and introduced a class of improper prior densities, which is a generalization of $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda)$. The corresponding Bayesian predictive distribution is shown to dominate that based on the Jeffreys prior. A class of proper priors was proposed and Bayesian predictive densities and estimators based on the priors were shown to dominate the Bayesian predictive density and estimator based on the Jeffreys prior under Kullback–Leibler loss (Komaki, 2006). Recently, Hamura and Kubokawa (2020) studied the Bayesian predictive distribution for a Poisson model with parametric restriction under Kullback–Leibler loss. Yano et al. (2021) presented a class of Bayes predictive densities that attain asymptotic minimaxity in sparse Poisson sequence models.

From the viewpoint of a model manifold with Fisher metric, it is natural that similar results hold simultaneously for the multivariate normal model and the independent Poisson observables model (Komaki, 2006). There are several counterparts for these two models. For a multivariate normal model $N(\mu, \sigma^2 I)$, the Bayesian predictive distribution based on Stein’s harmonic prior (Stein, 1974),

$$\pi(\mu) = \|\mu\|^{2-d},$$

dominates that based on the Jeffreys prior (Komaki, 2001), which is similar to the result reported in Komaki (2004). Johnstone (1984) studied the admissibility and recurrence in estimating a Poisson mean under standardized squared error loss, which is a counterpart to the diffusion characterization of admissibility in the estimation of a multivariate normal mean, introduced by Brown (1971).

For the multivariate normal model, George et al. (2006) generalized the result in Komaki (2001) and proved that Bayesian predictive densities based on superharmonic priors dominate those based on the Jeffreys prior. It is natural to speculate that there exists a similar result for independent Poisson observables model; this speculation is confirmed in this study. We show the connection of superharmonic function with improved Bayesian prediction in Poisson model.

In Section 2, we show that Bayesian predictive densities based on priors constructed by superharmonic functions dominate those based on the Jeffreys prior. In Section 3, we show a similar result for independent Poisson processes with different durations. In Section 4 some examples are given, including point shrinkage priors and subspace shrinkage priors. We show that Bayesian predictive densities based on the example priors dominate those based on the Jeffreys prior. In Section 5, we conduct some numerical experiments. The lemmas used in Sections 2 and 3 are given in the appendix.

2 Improved Bayesian prediction using superharmonic function

We consider a class of improper prior densities,

$$\pi_\beta(\lambda)d\lambda_1d\lambda_2\cdots d\lambda_d \propto \lambda_1^{\beta_1-1}\lambda_2^{\beta_2-1}\cdots\lambda_d^{\beta_d-1}d\lambda_1d\lambda_2\cdots d\lambda_d$$

with $\beta_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Our goal is to find function $f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ such that for prior

$$\pi_{f,\beta}(\lambda)d\lambda_1d\lambda_2\cdots d\lambda_d \propto f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)\lambda_1^{\beta_1-1}\lambda_2^{\beta_2-1}\cdots\lambda_d^{\beta_d-1}d\lambda_1d\lambda_2\cdots d\lambda_d,$$

the Bayesian predictive distribution $p_{f,\beta}(y | x)$ based on $\pi_{f,\beta}(\lambda)$ dominates the Bayesian predictive distribution $p_\beta(y | x)$ based on $\pi_\beta(\lambda)$ under Kullback–Leibler loss. In this section, we denote $\theta_i := \sqrt{\lambda_i}, i = 1, \dots, d$. We also denote $g(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) := f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$.

We show that if g satisfies some conditions, then the Bayesian predictive distribution $p_{f,\beta}(y | x)$ dominates the Bayesian predictive distribution $p_\beta(y | x)$. As a corollary, if g is a superharmonic function satisfying regularity conditions and the derivative of g on the boundary is nonpositive, then $p_{f,\beta=(1/2,\dots,1/2)}(y | x)$ dominates $p_J(y | x)$ based on the Jeffreys prior.

Theorem 1.

1) The Bayesian predictive distribution $p_{f,\beta}(y | x)$ dominates the Bayesian predictive distribution $p_\beta(y | x)$ if, for every $r > 0$, the function

$$F(z, r) = F(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d, r) := \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-r\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda}$$

is not a constant function of $z \in \mathbf{N}^d$, and for every $z \in \mathbf{N}^d, r > 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^d z_i(F(z, r) - F(z - \delta_i, r)) + \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i)(F(z, r) - F(z + \delta_i, r)) \geq 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where $F(z - \delta_i, r)$ is defined to be 1 if $z_i = 0$.

2) Condition (2.1) is satisfied if $g \in \mathbf{C}^2([0, \infty)^d)$,

$$\int g(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j+2\beta_j-1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2) d\theta < \infty, \quad (2.2a)$$

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j+2\beta_j-1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2) d\theta < \infty, \quad (2.2b)$$

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2\beta_j-1} \right\} \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j} \exp(-r\theta_j^2) d\theta < \infty, \quad (2.2c)$$

for every $z \in \mathbf{N}^d$, i , $r > 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i} \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2\beta_j-1} \right) \leq 0, \quad (2.2d)$$

and

$$\lim_{\theta_i \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \leq 0, \quad (2.2e)$$

for every i .

Proof. 1) The Bayesian predictive distribution based on prior π is

$$p_\pi(y | x) = \frac{\int p(x, y | \lambda) \pi(\lambda) d\lambda}{\int p(x | \lambda) \pi(\lambda) d\lambda}.$$

The difference between the K-L risks of Bayesian predictive distributions based on $\pi_{f,\beta}$ and π_β is

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\log \frac{p_\beta(y | x)}{p_{f,\beta}(y | x)} \middle| \lambda \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\log \int p(x, y | \bar{\lambda}) \pi_\beta(\bar{\lambda}) d\bar{\lambda} \middle| \lambda \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(\log \int p(x | \bar{\lambda}) \pi_\beta(\bar{\lambda}) d\bar{\lambda} \middle| \lambda \right) \\ & \quad - \mathbb{E} \left(\log \int p(x, y | \bar{\lambda}) \pi_{f,\beta}(\bar{\lambda}) d\bar{\lambda} \middle| \lambda \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\log \int p(x | \bar{\lambda}) \pi_{f,\beta}(\bar{\lambda}) d\bar{\lambda} \middle| \lambda \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\log \frac{\int p(x | \bar{\lambda}) \pi_{f,\beta}(\bar{\lambda}) d\bar{\lambda}}{\int p(x | \bar{\lambda}) \pi_\beta(\bar{\lambda}) d\bar{\lambda}} \middle| \lambda \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(\log \frac{\int p(x, y | \bar{\lambda}) \pi_{f,\beta}(\bar{\lambda}) d\bar{\lambda}}{\int p(x, y | \bar{\lambda}) \pi_\beta(\bar{\lambda}) d\bar{\lambda}} \middle| \lambda \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\log \frac{\int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \bar{\lambda}_1^{\beta_1-1} \bar{\lambda}_2^{\beta_2-1} \dots \bar{\lambda}_d^{\beta_d-1} \exp(-r \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_i) (r \bar{\lambda}_1)^{x_1} \dots (r \bar{\lambda}_d)^{x_d} d\bar{\lambda}}{\int \bar{\lambda}_1^{\beta_1-1} \bar{\lambda}_2^{\beta_2-1} \dots \bar{\lambda}_d^{\beta_d-1} \exp(-r \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_i) (r \bar{\lambda}_1)^{x_1} \dots (r \bar{\lambda}_d)^{x_d} d\bar{\lambda}} \middle| \lambda \right) \\ & \quad - \mathbb{E} \left(\log \frac{\int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \bar{\lambda}_1^{\beta_1-1} \bar{\lambda}_2^{\beta_2-1} \dots \bar{\lambda}_d^{\beta_d-1} \exp\{-(r+s) \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_i\} \{(r+s) \bar{\lambda}_1\}^{x_1+y_1} \dots \{(r+s) \bar{\lambda}_d\}^{x_d+y_d} d\bar{\lambda}}{\int \bar{\lambda}_1^{\beta_1-1} \bar{\lambda}_2^{\beta_2-1} \dots \bar{\lambda}_d^{\beta_d-1} \exp\{-(r+s) \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_i\} \{(r+s) \bar{\lambda}_1\}^{x_1+y_1} \dots \{(r+s) \bar{\lambda}_d\}^{x_d+y_d} d\bar{\lambda}} \middle| \lambda \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\log \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r^{x_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{x_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-r \bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(x_i + \beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda} \middle| \lambda \right) \\ & \quad - \mathbb{E} \left(\log \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(r+s)^{x_i+y_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{x_i+y_i+\beta_i-1} \exp\{-(r+s) \bar{\lambda}_i\}}{\Gamma(x_i + y_i + \beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda} \middle| \lambda \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\log(F(z, r)) \middle| z_i \sim \text{Po}(r\lambda_i), i = 1, \dots, d \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(\log(F(z, r+s)) \middle| z_i \sim \text{Po}((r+s)\lambda_i), i = 1, \dots, d \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

From Lemma 1, we know that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\left| \log F(z, r) \right| \middle| z_i \sim \text{Po}(r\lambda_i), i = 1, \dots, d \right) < \infty$$

for every r . Thus, the risk difference (2.3) is finite.

The risk difference (2.3) is negative if

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\log F(z, r) \mid z_i \sim \text{Po}(r\lambda_i), i = 1, \dots, d\right) = \sum_z \log(F(z, r)) \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(r\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-r\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \quad (2.4)$$

is an increasing function of r .

If we exchange the integration and differentiation in $\frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(z, r)$, we have

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(z, r) = \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-r\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i+\beta_i}{r} - \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_i \right) d\bar{\lambda}.$$

From Lemma 2, we can exchange the integration and differentiation in $\frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(z, r)$.

If we differentiate (2.4) item-by-item, the partial differential function of (2.4) wrt r is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_z \log(F(z, r)) \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(r\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-r\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i}{r} - \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \right) \\ & + \sum_z \left\{ \frac{\int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-r\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i+\beta_i}{r} - \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_i \right) d\bar{\lambda}}{F(z, r)} \right\} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(r\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-r\lambda_i)}{z_i!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

From Lemma 3, we can differentiate (2.4) by terms under condition (2.1).

We notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_z \left\{ \log F(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d, r) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(r\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-r\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_z \left\{ \log F(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d, r) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(r\lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-r\lambda_j)}{z_j!} \right\} \lambda_i \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_z \left[\frac{z_i+1}{r} \left\{ \log F(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d, r) \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(r\lambda_j)^{z_j+\delta_{ij}} \exp(-r\lambda_j)}{(z_j+\delta_{ij})!} \right] \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_z \left[\frac{z_i}{r} \left\{ \log F(z - \delta_i, r) \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(r\lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-r\lambda_j)}{z_j!} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where δ_{ij} is defined to be 1 if $i = j$ and 0 if $i \neq j$, δ_i is defined to be the d -dimensional vector whose i -th element is 1 and all other elements are 0, and $F(z - \delta_i, r)$ is defined to be 1 if $z_i = 0$. Furthermore, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j+\beta_j} \bar{\lambda}_j^{z_j+\beta_j-1} \exp(-r\bar{\lambda}_j)}{\Gamma(z_j+\beta_j)} \bar{\lambda}_i d\bar{\lambda} \\ & = \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j+\delta_{ij}+\beta_j} \bar{\lambda}_j^{z_j+\delta_{ij}+\beta_j-1} \exp(-r\bar{\lambda}_j)}{\Gamma(z_j+\delta_{ij}+\beta_j)} \frac{z_i+\beta_i}{r} d\bar{\lambda} \\ & = F(z + \delta_i, r) \frac{z_i+\beta_i}{r}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Thus, from (2.6) and (2.7), the partial differential function (2.5) of (2.4) wrt r is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_z \sum_{i=1}^d \left[\frac{z_i}{r} \left\{ \log F(z, r) \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(r\lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-r\lambda_j)}{z_j!} \right] - \sum_z \sum_{i=1}^d \left[\frac{z_i}{r} \left\{ \log F(z - \delta_i, r) \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(r\lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-r\lambda_j)}{z_j!} \right] \\
& + \sum_z \left\{ \frac{F(z, r) \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i)/r - \sum_{i=1}^d F(z + \delta_i, r)(z_i + \beta_i)/r}{F(z, r)} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(r\lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-r\lambda_j)}{z_j!} \\
& = \sum_z \left[\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i}{r} \left\{ \log \frac{F(z, r)}{F(z - \delta_i, r)} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(r\lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-r\lambda_j)}{z_j!} \right] \\
& + \sum_z \left[\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i + \beta_i}{r} \left\{ 1 - \frac{F(z + \delta_i, r)}{F(z, r)} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(r\lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-r\lambda_j)}{z_j!} \right]. \tag{2.8}
\end{aligned}$$

By assumption, $F(z, r)$ is not a constant function of z , hence $F(z - \delta_i, r) \equiv F(z, r)$ does not hold. Therefore, from the inequality $\log \epsilon > 1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon}$, $\epsilon \neq 1$, (2.8) is strictly greater than

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_z \left[\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i}{r} \left\{ 1 - \frac{F(z - \delta_i, r)}{F(z, r)} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(r\lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-r\lambda_j)}{z_j!} \right] + \sum_z \left[\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i + \beta_i}{r} \left\{ 1 - \frac{F(z + \delta_i, r)}{F(z, r)} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(r\lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-r\lambda_j)}{z_j!} \right] \\
& = \sum_z \left[\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i}{r} \left\{ 1 - \frac{F(z - \delta_i, r)}{F(z, r)} \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i + \beta_i}{r} \left\{ 1 - \frac{F(z + \delta_i, r)}{F(z, r)} \right\} \right] \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(r\lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-r\lambda_j)}{z_j!}. \tag{2.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.1), we know that (2.9) is not negative. Thus, (2.8) is positive. Therefore, (2.4) is an increasing function and the first half of Theorem 1 is proved.

2) We prove that (2.1) is satisfied if f satisfies the conditions of the second half of Theorem 1.

Let $\theta_j := \sqrt{\lambda_j}$ ($j = 1, \dots, d$). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& F(z + \delta_i, r) - F(z, r) \\
& = \int f(\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j} \lambda_j^{z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\lambda_j)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} d\lambda - \int f(\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \lambda_j^{z_j + \beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\lambda_j)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\lambda \\
& = 2^d \int g(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} d\theta - 2^d \int g(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta \\
& = -2^{d-1} \int \left\{ \int g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \\
& \quad - 2^{d-1} \int \left\{ \int g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \right) \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i.
\end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts on θ_i , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& F(z + \delta_i, r) - F(z, r) \\
& = \lim_{\substack{a \rightarrow 0 \\ b \rightarrow \infty}} \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} \left[-2^{d-1} \int_u^v \left\{ \int_{[a, b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2^{d-1} \int_u^v \left\{ \int_{[a, b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \right) \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{\substack{a \rightarrow 0 \\ b \rightarrow \infty}} \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} \left[2^{d-1} \int_u^v \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - 2^{d-1} \left[\int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right] \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} \right]_{\theta_i = u}^v \right] \\
&= \lim_{\substack{a \rightarrow 0 \\ b \rightarrow \infty}} \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} \left[2^{d-1} \int_{[u,v] \times [a,b]^{d-1}} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g(\theta) \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} d\theta \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2^{d-1} \left[\int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right] \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} \right]_{\theta_i = u}^v. \quad (2.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we use auxiliary variables a, b, u, v and Lemma 4 to ensure the above equations hold.

Using Lemma 4, we have

$$\int \left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty.$$

Because of Lemma 5, $\left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)}$ converges as $\theta_i \rightarrow \infty$. Thus,

$$\lim_{\theta_i \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Because $g \in \mathbf{C}^2([0, \infty)^d)$, g is bounded on $[0, 1] \times [a, b]^{d-1}$, then

$$\left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\}$$

is bounded for $\theta_i \leq 1$. Thus,

$$\lim_{\theta_i \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Using (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$\lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} -2^{d-1} \left[\int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right] \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} \Big|_{\theta_i = u}^v = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Thus, using (2.10), Lemma 4, (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&F(z + \delta_i, r) - F(z, r) \\
&= \lim_{\substack{a \rightarrow 0 \\ b \rightarrow \infty}} \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} \left[2^{d-1} \int_{[u,v] \times [a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} d\theta \right] \\
&= 2^{d-1} \int \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} d\theta. \quad (2.14)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.14) and integration by parts on each parameter again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^d z_i \{F(z, r) - F(z - \delta_i, r)\} + \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \{F(z, r) - F(z + \delta_i, r)\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d 2^{d-1} \int \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) z_i \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j - \delta_{ji}} \theta_j^{2z_j - \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) (z_i + \beta_i) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} \right] d\theta \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{2^{d-2}}{r} \int \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) 2z_i \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j - \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) 2r \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} \right] d\theta \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{2^{d-2}}{r} \int \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \frac{\partial \theta_i^{2z_i}}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \right] d\theta \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{2^{d-2}}{r} \lim_{\substack{a \rightarrow 0 \\ b \rightarrow \infty}} \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} \left\{ \int_u^v \int_{[a, b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \frac{\partial \theta_i^{2z_i}}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_u^v \int_{[a, b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \right\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{2^{d-2}}{r} \lim_{\substack{a \rightarrow 0 \\ b \rightarrow \infty}} \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} \left\{ - \int_u^v \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \int_{[a, b]^{d-1}} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \right\} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right] \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left[\int_{[a, b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \right]_{\theta_i = u}^v \right\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{2^{d-2}}{r} \lim_{\substack{a \rightarrow 0 \\ b \rightarrow \infty}} \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} \left\{ - \int_u^v \int_{[a, b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \right\} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left[\int_{[a, b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \right]_{\theta_i = u}^v \right\}. \quad (2.15)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we use auxiliary variables a, b, u, v to ensure the above equations hold.

From (2.2c), we have

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2\beta_j - 1} \right\} \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j} \exp(-r\theta_j^2) d\theta < \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2\beta_j - 1} \right\} \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta < \infty. \quad (2.16)$$

From (2.2b), we have

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2) d\theta < \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j < \infty.$$

Hence

$$\int \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty. \quad (2.17)$$

Because of Lemma 6, we know that

$$\int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)}$$

converges as $\theta_i \rightarrow 0$ or $\theta_i \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, using (2.17), we have

$$\lim_{\theta_i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} = 0. \quad (2.18)$$

From (2.2e), we have

$$\lim_{\theta_i \rightarrow 0} \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \leq 0 \quad (2.19)$$

Using (2.18) and (2.19), we have

$$\lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} \left[\int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \right]_{\theta_i = u}^v \geq 0. \quad (2.20)$$

Using (2.15), (2.16), and (2.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^d z_i \{F(z, r) - F(z - \delta_i, r)\} + \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \{F(z, r) - F(z + \delta_i, r)\} \\ & \geq \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{2^{d-2}}{r} \lim_{\substack{a \rightarrow 0 \\ b \rightarrow \infty}} \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} \left\{ - \int_u^v \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \right\} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \right\} \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^d -\frac{2^{d-2}}{r} \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2\beta_j - 1} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta \\ & = -\frac{2^{d-2}}{r} \int \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2\beta_j - 1} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

From (2.21), we know that (2.1) holds if g satisfies the conditions of the second half of Theorem 1. \square

Considering the Jeffreys prior,

$$\pi_J(\lambda) \propto \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_d)^{1/2}} d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \cdots d\lambda_d,$$

we obtain the result below.

Corollary 1.

1) The Bayesian predictive distribution $p_{f,\beta=(1/2,\dots,1/2)}(y | x)$ dominates the Bayesian predictive distribution $p_J(y | x)$ based on the Jeffreys prior if, for every $r > 0$, the function

$$F(z, r) = F(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d, r) := \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r^{z_i+1/2} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i-1/2} \exp(-r\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1/2)} d\bar{\lambda}$$

is not a constant function of $z \in \mathbf{N}^d$, and for every $z \in \mathbf{N}^d$, $r > 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^d z_i \{F(z, r) - F(z - \delta_i, r)\} + \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + 1/2) \{F(z, r) - F(z + \delta_i, r)\} \geq 0, \quad (2.22)$$

where $F(z - \delta_i, r)$ is defined to be 1 if $z_i = 0$.

2) Condition (2.22) is satisfied if $g \in \mathbf{C}^2([0, \infty)^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int g(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j} \exp(-r\theta_j^2) d\theta &< \infty, \\ \int \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j} \exp(-r\theta_j^2) d\theta &< \infty, \\ \int \left| \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial \theta_i^2}(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j} \exp(-r\theta_j^2) d\theta &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for every $z \in \mathbf{N}^d$, i , $r > 0$, and $g(\theta)$ is a superharmonic function, $\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \Big|_{\theta_i=0} \leq 0$, $\forall i$.

The K-L risk of estimator $\hat{\lambda}$ is defined as the K-L risk of plug-in density $p(x | \hat{\lambda})$, which is

$$\sum_x \left[\sum_{i=1}^d \left\{ r\lambda_i \log \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\hat{\lambda}_i} \right) - r\lambda_i + r\hat{\lambda}_i \right\} \right] \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(r\lambda_j)^{x_j} \exp(-r\lambda_j)}{x_j!}.$$

We know that the Bayesian estimator based on $\pi_\beta(\lambda)$ is $(\frac{x_1 + \beta_1}{r}, \frac{x_2 + \beta_2}{r}, \dots, \frac{x_d + \beta_d}{r})$ and the Bayesian estimator based on $\pi_{f,\beta}(\lambda)$ is $(\frac{x_1 + \beta_1}{r} \frac{F(x + \delta_1, r)}{F(x, r)}, \frac{x_2 + \beta_2}{r} \frac{F(x + \delta_2, r)}{F(x, r)}, \dots, \frac{x_d + \beta_d}{r} \frac{F(x + \delta_d, r)}{F(x, r)})$. Therefore, the difference between the K-L risks of Bayesian estimators based on $\pi_\beta(\lambda)$ and $\pi_{f,\beta}(\lambda)$ has the same sign with (2.8). Using the proof of Theorem 1, we have

Corollary 2. The Bayesian estimator based on $\pi_{f,\beta}$ dominates the Bayesian estimator based on π_β if, for every $r > 0$, the function

$$F(z, r) = F(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d, r) := \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-r\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda}$$

is not a constant function of $z \in \mathbf{N}^d$, and for every $z \in \mathbf{N}^d$, $r > 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^d z_i \{F(z, r) - F(z - \delta_i, r)\} + \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \{F(z, r) - F(z + \delta_i, r)\} \geq 0,$$

where $F(z - \delta_i, r)$ is defined to be 1 if $z_i = 0$.

3 Improved prediction for independent Poisson processes with different durations

We consider the case of independent Poisson processes with different durations. Suppose that x_i and y_i ($i = 1, \dots, d$) are independently distributed according to Poisson distributions with mean $r_i \lambda_i$ and $s_i \lambda_i$, respectively, which means

$$p(x | \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(r_i \lambda_i)^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-r_i \lambda_i},$$

$$p(y | \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(s_i \lambda_i)^{y_i}}{y_i!} e^{-s_i \lambda_i}.$$

In this section, we denote $\gamma_i := \frac{1}{r_i} - \frac{1}{r_i + s_i}$, $\theta_i := \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_i}{\gamma_i}}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ and $g(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) := f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$. Please note that γ_i is given and does not change in Theorem 2.

We show that if g satisfies some conditions that are similar to the conditions in Section 2, then the Bayesian predictive distribution $p_{f,\beta}(y | x)$ dominates the Bayesian predictive distribution $p_\beta(y | x)$.

Theorem 2.

1) The Bayesian predictive distribution $p_{f,\beta}(y | x)$ dominates the Bayesian predictive distribution $p_\beta(y | x)$ if the function

$$F(z, r) = F(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d, r) := \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r_i^{z_i + \beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i + \beta_i - 1} \exp(-r_i \bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda}$$

is not a constant function of $z \in \mathbf{N}^d$ for every $r > 0$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i r_i z_i \left\{ F(z, r) - F(z - \delta_i, r) \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i r_i (z_i + \beta_i) \left\{ F(z, r) - F(z + \delta_i, r) \right\} \geq 0 \quad (3.1)$$

for every $z \in \mathbf{N}^d$, $r > 0$, where $F(z - \delta_i, r) := 1$ if $z_i = 0$.

2) Condition (3.1) is satisfied if $g \in \mathbf{C}^2([0, \infty)^d)$,

$$\int g(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r_j \gamma_j \theta_j^2) d\theta < \infty, \quad (3.2a)$$

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r_j \gamma_j \theta_j^2) d\theta < \infty, \quad (3.2b)$$

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2\beta_j - 1} \right\} \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j} \exp(-r_j \gamma_j \theta_j^2) d\theta < \infty, \quad (3.2c)$$

for every $z \in \mathbf{N}^d$, $i, r > 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2\beta_j - 1} \right) \leq 0, \quad (3.2d)$$

and

$$\lim_{\theta_i \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \leq 0, \quad (3.2e)$$

for every i .

Proof. 1) The proof is a generalization of that of Theorem 1.

For every i and $\tau \in [0, 1]$, let $\frac{1}{t_i(\tau)} := \frac{1}{r_i}(1 - \tau) + \frac{1}{r_i + s_i}\tau$. Then $t_i(\tau)$ is a smooth monotonically increasing function of $\tau \in [0, 1]$ satisfying $t_i(0) = r_i$, $t_i(1) = r_i + s_i$ and $\dot{t}_i/t_i = \gamma_i t_i$.

The difference between the K-L risks of Bayesian predictive distributions based on $\pi_{f,\beta}$ and π_β is

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left(\log \frac{p_\beta(y|x)}{p_{f,\beta}(y|x)} \middle| \lambda\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\log \int p(x, y | \bar{\lambda}) \pi_\beta(\bar{\lambda}) d\bar{\lambda} \middle| \lambda\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(\log \int p(x | \bar{\lambda}) \pi_\beta(\bar{\lambda}) d\bar{\lambda} \middle| \lambda\right) \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}\left(\log \int p(x, y | \bar{\lambda}) \pi_{f,\beta}(\bar{\lambda}) d\bar{\lambda} \middle| \lambda\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(\log \int p(x | \bar{\lambda}) \pi_{f,\beta}(\bar{\lambda}) d\bar{\lambda} \middle| \lambda\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\log \frac{\int p(x | \bar{\lambda}) \pi_{f,\beta}(\bar{\lambda}) d\bar{\lambda}}{\int p(x | \bar{\lambda}) \pi_\beta(\bar{\lambda}) d\bar{\lambda}} \middle| \lambda\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(\log \frac{\int p(x, y | \bar{\lambda}) \pi_{f,\beta}(\bar{\lambda}) d\bar{\lambda}}{\int p(x, y | \bar{\lambda}) \pi_\beta(\bar{\lambda}) d\bar{\lambda}} \middle| \lambda\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\log \frac{\int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \bar{\lambda}_1^{\beta_1-1} \bar{\lambda}_2^{\beta_2-1} \dots \bar{\lambda}_d^{\beta_d-1} \exp(-\sum_{i=1}^d r_i \bar{\lambda}_i) (r_1 \bar{\lambda}_1)^{x_1} \dots (r_d \bar{\lambda}_d)^{x_d} d\bar{\lambda}}{\int \bar{\lambda}_1^{\beta_1-1} \bar{\lambda}_2^{\beta_2-1} \dots \bar{\lambda}_d^{\beta_d-1} \exp(-\sum_{i=1}^d r_i \bar{\lambda}_i) (r_1 \bar{\lambda}_1)^{x_1} \dots (r_d \bar{\lambda}_d)^{x_d} d\bar{\lambda}} \middle| \lambda\right) \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}\left(\log \frac{\int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \bar{\lambda}_1^{\beta_1-1} \bar{\lambda}_2^{\beta_2-1} \dots \bar{\lambda}_d^{\beta_d-1} \exp\{-\sum_{i=1}^d (r_i + s_i) \bar{\lambda}_i\} \{(r_1 + s_1) \bar{\lambda}_1\}^{x_1+y_1} \dots \{(r_d + s_d) \bar{\lambda}_d\}^{x_d+y_d} d\bar{\lambda}}{\int \bar{\lambda}_1^{\beta_1-1} \bar{\lambda}_2^{\beta_2-1} \dots \bar{\lambda}_d^{\beta_d-1} \exp\{-\sum_{i=1}^d (r_i + s_i) \bar{\lambda}_i\} \{(r_1 + s_1) \bar{\lambda}_1\}^{x_1+y_1} \dots \{(r_d + s_d) \bar{\lambda}_d\}^{x_d+y_d} d\bar{\lambda}} \middle| \lambda\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\log \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r_i^{x_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{x_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-r_i \bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(x_i + \beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda} \middle| \lambda\right) \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}\left(\log \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(r_i + s_i)^{x_i+y_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{x_i+y_i+\beta_i-1} \exp\{-(r_i + s_i) \bar{\lambda}_i\}}{\Gamma(x_i + y_i + \beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda} \middle| \lambda\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\log F(z, t(0)) \middle| z_i \sim \text{Po}(t_i(0)\lambda_i), i = 1, \dots, d\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(\log F(z, t(1)) \middle| z_i \sim \text{Po}(t_i(1)\lambda_i), i = 1, \dots, d\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

From Lemma 7, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\log F(z, r)\right| \middle| z_i \sim \text{Po}(r_i \lambda_i), i = 1, \dots, d\right) < \infty$$

for every r . Thus, the risk difference (3.3) is finite. The risk difference (3.3) is negative if

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\log F(z, t(\tau)) \middle| z_i \sim \text{Po}(t_i(\tau)\lambda_i), i = 1, \dots, d\right) = \sum_z \left\{ \log F(z, t(\tau)) \right\} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i(\tau)\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i(\tau)\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \quad (3.4)$$

is an increasing function of τ .

Using $\dot{t}_i/t_i = \gamma_i t_i$, we have

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau}(z, t(\tau)) = \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{t_i(\tau)^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-t_i(\tau) \bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^d (z_j + \beta_j) \gamma_j t_j(\tau) - \sum_{k=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_k \gamma_k t_k^2(\tau) \right\} d\bar{\lambda}. \quad (3.5)$$

From Lemma 8, we can exchange the integration and differentiation in $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau}(z, t(\tau))$.

Using $\dot{t}_i/t_i = \gamma_i t_i$ and (3.5), the partial differential function of (3.4) with respect to τ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_z \left\{ \log F(z, t(\tau)) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d z_j \gamma_j t_j(\tau) - \sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k \gamma_k t_k^2(\tau) \right) \\ & + \sum_z \left[\frac{\int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{t_i(\tau)^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-t_i(\tau) \bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^d (z_j + \beta_j) \gamma_j t_j(\tau) - \sum_{k=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_k \gamma_k t_k^2(\tau) \right\} d\bar{\lambda}}{F(z, t(\tau))} \right] \\ & \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

From Lemma 9, we can differentiate (3.4) by terms under condition (3.1).

We notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_z \left\{ \log F(z, t(\tau)) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j \gamma_j t_j^2(\tau) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_z \left\{ \log F(z, t(\tau)) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)}{z_j!} \right\} \lambda_i \gamma_i t_i^2(\tau) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_z \left[(z_i + 1) \gamma_i t_i(\tau) \left\{ \log F(z, t(\tau)) \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)^{z_j+\delta_{ij}} \exp(-t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)}{(z_j + \delta_{ij})!} \right] \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_z \left[z_i \gamma_i t_i(\tau) \left\{ \log F(z - \delta_i, t(\tau)) \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)}{z_j!} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where δ_{ij} is defined to be 1 if $i = j$ and 0 if $i \neq j$, δ_i is defined to be the d -dimensional vector whose i -th element is 1 and all other elements are 0, and $F(z - \delta_i, t(\tau))$ is defined to be 1 if $z_i = 0$. Furthermore, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{t_j(\tau)^{z_j+\beta_j} \bar{\lambda}_j^{z_j+\beta_j-1} \exp(-t_j(\tau) \bar{\lambda}_j)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} \bar{\lambda}_i \gamma_i t_i^2(\tau) d\bar{\lambda} \\ & = \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{t_j(\tau)^{z_j+\delta_{ij}+\beta_j} \bar{\lambda}_j^{z_j+\delta_{ij}+\beta_j-1} \exp(-t_j(\tau) \bar{\lambda}_j)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ij} + \beta_j)} (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau) d\bar{\lambda} \\ & = F(z + \delta_i, t(\tau)) (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Thus, from (3.7) and (3.8), the partial differential function (3.6) of (3.4) with respect to τ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_z \sum_{i=1}^d \left[z_i \gamma_i t_i(\tau) \left\{ \log F(z, t(\tau)) \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)}{z_j!} \right] \\ & - \sum_z \sum_{i=1}^d \left[z_i \gamma_i t_i(\tau) \left\{ \log F(z - \delta_i, t(\tau)) \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)}{z_j!} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_z \left\{ \frac{F(z, t(\tau)) \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau) - \sum_{i=1}^d F(z + \delta_i, t(\tau)) (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau)}{F(z, t(\tau))} \right\} \\
& \quad \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)}{z_j!} \right\} \\
& = \sum_z \left[\sum_{i=1}^d z_i \gamma_i t_i(\tau) \left\{ \log \frac{F(z, t(\tau))}{F(z - \delta_i, t(\tau))} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)}{z_j!} \right] \\
& \quad + \sum_z \left[\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau) \left\{ 1 - \frac{F(z + \delta_i, t(\tau))}{F(z, t(\tau))} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)}{z_j!} \right]. \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

By assumption $F(z, t(\tau))$ is not a constant function of z , hence $F(z - \delta_i, t(\tau)) \equiv F(z, t(\tau))$ does not hold. Therefore, from the inequality $\log \epsilon > 1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon}$, $\epsilon \neq 1$, (3.9) is strictly greater than

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_z \left[\sum_{i=1}^d z_i \gamma_i t_i(\tau) \left\{ 1 - \frac{F(z - \delta_i, t(\tau))}{F(z, t(\tau))} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)}{z_j!} \right] \\
& \quad + \sum_z \left[\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau) \left\{ 1 - \frac{F(z + \delta_i, t(\tau))}{F(z, t(\tau))} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)}{z_j!} \right] \\
& = \sum_z \left[\sum_{i=1}^d z_i \gamma_i t_i(\tau) \left\{ 1 - \frac{F(z - \delta_i, t(\tau))}{F(z, t(\tau))} \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau) \left\{ 1 - \frac{F(z + \delta_i, t(\tau))}{F(z, t(\tau))} \right\} \right] \\
& \quad \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)^{z_j} \exp(-t_j(\tau) \lambda_j)}{z_j!} \right\}. \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.1), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i t_i(\tau) z_i \{F(z, t(\tau)) - F(z - \delta_i, t(\tau))\} + \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i t_i(\tau) (z_i + \beta_i) \{F(z, t(\tau)) - F(z + \delta_i, t(\tau))\} \geq 0.$$

Thus, (3.10) is not negative, and (3.9) is positive. Therefore, (3.4) is an increasing function and the first half of Theorem 2 is proved.

2) Next, we prove that if f satisfies the conditions of the second half of Theorem 2, then (3.1) is satisfied.

Let $\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_j}{\gamma_j}} =: \theta_j$, $j = 1, \dots, d$. Then, we have $\lambda_j = \gamma_j \theta_j^2$, $d\lambda_j = 2\theta_j \gamma_j d\theta_j$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& F(z + \delta_i, r) - F(z, r) \\
& = \int f(\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r_j^{z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j} \lambda_j^{z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j - 1} \exp(-r_j \lambda_j)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} d\lambda - \int f(\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r_j^{z_j + \beta_j} \lambda_j^{z_j + \beta_j - 1} \exp(-r_j \lambda_j)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\lambda \\
& = 2^d \int g(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} d\theta \\
& \quad - 2^d \int g(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta \\
& = -2^{d-1} \int g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \\
& \quad - 2^{d-1} \int g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \right) \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i.
\end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts on θ_i , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& F(z + \delta_i, r) - F(z, r) \\
&= \lim_{\substack{a \rightarrow 0 \\ b \rightarrow \infty}} \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} \left[-2^{d-1} \int_u^v \left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2^{d-1} \int_u^v \left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \right) \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \right] \\
&= \lim_{\substack{a \rightarrow 0 \\ b \rightarrow \infty}} \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} \left(2^{d-1} \int_u^v \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2^{d-1} \left[\left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} \right]_{\theta_i = u}^v \right) \\
&= \lim_{\substack{a \rightarrow 0 \\ b \rightarrow \infty}} \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} \left(2^{d-1} \int_{[u,v] \times [a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} d\theta \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2^{d-1} \left[\left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} \right]_{\theta_i = u}^v \right). \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we use auxiliary variables a, b, u, v and Lemma 10 to ensure the above equations hold.

Using Lemma 10, we have

$$\int \left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty.$$

Because of Lemma 11,

$$\left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)}$$

converges as $\theta_i \rightarrow \infty$. Thus,

$$\lim_{\theta_i \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Because $g \in \mathbf{C}^2([0, \infty)^d)$, g is bounded on $[0, 1] \times [a, b]^{d-1}$, then

$$\int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j$$

is bounded for $\theta_i \leq 1$. Thus,

$$\lim_{\theta_i \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Consequently, using (3.12) and (3.13), we have

$$\lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} -2^{d-1} \left[\left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} \right]_{\theta_i = u}^v = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Thus, using (3.11), Lemma 10, (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F(z + \delta_i, r) - F(z, r) \\ &= \lim_{\substack{a \rightarrow 0 \\ b \rightarrow \infty}} \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} \left[2^{d-1} \int_{[u,v] \times [a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} d\theta \right] \\ &= 2^{d-1} \int \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Using (3.15) and integration by parts on each parameter again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i r_i z_i \{F(z, r) - F(z - \delta_i, r)\} + \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i r_i (z_i + \beta_i) \{F(z, r) - F(z + \delta_i, r)\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d 2^{d-1} \int \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \gamma_i r_i z_i \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j - \delta_{ji}} \theta_j^{2(z_j - \delta_{ji}) + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \gamma_i r_i (z_i + \beta_i) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} \right\} d\theta \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d 2^{d-2} \int \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) 2z_i \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j - \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) 2\gamma_i r_i \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} \right\} d\theta \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d 2^{d-2} \int \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \frac{\partial \theta_i^{2z_i}}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \right] d\theta \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d 2^{d-2} \lim_{\substack{a \rightarrow 0 \\ b \rightarrow \infty}} \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} \left\{ \int_u^v \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \frac{\partial \theta_i^{2z_i}}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_u^v \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d 2^{d-2} \lim_{\substack{a \rightarrow 0 \\ b \rightarrow \infty}} \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} \left\{ - \int_u^v \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left[\int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \right]_{\theta_i = u}^v \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^d 2^{d-2} \lim_{\substack{a \rightarrow 0 \\ b \rightarrow \infty}} \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} \left\{ - \int_u^v \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \right\} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left[\int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \right]_{\theta_i=u}^v \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Here, we use auxiliary variables a, b, u, v to ensure the above equations hold.

From (3.2c), we have

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2\beta_j-1} \right\} \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2) d\theta < \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2\beta_j-1} \right\} \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta < \infty. \tag{3.17}$$

From (3.2b), we have

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2) d\theta < \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j < \infty. \tag{3.18}$$

From (3.18), we have

$$\int \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty. \tag{3.19}$$

Because of Lemma 12,

$$\int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)}$$

converges as $\theta_i \rightarrow 0$ or $\theta_i \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, using (3.19), we have

$$\lim_{\theta_i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} = 0. \tag{3.20}$$

From (3.2e), we have

$$\lim_{\theta_i \rightarrow 0} \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \leq 0 \tag{3.21}$$

Using (3.20) and (3.21), we have

$$\lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ v \rightarrow \infty}} \left[\int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \right]_{\theta_i = u}^v \geq 0. \quad (3.22)$$

Using (3.16), (3.17), and (3.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i r_i z_i \{F(z, r) - F(z - \delta_i, r)\} + \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i r_i (z_i + \beta_i) \{F(z, r) - F(z + \delta_i, r)\} \\ & \geq \sum_{i=1}^d 2^{d-2} \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \left[- \int_u^v \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \right\} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \right] \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^d -2^{d-2} \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2\beta_j-1} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta \\ & = -2^{d-2} \int \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2\beta_j-1} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta, \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

From (3.23), we know that (3.1) holds if g satisfies the conditions of the second half of Theorem 2. \square

4 Examples

We show some examples that satisfy the conditions in Sections 2 and 3. These include point shrinkage priors and subspace shrinkage priors.

Example 1. We consider the class of prior in Komaki (2004) and Komaki (2015), which is

$$\pi_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \cdots d\lambda_d \propto \frac{\lambda_1^{\beta_1-1} \lambda_2^{\beta_2-1} \cdots \lambda_d^{\beta_d-1}}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_d)^\alpha} d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \cdots d\lambda_d$$

for prediction for independent Poisson processes with the same duration, and

$$\pi_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(\lambda) d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \cdots d\lambda_d \propto \frac{\lambda_1^{\beta_1-1} \lambda_2^{\beta_2-1} \cdots \lambda_d^{\beta_d-1}}{(\lambda_1/\gamma_1 + \lambda_2/\gamma_2 + \cdots + \lambda_d/\gamma_d)^\alpha} d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \cdots d\lambda_d$$

for prediction for independent Poisson processes with different durations, where $0 < \alpha \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i - 1$. The two priors are the same as $\pi_{f, \beta}(\lambda)$ in this study, where $f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i^2 \right)^{-\alpha}$.

Proposition 1. *When $f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i^2 + \eta \right)^{-\alpha}$, $\eta \geq 0$, $0 < \alpha \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i - 1$, the Bayesian predictive distribution $p_{f, \beta}(y | x)$ dominates the Bayesian predictive distribution $p_\beta(y | x)$, for prediction of independent Poisson processes with the same or different durations.*

Proof.

Because independent Poisson processes with the same duration correspond to $r_1 = r_2 = \cdots = r_d$ and

$s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_d$, we need only prove that $f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = (\sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i^2 + \eta)^{-\alpha}$ satisfies the conditions in the first half of Theorem 2. We show this in two parts.

Part 1. Condition (3.1) is satisfied.

We first show that $g(\theta) = (\sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i^2 + \eta)^{-\alpha}$ satisfies the conditions in the second half of Theorem 2, when $\eta > 0$, $0 < \alpha \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i - 1$. Here, $\theta_i = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_i}{\gamma_i}}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$.

(3.2a) is satisfied because

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int g(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j+2\beta_j-1} \exp(-r_j \gamma_j \theta_j^2) d\theta \\
&= \int (\sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j^2 + \eta)^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^d (\lambda_j / \gamma_j)^{z_j + \beta_j - 1/2} e^{-r_j \lambda_j} d\theta \\
&\leq \int \eta^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^d (\lambda_j / \gamma_j)^{z_j + \beta_j - 1/2} e^{-r_j \lambda_j} d\theta \\
&= 2^{-d} \eta^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^d \int \frac{\lambda_j^{z_j + \beta_j - 1}}{\gamma_j^{z_j + \beta_j}} e^{-r_j \lambda_j} d\lambda_j \\
&= 2^{-d} \eta^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)}{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j}} \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

(3.2b) is satisfied because

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j+2\beta_j-1} \exp(-r_j \gamma_j \theta_j^2) d\theta \\
&= \int 2\alpha (\sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j^2 + \eta)^{-\alpha-1} \theta_i \prod_{j=1}^d (\lambda_j / \gamma_j)^{z_j + \beta_j - 1/2} e^{-r_j \lambda_j} d\theta \\
&\leq \int 2\alpha \eta^{-\alpha-1} \theta_i \prod_{j=1}^d (\lambda_j / \gamma_j)^{z_j + \beta_j - 1/2} e^{-r_j \lambda_j} d\theta \\
&= 2^{-d+1} \alpha \eta^{-\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^d \int \frac{\lambda_j^{z_j + \beta_j + \delta_{ji}/2 - 1}}{\gamma_j^{z_j + \beta_j + \delta_{ji}/2}} e^{-r_j \lambda_j} d\lambda_j \\
&= 2^{-d+1} \alpha \eta^{-\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j + \delta_{ji}/2)}{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j + \delta_{ji}/2}} \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

(3.2c) is satisfied because

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2\beta_j-1} \right\} \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j} \exp(-r_j \gamma_j \theta_j^2) d\theta \\
&\leq \int 4\alpha(\alpha+1) (\sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j^2 + \eta)^{-\alpha-2} \theta_i^2 \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j+2\beta_j-1} \exp(-r_j \gamma_j \theta_j^2) d\theta \\
&\quad + \int 4\alpha\beta_i (\sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j^2 + \eta)^{-\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{z_j+2\beta_j-1} \exp(-r_j \gamma_j \theta_j^2) d\theta
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int 4\alpha(\alpha+1)\eta^{-\alpha-2}\theta_i^2 \prod_{j=1}^d (\lambda_j/\gamma_j)^{z_j+\beta_j-1/2} e^{-r_j\lambda_j} d\theta \\
&\quad + \int 4\alpha\beta_i\eta^{-\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^d (\lambda_j/\gamma_j)^{z_j+\beta_j-1/2} e^{-r_j\lambda_j} d\theta \\
&= 2^{-d+2}\alpha(\alpha+1)\eta^{-\alpha-2} \prod_{j=1}^d \int \frac{\lambda_j^{z_j+\beta_j+\delta_{ji}-1}}{\gamma_j^{z_j+\beta_j+\delta_{ji}}} e^{-r_j\lambda_j} d\lambda_j \\
&\quad + 2^{-d+2}\alpha\beta_i\eta^{-\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^d \int \frac{\lambda_j^{z_j+\beta_j-1}}{\gamma_j^{z_j+\beta_j}} e^{-r_j\lambda_j} d\lambda_j \\
&= 2^{-d+2}\alpha(\alpha+1)\eta^{-\alpha-2} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{\Gamma(z_j+\beta_j+\delta_{ji})}{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j+\beta_j+\delta_{ji}}} \\
&\quad + 2^{-d+2}\alpha\beta_i\eta^{-\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{\Gamma(z_j+\beta_j)}{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j+\beta_j}} \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

(3.2d) is satisfied because

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2\beta_j-1} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ -2\alpha\theta_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j^2 + \eta \right)^{-\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2\beta_j-1} \right\} \\
&= -2\alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j^2 + \eta \right)^{-\alpha-2} \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2\beta_j-1} \sum_{i=1}^d \left\{ 2\beta_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j^2 + \eta \right) + 2(-\alpha-1)\theta_i^2 \right\} \\
&= -4\alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j^2 + \eta \right)^{-\alpha-2} \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2\beta_j-1} \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i - \alpha - 1 \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j^2 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i \eta \right\} \\
&\leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(3.2e) is satisfied because

$$\lim_{\theta_i \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} = \lim_{\theta_i \rightarrow 0} -2\alpha\theta_i^{2\beta_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j^2 + \eta \right)^{-\alpha-1} \leq 0.$$

Using the statements above, we know that $g(\theta) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i^2 + \eta \right)^{-\alpha}$ satisfies the conditions of the second half of Theorem 2. Therefore, (3.1) holds when $g(\theta) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i^2 + \eta \right)^{-\alpha}$, $\eta > 0$, $0 < \alpha \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i - 1$.

Next, we prove that (3.1) still holds when $f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i^2 \right)^{-\alpha}$. The key is to consider $\eta \rightarrow 0$. Let $\zeta := \min\{\gamma_1 r_1, \dots, \gamma_d r_d\}$ and $\bar{\lambda} := \theta^2$. Because $-\alpha + \sum_{j=1}^d (\beta_j + z_j) \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j^2 \right)^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r_j^{z_j+\beta_j} \lambda_j^{z_j+\beta_j-1} \exp(-r_j\lambda_j)}{\Gamma(z_j+\beta_j)} d\lambda \\
&= 2^d \int \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j^2 \right)^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{\theta_j^{2\beta_j-1+2z_j} (\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j+\beta_j}}{\Gamma(z_j+\beta_j)} e^{-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2} d\theta
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_j\right)^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{\bar{\lambda}_j^{\beta_j-1+z_j} (\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j+\beta_j}}{\Gamma(z_j+\beta_j)} e^{-\gamma_j r_j \bar{\lambda}_j} d\bar{\lambda} \\
&\leq \int \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_j\right)^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{\bar{\lambda}_j^{\beta_j-1+z_j} (\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j+\beta_j}}{\Gamma(z_j+\beta_j)} e^{-\zeta \bar{\lambda}_j} d\bar{\lambda} \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j+\beta_j}}{\zeta^{z_j+\beta_j}} \int \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_j\right)^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{\bar{\lambda}_j^{\beta_j-1+z_j} \zeta^{z_j+\beta_j}}{\Gamma(z_j+\beta_j)} e^{-\zeta \bar{\lambda}_j} d\bar{\lambda} \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j+\beta_j}}{\zeta^{z_j+\beta_j}} \mathbb{E}(\Lambda^{-\alpha} \mid \Lambda \sim \Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^d (\beta_j + z_j), \zeta)) \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Therefore, using the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\int \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i^2 + \eta\right)^{-\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r_i^{z_i+\beta_i} \lambda_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-r_i \lambda_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)} d\lambda \rightarrow \int \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i^2\right)^{-\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r_i^{z_i+\beta_i} \lambda_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-r_i \lambda_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)} d\lambda$$

when $\eta \rightarrow 0$. From the definition of F in (3.1), we know that (3.1) still holds when $f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i^2\right)^{-\alpha}$.

Part 2. $F(z, r)$ is not a constant function of z .

$F(z, r)$ is not a constant function of z because when $z_1 \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&F(z, r) \\
&= \int (\lambda_1/\gamma_1 + \lambda_2/\gamma_2 + \dots + \lambda_d/\gamma_d + \eta)^{-\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{\lambda_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} r_i^{z_i+\beta_i}}{\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)} e^{-r_i \lambda_i} d\lambda \\
&< \int (\lambda_1/\gamma_1)^{-\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{\lambda_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} r_i^{z_i+\beta_i}}{\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)} e^{-r_i \lambda_i} d\lambda \\
&= \int (\lambda_1/\gamma_1)^{-\alpha} \frac{\lambda_1^{z_1+\beta_1-1} r_1^{z_1+\beta_1}}{\Gamma(z_1+\beta_1)} e^{-r_1 \lambda_1} d\lambda_1 \\
&= \int \gamma_1^\alpha \frac{\lambda_1^{z_1+\beta_1-1-\alpha} r_1^{z_1+\beta_1}}{\Gamma(z_1+\beta_1)} e^{-r_1 \lambda_1} d\lambda_1 \\
&= \gamma_1^\alpha r_1^\alpha \frac{\Gamma(z_1+\beta_1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(z_1+\beta_1)} \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i^2 + \eta\right)^{-\alpha}$, $\eta \geq 0$, $0 < \alpha \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i - 1$ satisfies the conditions in the first half of Theorem 2. Thus, the Bayesian predictive distribution $p_{f,\beta}(y \mid x)$ dominates the Bayesian predictive distribution $p_\beta(y \mid x)$, for prediction of independent Poisson processes with the same or different durations. \square

Example 2. We consider the subspace shrinkage prior for prediction of independent Poisson processes with the same duration. We denote $\theta_i := \sqrt{\lambda_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. In Example 1, the Bayesian predictions based on the prior with $f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i^2 + \eta\right)^{-\alpha}$ shrink θ towards $\vec{0}$. Therefore, it is natural to investigate the subspace shrinkage prior $f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = (s_V(\theta))^{-\alpha}$, where $s_V(\theta)$ is the squared distance from θ to a linear subspace $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

We assume complementary space $V^\perp = \text{span}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d-k})$, where $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d-k}\}$ is a standard

orthonormal basis and k is the dimension of V . We have

$$s_V(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-k} \langle \theta, v_i \rangle^2.$$

Using Corollary 1, we have

Proposition 2. *When $f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = (s_V(\theta))^{-\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq (d-k-2)/2$, and if $\sum_{i=1}^{d-k} v_{i,j_1} v_{i,j_2} \geq 0$ for every $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq d$, then the Bayesian predictive density based on $\pi_{f,\beta=(1/2,\dots,1/2)}(\lambda)$ dominates that based on the Jeffreys prior.*

Proof.

We need only prove that $f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = (s_V(\theta))^{-\alpha}$ satisfies the conditions in the first half of Corollary 1. We show this in two parts.

Part 1. Condition (2.22) is satisfied.

We construct a \mathbf{C}^2 prior

$$f_\epsilon(\lambda) = g_\epsilon(\theta) = (s_V(\theta) + \epsilon)^{-\alpha}, \quad 0 < \epsilon < 1.$$

We first show that $g_\epsilon(\theta)$ satisfies the conditions of the second half of Corollary 1.

First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int g_\epsilon(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j} \exp(-r\theta_j^2) d\theta &\leq \int \epsilon^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j} \exp(-r\theta_j^2) d\theta \\ &= 2^{-d} \epsilon^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^d \int \lambda_j^{z_j-1/2} e^{-r\lambda_j} d\lambda_j \\ &= 2^{-d} \epsilon^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^d r^{-z_j-1/2} \Gamma(z_j + 1/2) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \left| \frac{\partial g_\epsilon}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \right| \prod_{h=1}^d \theta_h^{2z_h} \exp(-r\theta_h^2) d\theta \\ &= \int \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d-k} \langle \theta, v_j \rangle^2 + \epsilon \right)^{-\alpha-1} \alpha \left| \sum_{j=1}^{d-k} 2v_{ji} \langle \theta, v_j \rangle \right| \prod_{h=1}^d \theta_h^{2z_h} \exp(-r\theta_h^2) d\theta \\ &\leq \epsilon^{-\alpha-1} \alpha \int 2(d-k) \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j \right) \prod_{h=1}^d \theta_h^{2z_h} \exp(-r\theta_h^2) d\theta \\ &= 2\epsilon^{-\alpha-1} \alpha (d-k) \sum_{j=1}^d \int \theta_j \prod_{h=1}^d \theta_h^{2z_h} \exp(-r\theta_h^2) d\theta \\ &= 2\epsilon^{-\alpha-1} \alpha (d-k) \sum_{j=1}^d 2^{-d} r^{-(d+1)/2} \prod_{h=1}^d r^{-z_h} \Gamma(z_h + 1/2 + \delta_{hj}/2) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial^2 g_\epsilon}{\partial \theta_i^2}(\theta) \right| \prod_{h=1}^d \theta_h^{2z_h} \exp(-r\theta_h^2) d\theta$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d-k} \langle \theta, v_j \rangle^2 + \epsilon \right)^{-\alpha-2} \alpha(\alpha+1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d-k} 2v_{ji} \langle \theta, v_j \rangle \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d-k} \langle \theta, v_j \rangle^2 + \epsilon \right)^{-\alpha-1} \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{d-k} 2v_{ji}^2 \right\} \prod_{h=1}^d \theta_h^{2z_h} \exp(-r\theta_h^2) d\theta \\
&\leq \epsilon^{-\alpha-2} \alpha(\alpha+1) 4(d-k)^2 \int \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j^2 \right) \prod_{h=1}^d \theta_h^{2z_h} \exp(-r\theta_h^2) d\theta + \epsilon^{-\alpha-1} \alpha 2(d-k) \int \prod_{h=1}^d \theta_h^{2z_h} \exp(-r\theta_h^2) d\theta \\
&= \epsilon^{-\alpha-2} \alpha(\alpha+1) 4(d-k)^2 \sum_{j=1}^d \int \theta_j^2 \prod_{h=1}^d \theta_h^{2z_h} \exp(-r\theta_h^2) d\theta + \epsilon^{-\alpha-1} \alpha 2(d-k) \int \prod_{h=1}^d \theta_h^{2z_h} \exp(-r\theta_h^2) d\theta \\
&= 4\epsilon^{-\alpha-2} \alpha(\alpha+1) (d-k)^2 \sum_{j=1}^d 2^{-d} r^{-(d+2)/2} \prod_{h=1}^d r^{-z_h} \Gamma(z_h + 1/2 + \delta_{hj}) \\
&\quad + 2^{-d+1} \epsilon^{-\alpha-1} \alpha (d-k) \prod_{h=1}^d r^{-z_h - 1/2} \Gamma(z_h + 1/2) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Second, $g_\epsilon(\theta)$ is a superharmonic function because

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial^2 g_\epsilon}{\partial \theta_i^2}(\theta) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d-k} \langle \theta, v_j \rangle^2 + \epsilon \right)^{-\alpha-2} \alpha(\alpha+1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d-k} 2v_{ji} \langle \theta, v_j \rangle \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d-k} \langle \theta, v_j \rangle^2 + \epsilon \right)^{-\alpha-1} \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{d-k} 2v_{ji}^2 \right\} \\
&= \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d-k} \langle \theta, v_j \rangle^2 + \epsilon \right)^{-\alpha-2} \left\{ 4(\alpha+1) \sum_{j=1}^{d-k} \langle \theta, v_j \rangle^2 - 2(d-k) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d-k} \langle \theta, v_j \rangle^2 + \epsilon \right) \right\} \\
&= \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d-k} \langle \theta, v_j \rangle^2 + \epsilon \right)^{-\alpha-2} \left\{ (4(\alpha+1) - 2(d-k)) \sum_{j=1}^{d-k} \langle \theta, v_j \rangle^2 - 2(d-k)\epsilon \right\} \\
&< 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Third, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\theta_i \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g_\epsilon(\theta) \\
&= \lim_{\theta_i \rightarrow 0} - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d-k} \langle \theta, v_j \rangle^2 + \epsilon \right)^{-\alpha-1} \alpha \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{d-k} 2v_{ji} \langle \theta, v_j \rangle \right\} \\
&= \lim_{\theta_i \rightarrow 0} - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d-k} \langle \theta, v_j \rangle^2 + \epsilon \right)^{-\alpha-1} \alpha \left\{ \sum_{h=1}^d \theta_h \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d-k} 2v_{ji} v_{jh} \right) \right\} \\
&\leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the second half of Corollary 1, we know that (2.22) holds when $f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = (s_V(\theta) + \epsilon)^{-\alpha}$. Next, we prove that (2.22) still holds when $f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = (s_V(\theta))^{-\alpha}$. The key is to consider $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Because the rank of matrix $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d-k}\}$ is $d-k$, there exist $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{d-k} \leq d$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{d-k} v_{i,j_t}^2 > 0$, $t = 1, 2, \dots, d-k$. Without loss of generality, we assume $j_t = t$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{d-k} v_{it}^2 \geq \zeta > 0$, $t = 1, 2, \dots, d-k$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{d-k} \langle \theta, v_i \rangle^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{d-k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j^2 v_{ij}^2 + 2 \sum_{j_1 < j_2} \theta_{j_1} \theta_{j_2} v_{ij_1} v_{ij_2} \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-k} v_{ij}^2 \right) + \sum_{j_1 < j_2} 2\theta_{j_1} \theta_{j_2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-k} v_{ij_1} v_{ij_2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \sum_{t=1}^{d-k} \theta_t^2 \zeta.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int (s_V(\theta))^{-\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r^{z_i+1/2} \lambda_i^{z_i-1/2} \exp(-r\lambda_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+1/2)} d\lambda \\ &= \int (\sum_{i=1}^{d-k} \langle \theta, v_i \rangle^2)^{-\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r^{z_i+1/2} \lambda_i^{z_i-1/2} \exp(-r\lambda_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+1/2)} d\lambda \\ &\leq \int (\sum_{t=1}^{d-k} \theta_t^2 \zeta)^{-\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r^{z_i+1/2} \lambda_i^{z_i-1/2} \exp(-r\lambda_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+1/2)} d\lambda \\ &= \zeta^{-\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-k} \lambda_i \right)^{-\alpha} \mid \lambda_i \sim \Gamma(z_i+1/2, r) \right) \\ &= \zeta^{-\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left(\lambda^{-\alpha} \mid \lambda \sim \Gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-k} z_i + (d-k)/2, r \right) \right) \\ &= \zeta^{-\alpha} r^\alpha \frac{\Gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-k} z_i + (d-k)/2 - \alpha \right)}{\Gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-k} z_i + (d-k)/2 \right)} < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Therefore, using the dominated convergence theorem, for every z and $r > 0$,

$$\int (s_V(\theta) + \epsilon)^{-\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r^{z_i+1/2} \lambda_i^{z_i-1/2} \exp(-r\lambda_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+1/2)} d\lambda \rightarrow \int (s_V(\theta))^{-\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r^{z_i+1/2} \lambda_i^{z_i-1/2} \exp(-r\lambda_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+1/2)} d\lambda$$

when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. From the definition of F in (2.22), (2.22) still holds when $f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = (s_V(\theta))^{-\alpha}$.

Part 2. $F(z, r)$ is not a constant function of z .

From (4.2), we notice that $F(z, r) \rightarrow 0$ when $z_1 \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, F is not a constant function of z .

Using the first half of Corollary 1, we know that the Bayesian predictive density based on $\pi_{f, \beta=(1/2, \dots, 1/2)}(\lambda)$ dominates that based on the Jeffreys prior. \square

5 Numerical experiments

We use numerical experiments to show the difference between the risk of the Bayesian predictive density based on the Jeffreys prior and that of the Bayesian predictive density based on the priors in Section 4.

Experiment 1.

We set $r = s = 1$, $d = 3$ and $\theta_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, 3$. The first prior is a point shrinkage prior with

$$f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \theta_i^2 \right)^{-(3-2)/2}.$$

The second prior is called the ‘‘shift point shrinkage prior’’ with

$$f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \theta_i^2 + 1 \right)^{-(3-2)/2}.$$

For each λ , we sampled x and y 10^5 times and approximated the risk difference by the sample mean of $\log \frac{p_{f,\beta=(1/2,\dots,1/2)}(y|x)}{p_J(y|x)}$. Figure 1 shows the difference between the risks of the Bayesian predictive densities based on the two priors and the Jeffreys prior when $\lambda = \mu \times (1/3, 1/3, 1/3)$. When μ is small, the Bayesian predictive density based on the point shrinkage prior performs better. When μ is large, the Bayesian predictive density based on the shift point shrinkage prior performs better.




Figure 1: Log value of the difference between the expected divergences, $\log E \left[D(p(y|\lambda), p_J(y|x)) - D(p(y|\lambda), p_{f,\beta=(1/2,\dots,1/2)}(y|x)) \mid \lambda \right]$.

Experiment 2.

We set $r = s = 1$, $d = 4$ and $\theta_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$. The first prior is a point shrinkage prior with

$$f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^4 \theta_i^2 \right)^{-(4-2)/2}.$$

The second prior is called “subspace shrinkage prior 1” with

$$f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = (\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 + \theta_3^2)^{-(3-2)/2},$$

which shrinks θ towards subspace $V = \text{span}((0, 0, 0, 1))$. The third prior is called “subspace shrinkage prior 2” with

$$f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = (\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 + \theta_4^2)^{-(3-2)/2},$$

which shrinks θ towards subspace $V = \text{span}((0, 0, 1, 0))$. The fourth prior is called “subspace shrinkage prior 3” with

$$f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = (\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 + (\theta_3 + \theta_4)^2/2)^{-(3-2)/2},$$

which shrinks θ towards subspace $V = \text{span}((0, 0, 1, -1))$.

For each λ , we sampled x and y 10^5 times and approximated the risk difference by the sample mean of $\log \frac{p_{f,\beta=(1/2,\dots,1/2)}(y|x)}{p_J(y|x)}$. Figure 2 shows the difference between the risks of the Bayesian predictive densities based on the four priors and the Jeffreys prior when $\lambda = \mu \times (1, 1, 1, 100)/20$. When μ is small, λ is close to $\bar{0}$, and the Bayesian predictive densities based on point shrinkage prior and subspace shrinkage

priors both perform well. Because θ_4 is much larger than the others, the Bayesian predictive density based on subspace shrinkage prior 1 performs far better than the others, and the Bayesian predictive density based on subspace shrinkage prior 3 is the second best.




Figure 2: Log value of the difference between the expected divergences, $\log E \left[D(p(y | \lambda), p_J(y | x)) - D(p(y | \lambda), p_{f, \beta=(1/2, \dots, 1/2)}(y | x)) \mid \lambda \right]$.

The last example shows that the condition $\left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \right|_{\theta_i=0} \leq 0$ in Corollary 1 is important. We set $r = s = 1$, $\lambda = (0.1, 0.1, 0.1)$. When $f(\lambda) = g(\theta) = (\sum_{i=1}^3 (\theta_i - 1)^2 + 0.01)^{-1/2}$,

$$E \left[D(p(y | \lambda), p_J(y | x)) - D(p(y | \lambda), p_{f, \beta=(1/2, \dots, 1/2)}(y | x)) \mid \lambda \right] = -0.09.$$

However, g satisfies all conditions in Corollary 1 except $\left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \right|_{\theta_i=0} \leq 0$.

Appendix

Lemma 1. *Under condition (2.1), for a given r , there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_z \left[\max_{t \in [r-\epsilon, r+\epsilon]} \left\{ \left| \log F(z, t) \right| \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + 1 \right) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \right] < \infty.$$

Epecially, $E \left(\left| \log F(z, r) \right| \mid z_i \sim Po(r\lambda_i), i = 1, \dots, d \right) < \infty$.

Proof

From (2.1), we know that

$$\sum_{i=1}^d z_i (F(z, t) - F(z - \delta_i, t)) + \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) (F(z, t) - F(z + \delta_i, t)) \geq 0, \quad \forall z. \quad (5.1)$$

Let $\beta_0 := \min\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d\}$. Using (5.1), we have $F(z + \delta_i, t) < \frac{2}{\beta_0} \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) F(z, t)$, $\forall z$. Thus,

$F(z, t) < \frac{2}{\beta_0} \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) F(z - \delta_i, t) < \left(\frac{2}{\beta_0} \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \right)^2 F(z - 2\delta_i, t) < \dots$. Hence

$$F(z, t) \leq \left(\frac{2}{\beta_0} \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^d z_i} F(\vec{0}, t). \quad (5.2)$$

Using (5.1), we have $F(z - \delta_i, t) < 2 \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) F(z, t)$ if $z_i > 0$. Thus, $F(z, t) > \left(2 \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \right)^{-1} F(z - \delta_i, t) > \left(2 \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \right)^{-2} F(z - 2\delta_i, t) > \dots$. Hence

$$F(z, t) \geq \left(2 \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \right)^{-\sum_{i=1}^d z_i} F(\vec{0}, t). \quad (5.3)$$

We choose $\epsilon = r/2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{t \in [r/2, 3r/2]} F(\vec{0}, t) \\ &= \max_{t \in [r/2, 3r/2]} \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{t^{\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{\beta_i-1} \exp(-t\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(\beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda} \\ &\leq \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(3r/2)^{\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{\beta_i-1} \exp(-r/2\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(\beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda} \\ &= 3^{\sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i} F(\vec{0}, r/2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{t \in [r/2, 3r/2]} F(\vec{0}, t) \\ &= \min_{t \in [r/2, 3r/2]} \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{t^{\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{\beta_i-1} \exp(-t\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(\beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda} \\ &\geq \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(r/2)^{\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{\beta_i-1} \exp(-3r/2\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(\beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda} \\ &= (1/3)^{\sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i} F(\vec{0}, 3r/2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Using (5.2), (5.3), (5.4), and (5.5), there exists a constant C such that

$$\max_{t \in [r/2, 3r/2]} \left| \log F(z, t) \right| < C \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + C \right)^2, \quad \forall z.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_z \left[\max_{t \in [r/2, 3r/2]} \left\{ \left| \log F(z, t) \right| \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + 1 \right) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \right] \\ &< \sum_z \left[\max_{t \in [r/2, 3r/2]} \left\{ C \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + C \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + 1 \right) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \right] \\ &\leq \sum_z \left[C \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + C \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + 1 \right) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(3r/2\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-r/2\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right] \\ &= \exp\left(r \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i\right) \mathbb{E} \left(C \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + C \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + 1 \right) \middle| z_i \sim \text{Po}(3r/2\lambda_i) \right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2. *If the function $F(z, r)$ defined in Theorem 1 is finite, then for any given r and z , there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\int \max_{t \in [r-\epsilon, r+\epsilon]} \left\{ f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{t^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-t\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i+\beta_i}{t} - \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_i \right| \right\} d\bar{\lambda} < \infty.$$

Proof

We choose $\epsilon = r/2$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \max_{t \in [r-\epsilon, r+\epsilon]} \left\{ f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{t^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-t\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i+\beta_i}{t} - \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_i \right| \right\} d\bar{\lambda} \\ & \leq \int \max_{t \in [r/2, 2r]} \left\{ f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{t^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-t\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i+\beta_i}{t} - \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_i \right| \right\} d\bar{\lambda} \\ & \leq \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(2r)^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-\frac{r}{2}\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{2z_i+2\beta_i}{r} + \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_i \right) d\bar{\lambda} \\ & = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i+\beta_i)}{r} 2^{2\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i+\beta_i)+1} \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(r/2)^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-\frac{r}{2}\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda} \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^d \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(2r)^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-\frac{r}{2}\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)} \bar{\lambda}_j d\bar{\lambda} \\ & = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i+\beta_i)}{r} 2^{2\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i+\beta_i)+1} \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(r/2)^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-\frac{r}{2}\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{r} 2^{2\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i+\beta_i)+1} \sum_{j=1}^d \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(r/2)^{z_i+\beta_i+\delta_{ij}} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i+\delta_{ij}-1} \exp(-\frac{r}{2}\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda} \\ & = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i+\beta_i)}{r} 2^{2\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i+\beta_i)+1} F(z, r/2) + \frac{1}{r} 2^{2\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i+\beta_i)+1} \sum_{j=1}^d F(z+\delta_j, r/2)(z_j+\beta_j). \end{aligned}$$

Because $F(z, r/2)$ and $F(z+\delta_j, r/2)$ are finite, the proof is complete. □

Lemma 3. *Under condition (2.1), for a given r , there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_z \max_{t \in [r-\epsilon, r+\epsilon]} \left[\left| \log(F(z, t)) \right| \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i}{t} - \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \right| \right] \\ & \quad + \sum_z \max_{t \in [r-\epsilon, r+\epsilon]} \left[\frac{\left| \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{t^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-t\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i+\beta_i}{t} - \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_i \right) d\bar{\lambda} \right|}{F(z, t)} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right] \\ & < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Proof

Using (2.7), we know that

$$\int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{t^{z_j + \beta_j} \bar{\lambda}_j^{z_j + \beta_j - 1} \exp(-t\bar{\lambda}_j)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} \bar{\lambda}_j d\bar{\lambda} = F(z + \delta_i, t) \frac{z_i + \beta_i}{t}.$$

Therefore, the Lemma is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_z \max_{t \in [r-\epsilon, r+\epsilon]} \left[\log F(z, t) \left| \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i}{t} - \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \right| \right] \\ & + \sum_z \max_{t \in [r-\epsilon, r+\epsilon]} \left[\frac{\left| F(z, t) \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i + \beta_i}{t} - \sum_{i=1}^d F(z + \delta_i, t) \frac{z_i + \beta_i}{t} \right|}{F(z, t)} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right] \\ & < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

From Lemma 1, we have $\epsilon \in (0, r)$ such that

$$\sum_z \max_{t \in [r-\epsilon, r+\epsilon]} \left[\log F(z, t) \left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + 1 \right) \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \right| \right] < \infty,$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_z \max_{t \in [r-\epsilon, r+\epsilon]} \left[\log F(z, t) \left| \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i}{t} - \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \right| \right] \\ & \leq \sum_z \max_{t \in [r-\epsilon, r+\epsilon]} \left[\log F(z, t) \left| \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + 1}{\min\{r - \epsilon, 1/\sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i\}} \right| \right] < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Using (2.1), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^d z_i (F(z, t) - F(z - \delta_i, t)) + \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) (F(z, t) - F(z + \delta_i, t)) \geq 0,$$

thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) F(z + \delta_i, t) < 2 \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) F(z, t).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_z \max_{t \in [r-\epsilon, r+\epsilon]} \left[\frac{\left| F(z, t) \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i + \beta_i}{t} - \sum_{i=1}^d F(z + \delta_i, t) \frac{z_i + \beta_i}{t} \right|}{F(z, t)} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right] \\ & < \sum_z \max_{t \in [r-\epsilon, r+\epsilon]} \left[\frac{F(z, t) \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{z_i + \beta_i}{t}}{F(z, t)} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{r - \epsilon} \sum_z \max_{t \in [r-\epsilon, r+\epsilon]} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{\exp(2\epsilon \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i)}{r - \epsilon} \sum_z \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{((r + \epsilon)\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-(r + \epsilon)\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \\
&= \frac{\exp(2\epsilon \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i)}{r - \epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^d \left\{ (r + \epsilon)\lambda_i + \beta_i \right\} < \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Therefore, using (5.7) and (5.8), we obtain (5.6). \square

Lemma 4. *Under conditions (2.2a) and (2.2b), we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&F(z, r) < \infty \\
&F(z + \delta_i, r) < \infty \\
&\int \left\{ \int g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty \\
&\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} d\theta < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Proof

From (2.2a), we have

$$\int g(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2) d\theta < \infty.$$

Thus, we have $F(z, r) < \infty$ and

$$\int g(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j + \delta_{ij})} d\theta < \infty. \tag{5.9}$$

From (2.2a), we have

$$\int g(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\delta_{ij} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2) d\theta < \infty.$$

Hence we have $F(z + \delta_i, r) < \infty$ and

$$\int g(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j + 2\delta_{ij} - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j + \delta_{ij})} d\theta < \infty. \tag{5.10}$$

Using (5.9) and (5.10), we know that

$$\int \left\{ \int g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} (\theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i - 1} + \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i + 1}) \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\int \left\{ \int g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty.$$

From (2.2b), we have

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2) d\theta < \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j + \delta_{ij})} d\theta < \infty. \quad (5.11)$$

From (2.2b), we have

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\delta_{ij} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2) d\theta < \infty.$$

Hence

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j + 2\delta_{ij} - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j + \delta_{ij})} d\theta < \infty. \quad (5.12)$$

Using (5.11) and (5.12), we have

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} d\theta < \infty.$$

□

Lemma 5. Under conditions (2.2a) and (2.2b),

$$\left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)}$$

converges as $\theta_i \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof By integration by parts on θ_i , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_u^v \left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \\ & + \int_u^v \left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \right) \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \\ & + \int_{[u,v] \times [a,b]^{d-1}} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g(\theta) \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} d\theta \\ & = \left[\left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} \right]_{\theta_i=u}^v. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Using Lemma 4, we have $F(z + \delta_i, r) < \infty$, thus

$$\int \left\{ \int g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty.$$

Using Lemma 4, we have $F(z, r) < \infty$, thus

$$\int \left\{ \int g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \right) \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty.$$

Using Lemma 4, we have

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} d\theta < \infty.$$

Thus, all three terms in (5.13) converge as $v \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$\left[\int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right] \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} \Big|_{\theta_i = u}^v$$

converges as $v \rightarrow \infty$, which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 6. *If $g \in C^2([0, \infty)^d)$ and conditions (2.2a), (2.2b), and (2.2c) are satisfied, then*

$$\int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)}$$

converges as $\theta_i \rightarrow 0$ or $\theta_i \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof

By integration by parts on θ_i , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_u^v \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \frac{\partial \theta_i^{2z_i}}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \\ & + \int_u^v \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \\ & + \int_u^v \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \right\} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \\ & = \left[\int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \right]_{\theta_i = u}^v. \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

From (2.14) and $z_i \{F(z, r) - F(z - \delta_i, r)\} < \infty$, we know that

$$\int \int \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \frac{\partial \theta_i^{2z_i}}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty.$$

From (2.14) and $(z_i + \beta_i) \{F(z, r) - F(z + \delta_i, r)\} < \infty$, we know that

$$\int \int \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty.$$

Using (2.16), we have

$$\int \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i - 1} \right\} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty.$$

Thus, all three terms in (5.14) converge as $u \rightarrow 0$ or $v \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$\left[\int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{r^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-r\theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{r^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-r\theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \right]_{\theta_i=u}^v$$

converges as $u \rightarrow 0$ or $v \rightarrow \infty$, which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 7. *Under condition (3.1), for a given r , there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_z \left[\max_{t \in \bar{B}(r, \epsilon)} \left\{ \left| \log F(z, t) \right| \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + 1 \right) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \right] < \infty,$$

where $\bar{B}(r, \epsilon)$ is the closed ball with center $r = (r_1, \dots, r_d)$ and radius ϵ . Especially, $E\left(\left| \log F(z, r) \right| \middle| z_i \sim Po(r_i \lambda_i), i = 1, \dots, d\right) < \infty$.

Proof

From (3.1), we know that

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i t_i z_i \left\{ F(z, t) - F(z - \delta_i, t) \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i t_i (z_i + \beta_i) \left\{ F(z, t) - F(z + \delta_i, t) \right\} \geq 0, \quad \forall z. \quad (5.15)$$

Let $\beta_0 := \min\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d\}$ and $\gamma_0 := \frac{\min\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d\}}{\max\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d\}}$.

Using (5.15), we have $F(z + \delta_i, t) < \frac{2}{\beta_0 \gamma_0} \frac{\max\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}}{\min\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}} \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) F(z, t)$, $\forall z$. Thus,

$$F(z, t) < \frac{2}{\beta_0 \gamma_0} \frac{\max\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}}{\min\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}} \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) F(z - \delta_i, t) < \left(\frac{2}{\beta_0 \gamma_0} \frac{\max\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}}{\min\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}} \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \right)^2 F(z - 2\delta_i, t) < \dots$$

Hence

$$F(z, t) \leq \left(\frac{2}{\beta_0 \gamma_0} \frac{\max\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}}{\min\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}} \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^d z_i} F(\vec{0}, t). \quad (5.16)$$

Using (5.15), we have $F(z - \delta_i, t) < \frac{2}{\gamma_0} \frac{\max\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}}{\min\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}} \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) F(z, t)$ if $z_i > 0$. Thus,

$$F(z, t) > \left(\frac{2}{\gamma_0} \frac{\max\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}}{\min\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}} \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \right)^{-1} F(z - \delta_i, t) > \left(\frac{2}{\gamma_0} \frac{\max\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}}{\min\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}} \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \right)^{-2} F(z - 2\delta_i, t) > \dots$$

Hence

$$F(z, t) \geq \left(\frac{2}{\gamma_0} \frac{\max\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}}{\min\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}} \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \right)^{-\sum_{i=1}^d z_i} F(\vec{0}, t). \quad (5.17)$$

We choose $\epsilon = \min\{r_1, \dots, r_d\}/2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{t \in \bar{B}(r, \epsilon)} F(\vec{0}, t) \\ &= \max_{t \in \bar{B}(r, \epsilon)} \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{t_i^{\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{\beta_i-1} \exp(-t_i \bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(\beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda} \\ &\leq \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(3r_i/2)^{\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{\beta_i-1} \exp(-r_i/2 \bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(\beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda} \\ &= 3^{\sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i} F(\vec{0}, r/2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min_{t \in \bar{B}(r, \epsilon)} F(\vec{0}, t) \\
&= \min_{t \in \bar{B}(r, \epsilon)} \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{t_i^{\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{\beta_i-1} \exp(-t_i \bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(\beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda} \\
&\geq \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(r_i/2)^{\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{\beta_i-1} \exp(-3r_i/2\bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(\beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda} \\
&= (1/3)^{\sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i} F(\vec{0}, 3r/2).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

Using (5.16), (5.17), (5.18), and (5.19), there exists a constant C such that

$$\max_{t \in \bar{B}(r, \epsilon)} \left| \log F(z, t) \right| < C \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + C \right)^2, \quad \forall z.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_z \left[\max_{t \in \bar{B}(r, \epsilon)} \left\{ \left| \log F(z, t) \right| \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + 1 \right) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \right] \\
&< \sum_z \left[\max_{t \in \bar{B}(r, \epsilon)} \left\{ C \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + C \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + 1 \right) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \right] \\
&\leq \sum_z \left[C \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + C \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + 1 \right) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(3r_i/2\lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-r_i/2\lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right] \\
&= \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^d r_i \lambda_i \right) \mathbb{E} \left(C \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + C \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + 1 \right) \mid z_i \sim \text{Po}(3r_i/2\lambda_i) \right) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 8. *If the function $F(z, r)$ defined in Theorem 2 is finite, then for any given $r = (r_1, \dots, r_d)$, $s = (s_1, \dots, s_d)$ and $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$, we have*

$$\int \max_{\tau \in [0, 1]} \left\{ f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{t_i(\tau)^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-t_i(\tau) \bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau) - \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_i \gamma_i t_i^2(\tau) \right| \right\} d\bar{\lambda} < \infty.$$

Proof

We denote $r_0 = \min\{r_1, \dots, r_d\}$ and $s_0 = \max\{r_1 + s_1, \dots, r_d + s_d\}$. Because $t_i(\tau) \in [r_i, r_i + s_i]$, we have $t_i(\tau) \in [r_0, s_0]$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \max_{\tau \in [0, 1]} \left\{ f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{t_i(\tau)^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-t_i(\tau) \bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau) - \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_i \gamma_i t_i^2(\tau) \right| \right\} d\bar{\lambda} \\
&\leq \int \left[f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{s_0^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-r_0 \bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i s_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_i \gamma_i s_0^2 \right\} \right] d\bar{\lambda} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i s_0 \left(\frac{s_0}{r_0} \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i)} \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r_0^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-r_0 \bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^d \gamma_j s_0^2 \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{s_0^{z_i+\beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1} \exp(-r_0 \bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \bar{\lambda}_j d\bar{\lambda}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i s_0 \left(\frac{s_0}{r_0}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i)} \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r_0^{z_i + \beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i + \beta_i - 1} \exp(-r_0 \bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^d \gamma_j s_0 \left(\frac{s_0}{r_0}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) + 1} \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{r_0^{z_i + \beta_i + \delta_{ij}} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i + \beta_i + \delta_{ij} - 1} \exp(-r_0 \bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\bar{\lambda} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i s_0 \left(\frac{s_0}{r_0}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i)} F(z, (r_0, \dots, r_0)) + \sum_{j=1}^d \gamma_j s_0 \left(\frac{s_0}{r_0}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) + 1} F(z + \delta_j, (r_0, \dots, r_0))(z_j + \beta_j).
\end{aligned}$$

Because $F(z, (r_0, \dots, r_0))$ and $F(z + \delta_j, (r_0, \dots, r_0))$ are finite, the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 9. *Under condition (3.1), for a given τ_0 , there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_z \max_{|\tau - \tau_0| \leq \epsilon} \left[\left\{ \log F(z, t(\tau)) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i \gamma_i t_i(\tau) - \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \gamma_i t_i^2(\tau) \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_z \max_{|\tau - \tau_0| \leq \epsilon} \left[\frac{\left| \int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{t_i(\tau)^{z_i + \beta_i} \bar{\lambda}_i^{z_i + \beta_i - 1} \exp(-t_i(\tau) \bar{\lambda}_i)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau) - \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\lambda}_i \gamma_i t_i^2(\tau) \right) d\bar{\lambda} \right|}{F(z, t(\tau))} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \right] \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof

From (3.8), we know that

$$\int f(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_d) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{t_j(\tau)^{z_j + \beta_j} \bar{\lambda}_j^{z_j + \beta_j - 1} \exp(-t_j(\tau) \bar{\lambda}_j)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} \bar{\lambda}_i \gamma_i t_i^2(\tau) d\bar{\lambda} = F(z + \delta_i, t(\tau))(z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau).$$

Therefore, the Lemma is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_z \max_{|\tau - \tau_0| \leq \epsilon} \left[\left| \log F(z, t(\tau)) \right| \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d z_i \gamma_i t_i(\tau) - \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \gamma_i t_i^2(\tau) \right| \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_z \max_{|\tau - \tau_0| \leq \epsilon} \left\{ \frac{\left| F(z, t(\tau)) \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau) - \sum_{i=1}^d F(z + \delta_i, t(\tau))(z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau) \right|}{F(z, t(\tau))} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \\
&< \infty. \tag{5.20}
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 7, when we set $r = t(\tau_0)$, we know that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sum_z \left[\max_{t \in \bar{B}(t(\tau_0), \delta)} \left\{ \left| \log F(z, t) \right| \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + 1 \right) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \right] < \infty.$$

Because $t(\tau)$ is continuous, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any $\tau \in [\tau_0 - \epsilon, \tau_0 + \epsilon]$, $t(\tau) \in \bar{B}(t(\tau_0), \delta)$. Thus, we have

$$\sum_z \max_{|\tau - \tau_0| \leq \epsilon} \left[\left| \log F(z, t(\tau)) \right| \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d z_i \gamma_i t_i(\tau) - \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \gamma_i t_i^2(\tau) \right| \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_z \max_{t \in \bar{B}(t(\tau_0), \delta)} \left[\left| \log F(z, t) \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \right| \left| \sum_{i=1}^d z_i \gamma_i t_i - \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \gamma_i t_i^2 \right| \right] \\
&\leq \sum_z \max_{t \in \bar{B}(t(\tau_0), \delta)} \left[\left| \log F(z, t) \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \right| \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + 1 \right) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i (\|t(\tau_0)\| + \delta) + \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \gamma_i (\|t(\tau_0)\| + \delta)^2 \right\} \right] \\
&= \sum_z \max_{t \in \bar{B}(t(\tau_0), \delta)} \left[\left| \log F(z, t) \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \right| \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i + 1 \right) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i (\|t(\tau_0)\| + \delta) + \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \gamma_i (\|t(\tau_0)\| + \delta)^2 \right\} \right] \\
&< \infty. \tag{5.21}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.1), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i r_i z_i (F(z, r) - F(z - \delta_i, r)) + \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i r_i (z_i + \beta_i) (F(z, r) - F(z + \delta_i, r)) \geq 0,$$

thus, when we set $r = t(\tau)$, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i t_i(\tau) (z_i + \beta_i) F(z + \delta_i, t(\tau)) < 2 \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i t_i(\tau) (z_i + \beta_i) F(z, t(\tau)).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_z \max_{|\tau - \tau_0| \leq \epsilon} \left\{ \frac{\left| F(z, t(\tau)) \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau) - \sum_{i=1}^d F(z + \delta_i, t(\tau)) (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau) \right|}{F(z, t(\tau))} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \\
&< \sum_z \max_{|\tau - \tau_0| \leq \epsilon} \left\{ \frac{F(z, t(\tau)) \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau)}{F(z, t(\tau))} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We denote $r_0 = \min\{r_1, \dots, r_d\}$ and $s_0 = \max\{r_1 + s_1, \dots, r_d + s_d\}$. Because $t_i(\tau) \in [r_i, r_i + s_i]$, we have $t_i(\tau) \in [r_0, s_0]$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_z \max_{|\tau - \tau_0| \leq \epsilon} \left\{ \frac{\left| F(z, t(\tau)) \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau) - \sum_{i=1}^d F(z + \delta_i, t(\tau)) (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau) \right|}{F(z, t(\tau))} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \\
&< \sum_z \max_{|\tau - \tau_0| \leq \epsilon} \left\{ \frac{F(z, t(\tau)) \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i t_i(\tau)}{F(z, t(\tau))} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-t_i(\tau) \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \\
&\leq \sum_z \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i s_0 \right) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(s_0 \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-r_0 \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \\
&\leq \exp((s_0 - r_0) \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i) \sum_z \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i s_0 \right) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(s_0 \lambda_i)^{z_i} \exp(-s_0 \lambda_i)}{z_i!} \right\} \\
&= \exp((s_0 - r_0) \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i) \left(\sum_{i=1}^d (s_0 \lambda_i + \beta_i) \gamma_i s_0 \right) \\
&< \infty. \tag{5.22}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (5.21) and (5.22), we obtain (5.20). \square

Lemma 10. Under conditions (3.2a) and (3.2b), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(z, r) &< \infty \\
F(z + \delta_i, r) &< \infty \\
\int \left\{ \int g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i &< \infty \\
\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} d\theta &< \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Proof

From (3.2a), we have

$$\int g(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2) d\theta < \infty.$$

Thus, we have $F(z, r) < \infty$ and

$$\int g(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j + \delta_{ij})} d\theta < \infty. \quad (5.23)$$

From (3.2a), we have

$$\int g(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\delta_{ij} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2) d\theta < \infty.$$

Thus, we have $F(z + \delta_i, r) < \infty$ and

$$\int g(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j + 2\delta_{ij} - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j + \delta_{ij})} d\theta < \infty. \quad (5.24)$$

From (5.23) and (5.24), we know that

$$\int \left\{ \int g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} (\theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i - 1} + \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i + 1}) \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\int \left\{ \int g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty.$$

From (3.2b), we have

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2) d\theta < \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j + \delta_{ij})} d\theta < \infty. \quad (5.25)$$

From (3.2b), we have

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\delta_{ij} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2) d\theta < \infty.$$

Hence

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j + 2\delta_{ij} - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j + \delta_{ij})} d\theta < \infty. \quad (5.26)$$

Using (5.25) and (5.26), we have

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} d\theta < \infty.$$

□

Lemma 11. *Under conditions (3.2a) and (3.2b),*

$$\left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)}$$

converges as $\theta_i \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof By integration by parts on θ_i , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_u^v \left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \\ & + \int_u^v \left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \right) \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \\ & + \int_{[u,v] \times [a,b]^{d-1}} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g(\theta) \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} d\theta \quad (5.27) \\ & = \left[\left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} \right]_{\theta_i=u}^v. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 10, we have $F(z + \delta_i, r) < \infty$, thus

$$\int \left\{ \int g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty.$$

Using Lemma 10, we have $F(z, r) < \infty$, thus

$$\int \left\{ \int g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \right) \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty.$$

Using Lemma 10, we have

$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g(\theta) \right| \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + \delta_{ji} + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \delta_{ji} + \beta_j)} d\theta < \infty.$$

Hence all three terms in (5.27) converge as $v \rightarrow \infty$. Thus,

$$\left[\left\{ \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} g(\theta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i + 2\beta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + 1 + \beta_i)} \right]_{\theta_i=u}^v$$

converges as $v \rightarrow \infty$, which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 12. *If $g \in \mathbf{C}^2([0, \infty)^d)$ and conditions (3.2a), (3.2b), and (3.2c) are satisfied, then*

$$\int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)}$$

converges as $\theta_i \rightarrow 0$ or $\theta_i \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof

By integration by parts on θ_i , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_u^v \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \frac{\partial \theta_i^{2z_i}}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \\ & + \int_u^v \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \\ & + \int_u^v \int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \right\} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i \\ & = \left[\int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \right]_{\theta_i=u}^v. \end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

Using (3.15) and $\gamma_i r_i z_i \{F(z, r) - F(z - \delta_i, r)\} < \infty$, we know that

$$\int \int \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \frac{\partial \theta_i^{2z_i}}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty.$$

Using (3.15) and $\gamma_i r_i (z_i + \beta_i) \{F(z, r) - F(z + \delta_i, r)\} < \infty$, we know that

$$\int \int \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty.$$

Using (3.17), we have

$$\int \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \right\} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} d\theta_i < \infty.$$

Hence all three terms in (5.28) converge as $u \rightarrow 0$ or $v \rightarrow \infty$. Thus,

$$\left[\int_{[a,b]^{d-1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_i}(\theta) \theta_i^{2\beta_i-1} \left\{ \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(\gamma_j r_j)^{z_j + \beta_j} \theta_j^{2z_j + 2\beta_j - 1} \exp(-\gamma_j r_j \theta_j^2)}{\Gamma(z_j + \beta_j)} d\theta_j \right\} \frac{(\gamma_i r_i)^{z_i + \beta_i} \theta_i^{2z_i} \exp(-\gamma_i r_i \theta_i^2)}{\Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)} \right]_{\theta_i=u}^v$$

converges as $u \rightarrow 0$ or $v \rightarrow \infty$, which completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgments

The first author is grateful for support from the China Scholarship Council.

References

- AITCHISON, J. 1975. Goodness of prediction fit. *Biometrika* 62, 3, 547–554.
- AKAIKE, H. 1978. A new look at the bayes procedure. *Biometrika* 65, 1, 53–59.
- BROWN, L. D. 1971. Admissible estimators, recurrent diffusions, and insoluble boundary value problems. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 42, 3, 855–903.
- CLARKE, B. S. AND BARRON, A. R. 1994. Jeffreys’ prior is asymptotically least favorable under entropy risk. *Journal of Statistical planning and Inference* 41, 1, 37–60.
- CLEVENSON, M. L. AND ZIDEK, J. V. 1975. Simultaneous estimation of the means of independent poisson laws. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 70, 351a, 698–705.
- GEORGE, E. I., LIANG, F., AND XU, X. 2006. Improved minimax predictive densities under kullback-leibler loss. *The Annals of Statistics*, 78–91.
- GHOSH, M. AND YANG, M.-C. 1988. Simultaneous estimation of poisson means under entropy loss. *The Annals of Statistics* 16, 1, 278–291.
- HAMURA, Y. AND KUBOKAWA, T. 2020. Bayesian predictive distribution for a poisson model with a parametric restriction. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods* 49, 13, 3257–3266.
- JOHNSTONE, I. 1984. Admissibility, difference equations and recurrence in estimating a poisson mean. *The annals of Statistics*, 1173–1198.
- KOMAKI, F. 2001. A shrinkage predictive distribution for multivariate normal observables. *Biometrika* 88, 3, 859–864.
- KOMAKI, F. 2004. Simultaneous prediction of independent poisson observables. *the Annals of Statistics* 32, 4, 1744–1769.
- KOMAKI, F. 2006. A class of proper priors for bayesian simultaneous prediction of independent poisson observables. *Journal of multivariate analysis* 97, 8, 1815–1828.
- KOMAKI, F. 2015. Simultaneous prediction for independent poisson processes with different durations. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* 141, 35–48.
- STEIN, C. M. 1974. Estimation of the mean of a multivariate normal distribution. In *Proc. Prague Symposium on Asymptotic Statistics (J. Hájek, ed.)*. Vol. 2. Univ. Karlova, Prague, 345–381.
- TSUI, K.-W. AND PRESS, S. J. 1982. Simultaneous estimation of several poisson parameters under k-normalized squared error loss. *The Annals of Statistics*, 93–100.
- YANO, K., KANEKO, R., AND KOMAKI, F. 2021. Minimax predictive density for sparse count data. *Bernoulli* 27, 2, 1212–1238.