

On the properties of invariant functions

Zhi-Hong Sun

School of Mathematics and Statistics

Huaiyin Normal University

Huaian, Jiangsu 223300, P.R. China

Email: zhsun@hytc.edu.cn

Homepage: <http://maths.hytc.edu.cn/szh1.htm>

Abstract

If $f(x, y)$ is a real function satisfying $y > 0$ and $\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x + ry, ny) = f(x, y)$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we say that $f(x, y)$ is an invariant function. Many special functions including Bernoulli polynomials, Gamma function and Hurwitz zeta function are related to invariant functions. In this paper we systematically investigate the properties of invariant functions.

MSC(2020): Primary 26B99; Secondary 11B68, 26A45, 33B10, 33B15, 33E99

Keywords: invariant function; integral; trigonometric function; Bernoulli polynomial; Gamma function

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Z}^+ be the set of integers and the set of positive integers, respectively. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ let $a(m) = a + m\mathbb{Z} = \{a + km \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. If

$$a_1(n_1) \cup a_2(n_2) \cup \dots \cup a_k(n_k) = \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad a_i(n_i) \cap a_j(n_j) = \emptyset \quad \text{for any } i \neq j,$$

we say that $\{a_1(n_1), \dots, a_k(n_k)\}$ is a disjoint covering system. In [6,7,8], Z.W. Sun showed that if $\{a_1(n_1), \dots, a_k(n_k)\}$ is a disjoint covering system and $F(x, y)$ satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} F\left(\frac{x+r}{n}, ny\right) = F(x, y) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

then $\sum_{s=1}^k F\left(\frac{x+a_s}{n_s}, n_s y\right) = F(x, y)$. In [7], Z.W. Sun also gave some examples of $F(x, y)$ satisfies (1.1).

If $f(x, y)$ is a real function with $y > 0$ and

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x + ry, ny) = f(x, y) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

we say that $f(x, y)$ is an invariant function. This is because

$$f(x + y, y) - f(x, y) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f\left(x + \frac{y}{n} + \frac{r}{n}y, y\right) - \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f\left(x + \frac{r}{n}y, y\right) = f\left(x + \frac{y}{n}, \frac{y}{n}\right) - f\left(x, \frac{y}{n}\right)$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and so

$$(1.3) \quad f(x + y, y) - f(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(f\left(x + \frac{y}{n}, \frac{y}{n}\right) - f\left(x, \frac{y}{n}\right) \right) = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0^+} (f(x + a, a) - f(x, a)).$$

If the invariant function $f(x, y)$ is an integrable function of x at any closed intervals, we say that $f(x, y)$ is an integrable invariant function. The set of integrable invariant functions is denoted by I . If $F(x, y)$ is a real function satisfying (1.1) and $f(x, y) = F(\frac{x}{y}, y)$, then clearly f is an invariant function. By the above result due to Z.W. Sun, if $\{a_1(n_1), \dots, a_k(n_k)\}$ is a disjoint covering system and f is an invariant function, then

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{s=1}^k f(x + a_s y, n_s y) = f(x, y) \quad \text{and so} \quad \sum_{s=1}^k f(a_s, n_s) = f(0, 1).$$

Let $[x]$ be the greatest integer not exceeding x . By the Hermite identity $\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} [x + \frac{r}{n}] = [nx]$, $[\frac{x}{y}]$ is an invariant function. The Bernoulli numbers $\{B_n\}$ and Bernoulli polynomials $\{B_n(x)\}$ are given by

$$B_0 = 1, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} B_k = 0 \quad (n \geq 2) \quad \text{and} \quad B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_k x^{n-k} \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Raabe's theorem states (see [4]) that

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} B_m \left(x + \frac{r}{n} \right) = n^{1-m} B_m(nx) \quad (m \geq 0, n \geq 1).$$

Thus, $y^{m-1} B_m(\frac{x}{y})$ is an invariant function. More generally, if a is a real number and $F(x)$ is a real function satisfying

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} F \left(x + \frac{r}{n} \right) = n^{-a} F(nx) \quad \text{for any} \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

one can easily check that $F(\frac{x}{y})y^a$ is an invariant function. We note that the functional equation (1.5) have been investigated by several mathematicians including Bass, Kubert, Milnor and Walum. See [2],[3],[5] and [9].

In this paper, we systematically investigate the properties of invariant functions. In Section 2, we point out basic properties and more examples of invariant functions. In Section 3, we prove some interesting results for integrable invariant functions. In particular, if $f(x, y)$ is an integrable invariant function and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ exists, then

$$f(x, y) = \int_x^{x-y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(t, y) dt;$$

if g and h are integrable invariant functions and

$$g * h(x, y) = \int_0^x g(t, y) h(x-t, y) dt + \int_x^y g(t, y) h(x+y-t, y) dt,$$

then $g * h$ is also an integrable invariant function and

$$\int_0^y g * h(x, y) dx = \int_0^y g(x, y) dx \cdot \int_0^y h(x, y) dx.$$

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have

$$B_{m+n}(x) = -\binom{m+n}{m} \left(\int_0^1 B_m(x-t) B_n(t) dt + m \int_x^1 (x-t)^{m-1} B_n(t) dt \right)$$

and so

$$\frac{-y^{m-1} B_m(\frac{x}{y})}{m!} * \frac{-y^{n-1} B_n(\frac{x}{y})}{n!} = \frac{-y^{m+n-1} B_{m+n}(\frac{x}{y})}{(m+n)!}.$$

2. Basic properties and examples of invariant functions

Let \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R}^+ and \mathbb{C} be the set of real numbers, the set of positive real numbers and the set of complex numbers, respectively. From the definition of invariant function one can easily prove the following three propositions.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that $f(x, y)$ is an invariant function, $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $c > 0$ and $F(x, y) = af(b + cx, cy)$, then $F(x, y)$ is also an invariant function.*

Proposition 2.2. *If f is an invariant function and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ exists, then $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ is also an invariant function.*

Proposition 2.3. *Let $F(x, y)$ be the mapping from $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ to \mathbb{C} , and $F(x, y) = f(x, y) + ig(x, y)$, where $f(x, y)$ is the real part of $F(x, y)$. If $\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} F(x + ry, ny) = F(x, y)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ are invariant functions.*

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose that $f(x, y)$ is an invariant function and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then*

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x + rmy, ny) = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} f(x + rny, my).$$

Proof. By (1.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x + rmy, ny) &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f(x + rmy + k(ny), m(ny)) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x + kny + r(my), n(my)) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f(x + kny, my). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.5. *If f is an invariant function and $F(x, y) = f(y - x, y)$, then F is also an invariant function.*

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} F(x + ry, ny) &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(ny - (x + ry), ny) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(y - x + (n - 1 - r)y, ny) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(y - x + ky, ny) = f(y - x, y) = F(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the proposition.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ let $\{x\}$ be the fractional part of x . That is, $\{x\} = x - [x]$.

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose that f is an invariant function and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let*

$$f_1(x, y) = f\left(y\left\{\frac{t+x}{y}\right\}, y\right) \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(x, y) = f\left(y\left\{\frac{t-x}{y}\right\}, y\right).$$

Then both f_1 and f_2 are invariant functions.

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f_1(x + ry, ny)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f\left(ny \left\{ \frac{t+x+ry}{ny} \right\}, ny\right) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f\left(ny \left\{ \frac{r + [\frac{t+x}{y}] + \{\frac{t+x}{y}\}}{n} \right\}, ny\right) \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f\left(ny \left\{ \frac{r + \{\frac{t+x}{y}\}}{n} \right\}, ny\right) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f\left(y \left\{ \frac{t+x}{y} \right\} + ry, ny\right) = f\left(y \left\{ \frac{t+x}{y} \right\}, y\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $f_1(x, y)$ is an invariant function. Since $f_2(x, y) = f_1(y - x, y)$, $f_2(x, y)$ is also an invariant function by Proposition 2.5. This completes the proof.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $h(x)$ be a real function, and let*

$$\frac{1}{y} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h\left(\frac{k}{y}\right) \cos 2\pi \frac{kx}{y} = f(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{y} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h\left(\frac{k}{y}\right) \sin 2\pi \frac{kx}{y} = g(x, y).$$

Then f and g are invariant functions.

Proof. Suppose

$$\frac{1}{y} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h\left(\frac{k}{y}\right) e^{2\pi i \frac{kx}{y}} = F(x, y).$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} F(x + ry, ny) &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{ny} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h\left(\frac{k}{ny}\right) e^{2\pi i \frac{k(x+ry)}{ny}} \\
&= \frac{1}{ny} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h\left(\frac{k}{ny}\right) e^{2\pi i \frac{kx}{ny}} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \frac{kr}{n}} \\
&= \frac{1}{ny} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} h\left(\frac{m}{y}\right) e^{2\pi i \frac{mx}{y}} \cdot n = F(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

By Euler's formula $e^{i\theta} = \cos\theta + i\sin\theta$, we have $F(x, y) = f(x, y) + ig(x, y)$. Thus the result follows from Proposition 2.3.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $h(x)$ be a real function and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h(x + ky) = f(x, y)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then f is an invariant function.*

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x + ry, ny) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h(x + ry + kny) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} h(x + my) = f(x, y).$$

Remark 2.1 Let $h(x)$ be a real function and $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h(xy + ky) = F(x, y)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$. In [6,7], Z.W. Sun stated that $F(x, y)$ satisfies (1.1).

Now we list some typical examples of invariant functions.

Example 2.1. $\frac{1}{y} \in I$.

Example 2.2. $y^{m-1} B_m\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \in I$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$).

Example 2.3. $\left[\frac{x}{y}\right] \in I$ and $\left\{\frac{x}{y}\right\} - \frac{1}{2} \in I$.

Since $\left[\frac{x}{y}\right] \in I$ and $\frac{x}{y} - \frac{1}{2} = B_1\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \in I$ we have $\left\{\frac{x}{y}\right\} - \frac{1}{2} \in I$.

Example 2.4. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \frac{a-x}{y} \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{a-x}{y} \notin \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Then $f \in I$. This is because $\frac{a-x}{y} \in \mathbb{Z}$ implies that there is a unique $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $\frac{a-x}{y} \equiv r \pmod{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Example 2.5. For $a > 0$ and $a \neq 1$, we have $\frac{a^x}{a^y-1} \in I$.

This is because

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{a^{x+ry}}{a^{ny}-1} = \frac{a^x}{a^{ny}-1} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} a^{ry} = \frac{a^x}{a^y-1} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Example 2.6. Let $r > 0$, $r \neq 1$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, and let

$$f(x, y) = \frac{r^{x+y} \cos(x-y)\theta - r^x \cos x\theta}{1 - 2r^y \cos y\theta + r^{2y}} \quad \text{and} \quad g(x, y) = \frac{r^{x+y} \sin(x-y)\theta - r^x \sin x\theta}{1 - 2r^y \cos y\theta + r^{2y}}.$$

Then $f(x, y), g(x, y) \in I$.

By Euler's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(re^{i\theta})^x}{(re^{i\theta})^y - 1} &= \frac{r^x (\cos x\theta + i \sin x\theta)}{r^y \cos y\theta - 1 + i \sin y\theta \cdot r^y} = \frac{r^x (\cos x\theta + i \sin x\theta) (r^y \cos y\theta - 1 - i \sin y\theta \cdot r^y)}{(r^y \cos y\theta - 1)^2 + r^{2y} \sin^2 y\theta} \\ &= f(x, y) + ig(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Now, from Proposition 2.3 and (2.1) we deduce that $f(x, y), g(x, y) \in I$.

Example 2.7. Let $r > 0$, $r \neq 1$ and $f(x, y) = \log(1 - 2r^{\frac{1}{y}} \cos 2\pi \frac{x}{y} + r^{\frac{2}{y}})$. Then $f \in I$.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - ze^{2\pi i \frac{j}{n}}) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (-e^{2\pi i \frac{j}{n}}) (z - e^{-2\pi i \frac{j}{n}}) = (-1)^n e^{2\pi i \frac{1+2+\dots+n-1}{n}} (z^n - 1) = 1 - z^n.$$

Set $z = r^{\frac{1}{ny}} e^{2\pi i \frac{x}{ny}}$. We get

$$\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - r^{\frac{1}{ny}} e^{2\pi i \frac{x+jy}{ny}}) = 1 - r^{\frac{1}{y}} e^{2\pi i \frac{x}{y}}.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \log |1 - r^{\frac{1}{ny}} e^{2\pi i \frac{x+jy}{ny}}| = \log |1 - r^{\frac{1}{y}} e^{2\pi i \frac{x}{y}}|.$$

That is,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \log \left(1 - 2r^{\frac{1}{ny}} \cos 2\pi \frac{x+jy}{ny} + r^{\frac{2}{ny}} \right) = \log \left(1 - 2r^{\frac{1}{y}} \cos 2\pi \frac{x}{y} + r^{\frac{2}{y}} \right).$$

Example 2.8. Let $r > 0$, $r \neq 1$ and $f(x, y) = \frac{r^{\frac{1}{y}} \sin 2\pi \frac{x}{y}}{y(1 - 2r^{\frac{1}{y}} \cos 2\pi \frac{x}{y} + r^{\frac{2}{y}})}$. Then $f \in I$.

This is immediate from Example 2.7 and Proposition 2.2.

Example 2.9. Let $0 < r < 1$ and $f(x, y) = \frac{1 - r^{\frac{2}{y}}}{y(1 - 2r^{\frac{1}{y}} \cos 2\pi \frac{x}{y} + r^{\frac{2}{y}})}$. Then $f \in I$.

Set

$$F(x, y) = \frac{1}{y(1 - r^{\frac{1}{y}} e^{2\pi i \frac{x}{y}})} - \frac{1}{2y} = \frac{1}{2y} + \frac{1}{y} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^{\frac{k}{y}} e^{2\pi i \frac{kx}{y}}.$$

By Example 2.1 and the proof of Proposition 2.7, $\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} F(x + my, ny) = F(x, y)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) &= \frac{1}{y(1 - r^{\frac{1}{y}} \cos 2\pi \frac{x}{y} - i \sin 2\pi \frac{x}{y} \cdot r^{\frac{1}{y}})} - \frac{1}{2y} = \frac{1 - r^{\frac{1}{y}} \cos 2\pi \frac{x}{y} + i \sin 2\pi \frac{x}{y} \cdot r^{\frac{1}{y}}}{y(1 - 2r^{\frac{1}{y}} \cos 2\pi \frac{x}{y} + r^{\frac{2}{y}})} - \frac{1}{2y} \\ &= \frac{1 - r^{\frac{2}{y}}}{2y(1 - 2r^{\frac{1}{y}} \cos 2\pi \frac{x}{y} + r^{\frac{2}{y}})} + i \frac{r^{\frac{1}{y}} \sin 2\pi \frac{x}{y}}{y(1 - 2r^{\frac{1}{y}} \cos 2\pi \frac{x}{y} + r^{\frac{2}{y}})}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that $f(x, y) \in I$ by the above and Proposition 2.3.

Example 2.10. For $y > 0$ let

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \log |2 \sin \pi \frac{x}{y}| & \text{if } \frac{x}{y} \notin \mathbb{Z}, \\ -\log y & \text{if } \frac{x}{y} \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Then $f \in I$.

Example 2.10 was essentially given in [7]. Here we give a straightforward proof. For $x \neq 2k\pi$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) we know that

$$\log |2 \sin \frac{x}{2}| = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos kx}{k}.$$

Thus, for $\frac{x}{y} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\log |2 \sin \pi \frac{x}{y}| = -\frac{1}{y} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2k\pi \frac{x}{y}}{k/y}.$$

Since $\frac{x}{y} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ implies $\frac{x+ry}{ny} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, from the above and Proposition 2.7 we deduce that $\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x+ry, ny) = f(x, y)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Now assume that $\frac{x}{y} \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ there is a unique $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $\frac{x+ry}{ny} = \frac{x/y+r}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence,

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x+ry, ny) = -\log ny + \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ n \nmid (x/y+r)}}^{n-1} \log |2 \sin \pi \frac{x/y+r}{n}| = -\log ny + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \log 2 \sin \frac{k\pi}{n}.$$

Since

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} 2 \sin \frac{k\pi}{n} = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{e^{ik\pi/n} - e^{-ik\pi/n}}{i} = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - e^{-\frac{2k\pi i}{n}}) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} = n,$$

we get

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x + ry, ny) = -\log ny + \log \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} 2 \sin \frac{k\pi}{n} = -\log ny + \log n = -\log y = f(x, y).$$

Example 2.11 ([7]). For $y > 0$ let

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{y} \cot \pi \frac{x}{y} & \text{if } \frac{x}{y} \notin \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{x}{y} \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Then $f \in I$.

The Gamma function $\Gamma(x)$ is defined by

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt \quad (x > 0) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x) \quad (x \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots).$$

For the properties of $\Gamma(x)$ see [1] and [4].

Example 2.12 ([7]). For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y > 0$ let

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \log \left| \frac{y^{\frac{x}{y}} \Gamma(\frac{x}{y})}{\sqrt{2\pi y}} \right| & \text{if } \frac{x}{y} \notin \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}, \\ \log \frac{y^{\frac{x}{y}}}{(-\frac{x}{y})!} \sqrt{2\pi y} & \text{if } \frac{x}{y} \in \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}. \end{cases}$$

Then $f \in I$.

For $s > 1$ and $x \notin \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$ the Hurwitz zeta function $\zeta(s, x)$ is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \zeta(s, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+x)^s}.$$

From [1, pp.51-52] we know that $\zeta(s, x)$ has a continuation to the whole complex plane with a simple pole at $s = 1$. That is,

$$\zeta(s, x) = \frac{\Gamma(1-s)e^{-i\pi s}}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{z^{s-1} e^{-xz}}{1-e^{-z}} dz \quad \text{for } s \neq 1,$$

where C starts at infinity on the positive real axis, encircles the origin once in the positive direction, excluding the points $2k\pi i$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$), and returns to positive infinity. In particular, for $s < 0$, Hurwitz showed that

$$(2.3) \quad \zeta(s, x) = \frac{2\Gamma(1-s)}{(2\pi)^{1-s}} \left(\sin \frac{s\pi}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{s-1} \cos 2\pi kx + \cos \frac{s\pi}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{s-1} \sin 2\pi kx \right).$$

It is well-known that

$$(2.4) \quad \zeta(1-m, x) = -\frac{B_m(x)}{m} \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Example 2.13. For $s < 0$, we have $y^{1-s}\zeta(s, \frac{x}{y}) \in I$ by (2.3) and Proposition 2.7. For $s > 1$ define $f(x, y) = y^{1-s}\zeta(s, \frac{x}{y})$ for $y > 0$ and $\frac{x}{y} \notin \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$. By (2.2) and Proposition 2.8,

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x + ry, ny) = f(x, y) \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Example 2.14. Let

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \left\{\frac{x}{y}\right\} < \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \left\{\frac{x}{y}\right\} = \frac{1}{2}, \\ -1 & \text{if } \left\{\frac{x}{y}\right\} > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Then $f \in I$.

3. Main results for integrable invariant functions

Suppose that $a(x), b(x)$ and $f(x, y)$ are real functions of x and their derivatives exist. The famous Leibniz's formula states that

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{d}{dx} \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} f(x, t) dt = f(x, b(x)) \frac{d}{dx} b(x) - f(x, a(x)) \frac{d}{dx} a(x) + \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, t) dt.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $f(x, y)$ is an integrable invariant function.*

(i) *We have*

$$\int_x^{x+y} f(t, y) dt = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0^+} a f(x, a).$$

(ii) *We have*

$$f(x + y, y) - f(x, y) = \frac{d}{dx} \lim_{a \rightarrow 0^+} a f(x, a).$$

If $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ is a piecewise continuous function of x , we also have

$$f(x + y, y) - f(x, y) = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0^+} a \frac{\partial f(x, a)}{\partial x}.$$

(iii) *If $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ exists, then*

$$f(x, y) = \int_x^{x-y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(t, y) dt.$$

(iv) *If $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ exist, setting $g(x, y) = \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial y}$ we have*

$$\frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial x} = g(x - y, y) - g(x, y).$$

Proof. Since $\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x + \frac{r}{n}y, y) = f(x, \frac{y}{n})$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we see that

$$\int_0^1 f(x + uy, y) du = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x + \frac{r}{n}y, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} f(x, \frac{y}{n})$$

and so

$$\int_x^{x+y} f(t, y) dt = y \int_0^1 f(x + uy, y) du = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{y}{n} f\left(x, \frac{y}{n}\right) = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0^+} af(x, a).$$

This proves part(i). By part(i) and (3.1), we obtain

$$f(x + y, y) - f(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_x^{x+y} f(t, y) dt = \frac{d}{dx} \lim_{a \rightarrow 0^+} af(x, a).$$

If $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ is a piecewise continuous function of x and $h(x, y) = \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial x}$, we see that

$$f(x + y, y) - f(x, y) = \int_x^{x+y} h(t, y) dt = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0^+} ah(x, a).$$

This proves part (ii).

Suppose that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ exists and $g(x, y) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} f(x, y)$. By part (i),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_x^{x+y} f(t, y) dt = \frac{d}{dy} \lim_{a \rightarrow 0^+} af(x, a) = 0.$$

By (3.1),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_x^{x+y} f(t, y) dt = f(x + y, y) + \int_x^{x+y} g(t, y) dt.$$

Thus,

$$f(x + y, y) = - \int_x^{x+y} g(t, y) dt \quad \text{and so} \quad f(x, y) = \int_x^{x-y} g(t, y) dt.$$

This proves part (iii).

Now suppose that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ exist and $g(x, y) = \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial y}$. By (3.1) and part (iii), we obtain part (iv).

Summarizing the above proves the theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $f \in I$ and $g(x, y) = \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial y}$.

(i) If $g(x, y)$ is an even function of x , then

$$f(y - x, y) = f(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^{\frac{y}{2}} f(t, y) dt = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{a \rightarrow 0^+} af(0, a).$$

(ii) If $g(x, y)$ is an odd function of x , then

$$f(y - x, y) = -f(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^y f(t, y) dt = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0^+} af(0, a) = 0.$$

Proof. If $g(-x, y) = (-1)^m g(x, y)$, from Theorem 3.1(iii) we see that

$$f(y - x, y) = \int_{y-x}^{-x} g(t, y) dt = \int_x^{x-y} g(-u, y) du = (-1)^m \int_x^{x-y} g(u, y) du = (-1)^m f(x, y).$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^y f(t, y) dt = \int_0^{\frac{y}{2}} f(y-t, y) dt = (-1)^m \int_0^{\frac{y}{2}} f(t, y) dt$$

and so $\int_0^y f(t, y) dt = (1 + (-1)^m) \int_0^{\frac{y}{2}} f(t, y) dt$. This together with Theorem 3.1(i) (with $x = 0$) yields the result.

Remark 3.1 From Theorems 3.1-3.2 and Examples 2.7, 2.10 and 2.12 (with $y = 1$) we may deduce the following known integrals:

$$(3.2) \quad (\text{Euler}) \quad \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \log \sin x dx = -\frac{\pi}{2} \log 2,$$

$$(3.3) \quad (\text{Poisson}) \quad \int_0^{\pi} \log(1 - 2r \cos x + r^2) dx = \begin{cases} 2\pi \log r & \text{if } r > 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 < r < 1, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.4) \quad (\text{Raabe}) \quad \int_a^{a+1} \log \Gamma(x) dx = a(\log a - 1) + \log \sqrt{2\pi} \quad (a > 0).$$

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $f \in I$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x, y)$ exists. For fixed $y > 0$, $f(x, y)$ is a function of x with bounded variation at the closed interval $[a, b]$.

Proof. Suppose $g(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x, y)$. Then $f(x, y) = \int_x^{x-y} g(t, y) dt$ by Theorem 3.1(iii). For $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i)| &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left| \int_{x_{i+1}}^{x_{i+1}-y} g(t, y) dt - \int_{x_i}^{x_i-y} g(t, y) dt \right| \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left| \int_{x_{i+1}}^{x_i} g(t, y) dt + \int_{x_i-y}^{x_{i+1}-y} g(t, y) dt \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left| \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} g(t, y) dt \right| + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left| \int_{x_i-y}^{x_{i+1}-y} g(t, y) dt \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |g(t, y)| dt + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{x_i-y}^{x_{i+1}-y} |g(t, y)| dt \\ &= \int_a^b |g(t, y)| dt + \int_{a-y}^{b-y} |g(t, y)| dt. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem.

Theorem 3.4 (Product Theorem) . For $g, h \in I$ define

$$g * h(x, y) = \int_0^x g(t, y) h(x-t, y) dt + \int_x^y g(t, y) h(x+y-t, y) dt.$$

Then $g * h \in I$ and

$$\int_0^y g * h(x, y) dx = \int_0^y g(x, y) dx \cdot \int_0^y h(x, y) dx.$$

Proof. Set $f(x, y) = g * h(x, y)$, $h_1(x, y) = h(y - x, y)$ and

$$f_1(x, y) = \int_0^y h_1\left(y\left\{\frac{t+x}{y}\right\}, y\right)g(t, y)dt.$$

Since $h(x, y) \in I$, we have $h_1(x, y) \in I$ and $h_1\left(y\left\{\frac{t+x}{y}\right\}, y\right) \in I$ by Propositions 2.5 and 2.6. Hence, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f_1(x + ry, ny) &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{ny} h_1\left(ny\left\{\frac{t+x+ry}{ny}\right\}, ny\right)g(t, ny)dt \\ &= \int_0^{ny} h_1\left(y\left\{\frac{t+x}{y}\right\}, y\right)g(t, ny)dt \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \int_{ry}^{(r+1)y} h_1\left(y\left\{\frac{t+x}{y}\right\}, y\right)g(t, ny)dt \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \int_0^y h_1\left(y\left\{\frac{u+ry+x}{y}\right\}, y\right)g(u+ry, ny)du \\ &= \int_0^y h_1\left(y\left\{\frac{u+x}{y}\right\}, y\right) \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} g(u+ry, ny)du \\ &= \int_0^y h_1\left(y\left\{\frac{u+x}{y}\right\}, y\right)g(u, y)du = f_1(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f_1 \in I$. For $0 \leq x \leq y$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x, y) &= \int_0^y h_1\left(y\left\{\frac{t+x}{y}\right\}, y\right)g(t, y)dt \\ &= \int_0^{y-x} h_1\left(y \cdot \frac{t+x}{y}, y\right)g(t, y)dt + \int_{y-x}^y h_1\left(y\left(\frac{t+x}{y} - 1\right), y\right)g(t, y)dt \\ &= \int_0^{y-x} h_1(t+x, y)g(t, y)dt + \int_{y-x}^y h_1(t+x-y, y)g(t, y)dt \\ &= \int_0^{y-x} h(y-x-t, y)g(t, y)dt + \int_{y-x}^y h(2y-x-t)g(t, y)dt \\ &= g * h(y-x, y) = f(y-x, y) \end{aligned}$$

and so $f(x, y) = f_1(y-x, y) \in I$ by Proposition 2.5.

Set $\bar{F}(x, y) = F(x+y, y) - F(x, y)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}(x, y) &= f(x+y, y) - f(x, y) \\ &= \int_0^{x+y} g(t, y)h(x+y-t, y)dt + \int_{x+y}^y g(t, y)h(x+2y-t, y)dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^x g(t, y)h(x-t, y)dt - \int_x^y g(t, y)h(x+y-t, y)dt \\ &= \int_0^x g(t, y)(h(x-t+y, y) - h(x-t, y))dt \\ &\quad + \int_y^{x+y} (h(x+y-t, y) - h(x+2y-t, y))g(t, y)dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^x g(t, y) \bar{h}(x-t, y) dt + \int_0^x (h(x-t, y) - h(x+y-t, y)) g(t+y, y) dt \\
&= - \int_0^x \bar{h}(x-t, y) \bar{g}(t, y) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

For $F(x, y) \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{F}(x, ny) &= F(x+ny, ny) - F(x, ny) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} F(x+y+ry, ny) - \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} F(x+ry, ny) \\
&= F(x+y, y) - F(x, y) = \bar{F}(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$(3.5) \quad \bar{f}(x, ny) = - \int_0^x \bar{h}(x-t, ny) \bar{g}(t, ny) dt = - \int_0^x \bar{h}(x-t, y) \bar{g}(t, y) dt = \bar{f}(x, y).$$

Therefore, if $\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x+ry, ny) = f(x, y)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x+y+ry, ny) &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x+ry, ny) + f(x+ny, ny) - f(x, ny) \\
&= f(x, y) + f(x+y, y) - f(x, y) = f(x+y, y)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x-y+ry, ny) &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x+ry, ny) - f(x-y+ny, ny) + f(x-y, ny) \\
&= f(x, y) - (f(x-y+ny, ny) - f(x-y, ny)) \\
&= f(x, y) - (f(x-y+y, y) - f(x-y, y)) = f(x-y, y).
\end{aligned}$$

Since we have proved that $\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x+ry, ny) = f(x, y)$ for $0 \leq x \leq y$, we must have $\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} f(x+ry, ny) = f(x, y)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y > 0$. Hence $f(x, y) \in I$.

For fixed $y > 0$ let $H(x, y)$ be a primitive function of $h(x, y)$. That is, $\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = h$. Set

$$g * H(x, y) = \int_0^x H(x-t, y) g(t, y) dt + \int_x^y H(x+y-t, y) g(t, y) dt.$$

Then

$$g * H(y, y) = \int_0^y H(y-t, y) g(t, y) dt = g * H(0, y).$$

Appealing to (3.1) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g * H(x, y) &= \int_0^x h(x-t, y) g(t, y) dt + \int_x^y h(x+y-t, y) g(t, y) dt \\
&\quad + H(0, y) g(x, y) - H(y, y) g(x, y) \\
&= g * h(x, y) - g(x, y) \int_0^y h(x, y) dx
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$0 = g * H(y, y) - g * H(0, y) = \int_0^y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g * H(x, y) dx$$

$$= \int_0^y g * h(x, y) dx - \int_0^y h(x, y) dx \int_0^y g(x, y) dx.$$

This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.1. *Suppose that $g(x, y) \in I$, $a > 0$, $a \neq 1$ and*

$$f(x, y) = \frac{a^x}{a^y - 1} \int_0^y a^{-t} g(t, y) dt + a^x \int_x^y a^{-t} g(t, y) dt.$$

Then $f(x, y) \in I$.

Proof. Since $\frac{a^x}{a^y - 1} \in I$ by Example 2.5 and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a^x}{a^y - 1} * g(x, y) &= \int_0^x \frac{a^{x-t}}{a^y - 1} g(t, y) dt + \int_x^y \frac{a^{x+y-t}}{a^y - 1} g(t, y) dt \\ &= \int_0^x \frac{a^{x-t}}{a^y - 1} g(t, y) dt + \int_x^y \frac{a^{x-t}}{a^y - 1} g(t, y) dt + \int_x^y a^{x-t} g(t, y) dt = f(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

the result follows from Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose $f \in I$ and*

$$F(x, y) = \int_y^x f(t, y) dt + \frac{1}{y} \int_0^y t f(t, y) dt.$$

Then $F \in I$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} F(x, y) = f(x, y)$.

Proof. By Example 2.2 (with $m = 1$), $\frac{x}{y} - \frac{1}{2} \in I$. Set

$$G(x, y) = \int_0^x \left(\frac{x-t}{y} - \frac{1}{2} \right) f(t, y) dt + \int_x^y \left(\frac{x+y-t}{y} - \frac{1}{2} \right) f(t, y) dt.$$

Then $G \in I$ by Theorem 3.4. It is clear that

$$G(x, y) = \int_0^y \left(\frac{x-t}{y} - \frac{1}{2} \right) f(t, y) dt + \int_x^y f(t, y) dt = \left(\frac{x}{y} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \int_0^y f(t, y) dt - F(x, y).$$

By Theorem 3.1, $\int_0^y f(t, y) dt$ is a constant and so $\left(\frac{x}{y} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \int_0^y f(t, y) dt \in I$. Hence $F(x, y) \in I$. By Leibniz's formula (3.1), $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} F(x, y) = f(x, y)$. Thus the theorem is proved.

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, from [4, pp.26-27] we have

$$(3.6) \quad B_{2m+1} = 0, \quad B_m(1-t) = (-1)^m B_m(t), \quad \frac{d}{dx} B_m(x) = m B_{m-1}(x),$$

$$(3.7) \quad \int_0^1 B_n(t) dt = \frac{B_{n+1}(1) - B_{n+1}}{n+1} = ((-1)^{n+1} - 1) \frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1} = 0,$$

$$(3.8) \quad \int_0^1 B_m(t) B_n(t) dt = (-1)^{m-1} \frac{B_{m+n}}{\binom{m+n}{m}}.$$

Thus,

$$(3.9) \quad \int_0^1 B_m(1-t) B_n(t) dt = (-1)^m \int_0^1 B_m(t) B_n(t) dt = -\frac{B_{m+n}}{\binom{m+n}{m}} = -\frac{B_{m+n}(1)}{\binom{m+n}{m}}.$$

Theorem 3.6. For any positive integers m and n we have

$$(3.10) \quad B_{m+n}(x) = -\binom{m+n}{m} \left(\int_0^1 B_m(x-t)B_n(t)dt + m \int_x^1 (x-t)^{m-1}B_n(t)dt \right)$$

and

$$\frac{-y^{m-1}B_m\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)}{m!} * \frac{-y^{n-1}B_n\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)}{n!} = \frac{-y^{m+n-1}B_{m+n}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)}{(m+n)!}.$$

Proof. We first prove (3.10) by induction on m . Since $B_1(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}$, using (3.1), (3.6) and (3.7) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dx} \left(\int_0^1 B_1(x-t)B_n(t)dt + \int_x^1 B_n(t)dt \right) \\ &= \int_0^1 B_n(t)dt - B_n(x) = -B_n(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(-\frac{B_{n+1}(x)}{n+1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.9), $\int_0^1 B_1(1-t)B_n(t)dt = -\frac{B_{n+1}(1)}{n+1}$. Therefore,

$$\int_0^1 B_1(x-t)B_n(t)dt + \int_x^1 B_n(t)dt = -\frac{B_{n+1}(x)}{n+1}.$$

This shows that the result is true for $m = 1$.

Now assume that (3.10) holds for $m = k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$). Appealing to (3.1) and (3.6), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dx} \left(\int_0^1 B_{k+1}(x-t)B_n(t)dt + (k+1) \int_x^1 (x-t)^k B_n(t)dt \right) \\ &= \int_0^1 (k+1)B_k(x-t)B_n(t)dt + (k+1)k \int_x^1 (x-t)^{k-1}B_n(t)dt \\ &= -\frac{k+1}{\binom{k+n}{k}} B_{k+n}(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(-\frac{B_{k+1+n}(x)}{\binom{k+1+n}{k+1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.9),

$$\int_0^1 B_{k+1}(1-t)B_n(t)dt + (k+1) \int_1^1 (1-t)^k B_n(t)dt = -\frac{B_{k+1+n}(1)}{\binom{k+1+n}{k+1}}.$$

Therefore,

$$-\frac{B_{k+1+n}(x)}{\binom{k+1+n}{k+1}} = \int_0^1 B_{k+1}(x-t)B_n(t)dt + (k+1) \int_x^1 (x-t)^k B_n(t)dt.$$

This shows that (3.10) is true for $m = k + 1$. Hence (3.10) is proved by induction.

From [4] we know that $B_m(x+1) = B_m(x) + mx^{m-1}$. Thus, using (3.10) we deduce that

$$-y^{m-1} \frac{B_m\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)}{m!} * \left(-y^{n-1} \frac{B_n\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)}{n!} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^x y^{n-1} \frac{B_n(\frac{t}{y})}{n!} \cdot y^{m-1} \frac{B_m(\frac{x-t}{y})}{m!} dt + \int_x^y y^{n-1} \frac{B_n(\frac{t}{y})}{n!} \cdot y^{m-1} \frac{B_m(\frac{x+y-t}{y})}{m!} dt \\
&= \frac{y^{m+n-2}}{m!n!} \left(\int_0^y B_n\left(\frac{t}{y}\right) B_m\left(\frac{x-t}{y}\right) dt + \int_x^y B_n\left(\frac{t}{y}\right) \left(B_m\left(\frac{x+y-t}{y}\right) - B_m\left(\frac{x-t}{y}\right) \right) dt \right) \\
&= \frac{y^{m+n-2}}{m!n!} \left(\int_0^y B_m\left(\frac{x-t}{y}\right) B_n\left(\frac{t}{y}\right) dt + \int_x^y m \left(\frac{x-t}{y}\right)^{m-1} B_n\left(\frac{t}{y}\right) dt \right) \\
&= \frac{y^{m+n-1}}{m!n!} \left(\int_0^1 B_m\left(\frac{x}{y} - u\right) B_n(u) du + \int_{\frac{x}{y}}^1 m \left(\frac{x}{y} - u\right)^{m-1} B_n(u) du \right) \\
&= \frac{y^{m+n-1}}{m!n!} \left(-\frac{B_{m+n}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)}{\binom{m+n}{m}} \right) = -y^{m+n-1} \frac{B_{m+n}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)}{(m+n)!}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 3.2 For $\alpha, \beta > 1$, from (2.3) we may deduce that

$$\frac{\zeta(1-\alpha, \frac{x}{y})y^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} * \frac{\zeta(1-\beta, \frac{x}{y})y^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} = \frac{\zeta(1-\alpha-\beta, \frac{x}{y})y^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}.$$

This is the generalization of Theorem 3.6. We omit its proof, which is somewhat complicated.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Zhi-Wei Sun for his helpful comments on the proof of Theorem 3.4, and the author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 12271200).

References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, Special Functions, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] H. Bass, Generators and relations for cyclotomic units, Nagoya Math. J. 27(1966), 401-407.
- [3] D.S. Kubert, The universal ordinary distribution, Bull. Soc. Math. France 107(1979), 179-202.
- [4] W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and R.P. Soni, Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics (3rd edit.), Springer, New York, 1966, pp. 25-27.
- [5] J. Milnor, On polylogarithms, Hurwitz zeta functions, and the Kubert identities, Enseign. Math. 29(1983), 281-322.
- [6] Z.W. Sun, Systems of congruences with multipliers, Nanjing Univ. J. -Math. Biq. 6(1989), 124-133.
- [7] Z.W. Sun, On covering invariant, in: Analytic Number Theory (Beijing/Kyoto,1999), 277-302, Dev. Math., 6, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2002.

- [8] Z.W. Sun, Arithmetic properties of periodic maps, *Math. Res. Lett.* 11(2004), 187-196.
- [9] H. Walum, Multiplication formulae for periodic functions, *Pacific J. Math.* 149(1991), 383-396.