

COVERING MODELS OF THE ASYMMETRIC QUANTUM RABI MODEL: η -SHIFTED NON-COMMUTATIVE HARMONIC OSCILLATORS

CID REYES-BUSTOS AND MASATO WAKAYAMA

ABSTRACT. The non-commutative harmonic oscillator (NCHO) is a matrix valued differential operator introduced as a generalization of the quantum harmonic oscillator. The spectrum of the NCHO has remarkable properties, including the presence of a number theoretical structure such as modular forms, elliptic curves, Eichler cohomology observed in the special values of the associated spectral zeta function. Notably, the Heun ODE picture of the eigenvalue problem of the NCHO reveals a connection with the quantum Rabi model (QRM), a fundamental interaction model from quantum optics. In this paper we introduce an η -shifted NCHO (η -NCHO) that has an analog relation with the asymmetric quantum Rabi model (AQRM) and describe its basic properties. Despite the fact that the shift factor does not break the parity symmetry, a certain type of degeneracies appears for $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, as if mirroring the situation of the AQRM.

Furthermore, we give a detailed description of the confluence process, that we call *iso-parallel* confluence process due to the fact that it requires a parallel transformation of the two parameters describing the spectrum of η -NCHO and representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, we relate the eigenvalues of the two models under the iso-parallel confluence process, including how the finite eigenvalues of the η -NCHO correspond to Juddian (or quasi-exact) solutions of the AQRM. From the point of view of this confluence process, a family of η -NCHO correspond to a single AQRM, thus we may say that the η -NCHO is a covering of the AQRM. We expect the study of the η -NCHO and the AQRM from this point of view to be helpful for the clarification of several questions on the AQRM, including the number of Juddian solutions and the hidden symmetry.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: *Primary* 34M35, *Secondary* 35P99, 22E45.

Keywords and phrases: Heun ODE, oscillator representation, representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$, Riemann scheme, confluence of singularities, Juddian solution, degeneracy, quantum Rabi model, non-commutative harmonic oscillator.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
Part 1. The η-shifted NCHO	4
2. Definition and basic properties	4
3. Oscillator representation	5
3.1. Heun picture of the η -NCHO	8
3.2. Eigenfunctions and eigenvalues for Σ_0	11
4. Spectral structure	16
Part 2. Iso-parallel confluence process	20
5. Confluence picture of η -NCHO and AQRM	20
5.1. Asymmetric quantum Rabi models	20
5.2. Iso-parallel confluence process	24
6. Extended discussion on the confluence picture	27
6.1. Polynomial solutions for η -NCHO and AQRM	27
6.2. Comparison between the η -NCHO and AQRM	31
Appendix A. Heun and confluent Heun differential equations	33

Date: September 30, 2022.

Acknowledgements

33

References

34

1. INTRODUCTION

The mathematical model known as the non-commutative harmonic oscillator (NCHO) is defined as a matrix-valued ordinary differential operator or Hamiltonian, acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, given by

$$(1) \quad Q := \mathbf{A} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2} x^2 \right) + \mathbf{J} \left(x \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

for two parameter $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and where

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The NCHO was introduced around twenty years ago by Alberto Parmeggiani and the second author [20] as a non-commutative generalization of the usual quantum harmonic oscillator. Concretely, in addition to the canonical commutation relation $[\frac{d}{dx}, x] = 1$ (CCR), there is the noncommutativity of the matrices appearing in the Hamiltonian. The NCHO has been studied from diverse points of view, including applications to PDE via generalizations of inequalities such as the Fefferman-Phong inequality (see [18] and the references therein) and the arithmetics in the spectrum and its associated spectral zeta function. In particular, the study of the special values of the spectral zeta function of the NCHO has revealed a rich arithmetic theory including elliptic curves, modular forms, extensions of Eichler forms with associated cohomology (see [7] and the references therein).

The quantum Rabi model is the physical model with Hamiltonian given by

$$H_{\text{Rabi}} = \omega a^\dagger a + \Delta \sigma_z + g \sigma_x (a^\dagger + a)$$

where a^\dagger and a are the creation and annihilation operators of the bosonic mode, i.e. $[a, a^\dagger] = 1$ (CCR) and

$$\sigma_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

are the Pauli matrices, 2Δ is the energy difference between the two levels, g denotes the coupling strength between the two-level system and the bosonic mode with frequency ω (subsequently, we set $\omega = 1$ without loss of generality). The QRM is the fully quantized version [5] of the original semi-classical Rabi model [23] and it is widely recognized the most fundamental model for the study of quantum interaction. Because of this, the QRM has applications for quantum optics, solid state physics and potential applications to quantum information theory beyond theoretical study (see e.g., [3, 33, 34]).

In [31], it was shown that by a particular confluence process that differs from the usual one by the use of certain changes of variables in the system parameters, the Heun ODE system given by the eigenvalue problem of the NCHO gives the confluent ODE picture of the quantum Rabi model. The general picture of the process is shown in the diagram in Figure 1.

The introduction of a biased term $\eta \sigma_x$, ($\eta \in \mathbb{R}$) to the QRM Hamiltonian results on the model known as the asymmetric quantum Rabi model (AQRM). The Hamiltonian of the AQRM is given by

$$H_{\text{Rabi}}^\eta = \omega a^\dagger a + \Delta \sigma_z + g \sigma_x (a^\dagger + a) + \eta \sigma_x,$$

In general, the additional term breaks the symmetry of the QRM Hamiltonian and makes the spectrum multiplicity free in general. For $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ the degeneracies appear again despite the lack of an “evident” symmetry operator like in the case of the usual symmetry QRM, i.e. $\eta = 0$. We refer the reader to [10, 11, 32] and in particular to [6] for a complete picture of the degenerations




FIGURE 1. Relation between the QRM and the NCHO via confluence process

in the spectrum of the AQRM. In fact, for $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the existence of commuting operators, or *hidden symmetry operator*, $J_{2\eta}$ was shown first heuristically in the interesting trial paper [13] based on an idea following the classical mechanics integrability, that is, considering the operators which are commuting with the Hamiltonian H_{Rabi}^η (see cf. [4]) and later proved in general for [24]. The properties of the operator $J_{2\eta}$ reveal a rich geometric theory behind the relation between the hidden symmetry of the AQRM and the constraint polynomials appearing by the study of the degeneracy of the eigenvalues [26].

Therefore, it is natural to consider a generalization of the NCHO such that the confluence process for two regular singular points $\alpha\beta (= \det \mathbf{A})$ and ∞ of its Heun ODE picture gives the confluent Heun ODE picture of the AQRM. We call the resulting model the η -shifted NCHO (η -NCHO), given by

$$Q^{(\eta)} = \mathbf{A} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2} x^2 \right) + \mathbf{J} \left(x \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + 2\eta i \det(\mathbf{A} \pm i\mathbf{J})^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{J},$$

for $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$. It is important to note that while in the η -NCHO the symmetry is not broken (i.e. $Q^{(\eta)}$ is of parity preserving for any $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$), certain degeneracies in the spectrum may disappear in general with the exception of the case of half-integer parameter η (i.e. $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$), resembling the situation of the AQRM. In the η -NCHO case the spectral structure is revealed by the study of the monodromy representations of the singularities of the corresponding Heun ODE.

In this paper, we name the confluence process that relates the η -NCHO the *iso-parallel confluence process* because of the fact that it requires a simultaneous parallel displacement of the parameters of the Heun ODE pictures (see Definition 5.1). An additional feature of the iso-parallel confluence process is that it contains also the asymptotic information of the growth of the parameters α, β of the η -NCHO as the regular singularity at $\omega = \alpha\beta$ coalesces into the singularity $\omega = \infty$. The asymptotic data (given by two parameters k, r) turns out to be crucial as it allows to identify the two models in the confluence picture. One of the results in this paper is a very precise relation between the eigenvalues of finite type of the η -NCHO and the Juddian (or quasi-exact) eigenvalues of the AQRM (see Section 6.1) and the parameters k, r are related to the system parameters g, Δ of the AQRM via certain compatibility/constraint equations given by the confluence process.

The fact that the asymptotic parameters r, k of the iso-parallel confluence process determine a specific AQRM model (given by the system parameters g, Δ) suggests that in fact we should think of the η -NCHO as a covering of the AQRM. In other words, a specific AQRM may be related via the iso-parallel confluence process to a family of η -NCHO. One of the most promising prospects for this type of study is the possibility of explicit relations between partition function and/or the spectral zeta functions (Dirichlet series of eigenvalues) of the (η -)NCHO and the (A)QRM

or its special values. We refer the reader to [9] for the analytic continuation for the spectral zeta function of the NCHO and [30] for the QRM using the same method (see also [25] for a different method using a contour integral expression derived from the explicit analytical formula for the heat kernel). However, the iso-parallel confluence remains a mysterious operation, and understanding its mathematical and physical implications is a significant problem to be solved in the future.

Let us describe the general organization of the paper. The paper is divided in two parts, in the first we introduce the η -NCHO and describe its basic properties. Concretely, in Section 2 we define the η -shifted NCHO by its Hamiltonian and describe its basic properties. In order to study the spectral structure and the confluence picture, we develop the Heun picture of the η -NCHO following the case of the usual NCHO in Section 3. The degeneracy of the eigenvalues is described in Section 4, where we show the presence or absence of certain degeneracies depending on the parameter η .

In the second part of the paper we describe the confluence process that relates the η -NCHO with the AQRM. In Section 5 we introduce the confluence Heun picture of the AQRM and define the iso-parallel confluence process that connects the ODE pictures of the two models. In addition, we explicitly show the relations between the two models and the constraint equations between their parameters. Finally, in Section 6 we further discuss the models by focusing on the finite type eigenvalues of the η -NCHO. In particular, we explicitly describe how the finite type solutions of the η -NCHO descend into Juddian eigenvalues of the AQRM, both in the general case and the case $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. These developments allows to describe the hidden symmetry of the AQRM in the setting of the η -NCHO in another way to [26].

Part 1. The η -shifted NCHO

2. DEFINITION AND BASIC PROPERTIES

In this section we introduce the η -shifted non-commutative harmonic oscillator (η -NCHO). To simplify the notations, we use bold letter for matrices, with the exception of elements of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_2 .

Definition 2.1. Define the η -shifted non-commutative harmonic oscillator (η -NCHO) by the Hamiltonian $Q^{(\eta)}$ acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ given by

$$Q^{(\eta)} = \mathbf{A} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2} x^2 \right) + \mathbf{J} \left(x \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + 2\eta i \det(\mathbf{A} \pm i\mathbf{J})^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{J}$$

where $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$.

In this paper, we further assume that $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $\alpha\beta > 1$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$. Note that under this assumption, $\det(\mathbf{A} \pm i\mathbf{J})^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\det \mathbf{A} - \text{pf} \mathbf{J})^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\alpha\beta - 1} > 1$. Then, we verify that $Q^{(\eta)}$ is an unbounded, self-adjoint operator with a discrete spectrum bounded below. Indeed, it is clear that the principal symbol of $Q^{(\eta)}$ is the same as the one of the NCHO, that is,

$$Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\eta)}(x, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{bmatrix} \frac{x^2 + \xi^2}{2} + i\eta \det(\mathbf{A} \pm i\mathbf{J})^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{J} x \xi,$$

and therefore, $Q^{(\eta)}$ as a global pseudo-differential operator is positive elliptic (the usual NCHO is global positive elliptic). The properties of the spectrum then follow from this fact (see e.g. Theorem 3.3.13 and Remark 3.3.16 of [17]). Note that the η -NCHO is an example of the more general definition of the NCHO originally introduced in [17].

Clearly, the NCHO Hamiltonian Q in (1) is given by taking $\eta = 0$. We also note that η -NCHO has a parity symmetry, that is, $Q^{(\eta)}$ commutes with $\mathbf{I}_2 \mathcal{P}$, where \mathbf{I}_2 the 2×2 -identity matrix and \mathcal{P} is the parity operator defined by

$$(\mathcal{P}f)(x) = f(-x)$$

for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Remark 2.1. We refer to η -NCHO as shifted instead of asymmetric since the addition term $2\eta iJ$ does not break the parity symmetry of the Hamiltonian and to avoid physical connotations we use “shifted” instead of “biased” .

In the study of the properties of the η -NCHO, we also consider the twisted operator $\mathbf{K}Q^{(\eta)}\mathbf{K}$, with

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The twisted operator $\mathbf{K}Q^{(\eta)}\mathbf{K}$ clearly has the same spectrum as $Q^{(\eta)}$. This fact, in the case of $\eta = 0$, was used in [21, 22] to describe the properties of the spectrum of the NCHO, including its degeneracy.

Remark 2.2. Note that $i\mathbf{J} = \sigma_y$, where σ_y is the usual Pauli matrix and that $\mathbf{K} = \sigma_x$, however we prefer to keep the notations consistent with that of [31] and the literature dealing with the QRM.

Remark 2.3. In this paper we limit ourselves to the case $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $\alpha\beta > 1$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$ but other cases of the parameters may be considered resulting in different spectral structure. We refer the reader to [17, 18] (and [19] for the case $\alpha\beta \leq 1$) for the case of the NCHO ($\eta = 0$).

3. OSCILLATOR REPRESENTATION

The representation theory of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_2 allows a compact representation of the η -NCHO and, more importantly, to describe the corresponding Heun picture. The discussion in this section follows [31] and [15].

Let us recall the definition of the oscillator representation π of \mathfrak{sl}_2 on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The representation π is defined by its action

$$\pi(H) = x \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \pi(E) = \frac{x^2}{2}, \quad \pi(F) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2},$$

on the standard generators of \mathfrak{sl}_2

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For completeness, we recall the commutation relations

$$[H, E] = 2E, \quad [H, F] = -2F, \quad [E, F] = H.$$

As is customary, we set $\psi = (x + \partial_x)/\sqrt{2}$ and $\psi^\dagger = (x - \partial_x)/\sqrt{2}$, the annihilation and creation operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$[\psi, \psi^\dagger] = 1.$$

An orthogonal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is given by the Hermite functions $\phi_n(x) = (\psi^\dagger)^n \phi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for $n \geq 0$ with $\phi_0(x) = e^{-x^2/2}$ (the vacuum vector). We note $(\phi_n, \phi_m) = \sqrt{\pi} \delta_{n,m} n!$ where $\delta_{n,m}$ is the Kronecker delta function. We denote by $L^2(\mathbb{R})_{\text{fin}}$ the set of finite linear combinations of $\{\phi_n : n \geq 0\}$ with complex coefficients.

Let $\mathbb{C}[y]$ be the vector space of polynomials in the variable y and define the linear map

$$T_C : L^2(\mathbb{R})_{\text{fin}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[y]$$

on basis elements by $T_C(\phi_n) = y^n$. It is then immediate to verify that $T_C(\psi^\dagger \phi) = y T_C(\phi)$ for $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})_{\text{fin}}$.

We equip $\mathbb{C}[y]$ with the Fisher inner product on $\mathbb{C}[y]$ given by

$$(f, g)_F = \sqrt{\pi} f(\partial_y) \overline{g(y)}|_{y=0}$$

for $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[y]$. We verify that $(y^n, y^m) = \delta_{n,m} \sqrt{\pi} n!$ and thus T_C is an isometry of $L^2(\mathbb{R})_{\text{fin}}$ and $\mathbb{C}[y]$ that may be extended to an isometry between the Hilbert spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\overline{\mathbb{C}[y]}$. We also denote the extension by T_C .

Next, consider the representation $(\pi', \mathbb{C}[y])$ of \mathfrak{sl}_2 given by

$$\pi'(H) = y \frac{d}{dy} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \pi'(E) = \frac{y^2}{2}, \quad \pi'(F) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dy^2},$$

we can then verify that $\pi'(\mathbf{C}X\mathbf{C}^{-1})T_C = T_C\pi(X)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and where \mathbf{C} is the Cayley transform

$$\mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 1 & i \end{bmatrix}.$$

The next results reduce the eigenvalue problem of $Q^{(\eta)}$ to a single differential equation in $\overline{\mathbb{C}[y]}$ (see Lemma 2.1 of [31]).

To simplify the expressions we introduce some notations. Define the parameter κ by the relations

$$\text{ch}(\kappa) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha\beta-1}}, \quad \text{sh}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha\beta-1}}, \quad \nu = \lambda\delta\text{ch}(\kappa) = \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta-1)}}\lambda,$$

and

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha+\beta}{\alpha\beta}, \quad \epsilon = \left| \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \right|.$$

Proposition 3.1. *The eigenvalue problem $Q^{(\eta)}\phi = \lambda\phi$ ($\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$) is equivalent to the differential equation $\pi'(\mathcal{S})u = 0$ ($u \in \overline{\mathbb{C}[y]}$) with*

$$\mathcal{S} = \left(2(E-F) - 2\text{cth}(2\kappa)H + \frac{\lambda\delta}{\text{sh}(\kappa)} + \frac{4\eta}{\text{sh}(2\kappa)} \right) (H - \lambda\delta\text{ch}(\kappa) + 2\eta) + \text{cth}(\kappa)(\lambda\delta\epsilon)^2,$$

by the isometry $T_C : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}[y]}$. Similarly, the eigenvalue problem $\mathbf{K}Q^{(\eta)}\mathbf{K}\phi = \lambda\phi$ ($\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$) is equivalent to the equation $\pi'(\tilde{\mathcal{S}})u = 0$ with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = (H - \lambda\delta\text{ch}(\kappa) + 2\eta) \left(2(E-F) - 2\text{cth}(2\kappa)H + \frac{\lambda\delta}{\text{sh}(\kappa)} + \frac{4\eta}{\text{sh}(2\kappa)} \right) + \text{cth}(\kappa)(\lambda\delta\epsilon)^2.$$

Proof. The eigenvalue problem of the η -NCHO is the solution of the differential equation

$$(\mathbf{A}\pi(E+F) + \mathbf{J}\pi(H) + 2\eta i \sqrt{\alpha\beta-1} \mathbf{J} - \lambda \mathbf{I}_2) \varphi_0(x) = 0,$$

simultaneously for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. This is equivalent to

$$\left(\pi(E+F) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}} \mathbf{J}\pi(H) + \frac{2\eta i}{\text{ch}(\kappa)} \mathbf{J} - \lambda \mathbf{A}^{-1} \right) \varphi_1(x) = 0.$$

with $\varphi_1 = \mathbf{A}^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_0$. Then, by using the Cayley transform, we obtain the differential equation system

$$\begin{bmatrix} \pi(E+F) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}} \pi(H) - \lambda\delta + \frac{2\eta}{\text{ch}(\kappa)} & -\lambda\delta\epsilon \\ -\lambda\delta\epsilon & \pi(E+F) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}} \pi(H) - \lambda\delta - \frac{2\eta}{\text{ch}(\kappa)} \end{bmatrix} \varphi_2(x) = 0,$$

for $\varphi_2 = \mathbf{C}\varphi_1$. We simplify the expression by introducing

$$S_{\pm} := E + F \pm i \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}} H \in \mathfrak{sl}_2,$$

then, the eigenvalue problem is given by

$$(2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \pi(S_-) - \lambda\delta + \frac{2\eta}{\text{ch}(\kappa)} & -\lambda\delta|\epsilon| \\ -\lambda\delta|\epsilon| & \pi(S_+) - \lambda\delta - \frac{2\eta}{\text{ch}(\kappa)} \end{bmatrix} \varphi_2(x) = 0.$$

The system (2) is equivalent to the single differential equation given by

$$(3) \quad \left[\left(\pi(S_+) - \lambda\delta - \frac{2\eta}{\text{ch}(\kappa)} \right) \left(\pi(S_-) - \lambda\delta + \frac{2\eta}{\text{ch}(\kappa)} \right) - (\lambda\delta\epsilon)^2 \right] \varphi(x) = 0,$$

for $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Let us note that the complementary component ϕ of the solution of (2) is given by

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda\delta|\epsilon|} \left(\pi(S_+) - \lambda\delta - \frac{2\eta}{\text{ch}(\kappa)} \right) \varphi(x).$$

Next, we change the differential equation (3) using an equivalent representation given by an inner-automorphism of π' . First, we define maps $T : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $T' : \mathbb{C}[y] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[y]$ by

$$(Tf)(x) = e^{i(\text{sh}\kappa)x^2/2} (\text{ch}\kappa)^{\frac{1}{4}} f(\sqrt{\text{ch}(\kappa)}x), \quad \text{and} \quad (T'g)(y) = g\left(\sqrt{\frac{\text{ch}\kappa}{i - \text{sh}\kappa}}y\right),$$

for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in \mathbb{C}[y]$. It can be shown (see [15]) that T and T' preserve the inner products of the corresponding spaces, and that T' can be extended to an isometry of $\overline{\mathbb{C}[y]}$ that we also denote by T' .

Then, we define the representation $\bar{\pi}$ of \mathfrak{sl}_2 of \mathfrak{sl}_2 in $\mathbb{C}[y]$ by

$$\bar{\pi}(X) := \pi'(\mathbf{G}X\mathbf{G}^{-1}),$$

where \mathbf{G} is given by

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\text{ch}\kappa}{i - \text{sh}\kappa} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i\text{sh}\kappa \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \text{ch}\kappa & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and we verify (see Cor. 2.7 of [15]) that

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\pi}(S_-) &= (\text{sech}\kappa)\pi'(H) \\ \bar{\pi}(S_+) &= (\text{sech}\kappa)\pi'(\text{ch}(2\kappa)H - \text{sh}(2\kappa)(E - F)), \end{aligned}$$

and then by setting $u = T'T_C T\varphi$ we see that (3) is equivalent to

$$\left[\left(\bar{\pi}(S_+) - \lambda\delta - \frac{2\eta}{\text{ch}(\kappa)} \right) \left(\bar{\pi}(S_-) - \lambda\delta + \frac{2\eta}{\text{ch}(\kappa)} \right) - (\lambda\delta\epsilon)^2 \right] u(x) = 0.$$

Finally, defining

$$\mathcal{S} = \left(2(E - F) - 2\text{cth}(2\kappa)H + \frac{\lambda\delta}{\text{sh}(\kappa)} + \frac{4\eta}{\text{sh}(2\kappa)} \right) (H - \lambda\delta\text{ch}(\kappa) + 2\eta) + \text{cth}(\kappa)(\lambda\delta\epsilon)^2,$$

we see by direct computation that

$$\left[\left(\bar{\pi}(S_+) - \lambda\delta - \frac{2\eta}{\text{ch}(\kappa)} \right) \left(\bar{\pi}(S_-) - \lambda\delta + \frac{2\eta}{\text{ch}(\kappa)} \right) - (\lambda\delta\epsilon)^2 \right] u(x) = -(\text{th}\kappa)\pi'(\mathcal{S}),$$

giving the desired result since π' and $\bar{\pi}$ are related by an inner automorphism. The second result is obtained in a completely analogous way. \square

The second order elements $\mathcal{R}, \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ corresponding to the NCHO, that is,

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{R} = \left(2(E - F) - 2\text{cth}(2\kappa)H + \frac{\lambda\delta}{\text{sh}(\kappa)} \right) (H - \lambda\delta\text{ch}(\kappa) + 2\eta) + \text{cth}(\kappa)(\lambda\delta\epsilon)^2$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = (H - \lambda\delta\text{ch}(\kappa)) \left(2(E - F) - 2\text{cth}(2\kappa)H + \frac{\lambda\delta}{\text{sh}(\kappa)} \right) + \text{cth}(\kappa)(\lambda\delta\epsilon)^2,$$

are obtained by setting $\eta = 0$ in $\mathcal{S}, \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$.

In [21, 22], the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the NCHO were constructed in terms of three-term recurrence relations with respect to a basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ consisting of twisted Hermite functions. With respect to that basis we give the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Denote the spectrum of the η -NCHO by $\text{Spec}(Q^{(\eta)})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Spec}(Q^{(\eta)}) &= \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_\infty \\ &= \Sigma_0^+ \cup \Sigma_0^- \cup \Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_\infty^-, \end{aligned}$$

where

- Σ_0 is the set of eigenvalues of “finite type”, that is, eigenvalues with eigenfunctions consisting of finite sums with respect to the twisted Hermite function basis,
- Σ_∞ is the set of eigenvalues of “infinite type”, that is, eigenvalues that are not of finite type.
- Σ_i^+ (resp. Σ_i^-) for $i \in \{0, \infty\}$ are the eigenvalues having even (resp. odd) eigenfunctions.

We note that the definition of the eigenvalues of finite type does not depend on the choice of basis. In fact, in the next section we give an alternative description of the eigenvalues of Σ_0 in terms of the solution of Heun ODE associated to the η -NCHO.

3.1. Heun picture of the η -NCHO. In order to obtain a more detailed understanding of the η -NCHO, including the multiplicity of the eigenvalues and the confluence picture, it is necessary to consider a generalization of the representation π' that is controlled by a parameter $a \in \mathbb{C}$. Concretely, for $a \in \mathbb{C}$ we define the representation π'_a of \mathfrak{sl}_2 on $y^{a-1}\mathbb{C}[y]$ given on generators by

$$\pi'_a(H) = y \frac{d}{dy} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \pi'_a(E) = \frac{y^2}{2}, \quad \pi'_a(F) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \frac{(a-1)(a-2)}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{y^2}.$$

It is clear that $\pi'_a \simeq \pi'$ when $a = 1, 2$.

The Heun picture of the η -NCHO is obtained from the complex picture of the eigenvalue problem by means of another representation ϖ_a of \mathfrak{sl}_2 on $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ given by

$$\varpi_a(H) = z\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \varpi_a(E) = \frac{1}{2}z^2(z\partial_z + a), \quad \varpi_a(F) = -\frac{1}{2z}\partial_z + \frac{a-1}{2z^2}$$

for $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Next, we recall the relation between the representations π'_a and ϖ_a . For this, following [31] (see also [15]), we introduce the modified Laplace transform $\mathcal{L}_a : \overline{\mathbb{C}[y]} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}[z]}$ given by

$$(\mathcal{L}_a u)(z) = \int_0^\infty u(yz) e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} y^{a-1} dy,$$

for $u \in \overline{\mathbb{C}[y]}$. The action of \mathcal{L}_a in monomials is given by

$$y^n \mapsto 2^{\frac{n+a}{2}-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+a}{2}\right) z^n,$$

and by defining an inner product in z -space such that $\{z^n | n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ is an orthogonal system with

$$(z^n, z^n)_a = \frac{\sqrt{\pi n!}}{2^{n+a-2} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+a}{2}\right)^2},$$

we verify that \mathcal{L}_a is an isometry.

For $a \neq 1, 2$, \mathcal{L}_a is an intertwiner between π_a and ϖ_a , that is, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_a \pi'_a(X) = \varpi_a(X) \mathcal{L}_a,$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$. For $a = 1, 2$, \mathcal{L}_a is a quasi-intertwiner of π'_a and ϖ_a in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_a \pi'(X) &= \varpi_a(X) \mathcal{L}_a, \quad (\text{for } X = H, E), \\ (\mathcal{L}_1 \pi'(F))(z) &= \varpi_1(F)(\mathcal{L}_1 u(z)) + u'(0)/(2z), \\ (\mathcal{L}_2 \pi'(F))(z) &= \varpi_2(F)(\mathcal{L}_2 u(z)) - u(0)/(2z^2).\end{aligned}$$

For more details and properties we refer to [31] (Lemma 2.2).

The representation ϖ_a allows to describe the eigenvalue problem of the η -NCHO by certain Heun ODE using the elements \mathcal{S} and $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$.

Proposition 3.2. *Set $t = \text{cth}^2 \kappa$ and $\omega = z^2 \text{cth}(\kappa)$. Define the parameters*

$$A := \frac{1}{4}(-1 - 2\nu + 2a), \quad B := a - \frac{1}{2}, \quad C := \frac{1}{4}(3 - 2\nu + 2a) = 1 + A, \quad D := A.$$

The eigenvalue problem for the η -NCHO is captured by \mathcal{S} as a Heun differential equation by

$$z^{-a+1} \varpi_a(\mathcal{S}) z^{a-1} = 4\text{th}(\kappa) \omega(\omega - 1)(\omega - t) \Lambda^a(\omega, \partial_\omega),$$

and

(6)

$$\Lambda^a(\omega, \partial_\omega) = \frac{d^2}{d\omega^2} + \left(\frac{1 + A + \eta}{\omega} + \frac{A - \eta}{\omega - 1} + \frac{A + B + 1 - C - D + \eta}{\omega - t} \right) \frac{d}{d\omega} + \frac{(A + \eta)B\omega - \bar{q}_a}{\omega(\omega - 1)(\omega - t)}$$

where

$$(7) \quad \bar{q}_a = \left[-\left(a - \frac{1}{2} - \nu\right)^2 + (2\eta)^2 + (\epsilon\nu)^2 \right] (t - 1) - 2\left(a - \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(a - \frac{1}{2} - \nu + 2\eta\right).$$

Similarly, we see that the Heun picture for the eigenvalue problem $\mathbf{K}Q^{(\eta)}\mathbf{K}\phi = \lambda\phi$ is given by

$$z^{-a+1} \varpi_a(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}) z^{a-1} = 4\text{th}(\kappa) \omega(\omega - 1)(\omega - t) \bar{\Lambda}^a(\omega, \partial_\omega).$$

with

(8)

$$\bar{\Lambda}^a(\omega, \partial_\omega) = \frac{d^2}{d\omega^2} + \left(\frac{A + \eta}{\omega} + \frac{A + 1 - \eta}{\omega - 1} + \frac{A + B + 2 - C - D + \eta}{\omega - t} \right) \frac{d}{d\omega} + \frac{(A + 1 + \eta)B\omega - \bar{q}_a}{\omega(\omega - 1)(\omega - t)}.$$

Proof. The case of \mathcal{S} the proof can easily be verified by direct computation using the identities $z\partial_z = 2\omega\partial_\omega$. For the case of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$, instead of direct computation we can verify that

$$\begin{aligned} z^{-a+1} \varpi_a(\mathcal{S}) z^{a-1} &= z^{-a+1} \varpi_a(\mathcal{R}) z^{a-1} + \frac{4\eta}{\text{sh}(2\kappa)} \left(z\partial_z + a - \frac{1}{2} - \nu + 2\eta \right) \\ &\quad + 2\eta \left((z^2 + z^{-2} - 2\text{cth}(\kappa))(z\partial_z + a - \frac{1}{2}) + (z^2 - z^{-2})(a - \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{2\nu}{\text{sh}(2\kappa)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, given in (5), corresponds to the NCHO (i.e $\eta = 0$) and

$$z^{-a+1} \varpi_a(\mathcal{S}) z^{a-1} - z^{-a+1} \varpi_a(\mathcal{R}) z^{a-1} = z^{-a+1} \varpi_a(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}) z^{a-1} - z^{-a+1} \varpi_a(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}) z^{a-1}.$$

Therefore, we see (cf. proof of Proposition 2.5 of [31]) that

$$\begin{aligned} z^{-a+1} \varpi_a(\mathcal{S}) z^{a-1} - z^{-a+1} \varpi_a(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}) z^{a-1} \\ = 4\text{th}(\kappa) \omega(\omega - 1)(\omega - t) \left(\left\{ -\frac{1}{\omega} + \frac{1}{\omega - 1} + \frac{1}{\omega - t} \right\} + \frac{\omega(a - \frac{1}{2})}{\omega(\omega - 1)(\omega - t)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows immediately. Note that the accessory parameter is \bar{q}_a is the same for both \mathcal{S} and $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$. \square

Remark 3.1. In the original parameters, the Heun operator (6) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^a(\omega, \partial_\omega) &= \frac{d^2}{d\omega^2} + \left(\frac{3 - 2\nu + 2a + 4\eta}{4\omega} + \frac{-1 - 2\nu + 2a - 4\eta}{4(\omega - 1)} + \frac{-1 + 2\nu + 2a + 4\eta}{4(\omega - t)} \right) \frac{d}{d\omega} \\ &\quad + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(a - \frac{1}{2})(a - \frac{1}{2} - \nu + 2\eta)\omega - \bar{q}_a}{\omega(\omega - 1)(\omega - t)} \end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to define shifted parameters

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{A} &= A + \eta, & \bar{B} &= B, & \bar{C} &= 1 + A + \eta = C + \eta \\ \bar{D} &= A - \eta = D - \eta, & \bar{F} &= \bar{A} + \bar{B} + 1 - \bar{C} - \bar{D}\end{aligned}$$

so that the the Heun operator (6) is written in the standard form

$$(9) \quad \Lambda^a(\omega, \partial_\omega) = \frac{d^2}{d\omega^2} + \left(\frac{\bar{C}}{\omega} + \frac{\bar{D}}{(\omega-1)} + \frac{\bar{F}}{(\omega-t)} \right) \frac{d}{d\omega} + \frac{\bar{A}\bar{B}\omega - \bar{q}_a}{\omega(\omega-1)(\omega-t)},$$

and the local properties are given by the Riemann scheme (see e.g. [29])

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 0 & 1 & t & \infty & ; z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a - \frac{1}{2} & ; q_a \\ \frac{1+2\nu-2a-4\eta}{4} & \frac{5+2\nu-2a+4\eta}{4} & \frac{5-2\nu-2a-4\eta}{4} & \frac{-1-2\nu+2a+4\eta}{4} & \end{array} \right).$$

Clearly, since the parity operator $\mathbf{I}_2\mathcal{P}$ commutes with the Hamiltonian of the η -NCHO, the eigenfunctions must be even or odd functions. The equivalence of the eigenvalue problem of the η -NCHO for odd and even solutions with the solution of the Heun ODE given above rests in the following result (cf. [31] Prop 3.1).

Proposition 3.3. *The element $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ satisfies the following equations*

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_1\pi'_1(\mathcal{S})u)(z) &= \varpi_1(\mathcal{S})(\mathcal{L}_1u)(z) + (\nu - 2\eta - \frac{3}{2})u'(0)z^{-1} \\ (\mathcal{L}_2\pi'_2(\mathcal{S})u)(z) &= \varpi_2(\mathcal{S})(\mathcal{L}_2u)(z) + (\nu - 2\eta - \frac{3}{2})u(0)z^{-2}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $u(z)$ is an even solution, then $(\pi'(\mathcal{S})u)(z) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\varpi_1(\mathcal{S})(\mathcal{L}_1u)(z) = 0,$$

and if $u(z)$ is an odd solution, $(\pi'(\mathcal{S})u)(z) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\varpi_2(\mathcal{S})(\mathcal{L}_2u)(z) = 0.$$

□

In fact, having solutions of the Heun ODE equations (for any parity) in a simply connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $0, 1 \in \Omega$ and $\alpha\beta \notin \Omega$ is enough to have solutions of the eigenvalue problem for the η -NCHO. This result follows from the discussion of Section 3.2 of [31] without modifications. Concretely, we have

Proposition 3.4. *For even and odd solutions of the eigenvalue problem of the η -NCHO, there are linear bijections*

$$\begin{aligned}\{\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \mid Q^{(\eta)}\varphi = \lambda\varphi, \varphi(-x) = \varphi(x)\} &\simeq \{f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \mid H_\lambda^+ f = 0\}, \\ \{\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \mid Q^{(\eta)}\varphi = \lambda\varphi, \varphi(-x) = -\varphi(x)\} &\simeq \{f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \mid H_\lambda^- f = 0\},\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ is the set of holomorphic solutions in a simply connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ with $1, 0 \in \Omega$ but $\alpha\beta \notin \Omega$, and where the Heun ODE operators H_λ^\pm are given by

$$H_\lambda^+(\omega, \partial_\omega) := \Lambda^1(\omega, \partial_\omega), \quad H_\lambda^-(\omega, \partial_\omega) = \Lambda^2(\omega, \partial_\omega),$$

with

$$\lambda = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta-1)}}{\alpha+\beta}\nu.$$

□

In view of Proposition 3.4, we see that the eigenvalues of Σ_0 correspond to polynomial solutions of the Heun ODEs $H_\lambda^\pm f = 0$. In particular, Proposition 3.4 shows that the multiplicity of the eigenvalues of the η -NCHO is bounded above by 4, with each parity having at most multiplicity 2. In the discussion of the eigenvalues of finite type in Section 3.2 we reduce the bound to 3 by showing that there are no degeneracies involving two solutions of finite type of different parity.

To finish the section, we remark that for $a \neq 1, 2$ the representations π'_a does not capture the η -NCHO directly via the Heun ODE $\pi'(\mathcal{S})f = 0$, rather it gives a generalization that we may call the η -NCHO of a -type, or η -NCHO of representation type a . We note that for any a and η , the η -NCHO of a -type is also parity preserving. The introduction of the parameter is crucial for the study of the confluence picture in section 5. When possible we will consider the results in the full generality instead of limiting to the cases $a = 1, 2$.

3.2. Eigenfunctions and eigenvalues for Σ_0 . In this section we describe the eigenfunctions corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ of the η -NCHO. By the discussion in Section 3.1, these solutions correspond to even or odd polynomial solutions of $H_\lambda^+ f = 0$. More generally, we consider the general case $a \in \mathbb{C}$ since for any value of the representation parameter $a \in \mathbb{C}$, the corresponding η -NCHO of a -type is parity preserving.

For $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we write

$$p(z) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n \leq L \\ n \equiv L \pmod{2}}} r_n z^n,$$

for a solution of degree L , with $r_L \neq 0$ and with the parity being naturally determined by the degree L . For $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, note that the number of nonzero coefficients for both even $L = 2N$ and odd $L = 2N + 1$ cases is exactly N . The following result characterizes the eigenvalues $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $a \neq -L$ and $\rho \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $L \equiv \rho \pmod{2}$. There is an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ of the form*

$$(10) \quad \lambda = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta - 1)}}{\alpha + \beta} \left(L + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta \right)$$

if the parameters (α, β, η) satisfy the constraint condition

$$(11) \quad \det(\mathbf{M}_L^{(a)}(\alpha, \beta; \eta)) = 0.$$

The matrix $\mathbf{M}_L^{(a)}(\alpha, \beta; \eta)$ is a tridiagonal $\left(\frac{L-\rho}{2}\right) \times \left(\frac{L-\rho}{2}\right)$ given by

$$\mathbf{M}_L^{(a,\rho)}(\alpha, \beta, \eta) = \text{tridiag} \left[\begin{array}{c} c_{L-2i}^{(L)} \quad d_{L-2i-2}^{(L)} \\ f_{L-2i-2}^{(L)} \end{array} \right]_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{L-\rho}{2}},$$

with entries

$$\begin{aligned} c_i^{(L)} (= c_i^{(L)}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)) &:= \frac{e^2(2L+1+4\eta)^2}{2\text{sh}(2\kappa)} + (i-L) \left(\frac{2L+1+8\eta}{\text{sh}(2\kappa)} - \text{cth}(2\kappa)(2i+1) \right), \\ d_i^{(L)} (= d_i^{(L)}(a)) &:= (i-a+3)(i+2-L) \\ f_i^{(L)} (= f_i^{(L)}(a)) &:= (i+a)(i-L). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, the condition (11) determines uniquely a polynomial eigenfunction of η -NCHO with degree L associated to an eigenvalue λ of the form (10).

Conversely, eigenvalues $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ are of the form (10) for $L = L(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and have multiplicity one. Moreover, we have $\Sigma_0^+ \cap \Sigma_0^- = \emptyset$.

In the theorem we allow $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-L\}$, however, in the study of even an odd solutions of the η -NCHO we set

$$a = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \rho = 0 \text{ (even case)} \\ 2 & \text{if } \rho = 1 \text{ (odd case)} \end{cases}.$$

when we treat the η -NCHO itself (see Remark 6.2)

Proof. First, we consider the action on a monomial

$$\begin{aligned}
\varpi_a(\mathcal{S})z^m &= \left((z^2 + z^{-2})z\partial_z + a(z^2 - z^{-2}) + \frac{1}{z^2} - \operatorname{cth}(2\kappa)(2z\partial_z + 1) + \frac{\lambda\delta}{\operatorname{sh}(\kappa)} + \frac{4\eta}{\operatorname{sh}(2\kappa)} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(m + \frac{1}{2} - \lambda\delta\operatorname{ch}(\kappa) + 2\eta \right) z^m + \operatorname{cth}(\kappa)(\lambda\delta\epsilon)^2 z^m \\
&= (m + \frac{1}{2} - \nu + 2\eta)(m + a)z^{m+2} \\
&\quad + \left[\frac{2\epsilon^2\nu^2}{\operatorname{sh}(2\kappa)} + (m + \frac{1}{2} - \nu + 2\eta) \left(\frac{2\nu + 4\eta}{\operatorname{sh}(2\kappa)} - \operatorname{cth}(2\kappa)(2m + 1) \right) \right] z^m \\
&\quad + (m + \frac{1}{2} - \nu + 2\eta)(m - a + 1)z^{m-2},
\end{aligned}$$

therefore, for a solution $p(z)$ we have that $\varpi_a(\mathcal{S})p(z) = 0$ is given by

(12)

$$\begin{aligned}
\varpi_a(\mathcal{S})p(z) &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq L+2 \\ n \equiv L \pmod{2}}} r_{m-2} (m - 2 + \frac{1}{2} - \nu + 2\eta)(m - 2 + a)z^m \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m \leq L \\ n \equiv L \pmod{2}}} r_m \left[\frac{2\epsilon^2\nu^2}{\operatorname{sh}(2\kappa)} + (m + \frac{1}{2} - \nu + 2\eta) \left(\frac{2\nu + 4\eta}{\operatorname{sh}(2\kappa)} - \operatorname{cth}(2\kappa)(2m + 1) \right) \right] z^m \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m \leq L-2 \\ m \equiv L \pmod{2}}} r_{m+2} (m + 2 + \frac{1}{2} - \nu + 2\eta)(m - a + 3)z^m = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Immediately, by considering the coefficients of degree $L + 2$ in (12), we see that the condition imposed is

$$(13) \quad r_L (L + \frac{1}{2} - \nu + 2\eta)(L + a) = 0$$

so that

$$\nu = L + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta,$$

since $a > 0$. Note that in addition to (13), the coefficients of degree L in (12) impose the condition

$$\frac{\epsilon^2(2L + 1 + 4\eta)^2}{2\operatorname{sh}(2\kappa)} r_L - 2(L + a - 2)r_{L-2} = 0,$$

that determines the coefficient r_L .

Let us now consider the constraint equation for the existence of the solutions. We assume that $\nu = L + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta$, then the equation

$$\varpi_a(\mathcal{S})p(z) = 0$$

determines a three-term recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned}
(14) \quad &r_{m+2}(m - a + 3)(m + 2 - L) \\
&\quad + r_m \left[\frac{\epsilon^2(2L + 1 + 4\eta)^2}{2\operatorname{sh}(2\kappa)} + (m - L) \left(\frac{2L + 1 + 8\eta}{\operatorname{sh}(2\kappa)} - \operatorname{cth}(2\kappa)(2m + 1) \right) \right] \\
&\quad + r_{m-2}(m + a - 2)(m - 2 - L) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for $m = \rho, \rho + 2, \dots, L - 4$ determining the coefficients r_n for $n = \rho, \rho + 2, \dots, L - 2$.

The constraint condition is given by the coefficients in (13) for $m = L - 2$, in this case, the coefficient of r_L vanishes and we obtain

$$r_{L-2} \left[\frac{\epsilon^2(2L+1+4\eta)^2}{2\text{sh}(2\kappa)} - 2 \left(\frac{2L+1+8\eta}{\text{sh}(2\kappa)} - \text{cth}(2\kappa)(2L-3) \right) \right] - 4r_{L-4}(L+a-4) = 0,$$

Therefore, the constraint condition is given by

$$(15) \quad \det \left(\mathbf{M}_L^{(a,\rho)}(\alpha, \beta, \eta) \right) = 0,$$

where $\mathbf{M}_L^{(a,\rho)}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ is the desired tridiagonal $\left(\frac{L-\rho}{2}\right) \times \left(\frac{L-\rho}{2}\right)$ -matrix.

The last statement of the proof follows from the fact that polynomial solutions corresponding to $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ of the form (10) with L of different parity have the corresponding parity, therefore there no is degeneracy between two eigenvalues of finite type of different parity. \square

Remark 3.2. Suppose that $a = -L$, then we might have a solution of degree L for arbitrary ν . The assumption $a = -L$ makes the coefficient of degree $L + 2$ vanish in 12 and the condition for the coefficient of degree L is given by

$$-2r_{L-2}(L-2+\frac{1}{2}-\nu+2\eta) + r_L \left[\frac{2\epsilon^2\nu^2}{\text{sh}(2\kappa)} + (L+\frac{1}{2}-\nu+2\eta) \left(\frac{2\nu+4\eta}{\text{sh}(2\kappa)} - \text{cth}(2\kappa)(2L+1) \right) \right] = 0$$

and with a constraint relation

$$\det M_L^{(-L,\rho)}(\alpha, \beta) = 0.$$

The case $\nu = L - 2 + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta$ requires some special attention. In this case the solution degenerates into a $L - 2$ degree polynomial solution and the constraint relation reduces to

$$\det M_{L-2}^{(-L,\rho)}(\alpha, \beta) = 0,$$

and is therefore one of the cases already covered in Theorem 3.5.

Also, in this case let us note that after shifting L appropriately, this solution may be considered an element of a subrepresentation of $(\varpi_{-L}, z^{L+2}\mathbb{C}[z^2, z^{-2}])$, where the representation gives the Langlands quotient $(\varpi_{-L}, z^{(L+2)}\mathbb{C}[z^2, z^{-2}]/z^L\mathbb{C}[z^{-2}])$ (cf. Lemma 2.3 of [31]¹) which is equivalent $(\pi'_{-L}, y^{L+2}\mathbb{C}[y^2])$ by the intertwiner \mathcal{L}_{-L} .

We obtain as an immediate corollary the expression for the solutions of $\varpi_a(\mathcal{S})p(z) = 0$ corresponding to $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ and the recurrence relation for the coefficients.

Corollary 3.6. *With the notation of Theorem 3.5, if there is an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ of η -NCHO given by*

$$\lambda = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta-1)}}{\alpha+\beta} (L + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta),$$

the polynomial solution $p(z)$ of $\varpi_a(\mathcal{S})p(z) = 0$ of degree L of the form

$$p(z) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n \leq L \\ n \equiv L \pmod{2}}} r_n z^n,$$

¹Note that there is a typo on Lemma 2.3 of [31]: in the second equivalence, a should be $2 - a$. However, the correct expression should be evident to the reader from Remark 2.4 or Remark 2.5 below Lemma 2.3.

has coefficients determined by the three-term recurrence relation

$$(m - a + 1)(m - L)r_m = - \left[\frac{\epsilon^2(2L + 1 + 4\eta)^2}{2\text{sh}(2\kappa)} + (m - 2 - L) \left(\frac{2L + 1 + 8\eta}{\text{sh}(2\kappa)} - \text{cth}(2\kappa)(2(m - 2 + 1)) \right) \right] r_{m-2} + (m + a - 4)(m - 4 - L)r_{m-4},$$

for $\rho \leq m \leq L - 2$ with $r_\rho = 1$ and $r_{\rho-2} = 0$ where $\rho \in \{0, 1\}$ according to the parity of L . In addition, the coefficient r_L is determined by

$$r_L = \frac{2(L - 2 + a)\text{sh}(2\kappa)}{2\epsilon^2(L + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta)^2} r_{L-2},$$

the parameters α, β are subject to the constraint condition (15) of Theorem 3.5.

We summarize the result of Proposition 3.5 as

$$\Sigma_0 \subset \left\{ \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta - 1)}}{\alpha + \beta} (L + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta) : L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}$$

and more importantly, since the parameter L of the $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ of the form (10) determine the degree, and thus the parity of the eigenfunctions, we have shown that there is no degeneracy between even and odd eigenvalues of finite type, that is

$$\Sigma_0^+ \cap \Sigma_0^- = \emptyset.$$

Moreover, if the parameters α, β satisfy the constraint equation, the coefficients are determined uniquely (up to constant multiplication) and therefore the eigenvalue $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ has multiplicity one in Σ_0 . Thus the multiplicity of any given eigenvalue λ of $Q^{(\eta)}$ is bounded above by three and this situation can only be achieved in the case

$$\lambda \in \Sigma_0^\pm \cap \Sigma_\infty^\pm \cap \Sigma_\infty^\mp.$$

In the next section, we multiplicity of the eigenvalues is actually bounded above by 2 as in the case of the NCHO.

Remark 3.3. We may use the Heun ODE (8) to obtain, for an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ of the form (10), a constraint relation of the form

$$\det \left(\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_L^{(a,\rho)}(\alpha, \beta, \eta) \right) = 0,$$

for some tridiagonal matrix $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_L^{(a,\rho)}$. In general, them matrices $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_L^{(a,\rho)}$ and $\mathbf{M}_L^{(a,\rho)}$ are different but the determinants coincide. More concretely, the components of the matrix $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_L^{(a,\rho)}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{c}_i^{(L)} &= c_i^{(L)} \\ \widetilde{d}_i^{(L)} &= (i + a)(i + 2 - L) \\ \widetilde{f}_i^{(L)} &= (i - a + 3)(i - L), \end{aligned}$$

such that $d_i^{(L)} f_i^{(L)} = \widetilde{d}_i^{(L)} \widetilde{f}_i^{(L)}$ and thus the determinants coincide by known properties of the continuants. This is expected since the spectrum of $Q^{(\eta)}$ and $\mathbf{K}Q^{(\eta)}\mathbf{K}$ is equal. However, we note that the polynomial solutions for this case are of degree $L - 2$.

Remark 3.4. The results of Theorem 3.5 suggests that, in analogy to the AQRМ, we can consider the eigenvalues of the form given in (10) to be “exceptional eigenvalues”, that is, the remains of the eigenvalues of the (shifted) quantum harmonic oscillator. Moreover, since all eigenvalues of Σ_0 are of that form, the solutions corresponding to eigenvalues Σ_0 may be considered analogous

to the Juddian eigenvalues of the AQRM (also called quasi-exact solutions). In the case of the QRM, the existence of non-Juddian solutions, that is, solutions corresponding to exceptional eigenvalues of “infinite type” was not immediately recognized. As we will see in the next section, for the case of $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, we can clarify the situation for the η -NCHO.

Curves determined by constraint conditions. In this section we give example of the curves determined by the constraint relation for the η -NCHO, that is,

$$\det(\mathbf{M}_L^{(a)}(\alpha, \beta; \eta)) = 0.$$

To simplify, we assume that $a = 1, 2$ according to the parity of L and we consider as axis

$$x = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \quad y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}}.$$

suchn that $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $\alpha\beta > 1$ gives $0 < x$ and $0 < y \leq 1$. With these variables, we have

$$c_i^{(L)} = y \left[\left(\frac{x-1}{x+1} \right)^2 \frac{(2L+1+4\eta)^2 (y^{-2}-1)}{4} + (i-L) \{ (L-i+4\eta)y^{-2} - (L+1+i+4\eta) \} \right].$$

For $\eta = \frac{1}{2}$, the curves are given in the following figures for different values of L .




FIGURE 2. Curves determined by the constraint condition for $\eta = \frac{1}{2}$




FIGURE 3. Curves determined by the constraint condition for $\eta = \frac{1}{2}$




FIGURE 4. Curves determined by the constraint condition for $\eta = \frac{1}{2}$

Example 3.7. Let us write some examples of the Laurent polynomials for small values of L .

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{M}_3^{(a)}(x, y; \eta)) &= -\frac{1}{4(x+1)^2 y} (9x^2 y^2 - 33x^2 + 16\eta^2 (x-1)^2 (y^2 - 1) \\ &\quad + 8\eta(x(3x-22) + 3) (y^2 - 1) - 178xy^2 + 130x + 9y^2 - 33), \\ \det(\mathbf{M}_4^{(a)}(x, y; \eta)) &= \frac{1}{16(x+1)^4 y^2} (25x^4 y^4 - 746x^4 y^2 + 1105x^4 - 8324x^3 y^4 + 32008x^3 y^2 - 22148x^3 \\ &\quad + 88278x^2 y^4 - 144444x^2 y^2 + 58470x^2 + 32\eta^2 (y^2 - 1) \left(-51x^4 + 716x^3 - 2354x^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (x(x(23x-716) + 2410) - 716) + 23)y^2 + 716x - 51 \right) + 48\eta (y^2 - 1) \left(-25x^4 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 716x^3 - 2406x^2 + (x(x(5(x-92)x + 2958) - 460) + 5)y^2 + 716x - 25 \right) \\ &\quad - 8324xy^4 + 256\eta^4 (x-1)^4 (y^2 - 1)^2 + 768\eta^3 (x-1)^2 ((x-10)x + 1) (y^2 - 1)^2 \\ &\quad \left. + 32008xy^2 - 22148x + 25y^4 - 746y^2 + 1105 \right). \end{aligned}$$

4. SPECTRAL STRUCTURE

In this section we show that the multiplicity of the eigenvalues of the η -NCHO is bounded above by 2. Furthermore, we describe the degeneracies of type $\lambda \in \Sigma_0^\pm \cap \Sigma_\infty^\pm$, including the general form of the solutions in this case. Notably, we show that these type of degeneracies appear only when $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. The exposition here follows [31].

In the arguments, the singularity data of the Heun ODE H_λ^\pm , summarized in the Riemann scheme, is fundamental. Recall the relation

$$\lambda = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta-1)}}{\alpha+\beta} \nu,$$

between the eigenvalue λ and ν . Then, we define

$$\sigma = \sigma(\lambda, \eta) = \frac{1}{4} (2\nu - 3 - 4\eta).$$

Then, for H_λ^+ , the Riemann scheme is given by

$$(16) \quad \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 0 & 1 & \alpha\beta & \infty & ; \omega \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & ; \bar{q}_1 \\ \sigma + \frac{1}{2} & \sigma + \frac{3}{2} + 2\eta & -\sigma - 2\eta & -(\sigma + \frac{1}{2}) & \end{array} \right],$$

while for H_λ^- we have the Riemann scheme

$$(17) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \alpha\beta & \infty & ; \omega \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} & ; q_2 \\ \sigma & \sigma + 1 + 2\eta & -\sigma - \frac{1}{2} - 2\eta & -\sigma & \end{bmatrix}.$$

For the case of eigenvalues of Σ_0 , for convenience we also explicitly write the associated Riemann schemes. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In the even case, we have $\nu = 2N + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta$, giving $\sigma = N - \frac{1}{2}$ and therefore, the Riemann scheme for H_λ^+ is given by

$$(18) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \alpha\beta & \infty & ; \omega \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & ; \bar{q}_1 \\ N & N + 1 + 2\eta & -N + \frac{1}{2} - 2\eta & -N & \end{bmatrix},$$

while for the odd case, we have $\nu = 2N + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta$, giving $\sigma = N$ and therefore the Riemann scheme for H_λ^- is given by

$$(19) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \alpha\beta & \infty & ; \omega \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} & ; \bar{q}_2 \\ N & N + 1 + 2\eta & -N - \frac{1}{2} - 2\eta & -N & \end{bmatrix}.$$

With these preparations we can present the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.1. *The multiplicity of any eigenvalue of η -NCHO is bounded above by 2.*

Proof. Let us assume that on the contrary, there is an eigenvalue λ of η -NCHO of multiplicity 3, that is,

$$\lambda \in \Sigma_0^\pm \cap \Sigma_\infty^\pm \cap \Sigma_\infty^\mp.$$

It is enough to consider one of the two cases since the other is analogous. Concretely, we consider the case $\lambda \in \Sigma_0^+ \cap \Sigma_\infty^+ \cap \Sigma_\infty^-$ and we let $f_1(\omega)$ and $\tilde{f}_1(\omega)$ be the corresponding eigenfunctions, a polynomial and a holomorphic solution, respectively. In addition, we denote by $\tilde{f}_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) the corresponding solutions with respect to the variable z , that is, $\varpi_1(\mathcal{S})\tilde{f}(z) = 0$.

Next, consider an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \Sigma_0^+$ with $\nu = 2N + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta$, then according to the Riemann scheme (18) of H_λ^+ we have $\sigma = N - \frac{1}{2} \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. By setting $u_j = \mathcal{L}_1^{-1}\tilde{f}_j$ ($u_j \in \overline{\mathbb{C}[y]}$) we can get a linear combination u^+ of u_1 (an even polynomial) and u_2 such that $\pi'(\mathcal{S})u^+ = 0$ the constant term of u^+ is zero. In particular, by Proposition 3.3, we have $\omega_2(\mathcal{S})(g^+)(z) = 0$ with $g^+(z) = \mathcal{L}_2 u^+$. We note in particular that $g^+(z) \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega')$, where Ω' is a connected and simply connected domain with $0, \pm(\alpha\beta)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \in \Omega'$ and $\pm(\alpha\beta)^{\frac{1}{4}} \notin \Omega'$ and with $g^+(0) = 0$. Next, we define $g^+(\omega) = z^{-1}g^+(z)$ with $\omega = z^2\text{cth}(\kappa)$ and we easily verify that $g^+(\omega) \in \sqrt{\omega}\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ is a solution of $H_\lambda^- g^+(\omega) = 0$ (as in Proposition 3.4) and in particular, that $g^+(\omega)$ is holomorphic at $\omega = 1$.

Next, according to (17) (with $\sigma \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$), $g^+(\omega)$ must have exponent 0 at $\omega = 1$. Since we have assumed $\lambda \in \Sigma_\infty^-$, then according to Proposition 3.4 there must be a solution $g^-(\omega)$ of $H_\lambda^-(\omega) = 0$ that is holomorphic at $\omega = 0, 1$ and therefore it has exponents 0 at these points. From this we also see that $g^+(\omega)$ must have exponent $\sigma \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ at $\omega = 0$. This means that $g^+(\omega)$ and $g^-(\omega)$ both are linearly independent solutions with exponent 0 at $\omega = 1$, but according to the Riemann scheme there must a local solution at $\omega = 1$ with exponent $\sigma + 1 + 2\eta$ and therefore that we have three linear independent local solutions at $\omega = 1$. This contradiction completes the proof that the multiplicity of λ is bounded above by 2. \square

Next, we investigate the cases where the multiplicity of eigenvalues in Σ_0 is actually 2. We make use of the monodromy representation around the singularities. Let us denote the monodromy matrices corresponding to the odd case (i.e. to the Riemann scheme (19)) by \mathbf{A}_i ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) corresponding to singularities at $\omega = 0, 1, \alpha\beta, \infty$ in that order. Similarly, we

denote \mathbf{B}_j the monodromy matrices for the even case. In both cases, the product of all the monodromy matrices is equal to the identity matrix, i.e.

$$\mathbf{A}_0\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3 = \mathbf{I}_2 = \mathbf{B}_0\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{B}_3.$$

The eigenvalues of the monodromy matrices are determined by the corresponding Riemann scheme. Concretely, for a singularity at $\omega \in \{0, 1, \alpha\beta, \infty\}$, the eigenvalues of the corresponding monodromy matrix are given by $\exp(2i\pi\rho_j(\omega))$ for $j = 1, 2$ where $\rho_j(\omega)$ are the two exponents at the singularity ω .

We describe the eigenvalues of the monodromy matrices for the odd case (resp. even case) in Table 1 (resp. Table 2). It is clear that the monodromy is highly dependent the the value of η . Since the general structure for both cases is the same, we only consider the even case for the discussions and proof.

	\mathbf{A}_0	\mathbf{A}_1	\mathbf{A}_2	\mathbf{A}_3
ϵ_1	1	1	1	-1
ϵ_2	1	$e^{2\pi i(2\eta)}$	$e^{-2\pi i(\frac{1}{2}+2\eta)}$	1

TABLE 1. Eigenvalues of monodromy matrices for the odd case

	\mathbf{B}_0	\mathbf{B}_1	\mathbf{B}_2	\mathbf{B}_3
ϵ_1	1	1	1	-1
ϵ_2	1	$e^{2\pi i(2\eta)}$	$e^{-2\pi i(2\eta-\frac{1}{2})}$	1

TABLE 2. Eigenvalues of monodromy matrices for the even case

We start with the case $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ which follows in the same way as in [31] (following the idea of [16] for the odd case).

Theorem 4.2. *Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\rho \in \{0, 1\}$ and*

$$\lambda = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta-1)}}{\alpha+\beta} \left(2N + \rho + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta \right)$$

with $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. If $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ and $N + 1 + 2\eta > 0$, then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\{f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \mid H_{\lambda}^{\pm} f = 0\} = 2.$$

Proof. Let us consider the even case, that is, $\rho = 0$. Let us first consider the singularity at $\omega = 0$, since the difference of coefficients is an integer, there may be a logarithmic solution. Since the logarithmic solution must correspond to the exponent $\rho = 0$, the rational solution must have exponent N . Now, the sum of exponents of the rational solution must be at least $N + 0 + 0 + (-N) = 0$, so the solution is unique and must be an scalar multiple of ω^N , that is $H_{\lambda}^+ \omega^N = 0$, but we directly verify that

$$H_{\lambda}^+ \omega^N = \frac{\omega^N (-N(N+2\eta)(t-1) - \frac{1}{2}N - \bar{q}_1)}{\omega(\omega-1)(\omega-t)},$$

and thus $\bar{q}_1 = -N(N+2\eta)(t-1) - \frac{1}{2}N$, but comparing with the formula of the accessory parameter (7) this implies that $\alpha = \beta$ and $\eta = 0$ and therefore such a solution cannot exist. Therefore, there cannot be a logarithmic solution at $\omega = 0$ and we see that $\mathbf{B}_0 = \mathbf{I}_2$, that is, $\omega = 0$ is an apparent singularity.

We proceed similarly for the singularity at $\omega = 1$ and we suppose that there is a logarithmic solution. There is a meromorphic solution with exponent $N + 1 + 2\eta > 0$ at $\omega = 1$ and the sum of exponents must be at least $0 + N + 1 + 2\eta + 0 - N = 1 + 2\eta$. If the sum of exponents does not vanishes, a rational solution cannot be of such form. In the case that the sum of exponents

vanishes, the solution must be a scalar multiple of $(\omega - 1)^{N+1+2\eta}$, but that cannot be the case by comparing with the accessory parameter as in the case of $\omega = 0$. In both cases $\mathbf{B}_1 = \mathbf{I}_2 = \mathbf{B}_0$ and this shows that the space of holomorphic solutions on Ω has dimension 2 as desired. \square

A simple analysis of the monodromy at the point $\omega = \alpha\beta$ gives the general form of the generators of the solutions at Ω in both cases.

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose that $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\rho \in \{0, 1\}$. Further, suppose $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ is given by*

$$\lambda = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta - 1)}}{\alpha + \beta} \left(2N + \rho + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta \right)$$

with $N + 1 + 2\eta > 0$. Then, there exist Heun polynomials $Hp_1(\omega)$ and $Hp_2(\omega)$ spanning the space of solutions of $H_\lambda^\pm f = 0$ holomorphic at Ω . We have

- (1) for the even case ($\rho = 0$), there are polynomials $f_1(\omega)$ of degree N and $g_2(\omega)$ of degree at most $N - 1 + 2\eta$, unique up to constant multiples, such that $Hp_1(\omega) = f_1(\omega)$ and

$$Hp_2(\omega) = \frac{g_2(\omega)\sqrt{\omega - \alpha\beta}}{(\omega - \alpha\beta)^{N+1+2\eta}},$$

- (2) for the odd case ($\rho = 1$), there are polynomials $f_1(\omega)$ of degree N and $g_2(\omega)$ of degree at most $N + 2\eta$, unique up to constant multiples, such that $Hp_1(\omega) = f_1(\omega)$ and

$$Hp_2(\omega) = \frac{g_2(\omega)\sqrt{\omega - \alpha\beta}}{(\omega - \alpha\beta)^{N+2+2\eta}}.$$

We note that in the case $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $N + 1 + 2\eta \leq 0$, at $\omega = 1$ the rational solution corresponds to the exponent $\rho = 0$, which is consistent with the fact that it is a polynomial solution, so the existence, or non-existence, of a logarithmic solution cannot be clarified using the above method.

On the other hand, for the case of $2\eta \notin \mathbb{Z}$, we see that the eigenvalues in Σ_0 have multiplicity at most 1 in each parity.

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ with $\eta \notin \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\{f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \mid H_\lambda^\pm f = 0\} = 1.$$

Proof. We consider only the even case. Let us suppose that the even polynomial solution corresponds to the vector $\mathbf{e}_2 = {}^t(0, 1)$ in the monodromy representation, then the monodromy matrices \mathbf{B}_0 and \mathbf{B}_1 must be of the form

$$\mathbf{B}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c_0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} e^{2\pi i(2\eta)} & 0 \\ c_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $e^{2\pi i(2\eta)} \neq 1$ for some constants $c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Since the difference of exponents at $\omega = 0$ is an integer, we can show as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 that $\mathbf{B}_0 = \mathbf{I}_2$, making $c_0 = 0$ and thus $\omega = 0$ is an apparent singularity. On the other hand, the fact that $e^{2\pi i(2\eta)} \neq 1$ does not allow the existence of another holomorphic solution at $\omega = 1$. Indeed, we can take a basis such that the monodromy matrices are given by

$$\mathbf{B}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} e^{2\pi i(2\eta)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $e^{2\pi i(2\eta)} \neq 1$, showing that the dimension of the space of holomorphic solutions in Ω is 1. \square

Remark 4.1. Note that in the case $\eta \notin \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, by an analogous argument we might show that if there is an eigenvalue $\eta \in \Sigma_\infty$ of the form (10) then it must have multiplicity one. Such an eigenvalue would be analogous to the non-Juddian exceptional eigenvalues of the AQRM, which always have multiplicity one. In contrast, the spectral structure for the case $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ is essentially different to the case of AQRM since both finite and infinite eigenvalues are present when there is a degeneracy.

Remark 4.2. In [22], the analysis of the multiplicity was done for the NCHO using the the action of the Hamiltonian on a twisted basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ (twisted by the matrix \mathbf{K}). The use of the monodromy data allows us to give a shorter and more detailed proof.

To conclude this section, let us give the converse of the results of Theorem 4.2. The proof follows as in [31] (Theorems 4.3 and 4.4).

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose there is a solution of $H_\lambda^\pm f = 0$ that is either*

- *a rational function in ω at the origin, or*
- *of the form of the form $(\omega - \alpha\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}q(\omega)$ at the origin for a rational function $q(\omega)$.*

Then, we have $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\{f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) | H_\lambda^\pm f = 0\} = 2,$$

and the solutions have the form given in Corollary 4.3.

Remark 4.3. The final possibility for degeneracy is for an eigenvalue λ to be $\lambda \in \Sigma_\infty^+ \cap \Sigma_\infty^-$, that is, a degeneracy between solutions of infinite type of different parity. In general it is difficult to detect directly these type of singularities but they are known to exist in the case of the NCHO by the continuity of the spectrum of the NCHO with respect to the parameters α, β and comparison with verified numerical computations (see [14]). While not verified, it is likely that these types of degeneracies also occur for the η -NCHO in general.

Part 2. Iso-parallel confluence process

5. CONFLUENCE PICTURE OF η -NCHO AND AQRM

In this section we describe the confluence procedure that connects the Heun ODE picture of the η -NCHO with the confluent Heun picture of the AQRM. Moreover, we study the effect of the confluent process on the solutions of the η -NCHO.

5.1. Asymmetric quantum Rabi models. Let us recall the confluent Heun ODE picture of the asymmetric quantum Rabi model. The asymmetric quantum Rabi model is the model with Hamiltonian given by

$$(20) \quad H_{\text{Rabi}}^\eta = \omega a^\dagger a + \Delta \sigma_z + g \sigma_x (a^\dagger + a) + \eta \sigma_x,$$

where $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ is the bias parameter. Here, we use η as the bias parameter to avoid confusion with the ϵ defined in the NCHO Heun picture derivation. It is known that when the bias parameter takes value $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ the spectrum is degenerate and there is a hidden symmetry operator J_ϵ that commutes with H_{Rabi}^η . The QRM is obtained by $\eta = 0$, and in the commuting operator is given by $J_0 = \sigma_z \mathcal{P}$ where $\mathcal{P} = \exp(i\pi a^\dagger a)$ is the parity operator.

The confluent Heun picture of the AQRM is obtained directly way from the Bargmann space \mathcal{B} realization of boson operators (see e.g. [28]), that is

$$a \mapsto \partial_z \text{ and } a^\dagger \mapsto z$$

where $\partial_z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. Denoting the eigenvalue (energy) by $E \in \mathbb{R}$, the eigenvalue problem for the AQRM is immediately seen to be equivalent to finding entire solutions for confluent Heun differential equation

$$(21) \quad \mathcal{H}_1^\eta(E) = \frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \left(-4g^2 + \frac{\alpha + 1}{y} + \frac{\alpha - 2\eta}{y - 1} \right) \frac{d}{dy} + \frac{-4g^2\alpha y + \mu + 4\eta g^2 - \eta^2}{y(y - 1)}.$$

and equivalently, by

$$(22) \quad \mathcal{H}_2^\eta(E) = \frac{d^2}{d\bar{y}^2} + \left(-4g^2 + \frac{\alpha - 2\eta}{\bar{y}} + \frac{\alpha + 1}{\bar{y} - 1} \right) \frac{d}{d\bar{y}} + \frac{-4g^2(\alpha - 2\eta + 1)\bar{y} + \mu - 4\eta g^2 - \eta^2}{\bar{y}(\bar{y} - 1)},$$

with $\alpha = -(E + g^2 - \eta)$ and μ given by

$$\mu = (E + g^2)^2 - 4g^2(E + g^2) - \Delta^2.$$

It is important to note that the solutions of the confluent Heun ODE (21) and (22) are not directly the eigenfunctions of the AQRM. In fact, the eigenfunctions are given by expressions of the form

$$(23) \quad f(z) = e^{\pm gz} \phi(z)$$

for $z = \frac{(g \pm y)}{2g}$ and where $\phi(z)$ is a solution of (21) or (22). It is also not difficult to verify from general theory that the solutions $f(z)$ behave asymptotically as e^{cz} for some constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and therefore these are finite with respect to the Bargmann norm and thus it is enough to verify that the solutions are entire. For more details we refer the reader to [2, 32].

The existence of two equivalent confluent Heun ODE corresponds to the expansion around the finite singularities (either $z = -g$ or $z = g$ before normalization) during the derivation of the equations. The existence of the two confluent Heun ODE related by a \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry was fundamental in the proof of the exact solvability of the QRM by Daniel Braak [1].

Similar to the case of the η -NCHO, there is a second order element of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ that captures the confluent Heun picture of the AQRM through a particular representation. For $a \in \mathbb{C}$ define the algebraic action ω_a of \mathfrak{sl}_2 on the vector spaces $\mathbf{V}_1 := y^{-\frac{1}{4}}\mathbb{C}[y, y^{-1}]$ and $\mathbf{V}_2 := y^{\frac{1}{4}}\mathbb{C}[y, y^{-1}]$ given by

$$\omega_a(H) := 2y\partial_y + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \omega_a(E) := y^2\partial_y + \frac{1}{2}(a + \frac{1}{2})y, \quad \omega_a(F) := -\partial_y + \frac{1}{2}(a - \frac{1}{2})y^{-1}$$

with $\partial_y := \frac{d}{dy}$. It is not difficult to verify that these operators indeed act on the space $\mathbf{V}_j (j = 1, 2)$, and define infinite dimensional representations of \mathfrak{sl}_2 .

The representation ω_a is related to the representation ϖ_a introduced in Section 3.1. In particular, when considered with respect to the variable $\omega = z^2 \text{cth}(\kappa) = z^2 \sqrt{\alpha\beta}$ we verify the following relations

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \varpi_a(H) &= \omega_{a-\frac{1}{2}}(H) \\ \varpi_a(E) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}} \omega_{a-\frac{1}{2}}(E) \\ \varpi_a(F) &= \sqrt{\alpha\beta} \omega_{a-\frac{1}{2}}(F). \end{aligned}$$

Let $(p, q, r, C) \in \mathbb{R}^4$. Define a second order element $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}(p, q, r; C) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and a constant $\lambda_a = \lambda_a(p, q, r)$ depending on the representation ω_a as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{K}(p, q, r; C) &:= \left[\frac{1}{2}H - E + p \right] (F + q) + r \left[H - \frac{1}{2} \right] + C, \\ \lambda_a(p, q, r) &:= q \left(\frac{1}{2}a + p \right) + r \left(a - \frac{1}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Noticing $y^{-\frac{1}{2}(a-\frac{1}{2})} y \partial_y y^{\frac{1}{2}(a-\frac{1}{2})} = y \partial_y + \frac{1}{2}(a - \frac{1}{2})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{y^{-\frac{1}{2}(a-\frac{1}{2})} \omega_a(\mathbb{K}(p, q, r; C)) y^{\frac{1}{2}(a-\frac{1}{2})}}{y(y-1)} \\ &= \frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \left\{ -q + \frac{\frac{1}{2}a + p}{y} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}a + 2r - p}{y-1} \right\} \frac{d}{dy} + \frac{-pqy + \lambda_a(p, q, r) + C}{y(y-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by choosing suitable parameters $(p, q, r; C)$ we define from $\mathbb{K}(p, q, r; C)$ two second order elements \mathcal{K} and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ that capture the Hamiltonian $H_{\text{Rabi}\varepsilon}$ of the AQRM.

Let λ be an eigenvalue of H_{Rabi}^η . Setting $\delta = -(E + g^2 - \eta)$, $\delta' = \delta - 2\eta + 1$ and $\mu = (E + g^2)^2 - 4g^2(E + g^2) - \Delta^2$. Then, by defining

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K} &:= \mathbb{K}\left(1 + \frac{\delta}{2}, 4g^2, \frac{\delta'}{2}; \mu + 4\eta g^2 - \eta^2\right) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2), \\ \Lambda_\delta &:= \lambda_\delta\left(1 + \frac{\delta}{2}, 4g^2, \frac{\delta'}{2}\right),\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$(25) \quad y(y-1)\mathcal{H}_1^\eta(E) = y^{-\frac{1}{2}(\delta-\frac{1}{2})}(\omega_\delta(\mathcal{K}) - \Lambda_\delta)y^{\frac{1}{2}(\delta-\frac{1}{2})}.$$

Similarly, by defining

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{K}} &:= \mathbb{K}\left(-1 + \frac{\delta'}{2}, 4g^2, \frac{\delta}{2}; \mu - 4\eta g^2 - \eta^2\right) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2), \\ \tilde{\Lambda}_{\delta'} &:= \lambda_{\delta'}\left(-1 + \frac{\delta'}{2}, 4g^2, \frac{\delta}{2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$(26) \quad \bar{y}(\bar{y}-1)\mathcal{H}_2^\eta(E) = \bar{y}^{-\frac{1}{2}(\delta'-\frac{1}{2})}(\omega_{\delta'}(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}) - \tilde{\Lambda}_{\delta'})\bar{y}^{\frac{1}{2}(\delta'-\frac{1}{2})}.$$

Let us briefly describe the structure of the spectrum of the AQRM and the degeneracy picture. For $N \geq 0$, eigenvalues E of the AQRM of the form

$$E = N \pm \eta - g^2,$$

are called exceptional eigenvalues. Exceptional eigenvalues corresponding to polynomial Frobenius solution of the confluent Heun ODE (21) or (22) are called Juddian eigenvalues and the associated solutions are called Juddian solutions. We note here, that the general form of the Juddian eigenfunctions for the AQRM is that of polynomial multiplied by an exponential factor.

The constraint relation for a Juddian eigenvalue $E = N \pm \eta - g^2$ is given by

$$P_N^{(N, \pm \eta)}((2g)^2, \Delta^2) = 0$$

where $P_N^{(N, \pm \eta)}(x, y)$ is the constraint polynomial of the AQRM.

For $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$, define the polynomials $P_i^{(N, \eta)}(x, y)$ by the three-term recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned}P_0^{(N, \eta)}(x, y) &= 1, \\ P_1^{(N, \eta)}(x, y) &= x + y - 1 - 2\eta, \\ P_k^{(N, \eta)}(x, y) &= (kx + y - k(k + 2\eta))P_{k-1}^{(N, \eta)}(x, y) - k(k-1)(N-k+1)xP_{k-2}^{(N, \eta)}(x, y),\end{aligned}$$

for $k \geq 2$. The constraint polynomial is given by the N -th polynomial in this family.

For $\eta \notin \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, there are no degenerate eigenvalues in the spectrum of the AQRM. The degeneracy of Juddian eigenvalues for the QRM was first shown by Kuš [8]. The presence of degeneracies for the case $\eta = \frac{1}{2}$ was first observed in [10, 11] and proved in [32].

More generally, in the case $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, all Juddian eigenvalues are degenerate and, conversely, all degenerate eigenvalues are given by Juddian eigenvalues. The degeneration of the Juddian eigenvalues is described by the divisibility relation between constraint polynomials

$$(27) \quad P_{N+\ell}^{(N+\ell, -\ell/2)}(x, y) = A_N^\ell(x, y)P_N^{(N, \ell/2)}(x, y)$$

for $N, \ell \geq 0$ and where $A_N^\ell(x, y)$ is a polynomial satisfying $A_N^\ell(x, y) > 0$ for $x, y > 0$. We direct the reader to [6] for a complete description of the degeneracy structure of the AQRM and the proof of the above results.

Curves determined by the constraint polynomials of the AQRM. For reference, let us give some examples of constraint polynomials of the AQRM for small values of k .

Example 5.1. For $k = 2, 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_2^{(N,\eta)}(x, y) &= 2x^2 + 3xy + y^2 - 2(N + 2(1 + 2\eta))x - (5 + 6\eta)y + 4(1 + 3\varepsilon + 2\eta^2), \\ P_3^{(N,\eta)}(x, y) &= 6x^3 + 11x^2y + 6xy^2 + y^3 - 6(2N + 3(1 + 2\eta))x^2 - 2(7 + 6\varepsilon)y^2 \\ &\quad - 2(4N + 17 + 22\eta)xy + 6(2N + 3(1 + 2\eta))(2 + 2\eta)x \\ &\quad + (49 + 4\varepsilon(24 + 11\eta))y - 6(1 + 2\eta)(2 + 2\eta)(3 + 2\eta). \end{aligned}$$

In Figures 5 and 6 we give some examples of the curves determined by the constraint relation

$$P_N^{(N,\varepsilon)}((2g)^2, \Delta^2) = 0,$$

in the (g, Δ) -plane. Note that the curve consist of a number of disconnected curves of elliptic shape.




FIGURE 5. Curves determined by the constraint condition for $\eta = \frac{1}{2}$ and $N = 2, 3$




FIGURE 6. Curves determined by the constraint condition for $\eta = \frac{1}{2}$ for $N = 5$ and detail

The choice of N for the figures corresponds, under the iso-parallel confluence process to the examples given in Section 3.2 for the constraint relations of the η -NCHO (see Proposition 5.3 and the discussion in Section 5.2).

5.2. Iso-parallel confluence process. The confluence process that connects the η -NCHO and the AQRM is based on the standard confluence process that makes the singularity at $\omega = \alpha\beta$ coalesce with the one at $\omega = \infty$ (see e.g. [27, 29]).

Let us begin by considering the generalities of the confluence process. Starting from the standard form (9) of the Heun ODE, by dividing by $\alpha\beta$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{t}\omega(\omega-1)(\omega-\alpha\beta)\Lambda^a(\omega, \partial_\omega)f(\omega) \\ &= \omega(\omega-1)\left(\frac{\omega}{\alpha\beta}-1\right)f''(\omega) + \left((\omega-1)\left(\frac{\omega}{\alpha\beta}-1\right)\bar{C} + \omega\left(\frac{\omega}{\alpha\beta}-1\right)\bar{D} + \omega(\omega-1)\frac{\bar{F}}{\alpha\beta}\right)f'(\omega) \\ & \quad + \left(\bar{A}\frac{\bar{B}}{\alpha\beta}\omega - \frac{\bar{q}_a}{\alpha\beta}\right)f(\omega) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the limit $\alpha\beta \rightarrow \infty$ gives a confluent Heun ODE as long as the limits

$$\lim_{\alpha\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{B}}{\alpha\beta}, \quad \lim_{\alpha\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{F}}{\alpha\beta}, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{q}_a}{\alpha\beta},$$

are finite. In addition, to obtain the particular confluent Heun ODE of the AQRM (see Section 5.1) we require

$$\lim_{\alpha\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{B}}{\alpha\beta} = \lim_{\alpha\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{F}}{\alpha\beta}.$$

In [31] this was achieved by introducing a particular change of variable on the parameters a and ν before making the confluence procedure. Following this idea we introduce the following definition.

Definition 5.1. The *iso-parallel confluence process* of the η -NCHO is the confluence process obtained by introducing the change of variables

$$(28) \quad a \mapsto a + p, \quad \nu \mapsto \nu + p$$

in the Heun ODE determined by (6), or (8), and taking $\alpha\beta \mapsto \infty$. In addition, we require that

$$p = \alpha\beta r + o(\alpha\beta),$$

and

$$k = \alpha\beta \left| \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta} \right| + o(\alpha\beta)$$

for parameters $k, r \in \mathbb{C}$.

Note that when considering $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ of the form

$$\lambda = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta-1)}}{\alpha+\beta} \left(L + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta\right),$$

that is, with $\nu = L + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta$, the transformation (28) is replaced by

$$a \mapsto a + p, \quad L \mapsto L + p.$$

Under the iso-parallel confluence process the parameters a and ν , after the change of variable, go to infinity along with $\alpha\beta$ in a parallel way, explaining the name given to the process. The parameters k and r control the asymptotic growth of the parameters α, β under the confluence process and are determined later to give the appropriate confluent Heun ODE.

Therefore, with the iso-parallel confluence process we have

$$\bar{B} \mapsto \bar{B} + p, \quad \bar{F} \mapsto \bar{F} + p,$$

and we notice that there are no change on the other parameters. Then according to the asymptotics we must have

$$\lim_{\alpha\beta \rightarrow \infty} (\bar{B} + p)(\alpha\beta)^{-1} = \lim_{\alpha\beta \rightarrow \infty} (\bar{F} + p)(\alpha\beta)^{-1} = r.$$

Now, let us consider the condition on the accessory parameter \bar{q}_a , that is

$$\lim_{\alpha\beta \rightarrow \infty} \left[-\left(a - \frac{1}{2} - \nu\right)^2 + (2\eta)^2 + (\epsilon(\nu + p))^2 \right] \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}\right) - \frac{2}{\alpha\beta} \left(a + p - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(a - \frac{1}{2} - \nu + 2\eta\right),$$

using the asymptotic condition for k we obtain

$$-(2A)^2 + (2\eta)^2 + k^2 r^2 - 4rA - 4r\eta,$$

so the limit is finite. The resulting is the confluent Heun differential equation is

$$(29) \quad \left[\frac{d^2}{d\omega^2} + \left(-r + \frac{1 + A + \eta}{\omega} + \frac{A - \eta}{\omega - 1} \right) \frac{d}{d\omega} + \frac{-r(A + \eta)\omega - (2A)^2 + (2\eta)^2 - 4rA + (rk)^2 - 4r\eta}{\omega(\omega - 1)} \right] \varphi = 0.$$

The same considerations, for the Heun ODE given by $\bar{\Lambda}^a(\omega, \partial_\omega)\phi = 0$ results in confluent Heun ODE

$$(30) \quad \left[\frac{d^2}{d\omega^2} + \left(-r + \frac{A + \eta}{\omega} + \frac{1 + A - \eta}{\omega - 1} \right) \frac{d}{d\omega} + \frac{-r(A + 1 + \eta)\omega - (2A)^2 + (2\eta)^2 - 4rA + (rk)^2 - 4r\eta}{\omega(\omega - 1)} \right] \varphi = 0.$$

Summarizing the discussion, we have the following result.

Proposition 5.2. *For the Heun ODE determined by (6) corresponding to the eigenvalue problem of the η -NCHO, the confluence of the singular points $\omega = \alpha\beta$ and $\omega = \infty$ given by the iso-parallel confluence process (given in Definition 5.1) results in the confluent Heun ODE (29). Then, the changes of variable*

$$r = 4g^2 \quad A = -(E + g^2),$$

and

$$k^2 = \frac{5\{(E + g^2)^2 - 4g^2(E + g^2) + 4g^2(\eta - \eta^2)\} - \Delta^2}{(4g^2)^2}$$

gives the ODE picture (21) of the AQRM.

Similarly, the iso-parallel confluence process to the Heun ODE equation determined by (8) corresponding to the twisted η -NCHO gives the confluent Heun ODE equation (22) of the AQRM. The change of variable is the same as the case above with the addition of

$$\eta \mapsto -\eta.$$

□

To finish this section we discuss the effect of the iso-parallel confluence process on eigenvalues of Σ_0 and the degenerate solutions of the AQRM.

First, let us clearly state the relation between the parameters of the Heun ODE of the η -NCHO and those of the confluent ODE of the AQRM. Recall that $A = \frac{1}{4}(-1 - 2\nu + 2a)$. In the confluence process for equation (6) we have the change of variable

$$\bar{A} = A + \eta \mapsto -(E + g^2 - \eta)$$

and in the confluence process for (8) we use the change of variable

$$\bar{A} = A + \eta \mapsto -(E + g^2) - \eta = -(E + g^2 - \eta) - 2\eta$$

where in both cases $\alpha = -(E + g^2 - \eta)$ is the parameter appearing in (21) and (22). Note that in particular, the change of variable is natural with respect to the ‘‘shifted’’ parameter \bar{A} .

Moreover, suppose that we have an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ of the form

$$\lambda = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta - 1)}}{\alpha + \beta} \left(L + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta\right)$$

for some $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and with a chosen according to parity. Then, under the confluent process and according to the relation of the parameters A described above we have for the Heun ODE (6) that the corresponding eigenvalue in the AQRM confluent Heun ODE picture is given by

$$(31) \quad E = \frac{1}{2}(L + 1 - a) - g^2 + \eta.$$

Note in particular that the eigenvalues in Σ_0 associated to the parameters $L = 2M$ and $L = 2M + 1$ for $M \geq 1$ under the confluence process correspond to the same Juddian eigenvalue

$$E = M + 1 - g^2 + \eta$$

Similarly, for the (8) the corresponding eigenvalue for the AQRM confluent Heun ODE picture is given by

$$E = \frac{1}{2}(L + 1 - a) - g^2 - \eta.$$

Note that in both formulas for E above, the parameter a corresponds to the initial value of a before the confluence process and it is determined by the parity of L .

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then for $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the eigenvalues $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ of $Q^{(\eta)}$ of the form*

$$\lambda = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta - 1)}}{\alpha + \beta} \left(L + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta\right)$$

and the eigenvalue $\bar{\lambda} \in \Sigma_0$ of $\mathbf{K}Q^{(\eta)}\mathbf{K}$ of the form

$$\bar{\lambda} = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta - 1)}}{\alpha + \beta} \left(L + 4\eta + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta\right)$$

correspond under the confluence process given in Proposition 5.2 to the degenerate Juddian eigenvalue

$$E = \frac{1}{2}(L + 1 - a) - g^2 + \eta,$$

where $a = 1$ for L even and $a = 2$ for L odd. □

It may appear that four potential eigenfunctions corresponding to eigenvalues in Σ_0 (in fact, due to the possibility of degeneracy we have up to 8 eigenfunctions, see Section 4) coalesce onto two Juddian solutions under the confluent process.

As a first step to clarify this situation, suppose that there is a non-polynomial holomorphic solution $Hp(\omega)$ (given by certain Hermite polynomial) corresponding to an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$. Direct computation from the three-term recurrence relation of $Hp(\omega)$ shows that the solution vanishes under the iso-parallel confluence process, so that $Hp(\omega)$ converges to the trivial constant 0 solutions.

In the next section we study the constraint polynomials under the confluence process to further clarify relation between eigenvalues $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ of the η -NCHO and the Juddian eigenvalues of AQRM.

Remark 5.1. Let us return to the relation given in (24) between the representations ϖ_a and ω_a in light of the confluence process described in this section. Setting $\delta = -(E + g^2 - \eta)$ and with a determined by (31), we have up to constants, the equivalence between the representations

$$\varpi_{\frac{a-L}{2}} = \varpi_{\delta + \frac{1}{2}} \leftrightarrow \omega_\delta.$$

6. EXTENDED DISCUSSION ON THE CONFLUENCE PICTURE

6.1. Polynomial solutions for η -NCHO and AQRM. In this section we investigate the relation between the polynomial eigenfunctions corresponding to $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ and the Juddian solutions of the AQRM with more detail. In particular, we see the effect of the iso-parallel confluence process on the natural counterparts of the constraint polynomials for the η -NCHO.

We notice that in Proposition 5.2 there are five parameters involved in the changes of variables for the iso-parallel confluence process to result in a valid confluent Heun ODE picture of an AQRM. These parameters are the shift parameter η , the iso-parallel confluence parameters (r, k) and the AQRM parameters (g, Δ) .

In the following discussion, we fix the parameter η and $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and consider an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ of the form

$$\lambda = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta - 1)}}{\alpha + \beta} \left(L + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta \right)$$

For simplicity we set N such that $L = 2N$ or $L = 2N + 1$.

Let us then assume that we start with g fixed. Since in Proposition 5.2 we see that $r = (2g)^2$ this is equivalent to fixing r . Then, noting that we have the change of variable $A = -(E + g^2)$ the value of k is given by

$$(32) \quad k^2 = \frac{5\{(E + g^2)^2 - 4g^2(E + g^2) + 4g^2(\eta - \eta^2)\} - \Delta^2}{(4g^2)^2},$$

and therefore for arbitrary $\Delta > 0$, k is completely determined (up to sign) by the eigenvalue E of the AQRM.

Proposition 6.1. *With the notation of 3.5. Suppose that η and g are fixed and let $p(\omega; \alpha, \beta)$ be a polynomial solution corresponding to and eigenvalue $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ of the form*

$$\lambda = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta - 1)}}{\alpha + \beta} \left(L + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta \right).$$

Then, under the iso-parallel confluence procedure, if the parameters r and Δ satisfy the condition

$$k^2 = \frac{5\{(E + g^2)^2 - 4g^2(E + g^2) + 4g^2(\eta - \eta^2)\} - \Delta^2}{(4g^2)^2},$$

the eigenfunction $p(\omega; \alpha, \beta)$ converges to a non-zero Juddian solution of the AQRM denoted by $p(\infty : (2g)^2, \Delta^2)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $E = N - g^2 + \eta$

Let us consider now the complementary situation, where we start from a fixed g , Δ and η , that is, an specific instance of the AQRM and we consider the conditions for the existence of parameters r, k for a such that an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ of η -NCHO descends into a Juddian eigenvalue $E = N - g^2 + \eta$.

Let us consider the constraint condition (15) for an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ of the form (10) as in Theorem 3.5. Let us write the coefficients of the matrix in terms of the variables α, β , concretely,

$$c_i^{(L)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}} \left[\left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta} \right)^2 \frac{(2L + 1 + 4\eta)^2(\alpha\beta - 1)}{4} + (i - L) \{ (L - i + 4\eta)\alpha\beta - (L + 1 + i + 4\eta) \} \right],$$

and we note that $d_i^{(L)}$ and $f_i^{(L)}$ do not depend on α and β .

First, we write the constraint condition (15) into a three-term recurrence relation by the expansion of the continuant. Namely, setting $\rho \in \{0, 1\}$ according to $L \equiv \rho \pmod{2}$, define the

continuant $R_k^{(L,\eta,a,\rho)}(\alpha, \beta)$

$$R_k^{(L,\eta,a)}(\alpha, \beta) := \det \operatorname{tridiag} \begin{bmatrix} c_{L-2i}^{(L)} & d_{L-2i-1}^{(L)} \\ f_{L-2i-1}^{(L)} & \end{bmatrix}_{1 \leq i \leq k},$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{L-\rho}{2}$. Then, the constraint condition is given by

$$R_{\frac{L-\rho}{2}}^{(L,\eta,a)}(\alpha, \beta) = 0$$

and the three-term recurrence relation

$$R_k^{(L,\eta,a)}(\alpha, \beta) = c_{L-2k}^{(L)} R_{k-1}^{(L,\eta,a,\rho)}(\alpha, \beta) - d_{L-2k}^{(L)} f_{L-2k}^{(L)} R_{k-2}^{(L,\eta,a,\rho)}(\alpha, \beta).$$

holds. Next, to eliminate the square root we introduce the normalized continuant $q_k^{(L,\eta,a)}(\alpha, \beta)$ by setting

$$q_k^{(L,\eta,a)}(\alpha, \beta) := \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^k (\alpha\beta)^{-\frac{k}{2}} R_k^{(L,\eta,a)}(\alpha, \beta).$$

Explicitly, we have $q_i^{(L,\eta,a,\rho)}(\alpha, \beta) = 1$ and

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} q_i^{(L,\eta,a)}(\alpha, \beta) &= \frac{1}{4} \tilde{c}_{L-2i}^{(L)} q_{i-1}^{(L,\eta,a)}(\alpha, \beta) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} (\alpha\beta)^{-1} (L-2i+a)(L-2i-a+3)i(i-1) q_{i-2}^{(L,\eta,a)}(\alpha, \beta), \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{L-\rho}{2}$ where

$$\tilde{c}_{L-2i}^{(L)} = \frac{\alpha\beta - 1}{4\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta} \right)^2 (2L + 1 + 4\eta)^2 - 2i \left\{ 2(i + 2\eta) - \frac{(2L - 2i + 1 + 4\eta)}{\alpha\beta} \right\}.$$

The reason to consider the rational functions $q_k^{(L,\eta,a)}(\alpha, \beta)$ instead of a polynomial (as in the case of the AQRМ) is that it is better suited to the application of the iso-parallel confluence. Recall that in this case, the change of variable in the the iso-parallel confluence process is given by

$$a \mapsto a + p, \quad L \mapsto L + p.$$

Theorem 6.2. *Suppose $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ with*

$$\lambda = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta - 1)}}{\alpha + \beta} \left(L + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta \right),$$

for some integer $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The corresponding rational functions $q_i^{(L,\eta,a)}(\alpha, \beta)$ defined in (33) gives the constraint condition of λ by

$$q_N^{(L,\eta,a)}(\alpha, \beta) = 0.$$

Under the iso-parallel confluence process (with $L \mapsto L + p$) with the change of variable

$$(34) \quad r = (2g)^2, \quad k = \frac{\Delta}{2g^2}$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{\alpha\beta \rightarrow \infty} q_i^{(L,\eta,a)}(\alpha, \beta) = P_i^{(N,\eta)}((2g)^2, \Delta^2)$$

with $N = \frac{1}{2}(L + 1 + a) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

In particular, suppose that the parameters g, Δ satisfy the constraint condition for the eigenvalue $E = N - g^2 + \eta$, that is,

$$P_N^{(N,\eta)}((2g)^2, \Delta^2) = 0$$

then if the parameters r, k are given as in (34) and they satisfy the compatibility condition

$$(35) \quad N(4g^2) + \Delta^2 - N(N + 2\eta) = 0,$$

then the eigenvalue E comes from an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ for some values of α and β .

Proof. Denote by $p_i^{(L,\eta,a)}(r, k)$ the result of applying the iso-parallel confluence process to $q_i^{(L,\eta,a)}(\alpha, \beta)$, that is,

$$p_i^{(L,\eta,a)}(r, k) := \lim_{\alpha\beta \rightarrow \infty} q_i^{(L,\eta,a)}(\alpha, \beta),$$

then we have the recurrence relation:

$$\begin{aligned} p_i^{(L,\eta,a)}(r, k) &= \frac{1}{4} \{k^2 r^2 - 4i(i + 2\eta - r)\} p_{i-1}^{(L,\eta,a)}(r, k) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} r(L - 2i - a + 3) i(i - 1) p_{i-2}^{(L,\eta,a)}(r, k) \\ &= \left\{ ir + \frac{k^2 r^2}{4} - i(i + 2\eta) \right\} p_{i-1}^{(L,\eta,a)}(r, k) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} i(i - 1)(L - 2i - a + 3) r p_{i-2}^{(L,\eta,a)}(r, k). \end{aligned}$$

Note that, by taking the change of variable $r = (2g)^2$ and taking $\frac{k^2 r^2}{4} = \Delta^2$, that is,

$$(36) \quad k = \frac{\Delta}{2g^2},$$

we obtain the desired result by verifying that the resulting expression is identical with constraint polynomial corresponding to the Juddian eigenvalue $E = \frac{1}{2}(L + a + 1) - g^2 + \eta$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_i^{(L,\eta)}((2g)^2, \Delta^2) &= (i(2g)^2 + \Delta^2 - i(i + 2\eta)) P_{i-1}^{(L,\eta)}((2g)^2, \Delta^2) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} i(i - 1)(L - 2i - a + 3)(2g)^2 P_{i-2}^{(L,\eta)}((2g)^2, \Delta^2). \end{aligned}$$

The compatibility condition is required since the parameters must satisfy the changes of variables of Theorem 6.2 and the ones given in this proposition. \square

Note that the additional constraint relation (35) is exhibited only via the confluence process between the η -NCHO and the AQRM. Also, we note that if the parameters (g, Δ, η) are fixed, then L may at most take 2 values, since (35) is a quadratic equation on L .

Remark 6.1. With the notation of Theorem 6.2 and proceeding in a similar way we verify that under the iso-parallel confluence process we have

$$\widetilde{M}_{L+4\eta}^{(a)}(\alpha, \beta, -\eta) \rightarrow P_{N+2\eta}^{(N+2\eta, -\eta)}(4g^2, \Delta^2)$$

with constraint

$$(37) \quad N(4g^2) + \Delta^2 - N(N + 2\eta) = 0,$$

Therefore, even though we have the divisibility relation (27) for constraint polynomials, we see that (35) and (37) are not compatible unless $g = 0$. Thus for $2\eta \in \mathbb{Z}$, the constraints relations (35) and (37) are consistent only for $g = 0$. The reason for this is because of the definition of k as $k = \Delta/2g^2$. Taking the definition of k by the formula (32) which depends on the eigenvalues, then we have the realization of the degenerate eigenvalues of the AQRM from η -NCHO.

Remark 6.2. Let us assume that there is a polynomial solution of η -NCHO. By Proposition 5.1., if $r = 4g^2$ and $A = -(N + \eta)$, then as far as the constraint relation

$$(4g^2 k)^2 = 5N^2 + 10\eta N - 20g^2 N - \Delta^2$$

holds for the variables k and Δ , there is a (non-zero) Juddian solution of the AQRM (for (g, Δ, η)) corresponding the eigenvalue $E = N - g^2 + \eta$ and is obtained from the polynomial solution of the η -NCHO. This can be verified directly from observing the recurrence relation (at $w = 0$) of the polynomial solution of the Heun ODE for η -NCHO.

Moreover, we note that

- (1) A priori, the recurrence relation of the constraint polynomial of AQRM defined for a given (g, Δ, η) does not necessarily takes the form given in Theorem 6.2.

- (2) Both Proposition 5.2 and Theorem 6.2 hold for any representation parameter a i.e. for any η -NCHO of type a (determined by $\varpi_a(S)\varphi = 0$).

We are now in the position to clarify the situation regarding the eigenvalues $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ and the associated eigenfunctions under the confluence process.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $\eta \neq 0$. Let us suppose that $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ of the form*

$$\lambda = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta - 1)}}{\alpha + \beta} \left(L + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta\right),$$

with $L = 2N + \rho$ (with the notation of Theorem 3.5) that is, it satisfies the constraint relation

$$\det(\mathbf{M}_L^{(a)}(\alpha, \beta; \eta)) = 0.$$

Then, under the iso-parallel confluence λ coalesces to the eigenvalue

$$E = N - g^2 + \eta$$

and it is the only eigenvalue $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ that has this property.

Proof. According to Proposition 5.3 it is enough to verify that eigenvalue $\lambda_1 \in \Sigma_0$ of the form

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta - 1)}}{\alpha + \beta} \left(L + 4\eta + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta\right),$$

does not correspond to the eigenvalue E under the confluence process. However, it is clear that the parameter $L + 4\eta$ does not satisfy the constraint (35) if L satisfies it $\eta = 0$. \square

In this section, by means of the iso-parallel confluence process we have shown a direct relation between the eigenvalues of finite type Σ_0 of the η -NCHO and the Juddian eigenvalues, and more generally the exceptional eigenvalues, of the AQRM. In the current study we do not cover the case of other type of eigenvalues for the two models and their relation, but it may be expected that a similar relation holds between the two (see e.g. Remark 6.3 and 6.4 below).

Remark 6.3. A reason to expect that the spectrum of the AQRM is (at least partially) determined by the covering given by the η -NCHO and the iso-parallel confluence process is given by the (generalized) adiabatic approximation of the spectrum of the AQRM studied in [12]. The adiabatic approximation of the spectrum of the QRM is obtained by considering the exact solutions of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions (expressed in terms of Laguerre polynomials) for the case $\Delta \rightarrow 0$. The adiabatic approximation is useful for certain parameter regimes of the QRM used in applications, however it is not appropriate for parameter regimes with $g \gg 1$.

For $\eta = \frac{\ell}{2} \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, a generalization of the adiabatic approximation was proposed in [12] by replacing the Laguerre polynomials in the adiabatic approximation by the constraint polynomials of the (A)QRM. Concretely, the energy curves of the GAA are given by

$$E_{N,\pm}^{\ell} = N + \frac{\ell}{2} - g^2 \pm \frac{(-1)^{N+\ell} (2g^2)^{\ell} \Delta}{2(N!)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{(N+\ell)!}} \exp(-2g^2) P_N^{(N, \frac{\ell}{2})}((2g)^2, \Delta^2).$$

The GAA provides an improved agreement with the exact values than the AA and, in particular, the degenerate points of the GAA coincide with the exact values of the spectrum (see also the comments in Remark 5.1 in [26] for a possible refinement). In particular, the general features of the spectrum for a wide range of parameter regimes is captured by the GAA, that is, by the constraint polynomials and the Juddian eigenvalues.

Remark 6.4. The fact that the Juddian eigenvalues determine the shape of the spectral curves appears in the studies of the hidden symmetry of the AQRM in the case $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ (see [24, 26]). For $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the symmetry operator $J_{2\eta}$ of the AQRM satisfies

$$J_{2\eta}^2 = p_{2\eta}(H_{\eta}; g, \Delta),$$

for a polynomial $p_{2\eta}(x; g, \Delta)$ (see also Remark 6.5 below). The polynomials $p_\ell(x; g, \Delta)$ are not explicitly known, however it is conjectured (see [26]) that

$$p_\ell(N + \frac{\ell}{2} - g^2; g, \Delta) = A_N^\ell((2g)^2, \Delta^2),$$

holds for $\ell, N \geq 0$ and $\eta = \frac{\ell}{2}$, where $A_N^\ell((2g)^2, \Delta^2)$ is a polynomial given by the quotient of the constraint polynomials for Juddian eigenvalues of the AQRM (see Section 5.1). This conjecture has been verified numerically for a number of values of $\ell, N \geq 0$. Moreover, it is also conjectured that the curves

$$(38) \quad p_{2\eta}(x; g, \Delta) = 0$$

give an excellent approximation of the shape of the first 2η eigenvalues as shown in Figure 7 (see [26] for more examples and discussion).




FIGURE 7. Spectral curves (grey) and curves defined by the determinant expressions (38) (red) for $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}$ and $2\eta = 6$.

6.2. Comparison between the η -NCHO and AQRM. As we have shown, the η -NCHO and the AQRM are related by the iso-parallel confluence process and due to this we may consider the η -NCHO as a cover (or lifting) of the AQRM. In this section we summarize and compare some of the properties of the two models. The main differences are collected in Table 3.

TABLE 3. Comparison between the η -NCHO and AQRM

	η -NCHO		AQRM	
	$\eta \notin \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$	$\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$	$\eta \notin \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$	$\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$
Type		$\lambda \in \Sigma_0$	Exceptional eigenvalues	
Form		$\lambda = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta-1)}}{\alpha+\beta} (L + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta)$	$E = N + \eta - g^2$	
Multiplicity	1	2	1	1 or 2
Eigenfunction	one polynomial or one Heun polynomial ^a	one polynomial and one Heun polynomial	one Juddian ^b or one non-Juddian exceptional ^c	one non-Juddian exceptional or two Juddian
Degeneracy		same parity		different parity ^d

^a Here, Heun polynomial does not include usual polynomials.

^b Juddian solutions, or quasi-exact, are given by the product of a polynomial and an exponential factor (cf. (23)).

^c Non-Juddian exceptional solutions are eigenfunctions that are not Juddian, that is, they are given by the product of an infinite power series and an exponential factor (cf. (23)).

^d See Remark 6.5 for the definition of parity in the case $\eta = 0$.

First, as mentioned before, it is important to emphasize that the η -shift term of the η -NCHO does not break the \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry of the NCHO. In fact, the parity operator $\mathbf{I}_2\mathcal{P}$ commutes with

$Q^{(\eta)}$ for any η . This is in contrast with the AQRM, where the \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry operator $J_0 = \sigma_z \mathcal{P}$ of the QRM does not commute with the Hamiltonian of the AQRM except for QRM case (i.e. $\eta = 0$).

For the AQRM, the symmetry breaking effect of the bias term $\eta\sigma_x$ makes the spectrum multiplicity free for general η , except for the case $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ where a hidden symmetry operator $J_{2\eta}$ is known to exist. In the $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ case, the exceptional eigenvalues of the AQRM, that is, eigenvalues of the form

$$E = N + \eta - g^2$$

for $N \geq 0$ are shown to be either degenerate with multiplicity 2 or multiplicity 1. The degenerate case always occurs between two Juddian (or quasi-exact) solutions (see also remark 6.5). Conversely, any degeneration of the spectrum of the AQRM is of this type. In the case where the exceptional eigenvalue is not degenerate, it always consist of a non-Juddian exceptional solution. The Juddian and non-Juddian exceptional solutions of the AQRM may be considered the equivalent of the polynomial eigenfunctions for eigenvalues in Σ_0 and Heun polynomial holomorphic (i.e. not usual polynomials) eigenfunctions for eigenvalues in $\Sigma_0 \cap \Sigma_\infty$, respectively. For the proof of these results and an extended discussion of the properties of the spectrum of the AQRM, we refer the reader to [6].

In the case of the η -NCHO, the eigenvalues $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ are of the form

$$\lambda = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta - 1)}}{\alpha + \beta} \left(L + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta\right),$$

for $L \geq 0$. In the general case, the eigenvalues of the η -NCHO of this form have multiplicity 1 and the corresponding eigenfunction is either polynomial or a Heun polynomial. However, when $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ the eigenvalues $\lambda \in \Sigma_0$ are degenerate with multiplicity 2 and the eigenfunctions consist of a polynomial and a Heun polynomial. In this way, despite the differences with respect to their corresponding symmetry operators, the situations of the η -NCHO and the AQRM are similar. We also note that the η -NCHO may have a different type of spectral degeneration (of multiplicity 2) for $\lambda \in \Sigma_\infty^+ \cap \Sigma_\infty^-$ (see Remark 4.3) that does not have an analog on the spectrum of the AQRM.

It is important to notice that for the case $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, under the iso-parallel confluence process, the eigenvalues of the η -NCHO that descend into a degenerate Juddian eigenvalue have the same parity but correspond to different eigenvalue problems of $Q^{(\eta)}$ and $\mathbf{K}Q^{(\eta)}\mathbf{K}$. Further, by Proposition 5.2, the confluent ODE picture given by the iso-parallel confluence process for the Heun picture of $Q^{(\eta)}$ (resp. $\mathbf{K}Q^{(\eta)}\mathbf{K}$) gives the development of the AQRM eigenvalue problem at the singularity $-g$ (resp. g), that is, the confluent Heun ODE (21) (resp. (22)). In addition, the eigenfunctions that remain under the confluence process are those that correspond to polynomial solutions in the η -NCHO and the Heun polynomials eigenfunctions vanish. In this sense, the hidden symmetry of the AQRM may be interpreted by the fact that $\text{Spec}(Q^{(\eta)}) = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{K}Q^{(\eta)}\mathbf{K})$ and the fact that $Q^{(\eta)}$ is parity invariant.

Remark 6.5. The parity (or \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry) in the case of QRM is well-defined and is based on the existence of the involution $\mathcal{P}\sigma_x$ commuting with the QRM Hamiltonian. In contrast, when $0 \neq 2\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the commuting operator $J_{2\eta}$ is not an involution. In fact, we verify that

$$(39) \quad J_{2\eta}^2 = p_{2\eta}(H_\eta; g, \Delta),$$

for a non-zero polynomial $p_{2\eta}(x; g, \Delta)$ and where H_η is the AQRM Hamiltonian. If the kernel of the operator $J_{2\eta}$ is trivial, or equivalently, if the polynomial $p_{2\eta}(x; g, \Delta)$ does not vanish for $x = \lambda \in \text{Spec}(H^{(\eta)})$ it is possible to introduce a well-defined parity for this case (i.e. we may define an involution by a suitable normalization of $J_{2\eta}$). While it is conjectured that this is the case, a proof of this fact has not been obtained. It is also worth mentioning that the hyperelliptic curves associated to (39), that is,

$$y^2 = p_{2\eta}(x; g, \Delta),$$

have interesting properties (see e.g. 6.4 above) and also introduce a new algebro-geometric picture of the spectrum. For an extended discussion and partial results we refer the reader to [24, 26].

APPENDIX A. HEUN AND CONFLUENT HEUN DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Let us recall the basic notions and notations for Heun and confluent Heun differential equations. In general, we follow standard notations (see e.g. [27, 29]).

The general confluent Heun differential equation (ODE) is of the form

$$(40) \quad \frac{d^2 y}{dz^2} + \left(\frac{\gamma}{z} + \frac{\delta}{z-1} + \frac{\epsilon}{z-a} \right) \frac{dy}{dz} + \frac{\alpha\beta z - q}{z(z-1)(z-a)} y = 0,$$

for $a \neq 0, 1$ and parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon, q \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$\gamma + \delta + \epsilon = \alpha + \beta + 1.$$

The differential equation (40) is of Fuchsian type with regular singularities at $z = 0, 1, a, \infty$ with exponents

$$\{0, 1 - \gamma, \}, \quad \{0, 1 - \delta\}, \quad \{0, 1 - \epsilon\}, \quad \{\alpha, \beta\},$$

respectively. The information of the singularities is summarized in the Riemann scheme given by

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 0 & 1 & t & \infty & ; z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha & ; q \\ 1 - \gamma & 1 - \delta & 1 - \epsilon & \beta & \end{array} \right).$$

The solutions of the Heun ODE are given by the Heun functions, defined in regions containing two singularities and some care must be taken to ensure single valuedness. In this paper however we only consider the solutions given by Heun polynomials

$$Hp(z) = z^{\sigma_1} (z-1)^{\sigma_2} (z-a)^{\sigma_3} p(z),$$

for some polynomial p in z . In general we will consider only the solutions of type I, where $\sigma_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, where a necessary condition for the existence of these polynomials is that $\alpha = -N$ for $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. An additional consistency condition must be added to ensure the existence of solutions.

The general confluent Heun ODE with regular singularities at $z = 0, 1$ is given by

$$(41) \quad \frac{d^2 y}{dz^2} + \left(4p + \frac{\gamma}{z} + \frac{\delta}{z-1} \right) \frac{dy}{dz} + \frac{4p\alpha z - \sigma}{z(z-1)} y = 0,$$

with parameters $\gamma, \delta, p, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and accessory parameter $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$.

The generalized Riemann scheme of the confluent Heun differential equation is given as follows

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 2 & \\ 0 & 1 & \infty & ; z \\ 1 - \gamma & 1 - \delta & \gamma + \delta - \alpha & ; -\sigma \\ & & 0 & \\ & & 4p & \end{array} \right).$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No.20K03560, JST CREST JPMJCR14D6 and CREST JPMJCR2113, Japan.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Braak: *Integrability of the Rabi Model*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107** (2011), 100401.
- [2] D. Braak: *Analytical solutions of basic models in quantum optics*, in “Applications + Practical Conceptualization + Mathematics = fruitful Innovation, Proceedings of the Forum of Mathematics for Industry 2014” eds. R. Anderssen, et al., 75-92, Mathematics for Industry **11**, Springer, 2016.
- [3] D. Braak, Q.H. Chen, M.T. Batchelor and E. Solano: *Semi-classical and quantum Rabi models: in celebration of 80 years*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **49** (2016), 300301.
- [4] J.S. Caux and J. Mossel: *Remarks on the notion of quantum integrability*, J. Stat. Mech., (2011), 02023.
- [5] E.T. Jaynes and F.W. Cummings: *Comparison of quantum and semiclassical radiation theories with application to the beam maser*, Proc. IEEE **51** (1963), 89-109.
- [6] K. Kimoto, C. Reyes-Bustos and M. Wakayama: *Determinant expressions of constraint polynomials and degeneracies of the asymmetric quantum Rabi model*. Int. Math. Res. Notices Vol. 2021, Issue **12**, 9458-9544 (2021).
- [7] K. Kimoto and M. Wakayama: *Apéry-like numbers for non-commutative harmonic oscillators and automorphic integrals*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré D, Comb. Phys. Interact. (in Press), arXiv:1905.01775 [math.NT] [math-ph].
- [8] M. Kuś: *On the spectrum of a two-level system*, J. Math. Phys., **26** (1985) 2792–2795.
- [9] T. Ichinose and M. Wakayama: *Zeta functions for the spectrum of the non-commutative harmonic oscillators*, Commun. Math. Phys. **258** (2005), 697–739.
- [10] Z.-M. Li and M.T. Batchelor: *Algebraic equations for the exceptional eigenspectrum of the generalized Rabi model*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **48** (2015), 454005 (13pp).
- [11] Z.-M. Li and M.T. Batchelor: *Addendum to “Algebraic equations for the exceptional eigenspectrum of the generalized Rabi model”*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **49** (2016), 369401 (5pp).
- [12] Z.-M. Li, D. Ferri, D. Tilbrook and M.T. Batchelor: *Generalized adiabatic approximation to the asymmetric quantum Rabi model: conical intersections and geometric phases*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **54** (2021), 405201.
- [13] V. V. Mangazeev, M. T. Batchelor and V. V. Bazhanov: *The hidden symmetry of the asymmetric quantum Rabi model*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **54** (2021), 12LT01.
- [14] K. Nagatou, M. T. Nakao and M. Wakayama: *Verified numerical computations for eigenvalues of non-commutative harmonic oscillators*, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, **23**:5-6 (2002), 633-650
- [15] H. Ochiai: *Non-Commutative Harmonic Oscillators and Fuchsian Ordinary Differential Operators*, Commun. Math. Phys. **217**, 357 - 373 (2001).
- [16] H. Ochiai: *Non-commutative Harmonic Oscillators and the Connection Problem for the Heun Differential Equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **70**, 133-139 (2004).
- [17] A. Parmeggiani: *Spectral Theory of Non-Commutative Harmonic Oscillators: An Introduction*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1992**, Springer, 2010.
- [18] A. Parmeggiani: *Non-Commutative Harmonic Oscillators and Related Problems*, Milan J. Math. **82**, (2014), 343-387.
- [19] A. Parmeggiani, and A. Venni: *On the essential spectrum of certain non-commutative oscillators*, J. Math. Phys. **54**, 2013, 121507.
- [20] A. Parmeggiani, and M. Wakayama: *Oscillator representation and systems of ordinary differential equations*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **98** (2001), 26-30.
- [21] A. Parmeggiani and M. Wakayama: *Non-commutative harmonic oscillators-I*, Forum Math. **14**, 539-604 (2001).
- [22] A. Parmeggiani and M. Wakayama: *Non-commutative harmonic oscillators-II*, Forum Math. **14**, 669-690 (2001).
- [23] I. I. Rabi: *On the process of space quantization*, Phys. Rev. **49** (1936), 324.
- [24] C. Reyes-Bustos, D. Braak and M. Wakayama: *Remarks on the hidden symmetry of the asymmetric quantum Rabi model*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **54** (2021), 285202.
- [25] C. Reyes-Bustos and M. Wakayama: *Heat kernel for the quantum Rabi model II: Propagators and spectral determinants*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **54** (2021), 115202.
- [26] C. Reyes-Bustos and M. Wakayama: *Degeneracy and hidden symmetry for the asymmetric quantum Rabi model with integral bias*, To appear in Commun. Numb. Theor. Phys. 2022.
- [27] A. Ronveaux (ed.): *Heun’s differential equations*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, 1995.
- [28] S. Schweber: *On the application of Bargmann Hilbert spaces to dynamical problems*, Ann. Phys. **41** (1967), 205–229.
- [29] S. Y. Slavyanov and W. Lay: *Special Functions: A Unified Theory Based on Singularities*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [30] S. Sugiyama: *Spectral zeta functions for the quantum Rabi models*, Nagoya Math. J. **229** (2018), 52–98.

- [31] M. Wakayama: *Equivalence between the eigenvalue problem of non-commutative harmonic oscillators and existence of holomorphic solutions of Heun differential equations, eigenstates degeneration and the Rabi model*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, (2016), 759-794.
- [32] M. Wakayama: *Symmetry of asymmetric quantum Rabi models*. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **50** (2017), 174001.
- [33] F. Yoshihara *et al.*: *Superconducting qubit-oscillator circuit beyond the ultrastrong-coupling regime*, Nature Physics **13** (2017), 44.
- [34] F. Yoshihara, T. Fuse, Z. Ao, S. Ashhab, K. Kakuyanagi, S. Saito, T. Aoki, K. Koshino, and K. Semba: *Inversion of Qubit Energy Levels in Qubit-Oscillator Circuits in the Deep-Strong-Coupling Regime*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120** (2018), 183601.

Cid Reyes-Bustos

NTT Institute for Fundamental Mathematics,
NTT Communication Science Laboratories, NTT Corporation
3-9-11, Midori-cho Musashino-shi, Tokyo, 180-8585, Japan
email: reyes.cid.zr@hco.ntt.co.jp, math@cidrb.me

Masato Wakayama

NTT Institute for Fundamental Mathematics,
NTT Communication Science Laboratories, NTT Corporation
3-9-11, Midori-cho Musashino-shi, Tokyo, 180-8585, Japan
and
Institute for Mathematics for Industry, Kyushu University,
744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395 Japan
email: wakayama@imi.kyushu-u.ac.jp, masato.wakayama.hp@hco.ntt.co.jp