

# MAHLER MEASURES AND BEILINSON'S CONJECTURE FOR ELLIPTIC CURVES OVER REAL QUADRATIC FIELDS

ZHENGYU TAO, XUEJUN GUO, AND TAO WEI

ABSTRACT. By a formula of Villegas, the Mahler measures of  $P_k(x, y) = x + 1/x + y + 1/y + k$  can be written as a Kronecker-Eisenstein series, where  $k = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda(2\tau)}}$  and  $\lambda(\tau) = 16 \frac{\eta(\tau/2)^8 \eta(2\tau)^{16}}{\eta(\tau)^{24}}$  is the modular lambda-function. If  $\tau$  is taken to be CM points, we can use Villegas's formula to express the Mahler measures of  $P_k$  as  $L$ -values of some modular forms. We prove that if  $\tau_0$  is a CM point, then  $\lambda(2\tau_0)$  is an algebraic number whose degree can be bounded by the product of the class numbers of  $D_{\tau_0}$  and  $D_{4\tau_0}$ , where  $D_\tau$  stands for the discriminant of a CM point  $\tau$ . Then we use the CM points with class numbers  $\leq 2$  to obtain identities linking the Mahler measures of  $P_k$  to  $L$ -values of modular forms. We get 35 identities, 5 of which are proven results, the others do not seem to appear in the literature. We also verify some cases of Beilinson's conjecture for elliptic curves over real quadratic fields that related to our discovery. Our method might also be used to other polynomial families that mentioned in Villegas's paper.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The (*logarithmic*) Mahler measure of a Laurent polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$  is defined by

$$m(P) = \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \log |P(e^{2\pi i \theta_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \theta_n})| d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_n.$$

There are many connections between Mahler measures of certain polynomial families associated to elliptic curves and special values of their  $L$ -functions. For example, by using Beilinson's regulator map, Deninger [1] conjectured that

$$(1.1) \quad m\left(x + \frac{1}{x} + y + \frac{1}{y} + 1\right) = L'(E_1, 0),$$

where  $E_1$  is the elliptic curve of conductor 15 defined by the projective closure of

$$x + \frac{1}{x} + y + \frac{1}{y} + 1 = 0.$$

Equation (1.1) is the first conjectural formula that connects Mahler measure and  $L$ -function of elliptic curve. Note that  $E_1$  does not have CM. Hence (1.1) is essentially difficult to prove. This conjecture was proved by Rogers and Zudilin [2]. More generally, define the polynomial family

$$P_k(x, y) = x + \frac{1}{x} + y + \frac{1}{y} + k.$$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11G05, 11R06; Secondary 11Y40, 11R70.

*Key words and phrases*. Mahler measure, elliptic curve, Beilinson's conjecture.

The authors is supported by NSFC 11971226 and NSFC 12231009.

When  $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, \pm 4\}$ , one can easily check that the rational transformation

$$(1.2) \quad x = \frac{kX - 2Y}{2X(X-1)}, \quad y = \frac{kX + 2Y}{2X(X-1)}$$

converts the zero locus of  $P_k(x, y) = 0$  to an elliptic curve  $E_k$  with Weierstrass equation

$$(1.3) \quad Y^2 = X^3 + \left(\frac{k^2}{4} - 2\right)X^2 + X.$$

Notice that  $E_k$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if  $k^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ . The inverse of transformation (1.2) is

$$(1.4) \quad X = -\frac{1}{xy}, \quad Y = \frac{(y-x)\left(1 + \frac{1}{xy}\right)}{2xy}.$$

For simplicity, we write  $m(k) = m(P_k)$  in the rest of this paper. Motivated by Deninger's conjecture, Boyd [3] did a lot of numerical calculations and conjectured that for many  $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 4\}$ ,  $m(k)$  is a nonzero rational multiple of  $L'(E_k, 0)$ , i.e.

$$(1.5) \quad m(k) = r_k L'(E_k, 0)$$

for some  $r_k \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ . Boyd listed his conjectural identities in a table of [3], he numerically verified those identities with at least 25 decimal places of precision. The famous Modularity theorem tells us that if  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  is an elliptic curve of conductor  $N$ , then there exists a newform  $f \in \mathcal{S}_2(\Gamma_0(N))$  such that

$$L(E, s) = L(f, s).$$

According to the functional equation satisfied by  $L(E, s)$ , we have

$$L'(E, 0) = \pm \frac{N}{4\pi^2} L(E, 2).$$

Thus we can rewrite (1.5) as

$$(1.6) \quad m(k) = r_k L'(f_N, 0) = r_k \frac{N}{4\pi^2} L(f_N, 2),$$

where  $f_N \in \mathcal{S}_2(\Gamma_0(N))$  is the newform associated to  $E_k$ .

Later, Villegas [4] expressed the Mahler measures as Kronecker-Eisenstein series and used it to prove that

$$(1.7) \quad m(4\sqrt{2}) = L'(E_{4\sqrt{2}}, 0), \quad m(2\sqrt{2}) = L'(E_{2\sqrt{2}}, 0), \quad m(4i) = 2L'(E_{4i}, 0).$$

To illustrate Villegas' approach, recall that the classical Dedekind eta-function is the infinite product

$$\eta(\tau) = e^{\frac{2\pi i\tau}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n),$$

where  $\tau \in \mathcal{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$  and  $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ . Let  $\chi_{-d} = \left(\frac{-d}{\cdot}\right)$  be the real odd Dirichlet character of conductor  $d$  given by the Kronecker-Jacobi symbol  $\left(\frac{\cdot}{d}\right)$ . Define the modular lambda-function  $\lambda(\tau)$  by

$$(1.8) \quad \lambda(\tau) = 16 \frac{\eta(\tau/2)^8 \eta(2\tau)^{16}}{\eta(\tau)^{24}},$$

Villegas proved the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $\tau$  lies in the region  $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{H}$  formed by the geodesic triangle of vertices  $i\infty, 0, 1/2$  and its reflection along the imaginary axis, then we have*

$$(1.9) \quad m(k) = \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{16\operatorname{Im}(\tau)}{\pi^2} \sum'_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\chi_{-4}(n)}{(4m\tau + n)^2(4m\bar{\tau} + n)} \right),$$

where  $k = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda(2\tau)}}$  and  $\sum'_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  means  $(0, 0)$  is excluded from the summation.

By taking  $\tau$  to be some appropriate CM points (i.e. elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  that satisfy quadratic equations with integer coefficients) in (1.9), Villegas proved (1.7).

Although much research has been done, only a few  $k$  with  $k^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$  are rigorously proved to satisfy (1.5). Samart made a comprehensive table of these  $k$ 's in [5], they are

$$k = 1, 3i, 5, 16, i, 3, 2, 3\sqrt{2}, 8, i\sqrt{2}, 4i, 2\sqrt{2}, 2i, 12, \sqrt{2}, 4\sqrt{2}.$$

He also listed the conductors of each  $E_k$ , the rational numbers  $r_k$ , and their references. See [6, 7, 8, 9] for more about this subject.

In [10], Guo, Ji, Liu and Qin proved that

$$(1.10) \quad m(4 + 4\sqrt{2}) = \frac{16\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2} L(f, 2), \quad m(4 - 4\sqrt{2}) = \frac{32\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2} L(g, 2),$$

where

$$f(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n) n q^{8m^2+n^2} = q - q^9 - 6q^{17} + 5q^{25} + 12q^{33} + \dots$$

and

$$g(\tau) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n \equiv 1(2)} \chi_{-4}(n) n q^{n^2+2l^2} = q^3 - 3q^{11} + q^{19} + 2q^{27} + 5q^{43} + \dots$$

are in  $\mathcal{S}_2(\Gamma_0(64))$ . They also verified Beilinson's conjecture for  $E_{4 \pm 4\sqrt{2}}$  by expressing the Beilinson regulator as  $L$ -value of  $E_{4+4\sqrt{2}}$ .

The aim of this paper is to systematically find identities of the form (1.6) that come from CM points and verify the associated Beilinson's conjecture for elliptic curves defined over real quadratic fields as in [10].

In Section 2 we study the function  $\lambda(2\tau)$ . It is well known that  $\lambda(2\tau)$  is a modular function for  $\Gamma_0(4)$  and thus  $\lambda(2\tau) \in \mathbb{Q}(j(\tau), j(4\tau))$ , where  $j$  is the classical modular invariant. Let  $\Phi_4(X, Y)$  be the modular equation for  $\Gamma_0(4)$ . We then prove that if  $\tau_0$  is a CM point that satisfies

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_4}{\partial X}(j(4\tau_0), j(\tau_0)) \neq 0,$$

then  $\lambda(2\tau_0)$  is an algebraic number with degree  $\leq h(D_{\tau_0})h(D_{4\tau_0})$ , where  $D_{\tau_0}$  is the discriminant of  $\tau_0$  and  $h$  stands for the class number. This suggests us that in order to get some algebraic  $k = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda(2\tau_0)}}$  with small degree and express the associated  $m(k)$  as  $L$ -values, we need to search CM points located in  $\mathcal{F}'$  with relatively small  $h(D_{\tau_0})h(D_{4\tau_0})$ . We restrict our attention to those  $k$  with degree  $\leq 4$  in this paper. Our idea is inspired by the work [11] of Huber, Schultz, and Ye, in which they searched CM points to derive many Ramanujan-Sato series for  $1/\pi$  of level 20. We

mention here that our method might also be used to other polynomial families such as

$$x^3 + y^3 + 1 - kxy \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{x^2}{y} - \frac{y}{x} - \frac{1}{xy} - k.$$

One can see [4] for theorems that express their Mahler measures as Kronecker-Eisenstein series.

In Section 3 we first determine all negative discriminants with class number  $\leq 2$ . Then, after performing Algorithm 3.3, a full list (see Table 1) of CM points located in  $\mathcal{F}'$  with  $h(D_{\tau_0})h(D_{4\tau_0}) \leq 4$  and distinct  $\lambda(2\tau_0)$  values is obtained.

In Section 4 we then use CM points in Table 1 together with Theorem 1.1 to express  $m(k)$  as  $L$ -values of modular forms at  $s = 2$ . We calculate the examples  $k = 12 \pm 8\sqrt{2}$  in detail and list other results directly in Table 2. There are 35 identities in Table 2 and we find that 5 of them are already proved, they are (1.7) and (1.10). Among the remaining 30 new results, we also find that 5 pairs of  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  are related to elliptic curves defined over real quadratic fields, they are

$$k = 12 \pm 8\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2} \pm \sqrt{6}, 4\sqrt{2} \pm 4\sqrt{6}, \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2}, 24\sqrt{2} \pm 8\sqrt{14}.$$

In Section 5 we briefly introduce Beilinson's conjecture for curves over number fields. Our references are [12, 13].

In Section 6 we verify Beilinson's conjecture for  $E_{12 \pm 8\sqrt{2}}$ . Since  $E_{12+8\sqrt{2}}$  has CM, we know that it is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve and there must exist an isogeny  $\phi : E_{12+8\sqrt{2}} \rightarrow E_{12-8\sqrt{2}}$ . After constructing this isogeny explicitly, we express the Beilinson regulator of  $M_1 = \{x, y\}, M_2 = \{\phi^*(x), \phi^*(y)\} \in K_2(E_{12+8\sqrt{2}}; \mathbb{Z})$  as the determinant of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix whose entries are Mahler measures for  $P_{12 \pm 8\sqrt{2}}$ . We search in LMFDB [14] and find that the  $L$ -function of  $E_{12+8\sqrt{2}}$  can be written as the product of  $L$ -functions of two cusp forms of level 64 and 32. This fact together with results in Table 2 allows us to write the Beilinson regulator as  $\frac{4096}{\pi^4} L(E_{12+8\sqrt{2}}, 2)$ .

In Section 7 we verify Beilinson's conjecture for  $E_{\sqrt{2} \pm \sqrt{6}}, E_{4\sqrt{2} \pm 4\sqrt{6}}, E_{\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2}}$  and  $E_{24\sqrt{2} \pm 8\sqrt{14}}$ . When dealing with these cases, some technical adjustments are needed.

The computations were mainly performed in `Mathematica`. We also used `Sage` and `PARI/GP` for elliptic curve related calculations.

## 2. THE DEGREE OF $\lambda(2\tau)$

To study  $\lambda(2\tau)$ , we need a theorem about eta-quotients (see [15, Proposition 5.9.2]).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f(\tau) = \prod_{m|N} \eta^{r_m}(m\tau)$  with  $k = \sum_{m|N} r_m/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\sum_{m|N} mr_m \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$  and  $\sum_{m|N} (N/m)r_m \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$ , then  $f$  is a modular function of weight  $k$  for some  $\Gamma_0(M)$  and character  $\chi$ . We can choose  $M$  as the least common multiple of  $N = \text{lcm}(m_1, \dots, m_s)$  and the denominator of  $\sum_{m|N} \frac{r_m}{24n}$ , and  $\chi(d) = \left(\frac{-1}{d}\right)^k \frac{P}{d}$ , where  $P = \prod_{m|N} m^{r_m}$ .*

Since according to (1.8),  $\lambda(2\tau)$  has the eta-quotient expressing

$$\lambda(2\tau) = 16 \frac{\eta(\tau)^8 \eta(4\tau)^{16}}{\eta(2\tau)^{16}} = 16q - 128q^2 + 704q^3 - 3072q^4 + \dots,$$

we can deduce from Theorem 2.1 that  $\lambda(2\tau)$  is a modular function for  $\Gamma_0(4)$ .

By the theory of complex multiplication (see, for example, [16, Chapter 3]), we know that every modular function for  $\Gamma_0(m)$  is a rational function of  $j(\tau)$  and  $j(m\tau)$  where

$$j(\tau) = \frac{1}{q} + 744 + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + \dots$$

is the modular invariant. More precisely, let

$$C(m) = [\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(m)] = m \prod_{p|m} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right),$$

and  $\gamma_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, C(m)$  be the right coset representatives of  $\Gamma_0(m)$  in  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . For each  $m$ , there exists a polynomial (usually called the *modular equation* for  $\Gamma_0(m)$ )

$$\Phi_m(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$$

of degree  $C(m)$  that satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi_m(X, j(\tau)) = \prod_{i=1}^{C(m)} (X - j(m\gamma_i\tau)).$$

**Theorem 2.2** (Proposition 12.7 of [16]). *Let  $f(\tau)$  be a modular function for  $\Gamma_0(m)$  whose  $q$ -expansion has rational coefficients. Then*

- (1)  $f(\tau) \in \mathbb{Q}(j(\tau), j(m\tau))$ .
- (2) Assume in addition that  $f(\tau)$  is holomorphic on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and let  $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ . If

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_m}{\partial X}(j(m\tau_0), j(\tau_0)) \neq 0,$$

then  $f(\tau_0) \in \mathbb{Q}(j(\tau_0), j(m\tau_0))$ .

Now returning to our interest with  $m = 4$ , we have  $[\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(4)] = 6$  and

$$(2.2) \quad \gamma_1 = I_2, \gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 10 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 12 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a set of right coset representatives of  $\Gamma_0(4)$  in  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ .

For a negative integer  $D$  with  $D \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{4}$ , let  $h(D)$  be the class number of primitive binary quadratic forms of discriminant  $D$ . By a *CM point*, we mean a point  $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  that satisfies  $a\tau_0^2 + b\tau_0 + c = 0$ , where  $a, b, c$  are integers with  $a > 0$  and  $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$ . Denote  $D_{\tau_0} := b^2 - 4ac$  be the *discriminant* of  $\tau_0$ . It is convenient to write the CM point  $\tau_0$  as  $(a, b, c)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  is a CM point. If*

$$\prod_{i \neq 1} (j(4\tau_0) - j(4\gamma_i\tau_0)) \neq 0,$$

where  $\gamma_i$  are the coset representatives in (2.2), then  $\lambda(2\tau_0)$  is an algebraic number with degree no more than  $h(D_{\tau_0})h(D_{4\tau_0})$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\lambda(2\tau) = 16 \frac{\eta(\tau)^8 \eta(4\tau)^{16}}{\eta(2\tau)^{16}}$  is holomorphic on  $\mathcal{H}$  since

$$\eta(\tau) = e^{\frac{2\pi i \tau}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$$

has no zeros on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Take the derivative of both sides of (2.1) with respect to  $X$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_4}{\partial X}(j(4\tau_0), j(\tau_0)) = \prod_{i \neq 1} (j(4\tau_0) - j(4\gamma_i \tau_0)).$$

Thus, when the above equation is not zero, we know from Theorem 2.2 that

$$\lambda(2\tau_0) \in \mathbb{Q}(j(\tau_0), j(4\tau_0)).$$

Since  $j(\tau_0)$  is an algebraic integer of degree  $h(D_{\tau_0})$  by the theory of complex multiplication, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{Q}(\lambda(2\tau_0)) : \mathbb{Q}] &\leq [\mathbb{Q}(j(\tau_0), j(4\tau_0)) : \mathbb{Q}] \\ &= [\mathbb{Q}(j(\tau_0), j(4\tau_0)) : \mathbb{Q}(j(4\tau_0))] [\mathbb{Q}(j(4\tau_0)) : \mathbb{Q}] \\ &\leq [\mathbb{Q}(j(\tau_0)) : \mathbb{Q}] [\mathbb{Q}(j(4\tau_0)) : \mathbb{Q}] \\ &= h(D_{\tau_0}) h(D_{4\tau_0}). \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3. CM POINTS WITH $h(D_{\tau_0})h(D_{4\tau_0}) \leq 4$

Let  $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  be a positive definite quadratic form with  $a > 0$  and  $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$ . The discriminant of  $f$  is  $D = b^2 - 4ac < 0$ . We can write  $f$  as the form

$$f(x, y) = a(x - \tau_0 y)(x - \bar{\tau}_0 y)$$

for a unique CM point  $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ . It can be shown that  $f$  is a reduced form (i.e.  $|b| \leq a \leq c$ , and  $b \geq 0$  if either  $|b| = a$  or  $a = c$ ) if and only if  $\tau_0$  lies in the fundamental domain

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\tau \in \mathcal{H} \mid |\operatorname{Re}(\tau)| \leq 1/2, |\tau| \geq 1, \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(\tau) \geq 0 \text{ if } |\operatorname{Re}(\tau)| = 1/2 \text{ or } |\tau| = 1\}$$

of  $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . We can then deduce that there are exactly  $h(D)$  CM points of discriminant  $D$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

In this paper, we are interested in those discriminants  $D < 0$  for which  $h(D) \leq 2$ . Recall that a discriminant  $D < 0$  is said to be *fundamental* if there is an imaginary quadratic field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$  ( $N \geq 1$ , squarefree) such that  $D = d_K$ , where

$$d_K = \begin{cases} N, & \text{if } N \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 4N, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

is the field discriminant of  $K$ . It is a famous result that Gauss conjectured and K. Heegner and H. M. Stark proved in [17] and [18] that the only negative fundamental discriminant  $d_K$  with  $h(d_K) = 1$  are

$$(3.1) \quad d_K = -3, -4, -7, -8, -11, -19, -43, -67, -163.$$

Later, Stark also determined all fundamental discriminants of class number 2 in [19], they are

$$(3.2) \quad d_K = -15, -20, -24, -35, -40, -51, -52, -88, -91, -115, -123, -148, \\ -187, -232, -235, -267, -403, -427.$$

Recall that an *order*  $\mathcal{O}$  in a quadratic field  $K$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of the form

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z} + f\mathcal{O}_K,$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is the ring of integers of  $K$ , and  $f = [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$  is the *conductor* of  $\mathcal{O}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is the maximal order of conductor 1. The discriminant of  $\mathcal{O}$  is defined by  $f^2 d_K$ . For general  $D < 0$  with  $D \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{4}$ , we can calculate  $h(D)$  via fundamental discriminants.

**Theorem 3.1** (Corollary 7.28 of [16]). *Let  $D \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{4}$  be negative, and let  $m$  be a positive integer. Then*

$$h(m^2 D) = \frac{h(D)m}{[\mathcal{O}^* : \mathcal{O}'^*]} \prod_{p|m} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{D}{p} \right) \frac{1}{p} \right),$$

where  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{O}'$  are the orders of discriminant  $D$  and  $m^2 D$ , respectively.

By using Theorem 3.1, together with (3.1) and (3.2), we can determine all the discriminants  $D$  such that  $h(D) \leq 2$ . To achieve this, consider the unit groups of imaginary quadratic fields  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$

$$\mathcal{O}_K^* = \begin{cases} \{\pm 1, \pm i\}, & \text{if } N = -1, \\ \{\pm 1, \frac{1}{2}(\pm 1 \pm i\sqrt{3})\}, & \text{if } N = -3, \\ \{\pm 1\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

First, suppose that  $\mathcal{O}_K^* = \{\pm 1\}$ . If  $f > 6$ , then

$$f \prod_{p|f} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{d_K}{p} \right) \frac{1}{p} \right) > 2,$$

and Theorem 3.1 tells us that the only possible  $(d_K, f)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} d_K \neq -3 \text{ or } -4, \\ f > 1, \\ h(f^2 d_K) \leq 2, \end{cases}$$

are

$$(-7, 2), (-8, 2), (-15, 2), (-8, 3), (-11, 3), (-7, 4).$$

Similarly, when  $d_K = -3$  or  $-4$ , the only possible  $(d_K, f)$  such that  $f > 1$  and  $h(f^2 d_K) \leq 2$  are

$$(-3, 2), (-4, 2), (-3, 3), (-4, 3), (-3, 4), (-4, 4), (-3, 5), (-4, 5), (-3, 7).$$

This completes our goal.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $D \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{4}$  be negative. Then*

(1)  $h(D) = 1$  if and only if  $D$  is one of the following numbers:

$$-3, -4, -7, -8, -11, -12, -16, -19, -27, -28, -43, -67, -163.$$

(2)  $h(D) = 2$  if and only if  $D$  is one of the following numbers:

$-15, -20, -24, -32, -35, -36, -40, -48, -51, -52, -60, -64, -72, -75, -88, -91,$   
 $-99, -100, -112, -115, -123, -147, -148, -187, -232, -235, -267, -403, -427.$

Let  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  be the generators of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . Then

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{F} \cup S\mathcal{F} \cup ST\mathcal{F} \cup ST^{-1}\mathcal{F} \cup ST^2\mathcal{F} \cup ST^{-2}\mathcal{F} \cup ST^2S\mathcal{F} \cup ST^{-2}S\mathcal{F}$$

covers the region  $\mathcal{F}'$  in Theorem 1.1; see Figure 1. We can now apply Algorithm 3.3 to get a full list of CM points  $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{F}'$  such that  $h(D_{\tau_0})h(D_{4\tau_0}) \leq 4$  and have distinct values of  $\lambda(2\tau_0)$  (by numerical calculation).




FIGURE 1. The region  $\mathcal{F}'$  in Theorem 1.1 and its covering (3.3).

**Algorithm 3.3** (Search CM points in  $\mathcal{F}'$ ).

INPUT: The discriminants in Theorem 3.2.

OUTPUT: A list (Table 1) of CM points located in  $\mathcal{F}'$  with  $h(D_{\tau_0})h(D_{4\tau_0}) \leq 4$ .

- (1) For each  $D$  in Theorem 3.2, find all CM points of discriminant  $D$  that lies in the fundamental domain  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ .
- (2) Determine a full list of CM points that lie in  $\mathcal{F}'$  with  $h(D_{\tau_0}) \leq 2$  by using  $S, ST, ST^{-1}, ST^2, ST^{-2}, ST^2S, ST^{-2}S$  (they come from the covering (3.3)) to translate CM points obtained in (1).
- (3) Since if  $h(D_{\tau_0})h(D_{4\tau_0}) \leq 4$ , we must have  $h(D_{\tau_0}) \leq 2$  or  $h(D_{4\tau_0}) \leq 2$ . Use this fact together with the output of (2) to determine all CM points located in  $\mathcal{F}'$  with  $h(D_{\tau_0}) \leq 2$  and  $h(D_{\tau_0})h(D_{4\tau_0}) \leq 4$ .
- (4) Approximate  $\lambda(2\tau_0)$  numerically for each CM points obtained in (3) and take a CM point for each different value to make Table 1.



TABLE 1. CM points of class number no more than 2

$\tau_0$	$h(D_{2\tau_0})$	$h(D_{\tau_0})h(D_{4\tau_0})$	$\lambda(2\tau_0)$ (take 5 valid digits)
(2, -2, 1)	1	1	-1.0000
(4, 0, 1)	1	1	0.50000
(8, -4, 1)	1	1	2.0000
(16, 16, 5)	1	2	-32.970
(16, 0, 1)	1	2	0.97056
(1, 0, 1)	1	2	0.029437
(5, -4, 1)	1	2	33.970
(4, -4, 5)	1	4	-0.030330
(20, -4, 1)	1	4	1.03033
(8, 8, 3)	1	2	-4.8284
(8, 0, 1)	1	2	0.82842
(2, 0, 1)	1	2	0.17157
(6, 4, 1)	1	2	5.8284
(4, 4, 3)	1	4	-0.20710
(12, 4, 1)	1	4	1.20710
(3, 3, 1)	1	2	-13.928
(1, 1, 1)	1	2	-0.071796
(16, 4, 1)	1	2	1.07179
(16, 12, 3)	1	2	14.928
(4, 0, 3)	1	4	0.066987
(12, 0, 1)	1	4	0.93301
(4, 2, 1)	1	1	0.50000 - 0.86602i
(4, -2, 1)	1	1	0.50000 + 0.86602i
(7, 7, 2)	1	2	-253.99
(1, 1, 2)	1	2	-0.0039370
(32, 4, 1)	1	2	1.0039
(32, 28, 7)	1	2	254.99
(4, 0, 7)	1	4	0.0039216
(28, 0, 1)	1	4	0.99607
(2, 1, 1)	1	1	0.031250 - 0.24803i
(2, -1, 1)	1	1	0.031250 + 0.24803i
(4, 3, 1)	1	1	0.50000 - 3.9686i
(4, -3, 1)	1	1	0.50000 + 3.9686i
(8, 2, 1)	1	1	0.96875 - 0.24803i
(8, -2, 1)	1	1	0.96875 + 0.24803i
(4, -1, 1)	2	4	0.50000 + 0.30096i
(4, 1, 1)	2	4	0.50000 - 0.30096i
(8, 7, 2)	2	4	0.50000 - 27.411i
(8, -7, 2)	2	4	0.50000 + 27.411i
(2, 1, 2)	2	4	0.00066519 - 0.036468i
(2, -1, 2)	2	4	0.00066519 + 0.036468i
(6, 3, 1)	2	4	1.4680 - 0.88368i
(6, -3, 1)	2	4	1.4680 + 0.88368i
(8, 6, 3)	2	4	-0.46808 - 0.88368i
(8, -6, 3)	2	4	-0.46808 + 0.88368i
(16, 2, 1)	2	4	0.99933 - 0.036468i
(16, -2, 1)	2	4	0.99933 + 0.036468i

4. MAHLER MEASURES AND  $L$ -VALUES OF MODULAR FORMS

To illustrate how the data in Table 1 allow us to write Mahler measures as  $L$ -values of modular forms, we calculate two examples in detail, they are  $m(12 \pm 8\sqrt{2})$ . In Section 6, we will verify Beilinson's conjecture for elliptic curves  $E_{12 \pm 8\sqrt{2}}$ .

Before working out these two examples, recall that the modular Weber functions (see, for example [16, §12]) are

$$f(\tau) = e^{-\frac{\pi i}{24}} \frac{\eta((\tau+1)/2)}{\eta(\tau)}, \quad f_1(\tau) = \frac{\eta(\tau/2)}{\eta(\tau)}, \quad f_2(\tau) = \sqrt{2} \frac{\eta(2\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}.$$

They satisfy the following identities:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} f(\tau)f_1(\tau)f_2(\tau) &= \sqrt{2}, \quad f_1(2\tau)f_2(\tau) = \sqrt{2}, \\ j(\tau) &= \frac{(f(\tau)^{24} - 16)^3}{f(\tau)^{24}} = \frac{(f_1(\tau)^{24} + 16)^3}{f_1(\tau)^{24}} = \frac{(f_2(\tau)^{24} + 16)^3}{f_2(\tau)^{24}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.2) \quad \lambda(2\tau) = \frac{f_1(2\tau)^8 f_2(2\tau)^{16}}{16}.$$

**Example 4.1.** Take  $\tau_0 = (1, 0, 1) = i$ . Since  $D(2\tau_0) = -16$  and  $h(-16) = 1$ , we know that  $j(2\tau_0)$  is a rational integer. It is not difficult to find that

$$j(2\tau_0) = 287496$$

by numerical calculation. Thus by (4.1), we have

$$f_1(2\tau_0)^{24} = 512, \quad f_2(2\tau_0)^{24} = -280 + 192\sqrt{2}.$$

Then (4.2) tells us that

$$\lambda(2\tau_0) = 17 - 12\sqrt{2},$$

and the corresponding

$$k = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda(2\tau_0)}} = 12 + 8\sqrt{2}.$$

Now, all the ingredients are in place to use Theorem 1.1. Take  $\tau = \tau_0$  in (1.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(12 + 8\sqrt{2}) &= \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{16\operatorname{Im}(\tau_0)}{\pi^2} \sum'_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\chi_{-4}(n)}{(4m\tau_0 + n)^2(4m\bar{\tau}_0 + n)} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{16}{\pi^2} \sum'_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\chi_{-4}(n)(4m\bar{\tau}_0 + n)}{|4m\tau_0 + n|^4} \right) \\ &= \frac{16}{\pi^2} \sum'_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\chi_{-4}(n)n}{(16m^2 + n^2)^2} \\ &= \frac{32}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum'_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\chi_{-4}(n)n}{(16m^2 + n^2)^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

One can deduce from [15, Corollary 14.3.16] that the theta function

$$f_{12+8\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)nq^{16m^2+n^2} = q - 3q^9 + 2q^{17} - q^{25} + 10q^{41} - 7q^{49} + \dots$$

is a normalized cusp form in  $\mathcal{S}_2(\Gamma_0(64))$ . This implies that

$$m(12 + 8\sqrt{2}) = \frac{32}{\pi^2} L(f_{12+8\sqrt{2}}, 2).$$

**Example 4.2.** Take  $\tau_0 = (5, -4, 1) = \frac{2+i}{5}$ . Similar to Example 4.1, we can calculate that

$$j(2\tau_0) = 287496, \quad f_1(2\tau_0)^{24} = 512, \quad f_2(2\tau_0)^{24} = -280 - 192\sqrt{2},$$

$$\lambda(2\tau_0) = 17 + 12\sqrt{2}, \quad k = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda(2\tau_0)}} = 12 - 8\sqrt{2}.$$

By taking  $\tau = \tau_0$  in (1.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(12 - 8\sqrt{2}) &= \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{16\operatorname{Im}(\tau_0)}{\pi^2} \sum'_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\chi_{-4}(n)}{(4m\tau_0 + n)^2(4m\bar{\tau}_0 + n)} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{16}{5\pi^2} \sum'_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\chi_{-4}(n)(4m\bar{\tau}_0 + n)}{|4m\tau_0 + n|^4} \right) \\ &= \frac{16}{5\pi^2} \sum'_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\chi_{-4}(n) \left(\frac{8m}{5} + n\right)}{\left(\left(\frac{8m}{5} + n\right)^2 + \left(\frac{4m}{5}\right)^2\right)^2} \\ &= \frac{64}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{1}{4} \sum'_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\chi_{-4}(n)(8m + 5n)}{(16m^2 + 16mn + 5n^2)^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Once again, according to [15, Corollary 14.3.16], the theta function

$$\begin{aligned} f_{12-8\sqrt{2}} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(8m + 5n)q^{16m^2+16mn+5n^2} \\ &= q^5 - 3q^{13} + 5q^{29} + q^{37} - 3q^{45} - 7q^{53} + 5q^{61} + 2q^{85} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

is a normalized cusp form in  $\mathcal{S}_2(\Gamma_0(48))$ . This implies that

$$m(12 - 8\sqrt{2}) = \frac{64}{\pi^2} L(f_{12-8\sqrt{2}}, 2).$$

For other CM points in in Table 1 with  $h(D_{2\tau_0}) = 1$ , one can also repeat the above calculation to obtain identities of the form

$$m(k) = c_k L(f_k, 2),$$

where  $f_k$  is the associated normalized cusp form. In order to save space, we list the results directly in Table 2.

*Remark 4.3.*

- (1) As mentioned in Introduction, the first three cases in table 2 together with the cases when  $k = 4 \pm 4\sqrt{2}$  are already proved.
- (2) We do not guarantee that we have found all  $k = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda(2\tau_0)}}$  with degree  $\leq 4$  that come from CM points. Since the degree of  $\lambda(2\tau_0)$  may be strictly less than  $h(D_{\tau_0})h(D_{4\tau_0})$ .

TABLE 2. Mahler measures that comes from CM points in Table 1

$k$	$c_k$	level of $f_k$	$f_k$
$4i$	$\frac{16}{\pi^2}$	32	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(2m+n)q^{8m^2+4mn+n^2}$
$4\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{16}{\pi^2}$	64	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)q^{4m^2+n^2}$
$2\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{8}{\pi^2}$	32	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(m+n)q^{2m^2+2mn+n^2}$
$\sqrt[4]{8}(\sqrt{2}-1)i$	$\frac{8}{\pi^2}$	64	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(-2m+n)q^{5m^2-4mn+n^2}$
$\sqrt[4]{8}(\sqrt{2}+1)$	$\frac{8}{\pi^2}$	64	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)q^{m^2+n^2}$
$12+8\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{32}{\pi^2}$	64	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)q^{16m^2+n^2}$
$12-8\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{64}{\pi^2}$	64	$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(8m+5n)q^{16m^2+16mn+5n^2}$
$8i\sqrt{4+3\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{32}{\pi^2}$	256	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(2m+n)q^{20m^2+4mn+n^2}$
$8\sqrt{3\sqrt{2}-4}$	$\frac{256}{\pi^2}$	256	$\frac{1}{16} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(2m+5n)q^{4m^2+4mn+5n^2}$
$\frac{4i}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{2}+2}}$	$\frac{8\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2}$	64	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(-2m+n)q^{6m^2-4mn+n^2}$
$\frac{4}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{2}-2}}$	$\frac{8\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2}$	64	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)q^{2m^2+n^2}$
$4+4\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{16\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2}$	64	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)q^{8m^2+n^2}$
$4-4\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{32\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2}$	64	$-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(4m-3n)q^{8m^2-8mn+3n^2}$
$4i\sqrt{2+2\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{16\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2}$	128	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(-2m+n)q^{12m^2-4mn+n^2}$
$4\sqrt{2\sqrt{2}-2}$	$\frac{64\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2}$	128	$-\frac{1}{8} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(2m-3n)q^{4m^2-4mn+3n^2}$
$(8-4\sqrt{3})i$	$\frac{48\sqrt{3}}{\pi^2}$	48	$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(2m-n)q^{16m^2-12mn+3n^2}$
$(8+4\sqrt{3})i$	$\frac{16\sqrt{3}}{\pi^2}$	48	$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(2m-n)q^{16m^2-4mn+n^2}$
$\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}$	$\frac{6\sqrt{3}}{\pi^2}$	48	$-\frac{1}{6} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(m-2n)q^{m^2-mn+n^2}$
$\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{6}$	$\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\pi^2}$	48	$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(3m-2n)q^{3m^2-3mn+n^2}$
$4\sqrt{2}+4\sqrt{6}$	$\frac{16\sqrt{3}}{\pi^2}$	192	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)q^{12m^2+n^2}$
$4\sqrt{2}-4\sqrt{6}$	$\frac{48\sqrt{3}}{\pi^2}$	192	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)q^{4m^2+3n^2}$
$2\sqrt{3}+2i$	$\frac{8\sqrt{3}}{\pi^2}$	48	$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(m-n)q^{4m^2-2mn+n^2}$
$2\sqrt{3}-2i$	$\frac{8\sqrt{3}}{\pi^2}$	48	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(m+n)q^{4m^2+2mn+n^2}$
$(32-12\sqrt{7})i$	$\frac{112\sqrt{7}}{\pi^2}$	112	$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(2m-n)q^{32m^2-28mn+7n^2}$
$(32+12\sqrt{7})i$	$\frac{16\sqrt{7}}{\pi^2}$	112	$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(2m-n)q^{32m^2-4mn+n^2}$
$\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2}$	$\frac{14\sqrt{7}}{\pi^2}$	112	$-\frac{1}{14} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(m-4n)q^{m^2-mn+2n^2}$
$\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2}$	$\frac{2\sqrt{7}}{\pi^2}$	112	$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(7m-4n)q^{7m^2-7mn+2n^2}$
$24\sqrt{2}+8\sqrt{14}$	$\frac{16\sqrt{7}}{\pi^2}$	448	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)q^{28m^2+n^2}$
$24\sqrt{2}-8\sqrt{14}$	$\frac{112\sqrt{7}}{\pi^2}$	448	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)q^{4m^2+7n^2}$
$6+2i\sqrt{7}$	$\frac{8\sqrt{7}}{\pi^2}$	56	$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(m-n)q^{8m^2-2mn+n^2}$
$6-2i\sqrt{7}$	$\frac{8\sqrt{7}}{\pi^2}$	56	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(m+n)q^{8m^2+2mn+n^2}$
$\frac{3}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}$	$\frac{4\sqrt{7}}{\pi^2}$	28	$-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(3m-2n)q^{4m^2-3mn+n^2}$
$\frac{3}{2} - i\frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}$	$\frac{4\sqrt{7}}{\pi^2}$	28	$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(3m+2n)q^{4m^2+3mn+n^2}$
$\frac{3\sqrt{7}}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{4\sqrt{7}}{\pi^2}$	56	$-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(m-2n)q^{2m^2-mn+n^2}$
$\frac{3\sqrt{7}}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{4\sqrt{7}}{\pi^2}$	56	$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(m+2n)q^{2m^2+mn+n^2}$

## 5. BEILINSON'S CONJECTURE FOR CURVES OVER NUMBER FIELDS

This section is devoted to give a relatively detailed statement of Beilinson's conjecture for curves over number field. The content of [12] is widely quoted.

We start with some  $K$ -theory settings. Let  $F$  be a field, the group  $K_2(F)$  can be defined as

$$F^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F^* / \langle a \otimes (1 - a), a \in F, a \neq 0, 1 \rangle.$$

The class of  $a \otimes b$  is usually denoted by  $\{a, b\}$ . One can see from definition that  $K_2(F)$  is an abelian group (we use “+” for the operator) given by generators  $\{a, b\}$  for  $a, b \in F^*$  and relations

$$\begin{aligned} \{a_1 a_2, b\} &= \{a_1, b\} + \{a_2, b\}, \\ \{a, b_1 b_2\} &= \{a, b_1\} + \{a, b_2\}, \\ \{a, 1 - a\} &= 0, \text{ for } a \in F, a \neq 0, 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $K$  be a number field with the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and  $C/K$  be a (non-singular, projective, geometrically irreducible) curve of genus  $g$  with function field  $F = K(C)$ . Define the tame  $K_2$  of  $C$  as

$$K_2^T(C) = \ker \left( K_2(F) \xrightarrow{T} \bigoplus_{x \in C(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^* \right),$$

where the  $x$ -component of  $T$  is given by the *tame symbol*

$$(5.1) \quad T_x(\{a, b\}) = (-1)^{\text{ord}_x(a)\text{ord}_x(b)} \frac{a^{\text{ord}_x(b)}}{b^{\text{ord}_x(a)}}(x).$$

For each  $\{a, b\} \in K_2^T(C)$ , there is an almost everywhere defined 1-form

$$\eta(a, b) = \log|a| d \arg b - \log|b| d \arg a$$

on the Riemann surface  $C(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $d \arg a$  is defined by  $\text{Im}(da/a)$ . One can check that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H_1(C(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}) \times K_2^T(C)/\text{torsion} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ (\gamma, \{a, b\}) &\mapsto \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \eta(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

is a well-defined pairing. (Note that when calculating the above integral, we choose a representative of  $\gamma$  that avoids the set of zeros and poles of  $a$  and  $b$ .) Since  $\eta(a, b)$  changes sign under complex conjugation, it can be shown that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is in fact equal to zero on  $H_1(C(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})^+$ , the subgroup of  $H_1(C(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})$  consisting of loops that invariant under complex conjugation. We only need to consider the above pairing for  $\gamma \in H_1(C(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})^-$ , the subgroup of  $H_1(C(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})$  on which complex conjugation acts by multiplying  $-1$ . Thus, we obtain the *regulator pairing*

$$(5.2) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H_1(C(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})^- \times K_2^T(C)/\text{torsion} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

From basic topology we know that  $H_1(C(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})$  has rank  $g$ . Beilinson originally conjectured that  $K_2^T(C)/\text{torsion}$  also has rank  $g$  and the pairing (5.2) is non-degenerate. However, Bloch and Grayson discovered in [20] that the rank of

$K_2^T(C)/\text{torsion}$  can sometimes  $> g$ . As a modification, we turn to a subgroup  $K_2(C; \mathbb{Z})$  of  $K_2^T(C)/\text{torsion}$  defined by

$$K_2(C; \mathbb{Z}) = \frac{\ker(K_2(F) \xrightarrow{T} \bigoplus_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{D})^*)}{\text{torsion}} \subseteq \frac{K_2^T(C)}{\text{torsion}},$$

where  $\mathcal{D}$  runs through all irreducible curves on a regular proper model  $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{O}_K$  of  $C/K$ , and  $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{D})$  is the residue field at  $\mathcal{D}$ . The  $\mathcal{D}$ -component of  $T$  is given by the tame symbol similar to (5.1):

$$T_{\mathcal{D}}(\{a, b\}) = (-1)^{v_{\mathcal{D}}(a)v_{\mathcal{D}}(b)} \frac{a^{v_{\mathcal{D}}(b)}}{b^{v_{\mathcal{D}}(a)}}(\mathcal{D}),$$

where  $v_{\mathcal{D}}$  is the valuation corresponding to  $\mathcal{D}$ . It is expected that  $K_2(C; \mathbb{Z})$  has rank  $g$ .

For each embedding  $\sigma : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , by applying  $\sigma$  to coefficients of the equation defining  $C$ , we get a curve  $C^\sigma$ . Let  $X^\sigma$  be the connected Riemann surface of genus  $g$  associated to  $C^\sigma(\mathbb{C})$  and let  $X$  be the disjoint union of all  $X^\sigma$ . In fact,  $X$  is exactly the Riemann surface corresponding to  $C \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ . Through the action on  $\mathbb{C}$  in  $C \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ , the complex conjugation acts on  $X$ , then on  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ . Similarly, one can define  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})^-$  to be the subgroup of  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$  on which complex conjugation acts by multiplying  $-1$ . We have

$$H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})^- = \bigoplus_{\sigma} H_1(X^\sigma; \mathbb{Z})^-$$

and thus the rank of  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})^-$  is  $r = g[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

To state Beilinson's conjecture for curves over number fields, we extend the definition of the regulator pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  to all  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})^-$  by putting

$$\langle \gamma, \{a, b\} \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \eta(a^\sigma, b^\sigma)$$

for  $\gamma \in H_1(X^\sigma; \mathbb{Z})^-$ , where  $a^\sigma$  is the function on  $X^\sigma$  obtained by applying  $\sigma$  to the coefficients of  $a \in K(C)$ .

**Conjecture 5.1** (Beilinson). *Let  $C$  be a non-singular, projective, geometrically irreducible curve of genus  $g$  defined over  $K$  and let  $X$  be defined as above, then*

- (1)  $K_2(C; \mathbb{Z})$  is a free abelian group of rank  $r = g[K : \mathbb{Q}]$  and the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})^- \times K_2(C; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is non-degenerate;
- (2) The absolute value  $R$  (this is called the Beilinson regulator) of the determinant of the pairing in (1) with respect to  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bases of  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$  and  $K_2(C; \mathbb{Z})$  is a nonzero rational multiple of  $\pi^{-2r} L(C, 2)$ , where  $L(C, s)$  is the  $L$ -function of  $C$ .

The first part of Conjecture 5.1 is widely open. In fact, it is not yet known whether  $K_2(C; \mathbb{Z})$  is finite generated, let alone to find its  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bases. However, if we can construct  $r$  elements in  $K_2(C; \mathbb{Z})$  with the associated  $R \neq 0$ , then these  $r$  elements are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In this case, we may be able to verify the second part of Conjecture 5.1.

In this paper, we focus our attention to elliptic curves  $E_k$  over real quadratic fields with  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  in Table 2. The corresponding  $k$  are (By (1.2),  $E_k$  is defined over real quadratic fields if  $k^2$  is real quadratic.)

$$12 \pm 8\sqrt{2}, 4 \pm 4\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2} \pm \sqrt{6}, 4\sqrt{2} \pm 4\sqrt{6}, \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2}, 24\sqrt{2} \pm 8\sqrt{14}.$$

Conjecture 5.1 then predicts that the Beilinson regulators of these  $E_k$  which are determinants of  $2 \times 2$  matrices are rational multiples of the associated  $\pi^{-4}L(E_k, 2)$ . The case  $k = 4 \pm 4\sqrt{2}$  has been verified in [10]. We will verify the case  $k = 12 \pm 8\sqrt{2}$  in next section. For the other four cases, some adjustments to the construction of elements in  $K_2(E_k; \mathbb{Z})$  are needed. We deal with them in Section 7.

## 6. THE CASE $k = 12 \pm 8\sqrt{2}$

When  $k = 12 \pm 8\sqrt{2}$ , under the rational transformation (1.3), we have Weierstrass equations

$$\begin{aligned} E_{12+8\sqrt{2}} : Y^2 &= X^3 + (66 + 48\sqrt{2})X^2 + X, \\ E_{12-8\sqrt{2}} : Y^2 &= X^3 + (66 - 48\sqrt{2})X^2 + X. \end{aligned}$$

They are defined over  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ . Let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  be the nontrivial element that sends  $\sqrt{2}$  to  $-\sqrt{2}$ . For simplicity, we write  $E$  for  $E_{12+8\sqrt{2}}$ , then  $E^\sigma$  is for  $E_{12-8\sqrt{2}}$  according to notations in Section 5.

An elliptic curve over a (Galois) number field is said to be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve if it is isogenic to its every Galois conjugations. Since  $E$  has CM and every elliptic curve with CM is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve (see [21, Section 2]), there must exist an isogeny from  $E$  to  $E^\sigma$ . By using PARI/GP, we compute the lattices that associated to  $E$  and  $E^\sigma$ , and discover that there seems to have an isogeny  $\phi : E \rightarrow E^\sigma$  of degree 4 with kernel

$$G = \left\{ O, (0, 0), \left( -1, 4\sqrt{4 + 3\sqrt{2}} \right), \left( -1, -4\sqrt{4 + 3\sqrt{2}} \right) \right\}.$$

To obtain this isogeny, we first use Vélou's formula (see [22, Theorem 12.16] or [23, Theorem 25.1.6]) to construct an elliptic curve

$$\tilde{E} : Y^2 = X^3 + (66 + 48\sqrt{2})X^2 + (1276 + 960\sqrt{2})X + 137464 + 96960\sqrt{2}$$

and isogeny  $\psi : E \rightarrow \tilde{E}$  with  $\ker \psi = G$ . This isogeny is given by  $(X, Y) \mapsto (\psi_1(X), Y\psi_2(X))$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(X) &= \frac{X^4 + 2X^3 - 2(127 + 96\sqrt{2})X^2 + 2X + 1}{X(X+1)^2}, \\ \psi_2(X) &= \frac{(X-1)(X^4 + 4X^3 + 2(131 + 96\sqrt{2})X^2 + 4X + 1)}{X^2(X+1)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have (by [23, Theorem 25.1.6])

$$(6.1) \quad \psi^*(\omega_{\tilde{E}}) = \omega_E,$$

where  $\omega_{\tilde{E}}$  and  $\omega_E$  are invariant differentials of  $\tilde{E}$  and  $E$  defined by  $\frac{dX}{2Y}$ . Next, set  $u = \frac{3}{2} - \sqrt{2}$ ,  $r = -\frac{49}{2} + 18\sqrt{2}$ , one can easily verify that

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi : \tilde{E} &\rightarrow E^\sigma, \\ (X, Y) &\mapsto (u^2X + r, u^3Y) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism over  $K$ . It follows that  $\phi = \varphi \circ \psi : E \rightarrow E^\sigma$  is our desire isogeny with kernel  $G$ . We can explicitly write down its formula as  $\phi : (X, Y) \mapsto$

$(\phi_1(X), Y\phi_2(X))$ , where

$$\phi_1(X) = \frac{(X-1)^2((17-12\sqrt{2})X^2 - 6(5-4\sqrt{2})X + 17-12\sqrt{2})}{4X(X+1)^2},$$

$$\phi_2(X) = \frac{(99-70\sqrt{2})(X-1)(X^4+4X^3+2(131+96\sqrt{2})X^2+4X+1)}{8X^2(X+1)^3}.$$

Applying  $\sigma$  to coefficients of  $\phi$ , we obtain an isogeny  $\phi^\sigma : E^\sigma \rightarrow E$ . One can check that  $\phi^\sigma \circ \phi = [4]$ .

Let  $|x| = 1$ , we assume  $x = e^{i\theta}$ . Then  $x + \frac{1}{x} + k = 2\cos\theta + k \in \mathbb{R}$  if  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . When  $|2\cos\theta + k| > 2$ , the equation

$$(6.3) \quad y + \frac{1}{y} = -k - x - \frac{1}{x}$$

have two real roots  $y_1(x), y_2(x)$ . They are not equal since the discriminant  $\Delta = (x + \frac{1}{x} + k)^2 - 4 > 0$ . Note that  $y_1(x)y_2(x) = 1$ , without loss of generality we can always take  $y_1(x)$  to be the one that has absolute value greater than 1. However, when  $|2\cos\theta + k| \leq 2$ , the roots  $y_1, y_2$  of (6.3) are conjugate on the unit circle  $S^1 = \{x = e^{i\theta} | \theta \in [-\pi, \pi]\}$ . Notice that in this case,  $y_1 = y_2$  only when  $2\cos\theta + k = \pm 2$ .

If  $k = 12 + 8\sqrt{2} > 4$ , then for every  $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$  we have  $|2\cos\theta + k| > 2$ . Let

$$y_1^E(x) = \frac{-x - \frac{1}{x} - 12 - 8\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{(x + \frac{1}{x} + 12 + 8\sqrt{2})^2 - 4}}{2},$$

$$y_2^E(x) = \frac{-x - \frac{1}{x} - 12 - 8\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{(x + \frac{1}{x} + 12 + 8\sqrt{2})^2 - 4}}{2}$$

be the two roots of (6.3). As mentioned in the previous paragraph,  $y_1^E$  is taken to be the root that has absolute value greater than 1. Thus the circle  $S^1$  can be lifted to a loop  $\gamma_E = \{(x, y_1^E(x)) | |x| = 1\}$  on  $E(\mathbb{C})$ . We take the orientation of  $\gamma_E$  induced by  $\theta$  increasing. Since complex conjugation reverse the orientation,  $\gamma_E$  is an element of  $H_1(E(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})^-$ . This path is called the *Deninger path*, one can see [24, §7.3] for more details.

However, if  $k = 12 - 8\sqrt{2} = 0.6862 \dots$ , as  $x = e^{i\theta}$  varies on  $S^1$ , the discriminant  $\Delta = 0$  when  $x = x_0 = -5 + 4\sqrt{2} + 2i\sqrt{10\sqrt{2} - 14}$  or  $x = \bar{x}_0$ . The parameter  $\theta_0 \in [-\pi, \pi]$  corresponding to  $x_0$  is  $\theta_0 = \arctan \frac{2\sqrt{2+10\sqrt{2}}}{7}$ . Thus (6.3) has real roots

$$y_1^{E^\sigma}(x) = \frac{-x^2 - (12 - 8\sqrt{2})x - 1 - \sqrt{(x^2 + (12 - 8\sqrt{2})x + 1)^2 - 4x^2}}{2x},$$


$$y_2^{E^\sigma}(x) = \frac{-x^2 - (12 - 8\sqrt{2})x - 1 + \sqrt{(x^2 + (12 - 8\sqrt{2})x + 1)^2 - 4x^2}}{2x}$$

for  $\theta \in [-\theta_0, \theta_0]$ . We illustrate in Figure 2 the paths of  $y_1^{E^\sigma}$  and  $y_2^{E^\sigma}$  when  $\theta$  varies from  $-\pi$  to  $\pi$ . (Take the principal branch of the square root.) In particular, we indicate with thick lines the paths when  $\theta \in [-\theta_0, \theta_0]$ . Let

$$\gamma_1^{E^\sigma} = \left\{ \left( x, y_1^{E^\sigma}(x) \right) \mid \theta \in [-\theta_0, \theta_0] \right\}, \quad \gamma_2^{E^\sigma} = \left\{ \left( x, y_2^{E^\sigma}(x) \right) \mid \theta \in [-\theta_0, \theta_0] \right\}.$$

Take  $\gamma_{E^\sigma} = \gamma_1^{E^\sigma} \cup \gamma_2^{E^\sigma}$  with the orientation induced on  $\gamma_1^{E^\sigma}$  by  $\theta$  increasing and on  $\gamma_2^{E^\sigma}$  by  $\theta$  decreasing. One can check that  $\gamma_{E^\sigma}$  is an element of  $H_1(E^\sigma(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})^-$ .



FIGURE 2. The path of  $y_1^{E^\sigma}$  and  $y_2^{E^\sigma}$  when  $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ .

Let  $M_1 = \{x, y\}$  and  $M_2 = \{\phi^*(x), \phi^*(y)\}$ , where the  $x, y$  in  $M_1$  are functions in  $K(E)$  by (1.2) and the  $x, y$  in  $M_2$  are functions in  $K(E^\sigma)$ , they pull back by  $\phi$  to functions in  $K(E)$ . Since our polynomial family  $P_k(x, y) = x + \frac{1}{x} + y + \frac{1}{y} + k$  is tempered, i.e. the set of roots of all the face polynomials of  $P_k$  consists of roots of unity only (see [4, 25] for more about this notion), we know from [4, III.9] that  $nM_1 \in K_2(E; \mathbb{Z})$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, one can use  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  to verify Beilinson's conjecture. The Beilinson regulator for  $\gamma_E, \gamma_{E^\sigma} \in H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})^-$  and  $M_1, M_2$  now reads

$$(6.4) \quad R = \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \langle \gamma_E, M_1 \rangle & \langle \gamma_{E^\sigma}, M_1 \rangle \\ \langle \gamma_E, M_2 \rangle & \langle \gamma_{E^\sigma}, M_2 \rangle \end{pmatrix} \right|.$$

By definition, we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma_E, M_1 \rangle &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma_E} \eta(x, y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma_E} \log|x| \operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{dy}{y} \right) - \log|y| \operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{dx}{x} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log|y_1^E(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \\ &= -m \left( 12 + 8\sqrt{2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows by Jensen's formula (see [3, §§1A] or [6, §§3.2]). Similarly, we have

$$\langle \gamma_{E^\sigma}, M_1 \rangle = -2m \left( 12 - 8\sqrt{2} \right).$$

As for the second row of (6.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma_E, M_2 \rangle &= \langle \gamma_E, \{\phi^*(x), \phi^*(y)\} \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi_* \gamma_E, \{x, y\} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma_{E^\sigma}, M_2 \rangle &= \langle \gamma_{E^\sigma}, \{\phi^*(x)^\sigma, \phi^*(y)^\sigma\} \rangle \\ &= \langle \gamma_{E^\sigma}, \{(\phi^\sigma)^*(x), (\phi^\sigma)^*(y)\} \rangle \quad (\text{here } x, y \in \mathbb{C}(E(\mathbb{C}))), \\ &= \langle (\phi^\sigma)_* \gamma_{E^\sigma}, \{x, y\} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to determine  $\phi_* \gamma_E$  and  $(\phi^\sigma)_* \gamma_{E^\sigma}$ . One can calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\gamma_E} \omega_E &= \int_{\gamma_E} \frac{dX}{2Y} \\
(6.5) \quad &= \int_{\gamma_E} \frac{ydx}{x(1-y^2)} \quad (\text{because } \frac{dX}{2Y} = \frac{ydx}{x(1-y^2)} \text{ by (1.4)}) \\
&= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{y_1^E(e^{i\theta})de^{i\theta}}{e^{i\theta}(1-y_1^E(e^{i\theta})^2)} \\
&\approx 0.27152i
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\gamma_{E^\sigma}} \omega_{E^\sigma} &= \int_{\gamma_1^{E^\sigma}} \omega_{E^\sigma} + \int_{\gamma_2^{E^\sigma}} \omega_{E^\sigma} \\
(6.6) \quad &= \int_{-\theta_0}^{\theta_0} \frac{y_1^{E^\sigma}(e^{i\theta})de^{i\theta}}{e^{i\theta}(1-y_1^{E^\sigma}(e^{i\theta})^2)} + \int_{\theta_0}^{-\theta_0} \frac{y_2^{E^\sigma}(e^{i\theta})de^{i\theta}}{e^{i\theta}(1-y_2^{E^\sigma}(e^{i\theta})^2)} \\
&\approx 3.1651i.
\end{aligned}$$

Compare the above integrals of  $\omega_E$  and  $\omega_{E^\sigma}$  with the periods of lattices associated to  $E$  and  $E^\sigma$  calculated by PARI/GP, we can deduce that  $\gamma_E$  and  $\gamma_{E^\sigma}$  are in fact generators of  $H_1(E; \mathbb{Z})^-$  and  $H_1(E^\sigma; \mathbb{Z})^-$ . Since  $\phi$  and  $\phi^\sigma$  are defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ , we know that  $\phi_*$  maps  $H_1(E(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})^-$  to  $H_1(E^\sigma(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})^-$  and  $(\phi^\sigma)_*$  maps  $H_1(E^\sigma(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})^-$  to  $H_1(E(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})^-$ . Thus, there must exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\phi_*\gamma_E = a\gamma_{E^\sigma}, \quad (\phi^\sigma)_*\gamma_{E^\sigma} = b\gamma_E.$$

We have  $ab = 4$  because  $\phi^\sigma \circ \phi = [4]$ . According to (6.1) and (6.2), we have

$$\phi^*(\omega_{E^\sigma}) = (\varphi \circ \psi)^*(\omega_{E^\sigma}) = \psi^*\left(\frac{1}{u}\omega_{\tilde{E}}\right) = \frac{1}{u}\omega_E.$$

Therefore

$$(6.7) \quad \int_{\phi_*\gamma_E} \omega_{E^\sigma} = \int_{\gamma_E} \phi^*(\omega_{E^\sigma}) = \frac{1}{u} \int_{\gamma_E} \omega_E \approx 3.1651i$$

according to (6.5). Since  $a$  is an integer, by comparing (6.6) with (6.7), it follows immediately that  $a = 1$  and then  $b = 4$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \gamma_E, M_2 \rangle &= \langle \gamma_{E^\sigma}, M_1 \rangle = -2m \left(12 - 8\sqrt{2}\right), \\
\langle \gamma_{E^\sigma}, M_2 \rangle &= 4\langle \gamma_E, M_1 \rangle = -4m \left(12 + 8\sqrt{2}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6.8) \quad R = 4 \left( m \left(12 + 8\sqrt{2}\right)^2 - m \left(12 - 8\sqrt{2}\right)^2 \right).$$

Notice that  $R \neq 0$ , we can then deduce that  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -independent in  $K_2(E; \mathbb{Z})$ .

Our final task is to link the Beilinson regulator  $R$  to the  $L$ -function of  $E$ . In [21], Bruin and Ferraguti described an algorithm to express explicitly the  $L$ -functions of elliptic curves completely defined over quadratic number fields without CM as the product of two  $L$ -functions associated to a pair of conjugate newforms. Fortunately, in our CM case, we can seek help from the LMFDB database [14].

Since  $E$  has  $j$ -invariant  $41113158120 + 29071392966\sqrt{2}$  and conductor norm 32. One can search in LMFDB and find that  $E$  is isomorphic over  $K$  to the elliptic curve with LMFDB label 2.2.8.1-32.1-a8. Also, its  $L$ -function with label 4-2e11-1.1-c1e2-0-0 can be written as

$$L(E, s) = L(f_{64}, s)L(f_{32}, s),$$

where

$$f_{64} = \frac{\eta(8\tau)^8}{\eta(4\tau)^2\eta(16\tau)^2} = q + 2q^5 - 3q^9 - 6q^{13} + 2q^{17} - q^{25} + \cdots \in \mathcal{S}_2(\Gamma_0(64)),$$

$$f_{32} = \eta(4\tau)^2\eta(8\tau)^2 = q - 2q^5 - 3q^9 + 6q^{13} + 2q^{17} - q^{25} + \cdots \in \mathcal{S}_2(\Gamma_0(32)).$$

One can use Sturm bound to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n) n q^{16m^2+n^2} &= \frac{f_{64} + f_{32}}{2}, \\ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n) (8m + 5n) q^{16m^2+16mn+5n^2} &= \frac{f_{64} - f_{32}}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

According to (6.8) as well as to Table 2, we finally have

$$\begin{aligned} R &= 4 \left( \left( \frac{32}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{L(f_{64}, 2) + L(f_{32}, 2)}{2} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{64}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{L(f_{64}, 2) - L(f_{32}, 2)}{4} \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{4096}{\pi^4} L(f_{64}, 2)L(f_{32}, 2) \\ &= \frac{4096}{\pi^4} L(E, 2). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the verification of Beilinson's conjecture for  $E_{12 \pm 8\sqrt{2}}$ .

## 7. THE OTHER FOUR CASES

For the remaining four cases  $k = \sqrt{2} \pm \sqrt{6}$ ,  $4\sqrt{2} \pm 4\sqrt{6}$ ,  $\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2}$ , and  $24\sqrt{2} \pm 8\sqrt{14}$ , there will be some trouble if we continue to use the rational transformation (1.2). Since for instance when  $k = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6}$ ,  $E_k$  has Weierstrass equation  $Y^2 = X^3 + \sqrt{3}X^2 + X$  under (1.2). It is defined over  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ . However, under this transformation, the functions  $x = \frac{(\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6})X-2Y}{2X(X-1)}$  and  $y = \frac{(\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6})X+2Y}{2X(X-1)}$  are not defined over  $K$ . It turns out that  $\{x, y\}$  should not be an element of  $K_2^T(E_k)$  by definition.

To settle this issue, we turn to the rational transformation

$$(7.1) \quad x = \frac{kX - \sqrt{2}Y}{X(X-2)}, \quad y = \frac{kX + \sqrt{2}Y}{X(X-2)}.$$

Under this transformation,  $E_k$  has Weierstrass equation

$$Y^2 = X^3 + \left( \frac{k^2}{2} - 4 \right) X^2 + 4X.$$

And one can easily check that the functions  $xy$  and  $\frac{x}{y}$  are now defined over  $K$  for our 4 interested pairs of  $k$ . So we will use

$$(7.2) \quad M_1 = \left\{ xy, \frac{x}{y} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad M_2 = \left\{ \phi^*(xy), \phi^* \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) \right\}.$$

to compute the Beilinson regulators in this section.

### 7.1. The case $k = \sqrt{2} \pm \sqrt{6}$ .

Under (7.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}} : Y^2 &= X^3 + 2\sqrt{3}X^2 + 4X, \\ E_{\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{6}} : Y^2 &= X^3 - 2\sqrt{3}X^2 + 4X. \end{aligned}$$

They are defined over  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ . Let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  be the nontrivial element. Then we can write  $E$  for  $E_{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}}$  and  $E^\sigma$  for  $E_{\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{6}}$ . There is an isogeny  $\phi : E \rightarrow E^\sigma$  defined over  $K$  of degree 3, it is given by

$$(X, Y) \mapsto \left( \frac{3X(X^2 + 4\sqrt{3}X + 12)}{(3X + 2\sqrt{3})^2}, \frac{3\sqrt{3}Y(X + 2\sqrt{3})(X^2 + 4)}{(3X + 2\sqrt{3})^3} \right).$$

We have  $\phi^\sigma \circ \phi = [-3]$  and  $\phi^*(\omega_{E^\sigma}) = \sqrt{3}\omega_E$ .

Take

$$\gamma_E = \{(x, y_1^E) \mid \theta \in [-\theta_1, \theta_1]\} \cup \{(x, y_2^E) \mid \theta \in [-\theta_1, \theta_1]\} \in H_1(E; \mathbb{Z})^-$$

and

$$\gamma_{E^\sigma} = \{(x, y_1^{E^\sigma}) \mid \theta \in [\theta_2, 2\pi - \theta_2]\} \cup \{(x, y_2^{E^\sigma}) \mid \theta \in [\theta_2, 2\pi - \theta_2]\} \in H_1(E^\sigma; \mathbb{Z})^-,$$

where

$$\theta_1 = \pi - \arctan \sqrt{\frac{(\sqrt{2}-1)(\sqrt{3}-1)}{2}}, \quad \theta_2 = \pi - \arctan \sqrt{\frac{(\sqrt{2}+1)(\sqrt{3}+1)}{2}}.$$

By calculating the integral of invariant differential, we know that  $\gamma_E$  and  $\gamma_{E^\sigma}$  are generators of  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})^-$  and

$$(7.3) \quad \phi_*\gamma_E = \pm 3\gamma_{E^\sigma}, \quad (\phi^\sigma)_*\gamma_{E^\sigma} = \mp \gamma_E.$$

Next, take  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  as (7.2). In this setting, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma_E, M_1 \rangle &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma_E} \eta \left( xy, \frac{x}{y} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma_E} \log|xy| \text{Im} \left( \frac{d\frac{x}{y}}{\frac{x}{y}} \right) - \log \left| \frac{x}{y} \right| \text{Im} \left( \frac{dxy}{xy} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma_E} \log|y| \text{Im} \left( -\frac{dy}{y} + \frac{dx}{x} \right) + \log|y| \text{Im} \left( \frac{xdy + ydx}{xy} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\gamma_E} \log|y| \text{Im} \left( \frac{dx}{x} \right) \\ &= \pm 4m (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have  $\langle \gamma_{E^\sigma}, M_1 \rangle = \pm 4m (\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6})$ . Also, according to (7.3) and the calculations in the previous section, we have

$$\langle \gamma_E, M_2 \rangle = \pm 12m (\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6}), \quad \langle \gamma_{E^\sigma}, M_2 \rangle = \mp 4m (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6}).$$

We search in [14] and find that  $E$  is isomorphic over  $K$  to the elliptic curve 2.2.12.1-16.1-a1, its  $L$ -function 4-48e2-1.1-c1e2-0-2 can be written as

$$L(E, s) = L(f_{48}, s)L(g_{48}, s),$$

where  $f_{48}$  is the newform 48.2.c.a.47.1 and  $g_{48}$  is its dual form 48.2.c.a.47.2. One can use Sturm bound to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{6} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(m-2n)q^{m^2-mn+n^2} &= \frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{6}f_{48} + \frac{3-i\sqrt{3}}{6}g_{48}, \\ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(3m-2n)q^{3m^2-3mn+n^2} &= \frac{1-i\sqrt{3}}{2}f_{48} + \frac{1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}g_{48}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \langle \gamma_E, M_1 \rangle & \langle \gamma_{E^\sigma}, M_1 \rangle \\ \langle \gamma_E, M_2 \rangle & \langle \gamma_{E^\sigma}, M_2 \rangle \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ &= 16m \left( \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} \right)^2 + 48m \left( \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} \right)^2 \\ &= 16 \left( \frac{6\sqrt{3}}{\pi^2} \cdot \left( \frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{6}L(f_{48}, 2) + \frac{3-i\sqrt{3}}{6}L(g_{48}, 2) \right) \right)^2 \\ &\quad + 48 \left( \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\pi^2} \cdot \left( \frac{1-i\sqrt{3}}{2}L(f_{48}, 2) + \frac{1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}L(g_{48}, 2) \right) \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{2304}{\pi^4} L(f_{48}, 2)L(g_{48}, 2) \\ &= \frac{2304}{\pi^4} L(E, 2). \end{aligned}$$

## 7.2. The case $k = 4\sqrt{2} \pm 4\sqrt{6}$ .

Under (7.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{4\sqrt{2}+4\sqrt{6}} : Y^2 &= X^3 + (60 + 32\sqrt{3})X^2 + 4X, \\ E_{4\sqrt{2}-4\sqrt{6}} : Y^2 &= X^3 + (60 - 32\sqrt{3})X^2 + 4X. \end{aligned}$$

They are defined over  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ . Let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  be the nontrivial element. Then we can write  $E$  for  $E_{4\sqrt{2}+4\sqrt{6}}$  and  $E^\sigma$  for  $E_{4\sqrt{2}-4\sqrt{6}}$ . There is an isogeny  $\phi : E \rightarrow E^\sigma$  defined over  $K$  of degree 3, it is given by  $(X, Y) \mapsto (\phi_1(X), Y\phi_2(X))$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(X) &= \frac{3X((7-4\sqrt{3})X^2 + (12-8\sqrt{3})X + 12)}{(3X+6-4\sqrt{3})^2}, \\ \phi_2(X) &= \frac{(2\sqrt{3}-3)^3(X-6-4\sqrt{3})(X^2+12X+4)}{(3X+6-4\sqrt{3})^3}. \end{aligned}$$

We have  $\phi^\sigma \circ \phi = [-3]$  and  $\phi^*(\omega_{E^\sigma}) = (3+2\sqrt{3})\omega_E$ .

Take

$$\gamma_E = \{ (x, y_1^E) \mid \theta \in [-\pi, \pi] \} \in H_1(E; \mathbb{Z})^-$$

and

$$\gamma_{E^\sigma} = \left\{ \left( x, y_1^{E^\sigma} \right) \mid \theta \in [-\pi, \pi] \right\} \in H_1(E^\sigma; \mathbb{Z})^-.$$

By calculating the integral of invariant differential, we know that  $\gamma_E$  and  $\gamma_{E^\sigma}$  are generators of  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})^-$  and

$$\phi_* \gamma_E = \mp \gamma_{E^\sigma}, \quad (\phi^\sigma)_* \gamma_{E^\sigma} = \pm 3 \gamma_E.$$

Next, take  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  as (7.2). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma_E, M_1 \rangle &= \pm 2m (4\sqrt{2} + 4\sqrt{6}), & \langle \gamma_{E^\sigma}, M_1 \rangle &= \pm 2m (4\sqrt{2} - 4\sqrt{6}), \\ \langle \gamma_E, M_2 \rangle &= \mp 2m (4\sqrt{2} - 4\sqrt{6}), & \langle \gamma_{E^\sigma}, M_2 \rangle &= \pm 6m (4\sqrt{2} + 4\sqrt{6}). \end{aligned}$$

We search in [14] and find that  $E$  is isomorphic over  $K$  to the elliptic curve 2.2.12.1-256.1-c8, its  $L$ -function 4-192e2-1.1-c1e2-0-1 can be written as

$$L(E, s) = L(f_{192}, s)L(g_{192}, s),$$

where  $f_{192}$  is the newform 192.2.c.a.191.1 and  $g_{192}$  is its dual form 192.2.c.a.191.2. One can use Sturm bound to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n) n q^{12m^2 + n^2} &= \frac{f_{192} + g_{192}}{2}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n) n q^{4m^2 + 3n^2} &= \frac{g_{192} - f_{192}}{2i\sqrt{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R &= 12m (4\sqrt{2} + 4\sqrt{6})^2 + 4m (4\sqrt{2} + 4\sqrt{6})^2 \\ &= 12 \left( \frac{16\sqrt{3}}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{L(f_{192}, 2) + L(g_{192}, 2)}{2} \right)^2 + 4 \left( \frac{48\sqrt{3}}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{L(g_{192}, 2) - L(f_{192}, 2)}{2i\sqrt{3}} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{9216}{\pi^4} L(f_{192}, 2)L(g_{192}, 2) \\ &= \frac{9216}{\pi^4} L(E, 2). \end{aligned}$$

### 7.3. The case $k = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2}$ .

Under (7.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2}} : Y^2 &= X^3 + \frac{3\sqrt{7}}{2} X^2 + 4X, \\ E_{\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2}} : Y^2 &= X^3 - \frac{3\sqrt{7}}{2} X^2 + 4X. \end{aligned}$$

They are defined over  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7})$ . Let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  be the nontrivial element. Then we can write  $E$  for  $E_{\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2}}$  and  $E^\sigma$  for  $E_{\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2}}$ . There is an isogeny  $\phi : E \rightarrow E^\sigma$  defined over  $K$  of degree 7, it is given by  $(X, Y) \mapsto \left( \frac{7X\phi_2(X)}{\phi_1(X)^2}, \frac{7\sqrt{7}Y\phi_3(X)}{\phi_1(X)^3} \right)$ ,

where

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(X) &= 7X^3 + 14\sqrt{7}X^2 + 56X + 8\sqrt{7}, \\ \phi_2(X) &= X^6 + 8\sqrt{7}X^5 + 168X^4 + 240\sqrt{7}X^3 + 1232X^2 + 448\sqrt{7}X + 448, \\ \phi_3(X) &= X^9 + 6\sqrt{7}X^8 + 96X^7 + 120\sqrt{7}X^6 + 720X^5 + 544\sqrt{7}X^4 + 2752X^3 \\ &\quad + 1536\sqrt{7}X^2 + 3584X + 512\sqrt{7}.\end{aligned}$$

We have  $\phi^\sigma \circ \phi = [-7]$  and  $\phi^*(\omega_{E^\sigma}) = \sqrt{7}\omega_E$ .

Take

$$\gamma_E = \{(x, y_1^E) \mid \theta \in [-\theta_1, \theta_1]\} \cup \{(x, y_2^E) \mid \theta \in [-\theta_1, \theta_1]\} \in H_1(E; \mathbb{Z})^-$$

and

$$\gamma_{E^\sigma} = \{(x, y_1^{E^\sigma}) \mid \theta \in [-\theta_2, \theta_2]\} \cup \{(x, y_2^{E^\sigma}) \mid \theta \in [-\theta_2, \theta_2]\} \in H_1(E^\sigma; \mathbb{Z})^-,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_1 &= \pi - \arctan \frac{\sqrt{552\sqrt{2} - 433 - 4\sqrt{7(2993 - 1428\sqrt{2})}}}{47}, \\ \theta_2 &= \arctan \frac{\sqrt{552\sqrt{2} - 433 + 4\sqrt{7(2993 - 1428\sqrt{2})}}}{47}.\end{aligned}$$

By calculating the integral of invariant differential, we know that  $\gamma_E$  and  $\gamma_{E^\sigma}$  are generators of  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})^-$  and

$$\phi_*\gamma_E = \pm 7\gamma_{E^\sigma}, \quad (\phi^\sigma)_*\gamma_{E^\sigma} = \mp \gamma_E.$$

Next, take  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  as (7.2). We have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \gamma_E, M_1 \rangle &= \pm 4m \left( \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2} \right), & \langle \gamma_{E^\sigma}, M_1 \rangle &= \pm 4m \left( \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2} \right), \\ \langle \gamma_E, M_2 \rangle &= \pm 28m \left( \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2} \right), & \langle \gamma_{E^\sigma}, M_2 \rangle &= \mp 4m \left( \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2} \right).\end{aligned}$$

We search in [14] and find that  $E$  is isomorphic over  $K$  to the elliptic curve 2.2.28.1-1.1-a2, its  $L$ -function 4-28e2-1.1-c1e2-0-1 can be written as

$$L(E, s) = L(f_{28}, s)L(g_{28}, s),$$

where  $f_{28}$  is the newform 28.2.d.a.27.1 and  $g_{28}$  is its dual form 28.2.d.a.27.2. One can use Sturm bound to prove that

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{14} \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(m-4n)q^{m^2 - mn + 2n^2} &= \alpha_1 f_{28}(2\tau) + \bar{\alpha}_1 g_{28}(2\tau) + \beta_1 f_{28}(4\tau) + \bar{\beta}_1 g_{28}(4\tau), \\ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n)(7m-4n)q^{7m^2 - 7mn + 2n^2} &= \alpha_2 f_{28}(2\tau) + \bar{\alpha}_2 g_{28}(2\tau) + \beta_2 f_{28}(4\tau) + \bar{\beta}_2 g_{28}(4\tau).\end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_1 = \frac{7-i\sqrt{7}}{14}$ ,  $\beta_1 = \frac{7+3i\sqrt{7}}{7}$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \frac{1+i\sqrt{7}}{2}$ ,  $\beta_2 = -3 - i\sqrt{7}$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R &= 16m \left( \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2} \right)^2 + 112m \left( \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2} \right)^2 \\
&= 16 \left( \frac{14\sqrt{7}}{\pi^2} (\alpha_1 L(f_{28}(2\tau), 2) + \bar{\alpha}_1 L(g_{28}(2\tau), 2) + \beta_1 L(f_{28}(4\tau), 2) + \bar{\beta}_1 L(g_{28}(4\tau), 2)) \right)^2 \\
&\quad + 112 \left( \frac{2\sqrt{7}}{\pi^2} (\alpha_2 L(f_{28}(2\tau), 2) + \bar{\alpha}_2 L(g_{28}(2\tau), 2) + \beta_2 L(f_{28}(4\tau), 2) + \bar{\beta}_2 L(g_{28}(4\tau), 2)) \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{21952}{\pi^4} \left( \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{2^2} + \frac{\beta_1}{4^2} \right) L(f_{28}, 2) + \left( \frac{\bar{\alpha}_1}{2^2} + \frac{\bar{\beta}_1}{4^2} \right) L(g_{28}, 2) \right)^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{3136}{\pi^4} \left( \left( \frac{\alpha_2}{2^2} + \frac{\beta_2}{4^2} \right) L(f_{28}, 2) + \left( \frac{\bar{\alpha}_2}{2^2} + \frac{\bar{\beta}_2}{4^2} \right) L(g_{28}, 2) \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{3136}{\pi^4} L(f_{28}, 2) L(g_{28}, 2) \\
&= \frac{3136}{\pi^4} L(E, 2).
\end{aligned}$$

#### 7.4. The case $k = 24\sqrt{2} \pm 8\sqrt{14}$ .

Under (7.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{24\sqrt{2}+8\sqrt{14}} : Y^2 &= X^3 + (1020 + 384\sqrt{7})X^2 + 4X, \\
E_{24\sqrt{2}-8\sqrt{14}} : Y^2 &= X^3 + (1020 - 384\sqrt{7})X^2 + 4X.
\end{aligned}$$

They are defined over  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7})$ . Let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  be the nontrivial element. Then we can write  $E$  for  $E_{24\sqrt{2}+8\sqrt{14}}$  and  $E^\sigma$  for  $E_{24\sqrt{2}-8\sqrt{14}}$ . There is an isogeny  $\phi : E \rightarrow E^\sigma$  defined over  $K$  of degree 7, it is given by  $(X, Y) \mapsto \left( \frac{7X\phi_2(X)}{\phi_1(X)^2}, \frac{-(21-8\sqrt{7})^3 Y \phi_3(X)}{\phi_1(X)^3} \right)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1(X) &= 7X^3 - 14 \left( 15 + 8\sqrt{7} \right) X^2 + 308X + 8 \left( 21 - 8\sqrt{7} \right), \\
\phi_2(X) &= \left( 127 - 48\sqrt{7} \right) X^6 + 44 \left( 21 - 8\sqrt{7} \right) X^5 + 12 \left( 371 - 32\sqrt{7} \right) X^4 \\
&\quad - 96 \left( 189 + 104\sqrt{7} \right) X^3 + 560 \left( 139 + 48\sqrt{7} \right) X^2 - 448 \left( 15 + 8\sqrt{7} \right) X + 448, \\
\phi_3(X) &= X^9 - 6 \left( 15 + 8\sqrt{7} \right) X^8 - 48 \left( 6289 + 2380\sqrt{7} \right) X^7 - 96 \left( 212583 + 80356\sqrt{7} \right) X^6 \\
&\quad + 288 \left( 2959 + 1112\sqrt{7} \right) X^5 + 64 \left( 13721325 + 5186128\sqrt{7} \right) X^4 \\
&\quad + 256 \left( 2104321 + 795348\sqrt{7} \right) X^3 + 1536 \left( 1029 + 388\sqrt{7} \right) X^2 \\
&\quad - 256 \left( 6727 + 2544\sqrt{7} \right) X - 512 \left( 21 + 8\sqrt{7} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We have  $\phi^\sigma \circ \phi = [-7]$  and  $\phi^*(\omega_{E^\sigma}) = (21 + 8\sqrt{7})\omega_E$ .

Take

$$\gamma_E = \left\{ (x, y_1^E) \mid \theta \in [-\pi, \pi] \right\} \in H_1(E; \mathbb{Z})^-$$

and

$$\gamma_{E^\sigma} = \left\{ (x, y_1^{E^\sigma}) \mid \theta \in [-\pi, \pi] \right\} \in H_1(E^\sigma; \mathbb{Z})^-.$$



By calculating the integral of invariant differential, we know that  $\gamma_E$  and  $\gamma_{E^\sigma}$  are generators of  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})^-$  and

$$\phi_*\gamma_E = \pm\gamma_{E^\sigma}, \quad (\phi^\sigma)_*\gamma_{E^\sigma} = \mp 7\gamma_E.$$

Next, take  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  as (7.2). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma_E, M_1 \rangle &= \pm 2m \left( 24\sqrt{2} + 8\sqrt{14} \right), & \langle \gamma_{E^\sigma}, M_1 \rangle &= \pm 2m \left( 24\sqrt{2} - 8\sqrt{14} \right), \\ \langle \gamma_E, M_2 \rangle &= \pm 2m \left( 24\sqrt{2} - 8\sqrt{14} \right), & \langle \gamma_{E^\sigma}, M_2 \rangle &= \mp 14m \left( 24\sqrt{2} + 8\sqrt{14} \right), \end{aligned}$$

We search in [14] and find that  $E$  is isomorphic over  $K$  to the elliptic curve 2.2.28.1-256.1-j8, its  $L$ -function 4-448e2-1.1-c1e2-0-0 can be written as

$$L(E, s) = L(f_{448}, s)L(g_{448}, s),$$

where  $f_{448}$  is the newform 448.2.f.b.447.1 and  $g_{448}$  is its dual form 448.2.f.b.447.2. One can use Sturm bound to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n) n q^{28m^2+n^2} &= \frac{f_{448} + g_{448}}{2}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{-4}(n) n q^{4m^2+7n^2} &= \frac{g_{448} - f_{448}}{2i\sqrt{7}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R &= 28m \left( 24\sqrt{2} + 8\sqrt{14} \right)^2 + 4m \left( 24\sqrt{2} - 8\sqrt{14} \right)^2 \\ &= 28 \left( \frac{16\sqrt{7}}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{L(f_{448}, 2) + L(g_{448}, 2)}{2} \right)^2 + 4 \left( \frac{112\sqrt{7}}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{L(g_{448}, 2) - L(f_{448}, 2)}{2i\sqrt{7}} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{50176}{\pi^4} L(f_{448}, 2)L(g_{448}, 2) \\ &= \frac{50176}{\pi^4} L(E, 2). \end{aligned}$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Deninger, *Deligne periods of mixed motives, K-theory and the entropy of certain  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -actions*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1997), no. 2, 259–281.
- [2] M. Rogers, W. Zudilin, *On the Mahler measure of  $1 + X + 1/X + Y + 1/Y$* , Int. Math. Res. Notices (2014), no. 9, 2305–2326.
- [3] D. W. Boyd, *Mahler's measure and special values of  $L$ -functions*, Experiment. Math. **7** (1998), no. 1, 37–82.
- [4] F. R. Villegas, *Modular Mahler measures I*, Topics in number theory (University Park, PA, 1997), 17–48, Math. Appl. 467, Kluwer Acad. Publ. Dordrecht, 1999.
- [5] D. Samart, *A functional identity for Mahler measures of non-tempered polynomials*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **32** (2021), no. 1, 78–89.
- [6] M. N. Lalín, M. D. Rogers, *Functional equations for Mahler measures of genus-one curves*, Algebra Number Theory **1**(1) (2007), 87–117.
- [7] M. N. Lalín, *On a conjecture by Boyd*, Int. J. Number Theory **6** (2010), no. 3, 705–711.
- [8] D. Samart, *Mahler measures as linear combinations of  $L$ -values of multiple modular forms*, Canad. J. Math. **67** (2015), no. 2, 424–449.
- [9] N. Kurokawa, H. Ochiai, *Mahler measures via crystallization*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli **54** (2005), 121–137.
- [10] X. Guo, Q. Ji, H. Liu, and H. Qin, *The Mahler measure of  $x + 1/x + y + 1/y + k$* , preprint (2022).

- [11] T. Huber, D. Schultz, and D. Ye, *Series for  $1/\pi$  of level 20*, J. Number Theory **188** (2018), 121–136.
- [12] T. Dokchitser, R. de Jeu, and D. Zagier *Numerical verification of Beilinson’s conjecture for  $K_2$  of hyperelliptic curves*, Compositio Math. **142** (2006), no. 2, 339–373.
- [13] H. Liu, R. de Jeu, *On  $K_2$  of certain families of curves*, Int. Math. Res. Notices (2015), no. 21, 10929–10958.
- [14] The LMFDB Collaboration, *The  $L$ -functions and modular forms database*, <http://www.lmfdb.org>, 2022.
- [15] H. Cohen, F. Strömberg, *Modular forms. A classical approach*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 179, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017, xii+700 pp.
- [16] D. A. Cox, *Primes of the form  $x^2 + ny^2$ . Fermat, class field theory, and complex multiplication*, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 2013, xviii+356 pp.
- [17] K. Heegner, *Diophantische Analysis und Modulfunktionen*, (German) Math. Z. **56** (1952), 227–253.
- [18] H. M. Stark, *A complete determination of the complex quadratic fields of class-number one*, Michigan Math. J. **14** (1967), 1–27.
- [19] H. M. Stark, *On complex quadratic fields with class-number two*, Math. Comp. **29** (1975), 289–302.
- [20] S. Bloch, D. Grayson,  *$K_2$  and  $L$ -functions of elliptic curves: computer calculations*, in *Applications of Algebraic  $K$ -Theory to Algebraic Geometry and Number Theory*, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 55, pp. 79–88, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [21] P. Bruin, A. Ferraguti, *On  $L$ -functions of quadratic  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves*, Math. Comp. **87** (2018), no. 309, 459–499.
- [22] L. C. Washington, *Elliptic curves. Number theory and cryptography*, 2nd ed., Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2008. xviii+513 pp.
- [23] S. D. Galbraith, *Mathematics of public key cryptography*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. xiv+615 pp.
- [24] F. Brunault, W. Zudilin, *Many variations of Mahler measures. A lasting symphony*, Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series, vol. 28. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2020. xv+167 pp.
- [25] H. Liu, H. Qin *Mahler measure of polynomials defining genus 2 and 3 curves*, preprint (2019), arXiv:1910.10884.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*Email address:* [taozhy@smail.nju.edu.cn](mailto:taozhy@smail.nju.edu.cn)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*Email address:* [guoxj@nju.edu.cn](mailto:guoxj@nju.edu.cn)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*Email address:* [weitao@smail.nju.edu.cn](mailto:weitao@smail.nju.edu.cn)